medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Genome-wide association study identifies 30 obsessive-compulsive disorder associated loci

Strom, Nora, I. [1, 2, 3, 4 *]; Gerring, Zachary, F. [5, 6 *] ; Galimberti, Marco [7, 8 *]; Yu, Dongmei [9, 10 *]; Halvorsen, Matthew, W. [11]; Abdellaoui, Abdel [12]; Rodriguez-Fontenla, Cristina [13, 14]; Sealock, Julia, M. [15]; Bigdeli, Tim [16, 17]; Coleman, Jonathan, R. [18, 19]; Mahjani, Behrang [20, 21]; Thorp, Jackson, G. [22, 23]; Bey, Katharina [24]; Burton, Christie, L. [25]; Luykx, Jurjen, J. [26, 27]; Zai, Gwyneth [28, 29]; Alemany, Silvia [30, 31, 32]; Andre, Christine [33]; Askland, Kathleen, D. [34]; Banaj, Nerisa [35]; Barlassina, Cristina [36]; Becker Nissen, Judith [37, 38]; Bienvenu, O. Joseph [39]; Black, Donald [40]; Bloch, Michael, H. [41]; Boberg, Julia [3]; Børte, Sigrid [42, 43, 44]; Bosch, Rosa [45, 46]; Breen, Michael [47, 48, 49]; Brennan, Brian, P. [33, 50]; Brentani, Helena [51]; Buxbaum, Joseph, D. [20]; Bybjerg-Grauholm, Jonas [52]; Byrne, Enda, M. [53]; Cabana-Dominguez, Judit [30, 31, 32]; Camarena, Beatriz [54]; Camarena, Adrian [55]; Cappi, Carolina [56, 57]; Carracedo, Angel [58, 59, 60]; Casas, Miguel [61, 62]; Cavallini, Maria Cristina [63]; Ciullo, Valentina [35]; Cook, Edwin, H. [64]; Crosby, Jesse [33, 50]; Cullen, Bernadette , A. [65, 66]; De Schipper, Elles, J. [3]; Delorme, Richard [67]; Djurovic, Srdjan [44, 68]; Elias, Jason, A. [69, 70]; Estivill, Xavier [71]; Falkenstein, Martha, J. [33, 50]; Fundin, Bengt, T. [72]; Garner, Lauryn [33]; German, Chris [73]; Gironda, Christina [33]; Goes, Fernando, S. [74]; Grados, Marco, A. [75]; Grove, Jakob [4, 76, 77, 78]; Guo, Wei [79]; Haavik, Jan [80, 81]; Hagen, Kristen [82, 83, 84]; Harrington, Kelly [85, 86]; Havdahl, Alexandra [87, 88]; Höffler, Kira, D. [68, 89, 90]; Hounie, Ana, G. [91]; Hucks, Donald [92]; Hultman, Christina [21]; Janecka, Magdalena [93, 94]; Jenike, Eric [33]; Karlsson, Elinor, K. [95, 96]; Kelley, Kara [33]; Klawohn, Julia [1, 97]; Krasnow, Janice, E. [98]; Krebs, Kristi [99]; Lange, Christoph [100, 101]; Lanzagorta, Nuria [102]; Levey, Daniel [103, 104]; Lindblad-Toh, Kerstin [105, 106]; Macciardi, Fabio [107]; Maher, Brion [108]; Mathes, Brittany [33]; McArthur, Evonne [109]; McGregor, Nathaniel [110]; McLaughlin, Nicole, C. [111, 112]; Meier, Sandra [113]; Miguel, Euripedes, C. [114]; Mulhern, Maureen [24]; Nestadt, Paul, S. [115]; Nurmi, Erika, L. [116]; O'Connell, Kevin, S. [117, 118]; Osiecki, Lisa [119, 120]; Ousdal, Olga Therese [80, 121]; Palviainen, Teemu [122]; Pedersen, Nancy, L. [21]; Piras, Fabrizio [35]; Piras, Federica [123]; Potluri, Sriramya [33]; Rabionet, Raquel [124, 125, 126]; Ramirez, Alfredo [127, 128, 129, 130, 131]; Rauch, Scott [132]; Reichenberg, Abraham [133]; Riddle, Mark, A. [134]; Ripke, Stephan [135, 136, 137]; Rosário, Maria, C. [138]; Sampaio, Aline, S. [139]; Schiele, Miriam, A. [140]; Skogholt, Anne Heidi [141]; Sloofman, Laura G Sloofman, G. [20]; Smit, Jan [142]; Soler Artigas, María [30, 31, 32, 143]; Thomas, Laurent, F. [144, 145, 146, 147]; Tifft, Eric [33]; Vallada, Homero [148, 149]; van Kirk, Nathanial [150, 151]; Veenstra-VanderWeele, Jeremy [152, 153]; Vulink, Nienke, N. [154]; Walker, Christopher, P. [155]; Wang, Ying [156]; Wendland, Jens, R. [157]; Winsvold, Bendik, S. [42, 158, 159]; Yao, Yin [160]; Zhou, Hang [161, 162, 163]; 23andMe Research Team [73]; VA Million Veteran Program; Estonian Biobank; CoGa research team; iPSYCH; HUNT research team; NORDiC research team; Agrawal, Arpana [164]; Alonso, Pino [165, 166, 167, 168]; Berberich, Götz [169]; Bucholz, Kathleen, K. [170]; Bulik, Cynthia, M. [171, 21, 172]; Cath, Danielle [173, 174]; Denys, Damiaan [175]; Eapen, Valsamma [176, 177]; Edenberg, Howard [178]; Falkai, Peter [179, 180]; Fernandez, Thomas, V. [181]; Fyer, Abby, J. [182, 183]; Gaziano, J, M. [184, 185]; Geller, Dan, A. [186, 50]; Grabe, Hans, J. [187]; Greenberg, Benjamin, D. [188, 189, 190]; Hanna, Gregory, L. [191]; Hickie, Ian, B. [192]; Hougaard, David, M. [52, 76]; Kathmann, Norbert [1]; Kennedy, James [29]; Lai, Dongbing [193]; Landén, Mikael [21, 194]; Le Hellard, Stéphanie [195, 68]; Leboyer, Marion [196]; Lochner, Christine [197]; McCracken, James, T. [116]; Medland, Sarah, E. [198]; Mortensen, Preben, B. [76, 199, 200]; Neale, Benjamin, M. [201, 202]; Nicolini, Humberto [203, 204]; Nordentoft, Merete [205, 206]; Pato, Michele [207]; Pato, Carlos [207]; Pauls, David, L. [50]; Piacentini, John [208]; Pittenger, Christopher [209]; Posthuma, Danielle [210, 211]; Ramos-Quiroga, Josep Antoni [212, 213, 214, 215]; Rasmussen, Steven, A. [111]; Richter, Margaret, A. [216, 29]; Rosenberg, David, R. [217]; Ruhrmann, Stephan [218]; Samuels, Jack, F. [219]; Sandin, Sven [21, 20]; Sandor, Paul [29]; Spalletta, Gianfranco [35, 220]; Stein, Dan, J. [221]; Stewart, S. Evelyn [222, 223, 224]; Storch, Eric, A. [225]; Stranger, Barbara, E. [226, 227]; Turiel, Maurizio [228]; Werge, Thomas [229, 230]; Andreassen, Ole, A. [231, 232]; Børglum, Anders, D. 4, [233, 234]; Walitza, Susanne [235, 236, 237]; Hveem, Kristian [159, 238, 239]; Hansen, Bjarne, K. [240, 241]; Rück, Christian, P. [3]; Martin, Nicholas, G. [242]; Milani, Lili [99]; Mors, Ole [243]; Reichborn-Kjennerud, Ted [244, 245]; Ribasés, Marta [30, 246, 32, 143]; Kvale, Gerd [83, 247]; Mataix-Cols, David [3]; Domschke, Katharina [248, 249]; Grünblatt, Edna [250, 236, 237]; Wagner, Michael [251]; Zwart, John-Anker [252, 159]; Breen, Gerome [253]; Nestadt, Gerald [115]; Kaprio, Jaakko [254]; Arnold, Paul, D. [255, 256]; Grice, Dorothy, E. [20]; Knowles, James, A. [257]; Ask, Helga [258, 259]; Verweij, Karin, J. [154]; Davis, Lea, K. [260]; Smit, Dirk, J. [261]; Crowley, James, J. [11, 171, 3]; Scharf, Jeremiah, M. [119, 136 **]; Stein, Murray, B. [262, 263 **]; Gelernter, Joel [264, 265 **]; Mathews, Carol, A. [266 **]; Derks, Eske, M. [267 **]; Mattheisen, Manuel [268, 4, 2 **]

*: contributed equally to this work **: jointly supervised this work

Affiliations can be found at the end of the document.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Corresponding authors:

Nora I. Strom, Department of Psychology, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany. nora.strom@hu-berlin.de

Manuel Mattheisen, Department of Community Health and Epidemiology and Faculty of Computer Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada. manuel.mattheisen@dal.ca

Abstract

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) affects ~1% of the population and exhibits a high SNP-heritability, yet previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have provided limited information on the genetic etiology and underlying biological mechanisms of the disorder. We conducted a GWAS meta-analysis combining 53,660 OCD cases and 2,044,417 controls from 28 European-ancestry cohorts revealing 30 independent genome-wide significant SNPs and a SNP-based heritability of 6.7%. Separate GWAS for clinical, biobank, comorbid, and self-report sub-groups found no evidence of sample ascertainment impacting our results. Functional and positional QTL gene-based approaches identified 249 significant candidate risk genes for OCD, of which 25 were identified as putatively causal, highlighting *WDR6*, *DALRD3*, *CTNND1* and genes in the MHC region. Tissue and single-cell enrichment analyses highlighted hippocampal and cortical excitatory neurons, along with D1- and D2-type dopamine receptor-containing medium spiny neurons, as playing a role in OCD risk. OCD displayed significant genetic correlations with 65 out of 112 examined phenotypes. Notably, it showed positive genetic correlations with all included psychiatric phenotypes, in particular anxiety, depression, anorexia nervosa, and Tourette syndrome, and negative correlations with a subset of the included autoimmune disorders, educational attainment, and body mass index.. This study marks a significant step toward unraveling its genetic landscape and advances understanding of OCD genetics, providing a foundation for future interventions to address this debilitating disorder.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is a chronic psychiatric illness that affects approximately 1% of the population (Fawcett, 2020) and is characterized by obsessions and compulsions that vary in type and severity as well as over time across individuals. OCD is responsible for profound personal and societal costs (World Health Organization, 2008), including a substantial risk of suicide (~10 times higher than the population prevalence; Fernandez de la Cruz, 2017) as well as an increase in general mortality (Meier, 2016). OCD is highly heritable, with twin-based heritability estimates ranging between 27-47% in adults and 45-65% in children (Blanco-Vieira et al., 2023; Burton et al., 2018; Pauls, 2008; van Grootheest et al., 2005). The SNP-based heritability of OCD has been reported to be between 28% and 37% (SEs between 4-11%; Mahjani, 2022a; IOCDF & OCGAS et al., 2018; Davis et al., 2013), with heritability estimates for childhood-onset OCD at the higher end of the range, in line with findings from twin studies.

Two preliminary iterations of the OCD GWAS meta-analysis presented here, both containing a subset of the data included in this analysis, showed robust evidence of association of common genetic variation with OCD (Strom et al., 2021, Strom et al., 2024), and SNP-based heritabilities of 8.5% (SE = 0.4%, assuming a 3% population prevalence) and 16% (SE = 1.2%, assuming a 2% population prevalence). The first GWAS (Ncases = 14,140, Ncontrols = 562,117; Strom et al., 2021) found one genome-wide significant SNP associated with OCD, while the second (Ncases = 37,015, Ncontrols = 948,616; Strom et al., 2024) identified 15 independent genome-wide significant SNPs. Both studies found genetic correlations with other psychiatric disorders and traits and results from tissue enrichment and single-cell enrichment analysis provided preliminary insights into brain regions and cell types involved in OCD susceptibility. Multiple cortical regions, the amygdala, and hypothalamus, as well as 12 cell types (all neurons) were linked to OCD. Although representing valuable initial steps, it is evident that further expansion of the sample size in genetic studies for OCD is necessary for a more comprehensive

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

understanding of the underlying genetic etiology, its genetic relationships with other related disorders, and to begin elucidating the biological mechanisms underlying OCD susceptibility.

The current study combines the data from the two preliminary OCD-GWASs described above and adds additional cohorts (~9,000 cases). This results in the largest and most well-powered GWAS of OCD to date, with a ~20-fold increase of OCD-cases compared to the previous largest published OCD GWAS (IOCDF and OCGAS et al., 2018) Based on the results from the meta-analysis, we conducted secondary analyses, including positional and functional fine-mapping of SNPs and genes, structural equation modeling to examine possible genetic differences in sample ascertainment across cohorts, protein and transcriptome-wide association analyses, single-cell enrichment, and genetic correlations with other traits. These results provide more detailed insight into the genetic underpinnings and biology of OCD.

Results

GWAS meta-analysis of OCD identifies 30 independent genome-wide significant variants

We conducted a GWAS meta-analysis of 28 European-ancestry OCD case-control cohorts, comprising 53,660 cases and 2,044,417 controls (effective sample size of ~210,000 individuals). Ascertainment of the OCD cases varied across cohorts: OCD diagnosis was either determined a) by a healthcare professional in a clinical setting (18 cohorts, N = 9,089 cases), b) from health records or biobanks (7 cohorts, N = 9,138 cases), c) in a clinical setting or from health records with the additional characteristic that all OCD cases were primarily collected for another psychiatric disorder they comorbidly exhibited (3 cohorts, N = 5,266 cases), or d) by self-reported clinical diagnosis in a consumer-based setting (23andMe, Inc., N = 30,167 cases).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Cohort details, including phenotypic assessment, quality control, and individual cohort GWAS analyses are in **Supplementary Note 1** with an overview in **Supplementary Table S1**. We identified 30 independent SNPs (see **Supplementary Note 2** for a definition of independence) among the 1,672 SNPs that exceeded the genome-wide threshold for significance ($P < 5x10^{-08}$; Manhattan plot in **Figure 1**, regional association plots and forest plots in **Supplementary Figures S1-S30**, and a list of all independent genome-wide significant SNPs in **Table 1** with additional details in **Supplementary Table S2** and **S3**). The independence of the 30 lead SNPs was subsequently validated using conditional and joint analysis (GCTA-COJO) (Yang et al., 2012) (**Supplementary Table S4**). An analysis of the X-chromosome, conducted in a subset of the data for which this information was available (23andMe dataset, N = 30,167), yielded no significant associations (see **Supplementary Note 3** for details). Of the 15 genome-wide significant SNPs reported in pre-prints previously (Strom et al., 2021; Strom et al., 2024), 13 were genome-wide significant in the current GWAS, with the remaining two showing suggestive significance ($P = 5.23x10^{-08}$ and $P = 2.2x10^{-07}$; **Supplementary Table S5**).

No statistically significant heterogeneity was observed across individual cohorts for the 30 genome-wide significant SNPs, as assessed with Cochran's Q-test (see **Supplementary Figure S31)**, the I^2 statistic, and GenomicSEM's (Grotzinger et al., 2019) Q_{SNP}-statistic (see **Supplementary Table S2**). Additional genome-wide analyses of samples grouped by clinical, comorbid, biobank, and 23andMe (**Supplementary Table S3, Supplementary Figures S32-S36)** showed little evidence of sample ascertainment impacting our results, as demonstrated by moderate to high genetic correlation estimates across the subgroups (between 0.63, SE = 0.11 for biobanks and comorbid, and 0.92, SE = 0.07 for 23andme and comorbid, see **Supplementary Table S6**), and an excellent fit for a one-factor GenomicSEM model of the four subgroups (**Supplementary Table S7** and **Supplementary Figure S37**). See **Supplementary**

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Note 4 for analyses details. The SNP-heritability (assuming a 1% population prevalence) was 6.7% (SE = 0.3%), with slightly higher estimates for the clinical (h^2_{SNP} = 16.4%, SE = 1.5%) and comorbid (h^2_{SNP} = 13.3%, SE = 1.7%) subgroups (see **Supplementary S1** for all sub-group heritability estimates).

Gene-based findings

Positional and functional QTL gene mapping

We identified risk genes for OCD using six positional and functional QTL gene-based mapping approaches. Positional mapping was performed with mBAT-combo (Li et al., 2023). Functional eQTL mapping was performed with Transcriptome-wide Association Study (TWAS) (Gusev et al., 2016) using PsychENCODE gene expression weights (Gandal et al., 2018), and Summary-based Mendelian Randomization (SMR) (Zhu et al., 2016) using whole blood eQTLGen (Võsa et al., 2021) and MetaBrain (Qi et al., 2018) datasets. Functional protein QTL (pQTL) mapping was done using a protein-wide association study (PWAS) of human brain protein expression panels (Wingo et al., 2021). Finally, we used PsyOPS, which combines positional mapping with biological annotations, to further prioritize risk genes within genome-wide significant loci. We identified 207 significant (Bonferroni correction, $P < 2.67 \times 10^{-6}$) genes with mBAT-combo, and 24 genes using TWAS ($P < 4.76 \times 10^{-6}$), 14 of which were conditionally independent. The SMR-eQTLGen analysis identified 39 significant risk genes ($P < 4.28 \times 10^{-6}$), and the SMR-MetaBrain analysis identified 14 risk genes ($P < 9.23 \times 10^{-6}$). The PWAS identified 3 significant genes ($P < 3.39 \times 10^{-5}$), while PsyOPS prioritized 29 genes. In total, 251 genes were significantly associated with OCD through at least one gene-based approach, and 48 were implicated by at least 2 methods. For details about mapping approaches, the respective QTL data used in the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

analyses, and individual results for each gene-based approach, refer to the **Online Methods**, **Supplementary Note 6**, and **Supplementary Tables S8-S13**.

