1	Sociodemographic and Behavioral Factors Associated with Diet Quality among Low-
2	income Community Health Center Patients with Hypertension
3	Jessica Cheng, <sup>1,2,#a,*</sup> Katherine C Faulkner, <sup>2</sup> Ashlie Malone, <sup>2</sup> Kristine D. Gu, <sup>3,4</sup> & Anne N
4	Thorndike <sup>2,3</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T H Chan School of Public Health, Boston,
6	Massachusetts, United States of America
7	<sup>2</sup> Division of General Internal Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston,
8	Massachusetts, United States of America
9	<sup>3</sup> Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America
10	<sup>4</sup> Division of Endocrinology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United
11	States of America
12	<sup>#a</sup> Current Address: 100 Cambridge Street, Suite 1600, Boston, MA 02114
13	*Corresponding Author
14	Email: jcheng28@mgh.harvard.edu (JC)

15 Short title: Diet Quality among Patients with Hypertension

## 16 Abstract

17	<b>Objective:</b> Identify the most important sociodemographic and behavioral factors related to the
18	diet of low-income adults with hypertension in order to guide the development of a community
19	health worker (CHW) healthy eating intervention for low-income populations with hypertension.
20	Design: In this cross-sectional analysis, dietary recalls were used to assess Healthy Eating Index-
21	2020 (HEI-2020) total (range: 0 to 100 [best diet quality]) and component scores and sodium
22	intake. Self-reported sociodemographic and behavioral data were entered into a Least Absolute
23	Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO) regression model to determine the relative
24	importance of factors related to diet quality.
25	Setting: Five community health centers in Boston, Massachusetts.
26	Participants: Adults (>20 years old) with a hypertension diagnosis.
27	<b>Results:</b> Participants (N=291) were mostly female (65.0%), on Medicaid (82.8%), food insecure
28	(59.5%), and Hispanic (52.2%). The mean (95% CI) HEI-2020 score was 63.0 (62.3, 65.7)
29	Component scores were low for sodium and whole grains; mean (SE) sodium intake was 2676.9
30	(45.5) mg/day. The most important factors associated with lower HEI-2020 scores were: not
31	having own housing, male gender, tobacco use, marijuana use, and skipping meals; the most
32	important factors associated with higher HEI-2020 scores were Hispanic ethnicity and receipt of
33	community food resources (5-fold cross-validated R <sup>2</sup> =0.17).
34	Conclusions: In this population of low-income adults with hypertension, diet quality would be
35	improved by reducing sodium and increasing whole grain intake. Healthy eating interventions
36	among low-income populations should consider providing dietary guidance in the context of
37	behavioral factors (e.g., meal skipping) and substance use (e.g., marijuana) and should address
38	barriers to health eating through referral to community food resources (e.g., food pantries).

## 39 Introduction

40	Hypertension, a leading risk factor for cardiovascular disease, affects nearly half of US
41	adults (1, 2). Healthy dietary patterns (e.g., the dietary approaches to stop hypertension [DASH]
42	diet) that emphasize reducing sodium and saturated fat intake and adequate potassium-rich fruits
43	and vegetables and whole grains have been shown to effectively reduce blood pressure (4) and
44	10-year atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk (5). As such, referral to behavioral counseling
45	interventions that address diet is recommended by the US Preventive Services Task Force
46	(USPSTF) for patients with hypertension to reduce CVD risk (7).
47	However, low-income individuals may face unique challenges to achieving a healthy diet
48	such as cost-related issues that prevent them from obtaining nutritious foods, (8) as well as
49	barriers to food preparation including lack of time, cooking tools and appliances, and adequate
50	storage (9). Thus, recognizing that existing behavioral interventions may insufficiently address
51	the context in which dietary decisions are made among under-resourced populations, the
52	USPSTF specifically calls for more research on behavioral intervention approaches that work in
53	low-resource settings (7).
54	Community health workers (CHWs) are lay individuals trained and certified in a
55	particular area of chronic disease management education who are often members of the
56	communities they serve. The American Heart Association suggests that, embedded in care teams,
57	CHWs may be able to provide evidence-based nutritional advice in the context of the
58	sociodemographic, behavioral, and cultural factors that may be barriers to adopting healthier

59 dietary patterns among low-resourced communities (11) (12).

While there are many sociodemographic (e.g., age, gender) and behavioral factors (e.g.,
smoking, distance to grocery stores) that have been linked to diet quality, which of these factors

62 CHWs may need to prioritize is unclear. It is also unclear how to address these factors in a63 healthy eating intervention for low-income adults with hypertension.

The purpose of this analysis was to 1) describe components of the current dietary pattern most in need of improvement among low-income adults with hypertension and 2) determine the most important sociodemographic and behavioral factors associated with their diet quality. The most important factors related to diet quality in this population will be used to guide the development and delivery of a CHW healthy eating intervention to improve diet quality for lowincome adults with hypertension.

70 Methods

This is a secondary analysis of the LiveWell observational cohort of community health 71 center patients. LiveWell was designed to assess, in a natural experiment, the impacts of the 72 Massachusetts Medicaid Flexible Services program that provides funding to accountable care 73 organizations (ACOs) to connect patients with food and housing resources (13). LiveWell 74 participants were recruited from five community health centers in the Boston, Massachusetts 75 area. To be eligible, participants had to be between ages 21 to 62 years, have had at least two 76 primary care visits in the previous two years, speak English or Spanish, and have Medicaid or 77 78 commercial ACO insurance. This secondary analysis utilized baseline data collected from December 19, 2019 to December 8, 2020 among LiveWell participants with a hypertension 79 diagnosis, determined by International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10 code or by inclusion 80 81 of hypertension in the problem list. This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving research study participants 82 83 were approved by the Mass General Brigham Institutional Review Board. Written informed 84 consent was obtained from all subjects/patients.

