

Targeting students of nonhealth academic fields for basic life support: they need to know why, what and how to do CPR

Authors: Sugimoto PN¹, MD, Gouvêa GB¹, MD, Salles IC¹, MD, Carvalho HB^{1,2}, MD, PhD, Aikawa P^{1,3}, BSc, PhD, Azi LMTA^{1,4}, MD, PhD, Silva LFF¹, MD, PhD, Macchione M¹, MsC, PhD, Semeraro F⁵, MD, Lockey A⁶, MD, PhD, Greif RT⁷, MD, PhD, Carmona MJC^{1,8}, MD, PhD, Böttiger BW⁹, MD, FESC (Hon.), FERC (Hon.), FAHA (Hon.), FESAIC (Hon.), Nakagawa NK¹. MD, PhD, FAHA.

Short title: Basic life support for all university students

Institutions: (1) Education, Assessment and Intervention in Cardiovascular Group, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; (2) Preventive Department, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; (3) Institute of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Rio Grande, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil; (4) Federal University of Bahia, Bahia, Brazil; (5) Department of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Prehospital Emergency, Maggiore Hospital Carlo Alberto Pizzardi, Italy; (6) Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Trust, Halifax, United Kingdom; (7) School of Medicine, Sigmund Freud University Vienna, Austria; and University of Bern, Switzerland; (8) Anesthesiology Discipline, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil; (9) University of Cologne, Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, University Hospital, Medical Faculty, Germany.

*Corresponding author at;

Naomi Kondo Nakagawa, PT, MSc, PhD, FAHA.

Faculdade de Medicina, University of São Paulo, KIDS SAVE LIVES BRAZIL, Education, Assessment and Intervention in Cardiovascular Group.

Address: Av. Dr. Arnaldo, 455, CEP 01246-903 São Paulo, Brazil

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Phone number: +55 11 3061-8529 Mobile: +551199945-0626

E-mail address: Naomi.kondo@fm.usp.br

KEY MESSAGES:

1. Our findings reveal a stark difference in basic life support competencies between students in health-care related fields and those in nonhealth fields, emphasizing the need for universal basic life support training.
2. An action for curriculum modification to include basic life support training for all students is timely and practical, given the global burden of heart disease and the proven benefits of early intervention in sudden cardiac arrest cases.
3. Our study contributes significantly to the ongoing discussion about public health education and the role of nonhealth professionals in emergency medical response. It may serve as a catalyst for policy changes within educational institutions and among healthcare policymakers, aiming to create a more resilient and responsive community in the face of out-of-hospital medical emergencies.

ABSTRACT

Education in basic life support is widely proposed to increase survival and quality-of-life in out-of-hospital sudden cardiac arrest. We aimed to assess knowledge, skills and attitudes regarding acute myocardial infarction and sudden cardiac arrest among university students of all fields of knowledge. **Methods:** The local Ethical Research Committee approved this cross-sectional study. An electronic survey “KIDS SAVE LIVES BRAZIL” was sent to 58,862 students of 82 disciplines in three universities, aged ≥ 18 years. The survey covered three categories: knowledge, skills, and attitude. Each category was graded between 0 and 10 points (the highest). **Results:** Among university students, 4,803 undergraduates (8.2 %) answered the survey, and were divided in three groups of disciplines: medicine (219, ~21.7 years, 38% male), other-health-care (n=1,058; ~22.9 years; 36% male), and nonhealth-care (n=3,526; ~22.9 years; 35% male). All three groups showed significant differences between them ($p < 0.001$). The nonhealth-care compared with medicine and other-health-care group showed, respectively, the lowest ($p < 0.001$) median scores (25-75%) in knowledge [4.0 (0.0-9.3), 4.0 (4.0-8.0), and 4.0 (4.0-4.7)], skills [2.4 (1.2-3.3), 6.4 (4.0-8.3), 4.0 (2.4-6.2)], and attitude [5.9 (5.9-6.8), 7.3 (5.9-7.3), and 7.3 (5.9-7.3)]. **Conclusion:** University students have the willingness to help victims suffering from acute myocardial infarction or sustaining sudden cardiac arrest. However, nonhealth-care students markedly lack knowledge and skills to perform cardiopulmonary resuscitation and automated external defibrillation. Our findings reveal a stark difference in basic life support competencies between students in health-care related fields and those in nonhealthy-care fields, emphasizing the need for universal basic life support training. **Keywords:** Sudden cardiac arrest, Cardiopulmonary resuscitation, Basic life support, Acute myocardial infarction, Bystander, Automated External Defibrillator

