Title: Investigating the changing taxonomy and antimicrobial resistance of bacteria isolated from door handles in a new infectious disease ward pre- and post-patient admittance.

Gavin Ackers Johnson[1], Danielle McLaughlin[2], Amy Doyle[2], Joe M Lewis[1,2] Tim Neal[3], Stacy Todd[2] Adam P. Roberts[1]*

1. Department of Tropical Disease Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool, L3 5QA.
2. Tropical and Infectious Disease Unit, Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, L7 8YE
3. Liverpool Clinical Laboratories, Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, L7 8YE

*Corresponding Author

Adam P. Roberts. Department of Tropical Disease Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool, L3 5QA.

Adam.Roberts@lstmed.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: Healthcare associated infections (HAIs) are a significant burden to health systems, with a higher risk of morbidity, mortality and increased financial costs. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) further compounds the issue as viable treatment options are constrained. The hospital environment plays a significant role in the development of HAIs, with effective microbial monitoring providing the foundation for targeted interventions and improved infection prevention and control strategies.

Methods: This project sampled door handles in an infectious disease ward at the newly built Royal Liverpool University Hospital. Sampling was performed prior to the first patients being admitted to the ward and then six and twelve months after this date. We also investigated the phenotypic antibiotic resistance of all *Staphylococcus* spp. identified.

Results: Prior to patient admission, the majority of isolates identified (57%) were *Staphylococcus* spp., reducing to 32% and 29% at six and twelve months respectively where *Bacillus* spp. accounted for 51% of isolates. No ESKAPE pathogens were identified. Antibiotic susceptibility testing of the *Staphylococcus* spp. showed the rates of resistance were relatively low for all isolates prior to patient admittance, with the exception of cefoxitin (56%). Overall, resistance was highest after six months of ward use, with only tetracycline showing an increase in resistance at each consecutive time point. Despite an increase in isolates susceptible to all antibiotics after 12 months, the rate of multi-drug resistance remained high.

Summary: This study highlighted the prevalence of a resistant reservoir of bacteria recoverable on high touch surfaces, emphasising the importance of extensive cleaning protocols and efficient, ongoing, environmental surveillance.

Introduction

Healthcare associated infections (HAIs) are a significant burden to health systems, and can affect patients, visitors and healthcare workers. The World Health Organisation estimates out of every 100 patients in acute-care hospitals, seven patients in high-income countries and 15 patients in low- and middle-income countries will acquire at least one HAI during their hospital stay (World Health Organization, 2022). Not only are patients faced with poor outcomes in terms of morbidity and mortality, but healthcare providers are faced with increased costs as a result of ongoing treatment and increased patient length of stay (Stewart et al., 2021). The hospital environment plays a significant role in HAIs, with inanimate surfaces acting as a reservoir for pathogens. Simply admitting a new patient to a room where the previous occupant was infected and/or colonised with a specific pathogen is a risk factor for further transmission (Mitchell et al., 2015). Likewise, cleaning interventions (including chemical, mechanical and human factors) targeted at reducing HAIs, patient colonisation and environmental bioburden frequently lead to positive outcomes (Peters et al., 2022).

Microbial monitoring of the hospital environment is a valuable resource, providing the basis for targeted interventions and improved infection prevention and control (IPC) strategies (Odoyo et al., 2021). Furthermore, in hospital settings, where continuous and increased use of disinfectants and antimicrobial drugs create a heightened risk of resistance selection, it provides a useful means to screen the local microbiome for clinically relevant antimicrobial resistance (AMR) (Cason et al., 2022).

AMR is one of the top threats to global public health, with bacterial AMR estimated to be directly responsible for 1.27 million and a contributing factor towards 4.95 million global deaths in 2019 (Murray et al., 2022). This issue extends to healthcare settings where the ESKAPE pathogens (*Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterobacter spp*.), which pose the highest risk of mortality, are responsible for the majority of HAIs and are frequently associated with multidrug resistance (MDR) (Mulani et al., 2019). In addition to the dangers of the ESKAPE pathogens, less clinically significant bacteria colonising environmental surfaces have the potential to act as AMR reservoirs, with dissemination driven by the transfer of mobile genetic elements between bacteria (Larsson & Flach, 2022). If such elements were to be acquired by a pathogen, the treatment of future infections would become increasingly difficult.

This project investigated the changing taxonomy of bacteria isolated from door handles in a new hospital prior to, and following the admittance of patients. We also investigated the phenotypic antibiotic resistance of all *Staphylococcus* spp. identified.

