Integrating circulating T follicular memory cells and autoantibody repertoires for characterization of autoimmune disorders

Emily M. Harris*[1], Sarah Chamseddine*[2], Anne Chu[2,3], Leetah Senkpeil[2], Matthew Nikiciuk[2,3] Amer Al-Musa[2,] Brian Woods[2], Elif Ozdogan[4], Sarife Saker[2], David P. Hoytema van Konijnenburg[2], Christina S.K. Yee[2], Ryan Nelson[2], Pui Y. Lee[2], Olha Halyabar[2], Rebecca C. Hale[2], Megan Day-Lewis[2], Lauren A. Henderson[2], Alan A. Nguyen[2], Megan Elkins[2], Toshiro K. Ohsumi[4], Maria Gutierrez-Arcelus[2], Janique M. Peyper[5], Craig D. Platt[2], Rachael F. Grace[1], Brenna LaBere[2,6], Janet Chou[2]

*****Equal contribution

Affiliations

[1]Dana-Farber/Boston Children's Cancer and Blood Disorders Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

[2]Division of Immunology, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

[3]Northeastern University, Boston, MA

[4]BeBiopharma, Boston, MA

[5]Sengenics, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

[6]Current affiliation: Division of Allergy and Immunology, Phoenix Children's Hospital, Phoenix, AZ 85016

Corresponding Author: Janet Chou, 1 Blackfan Circle, Karp #10007D, Boston, MA 02115. Tel: 617-355-6117. Email: Janet.Chou@childrens.harvard.edu.

Key Words: autoimmunity, immune cytopenias, T follicular helper cells, autoantibodies

Abbreviations:

AIHA	Autoimmune hemolytic anemia
cTfh	Circulating T follicular helper cell
cTfm	Circulating T follicular memory cell
ES	Evans syndrome
ITP	Immune thrombocytopenia
IVIG	Intravenous immunoglobulin
SLE	Systemic lupus erythematosis

Conflicts of Interest: EMH, SC, AC, LS, MN, AA, BW, EO, SS, CY, RN, PYL, OH, RCH, MD-L, AAN, ME, TKO, MG-A, CDP, BL, JC have no conflicts of interest to disclose**.** DHK is a consultant for Adivo Associates. LAH received salary support from the Childhood Arthritis and Rheumatology Research Alliance, investigator-initiated research grants from Bristol Myers Squibb, and consulting fees from Sobi**.** JP is an employee of Sengenics. RFG receives research funding from Novartis, Sobi, and Agios and is a consultant for Agios and Sanofi.

Abstract

Introduction. Autoimmune diseases are heterogeneous and often lack specific or sensitive diagnostic tests. Increased percentages of $CD4^+CXCR5^+PD1^+$ circulating T follicular helper (cTfh) cells and skewed distributions of cTfh subtypes have been associated with autoimmunity. However, cTfh cell percentages can normalize with immunomodulatory treatment despite persistent disease activity, indicating the need for identifying additional cellular and/or serologic features correlating with autoimmunity.

Methods. The cohort included 50 controls and 56 patients with autoimmune cytopenias, gastrointestinal, pulmonary, and/or neurologic autoimmune disease. Flow cytometry was used to measure $CD4^+CXCR5^+$ T cell subsets expressing the chemokine receptors CXCR3 and/or CCR6: $CXCR3^+CCR6^-$ Type 1, $CXCR3^-CCR6^-$ Type 2, $CXCR3^+CCR6^+$ Type 1/17, and $CXCR3^-CCR6^+$ Type 17 T cells. IgG and IgA autoantibodies were quantified using a microarray featuring 1616 full-length, conformationally intact protein antigens. The 97.5th percentile in the control cohort defined normal limits for T cell subset percentages and total number (burden) of autoantibodies.

Results. This study focused on $CD4^+CXCR5^+$ T cells because CXCR5 upregulation occurs after cognate T-B cell interactions characteristic of autoimmune diseases. We refer to these cells as circulating T follicular memory (cTfm) cells to acknowledge the dynamic nature of antigen-experienced $CXCR5^+$ T cells, which encompass early progenitors of cTfh or Tfh cells as well as effector memory T cells that eventually lose CXCR5. Compared to controls, 57.1% of patients had increased $CXCR5^+CXCR3^+CCR6^+$ cTfm1/17 and 25% had increased $CXCR5^+CXCR3^-CCR6^+$ cTfm17 cell percentages. Patients had significantly more diverse IgG and IgA autoantibodies than controls and 44.6% had an increased burden of autoantibodies. Unsupervised autoantibody clustering identified three clusters of patients with IgG autoantibody profiles distinct from those of controls, enriched for patients with active autoimmunity and monogenic diseases. An increased percentage of cTfm17 cells was most closely associated

with an increased burden of high-titer IgG and IgA autoantibodies. A composite measure integrating increased cTfm1/17, cTfm17, and high-titer IgG and/or IgA autoantibodies had 91.1% sensitivity and 90.9% specificity for identifying patients with autoimmunity. Percentages of cTfm1/17 and cTfm17 percentages and numbers of high-titer autoantibodies in patients receiving immunomodulatory treatment did not differ from those in untreated patients, thus suggesting that measurements of cTfm can complement measurements of other cellular markers affected by treatment.

Conclusions. This study highlights two new approaches for assessing autoimmunity: measuring $CD4^{+}CXCR5^{+}$ cTfm subsets as well as total burden of autoantibodies. Our findings suggest that these approaches are particularly relevant to patients with rare autoimmune disorders for whom target antigens and prognosis are often unknown.

Introduction

The clinical heterogeneity of autoimmune diseases complicates diagnosis of these disorders. Due to limitations in diagnostic testing, some remain diagnoses of exclusion, such as immune thrombocytopenia (ITP), autoimmune neutropenia or seronegative autoimmune hepatitis.[1,2] Positivity for disease-associated autoantibodies does not consistently correlate with disease activity due to the variable recognition of epitopes inherent in a polyclonal response. Furthermore, many disease-associated autoantibodies recognize intracellular antigens whose contribution to disease remains unknown; even more disorders have no known autoantibodies.[3–5] Although next-generation DNA sequencing has identified some monogenic causes of autoimmunity, most patients lacks a genetic diagnosis.[6] Even relatively common autoimmune disorders with defined clinical criteria and disease-associated autoantibodies, such as systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and multiple sclerosis, can have diagnostic delays upwards of three years.[7,8] Delayed diagnoses contribute to disease progression and morbidity through postponed or inadequate treatment,[9–11] highlighting the need for additional approaches to reduce diagnostic delay.

Autoimmunity arises from self-reactive T and B cells that generate inflammatory cytokines and autoantibodies.[12] T follicular helper (Tfh) cells are $CD4^+$ T cells with robust capacity for inducing B cell activation and class-switching.[12] Upon recognizing antigen, T cells upregulate the chemokine receptor CXCR5.[13] While effector T cells lose expression of CXCR5 after terminal differentiation, Tfh cell progenitors maintain expression of CXCR5, which enables their migration to the interface of the T cell zone and B cell follicles in lymphoid organs.[12] Subsequently, sustained interactions between Tfh and B cells upregulates markers characteristic of Tfh cells in germinal centers: the transcription factor B cell lymphoma 6 protein (Bcl6) and the immune checkpoint receptor, programmed cell death 1 (PD-1).[12,14] Notably, the periphery contains $CD4^+CXCR5^+PD1^+$ T cells that lack Bcl6.[15,16] These cells are

commonly referred to as circulating Tfh (cTfh) cells due to features shared with Tfh cells in the germinal center, including T cell receptor clonotypes and the ability to induce class-switching.[15–18] We and others have previously shown expansion of $CD4^{+}CXCR5^{+}PD1^{+}$ cTfh cells in patients with multi-systemic autoimmune disorders, such as activated protein kinase delta syndrome and SLE.[12,19] However, increased percentages of cTfh cells are not a universal feature of all autoimmune disorders and can normalize with immunosuppressive treatment even in patients with persistent disease activity,[20] indicating the need for additional measures of autoimmunity.

