Costochondritis syndrome and thoracic-chest related pain: a scoping review

Authors:

Andrea Bolandrini - Pt Bsc, Department of Human Neuroscience, “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy
Antonio Barile - Pt Bsc, Department of Human Neuroscience, “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy
Marco Segat - Pt Bsc, Department of Human Neuroscience, “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy
Department of Neuroscience, University of Padova, Padova, Italy
Martina Zaninetti - Pt Bsc, Department of Human Neuroscience, “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy
Matteo Fascia - Pt Msc, Phd Student, Department of Information Engineering, University of Brescia, Italy
Department of Human Neuroscience, “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy
Andrea Segat - Pt Bsc, Department of Neuroscience, University of Padova, Padova, Italy
Department of Human Neuroscience, “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy
Marta Sebastiani - Pt Bsc, Department of Human Neuroscience, “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy
Federico Minetti - Pt Bsc, Department of Human Neuroscience, “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy
Filippo Maselli - Pt Msc, Phd, Department of Human Neuroscience, “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy
Giovanni Galeoto - Pt Msc, Department of Human Neuroscience, “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy
Michele Margelli - Pt Msc, Department of Morphology Surgery and Experimental Medicine, Ferrara University, Ferrara, Italy
Department of Human Neuroscience “Sapienza” University of Rome, Roma, Italy

ABSTRACT

Background: Costochondritis is a frequent diagnosis related to chest pain but the etiology, treatment, and evolution of the disease are poorly documented.
Clinical examination lacks specificity and most treatment recommendations are conservative in nature and have been traditionally accepted, perhaps because of the self-limited nature of the condition.
However, costochondritis that does not self-resolve is referred to as atypical costochondritis and is associated with high medical expenses and psychological burden on the patient.This scoping review will aim to provide a clear overview about costochondritis, including

definitions and terms, signs and symptoms, causes, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis. This work will also aim to propose shareable terms to describe this condition.

Inclusion Criteria: Every study describing a condition of non-cardiac musculoskeletal chest pain due to inflammation of the costochondral junctions of ribs or costosternal joints where the areas of tenderness are not generally accompanied by heat, erythema or localized swelling (e.g.,Tietze syndrome). This scoping review will consider studies conducted in any context. Articles in English or Italian will be considered.

Methods: The proposed scoping review will be conducted in accordance with the Joanna Briggs Institute methodology (JBI) for scoping reviews.
The search will be carried out on 5 databases: MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane Library, CENTRAL and CINAHL. Addictionally, research protocols will be searched on PROSPERO and ClinicalTrials.gov. Further research of grey literature will be carried out through OpenGrey, Open Science Framework and Grey Literature Network Service.
Selection and data extraction will be conducted by two blind independent researchers and inconsistencies will be resolved by a third reviewer.
The results will be presented in a schematic, tabular and descriptive format that will line up with the objectives and scope of the review.

Conclusions: This scoping review will aim to provide a comprehensive overview of the topic. The results will add meaningful information for clinicians. Furthermore, any knowledge gaps of the topic will be identified.

BACKGROUND

Costochondritis, also known as costosternal syndrome, parasternal chondrodynia, or anterior chest wall syndrome (1), is a self-limiting, poorly described and benign condition that usually manifests as non-cardiac chest pain (2). It is a common condition seen in patients presenting to physician's office or emergency department (3–6). Even though costochondritis is a frequent diagnosis related to chest pain, the etiology, treatment, and evolution of the disease are often poorly documented (3).

The typical presentation is parasternal pain; the upper (predominantly second through fifth) costosternal and/or costochondral junctions are most commonly involved; the areas of tenderness are not generally accompanied by heat, erythema or localized swelling (1,3–5,7). The primary symptom of costochondritis is chest wall pain of varying intensity, typically described as sharp, aching, or pressure-like. The pain is often exacerbated by upper body movement, deep breathing, and exertional activities (5).

The diagnosis is made based on the exclusion of other causes of chest pain and the ability to reproduce the pain by palpation to the area (4). However, in a study of costochondritis in an emergency setting 6% of patients with pain reproduced by chest wall palpation were also diagnosed with myocardial infarction (3). Because the clinical examination lacks specificity,

most patients with chest pain still need a thorough evaluation, including electrocardiography at a minimum, to rule out other more serious causes (1,8–19).

There are no laboratory tests, imaging tests, or electrocardiography findings specifically for the diagnosis of costochondritis (20).

No high-quality studies have examined the effectiveness of any treatment options for costochondritis. Most treatment recommendations are conservative in nature and have been traditionally accepted, perhaps because of the self-limited nature of the condition (1,21). However, costochondritis that does not self-resolve is referred to as atypical costochondritis and is associated with high medical expenses and psychological burden on the patient (2).

