

## **Accelerated brain age in young to early middle-aged adults after mild to moderate COVID-19 infection**

Shelli R Kesler, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Oscar Y. Franco-Rocha, BSN, RN<sup>1</sup>, Alexa De La Torre Schutz, BS<sup>1</sup>, Kimberly A. Lewis, PhD, RN, CGNC<sup>1</sup>, Rija M Aziz, MBBS<sup>3,4</sup>, W. Michael Brode, MD<sup>3</sup>, Esther Melamed, MD, PhD<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Adult Health, School of Nursing, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Diagnostic Medicine, Dell Medical School, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Dell Medical School, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Neurology, Dell Medical School, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX USA

Corresponding Author:

Shelli R. Kesler, PhD

srkesler@austin.utexas.edu

1710 Red River St, Austin, TX, USA, 78712

Keywords: COVID-19, brain age, cognition, MRI

## Abstract

Cognitive decline is a common adverse effect of the Coronavirus Disease of 2019 (COVID-19), particularly in the post-acute disease phase. The mechanisms of cognitive impairment after COVID-19 (COGVID) remain unclear, but neuroimaging studies provide evidence of brain changes, many that are associated with aging. Therefore, we calculated Brain Age Gap (BAG), which is the difference between brain age and chronological age, in a cohort of 25 mild to moderate COVID-19 survivors (did not experience breathlessness, pneumonia, or respiratory/organ failure) and 24 non-infected controls (mean age = 30 +/- 8) using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). BAG was significantly higher in the COVID-19 group ( $F = 4.22$ ,  $p = 0.046$ ) by 2.65 years. Additionally, 80% of the COVID-19 group demonstrated an accelerated BAG compared to 13% in the control group ( $\chi^2 = 20.0$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Accelerated BAG was significantly correlated with lower cognitive function ( $p < 0.041$ ). Females in the COVID-19 group demonstrated a 99% decreased risk of accelerated BAG compared to males (OR = 0.015, 95% CI: 0.001 to 0.300). There was also a small (1.4%) but significant decrease in risk for accelerated BAG associated with longer time since COVID-19 diagnosis (OR = 0.986, 95% CI: 0.977 to 0.995). Our findings provide a novel biomarker of COGVID and point to accelerated brain aging as a potential mechanism of this adverse effect. Our results also offer further insight regarding gender-related disparities in cognitive morbidity associated with COVID-19.

## Introduction

Emerging studies have demonstrated that the Coronavirus Disease of 2019 (COVID-19) increase the risk for a range of neurological difficulties including cognitive impairment. Cognitive decline, or “brain fog”, is believed to be part of a cluster of symptoms that encompass difficulty with concentration, attention, and memory that persist beyond the acute disease phase. These symptoms are known as Post-acute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection (PASC) or Long COVID (1). Cognitive impairment after COVID-19 (COGVID) reduces quality of life, extends disease related morbidity, and impairs ability to return to work (2, 3). The precise mechanism of COGVID is unclear though neuroimaging studies have demonstrated significant alterations in brain structure and function (4-13), including studies of non-critical/non-hospitalized cases (14-17).

We previously showed that survivors of mild to moderate, non-hospitalized COVID-19 have significantly lower functional brain connectivity compared to non-infected controls, and hypo-connectivity was correlated with lower cognitive performance (18). Prior studies have indicated that reduction in functional brain connectivity is associated with normal aging (19, 20). Given that our cohort of COVID-19 survivors was young (mean age = 30 years), accelerated brain aging may be a potential mechanism of cognitive impairment in this population. Prior studies of COGVID have focused on older adults with severe disease as these are the most vulnerable patients. However, this approach has created a gap in the literature regarding cognitive outcomes in survivors of mild to moderate COVID-19 (people that do not experience breathlessness, pneumonia, or respiratory/organ failure), as younger individuals also show significant COGVID and may in fact be more vulnerable to long-term impairment (18, 21, 22).

Brain age can be estimated using non-invasive neuroimaging in combination with artificial intelligence. Comparing estimated brain age with chronological age provides a metric known as Brain Age Gap (BAG), which quantifies brain health as the divergence from the typical trajectory of aging (23, 24). Multiple studies have shown that BAG is a sensitive biomarker for detecting various neurologic and neuropsychiatric conditions (25, 26). We aimed to examine BAG in young and early middle-aged adults who had mild to moderate COVID-19. We hypothesized that BAG would be higher in COVID-19 survivors compared to non-infected controls.

## Methods

*Participants:* Between October 2021 and January 2023, we recruited adults with and without history of COVID-19 in central Texas. Potential participants were recruited via social media, community boards, and outpatient referrals. Participants in the COVID-19 group were excluded for signs or symptoms of severe infection including self-rating of severity or hospitalization. Any potential participant was excluded for pre-existing history of developmental, medical, or psychiatric disorders known to affect cognitive function, significant sensory impairment (e.g., blindness), or MRI contraindications (e.g., magnetic biomedical implants, certain orthodontia, claustrophobia). In total, we enrolled 50 adults (54% female) aged 21 to 50 years (mean = 30.7 +/- 8.7). Twenty-six participants had a self-reported history of positive COVID-19 test and the remaining 24 participants self-reported no history of infection by test or associated symptoms. We excluded one participant in the COVID-19 group for receiving treatment in a Post-COVID Clinic for Long COVID. There were no significant differences between

the two groups in any demographic characteristics except for racial/ethnic minority status which was significantly higher in the control group (Table 1). This study was approved by the University of Texas at Austin Institutional Review Board (protocol# 00001337), was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and all participants provided written, informed consent.

