medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Title: The reduction of sleep-like perilesional cortical dynamics underlies clinical recovery in stroke

Author information: Sarasso S.[1*], D'Ambrosio S.[2,3,4*], Bernardelli L.[1,5], Hassan G.[1], Comanducci A.[3], De Giampaulis P.[6,7], Dalla Vecchia L.[8], Lanzone J.[6], Massimini M.[1,3]

1 Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy.
2 Department of Health Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy.
3 Istituto Di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi ONLUS, Milan, Italy.
4 Department of Clinical and Experimental Epilepsy, University College London, London, United Kingdom.
5 University of Camerino, Center for Neuroscience, Camerino Italy.
6 Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri, IRCCS, Neurorehabilitation Department, Milano Institute, Milan, Italy.
7 Neurology and Stroke Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera Di Melegnano e Della Martesana, Vizzolo Predabissi, Milan, Italy.
8 Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri, IRCCS, Department of Cardiology, Milano Institute, Milan, Italy.
* These authors equally contributed

Correspondence should be sent to: simone.sarasso@unimi.it

Abstract:

Introduction. Recent studies have shown that, following brain injury, sleep-like cortical dynamics intrude into wakefulness, potentially contributing to brain network disruption and behavioral deficits.

Aim. We employ TMS in combination with EEG to detect these dynamics and assess their impact on brain networks and clinical evolution in awake stroke patients.

Methods. Twelve patients with subacute unilateral ischemic cortical stroke underwent a longitudinal study with two assessments (t0 and t1), including clinical evaluation using the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) and TMS-EEG recordings targeting perilesional and contralesional cortical sites. Parameters such as slow wave amplitude (SWa), high-frequency power (HFp) suppression, and the Perturbational Complexity Index-state transition (PCIst) were analyzed to quantify sleep-like cortical dynamics and their network-level consequences.

Results. Results demonstrated a significant clinical improvement (NIHSS score: 7.16±0.73 at t0, 4.33±0.74 at t1; W=78, P<0.001). Perilesional SWa and HFp suppression decreased significantly at t1 compared to t0 (T(11)=3.05, P=0.01 and T(11)=-3.39, P<0.01, respectively), along with recovery of PCIst values (T(11)=-2.35, P=0.04). Importantly, both the dissipation of sleep-like perilesional cortical dynamics and the recovery of network-level interactions correlated with patients' clinical improvement (Spearman ρ=0.62, P=0.03; ρ=-0.68, P=0.01, respectively).

Conclusion. These findings underscore the potential of TMS-EEG as an objective measure of neurological evolution and suggest targeting sleep-like cortical dynamics as a viable strategy for post-stroke neuromodulation and rehabilitation.

Keywords: brain injury; TMS-EEG; cortical bistability; sleep-like dynamics; post-stroke rehabilitation

Statements and Declarations: Competing interests. MM is co-founder of Intrinsic Powers, Inc., a spin-off of the University of Milan; SS is advisor of Intrinsic Powers. The other authors report no competing interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements: The authors gratefully acknowledge patients and their families. This work was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Program for Research and Innovation under the Specific Grant Agreement No. 945539 (Human Brain Project SGA3), by the Fondazione Regionale per la Ricerca Biomedica, Project ERAPERMED2019–101, GA 779282, by the Tiny Blue Dot Foundation, by the European Research Council (ERC-2022-SYG-101071900- NEMESIS), by the

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca (PRIN 2022), by the "Ricerca Corrente" funding scheme of the Italian Ministry of Health, and by the Fondazione Fratelli Tullio e Vitaliano Confalonieri.

Introduction

The consequences of focal brain lesions are known to extend beyond the site of structural injury [1]. The presence of altered neuronal activity outside the area of structural injury was postulated already more than 100 years ago, and termed diaschisis by Costantin Von Monakow [2]. Subsequent experimental work, substantiated diaschisis as the depression of perfusion/metabolic function [3] involving structurally intact brain areas connected to the injury [4], and showed its impact on behavioral functions [5]. Notwithstanding more recent multimodal imaging assessments [6–9] confirming and complementing these observations, the electrophysiological determinants of diaschisis are still elusive.

From the electrophysiological standpoint, the presence of EEG slowing in cortical areas surrounding brain lesions has also been known for almost a century [10], albeit never connected to the concept of functional network disruption after brain injury and diaschisis. Recent works involving Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation coupled with EEG (TMS-EEG) and intracranial recordings confirmed that slow waves can intrude into wakefulness following brain injuries and added important elements. First, they showed that post-lesional slow waves are indistinguishable from sleep slow waves, being also underpinned by cortical bistability [11], i.e. the tendency of cortical neurons to fall in a silent period (OFF-period) after an initial activation. Second, they demonstrated that sleep-like bistability is more prominent in areas that are near, or connected to, the lesion [12]. Third, they showed that post-lesional bistability and OFF-periods can impair neuronal and network interactions [11, 13], with a potentially significant impact on behavior [14].

Despite its potential explanatory power and clinical impact, the relationship between perilesional slow waves, the associated disruption of cortical interactions and their effects on behavior has never been systematically assessed. Here, we fill this gap by performing longitudinal assessments in 12 subacute patients affected by unilateral ischemic cortical stroke in whom we measured the EEG response to TMS in addition to spontaneous EEG-derived quantitative metrics. We investigate the presence and the evolution of perilesional EEG markers of cortical bistability (i.e. the presence of TMS-evoked perilesional EEG slow waves associated with OFF-periods) and measure their impact on local cortical interactions. Crucially, we assess whether the evolution of such measures was paralleled by the clinical evolution of the patients as measured by validated quantitative scales.

We show that the reduction of perilesional cortical bistability over time is proportional to the clinical improvement and is paralleled by a recovery of cortical interactions, thus suggesting an association between the functional state of the cortical tissue surrounding the lesion and behavioral functions. Importantly, such clinically relevant association could be readily revealed by probing the cortex with TMS-EEG but could not be systematically detected by spontaneous quantitative EEG measures.