The purpose of this analysis was to explore the relative importance of sociodemographic 85 and behavioral factors to a dietary pattern that impacts blood pressure control. Therefore, all 86 relevant factors obtained through survey, dietary recall, and medical record were included in 87 modeling. For categorical variables with three or more levels, each level was entered into the 88 model. A total of 72 factors were considered and are described below. 89 90 Sociodemographic and Behavioral Factors All sociodemographic and behavioral factors were self-reported in a survey. 91 Demographics included age, gender, race, ethnicity, marital status, household size, number of 92 93 children in the home, household income, education, and employment status. Gender, race, education, marital status, and employment categories were collapsed because of small cell sizes. 94 Insurance status was determined from the medical record. 95 Food security was assessed using the 10-item US Department of Agriculture Adult Food 96 Security Survey Module (14). Using the Nutrition Environment Measures Survey on perceived 97 nutrition environment, (15) participants were coded as having or not having 1) a freezer 98 (attached or stand-alone), 2) other countertop appliances (e.g., toaster oven), and 3) other key 99 appliances (i.e., refrigerator, microwave oven, stove, and oven). The average number of foods 100 101 reported and whether main meals (i.e., breakfast, lunch, and dinner) were skipped were ascertained from dietary recalls. Whether the supermarket or another outlet was the primary 102 shopping location was self-reported. 103 104 Participants self-reported receipt of food assistance from: 1) friends/family, 2) meals eaten at community organizations (e.g., soup kitchen), 3) meals delivered to the home (e.g., 105 106 Meals on Wheels), 4) food from a community organization (e.g., food pantry), 5) supermarket

gift cards, 6) Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, or 7) other programs (e.g., food from 107 health clinic, Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children [WIC]). 108 Participants were considered to have housing instability if they answered yes to at least 109 one question on a 3-item scale including not renting or owning a house or apartment, moving 110 two or more times in the past twelve months, and worrying about not having housing in the next 111 112 two months. Each housing instability item was also included individually in the model as a binary factor. Participants were categorized as having living site problems if they endorsed any 113 of nine household problems (e.g., presence of pests). Participants were considered to have 114 115 received transportation assistance if they endorsed receiving any of six types of assistance (e.g., reduced public transportation fares) and housing assistance if they endorsed receiving any of 116 seven types of assistance (e.g., housing vouchers). Participants were considered to have received 117 help obtaining food, housing, or transportation assistance if they endorsed help from any of six 118 types of individuals (e.g., social worker). 119

Anxiety symptoms were assessed using the 7-item version of the General Anxiety 120 Disorders Questionnaire (16). Perceived stress was measured with the 10-item Perceived Stress 121 Scale (17). Depression symptoms were measured with the 8-item Patient Health Questionnaire 122 (18). Financial stress was measured using a 5-item subscale from the Weekly Stress Inventory 123 (19). Items adapted from the National Health Interview Survey were used to assess the delay of 124 medical care due to financial reasons and cost-related medication underuse (20). The 8-item 125 126 modified Medical Outcomes Study Social Support Survey (21) measured emotional/informational, tangible, affectionate, and positive social interaction support. 127 Participants additionally self-reported how often they felt lonely or isolated from those around 128 129 them on a 5-point Likert scale. Participants' experience with discrimination was self-reported

with the validated Everyday Discrimination Scale (Short Version) (22). These measures wereinput as continuous variables.

Participants self-reported having difficulty with everyday functions because of vision, 132 cognition, mobility, self-care (i.e., dressing or bathing), and independent living (i.e., running 133 errands) impairments using wording from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (23). 134 Weekly minutes spent sitting, walking, and engaging in moderate and vigorous physical activity 135 were measured using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire short form (24). Sleep 136 quality was measured using the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System 137 138 (PROMIS) Sleep Disturbance short form 4a (25). Participants self-reported daily cigarette use, binge drinking, cannabis/marijuana use, and prescription drug use for nonmedical reasons/illegal 139 drug use. 140

140 ulug use.

### 141 <u>Blood Pressure</u>

In this analysis, we used information extracted from the electronic health record in the Enterprise Data Warehouse to categorize blood pressure control, expanding upon the work from our previous publication (26) where uncontrolled blood pressure was determined via research patient data registry records only. Hypertension was considered uncontrolled if mean systolic blood pressure  $\geq$  140 mm Hg or mean diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$  90 mm Hg in the 12 months prior to baseline. Participants with no blood pressure recorded in the previous 12 months were considered to have unknown hypertension status.

149 <u>Dietary Assessment</u>

150 Two dietary recalls were collected at baseline using the National Cancer Institute

151 Automated Self-Administered 24-Hour Recall System (ASA24-2020), (27) which has shown

152	acceptable accuracy (28) compared to other dietary assessment methods. Dietary recalls were
153	used to estimate sodium intake, potassium intake, and diet quality.