*Demographic and COVID-19 Measures:* We used instruments recommended by the National Institutes of Health to facilitate COVID-19-related research. Specifically, from the NIH Repository of COVID-19 Research Tools, we administered the RADxUP Sociodemographic Questionnaire and the COVID-19 Experiences questionnaire to measure COVID-19 severity and symptoms during active infection (27). We also assessed current anosmia using the Pocket Smell Test (28).

*Neuropsychiatric Function:* We administered BrainCheck, a computerized battery of neuropsychological tests including Trails A (attention, processing speed, executive function), Trails B (attention, processing speed, executive function), Immediate List Recall (verbal memory learning), Delayed List Recall (verbal memory recall), Stroop (response inhibition), and Digit Symbol (graphomotor processing speed) (29). BrainCheck provides age normalized test scores (mean = 100 +/- 15). To measure subjective cognitive function, we administered the Patient Reported Outcome Measures Information System (PROMIS) Cognitive Function Short Form 8a (30). This is an 8-item, self-rating questionnaire regarding the frequency of cognitive symptoms. We also administered PROMIS-57 Profile v2.1 to measure depressive symptoms, fatigue, anxiety, sleep disturbance, pain, and social role functioning (31). PROMIS provides standardized T-scores with a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10.

*Neuroimaging Pulse Sequence:* T1-weighted anatomical MRI was collected using a high-resolution magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence: TR = 2400ms, TE = 2.18, flip angle = 8 degrees, FOV 256 mm, parallel imaging with GRAPPA acceleration factor = 2, 0.8mm isotropic resolution, 208 sagittal slices, scan time = 6:38min. Resting state fMRI, diffusion tensor imaging and arterial spin labeling data were also collected during this 45-minute scan session but are not reported here.

*Brain Age Gap (BAG).* We estimated brain age from brain volumes with brainageR v2.1, a publicly available algorithm that has been shown to be one of the most reliable for predicting age from brain MRI (32). The algorithm implements a Gaussian Processes regression model to predict brain age from segmented brain volumes (33, 34). The model was trained on 3,377 healthy individuals (mean age = 40.6 years, SD = 21.4, age range 18-92 years) and tested on an independent dataset of 857 healthy individuals (mean age = 40.1 years, SD = 21.8, age range 18-90 years). The algorithm accepts raw, T1-weighted MRI scans, segments and normalizes them using Statistical Parametric Mapping v12 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK) with custom templates. We subtracted chronological age from estimated brain age to calculate BAG. A positive BAG thus represents accelerated brain age and a negative BAG represents decelerated brain age (23).

*Statistical Analysis.* We compared BAG between groups using two different approaches; ANCOVA controlling for total brain volume, and a Chi square test to evaluate the difference in frequency of accelerated BAG (BAG > 0). We conducted exploratory Spearman correlation analysis between accelerated BAG (1 = yes, 0 = no) and cognitive test scores. We used exploratory logistic regression to determine clinical and demographic characteristics (number of

days since COVID-19 diagnosis, number of COVID-19 symptoms, sex, COVID-19 severity, and racial/ethnic minority classification) associated with accelerated BAG. Cognitive tests were compared using t-tests for continuous variables and Chi Squared tests for categorical variables during our previously study of this cohort with false discovery rate (FDR) correction for multiple comparisons (18). Alpha level for all tests was set at  $p < 0.05$  and all data visualizations and analyses were conducted in the R Statistical Package v4.3.1 (R Foundation, Vienna, Austria).

## Results

*COVID-19 Group Characteristics:* As we previously reported for this cohort, participants with a history of COVID-19 infection were nearly evenly split between mild and moderate disease severity with relatively low symptom burden during the acute infection (18). There was a wide range in the interval between COVID-19 diagnosis and study enrollment (12-719 days, mean of 10 +/- 7 months, Table 1). No participants demonstrated anosmia (Table 2).

*Neuropsychiatric Function.* The COVID-19 group also demonstrated lower performance on all cognitive tests (effect size  $r = 0.15$  to  $0.30$ ) (18). However, only PROMIS Cognitive score was significantly different between groups ( $p < 0.001$ , FDR corrected, effect size  $r = 0.58$ ) and the COVID-19 group demonstrated significantly higher incidence of PROMIS Cognitive impairment ( $\chi^2 = 10.8$ ,  $p = 0.007$ , FDR corrected). The COVID-19 group also endorsed greater symptoms of anxiety and depression; however, these were not significantly different between groups after correction for multiple comparisons (Table 2).