The present results suggest that assessing the intrusion of sleep-like cortical dynamics by a perturbational perspective and tracking its evolution over time can provide an objective read-out of the patients' neurological evolution. Further, they open the perspective that reducing cortical bistability during the subacute phase post-injury may represent a tractable neurophysiologically-grounded target for treatment and rehabilitation.

Materials and methods

Participants and Experimental procedures

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

We recruited a total of 12 participants (5 females, median=71.5y, IQR=12.5y) affected by unilateral ischemic stroke of different lesion volume (mean ± SEM: 53.76 ± 11.73cm³) and location (see Table 1). All patients underwent two experimental sessions, one at the beginning (t0) and one at the discharge of the rehabilitation stay (t1) (mean duration ± SEM: 85.92 ± 25.12 days). During each experimental session, patients were clinically evaluated using the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS; [15]). In addition, two TMS-EEG measurements were performed with TMS targeted to intact cortical portions of both the affected (perilesional stimulation site) and the unaffected (contralesional stimulation site) hemispheres. CT scans were acquired at t0 to operationalize the selection of TMS targets [13] and to guide neuronavigation during both experimental sessions. Specifically, the perilesional stimulation site involved a portion of the same Brodmann Area (BA; see Table 1) including the anatomical lesion within 2 cm of its boundaries, while the contralesional stimulation site corresponded to the homologue contralateral cortical area. When stimulating the primary motor cortex (BA4) we explicitly avoided inducing visible muscle twitches (hand/arm, face, shoulder/ trunk and foot/leg) to avoid TMS-evoked EEG potentials (TEPs) contamination by the sensory re-entry of proprioceptive feedback [16].

During all measurements, patients were awake sitting comfortably and with their eyes open. Vigilance was monitored using EEG to promptly detect any signs of drowsiness. The study conformed to the standards set by the Declaration of Helsinki; ethical approval was obtained from the ethical committee of IRCCS Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri, Pavia, Italy (# 2286CE). Each patient gave written informed consent to participate in this study.

TMS-EEG recordings and analysis

For each TMS-EEG session, a minimum of 200 stimulation pulses were administered, with the inter-stimulus interval randomly varying between 2000 and 2300 ms (0.4–0.5 Hz). Stimulation was delivered using a focal bi-pulse figure-of-eight coil (with mean/outer winding diameter of 50/70 mm, biphasic pulse shape, pulse length of 280 µs, and focal area of stimulation measuring 0.68 cm2), controlled by a Mobile Stimulator Unit (eXimia TMS Stimulator, Nexstim Ltd.). Individual TMS intensities (mean ± SEM percentage of maximal stimulator output intensity: 63.67±3.08 and 63.42±3.11 for perilesional and contralesional sites, respectively) were determined according to the guidelines outlined in [17], which incorporated criteria based on real-time EEG feedback via a graphical user interface. Importantly, the same cortical targets and stimulation parameters established during t0 were maintained for follow-up measurements conducted during t1, ensured by the use of a Navigated Brain Stimulation (NBS) system (Nexstim Ltd.).

EEG recordings were conducted using a TMS-compatible 60-channel amplifier (Nexstim Ltd.). The raw EEG signals from each of the 60 recording channels were sampled at 1450 Hz, referenced to the forehead and filtered (hardware filters were set at 0.1 Hz and 350 Hz). In addition, electrooculogram (EOG) was recorded through a bipolar channel placed at the outer canthi of the eyes. Throughout the measurements, patients utilized in-ear earphones to reproduce a masking sound as described by [18], and a thin layer of foam was applied beneath the coil to eliminate sensory inputs, including auditory and somatosensory stimuli.

Data were high-pass filtered (1Hz, zero-phase shift Butterworth, 3rd order), down-sampled to 725Hz and segmented in a time window of ± 600ms around the stimulus. Bad channels (<10% of channels per recording) were interpolated using spherical splines. A comparable number of trials (mean ± SEM retained trials for perilesional and contralesional at t0 and t1: 178.33 ± 7.70, 185.67 ± 11.96, 206.75 ± 9.74, and 204.17 ± 7.80, respectively; $F(1,11)=1.96$, $P=0.18$) were re-referenced to the average reference and baseline corrected. Singular value decomposition (SVD) was applied before independent component analysis (ICA; *runica* EEGLAB routine) to reduce the number of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

independent components to the number of non-interpolated channels. After artifactual components (e.g. eye movements, blinks and muscle activity) were removed (mean ± SEM retained ICA components for perilesional and contralesional at t0 and t1: 19.66 ± 1.90, 22.08 ± 2.63, 24.25 ± 1.83, and 24.33 ± 1.75, respectively; $F(1,11)=1.06$, $P=0.32$), single trials were low-pass filtered (45Hz, zero-phase shift Butterworth, 3rd order).

To assess the presence and the evolution of cortical bistability (i.e. the presence of perilesional slow waves associated with OFF-periods), we quantified the amplitude of TMS-evoked low-frequency (<4Hz) EEG components (SWa) and the modulation of post-stimulus high-frequency (>20Hz) EEG power (HFp) following the same procedures of [13]. For each TMS-EEG measurement, SWa and HFp were averaged over the four channels closest to the stimulation site [13]. Finally, for each TMS-EEG measurement, we assessed the effects of cortical bistability on local cortical interactions causally generated by TMS by applying a generalization of the PCIst method reported in [19] restricted to the same four channels selected for SWa and HFp, and using the same parameters for component selection (max_var = 99%; min_snr = 1.1) and state transition quantification (k = 1.2) as in [19].