Methods

Sample collection was based at the newly constructed Royal Liverpool University Hospital, United Kingdom on an infectious disease ward. Sampling was facilitated at three time points; one week prior to the ward opening to patients, six months and 12 months after the ward had been opened. The project was conducted in conjunction with LUHFT Infection Prevention and Control team. Only environmental sampling occurred with no patient or staff information recorded. In line with NHS Health Research Authority guidance (Is my study research? (hra-decisiontools.org.uk)) this project was considered to be Health Surveillance rather than Research.

At each time point, 40 sites were sampled consisting of stainless-steel lever door handles and push panels. These were situated on the main corridor and the entrance/exit to single occupancy bedrooms with ensuite bathrooms.

25cm^2 templates and cotton swabs pre-moistened with neutralising buffer were used to collect samples, swabbing in four directions across the template (up to down, left to right, top-left to bottom-right, top-right to bottom-left).

Bacteria were recovered in 3ml maximum recovery diluent using a Stomacher 80 Biomaster (Seward, Worthing, United Kingdom) at maximum speed for two minutes, with 500µl of diluent plated onto 5% sheep's blood agar, followed by a subsequent 48-hour incubation at 37°C.

Morphologically unique colonies were picked from each plate for 16S rRNA gene sequencing and identification. The primers utilised were:

27F - AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG

1492R - GGT TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T

All *Staphylococcus* spp. identified were further assessed utilising disc diffusion susceptibility assays following EUCAST guidelines. The antibiotics tested were cefoxitin (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (1:19, 5 µg), tetracycline (30 µg), erythromycin (15 µg) and clindamycin (2 µg).

Results

Prior to the opening of the ward, average colony forming units per cm^2 (CFU/cm^2) across all 40 sites was 4.1, increasing to 12.3 six months after opening and 39.8 twelve months after opening. Equally, the total number of morphologically unique colonies identified increased over the same time period, being 47, 87 and 126 respectively; additionally, the number of different species of bacteria identified increased, with a total of 13, 23 and 30 different species identified at each time point respectively.

As shown in table 1 below, no ESKAPE pathogens were identified from any of the samples. The most prevalent genus of bacteria identified prior to the arrival of patients was *Staphylococcus*, accounting for 57% of the isolates. At this time, only a single *Bacillus* species was identified. However, both six and twelve months after the ward had been in use, the percentage of *Bacillus spp*. identified increased to 51% of the total, whilst the number of unique colonies identified as *Staphylococcus* spp. decreased to 32% and 29% respectively. Despite the percentage reduction, *Staphylococcus* spp., second to *Bacillus* spp., both remained the most prevalent genera of bacteria by a large margin.

Table 1 The 16S rRNA gene sequence identity of bacteria isolated from door handles on the infectious disease ward one week prior to, six months after and twelve months after it opened to patients. The data indicates the number of sites the respective bacteria was identified from a total of 40 sites at each time point.

	Number of sites where bacteria was isolated (40 sites sampled at each time point)		
16S rRNA Gene Sequence Identification	**Prior to ward opening**	**6 months after ward opening**	**12 months after ward opening**
Acinetobacter lwoffii			1
Acinetobacter ursingii			1
Arthrobacter cumminsii		1	
Bacillus aerius			4
Bacillus altitudinis		3	
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens			1
Bacillus cereus		5	11
Bacillus circulans			1
Bacillus mycoides		1	
Bacillus licheniformis			4
Bacillus safensis		20	13
Bacillus subtilis		6	13
Bacillus velezensis	1	9	17
Corynebacterium spp.		1	3
Dermacoccus nishinomiyaensis	1		
Dietzia cinnamea	1		
Kocuria rhizophila	4	2	
Lysinibacillus fusiformis		1	1
Metabacillus idriensis		1	
Micrococcus luteus	11	2	6
Moraxella osloensis			1
Paenibacillus glucanolyticus			1
Paenibacillus lautus			1
Paenibacillus pabuli		2	
Paenibacillus tundrae		1	
Pantoea piersonii			1
Peribacillus frigoritolerans	1		
Priestia flexa		2	
Priestia megaterium			1
Pseudomonas luteola		1	
Pseudomonas ludensis			1
Pseudomonas psychrotolerans	1		
Psychrobacillus psychrodurans		1	
Sphingomonas paucimobilis			1
Staphylococcus capitis	3	2	6
Staphylococcus caprae			1
Staphylococcus epidermidis	5	14	10
Staphylococcus haemolyticus	1	3	4
Staphylococcus hominis	9	6	13
Staphylococcus pasteuri	2	2	1
Staphylococcus pettenkoferi		1	2
Staphylococcus saprophyticus	7		
Streptococcus parasanguinis			2
Streptococcus thermophilus			3
Stutzerimonas stutzeri			1