Like effector T cells, which include Th1, Th2, Th17, and Th1/17 subsets, cTfh cells can be divided into subtypes based on expression of the chemokine receptors CXCR3 and CCR6.[20–22] Commonly expanded during viral and parasitic infections or after vaccination, cTfh1 cells robustly produce IFN-γ and can activate memory, but not naïve, B cells.[22–25] Compared to cTfh1 cells, cTfh2 and cTfh17 cells are considered more potent activators of B cells because they enable naïve B cell differentiation to class-switched plasmablasts, with cTfh17 cells enhancing IgA and IgG secretion and cTfh2 cells enhancing IgE and IgG secretion.[15] IL-4 promotes the differentiation of $CXCR3^{-}CCR6^{-}$ cTfh2 cells, which produce IL-4 and IL-5.[15] TGF-β1 and IL-6 enable the differentiation of $CXCR3^{-}CCR6^{+}$ cTfh17 cells.[15] Increased perceentages of cTfh17 and cTfh2 cells have been found in patients with autoimmunity, including SLE, psoriasis, Sjogren's syndrome, myasthenia gravis, multiple sclerosis, IgG4-related disease, and juvenile dermatomyositis.[15,26,27] Additionally, expansions of cTfh subsets occurring in healthy individuals during infections are thought to contribute to the production of non-pathogenic autoantibodies in the general population.[28] $CXCR3^{+}CCR6^{+}$ cTfh1/17 cells share features of cTfh1 and cTfh17 cells, such as dual production of IFN-γ and IL-17,[29] but much less is known about the associations between cTfh1/17 cells and autoimmunity.

Given the essential contributions of T and B cells to the development of autoimmune disorders, we hypothesized that aberrations in T cell subsets and autoantibody repertoire could reflect germinal center dysfunction, and identify autoimmunity even in patients with normal percentages of total $CXCR5^+PD1^+$ cTfh cells. To complement prior studies investigating subset skewing among $CD4^+CXCR5^+PD1^+$cTfh cell subsets in patients with autoimmunity,[16,30] we focused on a larger population of $CD4^+CXCR5^+$ T cells since CXCR5 upregulation occurs after antigen-dependent, cognate interactions[13] essential to the pathogenesis of autoimmunity. $CD4^+CXCR5^+$ T cells include PD-1^- effector T cells that have not yet downregulated CXCR5, early cTfh cells with low to intermediate PD-1 expression, and cTfh cells near terminal differentiation with robust PD-1 expression – all of which can promote the generation of proinflammatory cytokines and autoantibodies characteristic of autoimmune disorders.

Methods

2.1 Study participants

Informed consent was obtained from patients, all of whom were recruited at Boston Children's Hospital. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Boston Children's Hospital.

Information regarding medical diagnoses and treatments received was obtained through review of the electronic medical record. Race and ethnicity were obtained from self-reported data from the medical record. The control cohort included patients without any history of autoimmunity, immune dysfunction, malignancy, or transplantation. Patients were classified as having a diagnosis of autoimmunity based on clinical, laboratory, or genetic causes abstracted from the medical record by the study's clinical hematologists and immunologists prior to measurement of cTfh cells to minimize investigator bias. Disease activity was defined by abnormal laboratory values consistent with the respective autoimmune diagnosis or autoimmune disease-specific symptoms or cytopenias requiring medications. Intravenous

immunoglobulin (IVIG) given within 4 weeks of enrollment or rituximab given within 12 months of enrollment was considered ongoing active treatment based on published kinetics of B cell repopulation in patients with autoimmune disorders.[31,32] For patients with autoimmune hemolytic anemia (AIHA), rituximab response was defined as >2 g/dL increase in hemoglobin from time of diagnosis or normalization of hemoglobin with or without biochemical resolution of hemolysis.[33] For patients with ITP, rituximab response was defined as platelet count ≥30 × 10^9/L and at least 2-fold increase of the baseline count within 3 months of first dose.[34] One patient received rituximab for interstitial lung disease; response was defined by improvement on pulmonary function tests.

2.2 Immunophenotyping

To minimize T cell death that can occur during the process of cell isolation,[35] flow cytometric assessment of T cells was done on 100 µL of whole blood collected in sodium heparin anticoagulant tubes. Cell surface staining was performed using the following antibodies: CD4 (BioLegend #317420), PD-1 (BioLegend, #329907), CXCR5 (BioLegend, #356904), CXCR3 (BioLegend, #353716), and CCR6 (BioLegend, #353434). Similar percentages of cTfm subsets were obtained when anti-CD45RA (BioLegend #304129) was added to exclude naïve T cells. Flow cytometry data were acquired on BD LSRFortessa and were analyzed using FlowJo™ v10.8 Software (BD Life Sciences). The upper limit of normal was defined as the 97.5th percentile of control values for T cell phenotyping, similar to that of other clinical laboratory tests.[36,37] Increased percentages of $CD4^+CXCR5^+PD\text{-}1^+$ cTfh cells was defined using a threshold of 12% as previously published.[19]

2.3 Autoantibody detection

Sengenics KREX technology (i-OME Discovery array in combination with dual color IgG/IgA detection) was used to profile seroreactivity against 1616 self-antigens with preserved native conformation.[38,39] Controls for this platform included 22 individuals from the above described control cohort as well as a commercially available pooled normal plasma (Sigma)

from 50 – 70 individuals without clinical evidence of autoimmunity. Plasma was diluted 1:200 for the assay. Autoantibody binding to antigens was measured using an open format microarray laser scanner (Agilent) at 10 µm resolution, with quantification of intensities using GenePix Pro 7 software (Molecular Devices). Net fluorescence intensities were calculated by subtracting background signaling intensities, followed by log2 transformation, Loess normalization[40] using the normalizeCyclicLoess() function[41] from R package limma[42]. Batch-correction was performed using the ComBat() function[43] from R package sva.[44] To avoid potential confounding from intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), we excluded autoantibodies with significantly increased intensities in patients who received IVIG within 4 weeks of study enrollment compared to patients who did not receive IVIG.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Differences in the Shannon diversity indices [45] were calculated using Hutcheson's *t* test.[46] Unsupervised hierarchical clustering was performed using Euclidean distance and the Ward D2 method with the ComplexHeatmap R package.[47,48] Sensitivity and specificity of the composite measure for autoimmunity were calculated using Fisher's exact test. Statistical tests were performed using Prism v10.0.0 (GraphPad) or R version 4.3.1. Graphs were created with Prism v10.0.0 and illustrations were created with BioRender.com.

Results

3.1 Patient characteristics

This study enrolled 106 individuals: 56 patients with autoimmune diseases and 50 controls (**Table 1**). The heterogeneity of this patient cohort reflects the phenotypic variability in clinical practice. Immune cytopenias were the most common clinical feature, affecting 84% of the patients. This included 11 patients with ITP, 15 with AIHA, and 22 with Evans syndrome. Additional outcomes of autoimmunity in this cohort included hepatitis, intestinal disease,

interstitial lung disease, neurologic disease, and thyroiditis (**Table 1**). There were 17 patients (30.3%) with a monogenic diagnosis (**Supplementary table 1**). At enrollment, 50 patients (89.3%) had active disease and 40 (71%) were receiving treatment (**Table 1**). A total of 16 patients had a history of rituximab treatment prior to enrollment, of whom eight had received it within 12 months before enrollment. Among the 16 patients who received rituximab, 11 (68.8%) had quantitative evidence of response to rituximab, as detailed in the methods.

3.2 Patients with autoimmunity have expanded cTfm1/17 and cTfm17 subsets

We have previously shown that a percentage of total $CD4^+CXCR5^+PD\text{-}1^+$ cTfh cells exceeding 12% of $CD4^+$ T cells has 88% sensitivity and 94% specificity for active, multi-systemic autoimmunity, and can normalize with immunomodulatory treatment.[19] In contrast to our prior study, the majority of this cohort (69.6%, 39 patients) had a normal percentage of total $CD4^+CXCR5^+PD\text{-}1^+$ cTfh cells: six had inactive disease, four had immune cytopenias without evidence of multi-systemic disease, and the remaining 28 were on immunomodulatory therapies **(Fig. 1A).** In this study, we focused on $CXCR5^+$ expressing $CD4^+$ since robust and rapid upregulation of this chemokine receptor occurs primarily after cognate interactions with antigen-presenting cells, rather than non-specific T cell activation with anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation or PHA.[13] As $CD4^+CXCR5^+$ T cells with variable PD-1 expression share features of $CXCR5^+PD1^+$ cTfh cells, $CXCR3^+$ memory Th1 cells, and $CCR6^+$ memory Th17 cells, we refer to them as circulating T follicular memory (cTfm) cells. To characterize $CD4^+CXCR5^+$ cTfm cell subsets, cTflm1, cTfm2, cTfm17, and cTfm1/17 cells were identified based on the expression of CXCR3 and CCR6 (**Fig. 1B**). These subsets include $PD\text{-}1^+$ cTfm cells as well as $PD\text{-}1^-$ cTfm cells. $CD4^+CXCR5^+PD1^-$ cells can secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines and develop into $PD\text{-}1^+$ cells.[49–51] Using the 97.5^{th} percentile of cTfm subset percentages in controls as the upper limit of normal, 32 (57.1%) patients had increased cTfm1/17 percentages, and all but one had active disease (**Fig. 1C**). Increased cTfm17 percentages were found in 14 (25%) patients, of

whom two had inactive disease (**Fig. 1C**). cTfm1 and cTfm2 subsets were comparable between the patients and controls (**Fig. 1C**). Within the cohort with autoimmunity, percentages of cTfm subsets in patients who were on treatment did not differ from those in patients not on treatment (**Fig. 1D**).