Resting-state EEG data recording and analysis

Preceding each TMS-EEG session, a wake spontaneous EEG recording (up to 10 min with eyes open) was performed. Spontaneous EEG data were offline filtered (0.5–80 Hz, zero-phase shift Butterworth, 3rd order). A 50Hz notch filter was also applied for line noise reduction. Continuous data were then split into contiguous 2-s segments. Visually inspected artifactual segments were excluded from the analysis (mean ± SEM retained EEG segments for t0 and t1: 151.5 ± 13.4; 155 ± 4.6, respectively; $W=31$, $P=0.89$). Bad channels were rejected (≤10% of channels per recording) and interpolated using spherical splines. Signals were re-referenced to the average reference, SVD and ICA were applied (mean ± SEM retained ICA components for t0 and t1: 16.5 ± 1.9; 16.5 ± 1.6, respectively; $W=32.5$, $P=0.99$) and power spectral density (PSD) estimates were computed across segments for each channel using the Welch's method (2-s Hanning windows, 50% overlap). For each patient, PSD was averaged across the same channels used for TMS-EEG analysis (four contralesional and four perilesional) and further averaged across bins pertaining to the classical EEG frequency ranges (Delta: 0.5-4 Hz, Theta: 4-8 Hz; Alpha: 8-12 Hz; Beta: 12-20 Hz; Low Gamma: 20-30 Hz; High Gamma: 30-40 Hz). In order to assess the presence of perilesional effects on EEG power, for each frequency band, an asymmetry index was calculated as the ratio of perilesional and contralesional power values. Finally, to assess its evolution over time, the asymmetry index at t0 and t1 have been contrasted for each frequency band. In parallel to the PSD analysis, continuous EEG data were re-referenced according to a longitudinal bipolar montage based on the 10-20 system and visually inspected by a clinical neurophysiologist blind to the anatomical site of the lesion to assess the presence and prevalence of EEG focal abnormalities (Table 2).

Statistical comparisons

For variables characterized by more than one within-subject factor (e.g. SWa, HFp and PCIst), repeated measure ANOVAs were performed with TIME (t0; t1) and SITE (perilesional; contralesional) as within-subject factors. In these cases, after assessing the significance of the interaction effects, planned comparisons were performed to assess the TIME-related changes over both perilesional and contralesional SITE by means of paired t-tests (contrasting to-t1 and perilesional-contralesional sites, respectively). On the other hand, for variables characterized by a single within-subject factor (e.g., NIHSS) Wilcoxon sign-rank tests were performed. Significant

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

correlations between the difference over time of neurophysiological and clinical variables were assessed by Spearman correlation coefficient. For all analyses, significance level (□) was set at 0.05.

Results

All patients showed a significant clinical improvement during the rehabilitation stay, as confirmed by the comparison of their NIHSS scores between t0 and t1 (mean ± SEM: 7.16 ± 0.73 and 4.33 ± 0.74, respectively; W=78, P<0.001; Table 1).

Reduction of perilesional cortical bistability over time

TMS of the perilesional cortical area at t0 was characterized by a local slow EEG response characterized by a prominent slow wave (SWa) with high amplitude (mean ± SEM: 880.16 ± 157.66 µV) and suppression of high-frequency power (HFp) (mean ± SEM: -0.06 ± 0.02 dB), as depicted by the blue traces in Fig. 1B. This response contrasted with contralateral stimulation, which showed lower SWa (mean ± SEM: 246.84 ± 37.77 µV) and higher HFp (mean ± SEM: 0.34 ± 0.08 dB) represented by the red traces in Fig. 1B. Importantly, TMS targeted to the same cortical sites at t1 led to a reduction in both SWa and HFp suppression exclusively for the perilesional site (SWa mean ± SEM: 666.84 ± 143.04 µV; HFp mean ± SEM: 0.09 ± 0.04 dB), with no significant changes observed for the contralesional site (SWa mean ± SEM: 269.83 ± 54.92 µV; HFp mean ± SEM: 0.29 ± 0.11 dB).

These effects were rigorously evaluated through separate repeated-measure ANOVAs. Specifically, for SWa, a significant main effect of SITE ($F(1,11)=11.93$, $P<0.01$) indicated higher values for perilesional stimulations, along with a significant main effect of TIME ($F(1,11)=6.81$, $P=0.02$), indicating a decrease in SWa values at t1. Most importantly, a SITE*TIME interaction effect was also present ($F(1, 11)=6.03$, $P=0.03$). Post-hoc comparisons revealed significantly higher perilesional SWa at t0 ($T(11)=3.78$, $P<0.01$) together with its significant decrease at t1 ($T(11)=3.05$, $P=0.01$) (Fig. 1C).

Similarly, for HFp, a main effect of SITE ($F(1,11)=10.03$, $P<0.01$) indicated lower values for perilesional stimulations, while no main effect of TIME was observed ($F(1, 11)=1.8$, $P=0.21$), suggesting consistent HFp values over the rehabilitation period. Crucially, a significant SITE*TIME interaction effect was detected ($F(1, 11)=5.17$, $P=0.04$), with post-hoc analysis showing lower perilesional HFp at t0 ($T(11)=-4.98$, $P<0.01$) and its recovery at t1 ($T(11)=-3.39$, $P<0.01$) (Fig. 1C).

Of note, the perilesional changes in SWa and HFp at follow-up were proportional as revealed by a significant negative correlation between the two measures (Spearman $\rho=-0.68$, $P=0.0173$; data not shown).

Overall, the results obtained at t0 replicated previous findings [13], showing the presence of the hallmarks of cortical bistability (i.e. the occurrence of a slow wave associated to an OFF-period) which characterizes perilesional TEPs. Crucially, here we show that this local phenomenon was significantly reduced at t1, following a period of rehabilitation.

Recovery of perilesional cortical interactions over time

In order to assess the effects of cortical bistability on local network interactions and their evolution over time, we extracted TEP local signal complexity for both perilesional and contralesional stimulations. We performed a repeated-measure ANOVA and found a significant effect of SITE ($F(1,11)=6.39$, $P=0.02$) showing lower PCIst values for perilesional stimulations. In parallel, we found a non-significant main effect of TIME ($F(1,11)=0.47$, $P=0.50$), reflecting similar PCIst values at the beginning and at the end of rehabilitation stay together with a non- significant SITE*TIME interaction ($F(1,11)=2.273$ $P=0.16$). Post-hoc comparisons revealed lower values for perilesional

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

compared to contralesional stimulated areas at t0 (mean ± SEM: 11.62 ± 0.91 and 18.55 ± 1.98, respectively; T(11)=-2.86, P=0.01). Most important, and in parallel to the reduction of perilesional cortical bistability, we found increased perilesional PCIst values at t1 (mean ± SEM: 14.01 ± 1.70; T(11)=-2.35, P=0.04). On the other hand, contralesional PCIst values did not show significant changes at t1 (mean ± SEM: 17.80 ± 1.37, T(11)=0.38, P=0.71) further supporting the local nature of the observed findings.