*Between Group Difference in BAG.* As shown in Figure 1, mean BAG was significantly higher in the COVID-19 group ( $F = 4.22$ ,  $p = 0.046$ ) by 2.65 years. Additionally, there were  $N = 20$

(80%) in the COVID-19 group who demonstrated an accelerated BAG compared to N = 3 (13%) in the control group, which was a significant difference ( $\chi^2 = 20.0$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ).

*Relationship Between Accelerated BAG and Cognitive Function.* Accelerated BAG in the COVID-19 group was associated with lower Trails A performance ( $r = -0.348$ ,  $p = 0.041$ ) as well as PROMIS Cognitive impairment ( $r = -0.306$ ,  $p = 0.033$ ), but no other cognitive test scores ( $p > 0.127$ ).

*Clinical and Demographic Characteristics of Accelerated BAG.* The overall logistic regression model was significant ( $\chi^2 = 14.71$ , Nagelkerke  $R^2 = 0.666$ ,  $p = 0.012$ , Table 3). An increased duration from COVID-19 diagnosis was associated with a small yet statistically significant decrease (1.4%) in the risk of accelerated BAG. There was also a large (99%) and significant decreased risk of accelerated BAG in females compared to males with COVID-19. There were N = 9/14 females (64%) in the COVID-19 group with accelerated BAG compared to 10/11 males (91%). Increased number of symptoms during acute infection, moderate COVID-19 severity, and racial/ethnic minority status were associated with increased risk for accelerated BAG but were not statistically significant and lacked precision in this small sample (i.e., large confidence intervals for odds ratios).

## Discussion

Cognitive impairment after COVID-19 (COGVID) appears to be one the most common long-term side effects of infection (1) yet the mechanisms of this problem are an area of active research. In this study, we identified accelerated brain aging as a potential contributor to COGVID. Individuals with a history of COVID-19 infection demonstrated a 2.65-year accelerated



BAG compared to the control group. We also found that poorer performance on an objective measure of attention, processing speed, and executive function (Trails A), as well as greater subjective cognitive problems were associated with higher BAG. Finally, we identified a significantly higher risk of accelerated BAG among males compared to females with mild to moderate COVID-19 infection.

COVID-19 induced upregulation of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) expression in the brain is a potential mechanism for our finding of accelerated BAG. SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for COVID-19, uses ACE2 receptors to enter human cells (35). Furthermore, ACE2 expression is upregulated in the brain after COVID-19, especially in patients with significant neurologic symptoms (36). ACE2 is primarily involved in the renin-angiotensin system (RAS). While the RAS is primarily known for its role in regulating blood pressure and fluid balance, it is also crucial for brain health and cognitive function (37). The RAS system becomes dysregulated with increasing age, contributing to the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases (38, 39). The specific role of ACE2 in neurodegeneration is complex given that its expression is associated with neuroprotective effects, yet several studies have noted elevated ACE2 levels in patients with Alzheimer's disease (40-42). These studies suggest that chronic elevation of ACE2 may result in dysregulation of the RAS system, increasing the risk for accelerated brain aging. To further evaluate this hypothesis, future studies should assess ACE2 level in Long COVID patients with cognitive impairment.

Alternatively, the impact of ACE2 expression may vary depending on its distribution across different brain regions (43). Some areas might be more sensitive to changes in ACE2 levels, leading to localized neurodegeneration despite the overall neuroprotective effects of

ACE2. Our group and others have shown that prefrontal cortex is preferentially susceptible to COVID-19 (9, 14, 15, 18). Under normal conditions, ACE2 expression is extremely low in prefrontal cortex (44) and thus this region may be exceptionally vulnerable to ACE2 elevation. Currently available brain age algorithms do not provide regional BAGs, but these could be estimated using custom models in large samples.

COGVID may also result from accelerated aging due in part to chronic inflammation, or “inflammaging” (45, 46). Inflammation is well-known to play a critical role in neurodegeneration (47, 48). Patients with COVID-19 demonstrate post-infection upregulation of inflammatory biomarkers (49, 50) with the extent of the inflammatory response depending on disease severity (49, 50). Research among middle-aged COVID-19 survivors has shown significant inflammation-related astrocytic damage and neural dysfunction regardless of disease severity (51). Inflammation after COVID-19 infection may also impair cognition via reduced serotonin levels (52). The prefrontal cortex is particularly vulnerable to inflammation due to its unique reliance on glutamate receptor/calcium mediated neurotransmission (53). The relationship between inflammation and BAG over time in mild and moderate COVID-19 survivors requires further study.

BAG is associated with certain genetic as well as lifestyle factors and may therefore be useful in treatment monitoring and development (23, 54, 55). Dietary and nutritional interventions have been effective in reducing brain aging, particularly calorie restriction and low consumption of processed food and sweets (56, 57). Physical activity has consistently been associated with improved cognitive function and decreased brain aging (58, 59). However, using physical activity to treat Long COVID is currently highly controversial in clinical practice because

of the risk for inducing post-exertional malaise. There appears to be a complex interaction between exercise and immune-mediated symptoms, especially among younger COVID-19 survivors (49) and therefore, physical activity may not be the best recommendation for many patients. Current guidance on Long COVID emphasizes that physical activity should be individualized and structured, titrated carefully to avoid post-exertional malaise (60). However, other modifiable factors associated with brain age include sleep disruption, anxiety, and depression (59). Interventions that address these symptoms, such as cognitive behavioral therapy may protect against accelerated brain aging (61, 62). Future research is necessary to elucidate the protective mechanisms of healthy lifestyle habits on brain health and aging among patients with COVID-19.