3.3 Autoantibody results

We next investigated autoantibody profiles in our autoimmunity cohort of 56 patients, along with 22 individuals from the previously described control group. We investigated profiles of IgG and IgA autoantibodies using a platform that detects IgG and IgA autoantibodies to 1616 conformationally intact, full-length human proteins related to autoimmunity and cancer.[38,39] Due to the heterogeneity of clinical features associated with immune cytopenias,[1,52,53] this cohort was not powered to identify enrichment of specific autoantibodies for clinical phenotypes. We instead hypothesized that patients with autoimmunity would have either an increased number and/or diversity of autoantibodies compared to controls. High-titer autoantibodies were classified as those with normalized intensities exceeding three standard deviations of those found in controls. This approach identified a high-titer anti-muscle-specific tyrosine kinase in a patient with myasthenia gravis in the setting of Kabuki syndrome, concordant with clinical testing for autoantibodies in this patient.

Patients had a median of five high-titer IgG autoantibodies (range: 0 – 249 autoantibodies per patient) compared to a median of 1.5 high-titer IgG autoantibodies in controls (range: 0 – 16 autoantibodies per control, **Fig. 2A**). High-titer IgG autoantibodies in patients with autoimmunity were significantly more diverse than those in controls, as measured by the Shannon diversity index (**Fig. 2B**). Additionally, there were 521 high-titer IgG autoantibodies found only in the patients with autoimmunity (**Supplementary data 1**), whose targets were enriched in pathways pertaining to cell cycling, apoptosis, signaling downstream of GATA2, a transcription factor essential for hematopoietic cell development,[54,55] and PI3K/AKT/mTOR, a

metabolic pathway important for cTfh and effector T cell dysregulation as well as autoimmune cytopenias [56] (**Fig. 2C**). Among the nine patients with active disease who were not on immunomodulatory treatment at the time of enrollment, eight had a total number of high-titer IgG autoantibodies comparable to those in controls, suggesting persistent cellular, rather than serologic, autoimmunity after prior therapy.

Quantification of IgA autoantibodies identified a median of two high-titer IgA autoantibodies (range: 0 – 232) and increased autoantibody diversity in patients, compared to a median of one high-titer IgA autoantibody in controls (range: 0 – 5, **Fig. 2D, E**). There were 523 high-titer IgA autoantibodies found only in the patients with autoimmunity (**Supplementary Data 2**), whose targets were significantly enriched in pathways pertaining to apoptosis, cellular senescence, cell cycling, and cytokine signaling (**Fig. 2F**). These pathways have been previously shown to contribute to autoimmunity and overlap with those enriched in targets of high-titer IgG autoantibodies.[57,58] In this cross-sectional study, the number of high-titer IgG or IgA autoantibodies was not statistically correlated with differences in disease activity or treatment (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). The targets of high-titer IgG and IgA autoantibodies were predominantly intracellular antigens, likely reflecting antigen release after cell death.[59] To complement this analysis of high-titer autoantibodies, we performed unsupervised clustering of all autoantibodies in patients and controls. There were four clusters collectively composed of 21 patients with IgG autoantibody profiles distinct from those of controls (clusters 2, 3, 6, and 7 in **Supplementary Fig. 2**). These clusters were enriched for patients with active autoimmunity (n=19, 90.5%) and monogenic diseases (n=12, 63.2%). Among the patients lacking a definitive genetic diagnosis, three have candidate variants under ongoing investigation. One control (cluster 1) is a two year old boy who started daycare approximately four months prior to study enrollment. He had a normal immunologic evaluation and a history of mild upper respiratory infections that resolved after six months in daycare without any clinical evidence of autoimmunity. His high titer IgG autoantibody pattern is likely due to his history of recurrent

upper respiratory infections upon daycare entry, thereby separating him from the patients and other controls. In contrast, unsupervised clustering of IgA autoantibody profiles identified only four patients with autoantibody profiles distinct from controls, all of whom had active AIHA (n=3) or ITP (n=1) (**Supplementary Fig. 3**). Collectively, these findings show that a broad autoantibody screen can identify patients with a large burden of high-titer, diverse autoantibodies, even for disorders lacking identifiable shared or pathogenic autoantibodies.

3.4 Combining cTfh subsets with autoantibody profiling in the diagnosis of autoimmunity

Based on the dual contributions of T and B cells in the development of autoimmunity, we tested the sensitivity and specificity of a composite measure integrating cTfm1/17, cTfm17, and increased burden of high-titer IgG and/or IgA autoantibodies for autoimmunity. To create this composite measure, we tabulated the number of laboratory features exceeding the 97.5th percentile of controls as follows: (1) cTfm1/17 percentage >36.0%, (2) cTfm17 percentage >40.1%, (3) >14 high-titer IgG autoantibodies, and (4) >4 high-titer IgA autoantibodies (**Table 2**). Within the control cohort, most (n=20, 90.9%) had a composite measure of 0, while two had a composite measure of 1 due to an increased burden of high-titer IgG or IgA autoantibodies. The patients with autoimmunity had composite measures of zero (n=5, 8.9%), one (n=34, 60.7%), two (n=14, 25%), or three (n=3, 5.4%) as summarized in **Table 2** and **Fig. 3A**. Of the five patients with a composite measure of zero, four had active ITP and one had AIHA in remission. A composite measure $\geq$1 had a sensitivity of 91.1% and a specificity of 90.9% for autoimmune disease. Unsupervised clustering of the variables included in the composite measure revealed that an increased percentage of cTfm1/17 cells was most closely associated with a positive composite measure (**Fig. 3B**). An increased percentage of cTfm17 cells was most closely associated with an increased burden of high-titer IgG and IgA autoantibodies (**Fig. 3B**), consistent with the known contribution of cTfm17 cells to both autoantibody isotypes.[29,60]

We conclude with an illustrative example of how this composite measure can be used for disorders with variably penetrant autoimmunity. Our cohort includes six patients with Kabuki Syndrome due to pathogenic variants in *KMT2D* (**Supplementary table 1**), which encodes a methyltransferase that regulates the expression of genes contributing to a diverse range of cellular functions.[61] The clinical phenotype of Kabuki Syndrome is unpredictable since some, but not all patients develop immune dysfunction that may include hypogammaglobulinemia and/or autoimmunity, such as vitiligo, autoimmune thyroiditis, myasthenia gravis, and immune cytopenias.[61] Of the six patients with Kabuki syndrome in our cohort, four had clinically detectable autoimmunity. Three of these had a positive composite measure due to increased cTfm1/17 percentages, cTfm17 percentages, and/or burden of high-titer IgG autoantibodies. Two had an increased percentage of total cTfm cells exceeding the normal threshold of 12%. The remaining two patients lacking autoimmunity had a composite measure of zero. These findings suggest that combined assessments of cTfh cells and high-titer autoantibodies may be particularly relevant for patients with disorders characterized by variable autoimmunity.

Discussion

Many clinically heterogenous autoimmune disorders are diagnoses of exclusion due to limited targeted diagnostic testing, and are managed with a “watch and wait” approach that can delay initiation of effective treatment.[62] The term cTfm acknowledges the dynamic nature of antigen-experienced $CXCR5^+$ T cells, which can differentiate into peripheral cTfh cells or germinal center Tfh cells with continued expression of $CXCR5^+$, or downregulate $CXCR5^+$ as they differentiate into effector memory T cells. Here, we demonstrate the utility of integrating $CD4^+CXCR5^+$ cTfm subsets and serologic markers for identifying autoimmunity, even in patients undergoing immunomodulatory treatment. We show that patients with autoimmunity have skewed cTfm subsets, with increased percentages of cTfm1/17 and cTfm17 cells. Using broad

autoantibody profiling, we identify an increased burden of high-titer IgG or IgA autoantibodies in 37.5% of the patients studied, thereby providing another approach for assessing serologic autoimmunity when known pathogenic autoantibodies are unknown or undetectable.