From the list of 48 genes implicated by at least 2 approaches, we prioritized likely causal genes for OCD using colocalization (TWAS-COLOC) and SMR-heterogeneity in dependent instruments (SMR-HEIDI) tests. Colocalization was used to identify significant TWAS associations where the underlying GWAS and eQTL summary statistics are likely to share a single causal variant. Similarly, HEIDI was used to select SMR associations where the same causal variant affects gene expression and trait variation. 25 of the 48 genes that were implicated in OCD by at least two gene-based tests were also significant in either the TWAS-COLOC or SMR-HEIDI tests, implying causality (**Figure 2A)**. Only two of the 25 genes were prioritized by both TWAS-COLOC and SMR-HEIDI, the *WD repeat domain 6* gene (*WDR6*; implicated by mBAT-combo, TWAS, and SMR) and the *DALR Anticodon Binding Domain Containing 3* gene (*DALRD3*; also implicated by mBAT-combo, TWAS, and SMR). Another gene of interest, *Catenin Delta 1* gene (*CTNND1*), was implicated by 3 of our 5 approaches (mBAT-combo, TWAS, PWAS) and showed evidence for colocalization. Only three genes were implicated in the PWAS, of which *CTNND1* was the only gene that was implicated in both the PWAS and the TWAS. In the PWAS, downregulation of *CTNND1* protein expression in human dorsolateral prefrontal cortex was significantly associated with OCD risk ($Z = -4.49,\ P = 7.11x10^{-06}$; **Supplementary Table S12**), consistent with the downregulation of *CTNND1* gene expression in prefrontal cortex in the TWAS ($Z = -6.86,\ P = 6.90x10^{-12}$; **Supplementary Table S9**).

Tissue and cell type enrichment analysis

After mapping significantly associated SNPs from the GWAS meta-analysis to likely causal genes, we explored which tissues or cell types showed enriched gene expression of OCD

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

associated genetic signals. We performed tissue and cell type enrichment analysis using a previously described approach (Bryois et al., 2020) on published human gene expression datasets from bulk tissue RNA-Seq data from GTEx and single-cell RNA-sequencing data from the adult mouse central and peripheral nervous system (Zeisel et al., Cell, 2018). We found enrichment of OCD GWAS signals in 6 out of 13 human brain tissue types in GTEx, but no enrichment in human peripheral tissues (**Figure 2B, Supplementary Table S14**). In the adult mouse central and peripheral nervous system, we found enrichment of OCD GWAS signals in 41 out of 166 tested cell types from mouse brain using the MAGMA gene-set enrichment test. Strong enrichment of OCD GWAS signal was especially observed in excitatory neurons of hippocampus and cerebral cortex, as well as D1 and D2 medium spiny neurons (**Figure 2C, Supplementary Table S15**).

Genetic relationship of OCD with other phenotypes

Using phenome-wide association analysis, we examined whether the 30 independent OCD-associated variants identified by our GWAS meta-analysis have previously been associated with other phenotypes (see **Supplementary Tables S16a-d** for look-ups in four, partially overlapping GWAS-databanks and **Table 1** for highlighted associations). 22 of the 30 variants have previously been associated with other phenotypes, including psychiatric disorders or traits such as SCZ (seven SNPs), depression/MDD (two SNPs), bipolar disorder (BD, one SNP), and neuroticism traits (seven SNPs). In addition, associations within other phenotypic domains have been previously reported; e.g., seven SNPs have been reported to be associated with educational attainment, and eight with body fat mass or body mass index.

We further used bivariate linkage-disequilibrium score regression (LDSC) to investigate the extent of genetic correlations between OCD and 112 previously published GWASs encompassing psychiatric, substance use, cognition, socioeconomic status (SES), personality, psychological, and neurological phenotypes, among others (**Figure 3**). 65 phenotypes showed a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

significant correlation with OCD after false-discovery rate (FDR) correction for multiple testing. OCD was significantly positively correlated with all tested psychiatric phenotypes, the highest correlations being with anxiety (ANX, $r_G = 0.70$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.63, 0.77]$, $P_{FDR} = 4.26x10^{-76}$), followed by depression (DEP, $r_G = 0.60$, $\mathrm{CI} = 0.04$, $P_{FDR} = 7.05x10^{-156}$), anorexia nervosa (AN, $r_G = 0.52$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.46, 0.58]$, $P_{FDR} = 1.99x10^{-70}$), Tourette syndrome (TS, $r_G = 0.47$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.38, 0.56]$, $P_{FDR} = 6.64x10^{-23}$), and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD, $r_G = 0.48$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.47, 0.49]$, $P_{FDR} = 8.07x10^{-13}$) (**Figure 3**). Significant positive genetic correlations were also obtained for neuroticism ($r_G = 0.53$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.49, 0.57]$, $P_{FDR} = 1.95x10^{-125}$), in particular for the worry subcluster ($r_G = 0.64$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.59, 0.69]$, $P_{FDR} = 3.19x10^{-147}$), and all individual items belonging to the worry subcluster, with slightly lower estimates for the depressive sub-cluster ($r_G = 0.35$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.33, 0.37]$, $P_{FDR} = 2.94x10^{-46}$). Suicide attempt ($r_G = 0.40$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.32, 0.48]$, $P_{FDR} = 1.30x10^{-21}$), history of childhood maltreatment ($r_G = 0.37$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.29, 0.45]$, $P_{FDR} = 3.56x10^{-20}$), and tiredness ($r_G = 0.36$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.29, 0.43]$, $P_{FDR} = 5.52x10^{-22}$) were also notable for strong positive associations with OCD. Of the assessed neurological disorders, OCD only significantly correlated with migraine ($r_G = 0.15$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [0.8, 0.22]$, $P_{FDR} = 1.94x10^{-04}$). Some auto-immune disorders, such as Crohn's disease ($r_G = -0.13$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [-0.18, -0.08]$, $P_{FDR} = 4.79x10^{-06}$), ulcerative colitis ($r_G = -0.14$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [-0.22, -0.06]$, $P_{FDR} = 6.05x10^{-05}$), and inflammatory bowel disease ($r_G = -0.14$, $95\%\ \mathrm{CI}\ [-0.20, -0.08]$, $P_{FDR} = 1.16x10^{-05}$) showed negative correlations with OCD (see **Figure 3**, **Supplementary Table S17**, and **Supplementary Note 5** for a more in depth discussion of all significant genetic correlations, as well as **Supplementary Table S18** and **Supplementary Figure S38 and S39** for sub-group specific genetic-correlation estimates).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

The OCD GWAS reported here, comprising over 53,000 cases, identified 30 independent genome-wide loci. Common SNPs explained 6.7% of the variation in OCD risk in our meta-analysis (LDSC with an assumed population prevalence of 1%), a significant reduction from the 28-37% reported previously (Davis et al., 2013; Stewart et al., 2013; Mattheisen et al., 2015; IOCDF & OCGAS et al., 2018; Mahjani et al., 2022a), Nevertheless, heritability estimates were higher for the clinical (16.4%) and comorbid subgroups (13.3%). The current estimates are comparable to those of other psychiatric and substance use disorders, with SNP-h2 estimates ranging between 9 and 28% (Derks et al., 2022). Similar to ADHD (Demontis et al., 2019; 2023), depression (Howard et al., 2018; Wray et al., 2019; Cai et al., 2020; Als et al., 2023), and other psychiatric disorders, expanding the phenotype definition in OCD has increased genetic heterogeneity, potentially accounting for the observed decrease in SNP-h^2 compared to earlier studies with more homogeneous data.

The most significant SNP rs78587207 ($P = 5.28x10^{-12}$) identified in the GWAS analysis is located on chr11q12.1 and has been previously associated with several traits, most noteworthy with some neuropsychiatric phenotypes, including SCZ ($P = 1.26x10^{-8}$; Pardiñas et al., 2018), depressive symptoms ($P = 2.74x10^{-11}$; Baselmans et al., 2019), and neuroticism ($P = 2.96x10^{-11}$; Baselmans et al., 2019). Gene-based analyses identified four putative causal genes within this locus in the current analysis. The closest gene to rs78587207 is catenin delta 1 (*CTNND1*), which encodes the cell adhesion molecule p120-catenin. This gene was associated with OCD using three gene-based tests (mBAT-combo, TWAS, and PWAS) and we found strong evidence for colocalization of the TWAS signal for *CTNND1* in dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) samples from the PsychENCODE consortium. dlPFC has been

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

consistently implicated in the neural circuitry of OCD as well as in compulsivity more broadly as part of the cortico-striatal-thalamo-cortical circuitry (van den Heuvel et al., 2016; Shephard et al., 2021). The protein product of *CTNND1* is a regulator of cell-cell adhesion (Davis et al., 2003) and plays a crucial role in gene transcription, Rho GTPase activity, and cytoskeletal organization (Yanagisawa et al., 2008; Daniel et al., 1999; Ishiyama et al., 2010). Other credible causal genes in the locus include cleavage factor polyribonucleotide kinase subunit 1 (*CLP1*), Thioredoxin Related Transmembrane Protein 2 (*TMX2*), and Zinc Finger DHHC-Type Palmitoyltransferase 5 (*ZDHHC5*). Rare genetic mutations in *CLP1* are associated with pontocerebellar hypoplasia type 10, a very rare autosomal recessive neurodegenerative disease characterized by brain atrophy and delayed myelination resulting in intellectual disability (Schaffer et al., 2014). *TMX2* is associated with increased risk of neurodevelopmental disorders with microcephaly, cortical malformations, spasticity, and congenital nervous system abnormalities (Vandervore et al., 2019). *ZDHHC5*, is broadly expressed in the brain, including the frontal cortex. *ZDHHC5* has not been implicated in brain development but has been linked to Lung Acinar Adenocarcinoma and Lung Papillary Adenocarcinoma in prior studies (Zhang et al., 2021).

We identified a total of 25 credible causal genes based on robust evidence using multiple positional and functionally-informed gene-based approaches (see **Figure 2A**). Notably, *DLGAP1*, which has been previously suggested to be implicated in OCD pathogenesis (Mattheisen et al., 2015, IOCDF & OCGAS et al., 2018), was not identified in either the GWAS or in the gene-based analyses. Of the 25 genes that were implicated, 15 were within 6.5 kb of a SNP that surpassed genome-wide significance in the meta-analysis. In addition to the four genes located on chr11q12.1 near the top SNP in the meta-analysis, several others identified in the gene-based analyses are of particular interest. These genes include *WDR6* and *DALRD3*, which had the strongest evidence from the gene-based analyses. These genes lie in a gene-rich

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

region on chr3p21.31, which in addition to harboring multiple genome-wide significant SNPs in our analysis, has been previously associated with a broad range of psychiatric disorders and related traits, including SCZ (Pardiñas et al., 2018), well-being (Watanabe et al., 2019), and the worry-subcluster of neuroticism (Nagel et al., 2018).

The gene *WDR6* (WD repeat domain 6) is broadly expressed in the brain, particularly the hypothalamus. Its protein product is involved in cell growth arrest (Xie et al., 2007), and recent studies have implicated the gene in anorexia nervosa and Parkinson's disease (Adams et al., 2023; Kia et al., 2021). *DALRD3* (DALR Anticodon Binding Domain Containing 3) is located on chromosome 3 in the same region as *WDR6. DALRD3,* when fully disrupted, has been implicated in a form of epileptic encephalopathy with associated developmental delay (Lentini et al., 2020). In addition, a third gene in the 3p21 locus, *CELSR3* (Cadherin EGF LAG seven-pass G-type receptor 3), encodes a protocadherin that is highly expressed in the developing basal ganglia (Wu et al., 2022). Multiple loss-of-function mutations in *CELSR3* have been associated with Tourette syndrome, which co-occurs with OCD in 10-20% of patients (Willsey et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2020).

Four other genes identified through these analyses are located in the MHC locus, a region on chromosome 6 that plays a major role in the adaptive immune system and has been repeatedly linked to major psychiatric disorders based on convergent evidence from genome-wide association, transcriptomic, and imaging genetics studies (Debnath et al., 2018). The newly identified MHC association for OCD is notable as both genetic and epidemiological studies show a relationship between autoimmune disorders and OCD (Mataix-Cols et al., 2018; Tylee et al., 2018, Westwell-Roper et al., 2019). These studies suggest that individuals with variants in these genes may carry both a propensity to infections and/or autoimmune disorders and liability to OCD, perhaps via genetic pleiotropy (Zhang et al., 2022). Further, specific types of OCD

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

have may themselves have an autoimmune origin (pediatric acute-onset neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcus (PANDAS), and Pediatric acute-onset neuropsychiatric syndrome (PANS); Swedo et al., 1998; Wilbur et al., 2019). Nevertheless, we were surprised to discover several negative genetic correlations between OCD and some autoimmune disorders such as Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, and inflammatory bowel disease in our analyses, suggesting that there is heterogeneity (and perhaps pleiotropy) in the genetic relationships between autoimmune disorders and OCD.

Tissue and cell type enrichment analysis revealed significant enrichment of OCD SNP-heritability in several tissues and cell types, with the strongest enrichment in excitatory neurons of hippocampus and cerebral cortex, and in Dopamine D1 Receptor (D1R)-positive and Dopamine D2 Receptor (D2R)-positive medium spiny neurons (MSNs) in the striatum. MSNs represent the major cell types in the striatum, in which D2R-positive MSNs promote movement and motivation, while D1R-positive MSNs decrease movement and drive (Fieblinger et al., 2021). In addition, Dopamine D2 Receptor antagonists, e.g., haloperidol and risperidone, have been demonstrated to be effective augmenting medications in treatment-refractory OCD (Komossa et al., 2010). These findings are consistent with and build on previous work linking various neuronal cell types to psychiatric and cognitive phenotypes, in particular, SCZ, BD, educational attainment, and cognitive performance (Olislagers et al.; 2022).

The functional and positional gene-based analyses, and in particular the tissue and cell type enrichment findings, align with what is known about the neural circuitry and circuit level dysfunction in OCD that has been identified through neuroimaging and deep brain stimulation studies. For example, frontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex, which were enriched in our tissue-based analyses, as well as hippocampus and striatum, which were implicated in our cell-based analyses, are among the regions that have been consistently implicated in neuroimaging

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

studies of OCD (Boedhoe et al., 2018; Bruin et al., 2020; van den Heuvel et al., 2016, 2022). Enrichment in medium spiny neurons (MSNs) in striatum is consistent with their role in the observed aberrant circuitry in OCD, where the medium spiny neurons D1 project to the globus pallidus interna and substantia nigra in the direct pathway, and the D2-type MSNs project to the globus pallidus externa in the indirect pathway (Haber, 2016).

Our analyses of the shared genetic risk between OCD and other psychiatric disorders provides further insights into the etiology of OCD. In line with previous observations (Derks et al., 2022; Romero et al., 2022), we found significant genetic correlations between OCD and various psychiatric disorders and traits. The strongest genetic correlations were observed for anxiety disorders, depression, and anorexia nervosa, all of which are highly comorbid with OCD (Sharma et al., 2021). This aligns with previous findings from cross-disorder analyses suggesting a shared genetic susceptibility among most psychiatric disorders (Derks et al., 2022; Lee et al., 2019; Grotzinger et al. 2019). Future studies can investigate to what extent genetic overlap is explained by true genetic pleiotropy vs. alternative mechanisms such as shared diagnostic criteria or mediation through unmeasured traits (Martin et al., 2017). A notable exception is our finding that risk variants for OCD are protective for alcohol dependence (Walters et al., 2018), which is at odds with epidemiological evidence strongly linking OCD and alcohol related disorders (Virtanen et al. 2022). Though not all epidemiological evidence is consistent; a large review paper (Sharma et al., 2021) reported a lower than expected lifetime comorbidity of substance use disorders in OCD (7.2% in adults), compared to the general population (10.7%) The observed pattern of correlations with other phenotypes can be thought of as falling into two categories: compulsivity/impulsivity and rumination/worry/neuroticism. In both categories, the patterns of genetic correlations appear to follow a gradient across disorders/traits. For example, in the compulsivity/impulsivity category, strong positive correlations are seen with AN and TS, which are disorders with strong compulsive features, with

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

less positive associations seen with ADHD, and negative correlations with alcohol dependence and risk-taking behaviors, which are all phenotypes characterized by impulsivity. A similar gradient is observed for the rumination/worry/neuroticism related phenotypes, with strong positive correlations with ANX, as well as ruminative phenotypes such as worry, transitioning to less strong correlations with individual depression related items. Neurological disorders also showed only weak evidence for a shared genetic etiology with OCD, and in fact, the evidence suggests that most neurological disorders, are genetically distinct from psychiatric disorders (Anttila et al., 2018).

