# Factors supporting the primary care physicians' performance in Benin: a multiple case study

Kéfilath Bello[123*], Jan De Lepeleire[3], Djimon Marcel Zannou[4], Bart Criel[2]

1 Centre de Recherche en Reproduction Humaine et en Démographie, Cotonou, Benin

2 Department of Public Health, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium

3 Department of Public Health and Primary Care, General Practice, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

4 Faculté des Sciences de la Santé, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Benin

*Corresponding author: Kéfilath Bello, kbello@cerrhud.org

## Abstract

**Introduction**

In Benin, as in many African countries, there is a gradual increase in physicians practising at the primary care level. A literature review showed that these primary care physicians (PCPs) have great potential for improving the quality of care. However, several conditions are necessary for this potential to be unlocked and for the PCPs to contribute effectively to strengthen their local health system. This study aims to understand the factors that underpin the performance of PCPs in Benin.

**Methods**

We conducted a multiple case study in which we analysed eight contrasting PCP practices spread across five health districts in Benin. The study used a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods. We collected quantitative data through direct observations of the services provided by the PCPs. A descriptive statistical analysis of these data helped to assign performance scores to each PCP's practices (for the technical quality of services and communication with patients). For the qualitative data collection, we conducted 40 in-depth interviews and 16 focus group discussions with PCPs, other health workers, health authorities, local authorities and other community members. This qualitative data was subjected to thematic content analysis to identify the factors that might explain the observed performances. We then constructed a matrix presenting all the cases studied and the potential performance factors. Finally, we carried out a cross-case analysis to identify the most critical factors supporting the performance of PCPs in Benin.

**Results:**

The PCPs' performance scores ranged from 14.7 to 19.3 (out of 20) for communication and from 68% to 88% (out of 100%) for technical quality of care. Out of 14 potential performance factors retained after the first phase of the qualitative analysis, cross-analysis revealed nine factors that appeared to be essential in supporting the performance of PCPs in Benin in both the public and private sectors. These were (i) the values supporting the PCPs' practices, (ii) the PCPs' preparation to practice at first- line, (iii) the support provided to the PCPs from the

hierarchy, peers or professional associations, (iv) the leadership mandate given to the PCPs

and the degree of autonomy allocated to exert it, (v) the modalities of the financing of the

PCPs' practices, (vi)  the accountability mechanisms in place to support the PCPs' practices,

(vii) the PCPs' relationship with the rest of the primary care team and their leadership style,

(viii) the PCPs' collaboration with community leaders and other public officials, and (ix) the

context in which the PCP's practices are embedded.

**Conclusion:**

This study has enabled the identification of a series of factors that could act as levers for

improving the practice of PCPs in Benin.

**Keywords**

Primary care physicians, health workers performance, primary health care, Benin.

## Introduction

Like the rest of the international community, African governments have committed to ensuring healthy lives and promoting well-being for all their citizens (1). This goal cannot be achieved without well-trained, motivated, available, and properly distributed human resources for health (2). While the shortage of health workers is the most visible and debated issue when it comes to human resources for health in Africa (2), the sub-optimal utilization and performance of the existing workforce is an important, yet often unnoticed, problem. Notably, recent years have seen a growing presence of physicians at African primary care facilities (3,4), whereas the dominating policy choice (still) favors task-shifting and provision of primary care services by nurse-practitioners (5,6). This situation results from a combination of physician training efforts by African universities, governments' limited capacity to recruit and position them at higher healthcare levels, limited access to specialized training, and expansion of the private sector (7). This growing presence of primary care physicians (PCPs) in Africa is mainly observed in the private sector (and in urban areas) as a spontaneous, opportunistic, and poorly planned movement. However, there are a few cases where the PCPs are positioned as part of the academic development of family medicine or as part of a governmental choice to improve health systems' outcomes (4). For example, the Beninese government recently launched a program to post physicians in some of the largest primary care facilities that were previously staffed by non-physicians. The aim of this program is, among others, to improve quality of care and financial viability of these facilities. However, little is known on the ability of these physicians to contribute to these goals.

Several scholars have indicated that PCPs have a good potential for improving the quality of primary care in sub-Saharan Africa and the well-being of the population (4,8–10). In many settings, PCPs improved the technical quality of care (11,12), the access to care for complex conditions (11,13,14), and the clinical processes through training and supervision of non-physicians (13). They were also able to innovate during the COVID-19 pandemic and support the country responses (8,10). However, there is also evidence that PCPs in African countries sometimes perform poorly, especially when it comes to key features of good primary care, such as patient-centeredness, (financial) accessibility (15,16), technical quality of care (16–18), continuity of care (9,19), comprehensiveness, coordination, and community engagement (13,17). Moreover, the bulk of African PCPs work in urban areas and in the private sector, which threatens the equitable access to the services they provide (4,8). These performance issues are alarming as they may lead to inefficiencies, given that PCPs remain a relatively rare and expensive source of care.

Fortunately, the current evidence indicates that, under certain conditions, the potential of PCPs can be unleashed to improve primary care in African countries. Indeed, the PCPs' practices are diverse across various settings, and the literature report positive outcomes for the practice models that are relatively better organized and guided by a set of clear principles and governance arrangements. This is for instance the case for family physicians who receive a 2 to 4 years post-graduate training in family medicine, or for the "*Médecins*

*Généralistes Communautaires"* who are community-based physicians serving in rural areas
of some francophone Africa countries (4) or even physicians without a specific postgraduate
training but who have received some degree of governance guidance (20). Therefore,
understanding which factors influence the PCPs performance in a given context could help to
better guide and improve their practices. This is especially important in countries, like Benin
and many other African countries, where primary care delivery largely relies on non-
physicians and where the PCPs still remain relatively scarce.

A review of the PCPs' practices in sub-Saharan Africa, taking a health system lens (4), helped
identify potential factors that could influence PCPs' practices positively or negatively. The
professional identity of the PCPs includes the values underlying their practice, their
motivation to work as PCP, and their educational and professional trajectory, which is likely
to influence the way they perform in relation to primary care.  Governance factors such as
the existence of policy guidance, clear role definitions, resource allocation modalities,
incentives and other accountability mechanisms may also influence PCPs' performance. The
way PCPs' activities are organized and their relationships with other primary care team
members, local health authorities, community members and other key stakeholders are
additional factors that can influence their performance. The work environment (tools,
equipment, infrastructure, etc.) and the overall context (rural, urban, poverty, overall health
systems performance, etc.) can also influence PCPs' performance.

The literature examining PCPs' performance outside Africa also suggest similar factors, but it
usually regroups these factors into physicians' personal and professional characteristics on
the one hand, and organizational and systemic factors on the other (21). The physicians'
characteristics most often pertain to their training and professional experience, and to a
lesser extent to their age and gender. The organizational and systemic factors include the
organization of the physicians' practices (role and task distribution with other staff for
instance), their workload, the availability of adequate infrastructure and equipment, and the
features of the local health system in which the PCPs' practices are embedded. The
organizational and systemic factors are reported to play a greater part in physicians'
performance than their personal characteristics (21). The specific factors (or combination of
factors) that influence PCPs' practices in sub-Saharan African countries are barely known.
Most of the publications on PCPs' practices in Sub-Saharan Africa refer to the Republic of
South Africa and a limited number of other anglophone countries such as Nigeria, Kenya, or
Ethiopia (4).

Our study aims to better understand the factors that support the PCPs' performance in
Benin (West Africa). We define performance as the PCPs' ability (individually and together
with the rest of the primary care team) to provide care that is in line with the key features of
good primary care and to contribute to the good functioning of the local health system in
which they practice. The research questions are: (1) What is the performance of selected

PCPs' practices in Benin?; (2) Which factors are perceived by a range of stakeholders to
influence performance?; (3) What are the factors  highlighted by the cross case-analysis
most likely to contribute to PCPs offer of quality primary care in Benin?

**Methods**

**Study design**

This is a multiple-case study, using a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods.
For this multiple-cases study, we defined the case as the performance of a given PCP (see
the objectives at the end of the introduction section).

**Selection of the study sites**

To address the research questions, we needed to contrast various performance levels (good,
average and poor) and observe multiple potential influencing factors. Therefore, we
purposively selected 8 cases. For each case, a study site was selected using following the
steps described below.

*Step 1: Defining sets of variables to use as criteria to appraise PCPs' performance*

Because our objective was to include a range of study sites with different performance
levels, we needed criteria to help us predict the performance of the PCPs in our database. As
a result of previous studies (8,28), we had access to a database of 150 PCPs. A factor analysis
from this database helped us to identify three criteria. Each criterion comprises a set of
variables (see supplementary file 1). The first criterion is related to the practice of quality
improvement and public health activities. The second criterion is related to the availability of
essential health services (i.e. those defined in the Benin national guidelines as high-impact
interventions) at affordable cost. The third criterion related to the self-rated satisfaction of
the PCP. We wish to emphasize that we did not aim to construct a scientifically validated
tool to assess PCPs' performance but rather to find a pragmatic approach to establish a level
of contrast between individual PCPs deemed sufficient for our specific study purpose.