We have previously shown that circulating $CD4^+CXCR5^+PD1^+$ cTfh cells exceeding 12% of $CD4^+$ T cells have high sensitivity and specificity for active, multi-system autoimmunity,[19] complementing published studies showing increased cTfh levels in patients with SLE, rheumatoid arthritis, Sjogren syndrome, and autoimmune neurologic disorders.[12,20] Less is known about the dysregulation of cTfh cells in patients with autoimmune cytopenias, which affected 84% of the patients studied. In a cohort of 24 patients with Evans Syndrome and 22 with chronic ITP, Kumar et al. found that patients with Evans Syndrome have increased percentages of total cTfh and cTfh1 cells, with a concomitant reduction in cTfh17 cells compared to those with chronic ITP.[30] Notably, this study's evaluation of cTfh subsets focused on $PD1^+$ cells.[30] This is distinct from our approach of measuring $CD4^+CXCR5^+$ T cells that are either $PD1^-$ or $PD1^+$. PD-1 serves as a rheostat that tightly regulates the development of Tfh cells in the germinal center. Upon binding PD-L1 on B cells, PD-1 promotes class-switching and germinal center development by enhancing the secretion of IL-21.[63] PD-1 also restrains Tfh cell development by reducing T cell activation.[64] Furthermore, PD-1 restricts the egress of Tfh cells from germinal centers by limiting expression of CXCR3, a chemokine receptor that binds to ligands expressed by dendritic cells outside the lymphoid follicles and peripheral stromal cells, such as endothelial cells, fibroblasts, and keratinocytes.[64] High expression of PD-1 thus enables precise control of T cell activation, migration, and function.[64] In contrast, $CD4^+CXCR5^+$ T cells with low PD-1 expression have been found to have multiple fates and functions. $CD4^+CXCR5^+CXCR3^+PD1^-$ cTfh cells can differentiate into $PD1^+$ cTfh cells.[49,50] Additionally, $CXCR5^+CCR6^+PD1^-$ cells produce greater amounts of proinflammatory cytokines, such IL-17 and TNF-α.[51] Inclusion of both $PD1^+$ and $PD1^-$ $CXCR5^+$ cTfm cells thus allows us

to include different types of antigen-experienced T cell populations with distinct contributions to autoimmunity.

We found increased percentages of cTfm17 and cTfm1/17 in patients with diverse types of autoimmunity, even in patients receiving immunosuppressive therapies. Effector T cells expressing CXCR3 and CCR6 have been shown to resist glucocorticoid-induced cell death due to the expression of the multi-drug resistance type 1 membrane transporter.[65] Additional studies are needed to determine cTfm cells similarly express drug resistance transporters. Unsupervised clustering indicated that increased percentages of cTfm17 cells, but not cTfm1/17 cells, were associated with high titers of IgG and IgA autoantibodies in our cohort. Expanded $CD4^{+}CXCR5^{+}PD1^{+}CCR6^{+}$ cTfh17 cells has been found in patients with a range of autoimmune disorders, including SLE, systemic sclerosis, myasthenia gravis, and Hashimoto's thyroiditis, and can positively correlate with pathogenic autoantibodies.[16,20,66,67] The associations of cTfh cells with dual expression of CXCR3 and CCR6 with autoimmune disease are less well-known, although recent studies have identified increased percentages of these cells in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis [68,69]. More is known about Th1/17 cells, the effector cell counterpart of cTfh1/17 cells also enriched in patients with autoimmunity.[70] Effector Th1/17 cells are multifunctional, with the capacity to secrete proinflammatory cytokines.[71–73] Further investigations are needed to determine the mechanisms underlying the expansion of cTfm1/17 cells in patients with autoimmunity and if these cells exhibit polyfunctionality similar to that of effector Th1/17 cells.

We complemented these studies of cTfm subsets with a broad autoantibody profiling to assess the overall burden of high-titer autoantibodies since disease-associated autoantibodies are unknown for many autoimmune disorders. Even for disorders with known autoantibodies, the relationship between autoantibodies and disease activity is unpredictable, since autoantibodies can develop before clinical manifestations of autoimmune diseases.[62]

Autoantibodies can also exist in healthy individuals, arising from molecular mimicry with infectious pathogens and natural loss of self-tolerance with aging, among other factors.[28,74,75] Most disease-associated autoantibodies are of the IgG isotype, followed by IgA autoantibodies.[76] Our patient cohort with autoimmunity harbored a diverse set of high-titer IgG and IgA autoantibodies not found in controls, with more patients having an increased number of high-titer IgA than IgG autoantibodies. The high-titer IgA autoantibodies unique to the patients targeted self-antigens enriched in pathways similar to those targeted by the IgG autoantibodies, suggesting that screening for IgA autoantibodies may comprise another readout for some patients with autoimmunity. Although the autoantibody diversity in our patient cohort may be partly attributed to the heterogeneous clinical phenotypes in our cohort, published studies have similarly identified broad autoantibody repertoires in patients with SLE and in the elderly.[77,78] This contrasts with the “antibodyomes” of healthy individuals sharing common autoantibodies,[28] which eventually become more diverse as self-tolerance diminishes with aging.[78–80] The presence of anti-cytokine autoantibodies in patients is thought to be a proxy for loss of tolerance as they have not consistently been shown to be pathogenic or disease-defining in patients with autoimmunity.[81] They have subsequently been described in autoimmune conditions, such as SLE, rheumatoid arthritis, and multiple sclerosis. In our patient cohort, we identified high-titer anti-IL1A (n=1) and anti-IL24 (n=2) IgG autoantibodies. The autoantibody platform used in our study does not quantify all known anti-cytokine autoantibodies.

In combining measurements of cTfm subsets with the burden of high-titer IgG and IgA autoantibodies, we developed a composite measure with high sensitivity and specificity for autoimmunity. The diversity of clinical phenotype, disease activity, and therapies in our patient cohort reflects the reality of clinical practice. It is challenging to determine whether a cytopenia has an autoimmune etiology. For example, the differential diagnosis for isolated

thrombocytopenia includes ITP as well as many other non-autoimmune causes, including familial thrombocytopenia, bone marrow failure syndromes, medication-induced thrombocytopenia, and platelet sequestration in the liver or spleen.[82] ITP remains a diagnosis of exclusion, as there are no laboratory tests for diagnosing ITP and current clinical tests for anti-platelet glycoprotein autoantibodies have 53% sensitivity for ITP.[82] Even at centers with a large volume of immune cytopenia cases, up to 14% of children and 12.2% of adults who were diagnosed with ITP were misdiagnosed, while 3.1% of patients diagnosed with other causes of thrombocytopenia were ultimately found to have ITP.[83,84] Similarly, autoimmune neutropenia is a diagnostic challenge due to the lack of a diagnostic tests specific for autoimmune neutropenia.[52] Children with autoimmune neutropenia typically have excellent outcomes with relatively few infectious complications. In contrast, those with neutropenia due to impaired bone marrow production can have significant infectious risks.[52] Notably, we were able to detect increased percentages of cTfm17 and cTfm1/17 cells even in patients with ongoing immunomodulatory treatment. Therefore, a composite measure based on cellular and humoral mechanisms of autoimmunity, rather than disease-specific antigens, may address these diagnostic challenges.

This study has several limitations. An independent validation cohort is needed to confirm the sensitivity and specificity of cTfm subset skewing and high-titer autoantibodies for autoimmunity. In this cross-sectional study, we did not evaluate longitudinal changes in cTfh profiles and total autoantibody burden relative to changes in disease progression or clinical responses to treatment. Only one prior study has measured changes in the total autoantibody burden after treatment, in which a clinical response to rituximab was associated with a reduced number of autoantibodies detected by an array measuring 1,292 IgG, IgA, and IgD autoantibodies in a cohort of 26 patients with rheumatoid arthritis.[85] Future studies are needed to identify functional differences among skewed cTfh cell subsets that correlate with disease

mechanisms, phenotypes, and activity. Our findings are not intended to establish a clinical tool for the diagnosis of autoimmunity but rather to demonstrate that integration fo these components are distinguishing features of autoimmunity.

Here, we have applied two consequences of sustained T-B cell crosstalk – expansion of $CD4^{+}CXCR5^{+}$ cTfm cell subsets and autoantibodies – for the diagnosis of patients undergoing treatment for diverse types of autoimmunity. In our cohort, cTfm1/17 cells were the most commonly expanded subset, thus identifying a potential role for these cells in the pathogenesis of immune cytopenias. We show that broad autoantibody screening can be used to identify patients with large burdens of autoantibodies as an additional proxy for autoimmunity in patients lacking disease-associated antibodies.