The greatest level of antibiotic resistance amongst the *Staphylococcus* spp. identified was six months after the ward had been in use, with the highest rates of resistance observed across all antibiotics tested except tetracycline as seen in table 2. Prior to the ward opening, there was already varying levels of resistance to all antibiotics tested, with tetracycline being the only one where isolates were 100% susceptible. Resistance to cefoxitin was already as high as 56% and further increased to 71% after 6 months of ward use. However, after 12 months this had reduced to 22% of isolates. Whilst other antibiotic resistance rates fell close to those observed at the start of the study after the high peak at 6 months, cefoxitin was the only one that went below the initial rate. Tetracycline was the only antibiotic where resistance increased at each consecutive time point. With the exception of one, all isolates which tested resistant to tetracycline were multidrug resistant.

Table 2 The percentage of *Staphylococcus* spp. identified resistant to each antibiotic tested at each sample point (prior to ward opening n=27, 6 months after ward opening n=28, 12 months after ward opening n=37).

	Percentage of *Staphylococcus* spp. resistant to each antibiotic						
Sample time point	Cefoxitin	Ciprofloxacin	Gentamicin	Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Erythromycin	Clindamycin
Prior to ward opening	56	7	4	7	0	30	15
6 months after ward opening	71	25	39	29	14	68	21
12 months after ward opening	22	8	24	14	27	35	14

Whilst overall rates of resistance appear to largely decrease between 6 and 12 months, it is worth noting that the levels of multidrug resistant isolates remain high. Table 3 shows prior to the opening of the ward, most isolates were either susceptible to all antibiotics tested or resistant to just one. After 12 months of ward use the percentage of isolates susceptible to all antibiotics actually increased relative to the first time point. However, the proportion of multidrug resistant isolates also increased from 7% to 27% respectively.

Table 3 The percentage of *Staphylococcus* spp. identified resistant to 0, 1, 2 or ≥3 different classes of antibiotic (prior to ward opening n=27, 6 months after ward opening n=28, 12 months after ward opening n=37).

	Percentage of isolates resistant to 0, 1, 2 or ≥3 classes of antibiotic tested			
Sample time point	**0**	**1**	**2**	**≥3**
Prior to ward opening	30	44	19	7
6 months after ward opening	11	25	14	50
12 months after ward opening	54	16	3	27

Discussion

The hospital environment is a known source of bacteria causing nosocomial infection outbreaks (Gastmeier et al., 2006), with healthcare organisations including the UK's NHS employing a wide array of extensive decontamination protocols in an effort to reduce the environmental bioburden of facilities (Castelli et al., 2022). However, a considerable range of microbial diversity remains (Martineau et al., 2018; Yano et al., 2017). The most clinically significant of these are the ESKAPE pathogens, with the MDR Gram-negative pathogens defined by the WHO as "Priority 1: Critical" and the Gram-positive pathogens as "Priority 2: High" (Denissen et al.,

2022). Within this study, no ESKAPE pathogens (including *Escherichia coli*), MDR or susceptible, were identified. The annual 2022/2023 hospital IPC report does however indicate that at least 51 *E. coli*, 22 *K. pneumoniae*, four *P. aeruginosa*, one methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and 16 methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) hospital onset, hospital associated, infections occurred across LUHFT within a time frame overlapping this study (NHS, 2023). Whilst data collection constrained to three time points could play a role in the lack of ESKAPE pathogens identified, it is likely that other limitations also played a part. Where this project was limited to door handles, previous studies which observed a higher prevalence of priority organisms swabbed a much wider range of environmental surfaces including sinks, tables, bed rails, television remote controls and walls (Anderson et al., 2019; Arduino et al., 2016; Mody et al., 2019; Tanner et al., 2021; van der Schoor et al., 2023). van der Schoor et al. (2023) even noted how nearly all the highly resistant microorganisms they found were present in and around sinks and shower drains as opposed to "dry" surfaces. Furthermore, most of the aforementioned studies utilised broth enrichment, enhancing the detectability of low concentration nosocomial pathogens (French et al., 2011).