*Step 2: Predicting the PCP performance based on each criterion*

For each PCP, we calculated a score for each criterion, integrating the values of the variables
composing this criterion. A score was considered low when below the mean score for a given
criterion minus the standard deviation (score $< \mu - \sigma$). A medium score was a score situated
between the mean minus the standard deviation and the mean plus the standard deviation
($\mu - \sigma <$ score $< \mu + \sigma$). A high score was higher than the mean plus standard deviation (score $>$
$\mu + \sigma$).

*Step 3: Purposive selection of the PCPs to be included.*

We purposively selected 8 PCPs aiming for a large mix: 2 PCPs with high scores for at least
two criteria, 3 PCPs with medium scores across the criteria, and 3 PCPs with low scores for at
least 2 criteria. In order to have a sufficiently large variation of cases, we also considered the
gender and postgraduate training, if any, of PCPs, the institutional ownership of the facility
where the PCPs practice, and the geographic position and the urbanization level of the
facility's location.
Table 1 presents the characteristics of each of the 8 study sites, representing the 8 cases
studied.
184   *Table 1: Characteristics of the study sites*

| Case ID | Gender of the PCP | Geographic localisation in Benin | Population of the borough where the facility is located | Urbanization level | Ownership of the facility | Post graduate training |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Case 1 | F | South | 57 962 | Urban | Church | None |
| Case 2 | F | South | 57 691 | Urban | Para-governmental institution | None |
| Case 3 | M | South | 78 474 | Urban | Government | Medical specialty |
| Case 4 | M | South | 10 527 | Semi-urban | Government | None |
| Case 5 | M | North | 69 799 | Urban | Private (individual) | None |
| Case 6 | M | North | 22 291 | Rural | Private (individual) | Certificate in community general practice |
| Case 7 | F | North | 15 804 | Rural | Private (individual) | MGC |
| Case 8 | F | North | 15 927 | Semi-urban | Government | None |

**Study settings**

The study sites are spread across five health districts in Benin (figure 2). Three districts are urban:  Cotonou 2-3 (Cot 2-3), Cotonou 5 (Cot 5) and Parakou-N'Dali (PN); and the two others are predominantly rural: Ouidah-Kpomassè-Tori (OKT), and Nikki-Kalalé-Pèrèrè (NKP).

*Figure 1: Benin's health districts where the study was conducted*

**Conceptual framework**

The conceptual framework (figure 1) used for this study was iteratively constructed based on the Donabedian model (23), a scoping review previously conducted by the research team (4) and relevant literature on primary health care and health systems (24,25). We also integrated in the framework the factors described in the literature (see above) as influencing PCPs' practices.

In this framework, the outcomes box regroups the range of performance dimensions of PCPs and their teams that we assessed in this study: technical effectiveness, patient-centeredness, accessibility of the PCP's services, continuity of care, community-engagement, the PCP's capacity to strengthen the primary care team and the facility as a whole, and the contribution of the PCP's practices to strengthen the local health system. The first five

dimensions are widely used to assess primary care performance (26,27) and we have chosen
them because the ultimate goal of this work is to improve the contribution of general
practitioners to quality primary care in Benin and Africa. These dimensions were also
perceived by Beninese stakeholders as key to judge the PCPs' performance. The PCPs'
capacity to strengthen the primary care team and the facility and their contribution to
strengthen the local health system are less commonly used to assess the performance of the
primary physicians or primary care workforce in general. However, they were deemed
important by the stakeholders in Benin because the PCPs are usually seen as the leader of
their teams and because their work is meant to support the performance of the local health
system, which role is to improve the health well-being of the population withing their
boundaries.
216
217    It is important to note that all these performance dimensions, apart from the PCPs' capacity
218    to strengthen primary care teams and facilities, include not only the individual performance
219    of the PCPs, but also that of the primary care team.  Indeed, as PCPs rarely work alone in
220    Benin, it would not be appropriate to assess their individual performance in isolation.
221
222    From the literature (4,21,24,25),  we identified a number of factors that can potentially
223    influence PCPs' performance. We regrouped them into three categories: first, elements
224    pertaining to the professional identity of the PCPs; second, governance features of their
225    practice; and third, the nature of activities conducted and roles played in the local health
226    system (see figure 1).
227
228

*Figure 2: conceptual framework*

**Data collection**

*Quantitative data collection*

The quantitative data served to respond to research question 1. We collected this data through direct observations of the curative out-patient consultations conducted by each of the 8 PCPs. The consultations were observed by a physician present in the consultation room, using a structured observation grid. This grid was developed based on two tools: the Global Consultation Rating Scale, which is a validated scale for assessing physician-patient communication and patient-centeredness (29), and another tool for assessing the quality of the clinical examination based on care protocols used in Benin (30). The observation grid helped us to quantitatively assess two essential primary care dimensions: patient-centeredness of care and its technical effectiveness. We pre-tested the grid with five PCPs working outside of our study area to assess the relevance of these items. Feedback from these physicians was used to further improve the grids. Feedback was also given by a biostatistician. The physician who observed the consultations was trained for that purpose and conducted 10 observations together with the principal investigator (KB) prior to the actual data collection. They compared their scores for each of the 10 consultations. Discrepancies were discussed to ensure common understanding of both tools. Each PCP was observed for three consecutive days, selected as much as possible in the busiest period. Our aim was to observe at least 20 consultations. We achieved this goal for all PCPs except in site 7. The number of consultations observed for each PCP is displayed in table 2.

*Qualitative data collection*

The qualitative data was collected through individual in-depth interviews (IDI) and focus group discussions (FGD), using individual and group interview guides. These guides included questions pertaining to all our research questions. For research question 1, we asked key informants' opinion on the performance of the PCPs and their respective teams. For research question 2, we explored the respondents' perceptions on the factors that influenced (either positively or negatively) the performance of the PCPs studied. For research question 3, the interviews provided information on the factors that could possibly influence the PCPs' performance: their professional trajectory; their relationships with other team members, local health authorities, and community members; their activities; the organization of the primary care team; the type of support PCPs received; the accountability mechanisms, etc. For research question 3, we complemented the interviews with field observations. The latter provided information related to the PCPs' work environment, the equipment and infrastructure available, and the work organization of the PCPs and their teams.

For each PCP, key informants were selected from the following groups:
- All 8 PCPs (IDI)

- Other primary care workers operating in the health facility where the PCPs are based,

including other physicians, nurses, midwives, nurse aids, and where available, non-

clinical staff (IDI and FGD)

- Local district health authorities (IDI)

- Patients and other community members, including local and religious leaders,

community health workers, and representatives of community-based organizations

(IDI and FGD).

We respected the principle of maximum variation so as to have a diverse sample. The key

informants shared information related to each of the three research questions.  In total, we

conducted 40 IDIs and 16 FGDs. The latter included 8 FGDs with 54 primary care workers

and 8 FGDs with 63 community members (table 2).

To ensure a deep understanding of the PCPs' practices and performance, the principal

investigator (KB) and the research assistants spent two months to study the PCPs' practices

in their specific context. Moreover, KB kept in touch with the PCPs several months after the

data collection for follow-up questions, when relevant.

*Table 2: Number of  consultations observed and number of participants to the interviews*

| | Observations | Number of participants to the in-depth interviews (IDIs) | | | | | Number of participants to the Focus group discussions (FGDs) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Case ID | Number of consultations observed | PCPs studied | Primary care team members | Local health authorities | Patients | Total IDIs | Primary care team (1 FGD per case) | Community members (1 FGD per case) |
| Case 1 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| Case 2 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Case 3 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 7 |
| Case 4 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| Case 5 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Case 6 | 25 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 10 |
| Case 7 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 9 |
| Case 8 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 8 |
| Total | 180 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 40 | 54 | 63 |

**Data analysis**

Research question 1

We determined the performance of each PCP, including the individual performance of the

PCPs as well as the performance of the primary care team as a whole.