Figure legends

Figure 1. A. Percentages of total $CD4^{+}CXCR5^{+}PD\text{-}1^{+}$ Tfh cells in patients and controls. Dotted line at 12% represents the upper limit of normal, as previously published.[19] **B.** Gating strategy for identifying cTfm cell subsets. **C.** Percentages of cTfm1, cTfm1/17, cTfm17, and cTfm2 cells in patients and controls. The dotted line represents the 97.5% in controls. **D.** Percentages of cTfm cell subsets in patients who were either treated or untreated at the time of enrollment.

Figure 2. **A.** Total number of high-titer IgG autoantibodies. **B.** Diversity of high-titer IgG autoantibodies, as measured by the Shannon diversity index. **C.** Pathways enriched in high-titer IgG autoantibodies found only in the patient cohort. **D.** Total number of high-titer IgA autoantibodies. **E.** Diversity of high-titer IgA autoantibodies, as measured by the Shannon diversity index. **F.** Pathways enriched in high-titer IgA autoantibodies found only in the patient cohort. ****$p<0.0001$

Figure 3. A. Composite measures in controls and patients, with indicated clinical phenotypes and genetic diagnoses. AIHA, autoimmune hemolytic anemia; ITP, immune thrombocytopenia; ES, Evans Syndrome; GOF, gain of function. **B.** Unsupervised clustering of composite measure components and patients.

Supplementary Figure 1. A) Number of high-titer IgG and IgA autoantibodies in patients with active (+) and inactive (-) disease. B) Number of high-titer IgG and IgA autoantibodies in patients on treatment (+) and not on treatment (-) at time of enrollment

Supplementary Figure 2. Heatmap with unsupervised clustering based on the normalized net intensities of IgG autoantibodies in patients and controls. The *STAT1*, *STAT2*, and *STAT3* variants are all gain of function variants. Red = presence, Grey = inactive, White = not present (control).

Supplementary Figure 3. Heatmap with unsupervised clustering for IgA. Red = presence, Grey = inactive, White = not present (control)

Supplementary Table 1: Genetic diagnoses of patients with monogenic disorders

Supplementary Data 1. High titer IgG autoantibodies with subcellular locations

Supplementary Data 3: High titer IgA autoantibodies with subcellular locations

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to our patients and their families for their participation in this study.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (T32HL007574 to EMH, T32AI007512 to SC, BL, JC, and R01DK130465 to JC) and the Immune Deficiency Foundation (BL). The Children's Rare Disease Cohort initiative[86] funded the whole exome sequencing of study participants. Sources of funding had no role in the study design or execution, data interpretation, or writing of the manuscript.

References

[1] D.M. Arnold, I. Nazy, R. Clare, A.M. Jaffer, B. Aubie, N. Li, J.G. Kelton, Misdiagnosis of primary immune thrombocytopenia and frequency of bleeding: lessons from the McMaster ITP Registry, Blood Adv 1 (2017) 2414–2420. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2017010942.

[2] M.V. Lenti, C.M. Rossi, F. Melazzini, M. Gastaldi, S. Bugatti, M. Rotondi, P.I. Bianchi, A. Gentile, L. Chiovato, C. Montecucco, G.R. Corazza, A. Di Sabatino, Seronegative autoimmune diseases: A challenging diagnosis, Autoimmunity Reviews 21 (2022) 103143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2022.103143.

[3] H. Ma, C. Murphy, C.E. Loscher, R. O'Kennedy, Autoantibodies - enemies, and/or potential allies?, Front Immunol 13 (2022) 953726. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.953726.

[4] S. Sciascia, N. Bizzaro, P.L. Meroni, B. Dimitrios, M.O. Borghi, X. Bossuyt, C. Grossi, D. Tornai, M. Papp, Y. Shoenfeld, D. Ielo, M.J. Fritzler, Autoantibodies testing in autoimmunity: Diagnostic, prognostic and classification value, Autoimmunity Reviews 22 (2023) 103356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2023.103356.

[5] A.J. Roth, J.D. Ooi, J.J. Hess, M.M. van Timmeren, E.A. Berg, C.E. Poulton, J. McGregor, M. Burkart, S.L. Hogan, Y. Hu, W. Winnik, P.H. Nachman, C.A. Stegeman, J. Niles, P. Heeringa, A.R. Kitching, S. Holdsworth, J.C. Jennette, G.A. Preston, R.J. Falk, Epitope

specificity determines pathogenicity and detectability in ANCA-associated vasculitis, J Clin Invest 123 (2013) 1773–1783. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI65292.
[6] S.G. Tangye, W. Al-Herz, A. Bousfiha, C. Cunningham-Rundles, J.L. Franco, S.M. Holland, C. Klein, T. Morio, E. Oksenhendler, C. Picard, A. Puel, J. Puck, M.R.J. Seppänen, R. Somech, H.C. Su, K.E. Sullivan, T.R. Torgerson, I. Meyts, Human Inborn Errors of Immunity: 2022 Update on the Classification from the International Union of Immunological Societies Expert Committee, J Clin Immunol (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-022-01289-3.
[7] M. Sloan, R. Harwood, S. Sutton, D. D'Cruz, P. Howard, C. Wincup, J. Brimicombe, C. Gordon, Medically explained symptoms: a mixed methods study of diagnostic, symptom and support experiences of patients with lupus and related systemic autoimmune diseases, Rheumatology Advances in Practice 4 (2020) rkaa006. https://doi.org/10.1093/rap/rkaa006.
[8] M. Kaisey, A.J. Solomon, Multiple Sclerosis Diagnostic Delay and Misdiagnosis, Neurologic Clinics 42 (2024) 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2023.07.001.
[9] A.J. Solomon, R.A. Marrie, S. Viswanathan, J. Correale, M. Magyari, N.P. Robertson, D.R. Saylor, W. Kaye, L. Rechtman, E. Bae, R. Shinohara, R. King, J. Laurson-Doube, A. Helme, Global Barriers to the Diagnosis of Multiple Sclerosis, Neurology 101 (2023) e624–e635. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000207481.
[10] L.S. Wylezinski, J.D. Gray, J.B. Polk, A.J. Harmata, C.F. Spurlock, Illuminating an Invisible Epidemic: A Systemic Review of the Clinical and Economic Benefits of Early Diagnosis and Treatment in Inflammatory Disease and Related Syndromes, Journal of Clinical Medicine 8 (2019) 493. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8040493.
[11] A. Kernder, J.G. Richter, R. Fischer-Betz, B. Winkler-Rohlfing, R. Brinks, M. Aringer, M. Schneider, G. Chehab, Delayed diagnosis adversely affects outcome in systemic lupus erythematosus: Cross sectional analysis of the LuLa cohort, Lupus 30 (2021) 431–438. https://doi.org/10.1177/0961203320983445.
[12] L.S.K. Walker, The link between circulating follicular helper T cells and autoimmunity, Nat Rev Immunol 22 (2022) 567–575. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-022-00693-5.
[13] P. Schaerli, K. Willimann, A.B. Lang, M. Lipp, P. Loetscher, B. Moser, Cxc Chemokine Receptor 5 Expression Defines Follicular Homing T Cells with B Cell Helper Function, J Exp Med 192 (2000) 1553–1562.
[14] M. Ruterbusch, K.B. Pruner, L. Shehata, M. Pepper, In Vivo $CD4^+$ T Cell Differentiation and Function: Revisiting the Th1/Th2 Paradigm, Annu. Rev. Immunol. 38 (2020) 705–725. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-103019-085803.
[15] R. Morita, N. Schmitt, S.-E. Bentebibel, R. Ranganathan, L. Bourdery, G. Zurawski, E. Foucat, M. Dullaers, S. Oh, N. Sabzghabaei, E.M. Lavecchio, M. Punaro, V. Pascual, J. Banchereau, H. Ueno, Human blood CXCR5(+)CD4(+) T cells are counterparts of T follicular cells and contain specific subsets that differentially support antibody secretion, Immunity 34 (2011) 108–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.12.012.
[16] H. Ueno, Human Circulating T Follicular Helper Cell Subsets in Health and Disease, J Clin Immunol 36 (2016) 34–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-016-0268-3.
[17] L.A. Vella, M. Buggert, S. Manne, R.S. Herati, I. Sayin, L. Kuri-Cervantes, I. Bukh Brody, K.C. O'Boyle, H. Kaprielian, J.R. Giles, S. Nguyen, A. Muselman, J.P. Antel, A. Bar-Or, M.E. Johnson, D.H. Canaday, A. Naji, V.V. Ganusov, T.M. Laufer, A.D. Wells, Y. Dori, M.G. Itkin, M.R. Betts, E.J. Wherry, T follicular helper cells in human efferent lymph retain lymphoid characteristics, J Clin Invest 129 (n.d.) 3185–3200. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI125628.
[18] E. Brenna, A.N. Davydov, K. Ladell, J.E. McLaren, P. Bonaiuti, M. Metsger, J.D. Ramsden, S.C. Gilbert, T. Lambe, D.A. Price, S.L. Campion, D.M. Chudakov, P. Borrow, A.J. McMichael, CD4+ T Follicular Helper Cells in Human Tonsils and Blood Are Clonally