This scoping review will aim to provide a clear overview about costochondritis, including definitions and terms, signs and symptoms, causes, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis. This work will also aim to propose shareable terms to describe this condition.

Review question

What is the current state of the literature about costochondritis?

The main objectives of this study will be:

1) To identify the available definitions and terms used to describe costochondritis;

2) To propose shareable terms to describe this condition;

3) To map and describe the available literature regarding signs and symptoms, causes, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis;

4) To identify any possible knowledge gaps on these topics.

METHODS

Study design and protocol

The proposed scoping review will be conducted in accordance with the Joanna Briggs Institute methodology (JBI) for scoping reviews.

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist for reporting will be used, and it is priori registered at Medxriv (https://www.medrxiv.org).

Search strategy

The search will be carried out on 5 databases: MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane Library, CENTRAL and CINAHL. Addictionally, research protocols will be searched on PROSPERO and ClinicalTrials.gov. Further research of grey literature will be carried out through OpenGrey, Open Science Framework and Grey Literature Network Service.

As recommended in all JBI types of reviews and PRISMA-S, a three-step search strategy is to be utilized.

1. The first step is an initial limited search of an appropriate online databases relevant to the topic (MEDLINE). This initial search is then followed by an analysis of the text words contained in the title and abstract of retrieved papers, and of the index terms used to describe the articles.

2. The second step concerns a search using all identified keywords and index terms should then be undertaken across all included databases.

3. The third step, the reference list of identified reports and articles should be searched for additional sources.

The search strategies were peer-reviewed by an experienced librarian and were further refined through team discussion. No search limitations and filters applied (language and time). Reviewers' intent to contact authors of primary sources or reviews for further information. Complete search strategy for Medline is included as an appendix 1 to the protocol. Search strategy will be adapted to be used in other databases.

Inclusion criteria

We will follow the acronym PCC to describe elements of the inclusion criteria:

- *Population*

This scoping review will consider studies on living adult humans (aged 18 or above) with non-cardiac musculoskeletal chest pain.

- *Concept*

This scoping review will consider research studies that describe a condition of non-cardiac musculoskeletal chest pain due to inflammation of the costochondral junctions of ribs or costosternal joints where the areas of tenderness are not generally accompanied by heat, erythema or localized swelling (e.g., Tietze syndrome).

- *Context*

This scoping review will consider studies conducted in any context.

Sources

This scoping review will consider any study designs or publication type for inclusion. No date and geographical limits will be used. We will consider articles in English and Italian. Studies that do not meet the above-stated Population-Concept-Context (PCC) criteria or which provide insufficient information will be excluded.

Study selection

For the selection process, the first thing to do is to select a sample of 25 title/abstracts that will be analyzed from the entire team using eligibility criteria and definitions/elaboration document. Then, the team will discuss discrepancies and make modifications to the eligibility criteria and definitions/elaboration document. The team will only start screening when 75%

(or greater) of agreement between reviewers will be achieved. To start the screening, we will use GoogleSheets.

The selection phase will begin assessing all the titles and abstracts of the studies retrieved using the search strategy and those from additional sources. These studies will be screened independently by two review authors, to identify those that potentially meet the inclusion criteria. Any disagreement will be solved by two reviewer consensus. Subsequently, the full text of these potentially eligible studies will be independently assessed for eligibility by the two reviewers. The reasons for excluding articles will be recorded. We developed a google form (charting table) containing the elements to standardize the selection.

There should be a narrative description of the selection process accompanied by a flowchart of review process (from the PRISMA-ScR statement).

Data extraction

Extraction module will be reviewed, before the implementation, by the research team and pre-tested to ensure that the form accurately captures the information. Modifications will be detailed in the full scoping review.

Data extraction will be conducted by two blind independent researchers and inconsistencies will be resolved by a third reviewer.

Data items

Key information will be described in a charting table with the description of: author; publication year; country of conduct of the study; setting of conduct of the study; methodology/type of study, aims of the study, population characteristics from which patients are extracted (including gender, age and social variables); Concept: synonyms of costochondritis; definition of costochondritis, signs and symptoms, diagnostics, treatment strategies, prognostics.

Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence

Not provided.

Data management

As a scoping review, the purpose is to aggregate the findings and to present an overview of the research rather than to evaluate the quality of the individual studies. The results will be presented as a map of data, which are extracted from different documents. These will be included in a schematic, tabular and descriptive format that will line up with the objectives and scope of the review. Descriptive analysis will consist of a distribution of the evidence sources by year or period of publication, country of origin, area of intervention (clinical, political, educational, etc.) and research methods. Results will be presented such as: definitions, evaluation and treatment.