Overall, the results obtained at t0 reveal a detrimental effect of perilesional cortical bistability over local network interactions, in line with previous reports ([13]; Fig.2). Most importantly, we showed that such interactions significantly recover over time.

Both the reduction of perilesional cortical bistability and the recovery of cortical interactions are proportional to the patients' clinical improvement

Finally, we investigated the putative link between the evolution of perilesional cortical bistability, its effects on local cortical interactions and the patients' clinical trajectory. As such, we assessed whether the reduction of perilesional cortical bistability (both SWa and HFp) and the recovery of perilesional cortical interactions (PCIst) were proportional to the clinical progression (as measured by NIHSS score) observed in our patients' sample (Fig. 3). We observed a significant correlation between the decrease of SWa and that of NIHSS score (Spearman ρ=0.62, P=0.03). Importantly, NIHSS score change was also correlated to the recovery of cortico-cortical interactions (Spearman ρ=-0.68, P=0.01). No correlation was found between HFp change and NIHSS score change (Spearman ρ=-0.18, P=0.56). Altogether these findings suggest that slow waves and their effects on the functional state of perilesional cortical areas may be relevant to the recovery of behavioral function.

Perilesional spontaneous EEG slowing persist over time

At t0, spontaneous EEG showed the typical lateralized slowing over the affected hemisphere encompassing Delta, Theta, and Alpha frequency bands (W=68, P<0.05; W=71.5, P<0.01; W=64, P<0.05, respectively; one-sample Wilcoxon sign-rank against median=1; Fig. 4). A comparable amount of lateralized EEG slowing was also present at t1, where Delta and Theta frequency bands were still found significantly increased (W=65.5, P<0.05; W=69, P<0.05, respectively; one-sample Wilcoxon sign-rank against median=1; Fig. 4). The persistence of a lateralized slowing over time was further substantiated by the lack of significant difference in the asymmetry index values between t0 and t1 for Delta and Theta bands (p>0.05; Fig. 4).

Discussion

In this study, we presented novel neurophysiological evidence of the relationship between the intrusion of sleep-like cortical bistability during wakefulness and the clinical status of patients affected by focal ischemic brain injuries. Our findings established significant correlations between the patients' clinical evolution and the changes in cortical reactivity in the perilesional areas; as the patients' behavioral functions exhibited improvement, we observed a corresponding reduction in both the prevalence of cortical bistability and its associated circuit effects.

Perilesional sleep-like dynamics: putative mechanisms and reversibility

Recent works showed that focal structural injuries can induce local sleep□like bistability in the perilesional area during wakefulness [13]. Here, we replicated these findings and observed the occurrence of sleep-like cortical dynamics at t0 (Fig.1). The tendency of cortical neurons to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

transiently fall into an OFF-period may be attributed to metabolic [20] and inflammatory [21, 22] processes that typically arise in the spare tissue surrounding the lesion. In addition to these, lesions and disconnections may lead to bistability through the alteration in neuromodulation and excitation/inhibition balance. For example, lesions interrupting ascending activating fibers may locally engage sleep-like dynamics by enhancing activity-dependent adaptation mechanisms [23, 24] in cortical neurons. On the other hand, the disruption of lateral excitatory connectivity may lead to disfacilitation [25, 26] and to the prevalence of local inhibitory influences [27–29] in perilesional areas.

Irrespective of the combination of factors leading to perilesional bistability, the occurrence of OFF-periods may add on top of the effect of structural lesions contributing to the disruption of functional network activity [11, 13, 30]. Here we confirm this effect by showing that the intrusion of sleep-like dynamics was associated with the disruption of local cortical interactions (Fig. 2).

The key finding of this study, however, is that perilesional cortical bistability (Fig. 1) and the associated effects (Fig. 2) can be reversible. While cortical sleep-like dynamics and their network consequences are known to be reversible upon awakening from physiological sleep and several forms of general anesthesia [31–34], initial evidence in severely brain-injured patients suggests reversibility also in pathological conditions [11, 30]. Substantiating such possibility, it is noteworthy that all the mechanisms involved in the generation of bistability after brain injury are known to undergo dynamic changes during stroke recovery. Specifically, inflammatory and metabolic changes reduce their influence over time [35]. In parallel, excitation/inhibition balance readjustments [36, 37] support long-term plastic changes following brain injury. Finally, the integrity of ascending activating systems, such as the cholinergic basal forebrain, has been associated with post-stroke recovery [38].

Linking perilesional sleep-like dynamics with behavior

In this work, we showed that the prevalence of perilesional cortical sleep-like dynamics and their network consequences was found proportional to the patient's clinical status as measured by the NIHSS score (Fig.3). These findings are consistent with a growing body of literature demonstrating that the local intrusion of cortical bistability within the awake brain can lead to selective impairments in perception, motor function, and cognition. For instance, studies in sleep-deprived rats [39] and humans [40] demonstrated that local slow waves and cortical OFF-periods over specific cortices predict transient failures in motor and attentional tasks, respectively. More recently, human high-density EEG recordings have provided further evidence that the topography of local bistability during prolonged wakefulness maps specific performance impairment. For example, sleep-like EEG dynamics over the frontal and parietal cortex predict poor impulse control and visuomotor performance, respectively [41]. Similarly, in a study involving a sustained attention task during daytime wakefulness, the occurrence of frontal slow waves was specifically associated with a higher rate of false alarms, while posterior slow waves were associated with slower reaction times and misses [42]. Although outside of the realm of brain injuries, these studies support the existence of a causal relationship between the pathological presence of cortical bistability and the severity of cognitive/motor deficits also following focal brain injuries [14] as confirmed by our findings. Further supporting this view is the evidence from longitudinal TMS-EEG measurements in severe brain-injured patients which revealed a progressive reduction of sleep-like dynamics and the recovery of complex network interactions paralleling [11], and occasionally heralding [30, 43], the recovery of consciousness and behavioral responsiveness.