INTRODUCTION

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) can be a cause of arrhythmia and out-of-hospital sudden cardiac arrest (OHCA) in adults.¹ Both, AMI and OHCA, are major public health burdens with high incidence, high morbidity and high mortality rates worldwide.^{2, 3} Survival rates after OHCA are globally low (<10%), and are proportional to the rate of people trained in early high-quality cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), and adequate use of an automated external defibrillator (AED).³⁻⁵

In the last two decades, studies showed that geographical region, racial distributions, bystanders aged > 30 years, and low income are associated with lower probabilities of bystanders initiating CPR in OHCA.^{3, 6, 7} Recently, education for laypeople in CPR and AED use have been widely proposed since childhood to improve quality of life⁸ and to increase survival after OHCA by the World Health Organization,⁹ and the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation.¹⁰⁻¹¹

BLS training follows the current international guidelines, and young adults have favorable cognitive and physical characteristics (larger than 1.5 meter, more than 50 kg or body mass index higher than 22 kg.m.⁻² to adequately perform CPR and to use AED.^{12, 13} Undergraduate students of medicine,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ nursing, physiotherapy, and other academic health care disciplines¹⁷ have BLS included in their curriculum. However, little is known on nonhealth-care university students competencies on BLS.

This study is based on an electronic survey and aimed to investigate self-rated knowledge, skills and attitude on AMI and OHCA among university students of all disciplines divided in medicine, other health-care disciplines and nonhealth-care disciplines.

METHODS

This is a cross-sectional observational study of the KIDS SAVE LIVES BRAZIL project with approval of the Ethical Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, São Paulo University, Brazil (CAAE: 25218819.0.0000.0065). We recruited students from 82 different higher education disciplines, aged ≥ 18 years, both sexes, from three public universities of Brazil (University of São Paulo, State University of Campinas, and Federal University of Rio Grande). An electronic survey was sent only once to all students by the Rectory of the University, from March 2020 to February 2022. We included students who agreed with the research written informed consent, and who answered the survey until April 2022. We excluded those students who did not provide information on age or university discipline. Students were allocated in the following three groups of disciplines: medicine, other-health-care and nonhealth-care.

We performed a sample size calculation by estimating a population proportion of 15% that would grade at least five in knowledge with a specified absolute proportion of 1%, that resulted in 4,898 students. The formula was¹⁸

$$n_1 = \frac{z^2_{1-\alpha/2} (1-p)}{E^2 p}$$

Where: n_1 is the estimated sample size; E is the assumed level of confidence of 95%; z is the z curve area for different levels of significance; and p is the expected proportion for the event.

Electronic Questionnaire

Several aspects of the present survey were based on our previous work¹⁹ which aimed to understand the scenario in primary, secondary and high schools on key

principles of KIDS SAVE LIVES BRAZIL. We created an electronic survey in the Google form platform, that was available at the University. Each student performed a self-rating answer. It is a 25-item multiple choice online questionnaire covering three categories: knowledge (5 items), skills (8 items), and attitude (12 items) towards OHCA and AMI. Each category (knowledge, skills and attitude) was graded between zero and 10 (the highest grade). The questions included in each category are in the Supplementary Material.

Statistical Analysis

We used the Statistical Software STATA for Windows (Stata Corp LLC. Texas, USA), version 24.

We applied the Shapiro-Wilk Test for normal distribution analysis of age and grades in each category (knowledge, skills and attitude).