This study, when considered in the context of previously published OCD GWAS (Stewart et al., 2013, Mattheisen et al., 2015, IOCDF & OCGAS et al., 2018), as well as interim analyses of subsets (Strom et al., 2021, Strom et al., 2024) of the here presented GWAS meta-analysis, may also aid in projecting the yield in OCD risk gene discovery. The previously published OCD GWAS meta-analysis (IOCDF & OCGAS et al., 2018) reported no significant associations with a case size of ~2,700; more recent interim analyses posted on pre-print servers identified one significant association with a case size of ~14,700, and 15 significant associations with a case size of ~37,000. This indicates a transition from the flat (sample building) phase of SNP discovery described for GWAS (Sullivan et al., 2018; **Supplementary Figure S19**), where few to no genome-wide significant loci are identified, to the linear phase of SNP discovery, where even relatively small increases in sample size are expected to identify additional genome-wide significant loci. All of the 15 previously identified OCD-associated genetic loci (Strom et al., 2024) had the same direction of effect in the current meta-analysis; 13 were also significant in this study, while the other two were in the suggestive range ($P = 5.23x10^{-08}$ and $P = 2.2x10^{-07}$).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The strengths of the current study include the marked increase in the number of OCD cases compared to the previously published OCD GWAS studies and the rigorous analytic methods, including two multivariate approaches (MTAG and GenomicSEM) to control for potential overlapping subjects in datasets and to examine potential heterogeneity between the multiple ascertainment approaches. Potential weaknesses include the inability to document comorbid psychiatric disorders in the majority of cases that were not ascertained from clinical collections or electronic registries, the lack of inclusion of other ancestries other than European, and the limited analysis of sex-chromosome data in only a subset of the data.

In summary, this work substantially advances the field of OCD genetics by identifying new OCD genetic risk loci and multiple credible candidate causal genes, including those expressed in brain regions and cell types that have been previously implicated in OCD (Piantadosi et al., 2021). We have also shown that OCD is highly polygenic in nature, with many variants implicated not only in OCD but also in commonly comorbid disorders or traits, in particular anxiety, neuroticism, anorexia nervosa, and depression. As we have shown, common variants explain 6.7% of the phenotypic variation in OCD. This modest contribution suggests that, in addition to possible phenotypic heterogeneity contributing to a reduction in the observed SNP heritability, other types of genetic variation may also contribute to the etiology of OCD. Notably, recent whole-exome sequencing studies have suggested that a substantial proportion of OCD cases (22%) may be influenced by rare de novo coding variants (Cappi et al., 2020), especially in genes that are intolerant to loss of function (Halvorsen et al., 2021). Also rare, potentially damaging copy number variations represent part of the risk architecture for OCD (Mahjani et al., 2022b). These findings emphasize the need for a comprehensive exploration of the contribution of both common and rare genetic factors, as well as their interplay, to the risk of OCD. Finally, with the implication of the MHC complex, we provide additional evidence for potential shared genetic influences underlying both OCD and increased liability to autoimmune processes,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

although the directionality of those relationships remains to be definitively elucidated. In the future, increasing sample sizes further, will facilitate the discovery of additional OCD risk variants. In addition, future studies will need to collect more ancestrally diverse samples, include sex-specific analyses, and conduct additional clinical phenotyping to allow for elucidation of genetic and clinical relationships between OCD and co-occurring disorders. Finally, with the emergence of drug databanks describing the relations between drugs and molecular phenotypes (Knox et al., 2024), our results can be used for drug repurposing (i,e, identifying existing drugs targeting OCD risk genes) leading to new opportunities to find more effective treatment.

Online Methods

Subjects

Supplementary Table S1 provides an overview of the individual cohorts We analyzed genomic data from 28 OCD case-control cohorts including 53,660 OCD cases and 2,044,417 controls of European ancestry. GWAS results based on some of these cases and controls have been published previously by the International OCD Foundation (IOCDF-GC; Stewart et al., 2013, IOCDF & OCGAS et al., 2018) and the OCD Collaborative Genetics Association Study (OCGAS; Mattheisen et al., 2015, IOCDF & OCGAS et al., 2018). These data were re-analyzed for the current publication using newly matched control participants that were genotyped with the same microarrays as the cases, making up 2,828 cases and 4,887 controls. GWAS results based on a subset of the cohorts are currently available as preprints ($N_{cases} = 14{,}140$, $N_{controls} = 562{,}117$, Strom et al., 2021 and $N_{cases} = 37{,}015$, $N_{controls} = 948{,}616$, Strom et al., 2024). Of those cohorts, three (EstBB, FinnGen, iPSYCH) were updated to include additional OCD cases and controls compared to the samples in the preprints. Seven

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

cohorts are new to this study and were not included in any of the previously published GWAS ($N_{cases} = 6{,}120$, $N_{controls} = 430{,}999$). Among all included individuals, 323 cases were part of a parent proband trio; in these cases, parents were used as pseudo controls. A total of 20,427 cases met DSM-5 (DSM-5, 2013) or ICD-10 (World Health Organization, 2019) criteria for OCD as assessed by a healthcare professional or derived from (electronic) health records, while the remaining 32,233 cases were based on self-reported OCD diagnoses (23andMe, AGDS, and parts of UKBB). Cohort-specific sample and analytic details can be found in the **Supplementary Note 1**. Data collections were approved by the relevant institutional review boards at all participating sites, and all participants provided written informed consent.

Individual GWAS analyses and harmonizing of results

First, the data of each participating cohort were analyzed individually (see **Supplementary Note 1** for details). Genetic data were imputed using either the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC; McCarthy et al., 2016) or 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 reference panels (The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2015). The resulting GWAS summary statistics were then harmonized before a conjoint meta-analysis of all autosomes was conducted. Each summary statistic data set was transformed to 'daner' file format following RICOPILI (Lam et al., 2020) specifications. Next, each dataset was cleaned of variants that were likely to have poor underlying genotype data. All variants had to meet the following criteria for inclusion: minor allele frequency (MAF) > 1% in cases and controls, imputation quality (INFO) score > 0.8 and < 1.2. If the effect measure, p-value or standard error (SE) was missing or was out of bounds (infinite), the SNP was removed. Once cleaned summary statistics were produced, all datasets were aligned to the HRC reference panel. If variants were reported on different strands, they were flipped to the orientation in the HRC-reference. Furthermore, strand-ambiguous A/T and C/G SNPs were removed if their MAF was > 0.4. In the event that A/T and C/G SNPs showed a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

MAF < 0.4, allele frequencies were compared to frequencies in the HRC-reference. If an allele frequency match was found, i.e minor alleles were the same in the summary statistics and the HRC reference, the same strand orientation was assumed. If an allele mismatch was found, i.e., the allele had a frequency > 0.5 in HRC, it was assumed that alleles were reported on different strands and alleles were flipped subsequently. Marker-names were uniformly switched to those present in the HRC reference. If a variant did not overlap with the variants in the HRC reference, it was removed.

GWAS meta-analysis

Inverse variance weighted meta-analysis was conducted on 28 European cohorts using METAL (Willer et al., 2010). Heterogeneity was assessed with Cochran's Q statistic and I^2 statistic (Higgins et al., 2002; 2003). Cochran's Q is calculated as the weighted sum of squared differences between individual study effects and the pooled effect across studies, with the weights being those used in the pooling method. Q is distributed as a chi-square statistic with k (number of studies) minus 1 degrees of freedom. The I^2 statistic describes the percentage of variation across studies that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance. Unlike Q, it does not inherently depend upon the number of studies considered. The genomic control factor Lambda (λ) was calculated for each individual GWAS and for the overall meta-analysis to identify residual population stratification or systematic technical artifact. GWAS summary statistics were subjected to linkage disequilibrium (LD) score regression (LDSC) analyses on high-quality common SNPs (INFO score > 0.9) to examine the LDSC intercept to distinguish polygenicity from other types of inflation, and to estimate the genetic heritability from the meta-analysis and genetic correlations between cohorts. The genomic inflation factor Lambda (λ) was estimated at 1.330 with an λ_{1000} of 1.033, while the LDSC intercept was 1.0155, $(SE = 0.0085)$, indicating that the inflation was mostly due to polygenic signal and unlikely to be significantly confounded

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

by population structure. The genome-wide significance threshold for the GWAS was set at a p-value of $5.0x10^{-8}$. The 23andMe data included information on the X-chromosome; as this information was not present for all other cohorts, analysis of the X-chromosome was only conducted in this sub-cohort (see Supplementary Note 3 for details).

We further conducted GWAS meta-analyses on four subgroups, defined by differences in their sample ascertainment: either a) clinical-OCD cases diagnosed by a health care professional in a clinical setting ($N_{Cases} = 9089, N_{Controls} = 21{,}077$; including IOCDF, IOCDF_trio, EPOC, NORDiC-nor, NORDiC-swe, EGOS, OCGAS, OCGAS-ab, OCGAS-gh, OCGAS-nes, Psych_Broad, WWF, MVP, Michigan/Toronto IGS, YalePenn, Chop, CoGa), b) comorbid-individuals that were primarily ascertained for another comorbid psychiatric disorder ($N_{Cases} = 5266, N_{Controls} = 43{,}760$; AGDS, IPSYCH), c) biobank-data from large-scale biobanks or registries with ICD or DSM codes ($N_{Cases} = 9138, N_{Controls} = 1{,}049{,}776$; BioVU, EstBB, FinnGen, HUNT, MoBa, UKBB) or d) 23andMe *data* ($N_{Cases} = 30{,}167, N_{Controls} = 929{,}804$). While these groups are not exclusive (e.g., diagnoses in health records were originally given in a clinical setting, or comorbid cases were also assessed in a clinical setting or derived from health records), we defined these groups by the cohorts primary characteristic. We also conducted one meta-analysis including all clinical, comorbid, and biobank subgroups, while excluding the 23andMe data, resulting in 23,493 cases and 1,114,613 controls. As 23andMe is the only consumer-based dataset, we intended to compare this dataset to all others.

SNP-based fine-mapping - GCTA-COJO

We performed a conditional-and-joint analysis (GCTA-COJO) (Yang et al., 2011) to identify independent signals within significant OCD loci. This approach performs a conditional and joint analysis on the basis of conditional p-values before calculating the joint effects of all selected

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

SNPs. We used the stepwise model selection procedure to select independently associated SNPs. The linkage disequilibrium reference sample was created from 73,005 individuals from the QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute genetic epidemiology cohort. The distance assumed for complete linkage disequilibrium was 10Mb, and we used the default p-value threshold of $5x10^{-08}$ to define a genome-wide significant hit.

Multi-trait analysis of ascertainment subgroups

We used multi-trait analysis of GWAS (MTAG; Turley et al., 2018) to conduct multivariable GWAS analyses for the OCD samples, reporting GWAS results for each of the ascertainment-specific sub-groups. Through this approach we aimed to address potential concerns about heterogeneity in genetic liability for individual sub-groups following different ascertainment strategies. MTAG is a multi-trait analysis that is usually used to combine different but related traits into one meta-analysis by leveraging the shared heritability among the different traits and thereby gaining power. In this case, our aim was to generate ascertainment-specific estimates, while boosting power by leveraging the high shared heritability between the subgroups. The MTAG analysis resulted in four different GWAS summary statistics, one for each subgroup (clinical, comorbid, biobanks, 23andMe). We performed maxFDR analyses to approximate the upper bound on the FDR of MTAG results.

GenomicSEM

Similarly, we used genomic structural equation modeling (GenomicSEM, Grotzinger et al., 2019) to model the joint genetic architecture of the four subgroups. First, we ran a common-factor model without individual SNP effects, following the tutorial 'Models without individual SNP effects' on the GenomicSEM github website (see web resources). In brief, the summary statistics were first harmonized and filtered (with the munge-function), using HapMap3 as the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

reference file, using the effective sample size (clinical: $N_{eff} = 21.562$, comorbid: $N_{eff} = 18.794$, biobanks: $N_{eff} = 36.124$, 23andMe: $N_{eff} = 116.876$) as the input sample size, and filtering SNPs to INFO > 0.9 and MAF > 0.01. In a second step, multivariable LDSC was run to obtain the genetic covariance matrix and corresponding sampling covariance matrix, using precomputed European-ancestry LD scores, a sample prevalence of 0.5 and a population prevalence of 0.02. In a third step we ran a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) using the pre-packaged comm-on factor model in GenomicSEM using diagonally weighted least squares (DWLS) estimation. We ran a multivariate GWAS to generate Q_{SNP}-values for the 30 significant SNPs, which indicate possible heterogeneous effects across the subgroups. The Q_{SNP} statistic is mathematically similar to the Q-statistic from standard meta-analysis and is a X^2-distributed test statistic with larger values indexing a violation of the null hypothesis that the SNP acts entirely through the common factor. In multivariate GWAS, the common factor defined by genetic indicators is regressed on each SNP, thereby generating summary statistics for the common factor (details can be found in the tutorial "GenomicSEM for Common Factor GWAS", see web resources). First, summary statistics for all four subgroups were prepared for multivariate GWAS with the '*sumstats*' function in GenomicSEM, which aligns and merges all files. Next, with the *'commonfactorGWAS'* function, the S (genetic covariance) and V (corresponding sampling covariance) matrices from the LDSC output (from the model without SNP effects) and the summary statistics were combined to create a separate S and V matrix for each SNP containing the effect estimate. The function also transforms the effect estimates from the summary statistics and their SEs into covariances and SEs of covariances by taking the product of the regression coefficient and SNP variance from the reference file (1000 genomes phase 3)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

SNP heritability estimation

The proportion of the phenotypic variance that could be explained by the aggregated effect of all included SNPs (SNP-based heritability, h^2_{SNP}) was estimated using LDSC (Bulik-Sullivan et al., 2015). The analysis was performed using pre-computed LDscores from samples restricted to European-ancestry in the 1000 Genomes Project (The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2015), filtered for SNPs included in the HapMap3 reference panel (Altshuler et al., 2010). SNP heritability was estimated based on the slope of the LDSC, with heritability on the liability scale calculated assuming a 1% population prevalence of OCD (Fawcett, 2020). To omit a downward bias in our estimates of liability scale heritability, following Grotzinger et al. (2023), we accounted for varying levels of ascertainment across cohorts in our meta-analysis by summing the effective sample sizes across the contributing cohorts and using that as the input sample size for LDSC. For the conversion to the liability scale, the sample prevalence was then specified as 0.5. The SNP-heritability was calculated for the whole OCD sample as well as for ascertainment-specific sub-groups.

Genetic correlations

We used cross-trait LDSC, a method that computes genetic correlations between GWASs without bias from ancestry differences or sample overlap to calculate genetic correlations between the primary OCD meta-analysis and other phenotypes of interest (Bulik-Sullivan et al., 2015). The selection of traits was based on phenotypic relevance and/or prior report of a genetic relationship with OCD. The genetic correlation between traits is based on the estimated slope from the regression of the product of Z-scores from two GWASs on the LD score and represents the genetic covariation between two traits based on all polygenic effects captured by the included SNPs. The genome-wide LD information used by these methods was based on

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

European populations from the HapMap 3 reference panel (Altshuler et al., 2010), and GWAS summary statistics were filtered to only include SNPs that were part of the 1,290,028 HapMap 3 SNPs.

To ensure the internal consistency of the datasets included in our meta-analysis, we calculated genetic correlations between all cohorts we considered to have a sample size large enough for LDSC (effective sample size of ≥ 1000) and between the four ascertainment-specific subgroups.

We further calculated genetic correlations between OCD and 112 other disorders and traits. The source studies of the GWAS summary statistics can be found in **Supplementary Table S17**. As a follow-up, we also calculated genetic correlations between the 112 phenotypes and each ascertainment-specific sub-cohort and compared the genetic correlation patterns between the four groups.

Gene-based analyses

To match the significant SNPs to the genes whose function they likely influence, we conducted a series of positional and functional gene mapping analyses. The positional mapping employed MBAT-combo (Li et al., 2022), while the functional mapping tested whether genetic variants associated with OCD were also associated with differential expression of nearby genes (within 1 Mb window) using a) Transcriptome-wide Association Study (TWAS) (Gusev et al., 2016) utilizing PsychENCODE data and included colocalization with COLOC (Giambartolomei et al., 2014; Wallace, 2020) and b) summary-based Mendelian Randomization (SMR; Zhu et al., 2016) Zhu et al., 2016) using whole-blood eQTL information and brain tissues from MetaBrain, alongside the HEIDI test which tests for heterogeneity in GWAS signal and eQTL association. Further, a protein-wide association study (PWAS) was conducted. As a final step, genes within

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

each locus were prioritized using PsyOPS (Wainberg et al., 2022), which integrates both positional and functional information. The details of each method are described below.

Positional gene mapping (MBAT-combo)

A gene-based analysis was conducted using multivariate Set-Based Association Test (mBAT-combo) (Li et al., 2022) within GCTA version 1.94.1 (Yang et al., 2011). mBAT-combo has the advantage of being better powered than other gene-based association test methods to detect multi-SNP associations in the context of masking effects (i.e., when the product of the true SNP effect sizes and the LD correlation is negative). To ensure that the overall power is maximized independent of masking effects at specific loci, mBAT-combo combines mBAT and fastBAT test statistics through a Cauchy combination method, which allows the combination of different test statistics without a priori knowledge of the correlation structure. The European subsample (N = 503 individuals) from Phase 3 of the 1000 Genomes Project (The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2015) was used as the linkage disequilibrium (LD) reference panel with the fastBAT default LD cut-off of 0.9 applied. After filtering SNPs with MAF > 0.01, there were 6,629,124 SNPs for analysis in our sample. A gene list consisting of 19,899 protein coding genes was used to map the base pair position of genes using genome build hg19.