Observational vs perturbational measures

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Our results suggest that employing TMS-EEG can effectively uncover clinically relevant associations in cortical activity that may not be apparent through spontaneous EEG measures alone.

First, in parallel to the alterations in cortical reactivity, we observed a significant increase of delta and theta EEG activity over the perilesional site at t0 (Fig. 4). This result is in line with the typical EEG pattern found in unilateral focal brain injuries [10, 44–46]. At the same time, we confirm previous evidence [13] that direct cortical perturbations with TMS can systematically reveal the presence of local OFF-periods beyond the prevalence of lateralized slow waves in the spontaneous EEG (Table 2). Most importantly, our study revealed a partial normalization of perilesional evoked EEG patterns at t1, which was found proportional to the of patients' clinical evolution (Fig. 3). Interestingly, this normalization was not reflected in spontaneous EEG, which continued to exhibit sustained perilesional delta and theta power (Fig. 4).

The dissociation between TMS-elicited patterns and spontaneous EEG findings at t1 aligns with previous research indicating that TMS can reveal complex patterns of interactions consistent with wakefulness despite concurrent high EEG delta power [32, 47, 48]. This discrepancy may reflect the possibility that, in some cases, local slow wave generators may have a strong influence on the spontaneous scalp EEG without significantly altering neuronal interactions as explored by TMS-EEG [49]. For example, rodent studies show that layer-specific slow waves can be observed in superficial neurons during REM sleep [50]. However, probing the cortex during REM sleep with either electrical or TMS perturbations in both rodents [33] and humans [51] typically unveil preserved cortico-cortical interactions despite the presence of delta and theta activity in the spontaneous EEG. An intriguing possibility is that similar layer-specific mechanisms may also explain the dissociation between observational and perturbational measurements upon recovery from stroke.

Outstanding questions and future directions

In this work, we examined the progression of perilesional sleep-like cortical dynamics intrusion following unilateral subacute ischemic stroke with cortical involvement. This represents a first systematic attempt in describing the relationship between the occurrence of perilesional slow waves, the associated disruption of cortical interactions and their effects on behavior. Many relevant questions remain unexplored and warrant attention in future studies.

For instance, during the acute phase, slow waves may initially be neuroprotective contributing to anatomical reorganization through axonal sprouting [52], reducing metabolic costs, alleviating excitotoxicity, and mitigating extracellular compartment alterations [53, 54] particularly in perilesional areas. Complementing our findings with longitudinal measurements encompassing the acute phase will therefore be pivotal in elucidating the intricate interactions between slow waves and their consequences across various disease stages.

Previous research has demonstrated that post-lesion electrophysiological alterations propagate to distant cortical regions structurally connected to the primary injury site [12]. Leveraging a-priori knowledge about the precise anatomical connectivity of the tissue affected by the focal insult may allow probing the reactivity of distant cortical targets with TMS and tracking its evolution over time. This will provide a more complete description of the large-scale effect of focal lesions. In line with this notion, a recent case report demonstrated regional sleep-like cortical alterations identified through TMS-EEG in cortical areas remote from subcortical lesions [55]. Remarkably, these alterations were spatially predicted by maps of structural disconnection derived from MRI-derived quantitative estimates [56] which identified a subset of cortical areas most connected to the subcortical damage [57].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

These, together with our findings, align with the classical concept of diaschisis [2], where functional alterations in intact circuits not directly involved by structural lesions contribute to cognitive/motor impairments and recovery.

In parallel, the systematic exploration of the link between the presence of local perilesional sleep-like cortical dynamics and their behavioral consequences would ultimately require coupling longitudinal measurements similar to those performed in our study with appropriate domain-specific quantitative clinical assessments beyond the sheer evaluation of neurological impairment derived from the NIHSS scores. This would allow assessing the influence of local cortical functional alterations on specific cognitive/motor domains and track their parallel evolution. Also, testing specific rehabilitation regimes and contrasting them with appropriate study designs would allow exploring their effectiveness in reducing sleep-like cortical dynamics and fostering recovery of function. Finally, introducing external interventions specifically aimed at manipulating adaptation mechanisms [23] and/or reinstating a correct excitation/inhibition balance [36] may further strengthen the link between the observed reduction of pathological sleep-like cortical dynamics and patients' recovery of function. To date, evidence suggests that various tools including drug administration, opto-pharmacology, optogenetics, transcranial electrical stimulation, and repetitive TMS can modulate slow electrophysiological transients in simplified and in vivo experimental models [58–60].

Altogether, our findings highlight TMS-EEG as an objective measure of neurological evolution and suggest targeting sleep-like cortical dynamics as a viable strategy for neuromodulation and rehabilitation post-stroke. This approach offers promising avenues for enhancing recovery through interventions aimed at pathological cortical states.

References:

1. Falcon MI, Riley JD, Jirsa V, et al (2016) Functional Mechanisms of Recovery after Chronic Stroke: Modeling with the Virtual Brain. eNeuro 3:ENEURO.0158-15.2016. https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0158-15.2016

2. von Monakow C (1914) DIe lokalisation im grosshirn und der abbau der funktion durch kortikale herde. JAMA LXIII:797–797. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1914.02570090083033

3. Levasseur M, Baron JC, Sette G, et al (1992) Brain energy metabolism in bilateral paramedian thalamic infarcts. A positron emission tomography study. Brain 115 (Pt 3):795–807. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/115.3.795

4. Hillis AE, Wityk RJ, Barker PB, et al (2002) Subcortical aphasia and neglect in acute stroke: the role of cortical hypoperfusion. Brain 125:1094–1104. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awf113

5. Finger S, Koehler PJ, Jagella C (2004) The Monakow concept of diaschisis: origins and perspectives. Arch Neurol 61:283–288. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.61.2.283