Data of the three groups of disciplines: medicine, other-health-care and nonhealth-care are presented as means (\pm standard deviation) if continuous data show normal distributions, and they were analyzed using the ANOVA Test. Data with non-normal distributions are presented as medians (25-75% quartiles), and were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis Test. When appropriate, a multiple-comparison paired analysis was performed using the Dunn's Test.

Categorical variables are presented as numbers and proportions. The categorical variables were sex and grades above (yes/no) the median score of all students of all disciplines in each category (knowledge, skills and attitude). We used Chi-Squared Test to analyze data among the three groups.

A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

We sent the electronic survey to 58,862 undergraduates of 82 disciplines from three public Universities (Fig. 1). A total of 4,803 students (8.2%) answered the survey: 5% of the students was studying medicine (n=219), 22% was studying other-health-care disciplines (n=1,058), and 73% was studying nonhealth-care disciplines (n=3,526).

INSERT Figure 1 here

Students in medicine were younger than students of the other-health-care and nonhealth-care disciplines (Table 1). Female students were prevalent in this study. However, sex proportions were similar between the three groups of disciplines.

INSERT Table 1 here

Grades in the categories knowledge, skills and attitude are presented in Figure 2. The median score (25-75% quartiles) of all students of all disciplines in knowledge was 3.2 points (0-4.0). After post-hoc analysis with the Dunn's Test, the nonhealth-care students showed the lowest ($p < 0.001$) median score (25-75% quartiles) of 4.0 (0-9.3) than medical students with a median score of 4.0 (4.0-8.0) and, the other-health-care students with a median score of 4.0 points (4.0-4.7).

INSERT Figure 2 here

The median score (25-75% quartiles) of perceived skills reported by all students of all disciplines was 3.1 (1.7-4.1). The three disciplines showed significant different median scores between them in skills ($p < 0.001$). Medical students had the highest score

of 6.4 (4.0-8.3). The other-health-care students had 4.0 (2.4-6.2). The nonhealth-care group obtained the lowest score of 2.4 (1.2-3.3)(Figure 2).

The median score (25-75% quartiles) in attitude reported by all students of all disciplines was 6.2 (5.9-7.3) and there were significant differences between all three groups. The nonhealth-care university students had lower ($p<0.001$) median score of 6.1 points (5.9-6.8) than the other two groups (Figure 2). The medicine group obtained similar median scores (25-75%) of 6.6 (5.9-7.3) as the other-health-care disciplines, however, median was greater. Among 4,803 respondents, 98% ($n=4,708$) showed the willingness to help people in SCA. Similarly, 98% respondents ($n=4,747$) wished to have practical BLS course at school.

INSERT Table 2 here.

In the analysis of the proportions of students that graded above the median score in each category (Table 2), we found that nonhealth-care disciplines had reduced proportions of students compared with the medical and other-health-care disciplines in two categories knowledge and skills.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating self-rated knowledge, skills and attitude in SCA and AMI among students of 82 different disciplines in multiple higher education institutions of a lower resource country. Students (aged about 23 years and 65% female) were grouped in three groups of disciplines: Medicine, Other-health-care and Nonhealth-care. The present study reveals that independently of health-care or nonhealth-care related fields, we found significant differences in BLS knowledge, skills and attitude between students of health-care related disciplines and nonhealth-care disciplines. Additionally, the great majority (98%) of the university students of all disciplines reported the willingness to help victims of SCA. Taken together, our study may emphasize the need for universal BLS training.

We found significant differences between groups in knowledge, skills and attitude. As expected, in the present study, medical students rated the highest scores in knowledge, skills and attitude facing SCA and AMI. The year of medical school and type of BLS training vary among countries and geographical regions,²⁰ but most studies in the literature have been performed with medical students in their final year of school.²¹ Students in the 6th year of medical school may achieve higher grades as they are supposed to have had several opportunities along the six years curriculum for competencies (knowledge, skills and attitude) development to manage and deal with SCA and AMI. However, by including students of all six years medical school, we intended to overcome this volunteer bias.