Data Availability

Due to the nature of this research, participants of this study did not agree for their data to be shared publicly, so supporting data is not available.

Appendix 1: Complete search strategy for Medline

Search: "costochondritis" OR "costosternal syndrome" OR "costosternal chondrodynia" OR "parasternal chondrodynia" OR "tietze syndrome" OR “tietze’s syndrome” OR "costal chondritis" OR “chest wall syndrome”

REFERENCES

1. Proulx AM, Zryd TW. Costochondritis: Diagnosis and Treatment. Am Fam Physician. 2009 Sep 15;80(6):617–20.
2. Barranco-Trabi J, Mank V, Roberts J, Newman DP. Atypical Costochondritis: Complete Resolution of Symptoms After Rib Manipulation and Soft Tissue Mobilization. Cureus. 13(4):e14369.
3. Disla E, Rhim HR, Reddy A, Karten I, Taranta A. Costochondritis. A prospective analysis in an emergency department setting. Arch Intern Med. 1994 Nov 14;154(21):2466–9.
4. Fam AG. Approach to musculoskeletal chest wall pain. Prim Care. 1988 Dec;15(4):767–82.
5. Wise CM, Semble EL, Dalton CB. Musculoskeletal chest wall syndromes in patients with noncardiac chest pain: a study of 100 patients. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1992 Feb;73(2):147–9.
6. Pantell RH, Goodman BW. Adolescent chest pain: a prospective study. Pediatrics. 1983 Jun;71(6):881–7.
7. Wolf E, Stern S. Costosternal Syndrome: Its Frequency and Importance in Differential Diagnosis of Coronary Heart Disease. Arch Intern Med. 1976 Feb 1;136(2):189–91.
8. Bosner S, Becker A, Hani MA, Keller H, Sonnichsen AC, Karatolios K, et al. Chest wall syndrome in primary care patients with chest pain: presentation, associated features and diagnosis. Fam Pract. 2010 Aug 1;27(4):363–9.
9. McCONAGHY JR, Oza RS. Outpatient Diagnosis of Acute Chest Pain in Adults. Am Fam Physician. 2013 Feb 1;87(3):177–82.
10. Verdon F, Herzig L, Burnand B, Bischoff T, Pécoud A, Junod M, et al. Chest pain in daily practice: occurrence, causes and management. Swiss Med Wkly. 2008 Jun 14;138(2324):340–7.
11. Verdon F, Burnand B, Herzig L, Junod M, Pécoud A, Favrat B. Chest wall syndrome among primary care patients: a cohort study. BMC Fam Pract. 2007 Sep 12;8:51.
12. Hsiao CJ, Rechtsteiner EA. National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 2007 Summary. 2010;(27).
13. Hoorweg BB, Willemsen RT, Cleef LE, Boogaerts T, Buntinx F, Glatz JF, et al. Frequency of chest pain in primary care, diagnostic tests performed and final diagnoses. Heart. 2017 Nov 1;103(21):1727–32.

14. Klinkman MS, Stevens D, Gorenflo DW. Episodes of care for chest pain: a preliminary report from MIRNET. Michigan Research Network. J Fam Pract. 1994 Apr;38(4):345–52.
15. Ebell MH. Evaluation of Chest Pain in Primary Care Patients. Am Fam Physician. 2011 Mar 1;83(5):603–5.
16. Wertli MM, Dangma TD, Müller SE, Gort LM, Klauser BS, Melzer L, et al. Non-cardiac chest pain patients in the emergency department: Do physicians have a plan how to diagnose and treat them? A retrospective study. PLoS ONE. 2019 Feb 1;14(2):e0211615.
17. Cilia C, Malatino LS, Puccia G, Iurato MA, Noto G, Tripepi G, et al. The prevalence of the cardiac origin of chest pain: the experience of a rural area of southeast Italy. Intern Emerg Med. 2010 Oct 1;5(5):427–32.
18. Bhuiya FA, Pitts SR, McCaig LF. Emergency department visits for chest pain and abdominal pain: United States, 1999-2008. NCHS Data Brief. 2010 Sep;(43):1–8.
19. Panju AA, Hemmelgarn BR, Guyatt GH, Simel DL. Is This Patient Having a Myocardial Infarction? JAMA. 1998 Oct 14;280(14):1256–63.
20. Mott T, Jones G, Roman K. Costochondritis: Rapid Evidence Review. Am Fam Physician. 2021 Jul;104(1):73–8.
21. Ayloo A, Cvengros T, Marella S. Evaluation and Treatment of Musculoskeletal Chest Pain. Prim Care Clin Off Pract. 2013 Dec 1;40(4):863–87.