Functional gene mapping

Transcriptome-Wide Association Study (TWAS)

We used TWAS FUSION (Gusev et al., 2016) to perform a transcriptome-wide association study of OCD. We used brain gene expression weights from the PsychENCODE (D. Wang et al., 2018) and LD information from the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 (The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2015). TWAS FUSION uses reference LD and reference gene expression panels with GWAS summary statistics to estimate the association between gene expression

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and OCD risk. These data were processed with the test statistics from the OCD GWAS to estimate the expression-GWAS association statistic. We corrected for multiple testing using Bonferroni correction.

We performed colocalization analyses using the COLOC R function (Giambartolomei et al., 2014; Wallace, 2020) implemented in TWAS FUSION. Colocalisaton is a Bayesian method used to calculate the posterior probabilities (PP) that individual lead SNPs within a significant TWAS locus are 1) independent (e.g., 2 causal SNPs in LD, one affecting transcription, and one affecting OCD; posterior probability [PP3]) or 2) share the same associated variant (e.g., a single causal SNP affects both transcription and OCD [PP4]). We also performed a conditional analysis to determine whether identified associations represented independent associations. This was performed using the FUSION software, which jointly estimates the effect of all significant features within each locus by using residual SNP associations with OCD after accounting for the predicted expression of other features.

Summary-Based Mendelian Randomisation (SMR)

SMR (Zhu et al., 2016) was performed using default settings and eQTL meta-analysis summary statistics from European populations for whole blood from eQTLGen (Võsa et al., 2021), and all five nervous system tissues from MetaBrain (Basal ganglia, Cerebellum, Cortex, Hippocampus and Spinal Cord) (Klein et al., 2021). The HEIDI (heterogeneity in dependent instruments) test is performed alongside SMR to test for effect size heterogeneity between the GWAS and eQTL summary statistics.

Psychiatric Omnilocus Prioritization Score

We used the gene prioritization method PsyOPS (Psychiatric Omnilocus Prioritization Score) (Wainberg et al., 2022) to rank genes within genome-wide significant loci. This supervised approach integrates biological annotations on mutational intolerance, brain-specific expression,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and involvement in neurodevelopmental disorder for genes within significant loci. Genes with the top PsyOPS score within each locus were used for further gene prioritization (see "Gene prioritization" below). In the instance where two genes in the same locus had the same PsyOPS score, the gene nearest the index SNP was prioritized.

Protein-wide association study

We performed a protein-wide association study (PWAS) using protein expression data from human brain samples. Human brain proteome reference weight data were obtained using the Religious Orders Study and Rush Memory and Aging Project (ROS/MAP) and Banner Sun Health Research Institute (Banner) study. The ROS/MAP proteomes were generated from the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) of 376 participants of European ancestry and included 1,476 proteins with significant SNP-based heritability (p-value < 0.01). The Banner PWAS weights were generated from 152 individuals of European ancestry and included 1,147 proteins with significant SNP-based heritability. The PWAS was performed using the TWAS FUSION software (Gusev et al., 2016) with LD reference information from the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 (The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2015). We corrected for multiple testing using Bonferroni correction.

Gene prioritization

We created a list of prioritized genes using both gene-based tests and colocalization/HEIDI filters. Results from each gene-based test were first restricted to protein coding genes with unique gene identifiers based on the release from GENCODE (v40) for hg19. The following criteria were then used to prioritize genes: (i) a significant (Bonferroni corrected) association from at least two gene-based tests (mBAT-combo, TWAS FUSION, SMR, or PsyOPS), and (ii) evidence of colocalization (COLOC PP4 > 0.8) and/or significant SMR association with HEIDI P

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

>0.05. Joint/conditional tests of association and significant PWAS associations were used as ancillary approaches to further annotate the prioritized gene list.

Tissue and cell-type enrichment analysis

An analysis of tissue and cell-type enrichment of OCD GWAS association signals was conducted using MAGMA (v1.08) (de Leeuw et al., 2015) and partitioned LD score regression (Finucane et al., 2015). Bryois et al.'s approach (Bryois et al., 2020) was employed to determine gene expression specificity in bulk tissue RNA-seq data from 37 tissues in GTEx (v8) and single-cell RNA sequencing data from 19 regions in the mouse central and peripheral nervous systems (Zeisel et al., 2018). The analysis was limited to protein-coding genes with 1:1 orthologs between mice and humans. Gene expression in each tissue or cell type was calculated relative to total expression across all tissues or cell types. Enrichment analysis was performed on genes with the top 10% specificity values in each tissue or cell type, as previously defined (Bryois et al., 2020).

To evaluate the enrichment of tissue and cell type specific genes in OCD genetic association signals, we applied MAGMA and partitioned LDSC. We restricted the analysis to summary statistics for SNPs with a high INFO score (> 0.6) and frequency in the entire cohort (MAF > 0.01). Using MAGMA (v1.08), we tested if genes with the top 10% specificity in a tissue or cell type showed enrichment in gene-level genetic associations for OCD, with the 1000 genomes phase 3 European sample genotypes serving as the LD reference panel. We used standard gene boundaries (35kb upstream of the transcription start site to 10kb downstream of the transcription stop site). Partitioned LDSC was used to examine whether SNPs within 100kb regions of the top 10% specifically expressed genes were enriched for SNP-based heritability for OCD. All results were corrected for multiple testing with an FDR threshold of 0.05.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Identification of previously reported associations for significant SNPs

Multiple resources were used to identify previously reported associations of our 30 significant SNPs with other phenotypes: We used the IEU open gwas project (Elsworth et al., 2020), PheWAS analysis of gwasATLAS (Watanabe et al., 2019), the NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog (Buniello et al., 2019), and identified credible SNPs through causaldb (J. Wang et al., 2020). Causaldb estimates causal probabilities of all genetic variants in GWAS significant loci using three state-of-the-art fine-mapping tools including PAINTOR, CAVIARBF and FINEMAP (Benner et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2015; Kichaev et al., 2014; Kichaev & Pasaniuc, 2015). We used default settings for our causaldb queries.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figures



Figure 1: Manhattan plot of OCD GWAS meta-analysis: The y-axis represents –log10 p-values for the association of variants with OCD using an inverse-variance weighted fixed effects model. The x-axis shows chromosomes 1 to 22. The horizontal red line represents the threshold for genome-wide significance ($P = 5x10^{-08}$). Index variants of genome-wide significant loci are highlighted as a green diamond.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 2: (A) List of 25 genes that were implicated in at least two of the five different gene-based tests (significance indicated by grey dots) and passed the TWAS colocalization and/or SMR-Heidi filters (significance indicated by orange dots). Conditionally independent genes within each locus are indicated by blue dots. **(B)** Enrichment of OCD GWAS signal in human brain-related tissues from GTEx (v8). No significant enrichment was observed in the peripheral tissues (not included in the figure). The horizontal bar size represents the significance of the enrichment measured using the MAGMA gene set enrichment test or partitioned LDSC. **(C)** Top 20 brain cell types enriched with OCD GWAS signal using MAGMA. Blue crosses represent a significant enrichment of OCD GWAS signals (false discovery rate, FDR < 0.05), while pink crosses indicate non-significant enrichment. Grey points represent the association (-log10[p-value]) for each cell cluster ("level 5" analysis defined by Zeisel 2018) in a given cell type (e.g., Excitatory neurons, cerebral cortex).



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 3: Genetic correlations (rg) between the OCD GWAS results and 112 psychiatric, substance use, cognition/socioeconomic status (SES), personality, psychological, neurological, autoimmune, cardiovascular, anthropomorphic/diet, fertility, and other phenotypes**.** References of the corresponding summary statistics of the GWAS studies can be found in **Supplementary Table S1**. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals, red circles indicate significant associations after FDR correction for multiple testing. Black circles indicate associations that are



not significant after FDR correction.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Tables

Table 1: Genome-wide-significant SNPs associated with OCD. Shown are the SNP, the chromosome (CHR), base pair position on the genome (BP), p-value (P), effect estimate as an odds ratio (OR), standard error of the effect estimate (SE), effect allele and non-effect allele (A1/A2), frequency of A1 in cases (FRQ_{CA}) and in controls (FRQ_{CO}), imputation quality score (INFO), number of genes in a region of 6.5kb around the SNP (N Genes 6.5kb), and a curated list of phenotypes that also showed a genome-wide significant association with this SNP (in one or more of the following four databases: causalDB (J. Wang et al., 2020), GenomeAtlas (Watanabe et al., 2019), NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog (Buniello et al., 2019), IEU Open GWAS project (Elsworth et al., 2020). If fewer than 4 traits are significant across all four databases, all 4 traits are shown, if more than 5 traits are significant across the databases, neuropsychiatric traits are prioritized (closely related traits are summarized into one trait category). Previously identified GWAS hits for OCD (or SNPs in high LD with a previously identified SNP) are in cursive. For a full list of associations in the four databases see **Supplementary Table S17a-d**). A more detailed list of the significant SNPs can be found in **Supplementary Table S2**.

SNP	Position	P	OR	SE	A1/A2	FRQ_{CA}	FRQ_{CO}	INFO	N Genes 6.5kb	Selection of other significant traits
rs78587207	11q12.1	5.28×10^{-12}	0.9522	0.0071	T/G	0.681	0.677	0.987	11	SCZ, well-being, neuroticism, educational attainment
rs13262595	8q24.3	1.31×10^{-11}	0.9566	0.0066	G/A	0.552	0.561	0.989	2	SCZ, well-being, neuroticism, educational attainment
rs4990036	6p21.33	1.45×10^{-11}	0.9299	0.0108	T/C	0.119	0.123	0.985	118	SCZ, depression, blood cell count, lung function
rs10877425	12q14.1	1.62×10^{-11}	0.9526	0.0072	G/A	0.513	0.516	0.985	0	-
rs7626445	3p21.31	1.74×10^{-11}	0.9551	0.0068	T/C	0.647	0.654	0.994	32	neuroticism, smoking, blood cell count, height
rs2564930	3p21.1	3.41×10^{-11}	0.9546	0.007	T/C	0.339	0.345	0.988	12	SCZ, neuroticism, blood cell count, BMI
rs4702	15q26.1	9.07×10^{-10}	1.0414	0.0066	G/A	0.455	0.449	0.984	5	SCZ, BD, MDD, risk taking behavior

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

rs35518360	4q24	1.39×10^{-9}	1.0757	0.0121	T/A	0.0828	0.0756	0.947	1	SCZ, neuroticism, educational attainment, BMI
rs4904738	14q21.1	1.48×10^{-9}	0.9605	0.0067	T/C	0.558	0.553	0.984	1	MDD
rs254779	5q14.3	1.53×10^{-9}	0.9606	0.0067	T/C	0.419	0.421	0.988	4	educational attainment, cognition, fat mass
rs2198140	8p12	2.18×10^{-9}	0.9590	0.007	T/C	0.496	0.513	0.979	1	cognition
rs12516488	5p12	3.79×10^{-9}	1.0531	0.0088	G/A	0.825	0.826	0.994	1	neuroticism, age at first birth, age at first sexual intercourse
rs3899258	5q11.1	4.94×10^{-9}	1.0509	0.0085	G/A	0.782	0.792	0.989	2	-
rs3027160	17p13.1	5.35×10^{-9}	1.0497	0.0083	T/C	0.775	0.782	0.996	19	sleep, height
rs203768	2q33.1	6.14×10^{-9}	0.9513	0.0086	T/C	0.824	0.817	0.992	5	SCZ
rs11263940	1p34.3	7.23×10^{-9}	0.9578	0.0074	T/C	0.689	0.69	0.991	0	neuroticism, well-being
rs67839857	5q14.3	7.63×10^{-9}	1.0423	0.0072	G/A	0.692	0.691	0.994	0	-
rs1555466	20p11.23	8.42×10^{-9}	1.0490	0.0083	T/C	0.218	0.224	0.996	0	ease of skin tanning
rs9886111	7q21.13	8.59×10^{-9}	0.9598	0.0071	G/C	0.701	0.711	0.992	2	-
rs9287859	2q24.3	9.83×10^{-9}	0.9595	0.0072	G/A	0.39	0.39	0.994	1	-
rs2087319	4q12	1.59×10^{-8}	0.9579	0.0076	C/A	0.74	0.744	0.968	6	height, blood pressure
rs11125759	2p16.1	1.79×10^{-8}	0.9690	0.0071	G/A	0.569	0.556	0.991	1	BMI, sleep
rs6474628	9p23	1.89×10^{-8}	1.0380	0.0066	T/G	0.579	0.585	0.999	0	-
rs11768238	7q33	2.28×10^{-8}	0.9601	0.0073	G/A	0.661	0.661	0.998	2	educational attainment, age at first sexual intercourse
rs9479138	6q25.1	2.41×10^{-8}	1.0397	0.007	T/G	0.339	0.34	0.975	1	educational attainment, age at first sexual intercourse, age at first birth, lung function
rs1567288	4q22.3	3.80×10^{-8}	0.9643	0.0066	G/A	0.548	0.55	0.981	1	-
rs4831130	3q13.31	3.93×10^{-8}	1.0427	0.0076	T/G	0.753	0.74	0.984	2	-
rs17718444	3p13	4.25×10^{-8}	0.9622	0.007	T/C	0.323	0.32	0.984	2	educational attainment, lung function, use of sun/uv protection
rs6660196	1q24.1	4.86×10^{-8}	1.0403	0.0072	T/G	0.638	0.642	0.989	1	blood cell count

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

rs4931	20q13.12	5.00×10^{-8}	0.9609	0.0073	C/A	0.278	0.279	0.993	5	blood cell count, height, BMI

Conflicts of Interest

Chris German is employed by and hold stock or stock options in 23andMe, Inc. **Erika L. Nurmi** is on the Scientific Advisory Board for Myriad Genetics and Medical Advisory Board for Tourette Association of America and received Clinical trial funding from Emalex and Octapharma Pharmaceuticals. **Jeremy Veenstra-VanderWeele** has served on advisory boards or consulted with Roche, Novartis, and SynapDx; received research funding from Roche, Novartis, SynapDx, Seaside Therapeutics, Forest, Janssen, Acadia, Yamo, and MapLight; received stipends for editorial work from Wiley and Springer. **Jens R. Wendland** is a current employee and shareholder of Takeda Pharmaceuticals and a past employee and shareholder of F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Pfizer and Nestle Health Science. **Cynthia M. Bulik** reports: Pearson (author, royalty recipient).**Peter Falkai** reports no conflict of interest regarding this study and reports to have received financial support and Advisory Board: Richter, Recordati, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Otsuka, Janssen and Lundbeck. **Hans J. Grabe** has received travel grants and speakers honoraria from Fresenius Medical Care, Neuraxpharm, Servier and Janssen Cilag as well as research funding from Fresenius Medical Care. **Ian B. Hickie** is the Co-Director, Health and Policy at the Brain and Mind Centre (BMC) University of Sydney, Australia. The BMC operates an early-intervention youth services at Camperdown under contract to headspace. Professor Hickie has previously led community-based and pharmaceutical industry-supported (Wyeth, Eli Lily, Servier, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, Janssen Cilag) projects focused on the identification and better management of anxiety and depression. He is the Chief Scientific Advisor to, and a 3.2% equity shareholder in, InnoWell Pty Ltd which aims to transform mental health services through the use of innovative technologies. **Benjamin M. Neale** is a member of the scientific advisory board at Deep Genomics and Neumora. **Christopher Pittenger** consults and/or receives research support from Biohaven Pharmaceuticals, Freedom Biosciences, Ceruvia Lifesciences, Transcend Therapeutics, UCB BioPharma, and F-Prime Capital Partners. He owns equity in Alco Therapeutics. These relationships are not related to the current work. **Dan J. Stein** has received consultancy honoraria from Discovery Vitality, Johnson & Johnson, Kanna, L'Oreal, Lundbeck, Orion, Sanofi, Servier, Takeda and Vistagen. **Eric A. Storch** reports receiving research funding to his institution from the Ream Foundation, International OCD Foundation, and NIH. He was formerly a consultant for Brainsway and Biohaven Pharmaceuticals in the past 12 months. He owns stock less than $5000 in NView/Proem for distribution related to the YBOCS scales. He receives book royalties from Elsevier, Wiley, Oxford, American Psychological Association, Guildford, Springer, Routledge, and Jessica Kingsley. **Ole A. Andreasson** reports to be a consultant to Cortechs.ai, Precision Health AS, speakers honorarium from Otsuka, Lundbeck, Sunovion, Janssen.