The Healthy Eating Index-2020 (HEI-2020) (29, 30) is a valid and reliable measure of 154 overall diet quality aligned with the Dietary Guidelines for Americans. The HEI-2020 total score 155 is a summation of 9 adequacy (i.e., total fruit, whole fruit, total vegetables, beans and greens, 156 157 total protein, seafood and plant protein, whole grains, dairy, and fatty acid ratio) and 4 moderation (i.e., saturated fat, added sugar, sodium, refined grains) subcomponent scores. The 158 minimum score for all subcomponents is 0, representing the worst intake for that component. 159 160 Subcomponents scores are based on intake to calories for all components except for the "Fatty Acid Ratio" score, which is based on the ratio of unsaturated fatty acids to saturated fatty acids 161 and "Added Sugars" and "Saturated Fats" scores which are based on percent of energy intake. 162 163 The total HEI-2020 score ranges from 0 to 100 with 100 indicating the best possible diet quality through maximization of all subcomponents scores. 164 165 Analysis Plan HEI-2020 total and component scores were calculated using the population ratio method 166

(31). Bootstrap resampling was utilized to estimate 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Radar plots
 were used to visualize HEI-2020 scores for patients with hypertension.

169 The National Cancer Institute's Simulating Intake of Micronutrients for Policy Learning 170 and Engagement (SIMPLE) macro was used to describe the mean and distribution of sodium and 171 potassium intake from dietary recalls (32). A detailed explanation of the use of this macro along 172 with information on the availability of SAS code is available elsewhere (32). Estimates were 173 adjusted for language of administration (i.e., English or Spanish), mode of administration (i.e., 174 staff-administered by phone vs. self-administered online), whether recalls were consecutive, a

175	weekend/weekday indicator, and participant rating of whether the recall represented usual intake.
176	An intake level of 1500 mg/day, the ideal limit for sodium endorsed by the American Heart
177	Association (AHA), was used (33). The percent whose intake is above the AHA level represents
178	the proportion who could benefit from reducing sodium intake. There is no estimated average
179	requirement (EAR) value for potassium intake; therefore, we examined the proportion above
180	sex-specific adequate intake (AI) values of 2400 and 2600 mg/day for women and men,
181	respectively (34). The percent above this cut point is likely to consume adequate potassium as it
182	represents the proportion with intake above that of apparently healthy individuals. Analyses were
183	performed using Statistical Analysis Systems statistical software package version 9.4 (SAS
184	Institute, Cary, NC, USA).
185	To identify factors related to diet quality, the simple scoring algorithm was used to
186	calculate individual level estimates of HEI-2020 total scores (31). Single imputation was used for
187	missing values of factors using PROC MI. Most factors had less than 10 observations missing.
188	More than 10 observations were missing for having a freezer, having other kitchen appliances,
189	and for physical activity; however, missingness was less than 20% for these factors.
190	Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression was used to identify
191	the most important factors related to HEI-2020 total scores. Factors were standardized such that
192	the absolute value of the coefficients could be used to determine importance. K-fold ( $k=5$ ) cross
193	validation was used to select the best model. In sensitivity analyses, elastic net with $\alpha$ =0.5 and 5-
194	fold cross validation was also used. Analyses were performed in R using package glmnet (35). In
195	exploratory analyses, HEI-2020 total and component scores and radar plots were also assessed
196	for the top four factors identified in the LASSO model.
197	Results

- 198 The sample (N=291) was mostly female (65.0%) with a median (p25, p75) age of 54.0
- 199 (47.0, 58.0) years (Table 1). Over half of participants were food insecure (59.5%), identified as
- Hispanic (52.2%), and had Medicaid insurance (82.8%). Most participants' blood pressure was
- 201 controlled (76.6%), with mean blood pressure less than 140/90 mmHg.

#### Table 1: Characteristics of a sample of low-income community health center patients with health insurance and a hypertension diagnosis (N=291)

Median (p25, p75)/ N (%)					
Age		54.0 (47.0, 58.0)			
Female sex	189 (65.0%)				
Ethnicity		152 (52.2%)			
Race	White, only	141 (48.5%)			
	Back, only	54 (18.6%)			
	Other races, only <sup>*</sup>	62 (21.3%)			
	More than One Race	34 (11.7%)			
Insurance, Medicaid		241 (82.8%)			
Married/Living with	Significant Other	109 (37.5%)			
Employment	Full-time	57 (19.6%)			
	Part-time <sup>†</sup>	51 (17.5%)			
	Homemaker/work in the home	30 (10.3%)			
	Not employed, Looking for work§	35 (12.0%)			
	Not employed, Not looking for work	34 (11.7%)			
	Disabled and unable to work	84 (28.9%)			
Education	Less than high school	66 (22.7%)			
	High School	87 (29.9%)			
	Some College	84 (28.9%)			
	College or more	54 (18.6%)			
US Department of A	griculture Food Security raw score	3.0 (1.0, 7.0)			
Housing Security		120 (41.2%)			
Insurance Type, Med	dicaid	241 (82.8%)			
Household income <	<\$30k	206 (70.8%)			
Supplemental nutriti	on assistance program (SNAP)	175 (60.1%)			
Current smoking		83 (28.5%)			
Hypertension status					
Controlled		223 (76.6%)			
Uncontrolled		47 (16.2%)			
Unknown control		21 (7.2%)			

\*Other race includes Asian only, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander only, Native American/Native
 Alaskan only, and Other race only.