For the individual performance of the PCPs, we analyzed the quantitative data using

descriptive statistics. For each PCP, we then determined the mean communication score

following the instructions of the Global Consultation rating Scale (29), and we determined

the percentage of recommended clinical actions effectively performed in line with the
prevailing care protocols in the country.
298
For the performance of the primary care team as a whole, we analyzed the qualitative data.
Most IDIs and FGD were recorded and transcribed verbatim. Summaries were immediately
produced after the interviews that were not recorded because the participant did not feel
comfortable with the recording. The research team kept a daily log, using a tool developed
in Microsoft Forms, reporting all research activities performed, and storing observation
notes. We uploaded the transcripts in the Dedoose software (32) and performed a thematic
content analysis (31) on the qualitative data. For research question 1, we coded the
respondents' opinions on the performance of the PCPs and their teams into good, average
or poor performance regarding the following dimensions: technical effectiveness, patient-
centeredness, accessibility, continuity of care, community-engagement, PCP's capacity to
strengthen the primary care team and facility, and the case's contribution to strengthen the
local health system. We then summarized the performance of each PCPs along each of
these dimensions into good, average or poor performance, based on the majority of
opinions. Finally, we integrated quantitative and qualitative results in a table presenting an
overall view of the cases' performance.
314

315  ### Research questions 2 and 3
316  We developed a coding tree, based on the factors indicated in our conceptual framework
317  and on the basis of our field notes. This coding tree was iteratively refined, and new codes
318  were progressively added during the data coding process. The codes were then regrouped
319  into themes, based on semantic similarities and co-occurrence.
320

321  More specifically for research question 2, we identified the factors that were most cited
322  across the 8 cases and across stakeholder' groups as important in shaping PCPs'
323  performance. We then summarized these factors in a table and provided examples of
324  positive and negative influencing factors, as indicated by our respondents.
325

For research question 3, we performed a cross-case analysis. This method facilitates
comparison of commonalities and differences in events, activities, and processes within the
various case studies (33). For the cross-case analysis in our study, we displayed in a matrix
all the cases and the main themes representing potential performance factors. We then
described in more depth the key aspects of each theme and discussed how this relates to
the case's performance. Finally, we summarized the similarities and the common elements
throughout the cases in relation to each theme and concluded on whether  or not a
particular theme would be a factor influencing PCP's performance.
334

335     In this paper, we present a summary of the most important potential performance factors.
336     The matrix used for the cross-case analysis and the data within it, are presented in
337     supplementary file 2.
338
339

**Ethics**
This study received the ethical approvals of the Institutional Review Board of the Institute of
Tropical Medicine of Antwerp in Belgium (N° 1545/21) and the ethics committee for
biomedical research of the University of Parakou in Benin (N°0513/CLREB-UP/P/SP/R/SA).
We obtained informed consent from all participants, and the data were treated with strict
confidentiality.
346

347 **Results**

348 **Performance of the 8 PCP cases in Benin.**

349 Figure 3 displays the mean communication scores and the mean percentage of

350 recommended clinical actions performed for each of the PCPs' observed.

352
353 *Figure 3: Mean quality scores of the consultations observed for each PCP case*

354    Table 3 below summarizes the performance of the 8 PCP's practices, based on the
355    qualitative analysis (stakeholders' perceptions and observation of the research team).
356

357    *Table 3: Performance of the cases, based on the qualitative analysis*

| Performance dimensions | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 4 | Case 5 | Case 6 | Case 7 | Case 8 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Technical effectiveness | Average | Poor | Good | Average | Good | Average | Average | Average |
| Patient-centeredness (PCC) | Average | Average | Average | Good | Good | Good | Good | Average |
| Accessibility | Average | Average | Average | Average | Average | Average | Good | Poor |
| Continuity | Average | Average | Average | Average | Good | Good | Good | Average |
| Community-engagement | Average | Poor | Good | Good | Average | Good | Good | Good |
| PCP's capacity to strengthen the primary care team and the facility | Poor | Poor | Average | Good | Good | Good | Good | Average |
| Case's contribution to strengthen the local health system | Average | Average | Good | Good | Average | Good | Good | Good |

From the quantitative and qualitative results above, cases 1 and 2 have the weakest
performance for all dimensions assessed. They had the lowest communication scores (14.69
and 17.08 respectively) and the lowest percentages of recommended clinical actions (68%
and 73% respectively). Similarly, based on stakeholders' perceptions and observations, the
performance of these two cases was systematically poor or average throughout all
dimensions.
365

The other six cases were more difficult to categorize. When we look at the individual
performance for technical effectiveness and patient centered care, assessed through the
consultations' observations, case 8 had low scores. However, the qualitative data indicated
that it performed well in terms of community engagement and contribution to strengthen
the local health system. Cases 3 and 5 appear to be the best performing cases when we
consider individual performance during observations. Indeed, even if they did not have the
best score for any of the two indicators, they both had high scores for both (see figure 3).
The qualitative data also confirmed this finding. However, when we integrate the other
dimensions and the performance of the primary care team as a whole, the performance of
cases 3 and 5 are rather average. For instance, patient centeredness of care provided in case
3 is average because of poor welcoming and communication with patients by the primary
care team (apart from the PCP). Moreover, the accessibility of the PCP is limited because the
PCP is regularly absent. As for case 5, its accessibility is limited because of high costs of care.
There is also lack of a genuine community engagement beyond top-down designed
sensitization campaigns. The contribution of this case to strengthen the local health system
is difficult to appreciate because of the absence of a clearly defined population of
responsibility and limited coordination with other health system actors.

Case 6 had an average performance for both indicators (17.47 for the communication scores
and 81% for the percentage of recommended clinical actions). The best performing case for
communication was case 7 (score of 19.28) but it was only average for the other indicator
(80%). Similarly, the best performing case in terms of complying with recommended clinical
actions was case 4 (88%) but it was only average for the other quantitative indicator (score
of 17,63). However, the qualitative data revealed that cases 6 and 7 performed better in
more dimensions than the other cases.
390
**Factors perceived by various stakeholders as key-influencers of PCPs' performance.**
The factors that were most frequently mentioned by the stakeholders were related (in
descending frequency order) to: (i) equipment, infrastructure, and utilities; (ii) support of the
primary care team;  (iii) PCPs' intrinsic motivation and values;  (iv) PCPs' knowledge and
competencies; (v) fulfilment of the PCP' personal needs; (vi) support from health authorities,
peers, local government authorities and/or community members; (vii) workload that PCPs
face; (viii) general context elements of the locality where the PCPs' practice is situated; and
finally (ix) financial resources available. Table 3 provides illustrations on how these factors
influence the PCPs' practices, as reported by the stakeholders.
400

 *Table 4: Factors perceived by the stakeholders as influencing the PCPs' performance*

| Factors perceived as influencing the PCPs' performance | Views related to positive influence | Views related to negative influence |
|---|---|---|
| Equipment and infrastructures | • Availability of the required equipment (for instance basic lab tests) helps the PCPs to have more accurate diagnosis | • Low access to telephone and internet hampers the PCPs' access to adequate information and can decrease their motivation<br><br>• Even if a PCP knows the required treatment for a complex condition, poor equipment may prevent her to apply it. |
| Support of effective and available primary care team | • Good collaboration with the primary care team facilitates the PCP's work and fosters learning.<br><br>• Task sharing reduces the PCP's workload. | • Sometimes there are tensions between the PCPs and the nurse-partitioners (especially the one who were leading the centers before the PCP arrives). This hampers the results. |
| Intrinsic motivation and appropriate values | • PCPs who perform well or improve overtime were deemed to show a set of attributes and values, such as willingness to perform well and to learn, open-mindedness, respect for the patients and their cultures, commitment to the community and trustful relationships. | • According to stakeholders, some PCPs fail to perform community-oriented care or to support the primary care team members because of a poor integration into the community and little proactivity. |
| PCP's knowledge and competencies | • Good academic training and good medical knowledge were cited as factors having a positive influence on PCPs' performance. | • Lack of continuing education, lack of protocols for the management of conditions encountered by the PCPs were cited barriers for the PCPs' performance. |
| Fulfilment of the PCP's personal needs | • Availability of housing and necessary amenities were cited as elements that supports the PCPs' motivation to work well, to be available and remain at primary care level (especially in underserved areas). | • Inadequate salary and poor PCPs' satisfaction were cited as factors decreasing the PCPs' performance to perform well. |
| Support from the health authorities, the peers, the local authorities, and/or the community | • Support from the district medical team help PCPs to get access to resources such as training for themselves or their team, or key information. | • Lack of support from local authorities can make administrative procedures or access to information difficult for the PCPs and their facilities, especially in the private sector.<br><br>• The little functionality of the rare physicians' professional associations and little support by stakeholders in general were cited as demotivating factors and unfavorable for a good performance. |
| High PCPs' workload | • No positive example provided | • Insufficient human resources in the PCPs facility and high workload (especially for the PCPs in the public sector) may provide little |

| | | time to the PCPs to do their work properly and to learn. |
|---|---|---|
| General context/environment | • No positive example provided | • Many contextual factors can hamper the PCPs' performance such as the low financial capacity of the patients to pay for the necessary health commodities or the unfair competition from illegal practitioners which can push some PCPs to lower the quality of care, the lack of basic commodities in rural areas. |
| Financial resources | • No positive example provided | • Insufficient financial resources in the PCPs' facilities may negatively affect their work |