Anders D. Børglum has received speaker fee from Lundbeck. **David Mataix-Cols** receives royalties for contributing articles to UpToDate, Wolters Kluwer Health, and personal fees for editorial work from Elsevier, all unrelated to the current work. **Murray B. Stein** has in the past 3 years received consulting income from Acadia Pharmaceuticals, BigHealth, Biogen, Bionomics, Boehringer Ingelheim, Clexio, Eisai, EmpowerPharm, Engrail Therapeutics, Janssen, Jazz

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Pharmaceuticals, NeuroTrauma Sciences, Otsuka, PureTech Health, Sage Therapeutics, Sumitomo Pharma, and Roche/Genentech. Dr. Stein has stock options in Oxeia Biopharmaceuticals and EpiVario. He has been paid for his editorial work on Depression and Anxiety (Editor-in-Chief), Biological Psychiatry (Deputy Editor), and UpToDate (Co-Editor-in-Chief for Psychiatry). **Joel Gelernter** is paid for editorial work by the journal Complex Psychiatry. Pino Alonso has received funding from Biohaven, Boston Scientific, Medtronic. All other authors report no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the research participants and employees of **23andMe** for making this work possible. A list of members of the 23andMe Research Team that contributed to this study can be found in **Supplementary Note** 1. The **Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT)** is a collaboration between HUNT Research Centre (Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology NTNU), Trøndelag County Council, Central Norway Regional Health Authority, and the Norwegian Institute of Public Health. The genotype quality control and imputation has been conducted by the K.G. Jebsen center for genetic epidemiology, Department of public health and nursing, Faculty of medicine and health sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU). HUNT analyses were performed in digital labs at HUNT Cloud, HUNT Research Centre Department of Public Health and Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway. We are deeply grateful for the participation of all subjects contributing to the **NORDiC** research. We thank the collection team that worked to recruit them: Anders Juréus, Jessica Pege, Malin Rådström, Radja Satgunanthan-Dawoud, Milka Krestelica, and Birgitta Ohlander, as well as data manager Bozenna Iliadou. We also wish to thank the National Quality Registry for Eating Disorders (RIKSÄT) for help with recruiting patients. We finally wish to thank the BBMRI.se and KI Biobank at Karolinska Institutet for professional biobank service. **MoBa** is supported by the Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services and the Ministry of Education and Research. We are grateful to all the participating families in Norway who take part in this on-going cohort study. We wish to thank all the people who helped in the conception, implementation, beta testing, media campaign and data cleaning of the **AGDS** data. We would specifically like to acknowledge Dale Nyholt for advice on using the PBS for research; Ken Kendler, Patrick Sullivan, Andrew McIntosh and Cathryn Lewis for input on the questionnaire; Lorelle Nunn, Mary Ferguson, Lucy Winkler and Natalie Garden for data and sample collection; Natalia Zmicerevska, Alissa Nichles and Candace Brennan for participant recruitment support. Jonathan Davies, Luke Lowrey and Valeriano Antonini for support with IT aspects; Vera Morgan and Ken Kirkby for help with the media campaign. We would like to thank VIVA! Communications for their effort in promoting the study. We also acknowledge David Whiteman and Catherine Olsen from QSkin. Data analysis for **EstBB** was carried out in part in the High-Performance Computing Center of University of Tartu. The authors are grateful to participants, families and staff of primary and secondary schools who kindly contribute in this research (**Marta Ribasés**, **Metal-Cat and INSchool**). **Silvia Alemany** acknowledges Miguel Servet contract (CP22/00026) awarded by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and co-funded by the European Union Found: Fondo Social Europeo Plus, FSE□+.HYPERGENES and InterOmics cohorts provided controls of Italian origin for the present study. **Judit Cabana-Dominguez** acknowledges her contract from the Network Center for Biomedical Research (CIBER). **Richard Delorme** acknowledges the Clinical Investigation Centre, Robert Debré Hospital. **Bengt Fundin** acknowledges The Anorexia Nervosa Genetics Initiative (ANGI), an initiative of the Klarman Family Foundation. **Jan Haavik** acknowledges the Trond Mohn Foundation, Bergen, Norway **Christine Lochner** would like to acknowledge the South African Medical Research Council and the National Research Foundation for their support.

Funding

EGOS was supported by a grant from the Beatrice and Samuel A. Seaver Foundation to DEG. The genotyping of **HUNT** was financed by the National Institute of health (NIH), University of Michigan, The Norwegian Research council, and Central Norway Regional Health Authority and the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU). This research is based in part on data from the **Million Veteran Program**, Office of Research and Development, Veterans Health Administration, and was supported by awards CSP575b, I01CX001849-01, 1P1HX002375, and the National Center for PTSD Research. MVP was supported by funding from the Department of Veterans Affairs Office of Research and Development, USVA, grants CSP575B and I01CX001849, MVP-025, and the VA Cooperative Studies Program study, no. 575B; the VA National Center for PTSD Research, and the West Haven VA Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Center; and by NIH grant R01 AA026364 (JG). D.F.L. is supported by a Career Development Award CDA-2 from the Veterans Affairs Office of Research and Development (1IK2BX005058-01A2) and is Aimee Mann Fellow of Psychiatric Genetics. This publication does not represent the views of the Department of Veteran Affairs or the United States Government. The **EPOC** study was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; KA815/6-1 and WA731/10-1). **LifeGene** was supported by the Swedish Research Council, the Karolinska Institutet/Stockholm County Council research grants, AFA Insurance and the Torsten and Ragnar Söderbergs Foundation. **Thomas V Fernandez:** Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute Of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01MH114927. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. This work (**GENOS**) was supported by the German Research Fundation (GR 1912/1-1). The OCD Collaborative Genetics Association Study (**OCGAS**) is a collaborative research study and was funded by the following NIMH Grant Numbers: MH071507, MH079489, MH079487, MH079488 and MH079494. This work (**OCGAS and IOCDF**) is supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research-Gravitation project 'BRAINSCAPES: a Roadmap from Neurogenetics to Neurobiology' (024.004.012) and the European Research Council advanced grant 'From GWAS to Function' (ERC- 2018-ADG 834057). The **OCGAS and IOCDF** samples are supported through NIMH Grant Numbers: MH071507 (G N), MH079489 (DAG), MH079487 (JM), MH079488 (AF), and MH079494 (JK). The **iPSYCH** team was supported by grants from the Lundbeck Foundation (R102-A9118,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

R155-2014-1724, and R248-2017-2003), NIH/NIMH (1R01MH124851-01 to A.D.B.) and the Universities and University Hospitals of Aarhus and Copenhagen. The Danish National Biobank resource was supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation. High-performance computer capacity for handling and statistical analysis of iPSYCH data on the GenomeDK HPC facility was provided by the Center for Genomics and Personalized Medicine and the Centre for Integrative Sequencing, iSEQ, Aarhus University, Denmark (grant to A.D.B.). A.D.B. was also supported by the EU's HORIZON-HLTH-2021-STAYHLTH-01programme, project number 101057385: Risk and Resilience in Developmental Diversity and Mental Health (R2D2-MH). **NORDiC** was supported by the Swedish Research Council (grants 2012-07111 and 2018-02487), Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare 2018-00221 and Center for Innovative Medicine – CIMED. **Nicholas G Martin** has received funding from a project grant from Australian NHMRC. The **AGDS** was primarily funded by National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia grant 1086683. This work was further supported by NHMRC grants 1145645, 1078901 and 1087889. LCC is supported by a QIMR Berghofer Institute fellowship. NORDiC is funded by NIMH R01 MH110427 (PI Crowley), NIMH R01 MH105500 (PI Crowley) and the Swedish Research Council grant # 2015–02271 (PI Mataix-Cols). The work done by the **EstBB** team has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant agreement 847776 (CoMorMent). This work (**Mental-Cat and INSchool)** was supported by the Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca (AGAUR, 2017SGR-1461, 2021SGR-00840), the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI20/00041, PI23/00404 and PI23/00026), the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF); the ECNP Network 'ADHD across the Lifespan'; "la Marató de TV3" (202228-30 and 202228-31). The Research Council of Norway supported **H. Ask, A. Havdahl** and **T. Reichborn-Kjennerud** (274611). A. Havdahl was also supported by South East Norway Health Authority (2020022). Grant support for the **MoBa** team was also provided from RCN (273291, 262656, 248778, 223273) and the KG Jebsen Stiftelsen.). **BioVU**: CTSA (SD, Vanderbilt Resources) was supported by the National Center for Research Resources, Grant UL1 RR024975-01, and is now at the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Grant 2 UL1 TR000445-06. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.The dataset(s) used for the analyses (BioVU) described were obtained from Vanderbilt University Medical Center's BioVU which is supported by numerous sources: institutional funding, private agencies, and federal grants. These include the NIH funded Shared Instrumentation Grant S10RR025141; and CTSA grants UL1TR002243, UL1TR000445, and UL1RR024975. Genomic data are also supported by investigator-led projects that include U01HG004798, R01NS032830, RC2GM092618, P50GM115305, U01HG006378, U19HL065962, R01HD074711; and additional funding sources listed at https://victr.vumc.org/biovu-funding/ **Zachary F. Gerring** is supported by NIH/NIA AG068026. **Marco Galimberti** received support from the following grants (Joel Gelernter): CSP575b, I01CX001849-01, 1P1HX002375, National Center for PTSD Research, 5R01DA054869-01. **Abdel Abdellaoui** was supported by the Foundation Volksbond Rotterdam. **Tim Bigdeli** is supported by NIMH grant 7R01MH103657 (GPC-OCD). **Jonathan Coleman:** This study represents independent research part funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the authors and not

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. **Christina Barlassina** was supported by grant EU FP7-HEALTH-2007-A-201550, and grant MIUR-CNR PB05. **Enda Byrne** was supported by the NHMRC Project Grant 1145645; University of Queensland Health Research Accelerator Program (HeRA).**Carolina Cappi** was supported by grant K99MH128540-01A1. **Valentina Ciullo** was supported by the Italian Ministry of Health grant RC-18-19-20-21/A. **Richard Delorme**: INSERM @ APHP granted the study. **Marco A. Grados** was supported by NIMH K23 MH066284. **Jan Haavik** was supported by Stiftelsen KG Jebsen (SKGJ MED-02). **Kristen Hagen** was supported by the Trond Mohn Foundation. **Elinor K. Karlsson** was supported by NIH R21 MH109938. **Paul S. Nestadt** was supported by R01MH071507. **Fabrizio & Federica Piras** are supported by the Italian Ministry of Health RC18-19-20-21/A grant. This work was in part supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) grants: [RA1971/8-1], [RA1971/7-1]; and by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) grant: 01ED2007A to **Alfredo Ramirez. Stephan Ripke** was supported by research grant 1R01MH124873-01. **Maria Soler Artigas** was supported by the The Instituto de Salud Carlos III (P19/01224, PI22/00464 and CP22/00128) and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). **Arpana Agrawal** was supported from grant U10AA008401. **Pino Alonso** was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (ISCIII PI22/00752) and Fundació La Marató 202201-30. **Cynthia M. Bulik** was supported by R01 MH124871 (Sullivan/Bulik) PGC4. **Howard Edenberg** was supported by grant U10AA008401. **Dan A. Geller** was supported by NIMH (OCGAS and OCGS). **Gregory L Hanna** was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (R01 MH58376), National Institute of Mental Health (K20 MH01065), National Institute of Mental Health (R01 MH101493), National Institute of Mental Health (R01 MH085321) **Norbert Kathmann** has received funding from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) KA815/6-1. **Sarah E. Medland** is supported by an Australian NHMRC Investigator Grant (APP1172917). **Benjamin M. Neale** is funded by grant R01MH124851. **Michele Pato and Carlos Pato** have received support from R01MH103657 and R01MH079494 from the National Institutes of Mental Health (NIMH) and the Della Martin Foundation, Los Angeles CA. **John Piacentini** has received support through the National Institute of Mental Health: R01MH50214: Collaborative OCD Genetics Study (G. Nestadt, PI; J. McCracken, UCLA PI). **Margaret A. Richter** was supported by funding from the Canadian Institutes for Health Research and the Ontario Mental Health Foundation. **David R. Rosenberg** was supported by NIMH R01MH059299. **Jack F. Samuels** was supported by NIMH Grant Number: MH071507. **Gianfranco Spalletta** is supported by the Italian Ministry of Health RC18-19-20-21/A grant. **Eric A. Storch** collected data as part of the following NIH grant: 1R01MH093381. **Ole A. Andreassen** (MoBa) has received grant support from RCN (324499,273291,262656,248778,223273), KG Jebsen Stiftelsen, NordForsk #164218. **Jaakko Kaprio** has been supported by the Academy of Finland (grant 336823). **Paul D. Arnold** is supported by the Alberta Innovates Translational Health Chair in Child and Youth Mental Health. **Dorothy E. Grice** is supported by the grant MH124679-01. **James A. Knowles** is supported through the following grants: R01MH103657 and R01MH079494 from the National Institutes of Mental Health (NIMH) and the Della Martin Foundation, Los Angeles CA. **Karin J.H. Verweij** is supported by the Foundation Volksbond Rotterdam. **Lea K. Davis** was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health including R01NS102371, R01MH113362, R01MH118223, R01NS105746, and R56MH120736. JS was supported by NIH training grant in Human

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Genetics, 2T32GM080178. **James Crowley** was supported by NIH grants R01MH105500 and R01MH110427. **Murray B. Stein** has been funded by the Veterans Affairs Administration (United States VA).

Web Resources & Code availability

Core analysis code for RICOPILI can be found at https://sites.google.com/a/broadinstitute.org/ricopili/. This includes PLINK (https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink2/), EIGENSOFT (https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/alkes-price/software/), Eagle2 (https://alkesgroup.broadinstitute.org/Eagle/), Minimac3 (https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/Minimac3), SHAPEIT3 (https://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/genetics_software/shapeit/shapeit.html), METAL (https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/METAL_Documentation) and LDSR (https://github.com/bulik/ldsc). MAGMA can be found at https://ctg.cncr.nl/software/magma . Genomic SEM, specifically the tutorial 'Models without Individual SNP effects' can be found here: https://github.com/GenomicSEM/GenomicSEM/wiki/3.-Models-without-Individual-SNP-effects
TWAS FUSION: http://gusevlab.org/projects/fusion/
PWAS: For access to the protein weights, see: https://www.synapse.org/#!Synapse:syn24872746
GCTA (mBAT-combo and COJO): https://yanglab.westlake.edu.cn/software/gcta/#Overview
LDSC and partitioned heritability: https://github.com/bulik/ldsc

Data availability

The meta-analyzed summary statistics (not including 23andMe data) will be made available via the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium Download page (https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/download-results/).

The full GWAS summary statistics for the 23andMe discovery data set will be made available through 23andMe to qualified researchers under an agreement with 23andMe that protects the privacy of the 23andMe participants. Datasets will be made available at no cost for academic use. Please visit https://research.23andme.com/collaborate/#dataset-access/ for more information and to apply to access the data.

MVP summary statistics are made available through dbGAP request under accession phs001672.v7.p1.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Affiliations

1 : Department of Psychology, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany; 2 : Department of Psychiatric Phenomics and Genomics (IPPG), Ludwig-Maximilians University Munich, Munich, Germany; 3 : Centre for Psychiatry Research, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet & Stockholm Health Services, Region Stockholm , Stockholm, Sweden; 4 : Department of Biomedicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; 5 : Department of Mental Health and Neuroscience, Translational Neurogenomics, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; 6 : Department of Population Health and Immunity, Healthy Development and Ageing, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; 7 : Department of Psychiatry, Human Genetics, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA; 8 : VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT, USA; 9 : Department of Center for Genomic Medicine, Psychiatric and Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; 10 : Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA; 11 : Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; 12 : Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 13 : CIMUS (Center for Research in Molecular Medicine and Chronic Diseases), Genomics and Bioinformatics, University of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, A Coruña, Spain; 14 : Grupo de Medicina Xenómica, Genetics, FIDIS (Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago de Compostela), Santiago de Compostela, A Coruña, Spain; 15 : Vanderbilt Genetics Institute, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA; 16 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, SUNY Downstate Health Sciences University, Brooklyn, NY, USA; 17 : VA NY Harbor Healthcare System, Brooklyn, NY, USA; 18 : Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom; 19 : National Institute for Health and Care Research Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre, South London and Maudsley NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom; 20 : Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA; 21 : Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; 22 : Mental Health and Neuroscience Program, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; 23 : Faculty of Medicine, School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; 24 : Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany; 25 : Department of Neurosciences and Mental Health, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada; 26 : Department of Psychiatry, Brain, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands; 27 : Second opinion outpatient clinic, GGNet, Warnsveld, The Netherlands; 28 : Molecular Brain Science Department, Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health,, Toronto, ON, Canada; 29 : Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; 30 : Psychiatric Genetics Unit, Group of Psychiatry, Mental Health and Addiction, Vall d'Hebron Research Institute (VHIR), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; 31 : Department of Mental Health, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; 32 : Biomedical Network Research Centre on Mental Health (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain; 33 : Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Institute, McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA, USA; 34 : Department of Psychiatry & Behavioural Neurosciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada; 35 : Laboratory of Neuropsychiatry, IRCCS Santa Lucia Foundation, Rome, Italy; 36 : Department of Heath Sciences, University of Milano, Milano, Italy; 37 : Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Aarhus University Hospital, Psychiatry, Aarhus, Denmark; 38 : Institute of Clinical Medicine, Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; 39 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, General Hospital Psychiatry, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA; 40 : Departments of Roy J. and Lucille A. Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA; 41 : Department of Child Study Center and Psychiatry, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA; 42 : Department of Research and Innovation, Division of Clinical Neuroscience, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; 43 : Department of Public Health and Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, HUNT Center for Molecular and Clinical Epidemiology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway; 44 : Department of Medical Genetics, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; 45 : Department of Child and Adolescent Mental Health, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain; 46 : Instituto de Salut Carlos III, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salut Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain; 47 : Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine At Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA; 48 : Seaver Autism Center for Research and Treatment, Icahn School of Medicine At Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA; 49 : The Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Icahn School of Medicine At Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA; 50 : Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; 51 : Department of Psychiatry, Universidade De São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; 52 : Department for Congenital Disorders, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; 53 : Child Health Research Centre, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; 54 : Pharmacogenetics Department, Investigaciones Clínicas, Instituto Nacional de Psiquiatría Ramon de la Fuente Muñiz, Mexico City, México; 55 : Department of Surgery, Duke, Durham, NC, USA; 56 : Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY, USA; 57 : Department of Psychiatry, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil; 58 :