- <sup>206</sup> <sup>†</sup>Includes one student who also works part-time.
- <sup>§</sup>Includes those who are retired.

- <sup>1</sup>High food security: Raw score 0; Marginal food security: Raw score 1-2; Low food security:
- 209 Raw score: 3-5; Very low food security Raw score 6-10
- <sup>210</sup> Participants in the unknown HTN control group had 0 day with a BP measurement in the year
- before enrollment. If 2 or more BP readings in 1 day, only the lowest BP was included.
- 212
  213 The mean (95% CI) HEI-2020 total score was 63.0 (62.3, 65.7) points (Table 2 and
- Supplemental Figure 1). Total protein, seafood and plant protein, whole fruit, and greens and
- beans were the subcomponents with the highest scores. Sodium and whole grains were the
- subcomponents with the lowest scores. The mean (SE) sodium intake was 2676.9 (45.5) mg, and
- based on this result, approximately 86.6% (1.6%) would be estimated to have excessive sodium
- intake above 1500 mg/day. The mean (SE) potassium intake of female and male participants
- with hypertension was 2137.8 (31.8) and 2177 (35.2) mg, indicating that 32.5% (1.6%) and
- 220 26.1% (1.6%) would be estimated to consume adequate potassium, respectively.

	Max Score	Total Sample (N=291)	Does Not Have Own Housing (N=42)	Has Own Housing (N=244)	Female (N=189)	Male (N=102)	Hispanic (N=152)	Non- Hispanic (N=139)	Smoking (N=81)	Non- Smoking (N=207)
Total Score	100	63.0 (62.3, 65.7)	53.2 (47.0, 59.1)	65.5 (62.7, 67.7)	67.5 (65.1, 69.9)	58.6 (53.5, 62.2)	67.5 (65.0, 70.0)	58.5 (54.7, 61.9)	55.9 (50.0, 60.3)	66.2 (63.7, 68.3)
Total Vegetable	5	4.1	3.7	4.6 (4.2.5.0)	4.9 (4.4.5.0)	3.9 (3 4 4 3)	5.0 (4 9 5 0)	3.7 (3 2 4 1)	3.7	4.8
s Greens and Beans	5	(1.2, 1.0) 5.0 (48, 5, 0)	(3.1, 1.0) 3.5 (18, 5, 0)	(1.2, 5.0) 5.0 (49, 50)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	(3.1, 1.5) 4.5 (3.4, 5.0)	(1.9, 5.0) 5.0 (50, 50)	(3.2, 1.1) 3.9 (3.0, 5.0)	(3.2, 1.3) 3.9 (2.5, 5.0)	(1.2, 5.0) 5.0 (5.0, 5.0)
Total Fruit	5	3.5 (3.3, 4.1)	2.7 (1.7, 3.8)	3.7 (3.2, 4.3)	4.1 (3.5, 4.9)	3.0 (2.4, 3.8)	4.6 (3.9, 5.0)	2.8 (2.2, 3.3)	2.4 (1.7, 3.1)	4.1 (3.6, 4.7)
Whole Fruit	5	4.8 (4.2, 5.0)	3.1 (1.9, 4.4)	5.0 (4.2, 5.0)	5.0 (4.7, 5.0)	3.7 (2.6, 4.9)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	3.6 (2.7, 4.5)	2.7 (1.6, 4.0)	5.0 (4.8, 5.0)
Whole Grains	10	2.8 (2.4, 3.2)	$   \begin{array}{c}     1.5 \\     (0.8, 2.3)   \end{array} $	3.0 (2.6, 3.5)	3.2 (2.7, 3.8)	2.3 (1.7, 3.1)	2.7 (2.0, 3.2)	2.9 (2.3, 3.5)	2.5 (1.9, 3.3)	3.0 (2.5, 3.5)
Dairy	10	5.8 (5.8, 6.8)	6.5 (5.2, 8.2)	6.1 (5.5, 6.8)	5.9 (5.1, 6.7)	6.7 (6.0, 7.6)	5.5 (4.8, 6.4)	6.9 (6.2, 7.6)	6.6 (5.5, 7.7)	6.2 (5.6, 6.8)
Protein Food	5	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)
Seafood and Plant Protein	5	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	4.8 (2.8, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	5.0 (4.0, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)	4.9 (4.0, 5.0)	5.0 (4.1, 5.0)	5.0 (5.0, 5.0)
Fatty Acid Ratio	10	4.6 (4.1, 5.1)	4.2 (3.0, 5.2)	4.7 (4.1, 5.4) Moder	5.0 (4.3, 6.1) ration Comp	4.2 (3.4, 5.0) onents	5.2 (4.3, 6.1)	4.1 (3.5, 4.8)	3.8 (2.9, 4.7)	4.9 (4.3, 5.7)