**Factors highlighted by the cross-case analysis as likely to contribute to PCPs providing**
**quality primary healthcare in Benin**
Based on the stakeholders' perceptions reported above, our conceptual framework and field
observations, we identified a set of factors that can potentially influence the performance of
the PCPs' practices. We have then conducted an analysis across the 8 cases to explore how
each of these factors played out for each case and what could be the link between these
factors and the case's performance. We concluded that a given factor probably influences a
particular dimension of PCPs' performance when the following conditions were met:
-   the factor is present for PCPs who display good performance and absent for the PCPs
who display poor performance for a given dimension.
-   There is a logical explanation on how the factor influences the PCPs' performance.
413
Based on this analysis, we concluded that the following factors are likely to influence the
performance of the PCPs' practices included in our study:  (i) the set of values underpinning
the PCPs' practices; (ii) the PCPs' preparation to practice at the level of the first line;  (iii) the
support provided to the PCPs by the hierarchy, peers or professional associations; (iv) the
leadership mandate given to the PCPs and the degree of autonomy allocated to exert it; (v)
the modalities of financing of the PCPs' practices; (vi) the accountability mechanisms in place
to support PCPs' practices; (vii) the extent of collaboration with community leaders and
other key stakeholders; (viii) the PCPs' relationship with the rest of the primary care team
and their leadership style; and (ix) the context in which the PCPs' practices are embedded,
especially whether this practice is in urban and rural area, and the ownership of the practice
by the State or not.
425
As illustration of this finding, the cases 1 and 2 were the least performing cases in our
sample. In these cases, the PCPs had had little preparation to practice at the level of the first
line, the mandate and roles assigned to them were limited to patients' consultations, and
they had a limited autonomy for influencing anything in their health facilities. They did not
have any incentive or requirement to go into the community and they work in silo or in
minimum collaboration rather than in team with the other primary care team members
(especially the case 2). In contrary, in the cases 6 and 7, which performed better than others

in several dimensions, the PCPs were very well prepared through a short post-graduate
training and field coaching. As the owners of their health facilities, they had a leadership
mandate and the necessary autonomy to exert this mandate. They also signed a charter with
their professional association, the health authorities, and the local authorities through which
they pledged to serve a well-defined population and they work together with the local
stakeholders. In these cases 6 and 7, the PCPs lead the primary care teams but they exert a
person-centered leadership with a good collaboration with the other primary care team
members and a particular attention to the needs of these team members.
441
Regarding the values, we found in all cases a commitment to serve the community and a
degree of professionalism. However, differences were found across the cases for other
values, and this impacts their performance. For example, in the sites where altruism and
commitment to help people were more developed (cases 1, 6 and 7), the financial
accessibility was better. In contrary, sites where these values were lacking (case 5) or only
officially stated (cases 2, 3,4, and 8), the financial accessibility was either average or bad.
Similar patterns were found for the support provided to the PCPs, the modalities of the
financing of the PCPs' practices, the accountability mechanisms, and the context.
450
Even though equipment and infrastructure are the most often mentioned factors by all types
of stakeholders, we could not find enough evidence to conclude that these influence the
performance of the cases studied. Neither could we conclude that continuing education and
fulfilment of the PCP's personal needs would influence the performance of the PCP. We did
not analyze the impact of the overall health regulation on the PCPs' practices because this
regulation is the same from one case to another and it was not possible to find differences
among the cases.
The table below summarizes our key findings.  A detailed analysis of the factors influencing
the PCPs' practices across the 8 cases is available in the supplementary file 3.

| Corresponding aspect of the conceptual framework | Observed factors | Summary of the analysis |
|---|---|---|
| Professional identity | Values | • The values that guide the PCPs' practices influence the outcomes of these practices.<br>• The nature of the values determine which performance dimension is influenced and whether this influence is positive or negative. For example:<br>  - Altruism and commitment to help people positively influences financially accessibility of the services provided.<br>  - Hierarchical culture negatively impacts the PCPs' capacity to strengthen the primary care team.<br>• Some good official values (for instance the public service orientation in the public health facilities) are not supported by the incentives and job descriptions provided to the PCPs. |
| Governance | Preparation of the PCP to practice at the first- line | • PCPs that were prepared (i.e. empowered in terms of knowledge and skills, psychological preparation to work in resources constraint environments, preparation for the specificities of the primary care practices) to fulfill their roles at the first line tend to perform better in the area in which they were capacitated.<br>• From the examples in our study, this preparation can occur during the undergraduate training in some medical schools, during a postgraduate training, or on-the-job through coaching at the start of the employment.<br>• The aspects in which the PCPs are capacitated determine the dimensions in which they will be performant. For example, one of our cases was mainly performant in the technical quality and facility management, these were the dimensions in which he was very well prepared. |
| Governance | Continuing education | • There is a general lack of continuing education among the cases studied.<br>• Our findings do not support a relation between continuing education and performance of the PCPs. |
| Governance | Equipment and infrastructure | • There is generally poor equipment and infrastructure in the sites studied but our findings do not support equipment as a factor determining the PCPs performance.<br>• Our findings do not support the fact that equipment and infrastructure impact the performance of the PCPs' practices. |
| Governance | Support from the hierarchy, peers or associations | • Having a support positively influences the performance of the PCPs who benefited from it. The support influences the PCPs' performance through clarification of what is expected from the PCPs, access to resources, peer-learning, coaching or feedback, and psychological support.<br>• The support can come from the PCPs' hierarchical superior or from peers.<br>• The performance dimension influenced by the support provided to the PCPs depends on the type of support provided (thus the support should be tailored to what is expected from the PCPs). |
| Governance | The leadership mandate given to the PCP and the | • The PCPs who have the mandate to lead their health center or primary care team took actions to strengthen this team and to improve their health facility. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | degree of autonomy allocated to exert it | • However, our findings suggested that the leadership mandate has a greater chance to support the PCPs' performance when it is associated with a certain degree of autonomy. |
| Governance | Modalities of the financing of the PCPs' practices | • The reliance on the income generated by the health services reduces the financial accessibility in some cases because the PCPs' practices needed to increase theirs costs to ensure their financial viability. This also led to over-prescription in other cases, thus reducing the quality of care.<br>• The existence of a financial support by a third party (NGO or church for instance) helps to maintain the costs lows, thus improves financial accessibility.<br>• Some strategies may help the PCPs' practices to mitigate the financial burden of the PCPs practices: the use of generic medicines, targeting a high number of consultations instead of a high price for one consultation, reducing the open hours, and a good accounting system supported by a professional accountant.<br>• The financing modalities can improve the PCPs' accountability and performance. For example, relying on the income generated by the health services may improve the technical quality of care and the patient centeredness, if the patients have the possibilities to choose other health practitioners. |
| Governance | Fulfilment of the PCP's personal needs | • The fulfillment of the PCPs personal needs appeared to increase the motivation and retention in the workplace for some PCPs. However, other could achieve good performance in various dimensions even with only an average satisfaction related to their personal needs.<br>• We did not have enough evidence to conclude that it improves their performance. |
| Governance | Regulation of the PCPs' practices | • In Benin, there are rules and laws that exist for regulating the practices of physicians and health workers in general (medical code of ethics, decrees regulating the private practice of health professionals, law for the people's health protection in Benin, etc.)<br>• We did not analyze this factor because the regulation does not differ from one case to another. Therefore, we would not be able to find differences among the cases. |
| Governance | Accountability mechanisms | • Effective accountability mechanisms (including the clear definition of the PCPs' roles, the clear definition of the deliverables or indicators expected from them and an effective way to assess these deliverables or indicators) positively influence the performance of PCPs' practices.<br>• The dimensions of performance influenced by the accountability mechanisms depend on the expectations set for the PCPs and the possibility to properly assess (or measure) the attainment of these expectations.<br>• Patients and community members' capacity to hold the primary care teams accountable can positively influences the performance of the PCPs' practices. This capacity comes either from their financial capability or from the strength of the community leaders. |
| Roles and activities | Collaboration with community leaders and other public officials | • PCPs' practices where we found an active collaboration with community leaders and other key stakeholders are more likely to have a better community engagement and a better contribution to strengthen the local health system.<br>• Collaboration with community leaders and other key stakeholders can also help the PCPs' practices to have access to some resources and feedback on their performance. |