6. Griffis JC, Metcalf NV, Corbetta M, Shulman GL (2019) Structural Disconnections Explain Brain Network Dysfunction after Stroke. Cell Rep 28:2527-2540.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.07.100

7. Griffis JC, Metcalf NV, Corbetta M, Shulman GL (2020) Damage to the shortest structural paths between brain regions is associated with disruptions of resting-state functional connectivity after stroke. Neuroimage 210:116589. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116589

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

8. Siegel JS, Ramsey LE, Snyder AZ, et al (2016) Disruptions of network connectivity predict impairment in multiple behavioral domains after stroke. PNAS 113:E4367–E4376. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1521083113

9. Siegel JS, Seitzman BA, Ramsey LE, et al (2018) Re-emergence of modular brain networks in stroke recovery. Cortex 101:44–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2017.12.019

10. Walter WG (1937) The Electro-encephalogram in Cases of Cerebral Tumour: (Section of Neurology). Proc R Soc Med 30:579–598

11. Rosanova M, Fecchio M, Casarotto S, et al (2018) Sleep-like cortical OFF-periods disrupt causality and complexity in the brain of unresponsive wakefulness syndrome patients. Nat Commun 9:4427. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06871-1

12. Russo S, Pigorini A, Mikulan E, et al (2021) Focal lesions induce large-scale percolation of sleep-like intracerebral activity in awake humans. NeuroImage 234:117964. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.117964

13. Sarasso S, D'Ambrosio S, Fecchio M, et al (2020) Local sleep-like cortical reactivity in the awake brain after focal injury. Brain 143:3672–3684. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa338

14. Tscherpel C, Dern S, Hensel L, et al (2020) Brain responsivity provides an individual readout for motor recovery after stroke. Brain 143:1873–1888. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa127

15. Brott T, Adams HP, Olinger CP, et al (1989) Measurements of acute cerebral infarction: a clinical examination scale. Stroke 20:864–870. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.str.20.7.864

16. Fecchio M, Pigorini A, Comanducci A, et al (2017) The spectral features of EEG responses to transcranial magnetic stimulation of the primary motor cortex depend on the amplitude of the motor evoked potentials. PLoS One 12:e0184910. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184910

17. Casarotto S, Fecchio M, Rosanova M, et al (2022) The rt-TEP tool: real-time visualization of TMS-Evoked Potentials to maximize cortical activation and minimize artifacts. J Neurosci Methods 370:109486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2022.109486

18. Russo S, Sarasso S, Puglisi GE, et al (2022) TAAC - TMS Adaptable Auditory Control: A universal tool to mask TMS clicks. J Neurosci Methods 370:109491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2022.109491

19. Comolatti R, Pigorini A, Casarotto S, et al (2019) A fast and general method to empirically estimate the complexity of brain responses to transcranial and intracranial stimulations. Brain Stimul 12:1280–1289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2019.05.013

20. Sun H, Feng Z (2013) Neuroprotective role of ATP-sensitive potassium channels in cerebral ischemia. Acta Pharmacol Sin 34:24–32. https://doi.org/10.1038/aps.2012.138

21. Gerhard A, Neumaier B, Elitok E, et al (2000) In vivo imaging of activated microglia using [11C]PK11195 and positron emission tomography in patients after ischemic stroke. Neuroreport 11:2957–2960. https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-200009110-00025

22. Block F, Dihné M, Loos M (2005) Inflammation in areas of remote changes following focal brain lesion. Prog Neurobiol 75:342–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2005.03.004

23. Cattani A, Galluzzi A, Fecchio M, et al (2023) Adaptation Shapes Local Cortical Reactivity: From Bifurcation Diagram and Simulations to Human Physiological and Pathological

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Responses. eNeuro 10:ENEURO.0435-22.2023. https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0435-22.2023

24. Sanchez-Vives MV, Massimini M, Mattia M (2017) Shaping the Default Activity Pattern of the Cortical Network. Neuron 94:993–1001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.05.015

25. Schwindt PC, Spain WJ, Crill WE (1989) Long-lasting reduction of excitability by a sodium-dependent potassium current in cat neocortical neurons. J Neurophysiol 61:233–244. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1989.61.2.233

26. McCormick DA, Williamson A (1989) Convergence and divergence of neurotransmitter action in human cerebral cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 86:8098–8102. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.20.8098

27. Funk CM, Peelman K, Bellesi M, et al (2017) Role of Somatostatin-Positive Cortical Interneurons in the Generation of Sleep Slow Waves. J Neurosci 37:9132–9148. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1303-17.2017

28. Zucca S, D'Urso G, Pasquale V, et al (2017) An inhibitory gate for state transition in cortex. Elife 6:. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26177

29. Zielinski MR, Atochin DN, McNally JM, et al (2019) Somatostatin+/nNOS+ neurons are involved in delta electroencephalogram activity and cortical-dependent recognition memory. Sleep 42:zsz143. https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsz143

30. Rosanova M, Gosseries O, Casarotto S, et al (2012) Recovery of cortical effective connectivity and recovery of consciousness in vegetative patients. Brain 135:1308–1320. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awr340

31. Massimini M, Ferrarelli F, Huber R, et al (2005) Breakdown of cortical effective connectivity during sleep. Science 309:2228–2232. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1117256

32. Sarasso S, Boly M, Napolitani M, et al (2015) Consciousness and Complexity during Unresponsiveness Induced by Propofol, Xenon, and Ketamine. Curr Biol 25:3099–3105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.10.014

33. Cavelli ML, Mao R, Findlay G, et al (2023) Sleep/wake changes in perturbational complexity in rats and mice. iScience 26:106186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.106186

34. Arena A, Comolatti R, Thon S, et al (2021) General Anesthesia Disrupts Complex Cortical Dynamics in Response to Intracranial Electrical Stimulation in Rats. eNeuro 8:ENEURO.0343-20.2021. https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0343-20.2021

35. D'Anna L, Searle G, Harvey K, et al (2023) Time course of neuroinflammation after human stroke - a pilot study using co-registered PET and MRI. BMC Neurol 23:193. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12883-023-03178-7