## Table 2: Healthy Eating Index-2020 Total and Subcomponent Scores and Bootstrapped 95% Confidence Intervals

Sodium	10	2.2	0.0	2.3	2.5	1.2	1.8	2.1	2.3	1.9
Sourum	10	(1.4, 2.5)	(0.0, 1.8)	(1.6, 3.1)	(1.8, 3.3)	(0.1, 2.2)	(0.9, 2.8)	(1.2, 3.0)	(1.3, 3.2)	(1.1, 2.6)
Refined	10	6.6	5.2	7.0	7.4	5.8	6.9	6.5	6.4	6.8
Grains	10	(6.1, 7.2)	(3.7, 6.8)	(6.4, 7.6)	(6.8, 8.0)	(4.7, 6.7)	(6.1, 7.8)	(5.7, 7.2)	(5.3, 7.6)	(6.0, 7.4)
Saturated	10	5.7	5.1	5.9	6.4	4.9	6.7	4.9	4.6	6.2
Fat	10	(5.3, 6.3)	(3.9, 6.3)	(5.3, 6.5)	(5.8, 7.1)	(4.0, 5.9)	(6.0, 7.5)	(4.2, 5.7)	(3.4, 5.6)	(5.5, 6.8)
Added	10	7.9	7.8	8.1	8.0	8.4	9.1	7.2	7.2	8.4
Sugar	10	(7.6, 8.4)	(6.6, 8.9)	(7.5, 8.7)	(7.3, 8.6)	(7.3, 9.2)	(8.3, 9.8)	(6.6, 7.9)	(6.2, 8.1)	(7.7, 9.0)

Note: The minimum score for all subcomponents is 0. Subcomponent scores are based off intake to calories for all components except

for the "Fatty Acid Ratio" score which is based off the ratio of unsaturated fatty acids to saturated fatty acids and "Added Sugars" and

"Saturated Fats" scores which are based on percent of energy intake

225	In order of importance, LASSO regression identified the top factors related to HEI-2020
226	total scores (Figure 1). The factors associated with lower diet quality were not having own
227	housing, male gender, tobacco use, marijuana use, and skipping meals, and the factors associated
228	with higher diet quality were Hispanic ethnicity and receipt of community food resources (e.g.,
229	eating meals at a community organization and receiving a supermarket gift card). The cross-
230	validated R <sup>2</sup> was 0.17. A sensitivity analysis using elastic net ranked factors similarly with a
231	cross-validated R <sup>2</sup> of 0.18 (Supplemental Figure 2).
232	Figure 1: Factors Related to HEI-2020 Scores Identified by LASSO
233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240	Figure Note: GAD, General Anxiety Disorders Questionnaire; PHQ, Patient Health Questionnaire; PROMIS, Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System. Factors are ranked from top to bottom in order of importance based on the absolute value of the model coefficients. A positive coefficient means that the reference value is associated with better diet quality. A negative coefficient means that the reference value is associated with worse diet quality.
241	In exploratory analyses of the top four factors associated with diet quality, the mean
242	(95% CI) HEI-2020 total scores of individuals who do not have their own housing (53.2 [47.0,
243	59.1]), non-Hispanic participants (58.5 [54.7, 61.9]), men (58.6 [53.5, 62.2]), and individuals
244	who smoke (55.9 [50.0, 60.3]) were about 10 points lower compared to those with their own
245	housing (65.5 [62.7, 67.7]), Hispanic participants (67.5 [65.0, 70.0]), women (67.5 [65.1, 69.9]),
246	and non-smokers (66.2 [63.7, 68.3]), respectively. (Table 2 and Supplemental Figure 3).
247	Discussion
248	This study of low-income community health center patients with hypertension
249	demonstrated that overall diet quality was not aligned with dietary guidelines (36). Similar to the
250	overall U.S. population, (37) the consumption of sodium was high and the consumption of whole
251	grains was low given caloric intake. Furthermore, the results also suggested that over half of
252	patients could benefit from increasing potassium consumption. Lower sodium intake and higher

potassium intake have been associated with lower risk of cardiovascular disease (38). To address 253 diet quality in this at-risk population, the adoption of multiple dietary patterns such as DASH, 254 the Mediterranean diet, or a vegetarian diet may be recommended in line with personal and 255 cultural preferences (39, 40). However, adoption and adherence to these dietary patterns can be 256 difficult, especially among low-resourced groups. This analysis identified important 257 258 sociodemographic and behavioral factors associated with diet among community health center patients with hypertension that can guide the development of a CHW healthy eating intervention. 259 Results suggest that gender and ethnicity were among the most important factors related 260 261 to diet quality. While women and Hispanic individuals had diet quality scores about 10 points higher than their counterparts, all subgroups had overall diet quality below the score of 74 that 262 would meet Healthy People 2020 goals (41). Interventions to address diet may need to consider 263 264 tailoring advice and support to account for differences by gender and culture. Through conversation with stakeholders (i.e., patients and CHWs), we will explore how to address these 265 factors in our intervention (e.g., involving female partners in the intervention). 266 In the current study, housing situation was the top factor related to diet quality. Prior 267 research has demonstrated that unstable housing is associated with poor cardiometabolic health 268 (43). Therefore, programs that support finding housing and applying for rental assistance 269 programs for those in need would enhance efforts to improve diet and hypertension. Similarly, 270 connecting patients with community-based nutrition services may help improve the diet of 271 272 individuals with hypertension as our model suggested that receiving support for food acquisition (i.e., meals at a community organization and receiving a supermarket gift card) was positively 273 associated with diet quality in this mostly low-income population. The role of CHWs includes 274 275 assessment of social needs and connection with social services, advocacy, and support (44). This

uniquely situates them to being able to address housing and food barriers. Our intervention maythus include referral to community resources.