| Roles and activities | Relationship with the rest of the primary care team and leadership style of the PCPs | • A strong collaboration between the PCPs and the rest of the primary care team associated with a person-centred leadership style from the PCPs positively influences the PCPs' performance, especially for the PCPs' capacity to strengthen the primary care team.<br>• This positive influence is achieved through a better teamwork, knowledge sharing, and development of shared values.<br>• A hierarchical relationship and a command-and-control style of leadership and a relationship where the PCP and the rest of the primary care team members work in silo appear to not support a good performance.<br>• A hierarchical relationship and a command-and-control style of leadership may support a good performance of PCPs' practice, but only if the PCP has a control over the hiring of the primary care team members.<br>• Allowing nurse-practitioners to provide patient care contributes to increase the accessibility of care for the population. This is achieved in two ways: the nurses can compensate for the absence of the physicians, and having nurses that consult reduces the number of physicians necessary, thus the cost for the facilities and the patients. |
|---|---|---|
| Roles and activities | Workload | • Difficult to appreciate a possible impact of the number of patients on the PCPs' performance, as the average numbers of patients seen daily was relatively low, ranging from 4 to 10.<br>• Even in case of limited availability due to non-clinical tasks (especially for the PCPs in the public sector), this was compensated by the nurse practitioners. Therefore, it was also difficult to appreciate the impact of multiple non-clinical activities on the PCPs' performance. |
| Not directly related | Context in which the PCPs' practices are embedded | • Several contextual aspects were found: insufficient financial capacities within the communities, urbanization level, ownership of the facility, and recurrent health systems issues such as a weak referral system or medicine stockout at national level.<br>• In our study the contextual factors that influence the PCPs' performance are:<br>- the urbanization level: some conditions appear easier to find in rural area than in urban area, such as a good relationship with the community leaders or a family-like relationship between the PCP and the rest of the care team. Lab tests, branded medicines and private health insurances were more available for the cases located in urban areas. This increases the availability of services but also provides more incentives for over prescription, as saw for some cases. Moreover, the competition with other centers tends to be higher in urban area, which led some of the cases to propose more and more diagnosis tests and sophisticated equipment to outdo other facilities.<br>- the ownership of the facility: in facilities owned by the State, the degree of autonomy of the PCPs and ability to make some decisions is lower than in the facilities owned by the PCPs themselves. |

## Discussion

### Main results and comparison with international literature

#### Assessing the performance of the PCPs

In this study, we used seven dimensions to evaluate the PCPs' performance: technical effectiveness, patient-centeredness, accessibility of the PCPs' services, continuity of care, community-engagement, the PCP's capacity to strengthen the primary care team and the facility, and the contribution of the PCPs' practices to strengthen the local health system. This holistic view of the PCPs' performance makes the evaluation complex, and simpler approaches could be advocated for routine monitoring. However, our holistic approach enabled us to get an in-depth understanding of the PCPs' performance and to identify issues that might not be uncovered with the analysis of only one dimension. For instance, in our study, the two cases performing best for technical effectiveness performed averagely for other dimensions, which impeded the overall quality of the care received by the patients. Measuring health workers' performance in primary care systems should thus include various dimensions, reflecting the final outcomes expected from these systems. This multidimensional assessment is a strong recommendation of the PHC measurement framework published by WHO in 2020 (27)and other works (34,35). Unfortunately, many studies on health workers' performance assessed one or very few dimensions, usually the technical effectiveness for specific health conditions (36–38).

Another important fact is that the PCPs' performance can hardly be disentangled from that of their team and the health facility in general. This is particularly true in contexts like Benin and many other African countries where the PCPs usually work together or along with nurses-practitioners. The case study approach we used allowed us to analyze the PCPs' performance within their context and understand how this performance relates to the performance of the rest of the healthcare team and to the patients' experiences. For example, we found that some PCPs might have an excellent individual performance, but the overall performance of their practices (which includes their own performance the one of their team) was rather average. In other cases, individual average performance of the PCPs was compensated by a good performance of the primary care team, resulting in good patients' experience and good contribution to strengthening the primary care team. Our approach to study the PCPs' performance aligns with the recommendations in the literature, according to which one should not only focus on measuring and improving the performance of individual health workers, but also consider team and organizational factors (21,34,38).

#### Factors influencing the PCPs' performance

Nine factors emerged from this multiple-cases study as likely to influence the PCPs' performance in Benin:

- One factor is related to the professional identity of the PCPs': the values supporting the PCPs' practices.

- Five are related to the governance of the PCPs' practices: the PCPs' preparation to practice at the first- line, the support provided to the PCPs from the hierarchy, peers or professional associations, the leadership mandate given to the PCPs and the degree of autonomy allocated to exert it, the modalities of the financing of the PCPs' practices, and the accountability mechanisms in place to support the PCPs' practices.
- Two are related to the roles and activities of the PCPs: the PCPs' relationship with the rest of the primary care team and their leadership style and the PCPs' collaboration with community leaders and other public officials.
- One transversal factor: the context in which the PCP's practices are embedded.

For the values, both the analysis of the stakeholders' perceptions and the cross-case analysis showed that they influence various performance dimensions. Professional values are indeed cornerstones of the identity of any profession (39),  and they are reported to be important for health workers' performance (39). However, as clearly illustrated among some of the cases included in our study (table 5) and other studies (40), professional values should not be taken for granted and it is not enough to articulate them in official documents. It is important to ensure that the PCPs and other primary care workers adopt the values that would support the performance expected from them. The few existing postgraduate training programs for PCPs in sub-Saharan Africa (41,42) and some undergraduate programs (43) include socialization of the trainees for the core PHC and family medicine values, such as social justice, people-centeredness, community-orientation, etc. However, our study shows that values can also be shared through interactions with peers within professional associations or coaching from supervisors. This provides opportunities for PCPs already working and countries without a postgraduate training program yet.

As for the PCPs' preparation, providing to the PCPs the necessary knowledge and skills to practice in primary care settings was among the top five factors cited by the stakeholders we interviewed. Scholars also pinpointed the physicians' knowledges and skills as a key factor determining their performance (34,43). However, knowledge and skills should help the PCPs to achieve the core primary care functions (27) and be geared towards the people's needs at primary care level (as opposed to a hospital setting) (44). Other aspects of the PCPs' preparation include preparing them psychologically to work in resource constrained environments and improving their soft skills (leadership and communication skills for example) to embrace the various roles expected from them, to work within a team and to deal with the community leaders (5,34,45). Our study showed that the PCPs who got such preparation tend to perform better. Alike the values, this preparation can be provided through various channels including undergraduate training, postgraduate training, or coaching by professional associations or supervisors.

Another factor highlighted by the stakeholders' interviews and the cross-case analysis is the support received by the PCPs. This support helped the PCPs to have a better understanding

of the roles expected from them, to have access to some necessary resources, to get
feedback on their performance and to have a psychological resilience in difficult situations.
This in turn improved their performance. PCPs received support either from the hierarchy, or
their peers or professional associations. Studies in both hospital and primary care settings
reported that support from the health facility managers helps to implement best-
practices(38), motivates the health workers for good performance (34,35), and facilitates
access to necessary resources to provide care of good quality (38). Peer support is also
reported in the literature as a powerful means to enhance health workers' performance
(46).
551
Regarding the leadership mandate, the PCPs in our sample who were given this mandate
make visible efforts to improve the quality of care and the availability of resources in their
health facilities. We also found that among PCPs with a leadership mandate, those with the
necessary autonomy were more able to take the necessary decisions and hold the primary
care team accountable for a good performance. Leadership is a key function in health system
(27,47). In a primary care team this function can be fulfilled by any cadre. However, in the
African context, many stakeholders see the PCP as the leader of the primary care
team(5,45,48), and our study confirmed this. Consequently, giving the PCPs the official
mandate and skills to effectively exert this leadership mandate appears important.
561
562  Moreover, ensuring autonomy over resource utilization and daily management at the first
563  line facility is shown to improve performance because managers can be more responsive to
564  local needs and react better to daily issues (34).
565
Concerning the financing modalities of the PCPs' practices, the cross-case analysis showed
lack of financial support is likely to lead to increased costs for the patients and poor quality.
Providing financial support to the PCPs' practices can thus contribute to improve their
performance (and their financial sustainability) (49). For instance, we found in this study, as
in other work (34,35), that the opportunity to receive funding (or risk to lose it) was an
incentive to be more responsive to the patients. However, this financial support (and the
PCPs' payment mechanisms) must be carefully designed and geared towards the results
expected from the PCPs (34,50). It should avoid the issues related to financial incentives by
integrating a mix of provider payment mechanisms (50) and being combined with other
types of incentives. We also found that professional financial management can help the PCPs
to mitigate their costs and enhance their financial sustainability. This professional facility
management (different from the leadership) is also recommended by the WHO (27) and
does not need to be done by the PCPs themselves.
579
The accountability mechanisms in place to support the PCPs' practices strongly appeared in
the cross-case analysis as influencing the PCPs' practices. Effective accountability
mechanisms include a clear definition of the PCPs' roles (this was for example the case for

the PCPs in the public sector in our sample), a clear definition of the results expected
(including the population for which they are accountable), effective ways to assess and share
these results and clear consequences when these results are achieved or not. The literature
largely reports the need for the PCPs and the primary care teams in general to be
accountable (34,35,45). Our study also highlighted that accountability mechanisms can exist
and be enforced but not support the right results. For instance, the PCPs in the public sector
in our sample were held accountable for the income generated by their health facility, but
this does not necessarily support the core functions of primary care. Therefore, the
accountability mechanisms for PCPs in Africa should be built around the end results
expected from African primary care systems (34,51).
593