Convergent but Divergent from Non-Tfh CD4+ Cells, Cell Rep 30 (2020) 137-152.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.12.016.
[19] B. LaBere, A.A. Nguyen, S.B. Habiballah, M. Elkins, J. Imperial, B. Li, S. Devana, S. Timilsina, S.B. Stubbs, J. Joerger, J. Chou, C.D. Platt, Clinical utility of measuring CD4+ T follicular cells in patients with immune dysregulation, Journal of Autoimmunity 140 (2023) 103088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2023.103088.
[20] N. Gensous, M. Charrier, D. Duluc, C. Contin-Bordes, M.-E. Truchetet, E. Lazaro, P. Duffau, P. Blanco, C. Richez, T Follicular Helper Cells in Autoimmune Disorders, Front Immunol 9 (2018) 1637. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01637.
[21] A.C. Olatunde, J.S. Hale, T.J. Lamb, Cytokine-skewed Tfh cells: functional consequences for B cell help, Trends in Immunology 42 (2021) 536–550. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2021.04.006.
[22] X. Wei, X. Niu, T follicular helper cells in autoimmune diseases, Journal of Autoimmunity 134 (2023) 102976. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2022.102976.
[23] S.-E. Bentebibel, S. Lopez, G. Obermoser, N. Schmitt, C. Mueller, C. Harrod, E. Flano, A. Mejias, R.A. Albrecht, D. Blankenship, H. Xu, V. Pascual, J. Banchereau, A. Garcia-Sastre, A.K. Palucka, O. Ramilo, H. Ueno, Induction of ICOS $^{+}$ CXCR3 $^{+}$ CXCR5 $^{+}$ T $_{H}$ Cells Correlates with Antibody Responses to Influenza Vaccination, Sci. Transl. Med. 5 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3005191.
[24] N. Obeng-Adjei, S. Portugal, T.M. Tran, T.B. Yazew, J. Skinner, S. Li, A. Jain, P.L. Felgner, O.K. Doumbo, K. Kayentao, A. Ongoiba, B. Traore, P.D. Crompton, Circulating Th1-Cell-type Tfh Cells that Exhibit Impaired B Cell Help Are Preferentially Activated during Acute Malaria in Children, Cell Reports 13 (2015) 425–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.09.004.
[25] T.H.O. Nguyen, M. Koutsakos, C.E. van de Sandt, J.C. Crawford, L. Loh, S. Sant, L. Grzelak, E.K. Allen, T. Brahm, E.B. Clemens, M. Auladell, L. Hensen, Z. Wang, S. Nüssing, X. Jia, P. Günther, A.K. Wheatley, S.J. Kent, M. Aban, Y.-M. Deng, K.L. Laurie, A.C. Hurt, S. Gras, J. Rossjohn, J. Crowe, J. Xu, D. Jackson, L.E. Brown, N. La Gruta, W. Chen, P.C. Doherty, S.J. Turner, T.C. Kotsimbos, P.G. Thomas, A.C. Cheng, K. Kedzierska, Immune cellular networks underlying recovery from influenza virus infection in acute hospitalized patients, Nat Commun 12 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23018-x.
[26] H. Ueno, J. Banchereau, C.G. Vinuesa, Pathophysiology of T follicular helper cells in humans and mice., Nat Immunol 16 (2015) 142–152. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3054.
[27] N. Gensous, M. Charrier, D. Duluc, C. Contin-Bordes, M.-E. Truchetet, E. Lazaro, P. Duffau, P. Blanco, C. Richez, T Follicular Helper Cells in Autoimmune Disorders, Front Immunol 9 (2018) 1637. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01637.
[28] M. Shome, Y. Chung, R. Chavan, J.G. Park, J. Qiu, J. LaBaer, Serum autoantibodyome reveals that healthy individuals share common autoantibodies, Cell Reports 39 (2022) 110873. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110873.
[29] X. Gao, K. Luo, D. Wang, Y. Wei, Y. Yao, J. Deng, Y. Yang, Q. Zeng, X. Dong, L. Xiong, D. Gong, L. Lin, K. Pohl, S. Liu, Y. Liu, L. Liu, T.H. Nguyen, L.F. Allen, K. Kedzierska, Y. Jin, M.-R. Du, W. Chen, L. Lu, N. Shen, Z. Liu, I.A. Cockburn, W. Luo, D. Yu, T follicular helper 17 (Tfh17) cells are superior for immunological memory maintenance, eLife 12 (2023) e82217. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.82217.
[30] D. Kumar, C. Prince, C.M. Bennett, M. Briones, L. Lucas, A. Russell, K. Patel, S. Chonat, S. Graciaa, H. Edington, M.H. White, L. Kobrynski, M. Abdalgani, S. Parikh, S. Chandra, J. Bleesing, R. Marsh, S. Park, E.K. Waller, S. Prahalad, S. Chandrakasan, T-follicular helper cell expansion and chronic T-cell activation are characteristic immune anomalies in Evans syndrome, Blood 139 (2022) 369–383. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2021012924.

[31] M. Colucci, R. Carsetti, S. Cascioli, F. Casiraghi, A. Perna, L. Ravà, B. Ruggiero, F. Emma, M. Vivarelli, B Cell Reconstitution after Rituximab Treatment in Idiopathic Nephrotic Syndrome, J Am Soc Nephrol 27 (2016) 1811–1822. https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2015050523.
[32] K. Dunleavy, F. Hakim, H.K. Kim, J.E. Janik, N. Grant, T. Nakayama, T. White, G. Wright, L. Kwak, R. Gress, G. Tosato, W.H. Wilson, B-cell recovery following rituximab-based therapy is associated with perturbations in stromal derived factor-1 and granulocyte homeostasis, Blood 106 (2005) 795–802. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2004-08-3198.
[33] D.J. Kuter, Warm autoimmune hemolytic anemia and the best treatment strategies, Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2022 (2022) 105–113. https://doi.org/10.1182/hematology.2022000405.
[34] F. Rodeghiero, R. Stasi, T. Gernsheimer, M. Michel, D. Provan, D.M. Arnold, J.B. Bussel, D.B. Cines, B.H. Chong, N. Cooper, B. Godeau, K. Lechner, M.G. Mazzucconi, R. McMillan, M.A. Sanz, P. Imbach, V. Blanchette, T. Kühne, M. Ruggeri, J.N. George, Standardization of terminology, definitions and outcome criteria in immune thrombocytopenic purpura of adults and children: report from an international working group, Blood 113 (2009) 2386–2393. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2008-07-162503.
[35] M. Künzli, D. Schreiner, T.C. Pereboom, N. Swarnalekha, L.C. Litzler, J. Lötscher, Y.I. Ertuna, J. Roux, F. Geier, R.P. Jakob, T. Maier, C. Hess, J.J. Taylor, C.G. King, Long-lived T follicular helper cells retain plasticity and help sustain humoral immunity, Sci. Immunol. 5 (2020) eaay5552. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.aay5552.
[36] G.L. Horowitz, Reference Intervals: Practical Aspects, EJIFCC 19 (2008) 95–105.
[37] G.L. Horowitz, ed., EP28A3C: Define and Verify Reference Intervals in Lab, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2010. https://clsi.org/standards/products/method-evaluation/documents/ep28/ (accessed August 26, 2021).
[38] J.M. Blackburn, A. Shoko, Protein function microarrays for customised systems-oriented proteome analysis, Methods Mol Biol 785 (2011) 305–330. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-286-1_21.
[39] J.M. Blackburn, A. Shoko, N. Beeton-Kempen, Miniaturized, microarray-based assays for chemical proteomic studies of protein function, Methods Mol Biol 800 (2012) 133–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-349-3_10.
[40] X. Liu, N. Li, S. Liu, J. Wang, N. Zhang, X. Zheng, K.-S. Leung, L. Cheng, Normalization Methods for the Analysis of Unbalanced Transcriptome Data: A Review, Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology 7 (2019). https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2019.00358 (accessed February 24, 2024).
[41] K.V. Ballman, D.E. Grill, A.L. Oberg, T.M. Therneau, Faster cyclic loess: normalizing RNA arrays via linear models, Bioinformatics 20 (2004) 2778–2786. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth327.
[42] M.E. Ritchie, B. Phipson, D. Wu, Y. Hu, C.W. Law, W. Shi, G.K. Smyth, limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies, Nucleic Acids Research 43 (2015) e47. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv007.
[43] W.E. Johnson, C. Li, A. Rabinovic, Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods, Biostatistics 8 (2007) 118–127. https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxj037.
[44] J.T. Leek, W.E. Johnson, H.S. Parker, A.E. Jaffe, J.D. Storey, The sva package for removing batch effects and other unwanted variation in high-throughput experiments, Bioinformatics 28 (2012) 882–883. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts034.
[45] C.E. Shannon, W. Weaver, The Mathematical Theory of Communication, University of Illinois Press, Urbana, 1949.