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Center for Research in Molecular Medicine and Chronic Diseases (CiMUS), Genomics and Bioinformatics Group, University of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain; 59 : Galiician Foundation of Genomic Medicine, Grupo de Medicina Xenómica, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago -IDIS-, Santiago de Compostela, Spain; 60 : Medicina Genómica, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red, Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER), Santiago de Compostela, Spain; 61 : Programa MIND Escoles, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu , Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain; 62 : Departamento de Psiquiatría y Medicina Legal, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain; 63 : Department of Psychiatry, Ospedale San Raffaele, Milano, Italy; 64 : Department of Psychiatry, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA; 65 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore , MD, USA; 66 : Department of Mental Health, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA; 67 : Child and Adolesccent Psycchiatry Department, APHP, Paris, France; 68 : Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; 69 : Psychiatry, McLean Hospital OCDI, Harvard Medical School, Belmont, MA, USA; 70 : Adult Psychological Services, CBTeam LLC, Lexington, MA, USA; 71 : qGenomics (Quantitative Genomics Laboratories), Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain; 72 : Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Center for Eating Disorders Innovation, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; 73 : 23andMe, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA; 74 : Department of Psychiatry, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA; 75 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA; 76 : The Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research, iPSYCH, Aarhus, Denmark; 77 : Center for Genomics and Personalized Medicine, Aarhus, Denmark; 78 : Bioinformatics Research Centre, Aarhus, Denmark; 79 : Genetic Epidemiology Research Branch, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA; 80 : Department of Biomedicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; 81 : Bergen Center for Brain Plasticity, Division of Psychiatry, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; 82 : Department of Psychiatry, Møre og Romsdal Hospital Trust, Molde, Norway; 83 : Bergen Center for Brain Plasticity, Psychiatry, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; 84 : Department of Mental Health, Norwegian University for Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway; 85 : Million Veteran Program (MVP) Coordinating Center, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA; 86 : Department of Psychiatry, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA; 87 : PsychGen Centre for Genetic Epidemiology and Mental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway; 88 : Nic Waals Institute, Lovisenberg Diaconal Hospital, Oslo, Norway; 89 : Bergen Center for Brain Plasticity, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; 90 : Department of Medical Genetics, Dr. Einar Martens Research Group for Biological Psychiatry, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; 91 : Department of Psychiatry, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; 92 : Department of Medicine, Genetic Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA; 93 : Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, USA; 94 : Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA; 95 : Department of Bioinformatics and Integrative Biology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA; 96 : Department of Vertebrate Genomics, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA; 97 : Department of Medicine, MSB Medical School Berlin, Berlin, Germany; 98 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA; 99 : Estonian Genome Centre, Institute of Genomics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia; 100 : Department of Biostatistics, T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA; 101 : Department of Medicine, Channing Division of Network Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; 102 : Research Department, Grupo Medico Carracci, Mexico City, Mexico; 103 : Department of Psychiatry, Yale University, West Haven, CT, USA; 104 : Office of Research & Development, United States Department of Veterans Affairs, West Haven, CT, USA; 105 : Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; 106 : Department of Vertebrate Genomics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA; 107 : Department of Psychiatry, University of California, Irvine (UCI), Irvine, CA, USA; 108 : Department of Mental Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA; 109 : Vanderbilt Genetics Institute, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA; 110 : COBRE Center for Neuromodulation, Butler Hospital, Providence, RI, USA; 111 : Department of Psychiatry & Human Behavior, Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA; 112 : Butler Hospital, Providence, RI, USA; 113 : Department of Psychiatry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada; 114 : Department of Psychiatry, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; 115 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Science, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA; 116 : Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA; 117 : Department of Clinical Medicine, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; 118 : NORMENT, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; 119 : Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; 120 : Psychiatric and Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; 121 : Department of Biomedicine, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; 122 : Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland - FIMM, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; 123 : Department of Clinical Neuroscience and Neurorehabilitation, Neuropsychiatry Laboratory, IRCCS Santa Lucia Foundation, Rome, Italy; 124 : Department of Genetics, microbiology and statistics, IBUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; 125 : CIBERER, Centro de investigación biomédica en red, Madrid, Spain; 126 : Department of Human Molecular Genetics, Institut de Recerca Sant Joan de Déu, Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain; 127 : Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Division of Neurogenetics and Molecular Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; 128 : Department of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Neurodegenerative Diseases and Geriatric Psychiatry, University Hospital Bonn, Medical Faculty, Bonn, Germany; 129 : DZNE Bonn, German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Bonn, Germany; 130 : Department of Psychiatry and Glenn Biggs Institute for Alzheimer's and Neurodegenerative Diseases, UT Health San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA; 131 : Cologne Excellence Cluster for Stress Responses in Ageing-associated diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; 132 : Department of Psychiatry, McLean Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Belmont, MA, USA; 133 : Department of Mental disorders, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, New York, NY, USA; 134 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Child and Adolescent, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA; 135 : Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Charité - Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany; 136 : Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA; 137 : site Berlin-Potsdam, German Center for Mental Health (DZPG), Berlin, Germany; 138 : Department of Psychiatry, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Unit (UPIA), Federal University of São Paulo (UNIFESP), São Paulo, Brazil; 139 : Department of Neurosciences and Mental Health, Medical School, Federal University of Bahia, Salvador, Brazil; 140 : Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Medical Center - University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany; 141 : Department of Public Health and Nursing, HUNT Center for Molecular and Clinical Epidemiology, Trondheim, Norway; 142 : Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Locaion Vumc, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 143 : Department of Genetics, Microbiology, and Statistics, Faculty of Biology, Universitat de Barcelona (UB), Barcelona, Spain; 144 : Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway; 145 : Department of Public Health and Nursing, K. G. Jebsen Center for Genetic Epidemiology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway; 146 : BioCore - Bioinformatics Core Facility, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway; 147 : Clinic of Laboratory Medicine, St.Olavs Hospital, Trondheim University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway; 148 : Department of Psychiatry, Universidade de Sao Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; 149 : Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, CMM, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; 150 : OCD Institute, Division of Depression and Anxiety, McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA, USA; 151 : Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Belmont, MA, USA; 152 : Department of Psychiatry, Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA; 153 : Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, NY, USA; 154 : Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 155 : Human Genetics Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA; 156 : Department of Neurology, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA; 157 : Laboratory of Clinical Science, NIMH Intramural Research Program, Bethesda, MD, USA; 158 : Department of Neurology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; 159 : HUNT Center for Molecular and Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway; 160 : Department of Computional Biology, Institute of Life Science, Fudan University, Fudan, China; 161 : Department of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA; 162 : Department of Psychiatry, Veterans Affairs Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT, USA; 163 : Section of Biomedical Informatics and Data Science, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA; 164 : Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, USA; 165 : Department of Psychiatry, OCD Clinical and Research Unit, Bellvitge Hospital, Barcelona, Spain; 166 : Department of Clinical Sciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; 167 : Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute IDIBELLL, Barcelona, Spain; 168 : CIBERSAM, Mental Health Network Biomedical Research Center, Madrid, Spain; 169 : Psychosomatic Department, Windach Hospital of Neurobehavioural Research and Therapy, Windach, Germany; 170 : Department of Psychiatry, Washington U. School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA; 171 : Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; 172 : Department of Nutrition, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; 173 : Departments of Rijksuniversiteit Groningen and Psychiatry, University Medical Center Groninge, Groningen, The Netherlands; 174 : Department of Specialized Training, Drenthe Mental Health Care Institute, Groningen, The Netherlands; 175 : Department of Psychiatry, Institute of The Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (NIN-KNAW), Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 176 : Discipline of Psychiatry and Mental Health, School of Clinical Medicine, UNSW, Sydney, NSW, Australia; 177 : Academic Unit of Child Psychiatry South-West Sydney (AUCS), South-West Sydney Clinical School, SWSLHD & Ingham Institute, Sydney, NSW, Australia; 178 : Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA; 179 : Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital LMU, Munich, Germany; 180 : Department of Psychiatry, Max Planck Institute, Munich, Germany; 181 : Child Study Center and Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA; 182 : Department of Psychiatry, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, NY, USA; 183 : Department of Psychiatry, , Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; 184 : Department of Medicine, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA; 185 : Department of Medicine, Mass General Brigham, Boston, MA, USA; 186 : Department of Psychiatry, Child Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; 187 : Department of Psychiatry & Psychotherapy, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany; 188 : COBRE Center on Neuromodulation, Butler Hospital, Providence, RI, USA; 189 : Center for Neurorestoration and Neurotechnology, VA Providence Healthcare System, Providence, USA; 190 : Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA; 191 : Department of Psychiatry, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Arbor, MI, USA; 192 : Brain and Mind Centre, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia; 193 : Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA; 194 : Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, Department of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden; 195 : Bergen Center for brain plasticity, Division of Psychiatry, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; 196 : Department of Addictology and Psychiatry, Univ Paris Est Créteil, AP-HP, Inserm, Paris, France; 197 : Department of Psychiatry, SA MRC Unit on Risk and Resilience in Mental Disorders, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa; 198 : Department of Mental Health, Psychiatric Genetics, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; 199 : National Centre for Register-based Research, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; 200 : Centre for Integrated Register-based Research, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; 201 : Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; 202 : Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, , Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA; 203 : Department of Psychiatry, Psychiatry, Carracci Medical Group, Mexico City, México; 204 : Psiquiatría, Instituto Nacional de Medicina Genómica, Mexico City, México; 205 : Mental Health Center Copenhagen, Copenhagen Research Center for Mental Health, Mental Health services in the Capital Region of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark; 206 : Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; 207 : Department of Psychiatry, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA; 208 : Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, UCLA Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, Los Angeles, CA, USA; 209 : Department of Psychiatry, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA; 210 : Department of Complex Trait Genetics, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Center for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 211 : Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric, Section Complex Trait Genetics, VU Medical Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 212 : Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; 213 : Group of Psychiatry, Mental Health and Addictions, Psychiatric Genetics Unit, Vall d'Hebron Research Institute (VHIR), Barcelona, Spain ; 214 : Biomedical Network Research Centre on Mental Health (CIBERSAM), Barcelona, Spain ; 215 : Department of Psychiatry and Forensic Medicine, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain ; 216 : Department of Psychiatry, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, ON, Canada; 217 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neurosciences, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, MI, USA; 218 : Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; 219 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA; 220 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Division of Neuropsychiatry, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA; 221 : Dept of Psychiatry & Neuroscience Institute, SAMRC Unit on Risk & Reslience in Mental Disorders, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, Western Cape, South Africa; 222 : Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada; 223 : British Columbia Children's Hospital Research Institute, Vancouver, BC, Canada; 224 : British Columbia Mental Health and Substance Use Services Research Institute (BCMHSUS), Vancouver, BC, Canada; 225 : Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA; 226 : Department of Pharmacology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; 227 : Center for Genetic Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; 228 : Department of Cardiology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy; 229 : Institute of Biological Psychiatry, Mental Health Center Sct. Hans, Copenhagen University Hospital, Mental Health Services (RHP), Copenhagen, Denmark; 230 : Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; 231 : Institute of Clinical Medicine, NORMENT Centre, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; 232 : Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Center for Precision Psychiatry, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, , Norway; 233 : The Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research, iPSYCH, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; 234 : Center for Genomics and Personalized Medicine, CGPM, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark; 235 : Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Psychiatric University Hospital Zurich (PUK), University of Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland; 236 : Neuroscience Center Zurich, University of Zurich and the ETH Zuric, Zurich, Switzerland; 237 : Zurich Center for Integrative Human Physiology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; 238 : HUNT Research Center, Department of Public Health and Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway; 239 : Department of Research, Innovation and Education, St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway; 240 : Bergen Center for Brain Plasticity (BCBP), Psychiatry, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway; 241 : Centre for Crisis Psychology, Psychology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; 242 : Department of Genetic Epidemiology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia; 243 : Psychosis Reasearch Unit, Aarhus University Hospital - Psychiatry, 8200 Aarhus N, Denmark; 244 : Department of Mental Disorders, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway; 245 : Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; 246 : Department of Mental Health, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron , Barcelona, Spain; 247 : Department of Clinical Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Vestland; 248 : Department of Psychiatry, University of Freiburg - Medical Faculty, Freiburg, Germany; 249 : German Center for Mental Health (DZPG), Partner Site Berlin, Berlin, Germany; 250 : Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Psychiatric University Hospital Zurich (PUK), University of Zurich, Zürich, Schweiz; 251 : Departments of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany; 252 : Department of Research and Innovation, Clinical Neuroscience, Oslo University Hospital and University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; 253 :

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Social, Genetic, and Developmental Psychiatric Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom; 254 : Institute for Molecular Medicine FIMM, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; 255 : Department of Psychiatry, The Mathison Centre for Mental Health Research & Education, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada; 256 : Program in Genetics and Genome Biology, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada; 257 : Department of Genetics, Human Genetics Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA; 258 : PsychGen Center for Genetic Epidemiology, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway; 259 : PROMENTA Research Center, Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway; 260 : Department of Medicine, Division of Genetic Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA; 261 : Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC location AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 262 : Psychiatry Service, VA San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA, USA; 263 : Department of Psychiatry and School of Public Health, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA; 264 : Department of Psychiatry, Human Genetics (Psychiatry), Yale University School of Medicine, West Haven, CT, USA; 265 : Department of Psychiatry, Veterans Affairs Connecticut Healthcare Center, West Haven, CT, USA; 266 : Psychiatry and Genetics Institute, Center for OCD, Anxiety and Related Disorders, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA; 267 : Department of Mental Health and Neuroscience, QIMR Berghofer, Brisbane, Australia; 268 : Department of Community Health and Epidemiology and Faculty of Computer Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada

References

Als, T.D., Kurki, M.I., Grove, J. et al. Depression pathophysiology, risk prediction of recurrence and comorbid psychiatric disorders using genome-wide analyses (2023). *Nat Med* 29, 1832–1844. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-023-02352-1

Altshuler, D. M., Gibbs, R. A., Peltonen, L., Schaffner, S. F., Yu, F., Dermitzakis, E., Bonnen, P. E., De Bakker, P. I. W., Deloukas, P., Gabriel, S. B., Gwilliam, R., Hunt, S., Inouye, M., Jia, X., Aarno Palotie, Parkin, M., Whittaker, P., Chang, K., Hawes, A., … McEwen, J. E. (2010). Integrating common and rare genetic variation in diverse human populations. *Nature*, *467*(7311), 52–58. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09298

Anttila, V., Bulik-Sullivan, B., Finucane, H. K., Walters, R. K., Bras, J., Duncan, L., Escott-Price, V., Falcone, G. J., Gormley, P., Malik, R., Patsopoulos, N. A., Ripke, S., Wei, Z., Yu, D., Lee, P. H., Turley, P., Grenier-Boley, B., Chouraki, V., Kamatani, Y., … Neale, B. M. (2018). Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science*, *360*(6395). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap8757

IOCDF & OCGAS, Arnold, P. D., Askland, K. D., Barlassina, C., Bellodi, L., Bienvenu, O. J., Black, D., Bloch, M., Brentani, H., Burton, C. L., Camarena, B., Cappi, C., Cath, D., Cavallini, M., Conti, D., Cook, E., Coric, V., Cullen, B. A., Cusi, D., Davis, L. K., … Zai, G. (2018). Revealing the complex genetic architecture of obsessive-compulsive disorder using meta-analysis. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *23*(5), 1181–1188. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2017.154

Baselmans, B. M. L., Jansen, R., Ip, H. F., van Dongen, J., Abdellaoui, A., van de Weijer, M. P., Bao, Y., Smart, M., Kumari, M., Willemsen, G., Hottenga, J. J., Boomsma, D. I., de Geus, E. J. C., Nivard, M. G., & Bartels, M. (2019). Multivariate genome-wide analyses of the well-being spectrum. *Nature Genetics*, *51*(3), 445–451. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0320-8

Benner, C., Spencer, C. C. A., Havulinna, A. S., Salomaa, V., Ripatti, S., & Pirinen, M. (2016). FINEMAP: Efficient variable selection using summary data from genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*, *32*(10), 1493–1501. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw018

Blanco-Vieira, T., Radua, J., Marcelino, L., Bloch, M., Mataix-Cols, D., & do Rosário, M. C. (2023). The genetic epidemiology of obsessive-compulsive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Translational psychiatry, 13(1), 230. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

023-02433-2

Boedhoe, P. S. W., Schmaal, L., Abe, Y., Alonso, P., Ameis, S. H., Anticevic, A., Arnold, P. D., Batistuzzo, M. C., Benedetti, F., Beucke, J. C., Bollettini, I., Bose, A., Brem, S., Calvo, A., Calvo, R., Cheng, Y., Cho, K. I. K., Ciullo, V., Dallaspezia, S., … van den Heuvel, O. A. (2018). Cortical Abnormalities Associated With Pediatric and Adult Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: Findings From the ENIGMA Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Working Group. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *1*(175(5)), 453–462. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17050485

Bruin, W. B., Taylor, L., Thomas, R. M., Shock, J. P., Zhutovsky, P., Abe, Y., Alonso, P., Ameis, S. H., Anticevic, A., Arnold, P. D., Assogna, F., Benedetti, F., Beucke, J. C., Boedhoe, P. S. W., Bollettini, I., Bose, A., Brem, S., Brennan, B. P., Buitelaar, J. K., … van Wingen, G. A. (2020). Structural neuroimaging biomarkers for obsessive-compulsive disorder in the ENIGMA-OCD consortium: Medication matters. *Translational Psychiatry*, *10*(1), 342. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-01013-y