The PCPs' relationship with the rest of the primary care team (mentioned by the
stakeholders as the support of effective and available primary care team) is another
performance factor found by this study. Like in other research (27,38,50,52), we found that
the PCPs are likely to perform better if they work in cohesion with other primary care
professionals (especially nurse-practitioners). When such cohesion exists (rather than
working in silos or within hierarchical relationships), there are better chances for the primary
care team to have shared values, to share knowledge and to work together towards a shared
objective (38). Moreover, in the cases where there is a teamwork, we found that the non-
physicians were able to provide good quality of care to the patients, with the support of the
PCP, even when the latter is not present. This teamwork requires a leadership style from the
PCP that is person-centered and favors the development of shared objectives, understanding
and motivating team members, and building a team spirit (35,45).
606

The collaboration with community leaders and other public officials appeared in the cross-
case analysis and stakeholders' interviews as a factor that supports the PCPs' performance in
terms of community orientation and contribution to a good local health system. Indeed,
when such collaboration exists, the community leaders usually help the PCPs to understand
the needs of the communities they serve and to build the necessary trust for the use of the
health services by these communities. We also found some cases where the community
leaders helped the PCPs to access financial or material resources or provided feedback on
their practices, thus contributing to the overall performance of these PCPs. Engaging with
local stakeholders to understand key issues, design and implement solutions for these issues
has long been proven to be as an essential factor for improving performance and ensuring
the success of health interventions (27,35). However, this factor is more commonly cited
when referring to health for health system governance or facility management (27,35). Our
study shows that engaging key stakeholders in the community is also important for the
delivery of good quality primary care services and the performance of the primary care
teams.
622

The last factor emphasized by both the cross-case analysis and the stakeholders' interviews
is the context in which the PCPs' practices are embedded. We found that this context
influences the relative importance of other factors on PCPs' performance. For instance, a
PCP with a person-centered leadership style appears to be even more important in the
public sector than in the private sector. Indeed, the PCPs in the public sector don't always
have the necessary decision space to enforce orders given in a command-and-control way.
Also, lab tests, branded medicines and private health insurances were more available for the
cases located in urban areas. This increases the availability of services, but it also provides
more incentives for over prescription.  The sociocultural and economic context indeed
influence the practice of any profession, and this should be considered when designing
interventions to improve health workers performance (21,34,35). The level of urbanization
warrants a particular attention as the share of the urban population is quickly growing
worldwide. In Benin, the urban population constituted 49.5% of the country's population in
2022 and this proportion is projected to be 65.45% in 2050. In Western Africa, 63.80% of the
population is expected to live in urban areas by 2050 (53). However, some positive factors
seem easier to get in rural areas (for instance a good relationship with community leaders).
Therefore, planning for primary care services and PCPs' practices should carefully consider
how to not lose the advantages linked to the rural areas and avoid or work around the
shortcomings inherent to urban settings.
642
### Factors with insufficient evidence regarding a potential influence on the PCPs' performance
Even though they were cited by the stakeholders as influencing factors, we did not find
sufficient evidence at the cross-case analysis to conclude that the following factors influence
the PCPs' performance in Benin: the equipment and infrastructure available for the PCPs'
practices, the continuing education, the fulfillment of the PCPs' personal needs and the
workload of the PCPs.
649
The lack of equipment and infrastructure and the quasi-inexistence of a well-structured
continuing education system are common situations found across Benin's health system. In
our study, we did indeed observe this lack of resources and continuing education in most of
our cases, but we realized that those who performed well managed to overcome these
issues.  For example, in some sites PCPs managed to ensure good continuity of care despite
the lack of digital patient records, which could have facilitated their work. Also, almost all
the cases that had good results with patient-centered care managed to do so despite
physical spaces that were not always appropriate. Finally, PCPs who had a leadership
mandate, the necessary will or creativity, the necessary autonomy, or the right relationships
with community leaders managed to gain access (even if temporarily) to resources for their
work. Similarly, some of the cases in our sample were able to compensate for the lack of
continuing education, thanks to peer support. Therefore, despite the importance of an
adequate equipment (21) and continuous training (21,37), our results show that much can
be done even with limited resources and other factors can help to have a good performance.

Other studies have also reported successful experiences of primary care provision in
resource-limited settings and even in situations of fragility (35,54). This opens great
possibilities in countries similar to Benin, with urgent need to improve the quality of care for
their population but where the socio-economic situation will probably not allow the PCPs to
get the same resources as PCPs in better-resourced countries.
669
Regarding the workload, some studies found that a high number of patients negatively
influences the physicians' performance(21).  In our study, it was difficult to appreciate such
influence, as the average numbers of patients seen daily by the PCPs was relatively low. Also,
the PCPs with a high non-clinical workload in our sample were less available for patient care.
This was compensated by nurse-practitioners, and we did not find enough evidence to
conclude that it influences the PCPs' performance.
676
**Implications for practice**
Contribution to international knowledge
Most of the factors found in this study are consistent with previous knowledge. However,
subtleties emerged from our study which are linked to the Benin context and to similar
contexts in Africa and other regions with limited resources. In terms of strengthening
knowledge and competencies, several approaches can be used: basic training and
postgraduate training, of course, but also coaching and on-site support from peers and
supervisors. Therefore, in countries where postgraduate training does not exist yet, actions
can already be undertaken to have effective PCPs while waiting for postgraduate training
programs. Similarly, we found that limited availability of key resources such as equipment,
infrastructure, or continuing education can, to some degree, be compensated by a strong
leadership, a good support from peer communities or health authorities to the PCPs.
689
The study also highlighted structural factors (preparation of the PCPs, shared values adapted
to the context and to the expected results, etc.) which go beyond the individual
characteristics of doctors but have great potential to influence their performance.
Addressing these structural factors could help to tackle the root causes of the
underperformance of the PCPs and, more broadly, primary care systems.
Finally, this study provided empirical evidence that can be combined with the existing
literature to build a theory on how the PCPs' performance can be supported in Benin and
refine the conceptual framework used for this study. This refined conceptual framework can
be used as a basis for a policy framework or to guide future efforts to analyze and improve
the PCPs' performance in Benin and similar contexts.
700
701    Building a theory and a refined conceptual framework
702    A deep analysis of the factors identified in this study showed that they are interlinked and
703    pathways to performance can be defined. These pathways include intermediary factors

704 (highlighted by the present study and previous literature) that can play a role in how a given
705 factor influences the PCPs' performance (figure 4).
706

707
*Figure 4: Pathways to PCPs' perfromance in Benin*

The following theory can summarise the pathways shown by figure 4.
*" If we offer adequate undergraduate training and adequate postgraduate training and/or*
*the right coaching, the right financing modalities, and effective accountability mechanisms,*
*we will have PCPs with the right values and the right preparation, with the necessary support*
*and with a leadership mandate and the autonomy to exert it. These PCPs are able to mobilize*
*the necessary resources (education and CME) to do their work and ensure the sustainability*
*of their practices. This in turn can allow the PCPs to perform their activities in good*
*collaboration and teamwork with the rest of the primary care team members and in*
*collaboration with the community leaders and other key stakeholders and with the*
*appropriate workload. All this leads to good performance, i.e the PCPs and their team*
*providing are that is technically effective, patient-centered, accessible, and community*
*oriented. The PCPs would also be able to strengthen her team and the PCPs' practices will*
*effectively contribute to strengthen the local health system. Getting a professional facility*
*manager (other than the PCP) can also help them in this reducing their workload, mobilizing*
*resources and achieving the results. This pathway to performance will be influenced by the*
*context and the right regulation can help maintaining it in a good direction".*
From this theory, we build a refined conceptual framework displayed in figure 5.

*Figure 5: Refined conceptual framework for PCPs' perfromance*

**Limitations and strengths**

We used validated tools for assessing the performance of the PCPs but had only one

observer to assess the consultations (instead of at least two as recommended for such

assessment(29)). It was difficult to have more than one observer because of the small-sized

consultation rooms and some reluctance from the PCPs and patients. This may have reduced

the reliability of our findings. However, the triangulation between quantitative and

qualitative data helped us to mitigate these insufficiencies. We also discussed the

assessments at length between observer and principal instigator and enhance observer's

inter-consultation variation. Another limitation is the low number of cases included in the

multiple case study. There is thus room to study the range of factors influencing PCPs'

performance on a larger sample and to test empirically the conceptual framework derived

from these factors.