Students of other-health-care academic disciplines (all years of university) were also investigated. They rated lower median grades in knowledge, skills and attitude in SCA and AMI than medical students. This result can be explained by the fact that only few disciplines (dentistry, nursing, and physiotherapy) also have BLS training, and other

contents on AMI, and SCA in their curriculum.¹⁷ Other-health-care disciplines included in this study, such as physical education, speech therapy and occupational therapy did not have BLS practical training in their curriculum.

Interesting findings are also in attitude category. For instance, median scores with IQR were very similar between medicine and other-health-care disciplines, however, results were significantly different because the Dunn's Test takes into account the number of students in each group.²² Other interesting aspects found in the present study were that, independently of health-care related or nonhealth-care disciplines, the great majority of students (98%) showed the willingness to help people in medical emergencies as wished to have BLS course at school. However, students of nonhealth-care disciplines have nothing related to BLS theory or practice on why, what, and how to respond to save a life in their academic curricula at the university.²⁰ University students are, in general, young adults with favorable cognitive and physical characteristics to perform BLS.⁸ Then, it is of note that the nonhealth-care students compose an important larger target group of the society to develop competencies as first responders in out-of-hospital emergencies. Taken together, these results raise the need of curriculum modification by adding BLS training to students of all academic disciplines at higher education. University students should know why, what and how to respond in out-of-hospital emergencies, taking first actions in SCA and AMI until the emergency medical service arrival. Each individual may have his/her own way of learning, and a great variety of educational modalities can be used for this purpose.^{7,8}

Our study has limitations. The electronic survey on emergency situations was sent to approximately 59,000 students of three public universities. With only 8.2% of respondents, one may argue that our results may not be extrapolated to the broader population. Indeed, the number of respondents (4,803) was lower than that estimated

(n=4,898). However, we studied several segments among students by inviting all students of the three public universities to participate in the survey. There is a national policy that reserves 50% of the total entry places in public universities for students that passed in the exams with low-income, and/or blacks, and/or indians. In this context, we speculate that our sample of students in higher education would have a relative wide demographic and different backgrounds (low, middle and high social-economic status, different races, different school years, etc) across multiple institutions. Another aspect is that this survey was not validated. We don't know if it measures what is supposed to measure, and more studies are needed to assess its validity to generate similar results if repeated.

Another limitation was that we used a self-rated and directive electronic survey, focusing on BLS skills and attitude, with no practical observations or objective measures. This is a study based on a self-rating online questionnaire that may induce bias as respondents tend to over-report normative behavior, and directive survey may increase the likelihood of respondents to report certain behavior that would appear socially agreeable.²³ However, in this context, some aspects may help to overcome this bias, such as, the respondent identity was covered, the survey was not sent by a specific discipline, or related to a specific academic aspect.

Taken together, our findings could contribute to the ongoing discussion about public health education and the role of nonhealth-care students and professionals in emergency medical response. Our study calls for curriculum modification to include BLS training for all students of all disciplines in higher education. Nowadays, this can be considered timely and practical, given the global burden of heart disease and the proven benefits of early intervention in SCA cases. It may serve as part of a worldwide catalyst for policy changes within educational institutions and among healthcare

policymakers, aiming to create a more resilient and responsive community in the face of out-of-hospital medical emergencies.

In conclusion, university students have the willingness to help victims suffering from acute myocardial infarction or sustaining sudden cardiac arrest. However, nonhealth-care students markedly lack knowledge and skills to perform cardiopulmonary resuscitation and automated external defibrillation. Our findings reveal a stark difference in basic life support competencies between students in health-care related fields and those in nonhealthy-care fields, emphasizing the need for universal basic life support training.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the children and adolescents of the public schools that participated in this study, all members of the KIDS SAVE LIVES BRAZIL Project, the European Resuscitation Council, and Prof. Dr. Ana Paula Boaventura for helping us to recruit students from UNICAMP.

SOURCES OF FUNDING

We also would like to thank the São Paulo University Rectory of Graduation (processes n. 20.1.10453.1.8 and 20.1.3952.1.2) for providing scholarships to undergraduates and the São Paulo State Research Foundation (FAPESP 2019/27652-4 and FAPESP 2023/12891-9) for providing research funding. The funding sources did not have any involvement in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data as well as in the writing of the manuscript, and the decision to submit the article for publication.