[46] K. Hutcheson, A test for comparing diversities based on the Shannon formula, J Theor Biol 29 (1970) 151–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(70)90124-4.
[47] Z. Gu, R. Eils, M. Schlesner, Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data, Bioinformatics 32 (2016) 2847–2849. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw313.
[48] Z. Gu, Complex heatmap visualization, iMeta 1 (2022) e43. https://doi.org/10.1002/imt2.43.
[49] M.A. Podestà, C.B. Cavazzoni, B.L. Hanson, E.D. Bechu, G. Ralli, R.L. Clement, H. Zhang, P. Chandrakar, J.-M. Lee, T. Reyes-Robles, R. Abdi, A. Diallo, D.R. Sen, P.T. Sage, Stepwise differentiation of follicular helper T cells reveals distinct developmental and functional states, Nat Commun 14 (2023) 7712. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43427-4.
[50] E. Martin-Gayo, J. Cronin, T. Hickman, Z. Ouyang, M. Lindqvist, K.E. Kolb, J.S. zur Wiesch, R. Cubas, F. Porichis, A.K. Shalek, J. van Lunzen, E.K. Haddad, B.D. Walker, D.E. Kaufmann, M. Lichterfeld, X.G. Yu, Circulating $CXCR5^{+}CXCR3^{+}PD\text{-}1^{lo}$ Tfh-like cells in HIV-1 controllers with neutralizing antibody breadth, JCI Insight 2 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.89574.
[51] K.L. Boswell, R. Paris, E. Boritz, D. Ambrozak, T. Yamamoto, S. Darko, K. Wloka, A. Wheatley, S. Narpala, A. McDermott, M. Roederer, R. Haubrich, M. Connors, J. Ake, D.C. Douek, J. Kim, C. Petrovas, R.A. Koup, Loss of Circulating CD4 T Cells with B Cell Helper Function during Chronic HIV Infection, PLOS Pathogens 10 (2014) e1003853. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003853.
[52] P.E. Newburger, Autoimmune and other acquired neutropenias, Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2016 (2016) 38–42. https://doi.org/10.1182/asheducation-2016.1.38.
[53] U. Jäger, W. Barcellini, C.M. Broome, M.A. Gertz, A. Hill, Q.A. Hill, B. Jilma, D.J. Kuter, M. Michel, M. Montillo, A. Röth, S.S. Zeerleder, S. Berentsen, Diagnosis and treatment of autoimmune hemolytic anemia in adults: Recommendations from the First International Consensus Meeting, Blood Reviews 41 (2020) 100648. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.blre.2019.100648.
[54] V. Bigley, M. Collin, Dendritic cell, monocyte, B and NK lymphoid deficiency defines the lost lineages of a new GATA-2 dependent myelodysplastic syndrome, Haematologica 96 (2011) 1081–1083. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2011.048355.
[55] E.H. Bresnick, K.R. Katsumura, H.-Y. Lee, K.D. Johnson, A.S. Perkins, Master regulatory GATA transcription factors: mechanistic principles and emerging links to hematologic malignancies., Nucleic Acids Res 40 (2012) 5819–5831. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks281.
[56] K.L. Bride, T. Vincent, K. Smith-Whitley, M.P. Lambert, J.J. Bleesing, A.E. Seif, C.S. Manno, J. Casper, S.A. Grupp, D.T. Teachey, Sirolimus is effective in relapsed/refractory autoimmune cytopenias: results of a prospective multi-institutional trial, Blood 127 (2016) 17–28. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2015-07-657981.
[57] R. Banchereau, A.-M. Cepika, J. Banchereau, V. Pascual, Understanding Human Autoimmunity and Autoinflammation Through Transcriptomics, Annu Rev Immunol 35 (2017) 337–370. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-051116-052225.
[58] Y. Nagafuchi, H. Yanaoka, K. Fujio, Lessons From Transcriptome Analysis of Autoimmune Diseases, Frontiers in Immunology 13 (2022). https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.857269 (accessed January 18, 2024).
[59] P.D. Burbelo, M.J. Iadarola, J.M. Keller, B.M. Warner, Autoantibodies Targeting Intracellular and Extracellular Proteins in Autoimmunity, Frontiers in Immunology 12 (2021). https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2021.548469 (accessed January 17, 2024).

[60] M. Yu, A. Charles, A. Cagigi, W. Christ, B. Österberg, S. Falck-Jones, L. Azizmohammadi, E. Åhlberg, R. Falck-Jones, J. Svensson, M. Nie, A. Warnqvist, F. Hellgren, K. Lenart, R. Arcoverde Cerveira, S. Ols, G. Lindgren, A. Lin, H. Maecker, M. Bell, N. Johansson, J. Albert, C. Sundling, P. Czarnewski, J. Klingström, A. Färnert, K. Loré, A. Smed-Sörensen, Delayed generation of functional virus-specific circulating T follicular helper cells correlates with severe COVID-19, Nat Commun 14 (2023) 2164. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37835-9.
[61] K.K. Barry, M. Tsaparlis, D. Hoffman, D. Hartman, M.P. Adam, C. Hung, O.A. Bodamer, From Genotype to Phenotype—A Review of Kabuki Syndrome, Genes 13 (2022) 1761. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13101761.
[62] D.S. Pisetsky, Pathogenesis of autoimmune disease, Nat Rev Nephrol 19 (2023) 509–524. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-023-00720-1.
[63] K.L. Good-Jacobson, C.G. Szumilas, L. Chen, A.H. Sharpe, M.M. Tomayko, M.J. Shlomchik, PD-1 regulates germinal center B cell survival and the formation and affinity of long-lived plasma cells, Nat Immunol 11 (2010) 535–542. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1877.
[64] J. Shi, S. Hou, Q. Fang, X. Liu, X. Liu, H. Qi, PD-1 Controls Follicular T Helper Cell Positioning and Function, Immunity 49 (2018) 264-274.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.06.012.
[65] R. Ramesh, L. Kozhaya, K. McKevitt, I.M. Djuretic, T.J. Carlson, M.A. Quintero, J.L. McCauley, M.T. Abreu, D. Unutmaz, M.S. Sundrud, Pro-inflammatory human Th17 cells selectively express P-glycoprotein and are refractory to glucocorticoids, Journal of Experimental Medicine 211 (2014) 89–104. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20130301.
[66] I. Kurata, I. Matsumoto, T. Sumida, T follicular helper cell subsets: a potential key player in autoimmunity, Immunological Medicine 44 (2021) 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/25785826.2020.1776079.
[67] J. Zhao, Y. Chen, Q. Zhao, J. Shi, W. Yang, Z. Zhu, W. Yu, J. Guan, Y. Song, H. Wu, W. Jin, Y. Zhou, J. Liu, Increased circulating Tfh17 and PD-1+Tfh cells are associated with autoantibodies in Hashimoto's thyroiditis, Autoimmunity 51 (2018) 352–359. https://doi.org/10.1080/08916934.2018.1516761.
[68] R. Su, Y. Wang, F. Hu, B. Li, Q. Guo, X. Zheng, Y. Liu, C. Gao, X. Li, C. Wang, Altered Distribution of Circulating T Follicular Helper-Like Cell Subsets in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients, Frontiers in Medicine 8 (2021). https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2021.690100 (accessed November 24, 2023).
[69] R. Holm Hansen, J. Talbot, H. Højsgaard Chow, M. Bredahl Hansen, S. Buhelt, S. Herich, N. Schwab, M.N.N. Hellem, J.E. Nielsen, F. Sellebjerg, M.R. von Essen, Increased Intrathecal Activity of Follicular Helper T Cells in Patients With Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis, Neurology Neuroimmunology & Neuroinflammation 9 (2022) e200009. https://doi.org/10.1212/NXI.0000000000200009.
[70] A.N. Kamali, S.M. Noorbakhsh, H. Hamedifar, F. Jadidi-Niaragh, R. Yazdani, J.M. Bautista, G. Azizi, A role for Th1-like Th17 cells in the pathogenesis of inflammatory and autoimmune disorders, Molecular Immunology 105 (2019) 107–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2018.11.015.
[71] T. Duhen, D.J. Campbell, IL-1β Promotes the Differentiation of Polyfunctional Human CCR6+CXCR3+ Th1/17 Cells That Are Specific for Pathogenic and Commensal Microbes, The Journal of Immunology 193 (2014) 120–129. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1302734.
[72] S. Leung, X. Liu, L. Fang, X. Chen, T. Guo, J. Zhang, The cytokine milieu in the interplay of pathogenic Th1/Th17 cells and regulatory T cells in autoimmune disease, Cell Mol Immunol 7 (2010) 182–189. https://doi.org/10.1038/cmi.2010.22.