Despite these limitations, the study has several strengths. We achieved a reasonable level of
variation in our sites in terms of performance, geographic localization, urbanization level,
gender, and institutional ownership. This variation provided opportunities to observe
similarities and differences across cases and note how a given factor would play a role (or
not). This provides a certain level of confidence when concluding whether a factor was more
likely than others to influence the performance of PCPs. We used an ethnographic approach
with principal investigator and research assistants spending two months interviewing and
observing the primary care teams and other key stakeholders in great depth. Finally, we
succeeded in conducting 56 interviews allowing us to reach saturation of data.
753

**Conclusion**
By triangulating data related to the stakeholders' perceptions, field observations of PCP
practices and evidence from the literature, we can conclude that the following factors are
key in shaping PCPs' performance in Benin: (i) the values supporting the PCPs' practices, (ii)
the PCPs' preparation to practice at the first- line, (iii) the support provided to the PCPs from
the hierarchy, peers or professional associations, (iv) the leadership mandate given to the
PCPs and the degree of autonomy allocated to exert it, (v) the modalities of the financing of
the PCPs' practices, (vi)  the accountability mechanisms in place to support the PCPs'
practices, (vii) the PCPs' relationship with the rest of the primary care team and their
leadership style,  (viii) the PCPs' collaboration with community leaders and other public
officials, and (ix) the context in which the PCP's practices are embedded. Even if our
methodology does not allow us to overgeneralize our findings, the factors highlighted in this
study can further be tested in subsequent studies on PCPs' performance in other African
countries. Moreover, the study clearly shows that the factors affecting the PCPs' and other
health workers' performance are closely linked to the performance expected of them and to
the specificities of their working arrangements and conditions. This reinforces the idea of a
context-adapted policy framework being important for strengthening physician
performance. The empirical evidence on the factors generated by this study can be
leveraged to improve the PCPs' performance in Benin and similar contexts. It is a first step in
the development of coherent policies with regard to the organization and regulation of PCPs'
practices in Benin, and beyond in other West African countries with relatively similar health
systems.
776

**List of abbreviations**
Cot 2-3: Cotonou 2 and 3
GP: General practitioner
IQR: Interquartile ranges
MGC : Médecin généraliste communautaire
NKP: Nikki-Kalalé-Pèrèrè

OKT: Ouidah-Kpomassè-Tori

PN: Parakou-N'Dali

PCP: Primary care physician

PHC: Primary health care

qual (in lowercase letters): Non-dominant qualitative strand in a mixed method study

QUAN (in uppercase letters): Dominant quantitative strand in a mixed method study

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted under the ethical approval N° 1545/21 issued by the Institutional Review board of the Institute of Tropical Medicine of Antwerp, and the ethical approval N° 0513 of the 1st March 2022, issued by the local ethic committee for biomedical research of the University of Parakou (Benin). Written consent was obtained from the participants, and we managed the data with strict confidentiality.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Availability of data and materials

The data supporting the finding are included in the tables in this published article and its supplementary information files. More information is available from the corresponding author (KB) if needed and on reasonable request.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Funding

The study was conducted with funding from a PhD grant allocated by the DGD Belgium, through the Institute of Tropical Medicine of Antwerp. The authors also received funds from the KU Leuven for conducting a multi-stakeholders workshop for validating the finding and using the results to develop a policy framework for the practice of PCPs in Benin.

### Authors' contributions

KB co-conceptualized the study, coordinated the data collection, curated the data, performed quantitative and qualitative data analysis, and produced the first draft of the manuscript. JDL and BC co-conceptualized the study, supervised the research process, contributed to the data analysis, and reviewed the manuscript drafts. DMZ supervised the research process and reviewed the manuscript drafts. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

### Acknowledgements

## References

1.    United Nations General Assembly. Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Preamble. 2015.

2.    Global strategy on human resources for health: Workforce 2030.

3.    Bosongo SI, Mukalenge FC, Tambwe AM, Criel B. Les médecins prestataires à la
première ligne des soins dans la ville de Kisangani en République Démocratique du
Congo : vers une typologie. Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med [Internet]. 2021 Sep 6
[cited 2022 Sep 28];13(1):8. Available from:
https://phcfm.org/index.php/phcfm/article/view/2617/4879
4.    Bello K, De Lepeleire J, Kabinda M. J, Bosongo S, Dossou JP, Waweru E, et al. The
expanding movement of primary care physicians operating at the first line of
healthcare delivery systems in sub-Saharan Africa: A scoping review. PLoS One
[Internet]. 2021 Oct 22 [cited 2021 Nov 7];16(10):e0258955. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34679111/
5.    Eyal N, Cancedda C, Kyamanywa P, Hurst SA. Non-physician Clinicians in Sub-Saharan
Africa and the Evolving Role of Physicians. Int J Health Policy Manag [Internet].
2015;5(3):149–53. Available from: http://ijhpm.com/article_3144_629.html
6.    Willcox ML, Peersman W, Daou P, Diakité C, Bajunirwe F, Mubangizi V, et al. Human
resources for primary health care in sub-Saharan Africa: progress or stagnation? Hum
Resour Health [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Apr 8];13(76). Available from:
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4566492/pdf/12960_2015_Article_7
3.pdf
7.    Desplats D, Koné Y, Razakarison C. 28 Pour une médecine générale communautaire en
première ligne. Med Trop [Internet]. 2004;64(6):539–44. Available from:
http://files/2858/DESPLATS et al. - 2004 - Pour une médecine générale
communautaire en premiè.pdf
8.    Bello K, De Lepeleire J, Agossou C, Apers L, Zannou DM, Criel B. Lessons Learnt From
the Experiences of Primary Care Physicians Facing COVID-19 in Benin: A Mixed-
Methods Study. Frontiers in Health Services [Internet]. 2022 Mar 29 [cited 2022 Apr
30];2:11. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frhs.2022.843058/full
9.    KB VP, RJ M, L BR, RPG B, I G, WJ S, et al. The Influence of Family Physicians Within the
South African District Health System: A Cross-Sectional Study. Ann Fam Med. 2018
Jan;16(1):28–36.
10.   Jenkins LS, Von Pressentin KB, Naidoo K, Schaefer R. The evolving role of family
physicians during the coronavirus disease 2019 crisis: An appreciative reflection. Afr J
Prim Health Care Fam Med [Internet]. 2020 Jun 9;12(1):a2478. Available from:
http://www.phcfm.org/index.php/PHCFM/article/view/2478
11.   Codjia L, Jabot F DH, Laurence C, Françoise J, Henri D. Évaluation du programme
d'appui à la médicalisation des aires de santé rurales au Mali. Accroître l'accès aux
personnels de santé dans les zones rurales ou reculées. Étude de cas N°2. Vol. No2.
Copenhague: OMS; 2010.
12.   Swanepoel M, Mash B, Naledi T. Assessment of the impact of family physicians in the
district health system of the Western Cape, South Africa. Afr J Prim Health Care Fam
Med. 2014 Dec;6(1):E1-8.