36. Clarkson AN, Huang BS, Macisaac SE, et al (2010) Reducing excessive GABA-mediated tonic inhibition promotes functional recovery after stroke. Nature 468:305–309. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09511

37. Clarkson AN, Overman JJ, Zhong S, et al (2011) AMPA receptor-induced local brain-derived neurotrophic factor signaling mediates motor recovery after stroke. J Neurosci 31:3766–3775. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5780-10.2011

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

38. O'Sullivan MJ, Oestreich LKL, Wright P, Clarkson AN (2022) Cholinergic and hippocampal systems facilitate cross-domain cognitive recovery after stroke. Brain 145:1698–1710. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awac070

39. Vyazovskiy VV, Olcese U, Hanlon EC, et al (2011) Local sleep in awake rats. Nature 472:443–447. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10009

40. Nir Y, Andrillon T, Marmelshtein A, et al (2017) Selective neuronal lapses precede human cognitive lapses following sleep deprivation. Nat Med 23:1474–1480. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4433

41. Bernardi G, Siclari F, Yu X, et al (2015) Neural and behavioral correlates of extended training during sleep deprivation in humans: evidence for local, task-specific effects. J Neurosci 35:4487–4500. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4567-14.2015

42. Andrillon T, Burns A, Mackay T, et al (2021) Predicting lapses of attention with sleep-like slow waves. Nat Commun 12:3657. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23890-7

43. Comanducci A, Casarotto S, Rosanova M, et al (2023) Unconsciousness or unresponsiveness in akinetic mutism? Insights from a multimodal longitudinal exploration. Eur J Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.15994

44. Nuwer MR, Jordan SE, Ahn SS (1987) Evaluation of stroke using EEG frequency analysis and topographic mapping. Neurology 37:1153–1159. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.37.7.1153

45. Macdonell RA, Donnan GA, Bladin PF, et al (1988) The electroencephalogram and acute ischemic stroke. Distinguishing cortical from lacunar infarction. Arch Neurol 45:520–524. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.1988.00520290048013

46. Gloor P, Ball G, Schaul N (1977) Brain lesions that produce delta waves in the EEG. Neurology 27:326–333. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.27.4.326

47. Darmani G, Nieminen JO, Bergmann TO, et al (2021) A degraded state of consciousness in healthy awake humans? Brain Stimul 14:710–712. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2021.04.012

48. Casarotto S, Hassan G, Rosanova M, et al (2024) Dissociations between spontaneous electroencephalographic features and the perturbational complexity index in the minimally conscious state. Eur J Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.16299

49. Frohlich J, Toker D, Monti MM (2021) Consciousness among delta waves: a paradox? Brain 144:2257–2277. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awab095

50. Funk CM, Honjoh S, Rodriguez AV, et al (2016) Local Slow Waves in Superficial Layers of Primary Cortical Areas during REM Sleep. Curr Biol 26:396–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.062

51. Massimini M, Ferrarelli F, Murphy M, et al (2010) Cortical reactivity and effective connectivity during REM sleep in humans. Cogn Neurosci 1:176–183. https://doi.org/10.1080/17588921003731578

52. Carmichael ST, Chesselet M-F (2002) Synchronous neuronal activity is a signal for axonal sprouting after cortical lesions in the adult. J Neurosci 22:6062–6070. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-14-06062.2002

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

53. Hussain R, Tithof J, Wang W, et al (2023) Potentiating glymphatic drainage minimizes post-traumatic cerebral oedema. Nature 623:992–1000. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06737-7

54. Mestre H, Du T, Sweeney AM, et al (2020) Cerebrospinal fluid influx drives acute ischemic tissue swelling. Science 367:eaax7171. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax7171

55. D'Ambrosio S, Certo F, Bernardelli L, et al (2023) Detecting cortical reactivity alterations induced by structural disconnection in subcortical stroke. Clin Neurophysiol 156:1–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2023.09.007

56. Foulon C, Cerliani L, Kinkingnéhun S, et al (2018) Advanced lesion symptom mapping analyses and implementation as BCBtoolkit. Gigascience 7:1–17. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giy004

57. Salvalaggio A, De Filippo De Grazia M, Zorzi M, et al (2020) Post-stroke deficit prediction from lesion and indirect structural and functional disconnection. Brain 143:2173–2188. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa156

58. Fehér KD, Wunderlin M, Maier JG, et al (2021) Shaping the slow waves of sleep: A systematic and integrative review of sleep slow wave modulation in humans using non-invasive brain stimulation. Sleep Med Rev 58:101438. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2021.101438

59. D'Andola M, Giulioni M, Dante V, et al (2019) Control of cortical oscillatory frequency by a closed-loop system. J Neuroeng Rehabil 16:7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-018-0470-z

60. Barbero-Castillo A, Riefolo F, Matera C, et al (2021) Control of Brain State Transitions with a Photoswitchable Muscarinic Agonist. Adv Sci (Weinh) 8:e2005027. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202005027

61. Hirsch LJ, Fong MWK, Leitinger M, et al (2021) American Clinical Neurophysiology Society's Standardized Critical Care EEG Terminology: 2021 Version. J Clin Neurophysiol 38:1–29. https://doi.org/10.1097/WNP.0000000000000806

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figures and Tables:



Figure 1. Reduction of cortical bistability over time. A. Coronal slice and cortical targets (here, for illustrative purposes Brodmann Area 7) as estimated by the Navigated Brain Stimulation (NBS) system (blue and red dots indicate the perilesional and contralesional TMS targets, respectively) are shown for a representative patient (Patient 7). The yellow shade highlights lesion location. Topographic EEG channel layout is shown at the bottom, where orange triangles indicate TMS targets and colored dots indicate the four channels closest to TMS for both perilesional (blue) and contralesional (red) stimulations. **B.** Butterfly plot of TEPs recorded at all 60 electrodes (traces) after TMS at t0 (left) and t1 (right) from the perilesional (top row) and contralesional areas (bottom row). For each, a dashed vertical line marks the occurrence of TMS. Event-related spectral power (ERSP) is presented below each butterfly plot for the EEG electrode (colored trace) with the largest early response, selected among the four channels closest to TMS. In the ERSP plot, significance for bootstrap statistics is set at a = 0.05 (absence of any significant difference from baseline spectrum is colored in green). Statistically significant increases of power compared to baseline are colored in yellow/red, while blue represents significant power decreases. **C.** Box plots and individual values of Slow Wave amplitude (SWa) and High Frequency power (HFp) calculated for the perilesional (top) and contralesional (bottom) stimulation sites. Gray dots represent individual patient values. Each box plot displays the median (blue line for perilesional and red line for contralesional sites, respectively) while the bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. Whiskers identify the non-outlier extreme data points while outliers are individual gray dots outside whiskers. Asterisks represent statistical significance ($P<0.05$).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 2. Recovery of perilesional cortical interactions over time. Local signal complexity calculated at t0 and t1 for perilesional (left) and contralesional (right) stimulation sites. Gray dots represent individual patient values. Each box plot displays the median (blue line for perilesional and red line for contralesional sites, respectively) while the bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. Whiskers identify the non-outlier extreme data points. Outliers are individual gray dots outside whiskers. Asterisks represent statistical significance (P<0.05).



Fig 3. The reduction of perilesional cortical bistability and the recovery of cortical interactions are proportional to the patients' clinical evolution A. Correlation between the change (t1-t0) in NIHSS score and perilesional SWa **B.** Correlation between the change (t1-t0) in NIHSS score and perilesional PCIst values. Gray dots represent individual patient values.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig 4. Spontaneous EEG quantitative analysis A. Power spectral density (PSD) for perilesional and contralesional sites at t0 and t1. For each patient, individual PSD (gray traces) calculated over the same four channels used for TMS-EEG analysis together with average PSD across patients (contralesional, red; perilesional, blue). **B.** Asymmetry index represents the ratio between the perilesional and contralesional PSD values averaged across bins pertaining to classical EEG frequency ranges (Delta: 0.5-4 Hz, Theta: 4-8 Hz; Alpha: 8-12 Hz; Beta: 12-20 Hz; Low Gamma: 20-30 Hz; High Gamma: 30-40 Hz) calculated for t0 and t1 separately. Gray dots represent individual values. Each box plot displays the median (purple line) while the bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. Whiskers identify the non-outlier extreme data points. Outliers are individual gray dots outside whiskers.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Patient number	Gender	Age range (years)	Disease duration at t0 (months)	Time between t0 and t1 (months)	NIHSS at t0	NIHSS at t1	Lesion		Lesion volume (cm^3)	Perilesional stimulation site	Contralesional stimulation site
							Side	Location			
1	Male	71-75	2.03	1.4	3	1	Right	Occipital	24.6	Right BA18	Left BA18
2	Male	81-85	1.63	0.56	5	3	Left	Parieto - temporal	0.64	Left BA4	Right BA4
3	Male	71-75	0.5	1.93	8	3	Right	Fronto - temporo - insular	57.17	Right BA6	Left BA6
4	Male	61-65	1.06	4.26	6	3	Right	Parieto - occipital	67.73	Right BA7	Left BA7
5	Male	66-70	0.53	1.5	9	4	Right	Parasagittal fronto - parietal	23.63	Right BA4	Left BA4
6	Female	61-65	0.9	1.63	12	10	Right	Fronto - parietal	113.85	Right BA7	Left BA7
7	Female	76-80	1.73	0.7	5	2	Left	Pre - central gyrus	17.81	Left BA7	Right BA7
8	Female	36-40	17.83	10.1	7	5	Left	Cortical MCA branches	132.9	Left BA6	Right BA6
9	Female	56-60	1.63	1.26	10	6	Left	Fronto - insular	55.8	Left BA6	Right BA6
10	Male	71-75	2.83	2.6	7	6	Right	Fronto - parietal	38.3	Right BA4	Left BA4
11	Female	71-75	3.03	7	5	2	Left	Cortical MCA branches	33.5	Left BA6	Right BA6
12	Male	71-75	1	1.4	9	7	Right	Fronto - parietal	79.25	Right BA1	Left BA1

Table 1. Patients' demographic and clinical data. NIHSS: NIH Stroke Scale. BA: Brodmann Area

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.16.24304272; this version posted March 16, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

	Focal abnormalities at t0			**Focal abnormalities at t1**		
Patient number	**Side**	**Prevalence**	**Dominant frequency band**	**Side**	**Prevalence**	**Dominant frequency band**
1	Right	Frequent (10-49%)	Delta	Right	Frequent (10-49%)	Delta
2	Left	Frequent (10-49%)	Theta	Left	Occasional 1-9%	Theta
3	Right	Abundant (50-89%)	Delta	Right	Frequent (10-49%)	Delta
4	Right	Occasional 1-9%	Theta	Right	Occasional 1-9%	Theta
5	Right	Abundant (50-89%)	Theta + minor Delta	Right	Abundant (50-89%)	Theta + minor Delta
6	Right	Abundant (50-89%)	Delta	Right	Abundant (50-89%)	Delta
7	Left	Abundant (50-89%)	Theta + minor Delta	Left	Frequent (10-49%)	Theta
8	Left	Frequent (10-49%)	Delta	Left	Frequent (10-49%)	Delta
9	Left	Frequent (10-49%)	Delta	Left	Frequent (10-49%)	Theta
10	Right	Abundant (50-89%)	Theta + minor Delta	Right	Frequent (10-49%)	Theta
11	Left	Frequent (10-49%)	Delta	Left	Abundant (50-89%)	Theta + minor Delta
12	Right	Abundant (50-89%)	Delta	Right	Frequent (10-49%)	Delta

Table 2. Spontaneous EEG clinical assessment. Continuous raw EEG data were re-referenced using a longitudinal bipolar clinical montage, based on a subset of 19 channels according to the 10-20 system. Clinical EEG recordings were then visually inspected by an expert clinical neurophysiologist, who was blind to the anatomical site of the lesion, to identify any focal EEG abnormalities and their prevalence (expressed as a percentage of the total recording length), in accordance with a standardized recommended critical care terminology [61].