COVID-19 mortality and morbidity are higher in men compared to women (63). Some studies have observed sex differences in COGVID (64) while others have not (65, 66). We found that males scored more poorly than females on a measure of attention and processing speed during a prior case series study involving a different sample at approximately 4 months post-infection (67). In the present cohort, at approximately 10 months post-infection, we found no sex differences in cognitive outcomes (18) but males had a 99% greater risk of accelerated BAG. The mechanisms of this sex difference remain unclear but may involve ACE2 pathways (68). For example, Swärd et al. showed that peripheral ACE2 expression is higher in males and increases more with age compared to females, at least in early adulthood (69). It is unknown if there is greater cerebral ACE2 upregulation in males, but it is plausible given the peripheral difference, and would potentially support ACE2 targeted therapies for this population.

We also found that there was a statistically significant decrease in risk of accelerated BAG associated with longer time since COVID-19 diagnosis. Given that this was only 1.4%, it may not be clinically meaningful. Alternatively, this finding may point to one or more subgroups of patients whose brain health significantly improves over time. If so, BAG may be useful in larger samples for biotyping (biologically stratifying) patients with different trajectories of brain health after COVID-19. Thus, BAG biotypes could improve precision medicine by identifying subgroups of patients at highest risk for COGVID (70). Evidence shows that a significant number of patients with post-COVID symptoms have considerable symptom resolution within a year after infection (71-73). Thus, longitudinal studies of COVID-19 survivors are needed to determine if BAG also improves over time and if so, in which patients.

Strengths of our study include the focus on mild to moderate COVID-19, whereas prior neuroimaging research has focused on post-hospitalization patients, the emphasis on younger survivors who may be more vulnerable to long-term cognitive effects, the novel examination of brain age in this population, the use of a well-established algorithm for estimating brain age, the inclusion of a control group, and the use of both objective and subjective cognitive assessments. Weaknesses include small sample, cross-sectional design which precludes insight regarding how participants functioned cognitively prior to COVID-19 and what individual cognitive trajectories may exist, self-reported nature of COVID diagnosis/symptoms, and the lack of data regarding lifestyle factors associated with brain aging (e.g., diet, smoking status) and other post-acute symptoms such as post-exertional malaise, ageusia, chronic cough, etc. that could be used to determine if any participants had other characteristics of Long COVID. There are several alternative algorithms available for estimating brain age that may yield different results,

although we chose the one with the highest reliability based on the existing literature.

Additionally, the brainageR algorithm utilizes gray, white, and CSF volumes whereas other algorithms use only gray matter. However, BAGs derived from different imaging modalities may represent different endophenotypes (23) and thus multimodal BAGs may be informative within larger, future COVID-19 studies.

In summary, our findings support our hypothesis that brain age is accelerated in survivors of COVID-19 infection compared to non-infected controls. This study represents the first examination of this innovative biomarker in post-COVID-19 neurocognitive dysfunction. Whereas samples from previous works have primarily included older adults, our study focused on a younger group of individuals to examine their specific cognitive vulnerabilities and associated neural mechanisms. Our cohort, observed on average after a longer duration post-COVID-19 infection than those in previous studies, offers distinct insights into the protracted effects of COVID-19 on brain health. Additionally, our findings contribute significant new information regarding the disparity in outcomes for men post-COVID-19.

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank the faculty and staff of the Biomedical Imaging Center at The University of Texas at Austin.

**Conflict of interests:** The authors of this manuscript have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Author contributions:** This study was developed by the principal investigator (SRK). Data acquisition, preparation and analysis was conducted by OFR, ADS and SRK. The manuscript was prepared and edited by OFR and SRK and reviewed and edited by all other authors. All authors approved the manuscript for submission. OFR, ADS and SRK had access to the raw data.

**Data Sharing:** The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due the fact that they constitute an excerpt of research in progress but will be available on the EBRAINS platform (<https://www.ebrains.eu>) under the corresponding author's name at study completion. Interested parties may contact the corresponding author for further information.