Our study identified that the use of cigarettes and marijuana was associated with lower 278 diet quality. In the US population, smoking has been associated with lower diet quality (45) and 279 quitting smoking may be associated with improvement in diet and other health metrics (e.g., 280 281 mental health) (46). Concerns around weight gain with quitting smoking may need to be addressed especially among those with poor diet (47). Research has also demonstrated that 282 cannabis users have lower diet quality compared to never users (48); however, the reasons for 283 284 this lower diet quality are unclear. Awareness of substance use patterns could help contextualize dietary guidance provided by a CHW and provide an opportunity for discussing the relationship 285 of the substance, such as tobacco or alcohol use, with hypertension. 286

The current study also demonstrated that skipping meals was associated with lower diet 287 quality. The effect of meal skipping is complex with one analysis of the National Health and 288 Nutrition Examination Survey data suggesting that meal skipping is generally associated with 289 lower daily caloric intake and lower diet quality, but also improvements in the consumption of 290 certain dietary components (e.g., reduced sodium intake when skipping lunch or dinner) (49). 291 292 Understanding an individual's reasons for meal skipping would be important for providing effective dietary advice for individuals with hypertension. For example, a CHW could address 293 reasons such as unaffordability of healthy food or not having time to prepare meals with 294 295 strategies like grocery budgeting and meal planning.

296 <u>Strengths and Limitations</u>

A strength of this analysis is the use of estimation methods that account for the
substantial within-person variability in dietary intake to leverage dietary recalls for estimating

usual dietary intake. This formative research identifies important sociodemographic and
behavioral factors related to diet quality that will complement future stakeholder interviews to
provide a more in-depth understanding of these facilitators and barriers to adopting a healthier
dietary pattern. This will ultimately inform the development and delivery of a CHW-led dietary
intervention for low-income adults with hypertension.

304 A limitation is that difficulties using the ASA-24 search function to find foods and uncertainty as to how to proceed through the recall have been reported among low-income 305 individuals which may reduce the accuracy of dietary measures (50). However, participants in 306 307 this study were given the option to complete recalls with study staff by phone, if desired. Additionally, factors identified in the LASSO analysis may not be causally related to HEI-2020 308 total scores; therefore, additional research is needed to understand associations between 309 310 identified factors and diet quality. Finally, this was a relatively small sample of patients from one urban health system in the northeast US, and results may not be generalizable to patients from 311 rural areas or from other regions within the US or outside the US. 312

313 <u>Conclusion</u>

Among low-income adults with hypertension, sociodemographic and behavioral factors 314 were associated with diet quality. Participants had high intake of sodium, low intake of 315 potassium, and low intake of whole grains in the context of their caloric intake. Social factors, 316 such as housing and community-based nutrition assistance, and behavioral factors, such as meal 317 318 skipping, were important factors associated with diet quality. These results support AHA recommendations for using community health workers as part of team-based care to improve 319 implementation of blood pressure control strategies, with a particular focus on lifestyle 320 321 modification (12). In the future, CHWs could be trained to provide evidence-based dietary

- advice to improve blood pressure management and reduce cardiovascular risk in the context of
- 323 specific sociodemographic and behavioral barriers and facilitators of diet quality in low-income
- 324 populations.

# 325 Acknowledgements: None

#### 327 Supporting Information Captions

328 Supplemental Figure 1: Healthy Eating Index-2020 Total Score among Patients with

- 329 Hypertension
- 330

Note: Scores touching the outer ring represent the maximum score for a subcomponent (100% of

the maximum score). A perfect diet quality score of 100 would be represented by touching theouter ring for all subcomponents.

334

Supplemental Figure 2: Factors Related to Healthy Eating Index-2020 Scores Identified byElastic Net

337

Note: GAD, General Anxiety Disorders Questionnaire; PHQ, Patient Health Questionnaire;

339 PROMIS, Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System Sleep Disturbance

340 Questionnaire. Factors are ranked from top to bottom in order of importance based on the

absolute value of the model coefficients. A positive coefficient means that the reference value is

associated with better diet quality. A negative coefficient means that the reference value is

343 associated with worse diet quality.

344

345 Supplemental Figure 3: Healthy Eating Index-2020 Total Scores among Patients with

346 Hypertension by Select Factors

347

Note: A=HEI-2020 Total Scores by Housing Situation; B= HEI-2020 Total Scores by Ethnicity;

C= HEI-2020 Total Scores by Gender; D= HEI-2020 Total Scores by Smoking; Total Scores by

ethnicity. Scores touching the outer ring represent the maximum score for a subcomponent

351 (100% of the maximum score). A perfect diet quality score of 100 would be represented by

touching the outer ring for all subcomponents.

#### References 354

- 1. Tsao CW, Aday AW, Almarzoog ZI, Alonso A, Beaton AZ, Bittencourt MS, et al. Heart 355
- Disease and Stroke Statistics-2022 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. 356
- Circulation. 2022;145(8):e153-e639. 357
- 2. Stierman B, Afful J, Carroll M, Chen T, Davy O, Fink S, et al. National Health and 358
- Nutrition Examination Survey 2017–March 2020 prepandemic data files—Development of 359
- files and prevalence estimates for selected health outcomes. National Health Statistics 360
- Reports; no 158. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics; 2021. 361
- 362 3. Aaron KJ, Sanders PW. Role of dietary salt and potassium intake in cardiovascular health and disease: a review of the evidence. Mayo Clin Proc. 2013;88(9):987-95. 363
- 4. Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, Vollmer WM, Svetkey LP, Sacks FM, et al. A clinical 364
- 365 trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. DASH Collaborative Research
- Group. N Engl J Med. 1997;336(16):1117-24. 366
- 5. Jeong SY, Wee CC, Kovell LC, Plante TB, Miller ER, 3rd, Appel LJ, et al. Effects of 367

Diet on 10-Year Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk (from the DASH Trial). Am J 368 Cardiol. 2023;187:10-7.