DISCLOSURES

Federico Semeraro is Chair-Elect of the European Resuscitation Council; An Emeritus Member of the ILCOR BLS Task Force. Maria José C. Carmona receives fees from Cristália Pharma Ind., Medtronic PLC, and União Química Pharma S.A. Editor of the Brazilian Journal of Anaesthesiology. Andrew Lockey is President of Resuscitation Council UK, Bernd W. Böttiger is the treasurer of the European Resuscitation Council (ERC); Chairman of the German Resuscitation Council (GRC); Federal Medical Advisor of the German Red Cross (DRK); Member of the Advanced Life Support (ALS) Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR); Member of the Board of the German Interdisciplinary Association for Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine (DIVI), Founder of the ERC Research NET and the German Resuscitation Foundation, Co-Editor of “Resuscitation”; Editor of the Journal “Notfall + Rettungsmedizin”, Co-Editor of the Brazilian Journal of Anaesthesiology. He received fees for lectures from the following companies: Forum für medizinische Fortbildung (FomF), Baxalta Deutschland GmbH, ZOLL Medical Deutschland GmbH, C.R. Bard GmbH, GS Elektromedizinische Geräte G. Stemple GmbH, Novartis Pharma GmbH, Philips GmbH Market DACH, Bioscience Valuation BSV GmbH. Naomi K. Nakagawa is the Brazilian Coordinator of Kids Save Lives Brazil; Member of the Science and Education Basic Life Support Committee of the European Resuscitation Council, and Co-Editor of Clinics.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/148114> > Accessed on 4 August 2023.
2. Chin YH, Yaow CYL, Teoh SE, Foo MZQ, Luo N, Graves N, Ong MEH, Ho AFW. Long-term outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation*. 2022;171:15-29. DOI: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.12.026.
3. Ng TP, Eng SW, Ting JXR, Bok C, Tay GYH, Kong SYJ, Stassen W, Zhang L, de Kleijn DPV, Ong MEH, Blewer AL, Yeo JW, Ho AFW, GOALS Workgroup (2023). Global prevalence of basic life support training: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation*, 2023; DOI: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2023.109771
4. Hasselqvist-Ax I, Riva G, Herlitz J, Rosenqvist M, Hollenberg J, Nordberg P, Ringh M, Jonsson M, Axelsson C, Lindqvist J, Karlsson T, Svensson L. Early cardiopulmonary resuscitation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med*. 2015; 372(24):2307-15. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1405796.
5. Huang Q, Hu C, Mao J. Are Chinese Students Willing to Learn and Perform Bystander Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation? *J Emerg Med*. 2016;51(6):712-720. DOI: 10.1016/j.jemermed.2016.02.033.
6. Blewer AL, Ibrahim SA, Leary M, Dutwin D, McNally B, Anderson ML, Morrison LJ, Aufderheide TP, Daya M, Idris AH, Callaway CW, Kudenchuk PJ, Vilke GM, Abella BS. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation training disparities in the United States. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2017; 6(5):e006124. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.117.006124.
7. Ko YC, Hsieh MJ, Schnaubelt S, Matsuyama T, Cheng A, Greif R. Disparities in layperson resuscitation education: A scoping review. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2023;72:137-146. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajem.2023.07.033.
8. Schroeder DC, Semeraro F, Greif R, Bray J, Morley P, Parr M, Kondo NN, Iwami T,

Finke SR, Malta HC, Lockey A, Rios DM, Bhanji F, Sasson C, Schexnayder SM, Squizzato T, Wetsch WA, Böttiger BW; International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. KIDS SAVE LIVES: basic life support education for schoolchildren: a narrative review and scientific statement from the International Liaison Committee on resuscitation. *Circulation*. 2023;147(24):1854-1868. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001128.

9. Böttiger BW, Van Aken H. Kids Save Lives -training school children in cardiopulmonary resuscitation worldwide is now endorsed by the World Health Organization (WHO). *Resuscitation*. 2015; 94:A5-7. DOI:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.07.005.