## References

1. Thaweethai T, Jolley SE, Karlson EW, Levitan EB, Levy B, McComsey GA, et al. Development of a Definition of Postacute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *JAMA*. 2023;329(22):1934-46.
2. Perlis RH, Lunz Trujillo K, Safarpour A, Santillana M, Ognyanova K, Druckman J, Lazer D. Association of Post-COVID-19 Condition Symptoms and Employment Status. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2023;6(2):e2256152.
3. Han JH, Womack KN, Tenforde MW, Files DC, Gibbs KW, Shapiro NI, et al. Associations between persistent symptoms after mild COVID-19 and long-term health status, quality of life, and psychological distress. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2022;16(4):680-9.
4. Niroumand Sarvandani M, Sheikhi Koohsar J, Rafeiee R, Saeedi M, Seyedhosseini Tamijani SM, Ghazvini H, Sheibani H. COVID-19 and the Brain: A Psychological and Resting-state fMRI Study of the Whole-brain Functional Connectivity. *Basic and Clinical Neuroscience*. 2021:bcn.2021.1425.4.
5. Duan K, Premi E, Pilotto A, Cristillo V, Benussi A, Libri I, et al. Alterations of frontal-temporal gray matter volume associate with clinical measures of older adults with COVID-19. *Neurobiol Stress*. 2021;14:100326.
6. Huang S, Zhou X, Zhao W, Du Y, Yang D, Huang Y, et al. Dynamic white matter changes in recovered COVID-19 patients: a two-year follow-up study. *Theranostics*. 2023;13(2):724-35.
7. Voruz P, Cionca A, Jacot de Alcantara I, Nuber-Champier A, Allali G, Benzakour L, et al. Functional connectivity underlying cognitive and psychiatric symptoms in post-COVID-19 syndrome: is anosognosia a key determinant? *Brain Commun*. 2022;4(2):fcac057.
8. Tassignon B, Radwan A, Blommaert J, Stas L, Allard SD, De Ridder F, et al. Longitudinal changes in global structural brain connectivity and cognitive performance in former hospitalized COVID-19 survivors: an exploratory study. *Exp Brain Res*. 2023;241(3):727-41.
9. Paolini M, Palladini M, Mazza MG, Colombo F, Vai B, Rovere-Querini P, et al. Brain correlates of subjective cognitive complaints in COVID-19 survivors: A multimodal magnetic resonance imaging study. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2023;68:1-10.
10. Voruz P, Cionca A, Jacot de Alcantara I, Nuber-Champier A, Allali G, Benzakour L, et al. Brain functional connectivity alterations associated with neuropsychological performance 6-9 months following SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2023;44(4):1629-46.
11. Diez-Cirarda M, Yus M, Gomez-Ruiz N, Polidura C, Gil-Martinez L, Delgado-Alonso C, et al. Multimodal neuroimaging in post-COVID syndrome and correlation with cognition. *Brain*. 2023;146(5):2142-52.
12. Tian T, Wu J, Chen T, Li J, Yan S, Zhou Y, et al. Long-term follow-up of dynamic brain changes in patients recovered from COVID-19 without neurological manifestations. *JCI Insight*. 2022;7(4):e155827.
13. Lu Y, Li X, Geng D, Mei N, Wu PY, Huang CC, et al. Cerebral Micro-Structural Changes in COVID-19 Patients - An MRI-based 3-month Follow-up Study. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;25:100484.

14. Douaud G, Lee S, Alfaro-Almagro F, Arthofer C, Wang C, McCarthy P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 is associated with changes in brain structure in UK Biobank. *Nature*. 2022;604(7907):697-707.
15. Ajcevic M, Iskra K, Furlanis G, Michelutti M, Miladinovic A, Buoite Stella A, et al. Cerebral hypoperfusion in post-COVID-19 cognitively impaired subjects revealed by arterial spin labeling MRI. *Sci Rep*. 2023;13(1):5808.
16. Gulyaev SA. EEG Microstate Analysis and the EEG Inverse Problem Solution as a Tool for Diagnosing Cognitive Dysfunctions in Individuals Who Have Had a Mild Form of COVID-19. *Hum Physiol*. 2022;48(5):587-97.
17. Silva LS, Joao RB, Nogueira MH, Aventurato IK, de Campos BM, de Brito MR, et al. Functional and microstructural brain abnormalities, fatigue, and cognitive dysfunction after mild COVID-19. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.03.20.21253414.
18. Kesler SR, Rocha OYF, Schutz ADLT, Lewis KA, Aziz RM, Henneghan AM, et al. Altered functional brain connectivity, efficiency, and information flow associated with brain fog after mild to moderate COVID-19 infection. *ResearchSquare preprint*. 2023.
19. Ferreira LK, Regina AC, Kovacevic N, Martin Mda G, Santos PP, Carneiro Cde G, et al. Aging Effects on Whole-Brain Functional Connectivity in Adults Free of Cognitive and Psychiatric Disorders. *Cereb Cortex*. 2016;26(9):3851-65.
20. Varangis E, Habeck CG, Razlighi QR, Stern Y. The Effect of Aging on Resting State Connectivity of Predefined Networks in the Brain. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2019;11:234.
21. Danesh V, Arroliga AC, Bourgeois JA, Boehm LM, McNeal MJ, Widmer AJ, et al. Symptom Clusters Seen in Adult COVID-19 Recovery Clinic Care Seekers. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2023;38(2):442-9.
22. Altuna M, Sánchez-Saudinós MB, Lleó A. Cognitive symptoms after COVID-19. *Neurology Perspectives*. 2021;1:S16-S24.
23. Wen J, Zhao B, Yang Z, Erus G, Skampardon I, Mamourian E, et al. The Genetic Heterogeneity of Multimodal Human Brain Age. *bioRxiv*. 2023.
24. Cole JH, Marioni RE, Harris SE, Deary IJ. Brain age and other bodily 'ages': implications for neuropsychiatry. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2019;24(2):266-81.
25. Mishra S, Beheshti I, Khanna P. A Review of Neuroimaging-Driven Brain Age Estimation for Identification of Brain Disorders and Health Conditions. *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*. 2023;16:371-85.
26. Ballester PL, Romano MT, de Azevedo Cardoso T, Hassel S, Strother SC, Kennedy SH, Frey BN. Brain age in mood and psychotic disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 2022;145(1):42-55.
27. Pan H, Tryka KA, Vreeman DJ, Huggins W, Phillips MJ, Mehta JP, et al. Using PhenX measures to identify opportunities for cross-study analysis. *Hum Mutat*. 2012;33(5):849-57.
28. Duff K, McCaffrey RJ, Solomon GS. The Pocket Smell Test: successfully discriminating probable Alzheimer's dementia from vascular dementia and major depression. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2002;14(2):197-201.
29. Groppe S, Soto-Ruiz KM, Flores B, Dawkins W, Smith I, Eagleman DM, Katz Y. A Rapid, Mobile Neurocognitive Screening Test to Aid in Identifying Cognitive Impairment and Dementia (BrainCheck): Cohort Study. *JMIR Aging*. 2019;2(1):e12615.