- 369
- 6. Kim H, Andrade FC. Diagnostic status of hypertension on the adherence to the Dietary 370
- Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet. Prev Med Rep. 2016;4:525-31. 371
- 7. Krist AH, Davidson KW, Mangione CM, Barry MJ, Cabana M, Caughey AB, et al. 372
- 373 Behavioral Counseling Interventions to Promote a Healthy Diet and Physical Activity for
- Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Adults With Cardiovascular Risk Factors: US 374
- Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. Jama. 2020;324(20):2069-75. 375

376	8. Gregory C, Mancino L, Coleman-Jensen A. Food Security and Food Purchase Quality
377	Among Low-Income Households: Findings From the National Household Food Acquisition
378	and Purchase Survey (FoodAPS). In: U.S. Department of Agriculture ERS, editor. 2019.
379	9. Thorndike AN, Gardner CD, Kendrick KB, Seligman HK, Yaroch AL, Gomes AV, et al.
380	Strengthening US Food Policies and Programs to Promote Equity in Nutrition Security: A
381	Policy Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2022;145(24):e1077-
382	e93.
383	10. Dash S, Delibasic V, Alsaeed S, Ward M, Jefferson K, Manca DP, et al. Knowledge,
384	Attitudes and Behaviours Related to Physician-Delivered Dietary Advice for Patients with
385	Hypertension. J Community Health. 2020;45(5):1067-72.
386	11. Role of Community Health Workers: National Heart, Lung and Blood Institue, National
387	Institutes of Health; [updated June 2014; cited 2023 July 28, 2023]. Available from:
388	https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/educational/healthdisp/role-of-community-health-
389	workers.htm.
390	12. Abdalla M, Bolen SD, Brettler J, Egan BM, Ferdinand KC, Ford CD, et al.
391	Implementation Strategies to Improve Blood Pressure Control in the United States: A
392	Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association and American Medical
393	Association. Hypertension. 2023;0(0).
394	13. McCurley JL, Fung V, Levy DE, McGovern S, Vogeli C, Clark CR, et al. Assessment of
395	the Massachusetts Flexible Services Program to Address Food and Housing Insecurity in a
396	Medicaid Accountable Care Organization. JAMA Health Forum. 2023;4(6):e231191.
397	14. U.S. Adult Food Security Survey Module: Three-Stage Design, with Screeners.
398	Economic Research Service USDA; 2012.

- 39915. Green SH, Glanz K. Development of the Perceived Nutrition Environment Measures
- 400 Survey. Am J Prev Med. 2015;49(1):50-61.
- 401 16. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized
- 402 anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(10):1092-7.
- 403 17. Cohen S, Williamson G, Spacapan S, Oskamp S. The social psychology of health. The
- 404 social psychology of health. 1988.
- 405 18. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity
- 406 measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16(9):606-13.
- 407 19. Brantley P, Jones G, Boudreaux E, Catz S. Weekly Stress Inventory. In: Zalaquett CP,
- 408 Woods RJ, editors. Evaluating stress: A book of resources. Lanham, MD: Scarecrow
- 409 Education; 1997. p. 405-20.
- 410 20. 2019 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) Questionnaire. National Center for
- 411 Health Statistics, CDC; 2019.
- 412 21. Sherbourne CD, Stewart AL. The MOS social support survey. Soc Sci Med.

413 1991;32(6):705-14.

- 414 22. Michaels E, Thomas M, Reeves A, Price M, Hasson R, Chae D, et al. Coding the
- 415 Everyday Discrimination Scale: implications for exposure assessment and associations with
- 416 hypertension and depression among a cross section of mid-life African American women. J
- 417 Epidemiol Community Health. 2019;73(6):577-84.
- 418 23. Disability and Health Data System (DHDS) Data Guide Status and Types: National
- 419 Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, Centers for Disease Control and
- 420 Prevention; [updated May 3, 2023. Available from:
- 421 <u>https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/disabilityandhealth/dhds/data-guide/status-and-types.html</u>.

422	24. Craig CL.	, Marshall AL, S	jöström M.	Bauman AE	, Booth ML	, Ainsworth BE.	et al.

- 423 International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci
- 424 Sports Exerc. 2003;35(8):1381-95.
- 425 25. Forrest CB, Meltzer LJ, Marcus CL, de la Motte A, Kratchman A, Buysse DJ, et al.
- 426 Development and validation of the PROMIS Pediatric Sleep Disturbance and Sleep-Related
- 427 Impairment item banks. Sleep. 2018;41(6):zsy054.
- 428 26. Sonnenblick R, Reilly A, Roye K, McCurley JL, Levy DE, Fung V, et al. Social
- 429 Determinants of Health and Hypertension Control in Adults with Medicaid. J Prim Care
- 430 Community Health. 2022;13:21501319221142426.
- 431 27. Subar AF, Kirkpatrick SI, Mittl B, Zimmerman TP, Thompson FE, Bingley C, et al. The
- 432 Automated Self-Administered 24-hour dietary recall (ASA24): a resource for researchers,
- 433 clinicians, and educators from the National Cancer Institute. J Acad Nutr Diet.
- 434 2012;112(8):1134-7.
- 435 28. Kirkpatrick SI, Subar AF, Douglass D, Zimmerman TP, Thompson FE, Kahle LL, et al.
- 436 Performance of the Automated Self-Administered 24-hour Recall relative to a measure of

true intakes and to an interviewer-administered 24-h recall. Am J Clin Nutr.