13.    KB VP, RJ M, L BR, RPG B, I G, WJ S, et al. The bird's-eye perspective: how do district
864           health managers experience the impact of family physicians within the South African
865           district health system? A qualitative study. South African Fam Pract. 2018;60(1):13–
866           20.
867    14.    Flinkenflögel M, Sethlare V, Cubaka VK, Makasa M, Guyse A, de Maeseneer J. A
868           scoping review on family medicine in sub-Saharan Africa: Practice, positioning and
869           impact in African health care systems. Hum Resour Health. 2020;18(1):1–18.
870    15.    Beogo I, Darboe A, Adesanya OA, Rojas BM. Choosing between nurse-led and medical
871           doctor-led from private for-profit versus non-for-profit health facilities: A household
872           survey in urban Burkina Faso. PLoS One. 2018 Jul 1;13(7):e0200233.
873    16.    Iipinge SN, Pretorius L. The Delivery and Quality of Sexually Transmitted Infections
874           Treatment by Private General Practitioners in Windhoek Namibia. Glob J Health Sci.
875           2012 Aug;4(5):156–71.
876    17.    Jan T, AILLET S, Com. UVSB 2. BordeauxFRA/. De Tananarive au Caire : un aperçu du
877           métier de médecin généraliste libéral dans le contexte des systèmes de santé locaux.
878           [Bordeaux]; 2011.
879    18.    Houenassi MDD, Codjo LHLH, Dokoui D, Dohou SHM, Wanvoegbe A, Agbodande A, et
880           al. Management of arterial hypertension in Cotonou city, Benin: general practitioners'
881           knowledge, attitudes and practice. Cardiovasc J Afr. 2016 Aug;27(4):1–6.
882    19.    Mukiapini S, Bresick G, Sayed AR, Le Grange C. Baseline measures of primary health
883           care team functioning and overall primary health care performance at Du Noon
884           Community Health Centre. Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med. 2018 Sep;10(1):e1–11.
885    20.    Palmer N, Mills A, Wadee H, Gilson L, Schneider H. A new face for private providers in
886           developing countries: what implications for public health? Bull World Health Organ.
887           2003;81(4):292–7.
888    21.    Wenghofer EF, Williams AP. Factors Affecting Physician Performance: Implications for
889           Performance Improvement and Governance Facteurs qui influent sur le rendement
890           des médecins : répercussions pour l' amélioration du rendement et pour la
891           gouvernance. Vol. 5, hEalthCarE PoliCY. 2009.
892    22.    Webster F, Rice K. Conducting ethnography in primary care. Fam Pract. 2019 Aug
893           1;36(4):523–5.
894    23.    Donabedian A. Evaluating the quality of medical care. Reprinted 1966 article. Milbank
895           Q [Internet]. 2005;83(4):691–729. Available from:
896           http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16279964%0Ahttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.
897           gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC2690293
898    24.    Kringos DS, Boerma WGW, Hutchinson A, van der Zee J, Groenewegen PP. The
899           Breadth of primary care - Addfiles. BMC Health Serv Res. 2010;18(3):72–72.
900    25.    Van Olmen J, Criel B, Bhojani U, Marchal B, Van Belle S, Chenge MF, et al. The health
901           system dynamics framework: the introduction of an analytical model for health
902           system analysis and its application to two case-studies. Health Cult Soc [Internet].
903           2012;2(1):1–21. Available from: http://hcs.pitt.edu/ojs/index.php/hcs/article/view/71

904    26.    World Health Organization (WHO). Primary Health Care: now more than ever
905           [Internet]. Geneva: WHO press; 2008. Available from:
906           https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43949

907    27.    Word Health Organization, the United Nations Children's Fund. Primary health care
908           measurement framework and indicators: monitoring health systems through a
909           primary health care lens [Internet]. Geneva; 2022 [cited 2023 Jan 9]. Available from:
910           https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240044210

911    28.    Criel B, Bello K, Tawaytibhongs O, Waweru E. The growing presence of medical
912           doctors at first line healthcare level in Benin, Thailand and Uganda: a multifaceted
913           phenomenon - The European Conference of Family Doctors 2020. In: European
914           Conference of Family Doctors [Internet]. Berlin/Online; 2020 [cited 2021 Aug 25].
915           Available from: https://wonca2020.confea.net/en/lecture?objid=1204

916    29.    Burt J, Abel G, Elmore N, Campbell J, Roland M, Benson J, et al. Assessing
917           communication quality of consultations in primary care: initial reliability of the Global
918           Consultation Rating Scale, based on the Calgary-Cambridge Guide to the Medical
919           Interview. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2014;4(3):e004339. Available from:
920           http://bmjopen.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004339

921    30.    Lagarde M, Burn S, Lawin L, Bello K, Dossou J paul, Goufodji BS, et al. Exploring the
922           Impact of Performance-Based Financing on Health Workers ' Performance in Benin.
923           Cotonou; 2015.

924    31.    Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology.

925    32.    Home | Dedoose [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jun 4]. Available from:
926           https://www.dedoose.com/

927    33.    Khan S, VanWynsberghe R. Cultivating the Under-Mined: Cross-Case Analysis as
928           Knowledge Mobilization. Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative
929           Social Research [Internet]. 2008 Jan 31 [cited 2023 May 30];9(1). Available from:
930           https://www.qualitative-research.net/index.php/fqs/article/view/334/729

931    34.    Dieleman M, Harnmeijer J. Improving health worker performance:in search of
932           promising practices. 2006.

933    35.    Mabuchi S, Sesan T, Bennett SC. Pathways to high and low performance: Factors
934           differentiating primary care facilities under performance-based financing in Nigeria.
935           Health Policy Plan. 2018 Jan 1;33(1):41–58.

936    36.    Asabir K, Witter S, Herbst CH, Dedzo KM, Appiah-Denkyira E, Soucat A, et al. World
937           Bank Report Part Title: The Performance of Health Workers Report Part Title: Toward
938           Interventions in Human Resources for Health in Ghana Report Subtitle: Evidence for
939           Health Workforce Planning and Results.

940    37.    Awases MH, Bezuidenhout MC, Roos JH. Factors affecting the performance of
941           professional nurses in Namibia. Curationis. 2013;36(1):E1–8.

942    38.    Irimu GW, Greene A, Gathara D, Kihara H, Maina C, Mbori-Ngacha D, et al. Factors
943           influencing performance of health workers in the management of seriously sick

children at a Kenyan tertiary hospital - Participatory action research. BMC Health Serv
Res. 2014 Feb 7;14.

39.  Barker Caza B, Creary S. The construction of professional identity. Perspectives on
Contemporary Professional Work. 2016;259–85.

40.  Voort CTM V, G VK, P C, GJ D, van der Voort CTM, van Kasteren G, et al. What
challenges hamper Kenyan family physicians in pursuing their family medicine
mandate? A qualitative study among family physicians and their colleagues. BMC Fam
Pract [Internet]. 2012 Apr;Apr;13(32)(1):32. Available from:
http://bmcfampract.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2296-13-32

41.  M VD. L'introduction des sciences sociales dans une expérience de formation
professionnelle des médecins de campagne au Mali. In: Colloque International
Amades : Proceedings of the international colloquium of Anthropology and Medicine.
Marseille, France. French;

42.  Mash R, Downing R, Moosa S, De Maeseneer J. Exploring the key principles of family
medicine in sub-Saharan Africa: international Delphi consensus process. South African
Fam Pract. 2008;50(3):60–5.

43.  Cauli M. Contribution of humanities and social sciences to the training of healthcare
professionals in Africa: An experience in the context of the mother and child Priority
Solidarity Fund. Sante Publique (Paris). 2013;25(6):857–61.

44.  Green LA, Yawn B p, Lanier D, Dovey SM. The ecology of medical care revisited. N Engl
J Med. 2001;344(26):2021–5.

45.  Moosa S. Family doctor leadership in African primary health care. Afr J Prim Health
Care Fam Med. 2021;13(1):1–2.

46.  Kjeldmand D, Holmström I. Balint groups as a means to increase job satisfaction and
prevent burnout among general practitioners. Ann Fam Med. 2008 Mar;6(2):138–45.

47.  Travis P, Egger D, Davies P, Mechbal A, Travis P, Egger D, et al. Towards better
stewardship: concepts and critical issues. [cited 2018 Apr 3]; Available from:
http://www.who.int/healthinfo/paper48.pdf

48.  Moosa S, Peersman W, Derese A, Kidd M, Pettigrew LM, Howe A, et al. Emerging role
of family medicine in South Africa. BMJ Glob Health. 2018;3:2–4.

49.  Hellowell M, Myburgh A, Sjoblom M, Gurazada S, Clarke D. Covid-19 and the collapse
of the private health sector: a threat to countries' response efforts and the future of
health systems strengthening? [Internet]. Geneva; 2020 [cited 2021 Aug 9]. Available
from: https://hsgovcollab.org/system/files/2020-06/Covid-19 and the collapse of the
private health sector- a threat to countries' response efforts and the future of health
systems strengthening_0.pdf

50.  Expert Panel on Effective Ways of Investing in Health. Definition of a frame of
reference in relation to primary care with a special emphasis on financing systems and
referral systems. Brussels: European Commission; 2014.

51.  Bregman P. The Right Way to Hold People Accountable. Harv Bus Rev [Internet].
2016;2–4. Available from:

http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&AuthType=ip,url,uid&db=buh&A
N=118685714&lang=fr&site=ehost-live

52. Bitton A, Ratcliffe H, Schwarz D, Fifield J, Benotti E, Huskey B. Primary health care
system performance in low and middle-income countries : a rapid scoping review of
the evidence from 2010-2017. Geneva: the PHC Research Consortium;

53. The World Bank. DataBank: World Development Indicators [Internet]. 2023 [cited
2023 Aug 9]. Available from:
https://databank.worldbank.org/reports.aspx?dsid=2&series=SP.URB.TOTL.IN.ZS

54. Nimpagaritse M, Korachais C, Meessen B. Effects in spite of tough constraints
- A theory of change based investigation of contextual and implementation factors
affecting the results of a performance based financing scheme extended to
malnutrition in Burundi. PLoS One. 2020;15(1):e0226376.
997
998

999 **Supporting information captions**

1000 Supplementary file 1:  Factor loading table

1001 Supplementary file 2: Cross case analysis simplified matrix.docx

1002 Supplementary file 3:  Details cross-case analysis final

1003