30. Jensen RE, Potosky AL, Moinpour CM, Lobo T, Cella D, Hahn EA, et al. United States Population-Based Estimates of Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System Symptom and Functional Status Reference Values for Individuals With Cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35(17):1913-20.
31. Cella D, Riley W, Stone A, Rothrock N, Reeve B, Yount S, et al. The Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) developed and tested its first wave of adult self-reported health outcome item banks: 2005-2008. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2010;63(11):1179-94.
32. Bacas E, Kahhale I, Raamana PR, Pablo JB, Anand AS, Hanson JL. Probing multiple algorithms to calculate brain age: Examining reliability, relations with demographics, and predictive power. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2023;44(9):3481-92.
33. Cole J. brainageR. GitHub2023 [cited 2023 10/18/2023]; Available from: <https://github.com/james-cole/brainageR>.
34. Cole JH, Poudel RPK, Tsagkrasoulis D, Caan MWA, Steves C, Spector TD, Montana G. Predicting brain age with deep learning from raw imaging data results in a reliable and heritable biomarker. *NeuroImage*. 2017;163:115-24.
35. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell*. 2020;181(2):271-80.e8.
36. Lindskog C, Mear L, Virhammar J, Fallmar D, Kumlien E, Hesselager G, et al. Protein Expression Profile of ACE2 in the Normal and COVID-19-Affected Human Brain. *J Proteome Res*. 2022;21(9):2137-45.
37. Ghalayini J, Boulianne GL. Deciphering mechanisms of action of ACE inhibitors in neurodegeneration using *Drosophila* models of Alzheimer's disease. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 2023;17.
38. Conti S, Cassis P, Benigni A. Aging and the renin-angiotensin system. *Hypertension*. 2012;60(4):878-83.
39. Cosarderelioglu C, Nidadavolu LS, George CJ, Oh ES, Bennett DA, Walston JD, Abadir PM. Brain Renin-Angiotensin System at the Intersect of Physical and Cognitive Frailty. *Front Neurosci*. 2020;14:586314.
40. Reveret L, Leclerc M, Emond V, Tremblay C, Loisele A, Bourassa P, et al. Higher angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) levels in the brain of individuals with Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol Commun*. 2023;11(1):159.
41. Ding Q, Shults NV, Gychka SG, Harris BT, Suzuki YJ. Protein expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is upregulated in brains with Alzheimer's disease. *International journal of molecular sciences*. 2021;22(4):1687.
42. Zhao Y, Li W, Lukiw W. Ubiquity of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 and upregulation in limbic regions of Alzheimer's disease brain. *Folia neuropathologica*. 2021;59(3):232-8.
43. Cui H, Su S, Cao Y, Ma C, Qiu W. The Altered Anatomical Distribution of ACE2 in the Brain With Alzheimer's Disease Pathology. *Front Cell Dev Biol*. 2021;9:684874.
44. Chen R, Wang K, Yu J, Howard D, French L, Chen Z, et al. The Spatial and Cell-Type Distribution of SARS-CoV-2 Receptor ACE2 in the Human and Mouse Brains. *Front Neurol*. 2020;11:573095.