10. Greif R, Bhanji F, Bigham BL, Bray J, Breckwoldt J, Cheng A, Duff JP, Gilfoyle E, Hsieh MJ, Iwami T, Lauridsen KG, Lockey AS, Ma MH, Monsieurs KG, Okamoto D, Pellegrino JL, Yeung J, Finn JC; et al. Education, Implementation, and Teams: 2020 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science with treatment recommendations. Education, Implementation, and Teams Collaborators. *Circulation*. 2020;142(16):S222-S283. DOI:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000896.

11. Greif R, Lockey A, Breckwoldt J, Carmona F, Conaghan P, Kuzovlev A, Pflanzl-Knizacek L, Sari F, Shammet S, Scapigliati A, Turner N, Yeung J, Monsieurs KG. *Resuscitation*. 2021;161:388-407. DOI: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.02.016.11.

12. Fleischhackl R, Nuernberger A, Sterz F, Schoenberg C, Urso T, Habart T, Mittlboeck M, Chandra-Strobos N. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021: Education for resuscitation. School children sufficiently apply life supporting first aid: a prospective investigation. *Crit Care*. 2009;13(4):R127. DOI: 10.1186/cc7984.

13. Abelairas-Gómez C, Rodríguez-Núñez A, Casillas-Cabana M, Romo-Pérez V,

- Barcala-Furelos R. Schoolchildren as life savers: at what age do they become strong enough? *Resuscitation*. 2014;85(6):814-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.03.001.
14. Breckwoldt J, Beetz D, Schnitzer L, Waskow C, Arntz HR, Weimann J. Medical students teaching basic life support to school children as a required element of medical education: a randomised controlled study comparing three different approaches to fifth year medical training in emergency medicine. *Resuscitation*. 2007;74(1):158-65. DOI: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2006.11.017.
15. Baldi E, Contri E, Bailoni A, Rendic K, Turcan V, Donchev N, Nadareishvili I, Petrica AM, Yerolemidou I, Petrenko A, Franke J, Labbe G, Jashari R, Pérez Dalí A, Borg J, Hertenberger N, Böttiger BW. Final-year medical students' knowledge of cardiac arrest and CPR: We must do more! *Int J Cardiol*. 2019;296:76-80. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2019.07.016.
16. Alkarrash MS, Shashaa MN, Kitaz MN, Rhayim R, Ismail M, Swed S, Hafez W, Kaadan MI, Koumakli H, Alhisah N, Al-Haider A, Al-Salloum S, Cherrez-Ojeda I. Basic life support awareness among medical undergraduate students in Syria, Iraq, and Jordan: a multicenter cross-sectional study. *Int J Emerg Med*. 2023;16(1):44. DOI: 10.1186/s12245-023-00521-0.
17. Tavares LFB, Bezerra IMP, Oliveira FRS, Souza LVA, Raimundo RD, Souza EC, Adami F, Abreu LC (2015). Knowledge of health sciences undergraduate students in objective tests on basic life support. *J Hum Growth and Dev*. 2015; 25(3): 297. DOI:10.7322/jhgd.106002.
18. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S. Sample size determination in health studies: a practical manual. WHO, Geneva, 1991. pag. 25
19. Nakagawa NK, Silva LM, Oliveira RC, Oliveira KMG, Santos FRA, Calderaro M, Souza HP, Hajjar LA, Motta EV, Teixeira PWGN, Timmerman S, Semeraro F,

Carvalho MJC, Böttiger BW. KIDS SAVE LIVES BRAZIL: A successful pilot program to implement CPR at primary and high schools in Brazil, resulting in state law for a training CPR week. *Resuscitation*. 2019;140:81-83.

DOI:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.05.009.

20. Lu C, Jin Y, Meng F, Wang Y, Shi X, Ma W, Chen J, Zhang Y, Wang W, Xing Q. An exploration of attitudes toward bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation in University students in Tianjin, China: a survey. *Int Emerg Nurs*. 2016;24:28-34.

DOI:10.1016/j.ienj.2015.05.006.