Bryois, J., Skene, N. G., Hansen, T. F., Kogelman, L. J. A., Watson, H. J., Liu, Z., Adan, R., Alfredsson, L., Ando, T., Andreassen, O., Baker, J., Bergen, A., Berrettini, W., Birgegård, A., Boden, J., Boehm, I., Boni, C., Boraska Perica, V., Brandt, H., … Sullivan, P. F. (2020). Genetic identification of cell types underlying brain complex traits yields insights into the etiology of Parkinson's disease. *Nature Genetics*, *52*(5), 482–493. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-0610-9

Bulik-sullivan, B., Finucane, H. K., Anttila, V., Gusev, A., Day, F. R., Loh, P., Consortium, R., Consortium, P. G., Duncan, L., Perry, J. R. B., Patterson, N., Robinson, E. B., Mark, J., Price, A. L., Neale, B. M., Genetics, P., Unit, T. G., Hospital, M. G., Medical, H., … Campus, C. B. (2015). An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nature Genetics*, *47*(11), 1236–1241. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3406.An

Bulik-Sullivan, B. K., Loh, P.-R., Finucane, H. K., Ripke, S., Yang, J., Patterson, N., Daly, M. J., Price, A. L., & Neale, B. M. (2015). LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet*, *47*(3), 291–295. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3211

Buniello, A., MacArthur, J. A. L., Cerezo, M., Harris, L. W., Hayhurst, J., Malangone, C., McMahon, A., Morales, J., Mountjoy, E., Sollis, E., Suveges, D., Vrousgou, O., Whetzel, P. L., Amode, R., Guillen, J. A., Riat, H. S., Trevanion, S. J., Hall, P., Junkins, H., … Parkinson, H. (2019). The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *47*(D1), D1005–D1012. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1120

Burton, C. L., Park, L. S., Corfield, E. C., Forget-Dubois, N., Dupuis, A., Sinopoli, V. M., Shan, J., Goodale, T., Shaheen, S. M., Crosbie, J., Schachar, R. J., & Arnold, P. D. (2018). Heritability of obsessive–compulsive trait dimensions in youth from the general population. *Translational Psychiatry*, *8*(1), 191. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-018-0249-9

Cai, N., Revez, J.A., Adams, M.J. et al. Minimal phenotyping yields genome-wide association signals of low specificity for major depression. Nat Genet 52, 437–447 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-0594-5

Cappi, C., Oliphant, M. E., Péter, Z., Zai, G., Conceição do Rosário, M., Sullivan, C. A. W., Gupta, A. R., Hoffman, E. J., Virdee, M., Olfson, E., Abdallah, S. B., Willsey, A. J., Shavitt, R. G., Miguel, E. C., Kennedy, J. L., Richter, M. A., & Fernandez, T. V. (2020). De Novo Damaging DNA Coding Mutations Are Associated With Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder and Overlap With Tourette's Disorder and Autism. Biological psychiatry, 87(12), 1035–1044. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.09.029

Chen, W., Larrabee, B. R., Ovsyannikova, I. G., Kennedy, R. B., Haralambieva, I. H., Poland, G. A., & Schaid, D. J. (2015). Fine Mapping Causal Variants with an Approximate Bayesian

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Method Using Marginal Test Statistics. *Genetics*, *200*(3), 719–736. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.176107

Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2019). Genomic Relationships, Novel Loci, and Pleiotropic Mechanisms across Eight Psychiatric Disorders. *Cell*, 179(7), 1469–1482.e11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.11.020

Daniel, J. M., & Reynolds, A. B. (1999). The catenin p120(ctn) interacts with Kaiso, a novel BTB/POZ domain zinc finger transcription factor. Molecular and cellular biology, 19(5), 3614–3623. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.19.5.3614

Davis, Michael A et al. “A core function for p120-catenin in cadherin turnover.” The Journal of cell biology vol. 163,3 (2003): 525-34. doi:10.1083/jcb.200307111

Davis, L. K., Yu, D., Keenan, C. L., Gamazon, E. R., Konkashbaev, A. I., Derks, E. M., Neale, B. M., Yang, J., Lee, S. H., Evans, P., Barr, C. L., Bellodi, L., Benarroch, F., Berrio, G. B., Bienvenu, O. J., Bloch, M. H., Blom, R. M., Bruun, R. D., Budman, C. L., … Scharf, J. M. (2013). Partitioning the Heritability of Tourette Syndrome and Obsessive Compulsive Disorder Reveals Differences in Genetic Architecture. *PLoS Genetics*, *9*(10). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003864

de Leeuw, C. A., Mooij, J. M., Heskes, T., & Posthuma, D. (2015). MAGMA: Generalized Gene-Set Analysis of GWAS Data. *PLoS Comput Biol*, *11*(4), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004219

Demontis, D., Walters, R. K., Martin, J., Mattheisen, M., Als, T. D., Agerbo, E., Baldursson, G., Belliveau, R., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Bækvad-Hansen, M., Cerrato, F., Chambert, K., Churchhouse, C., Dumont, A., Eriksson, N., Gandal, M., Goldstein, J. I., Grasby, K. L., Grove, J., Gudmundsson, O. O., … Neale, B. M. (2019). Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Nature genetics, 51(1), 63–75. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7

Demontis, D., Walters, G. B., Athanasiadis, G., Walters, R., Therrien, K., Nielsen, T. T., Farajzadeh, L., Voloudakis, G., Bendl, J., Zeng, B., Zhang, W., Grove, J., Als, T. D., Duan, J., Satterstrom, F. K., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Bækved-Hansen, M., Gudmundsson, O. O., Magnusson, S. H., Baldursson, G., … Børglum, A. D. (2023). Genome-wide analyses of ADHD identify 27 risk loci, refine the genetic architecture and implicate several cognitive domains. Nature genetics, 55(2), 198–208. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-022-01285-8

Derks, E. M., Thorp, J. G., & Gerring, Z. F. (2022). Ten challenges for clinical translation in psychiatric genetics. *Nature Genetics*, *54*(10), Article 10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-022-01174-0

DSM-5. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5*. *5 ed.*, 237-242. ISBN 978-0-89042-555–558. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596

Elsworth, B., Lyon, M., Alexander, T., Liu, Y., Matthews, P., Hallett, J., Bates, P., Palmer, T., Haberland, V., Smith, G. D., Zheng, J., Haycock, P., Gaunt, T. R., & Hemani, G. (2020). *The MRC IEU OpenGWAS data infrastructure* (p. 2020.08.10.244293). bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.10.244293

Fawcett, E. J., Power, H., & Fawcett, J. M. (2020). Women Are at Greater Risk of OCD Than Men: A Meta-Analytic Review of OCD Prevalence Worldwide. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry*, *81*(4), 19r13085. https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.19r13085

Fernández de la Cruz, L., Rydell, M., Runeson, B., D’Onofrio, B. M., Brander, G., Rück, C., et al. (2017) Suicide in obsessive–compulsive disorder: a population-based study of 36788 Swedish patients. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 11;22(11):1626–1632. http://www.nature.com/articles/mp2016115.

Fieblinger T. (2021). Striatal Control of Movement: A Role for New Neuronal (Sub-) Populations?. Frontiers in human neuroscience, 15, 697284. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2021.697284

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Finucane, H. K., Bulik-Sullivan, B., Gusev, A., Trynka, G., Reshef, Y., Loh, P. R., Anttila, V., Xu, H., Zang, C., Farh, K., Ripke, S., Day, F. R., Purcell, S., Stahl, E., Lindstrom, S., Perry, J. R. B., Okada, Y., Raychaudhuri, S., Daly, M. J., … Price, A. L. (2015). Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary statistics. *Nature Genetics*, *47*(11), 1228–1235. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3404

Gandal, M. J., Zhang, P., Hadjimichael, E., Walker, R. L., Chen, C., Liu, S., Won, H., van Bakel, H., Varghese, M., Wang, Y., Shieh, A. W., Haney, J., Parhami, S., Belmont, J., Kim, M., Moran Losada, P., Khan, Z., Mleczko, J., Xia, Y., … Abyzov, A. (2018). Transcriptome-wide isoform-level dysregulation in ASD, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder. *Science*, *362*(6420), eaat8127. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat8127

Giambartolomei, C., Vukcevic, D., Schadt, E. E., Franke, L., Hingorani, A. D., Wallace, C., & Plagnol, V. (2014). Bayesian Test for Colocalisation between Pairs of Genetic Association Studies Using Summary Statistics. *PLOS Genetics*, *10*(5), e1004383. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004383

Grotzinger, A. D., de la Fuente, J., Privé, F., Nivard, M. G., Tucker-Drob, E. M. (2023). Pervasive Downward Bias in Estimates of Liability-Scale Heritability in Genome-wide Association Study Meta-analysis: A Simple Solution. *Biological Psychiatry*, Volume 93, Issue 1, 29 - 36

Grotzinger, A. D., Rhemtulla, M., de Vlaming, R., Ritchie, S. J., Mallard, T. T., Hill, W. D., Ip, H. F., Marioni, R. E., McIntosh, A. M., Deary, I. J., Koellinger, P. D., Harden, K. P., Nivard, M. G., & Tucker-Drob, E. M. (2019). Genomic structural equation modelling provides insights into the multivariate genetic architecture of complex traits. *Nature Human Behaviour*, *3*(5), 513–525. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-019-0566-x

Gusev, A., Ko, A., Shi, H., Bhatia, G., Chung, W., Penninx, B. W. J. H., Jansen, R., de Geus, E. J. C., Boomsma, D. I., Wright, F. A., Sullivan, P. F., Nikkola, E., Alvarez, M., Civelek, M., Lusis, A. J., Lehtimäki, T., Raitoharju, E., Kähönen, M., Seppälä, I., … Pasaniuc, B. (2016). Integrative approaches for large-scale transcriptome-wide association studies. *Nature Genetics*, *48*(3), 245–252. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3506

Haber, S.N. (2016) Corticostriatal circuitry, *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience*, 18:1, 7-21, DOI: 10.31887/DCNS.2016.18.1/shaber

Halvorsen, M., Samuels, J., Wang, Y. et al. Exome sequencing in obsessive–compulsive disorder reveals a burden of rare damaging coding variants. Nat Neurosci 24, 1071–1076 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00876-8

Higgins, J.P.T. and Thompson, S.G. (2002), Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Statist. Med., 21: 1539-1558. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1186

Higgins J P T, Thompson S G, Deeks J J, Altman D G. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses BMJ 2003; 327 :557 doi:10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557

Howard, D. M., Adams, M. J., Clarke, T. K., Hafferty, J. D., Gibson, J., Shirali, M., Coleman, J. R. I., Hagenaars, S. P., Ward, J., Wigmore, E. M., Alloza, C., Shen, X., Barbu, M. C., Xu, E. Y., Whalley, H. C., Marioni, R. E., Porteous, D. J., Davies, G., Deary, I. J., … McIntosh, A. M. (2019). Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. *Nature Neuroscience 2019 22:3*, *22*(3), 343–352. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0326-7

Ishiyama, N., Lee, S. H., Liu, S., Li, G. Y., Smith, M. J., Reichardt, L. F., & Ikura, M. (2010). Dynamic and static interactions between p120 catenin and E-cadherin regulate the stability of cell-cell adhesion. Cell, 141(1), 117–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.017

Kichaev, G., & Pasaniuc, B. (2015). Leveraging Functional-Annotation Data in Trans-ethnic Fine-Mapping Studies. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *97*(2), 260–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.06.007

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

de Klein, N., Tsai, E.A., Vochteloo, M. et al. Brain expression quantitative trait locus and network analyses reveal downstream effects and putative drivers for brain-related diseases. Nat Genet 55, 377–388 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-023-01300-6

Knox, C., Wilson, M., Klinger, C. M., Franklin, M., Oler, E., Wilson, A., Pon, A., Cox, J., Chin, N. E. L., Strawbridge, S. A., Garcia-Patino, M., Kruger, R., Sivakumaran, A., Sanford, S., Doshi, R., Khetarpal, N., Fatokun, O., Doucet, D., Zubkowski, A., Rayat, D. Y., … Wishart, D. S. (2024). DrugBank 6.0: the DrugBank Knowledgebase for 2024. Nucleic acids research, 52(D1), D1265–D1275. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad976

Komossa, K., Depping, A. M., Meyer, M., Kissling, W., & Leucht, S. (2010). Second-generation antipsychotics for obsessive compulsive disorder. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews, (12), CD008141. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008141.pub2

Lam, M., Awasthi, S., Watson, H. J., Goldstein, J., Panagiotaropoulou, G., Trubetskoy, V., Karlsson, R., Frei, O., Fan, C. C., De Witte, W., Mota, N. R., Mullins, N., Brügger, K., Hong Lee, S., Wray, N. R., Skarabis, N., Huang, H., Neale, B., Daly, M. J., … Ripke, S. (2020). RICOPILI: Rapid Imputation for COnsortias PIpeLIne. *Bioinformatics*, *36*(3), 930–933. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz633

Lentini, J. M., Alsaif, H. S., Faqeih, E., Alkuraya, F. S., & Fu, D. (2020). DALRD3 encodes a protein mutated in epileptic encephalopathy that targets arginine tRNAs for 3-methylcytosine modification. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16321-6

Li, A., Liu, S., Bakshi, A., Jiang, L., Chen, W., Zheng, Z., Sullivan, P. F., Visscher, P. M., Wray, N. R., Yang, J., & Zeng, J. (2022). *mBAT-combo: A more powerful test to detect gene-trait associations from GWAS data* (p. 2022.06.27.497850). bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.27.497850

Li, A., Liu, S., Bakshi, A., Jiang, L., Chen, W., Zheng, Z., Sullivan, P. F., Visscher, P. M., Wray, N. R., Yang, J., & Zeng, J. (2023). mBAT-combo: A more powerful test to detect gene-trait associations from GWAS data. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, *110*(1), 30–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2022.12.006

Mahjani, B., Klei, L., Mattheisen, M., Halvorsen, M. W., Reichenberg, A., Roeder, K., Pedersen, N. L., Boberg, J., de Schipper, E., Bulik, C. M., Landén, M., Fundín, B., Mataix-Cols, D., Sandin, S., Hultman, C. M., Crowley, J. J., Buxbaum, J. D., Rück, C., Devlin, B., & Grice, D. E. (2022). The Genetic Architecture of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: Contribution of Liability to OCD From Alleles Across the Frequency Spectrum. The American journal of psychiatry, 179(3), 216–225. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.21010101

Mahjani, B., Birnbaum, R., Buxbaum Grice, A., Cappi, C., Jung, S., Avila, M. N., Reichenberg, A., Sandin, S., Hultman, C. M., Buxbaum, J. D., & Grice, D. E. (2022). Phenotypic Impact of Rare Potentially Damaging Copy Number Variation in Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder and Chronic Tic Disorders. Genes, 13(10), 1796. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13101796

Martin, J., Taylor, M. J., & Lichtenstein, P. (2018). Assessing the evidence for shared genetic risks across psychiatric disorders and traits. Psychological medicine, 48(11), 1759–1774. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717003440

Mataix-Cols, D., Frans, E., Pérez-Vigil, A., Kuja-Halkola, R., Gromark, C., Isomura, K., Fernández de la Cruz, L., Serlachius, E., Leckman, J. F., Crowley, J. J., Rück, C., Almqvist, C., Lichtenstein, P., & Larsson, H. (2018). A total-population multigenerational family clustering study of autoimmune diseases in obsessive–compulsive disorder and Tourette's/chronic tic disorders. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *23*(7), 1652–1658. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2017.215

Mattheisen, M., Samuels, J. F., Wang, Y., Greenberg, B. D., Fyer, A. J., Mccracken, J. T., Geller, D. A., Murphy, D. L., Knowles, J. A., Grados, M. A., Riddle, M. A., Rasmussen, S. A., Mclaughlin, N. C., Nurmi, E. L., Askland, K. D., Qin, H. D., Cullen, B. A., Piacentini,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

J., Pauls, D. L., … Nestadt, G. (2015). Genome-wide association study in obsessive-compulsive disorder: Results from the OCGAS. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *20*(3), 337–344. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2014.43

McCarthy, S., Das, S., Kretzschmar, W., Delaneau, O., Wood, A. R., Teumer, A., Kang, H. M., Fuchsberger, C., Danecek, P., Sharp, K., Luo, Y., Sidore, C., Kwong, A., Timpson, N., Koskinen, S., Vrieze, S., Scott, L. J., Zhang, H., Mahajan, A., … Marchini, J. (2016). A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nature Genetics 2016 48:10*, *48*(10), 1279–1283. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3643

Meier, S., Mattheisen, M., Mors, O., Schendel, D., Mortensen, P., Plessen, K. (2016) Mortality Among Persons with Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder in Denmark. JAMA psychiatry. 3;73(3):268–274.