45. Bektas A, Schurman SH, Franceschi C, Ferrucci L. A public health perspective of aging: do hyper-inflammatory syndromes such as COVID-19, SARS, ARDS, cytokine storm syndrome, and post-ICU syndrome accelerate short- and long-term inflammaging? *Immun Ageing*. 2020;17:23.
46. Muller-Werdan U, Polidori MC, Simm A. On frailty and accelerated aging during SARS-Cov-2: senescence. *Aging Clin Exp Res*. 2023;35(4):907-12.
47. Glass CK, Saijo K, Winner B, Marchetto MC, Gage FH. Mechanisms underlying inflammation in neurodegeneration. *Cell*. 2010;140(6):918-34.
48. Walker KA, Ficek BN, Westbrook R. Understanding the Role of Systemic Inflammation in Alzheimer's Disease. *ACS Chem Neurosci*. 2019;10(8):3340-2.
49. Silva BSA, Pereira T, Minuzzi LG, Padilha CS, Figueiredo C, Olean-Oliveira T, et al. Mild to moderate post-COVID-19 alters markers of lymphocyte activation, exhaustion, and immunometabolic responses that can be partially associated by physical activity level- an observational sub-analysis fit- COVID study. *Front Immunol*. 2023;14:1212745.
50. Soares-Schanoski A, Sauerwald N, Goforth CW, Periasamy S, Weir DL, Lizewski S, et al. Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection Is Associated With Higher Levels of Serum IL-17C, Matrix Metalloproteinase 10 and Fibroblast Growth Factors Than Mild Symptomatic COVID-19. *Front Immunol*. 2022;13:821730.
51. Passos FRS, Heimfarth L, Monteiro BS, Corrêa CB, Moura TR, Araújo AAS, et al. Oxidative stress and inflammatory markers in patients with COVID-19: Potential role of RAGE, HMGB1, GFAP and COX-2 in disease severity. *Int Immunopharmacol*. 2022;104:108502.
52. Wong AC, Devason AS, Umana IC, Cox TO, Dohnalová L, Litichevskiy L, et al. Serotonin reduction in post-acute sequelae of viral infection. *Cell*. 2023;186(22):4851-67.e20.
53. Arnsten TFA, Datta D, Wang M. The genie in the bottle-magnified calcium signaling in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 2021;26(8):3684-700.
54. Wrigglesworth J, Ward P, Harding IH, Nilaweera D, Wu Z, Woods RL, Ryan J. Factors associated with brain ageing - a systematic review. *BMC Neurol*. 2021;21(1):312.
55. Kaufmann T, van der Meer D, Doan NT, Schwarz E, Lund MJ, Agartz I, et al. Common brain disorders are associated with heritable patterns of apparent aging of the brain. *Nat Neurosci*. 2019;22(10):1617-23.
56. Trajano GS, Blazevich AJ. Static Stretching Reduces Motoneuron Excitability: The Potential Role of Neuromodulation. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*. 2021;49(2):126-32.
57. Levakov G, Kaplan A, Yaskolka Meir A, Rinott E, Tsaban G, Zelicha H, et al. The effect of weight loss following 18 months of lifestyle intervention on brain age assessed with resting-state functional connectivity. *Elife*. 2023;12.
58. Stillman CM, Esteban-Cornejo I, Brown B, Bender CM, Erickson KI. Effects of Exercise on Brain and Cognition Across Age Groups and Health States. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2020;43(7):533-43.
59. Chen ST, Volle D, Jalil J, Wu P, Small GW. Health-Promoting Strategies for the Aging Brain. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2019;27(3):213-36.
60. Herrera JE, Niehaus WN, Whiteson J, Azola A, Baratta JM, Fleming TK, et al. Multidisciplinary collaborative consensus guidance statement on the assessment and treatment of fatigue in postacute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC) patients. *PM&R*. 2021;13(9):1027-43.

61. He J, Yang L, Pang J, Dai L, Zhu J, Deng Y, et al. Efficacy of simplified-cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia(S-CBTI) among female COVID-19 patients with insomnia symptom in Wuhan mobile cabin hospital. *Sleep Breath*. 2021;25(4):2213-9.
62. Li J, Li X, Jiang J, Xu X, Wu J, Xu Y, et al. The Effect of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy on Depression, Anxiety, and Stress in Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11:580827.
63. Maleki Dana P, Sadoughi F, Hallajzadeh J, Asemi Z, Mansournia MA, Yousefi B, Momen-Heravi M. An Insight into the Sex Differences in COVID-19 Patients: What are the Possible Causes? *Prehosp Disaster Med*. 2020;35(4):438-41.
64. Mazza MG, Palladini M, De Lorenzo R, Magnaghi C, Poletti S, Furlan R, et al. Persistent psychopathology and neurocognitive impairment in COVID-19 survivors: Effect of inflammatory biomarkers at three-month follow-up. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2021;94:138-47.
65. Amalakanti S, Arepalli KVR, Jillella JP. Cognitive assessment in asymptomatic COVID-19 subjects. *Virusdisease*. 2021:1-4.
66. Hampshire A, Trender W, Chamberlain SR, Jolly AE, Grant JE, Patrick F, et al. Cognitive deficits in people who have recovered from COVID-19. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2021:101044.
67. Henneghan AM, Lewis KA, Gill E, Kesler SR. Cognitive Impairment in Non-critical, Mild-to-Moderate COVID-19 Survivors. *Front Psychol*. 2022;13:770459.
68. Viveiros A, Rasmuson J, Vu J, Mulvagh SL, Yip CYY, Norris CM, Oudit GY. Sex differences in COVID-19: candidate pathways, genetics of ACE2, and sex hormones. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2021;320(1):H296-H304.
69. Sward P, Edsfeldt A, Reepalu A, Jehpsson L, Rosengren BE, Karlsson MK. Age and sex differences in soluble ACE2 may give insights for COVID-19. *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):221.
70. Kesler SR, Henneghan AM, Prinsloo S, Palesh O, Wintermark M. Neuroimaging based biotypes for precision diagnosis and prognosis in cancer-related cognitive impairment. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2023;10:1199605.
71. Mizrahi B, Sudry T, Flaks-Manov N, Yehezkelli Y, Kalkstein N, Akiva P, et al. Long covid outcomes at one year after mild SARS-CoV-2 infection: nationwide cohort study. *Bmj*. 2023;380:e072529.
72. Ballouz T, Menges D, Anagnostopoulos A, Domenghino A, Aschmann HE, Frei A, et al. Recovery and symptom trajectories up to two years after SARS-CoV-2 infection: population based, longitudinal cohort study. *Bmj*. 2023;381:e074425.
73. Hartung TJ, Bahmer T, Chaplinskaya-Sobol I, Deckert J, Endres M, Franzpötter K, et al. Predictors of non-recovery from fatigue and cognitive deficits after COVID-19: a prospective, longitudinal, population-based study. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2024;69:102456.