[73] F. Annunziato, L. Cosmi, V. Santarlasci, L. Maggi, F. Liotta, B. Mazzinghi, E. Parente, L. Filì, S. Ferri, F. Frosali, F. Giudici, P. Romagnani, P. Parronchi, F. Tonelli, E. Maggi, S. Romagnani, Phenotypic and functional features of human Th17 cells, The Journal of Experimental Medicine 204 (2007) 1849–1861. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20070663.
[74] E.P. Nagele, M. Han, N.K. Acharya, C. DeMarshall, M.C. Kosciuk, R.G. Nagele, Natural IgG Autoantibodies Are Abundant and Ubiquitous in Human Sera, and Their Number Is Influenced By Age, Gender, and Disease, PLoS ONE 8 (2013) e60726. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060726.
[75] S. Slight-Webb, M. Smith, A. Bylinska, S. Macwana, C. Guthridge, R. Lu, J.T. Merrill, E. Chakravarty, C. Arriens, M.E. Munroe, H.T. Maecker, P.J. Utz, J.M. Guthridge, J.A. James, Autoantibody-positive healthy individuals with lower lupus risk display a unique immune endotype, Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 146 (2020) 1419–1433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2020.04.047.
[76] M. Volkov, M. Coppola, R. Huizinga, F. Eftimov, T.W.J. Huizinga, A.J. Van Der Kooi, L.E.M. Oosten, J. Raaphorst, T. Rispens, R. Sciarrillo, M.J. Titulaer, L. Wieske, R.E.M. Toes, M.G.M. Huijbers, K.A. Van Schie, D. Van Der Woude, Comprehensive overview of autoantibody isotype and subclass distribution, Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 150 (2022) 999–1010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2022.05.023.
[77] T. Clarke, P. Du, S. Kumar, S.L. Okitsu, M. Schuette, Q. An, J. Zhang, E. Tzvetkov, M.A. Jensen, T.B. Niewold, E.M.N. Ferre, J. Nardone, M.S. Lionakis, J. Vlach, J. DeMartino, A.T. Bender, Autoantibody repertoire characterization provides insight into the pathogenesis of monogenic and polygenic autoimmune diseases, Front Immunol 14 (2023) 1106537. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1106537.
[78] A. Arvey, M. Rowe, J.B. Legutki, G. An, A. Gollapudi, A. Lei, B. Colston, C. Putterman, D. Smith, J. Stiles, T. Tarasow, P. Ramamoorthy, Age-associated changes in the circulating human antibody repertoire are upregulated in autoimmunity, Immunity & Ageing 17 (2020) 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12979-020-00193-x.
[79] J.M. Bartleson, D. Radenkovic, A.J. Covarrubias, D. Furman, D.A. Winer, E. Verdin, SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 and the aging immune system, Nat Aging 1 (2021) 769–782. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43587-021-00114-7.
[80] J. Nikolich-Žugich, The twilight of immunity: emerging concepts in aging of the immune system, Nat Immunol 19 (2018) 10–19. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-017-0006-x.
[81] A. Cheng, S.M. Holland, Anti-cytokine autoantibodies: mechanistic insights and disease associations, Nat Rev Immunol (2023) 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-023-00933-2.
[82] D.B. Cines, J.B. Bussel, H.A. Liebman, E.T. Luning Prak, The ITP syndrome: pathogenic and clinical diversity, Blood 113 (2009) 6511–6521. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2009-01-129155.
[83] N. Bryant, R. Watts, Thrombocytopenic Syndromes Masquerading as Childhood Immune Thrombocytopenic Purpura, Clin Pediatr (Phila) 50 (2011) 225–230. https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922810385676.
[84] D.M. Arnold, I. Nazy, R. Clare, A.M. Jaffer, B. Aubie, N. Li, J.G. Kelton, Misdiagnosis of primary immune thrombocytopenia and frequency of bleeding: lessons from the McMaster ITP Registry, Blood Advances 1 (2017) 2414–2420. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2017010942.
[85] Z. Konthur, M.M. Wiemkes, T. Häupl, G.R. Burmester, K. Skriner, Protein Array Technology Identifies Rituximab-Treated Non-Responder Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients to Generate New Autoantibody Repertoires during Treatment, (2017). https://acrabstracts.org/abstract/protein-array-technology-identifies-rituximab-treated-non-responder-rheumatoid-arthritis-patients-to-generate-new-autoantibody-repertoires-during-treatment/ (accessed January 17, 2024).

[86] S. Rockowitz, N. LeCompte, M. Carmack, A. Quitadamo, L. Wang, M. Park, D. Knight, E. Sexton, L. Smith, B. Sheidley, M. Field, I.A. Holm, C.A. Brownstein, P.B. Agrawal, S. Kornetsky, A. Poduri, S.B. Snapper, A.H. Beggs, T.W. Yu, D.A. Williams, P. Sliz, Children's rare disease cohorts: an integrative research and clinical genomics initiative, Npj Genomic Medicine 5 (2020) 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41525-020-0137-0.

Graphical Abstract




A.
Total cTfh
CXCR5⁺ PD1⁺
Active Inactive Ctrl
B.
SSC
FSC
CD4
FSC
CXCR5
CD4
Control
%cTfh17 elevated
%cTfh1/17 elevated
CXCR3
CCR6
C.
cTfh1
CXCR3⁺ CCR6⁻
cTfh1/17
CXCR3⁺ CCR6⁻
cTfh17
CXCR3⁺ CCR6⁻
cTfh2
CXCR3⁺ CCR6⁻
Percentage of CD4⁺
Active Inactive Ctrl
D.
cTfh1
CXCR3⁺ CCR6⁻
cTfh1/17
CXCR3⁺ CCR6⁻
cTfh17
CXCR3⁺ CCR6⁻
cTfh2
CXCR3⁺ CCR6⁻
Treatment: + −


A.
Controls
Patients
No. participants
No. high-titer IgG autoantibodies
B.
Shannon diversity index
****
C
Pts
C.
Pathways enriched in high-titer IgG autoantibodies unique to patients
Cell cycling
37 antigens
Apoptosis
19 antigens
GATA2 targets
39 antigens
PI3K/AKT/mTOR
12 antigens
Adjusted p value
D.
No. high-titer IgA autoantibodies
E.
F.
Pathways enriched in high-titer IgA autoantibodies unique to patients
Apoptosis
26 antigens
Cellular senescence
17 antigens
Cell cycling
30 antigens
Cytokine signaling
40 antigens

Figure 3

A.

Composite measure = 0 (n=25)	Composite measure = 1 (n=36)	Composite measure = 2 (n=14)	Composite measure = 3 (n=3)
Controls (20) *KMT2D* lacking autoimmunity (2)	AIHA (8)* ITP (5) + *KMT2D* ES (17) + *STAT3* GOF + *SOCS1* + *PLCG2* + *MSN* *STAT1* GOF (1) *COPA* (1) *KMT2D* (2) *AIRE* (1)	AIHA (5) + *NFKB1* ITP (2) + KS ES (4) + *CTLA4* *CTLA4* Other (2)	AIHA + *STAT1* GOF *BACH2* LOF ES
ITP (4) + *KMT2D* AIHA in remission (1)	Controls (2)		

B.