21. Contri E, Bonomo MC, Costantini G, Manera M, Bormetti M, Tonani M, Baldi E. Are final year medical students ready to save lives in Italy? Not yet. *Emerg Med J*. 2017;34(8):556. DOI: 10.1136/emermed-2017-206748.

22. Dinno A. Nonparametric pairwise multiple comparisons in independent groups using Dunn's test. *Stata J*. 2015;15(1):292-300. DOI

23. Brenner PS, DeLamater J. Lies, Damned Lies, and Survey Self-Reports? Identity as a Cause of Measurement Bias. *Soc Psychol Q*. 2016;79(4):333-354.

DOI:10.1177/0190272516628298.

TABLES LEGENDS AND TABLES

Table 1. Demographic characteristics are presented as mean values and standard deviations or numbers of subjects and proportions. Comparisons between groups of disciplines (medicine, other-health-care, and nonhealth-care) were performed using the ANOVA test or the Chi-Squared Test, when appropriate

	Medicine n = 219	Other-health-care n = 1,058	Nonhealth-care n = 3,526	p-value
Age, years	21.7 ± 3.3	22.9 ± 6.7	22.9 ± 6.5	0.045
Sex, n (%)				0.408
Male	83 (37.9)	383 (36.2)	1,218 (34.5)	
Female	136 (62.1)	675 (63.8)	2,308 (65.5)	

Table 2. Numbers and proportions of students with grades above the median score of all students of all disciplines in each category (knowledge, skills and attitude). Comparisons between groups of disciplines (medicine, other-health-care, and nonhealth-care) were performed using the Chi-Squared Test (*, *vs* the other groups; #, *vs* medicine)

	Medicine n = 219	Other-health-care n = 1,058	Nonhealth-care n = 3,526	p-value
Knowledge	65 (29.7)	295 (27.9)	160 (4.5)*	<0.001
Skills	185 (84.5)	687 (64.9)#	1,298 (36.8)*	<0.001
Attitude	150 (68.5)	594 (56.1)	1,088 (30.9)*	<0.001

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. The cross-over study design using an electronic survey sent to all students of all years of three universities. Responders of 82 disciplines were divided in three groups of disciplines: medicine, other-health-care, and nonhealth-care

Figure 2. Box-plot of self-reported grades in three categories (knowledge, skills and attitude) by university students of medicine (□), other-health-care disciplines (■) and nonhealth-care disciplines (■). Dashed lines show the median values of all students in each category. Significant differences were found between groups using the Kruskal-Wallis Test with post-hoc analysis (Dunn's Test) (* $p < 0.001$ vs other groups; # $p < 0.001$ vs medicine)

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CONCEPTUALIZATION: P.N.S., G.B.G., I.C.S., H.B.C., P.A., M.J.C.C., N.K.N.

METHODOLOGY: H.B.C., P.A., L.F.F.S., M.M., M.J.C.C., N.K.N.

SOFTWARE: H.B.C.

VALIDATION: N.K.N., H.B.C.

FORMAL ANALYSIS: P.N.S., G.B.G., I.C.S., N.K.N., H.B.C.

INVESTIGATION: P.N.S., G.B.G., I.C.S., N.K.N.

RESOURCES: H.B.C., N.K.N.

DATA CURATION: P.N.S., G.B.G., I.C.S., H.B.C., N.K.N.

WRITING – ORIGINAL DRAFT PREPARATION: P.N.S., G.B.G., I.C.S., H.B.C., P.A.,
M.M., M.J.C.C., N.K.N.

WRITING – REVIEW & EDITING: H.B.C., P.A., A.P.B., L.M.T.A.A., L.F.F.S., M.M.,
F.S., A.L., K.G.M., R.G., M.J.C.C., B.W.B., N.K.N.

VISUALIZATION: P.N.S., G.B.G., I.C.S., H.B.C., P.A., M.M., M.J.C.C., N.K.N.

SUPERVISION: H.B.C., P.A., M.J.C.C., N.K.N.

PROJECT ADMINISTRATION: M.J.C.C., N.K.N.

FUNDING ACQUISITION: N.K.N.