**Table 1. Demographic and COVID-19 Characteristics.** Data are shown as mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise indicated.

	<b>COVID N=25</b>	<b>Control N=24</b>	<b>stat</b>	<b>p value</b>
Age (years)	30.3 (8.0)	30.3 (9.0)	t = -0.003	0.997
Education (years)	16.4 (1.8)	16.9 (1.6)	t = 0.995	0.325
Female gender	N = 14 (56.0%)	N = 13 (54.2%)	$\chi^2 = 0.017$	0.897
Income < \$100K	N = 12 (48%)	N = 8 (33.3%)	$\chi^2 = 1.113$	0.292
Racial/ethnic minority	N = 3 (12.0%)	N = 11 (45.8%)	$\chi^2 = 6.868$	0.009
Time since diagnosis (days)	307 (223) range: 12-719			
COVID severity moderate	N = 11 (44.0%)			
No. of symptoms during active COVID infection	median = 8.0, IQR = 4.6 range = 1-16 out of 20 possible			

**Table 2. Neuropsychiatric Testing Performance.** Data are shown as mean (standard deviation).

Rank biserial correlation is the effect size. FDR = false discovery rate correction for multiple comparisons.

	<b>COVID N=25</b>	<b>Control N = 24</b>	<b>stat (W/<math>\chi^2</math>)</b>	<b>uncorrected p value</b>	<b>FDR corrected p value</b>	<b>rank biserial correlation</b>
Trails A	97.2 (11.9)	104.4 (13.7)	372.5	0.081	0.211	0.296
Trails B	98.6 (11.9)	100.8 (15.5)	333.0	0.353	0.386	0.158
Digit symbol substitution	95.0 (14.8)	99.2 (15.1)	353.5	0.289	0.386	0.178
Stroop	94.4 (19.4)	101.1 (15.8)	341.5	0.269	0.386	0.188
Immediate recall	100.2 (18.9)	106.5 (9.8)	344.0	0.356	0.386	0.147
Delayed recall	102.4 (13.8)	106.5 (10.5)	359.5	0.219	0.386	0.198
PROMIS cognitive	49.4 (11.4)	60.1 (6.2)	475.0	0.000	< 0.001	0.583
Impaired PROMIS cognitive (T score < 55)	18 (72%)	6 (25%)	10.8	0.001	0.007	-
PROMIS social role performance	57.2 (9.7)	59.1 (8.4)	332.0	0.494	0.494	0.107
PROMIS anxiety	55.0 (11.6)	48.0 (9.4)	195.0	0.035	0.199	-0.350
PROMIS depression	48.9 (8.9)	43.7 (5.4)	202.5	0.046	0.199	-0.325
PROMIS fatigue	49.9 (9.9)	44.4 (9.2)	212.0	0.078	0.211	-0.293
PROMIS sleep disturbance	50.9 (9.8)	46.5 (7.2)	236.0	0.202	0.386	-0.213
PROMIS pain	45.5 (7.1)	43.8 (6.0)	260.0	0.333	0.386	-0.133
Anosmia (Pocket Smell Test score < 2)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-	-	-	-

**Table 3. Clinical and Demographic Characteristics of Accelerated Brain Age Gap (BAG).** The

logistic regression model was significant ( $\chi^2 = 14.71$ , Nagelkerke  $R^2 = 0.666$ ,  $p = 0.012$ ).

	<b>Odds Ratio</b>	<b>95% CI lower</b>	<b>95% CI upper</b>
Time since COVID diagnosis (days)	0.986	0.977	0.995
Number of COVID symptoms*	1.35	0.873	2.09
Female biological sex	0.015	0.001	0.300
Moderate COVID severity	6.82	0.088	526
Racial/ethnic minority	31.52	1.55	642

\*During acute infection

**Figure 1. Brain Age Gap (BAG).** A: BAG was significantly higher in the COVID-19 group compared to non-infected controls ( $p = 0.046$ ). B: Accordingly, the proportion of individuals with accelerated BAG ( $BAG > 0$ ) was significantly higher in the COVID-19 group ( $p < 0.001$ ).