Olislagers, M., Rademaker, K., Adan, R.A.H. et al. Comprehensive analyses of RNA-seq and genome-wide data point to enrichment of neuronal cell type subsets in neuropsychiatric disorders. Mol Psychiatry 27, 947–955 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-021-01324-6

Pardiñas, A. F., Holmans, P., Pocklington, A. J., Escott-Price, V., Ripke, S., Carrera, N., Legge, S. E., Bishop, S., Cameron, D., Hamshere, M. L., Han, J., Hubbard, L., Lynham, A., Mantripragada, K., Rees, E., MacCabe, J. H., McCarroll, S. A., Baune, B. T., Breen, G., … Walters, J. T. R. (2018). Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nature Genetics*, *50*(3), 381–389. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0059-2

Pauls, D. L. (2008). The genetics of obsessive compulsive disorder: A review of the evidence. *American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part C: Seminars in Medical Genetics*, *148*(2), 133–139. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.c.30168

Piantadosi, S. C., McClain, L. L., Klei, L., Wang, J., Chamberlain, B. L., Springer, S. A., Lewis, D. A., Devlin, B., & Ahmari, S. E. (2021). Transcriptome alterations are enriched for synapse-associated genes in the striatum of subjects with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Translational Psychiatry*, *11*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01290-1

Qi, T., Wu, Y., Zeng, J., Zhang, F., Xue, A., Jiang, L., Zhu, Z., Kemper, K., Yengo, L., Zheng, Z., Agbessi, M., Ahsan, H., Alves, I., Andiappan, A., Awadalla, P., Battle, A., Beutner, F., Jan Bonder, M., Boomsma, D., … eQTLGen Consortium. (2018). Identifying gene targets for brain-related traits using transcriptomic and methylomic data from blood. *Nature Communications*

Romero, C., Werme, J., Jansen, P.R. *et al.* Exploring the genetic overlap between twelve psychiatric disorders. *Nat Genet* **54**, 1795–1802 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-022-01245-2

Ruscio, A., Stein, D., Chiu, W. *et al.* The epidemiology of obsessive-compulsive disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Mol Psychiatry* **15**, 53–63 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2008.94

Schaffer, A. E., Eggens, V. R. C., Caglayan, A. O., Reuter, M. S., Scott, E., Coufal, N. G., Silhavy, J. L., Xue, Y., Kayserili, H., Yasuno, K., Rosti, R. O., Abdellateef, M., Caglar, C., Kasher, P. R., Cazemier, J. L., Weterman, M. A., Cantagrel, V., Cai, N., Zweier, C., … Gleeson, J. G. (2014). CLP1 Founder Mutation Links tRNA Splicing and Maturation to Cerebellar Development and Neurodegeneration. *Cell*, *157*(3), 651–663. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.049

Sharma, E., Sharma, L. P., Balachander, S., Lin, B., Manohar, H., Khanna, P., Lu, C., Garg, K., Thomas, T. L., Au, A. C. L., Selles, R. R., Højgaard, D. R. M. A., Skarphedinsson, G., & Stewart, S. E. (2021). Comorbidities in Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Across the Lifespan: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Frontiers in psychiatry, 12, 703701.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.703701

Shephard, E., Stern, E. R., van den Heuvel, O. A., Costa, D. L. C., Batistuzzo, M. C., Godoy, P. B. G., Lopes, A. C., Brunoni, A. R., Hoexter, M. Q., Shavitt, R. G., Reddy, Y. C. J., Lochner, C., Stein, D. J., Simpson, H. B., & Miguel, E. C. (2021). Toward a neurocircuit-based taxonomy to guide treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Molecular psychiatry, 26(9), 4583–4604. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-020-01007-8

Stein, D. J., Costa, D. L. C., Lochner, C., Miguel, E. C., Reddy, Y. C. J., Shavitt, R. G., van den Heuvel, O. A., & Simpson, H. B. (2019). Obsessive–compulsive disorder. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, *5*(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0102-3

Stewart, S. E., Yu, D., Scharf, J. M., Neale, B. M., Fagerness, J. A., Mathews, C. A., Arnold, P. D., Evans, P. D., Gamazon, E. R., Osiecki, L., McGrath, L., Haddad, S., Crane, J., Hezel, D., Illman, C., Mayerfeld, C., Konkashbaev, A., Liu, C., Pluzhnikov, A., … Weale, M. (2013). Genome-wide association study of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *18*(7), 788–798. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2012.85

Strom, N. I., Yu, D., Gerring, Z. F., Halvorsen, M. W., Abdellaoui, A., Rodriguez-Fontenla, C., Sealock, J. M., Bigdeli, T., I Coleman, J. R., Mahjani, B., Thorp, J. G., Bey, K., Burton, C. L., Luykx, J. J., Zai, G., Askland, K. D., Barlassina, C., Becker Nissen, J., Bellodi, L., … Mattheisen, M. (2021). Genome-wide association study identifies new locus associated with OCD. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.13.21261078

Strom, N. I., Halvorsen, M. W., Tian, C., Rück, C., Kvale, G., Hansen, B., …, Mattheisen, M. (2024) Genome-wide association study identifies new loci associated with OCD. *medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.06.24303776*

Sullivan, P. F., Agrawal, A., Bulik, C. M., Andreassen, O. A., Børglum, A. D., Breen, G., Cichon, S., Edenberg, H. J., Faraone, S. V., Gelernter, J., Mathews, C. A., Nievergelt, C. M., Smoller, J. W., O'Donovan, M. C., Daly, M., Gill, M., Kelsoe, J., Koenen, K., Levinson, D., … Sklar, P. (2018). Psychiatric genomics: An update and an Agenda. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *175*(1), 15–27. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17030283

Swedo, S. E., Leonard, H. L., Garvey, M., Mittleman, B., Allen, A. J., Perlmutter, S., Lougee, L., Dow, S., Zamkoff, J., & Dubbert, B. K. (1998). Pediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infections: clinical description of the first 50 cases. The American journal of psychiatry, 155(2), 264–271. https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.155.2.264

The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. (2015). A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature*, *526*(7571), 68–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15393

Trubetskoy, V., Pardiñas, A. F., Qi, T., Panagiotaropoulou, G., Awasthi, S., Bigdeli, T. B., Bryois, J., Chen, C.-Y., Dennison, C. A., Hall, L. S., Lam, M., Watanabe, K., Frei, O., Ge, T., Harwood, J. C., Koopmans, F., Magnusson, S., Richards, A. L., Sidorenko, J., … O'Donovan, M. C. (2022). Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *Nature*, *604*(7906), Article 7906. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04434-5

Turley, P., Walters, R. K., Maghzian, O., Okbay, A., Lee, J. J., Fontana, M. A., Nguyen-Viet, T. A., Wedow, R., Zacher, M., Furlotte, N. A., Magnusson, P., Oskarsson, S., Johannesson, M., Visscher, P. M., Laibson, D., Cesarini, D., Neale, B. M., Benjamin, D. J., Agee, M., … Pitts, S. J. (2018). Multi-trait analysis of genome-wide association summary statistics using MTAG. *Nature Genetics*, *50*(2), 229–237. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-017-0009-4

Tylee, D. S., Sun, J., Hess, J. L., Tahir, M. A., Sharma, E., Malik, R., Worrall, B. B., Levine, A. J., Martinson, J. J., Nejentsev, S., Speed, D., Fischer, A., Mick, E., Walker, B. R., Crawford, A., Grant, S. F. A., Polychronakos, C., Bradfield, J. P., Sleiman, P. M. A., … Glatt., S. J. (2018). Genetic correlations among psychiatric and immune-related phenotypes based on genome-wide association data. *American Journal of Medical*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Genetics. Part B, Neuropsychiatric Genetics : The Official Publication of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics, *177*(7), 641–657. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.32652

van den Heuvel, O. A., Boedhoe, P. S. W., Bertolin, S., Bruin, W. B., Francks, C., Ivanov, I., Jahanshad, N., Kong, X.-Z., Kwon, J. S., O'Neill, J., Paus, T., Patel, Y., Piras, F., Schmaal, L., Soriano-Mas, C., Spalletta, G., van Wingen, G. A., Yun, J.-Y., Vriend, C., … Group, E.-O. working. (2022). An overview of the first 5 years of the ENIGMA obsessive–compulsive disorder working group: The power of worldwide collaboration. *Human Brain Mapping*, *43*(1), 23–36. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24972

van den Heuvel, O. A., van Wingen, G., Soriano-Mas, C., Alonso, P., Chamberlain, S. R., Nakamae, T., Denys, D., Goudriaan, A. E., & Veltman, D. J. (2016). Brain circuitry of compulsivity. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, *26*(5), 810–827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.12.005

van Grootheest, D. S., Cath, D. C., Beekman, A. T., & Boomsma, D. I. (2005). Twin Studies on Obsessive–Compulsive Disorder: A Review. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, *8*(5), 450–458. https://doi.org/10.1375/twin.8.5.450

Vandervore, L. V., Schot, R., Milanese, C., Smits, D. J., Kasteleijn, E., Fry, A. E., Pilz, D. T., Brock, S., Börklü-Yücel, E., Post, M., Bahi-Buisson, N., Sánchez-Soler, M. J., van Slegtenhorst, M., Keren, B., Afenjar, A., Coury, S. A., Tan, W.-H., Oegema, R., de Vries, L. S., … Mancini, G. M. S. (2019). TMX2 Is a Crucial Regulator of Cellular Redox State, and Its Dysfunction Causes Severe Brain Developmental Abnormalities. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *105*(6), 1126–1147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2019.10.009

Virtanen, S., Kuja-Halkola, R., Sidorchuk, A., Fernández de la Cruz, L., Rück, C., Lundström, S., Suvisaari, J., Larsson, H., Lichtenstein, P., Mataix-Cols, D., & Latvala, A. (2022). Association of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder and Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms With Substance Misuse in 2 Longitudinal Cohorts in Sweden. JAMA network open, 5(6), e2214779. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.14779

Võsa, U., Claringbould, A., Westra, H.-J., Bonder, M. J., Deelen, P., Zeng, B., Kirsten, H., Saha, A., Kreuzhuber, R., Yazar, S., Brugge, H., Oelen, R., de Vries, D. H., van der Wijst, M. G. P., Kasela, S., Pervjakova, N., Alves, I., Favé, M.-J., Agbessi, M., … Franke, L. (2021). Large-scale cis- and trans-eQTL analyses identify thousands of genetic loci and polygenic scores that regulate blood gene expression. *Nature Genetics*, *53*(9), 1300–1310. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00913-z

Wainberg, M., Merico, D., Keller, M. C., Fauman, E. B., & Tripathy, S. J. (2022). Predicting causal genes from psychiatric genome-wide association studies using high-level etiological knowledge. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *27*(7), 3095–3106. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-022-01542-6

Wallace, C. (2020). Eliciting priors and relaxing the single causal variant assumption in colocalisation analyses. *PLOS Genetics*, *16*(4), e1008720. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008720

Walters, R. K., Polimanti, R., Johnson, E. C., McClintick, J. N., Adams, M. J., Adkins, A. E., Aliev, F., Bacanu, S. A., Batzler, A., Bertelsen, S., Biernacka, J. M., Bigdeli, T. B., Chen, L. S., Clarke, T. K., Chou, Y. L., Degenhardt, F., Docherty, A. R., Edwards, A. C., Fontanillas, P., Foo, J. C., … Agrawal, A. (2018). Transancestral GWAS of alcohol dependence reveals common genetic underpinnings with psychiatric disorders. Nature neuroscience, 21(12), 1656–1669. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0275-1

Wang, D., Liu, S., Warrell, J., Won, H., Shi, X., Navarro, F. C. P., Clarke, D., Gu, M., Emani, P., Yang, Y. T., Xu, M., Gandal, M. J., Lou, S., Zhang, J., Park, J. J., Yan, C., Rhie, S. K., Manakongtreecheep, K., Zhou, H., … Abyzov, A. (2018). Comprehensive functional genomic resource and integrative model for the human brain. *Science*, *362*(6420),

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

eaat8464. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat8464

Wang, J., Huang, D., Zhou, Y., Yao, H., Liu, H., Zhai, S., Wu, C., Zheng, Z., Zhao, K., Wang, Z., Yi, X., Zhang, S., Liu, X., Liu, Z., Chen, K., Yu, Y., Sham, P. C., & Li, M. J. (2020). CAUSALdb: A database for disease/trait causal variants identified using summary statistics of genome-wide association studies. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *48*(D1), D807–D816. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz1026

Watanabe, K., Stringer, S., Frei, O., Umićević Mirkov, M., de Leeuw, C., Polderman, T. J. C., van der Sluis, S., Andreassen, O. A., Neale, B. M., & Posthuma, D. (2019). A global overview of pleiotropy and genetic architecture in complex traits. *Nature Genetics*, *51*(9), 1339–1348. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0481-0

Westwell-Roper, C., Williams, K. A., Samuels, J., Bienvenu, O. J., Cullen, B., Goes, F. S., Grados, M. A., Geller, D., Greenberg, B. D., Knowles, J. A., Krasnow, J., McLaughlin, N. C., Nestadt, P., Shugart, Y. Y., Nestadt, G., & Stewart, S. E. (2019). Immune-Related Comorbidities in Childhood-Onset Obsessive Compulsive Disorder: Lifetime Prevalence in the Obsessive Compulsive Disorder Collaborative Genetics Association Study. Journal of child and adolescent psychopharmacology, 29(8), 615–624. https://doi.org/10.1089/cap.2018.0140

Wilbur, C., Bitnun, A., Kronenberg, S., Laxer, R. M., Levy, D. M., Logan, W. J., Shouldice, M., & Yeh, E. A. (2019). PANDAS/PANS in childhood: Controversies and evidence. Paediatrics & child health, 24(2), 85–91. https://doi.org/10.1093/pch/pxy145

Willer, C. J., Li, Y., & Abecasis, G. R. (2010). METAL: Fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(17), 2190–2191. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq340

Willsey, A. J., Fernandez, T. V., Yu, D., King, R. A., Dietrich, A., Xing, J., Sanders, S. J., Mandell, J. D., Huang, A. Y., Richer, P., Smith, L., Dong, S., Samocha, K. E., Tourette International Collaborative Genetics (TIC Genetics), Tourette Syndrome Association International Consortium for Genetics (TSAICG), Neale, B. M., Coppola, G., Mathews, C. A., Tischfield, J. A., Scharf, J. M., … Heiman, G. A. (2017). De Novo Coding Variants Are Strongly Associated with Tourette Disorder. Neuron, 94(3), 486–499.e9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.04.024

Wingo, T. S., Liu, Y., Gerasimov, E. S., Gockley, J., Logsdon, B. A., Duong, D. M., Dammer, E. B., Lori, A., Kim, P. J., Ressler, K. J., Beach, T. G., Reiman, E. M., Epstein, M. P., De Jager, P. L., Lah, J. J., Bennett, D. A., Seyfried, N. T., Levey, A. I., & Wingo, A. P. (2021). Brain proteome-wide association study implicates novel proteins in depression pathogenesis. *Nature Neuroscience*, *24*(6), 810–817. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-021-00832-6

World Health Organization. The Global Burden of Disease: 2004 Update. Geneva: WHO Press; 2008

World Health Organization. (2019). ICD-11: International classification of diseases (11th revision). *Retrieved from Https://Icd.Who.Int/*.

Wray, N.R., Ripke, S., Mattheisen, M. et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. Nat Genet 50, 668–681 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0090-3

Wu, J., Poppi, L. A., & Tischfield, M. A. (2022). Planar cell polarity and the pathogenesis of Tourette Disorder: New hypotheses and perspectives. Developmental biology, 489, 14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2022.05.017

Yanagisawa, M., Huveldt, D., Kreinest, P., Lohse, C. M., Cheville, J. C., Parker, A. S., Copland, J. A., & Anastasiadis, P. Z. (2008). A p120 catenin isoform switch affects Rho activity, induces tumor cell invasion, and predicts metastatic disease. The Journal of biological chemistry, 283(26), 18344–18354. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M801192200

Yang, J., Ferreira, T., Morris, A. P., Medland, S. E., Madden, P. A. F., Heath, A. C., Martin, N.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.13.24304161; this version posted March 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

G., Montgomery, G. W., Weedon, M. N., Loos, R. J., Frayling, T. M., McCarthy, M. I., Hirschhorn, J. N., Goddard, M. E., & Visscher, P. M. (2012). Conditional and joint multiple-SNP analysis of GWAS summary statistics identifies additional variants influencing complex traits. *Nature Genetics*, *44*(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2213

Yang, J., Lee, S. H., Goddard, M. E., & Visscher, P. M. (2011). GCTA: A tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. *Am J Hum Genet*, *88*(1), 76–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.11.011

Zeisel, A., Hochgerner, H., Lönnerberg, P., Johnsson, A., Memic, F., van der Zwan, J., Häring, M., Braun, E., Borm, L. E., La Manno, G., Codeluppi, S., Furlan, A., Lee, K., Skene, N., Harris, K. D., Hjerling-Leffler, J., Arenas, E., Ernfors, P., Marklund, U., & Linnarsson, S. (2018). Molecular Architecture of the Mouse Nervous System. *Cell*, *174*(4), 999-1014.e22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.021

Zhang, Y., Li, F., Fu, K., Liu, X., Lien, I.-C., & Li, H. (2021). Potential Role of S-Palmitoylation in Cancer Stem Cells of Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, *9*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2021.734897

Zhao, X., Wang, S., Hao, J., Zhu, P., Zhang, X., & Wu, M. (2020). A Whole-Exome Sequencing Study of Tourette Disorder in a Chinese Population. DNA and cell biology, 39(1), 63–68. https://doi.org/10.1089/dna.2019.4746

Zhu, Z., Zhang, F., Hu, H., Bakshi, A., Robinson, M. R., Powell, J. E., Montgomery, G. W., Goddard, M. E., Wray, N. R., Visscher, P. M., & Yang, J. (2016). Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. *Nature Genetics*, *48*(5), 481–487. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3538