- 438 2014;100(1):233-40.
- 439 29. Reedy J, Lerman JL, Krebs-Smith SM, Kirkpatrick SI, Pannucci TE, Wilson MM, et al.
- Evaluation of the Healthy Eating Index-2015. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2018;118(9):1622-33.
- 30. Shams-White MM, Pannucci TE, Lerman JL, Herrick KA, Zimmer M, Meyers Mathieu
- 442 K, et al. Healthy Eating Index-2020: Review and Update Process to Reflect the Dietary
- Guidelines for Americans, 2020-2025. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics.

444	31. Kirkpatrick SI, Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM, Pannucci TE, Subar AF, Wilson MM, et al.
445	Applications of the Healthy Eating Index for Surveillance, Epidemiology, and Intervention
446	Research: Considerations and Caveats. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2018;118(9):1603-21.
447	32. Luo H, Dodd KW, Arnold CD, Engle-Stone R. Introduction to the SIMPLE Macro, a
448	Tool to Increase the Accessibility of 24-Hour Dietary Recall Analysis and Modeling. J Nutr.
449	2021;151(5):1329-40.
450	33. Whelton PK, Appel LJ, Sacco RL, Anderson CA, Antman EM, Campbell N, et al.
451	Sodium, blood pressure, and cardiovascular disease: further evidence supporting the
452	American Heart Association sodium reduction recommendations. Circulation.
453	2012;126(24):2880-9.
454	34. National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes
455	for Sodium and Potassium. Washington DC: National Academies Press; 2019.
456	35. Friedman JH, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear
457	Models via Coordinate Descent. Journal of Statistical Software. 2010;33(1):1 - 22.
458	36. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and U.S. Department of Agriculture.
459	2020–2025 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. 9th edDecember 2020.
460	37. Average Healthy Eating Index-2015 Scores for All Americans by Age Groups. What We
461	Eat in America, NHANES 2017-2018. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition
462	
	Service, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion; 2022.
463	<ul><li>Service, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion; 2022.</li><li>38. D'Elia L, Barba G, Cappuccio FP, Strazzullo P. Potassium intake, stroke, and</li></ul>
463 464	<ul><li>Service, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion; 2022.</li><li>38. D'Elia L, Barba G, Cappuccio FP, Strazzullo P. Potassium intake, stroke, and cardiovascular disease a meta-analysis of prospective studies. J Am Coll Cardiol.</li></ul>

466	39. Gardner CD, Vadiveloo MK, Petersen KS, Anderson CAM, Springfield S, Van Horn L,
467	et al. Popular Dietary Patterns: Alignment With American Heart Association 2021 Dietary
468	Guidance: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2023.
469	40. Wang P, Song M, Eliassen AH, Wang M, Fung TT, Clinton SK, et al. Optimal dietary
470	patterns for prevention of chronic disease. Nature Medicine. 2023;29(3):719-28.
471	41. Wilson MM, Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM. American Diet Quality: Where It Is, Where It Is
472	Heading, and What It Could Be. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2016;116(2):302-10.e1.
473	42. Pasha M, Brewer LC, Sennhauser S, Alsawas M, Murad MH. Health Care Delivery
474	Interventions for Hypertension Management in Underserved Populations in the United
475	States: A Systematic Review. Hypertension. 2021;78(4):955-65.
476	43. Gu KD, Faulkner KC, Thorndike AN. Housing instability and cardiometabolic health in
477	the United States: a narrative review of the literature. BMC Public Health. 2023;23(1):931.
478	44. Hill-Briggs F, Adler NE, Berkowitz SA, Chin MH, Gary-Webb TL, Navas-Acien A, et
479	al. Social Determinants of Health and Diabetes: A Scientific Review. Diabetes Care. 2020.
480	45. MacLean RR, Cowan A, Vernarelli JA. More to gain: dietary energy density is related to
481	smoking status in US adults. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1):365.
482	46. Liu S, Jiang H, Zhang D, Luo J, Zhang H. The Association between Smoking Cessation
483	and Depressive Symptoms: Diet Quality Plays a Mediating Role. Nutrients. 2022;14(15).
484	47. Kimokoti RW, Newby PK, Gona P, Zhu L, Jasuja GK, Pencina MJ, et al. Diet quality,
485	physical activity, smoking status, and weight fluctuation are associated with weight change in
486	women and men. J Nutr. 2010;140(7):1287-93.

487	48. Gelfand AR, Tangney CC. Dietary quality differs among cannabis use groups: data from
488	the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005-16. Public Health Nutr.
489	2021;24(11):3419-27.
490	49. Zeballos E, Todd JE. The effects of skipping a meal on daily energy intake and diet
491	quality. Public Health Nutr. 2020;23(18):3346-55.
492	50. Kupis J, Johnson S, Hallihan G, Olstad DL. Assessing the Usability of the Automated
493	Self-Administered Dietary Assessment Tool (ASA24) among Low-Income Adults. Nutrients.
494	2019;11(1).



Figure 1