Staphylococcus aureus is a human commensal organism found on skin and in the nasopharynx, with carriage rates of up to 30% (Wertheim et al., 2005). As such it was anticipated to be found on the door handles sampled within this study. However, this was not the case, as no *Staphylococcus aureus* was identified. This may be due to the approach of the hospital to reducing the risk of MRSA infection including bacteraemia. As such, the majority of patients are screened for MRSA colonisation either preoperatively or on admission, with positive patients reviewed and additional actions put in place to reduce the risk of bacteraemia and transmission (NHS, 2023). That being said, multiple *Staphylococcus* species were consistently identified across all time points in relatively high abundance. All of these are known to colonise a specific niche on human skin (Becker et al., 2014), with the exception of *S. pasteuri* which is more closely associated with food specimens (Chesneau et al., 1993). This suggests that microorganisms isolated from door handles are likely derived from human microbiota. Other studies investigating the hospital environment also frequently isolated various *Staphylococcus spp.* (Martineau et al., 2018; Nygren et al., 2023; Wright et al., 2022; Yano et al., 2017), with *S. capitis*, *S. epidermidis* and *S. hominis* being the most prevalent on frequently touched surfaces (Liu et al., 2022).

Amongst the *Staphylococcus* spp. identified, an initial finding of two MDR isolates, both resistant to cefoxitin, prior to the admittance of patients was noted – without any patients on the ward, these must have come from healthcare staff or construction workers. This was further compounded by an increase in resistance observed across the board once patients had been admitted. Furthermore, whilst after 12 months the proportion of completely susceptible isolates might have increased (20/37), the isolates resistant to at least one antibiotic were predominantly MDR (10/17), two of which were resistant to all antibiotics tested. Available literature seldom investigates the resistance profiles of coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* spp. (CoNS) isolated from clinical environments, often focusing on those isolated from clinical cases of infection and/or those colonising healthcare workers. Across these sites there was a consistent observation of high rates of MDR on par with this study (Al-Haqan et al., 2020; Koksal et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2011; Mendes et al., 2010). Similarly, Liu et al. (2022) assessed staphylococci isolated from both hospital personnel and high touch surfaces, observing MDR rates of 61% and 43% respectively. MDR was also prevalent amongst 643 CoNS isolated from a range of non-healthcare associated environmental settings in London, with 6% of isolates fully

susceptible, 94% resistant to at least one and 18% resistant to at least 5 antibiotics tested (Xu et al., 2018).

Whilst *S. aureus* is often deemed the most clinically relevant, CoNS are becoming increasingly responsible for nosocomial infections (Argemi et al., 2019). Furthermore, the ability of mobile genetic elements, notably the Staphylococcal cassette chromosome (SCC), to transfer resistance genes amongst *Staphylococcus spp*. provides a pathway for the rapid spread of AMR amongst these opportunistic pathogens, in addition to facilitating the evolution of AMR in *S. aureus* (Cave et al., 2019; Hanssen & Ericson Sollid, 2006; Nasaj et al., 2020). The most prominent resistance gene in this context, the *mecA* gene responsible for methicillin resistance, is a major public health threat (Stefani & Varaldo, 2003). Given its' significance, resistance to cefoxitin observed within this study of 56% prior to and 71% six months after patient admission appeared high. However, high resistance is frequently seen in clinical isolates, with rates ranging from 57% - 79% (Al-Haqan et al., 2020; Koksal et al., 2009; Ma et al., 2011; Mendes et al., 2010). Furthermore, Liu et al. (2022) found 50% of isolates from healthcare personal and 35% from high touch surfaces were methicillin resistant. These results show that whilst we may have found high levels of cefoxitin resistance, they were in agreement with pre-existing clinical studies, and a figure of 22% after 12 months of ward use was actually much lower than other settings. Such fluctuations in resistance observed imply the microbiome of hospital door handles is constantly changing and adapting. However, whole genome sequencing will be required to clarify if this is the case. It would also shed light on the issue of increasing rates of tetracycline resistance. Prior to patient admission, all isolates tested were susceptible to tetracycline; yet six months later, four MDR isolates are resistant, one against all antibiotics tested. Again by 12 months, ten isolates are tetracycline resistant, nine of which are MDR and 2 of which are resistant to all antibiotics tested. Interestingly, when evaluating the data obtained by Liu et al. (2022), a high proportion of tetracycline resistant isolates were also MDR (8/10 isolates from frequently touched surfaces and 21/23 from healthcare personnel). Further work will be required to explore the relationship between tetracycline resistance and other resistances in detail.

Whilst *Staphylococcus spp.* formed the predominant genus isolated pre-patient admission, after six and twelve months of ward usage *Bacillus* spp. accounted for 51% of all isolates. This was to be expected given their wide distribution in the environment, particularly in soil, and association with food products (Rana et al., 2020). Similar to this study, Al-Habibi et al. (2022) examined 407 environmental isolates across three hospitals, identifying 43.2% as *Bacillus* spp. and 19.2% as CoNS. The *Bacillus* genus has long been considered too broad, with many members being incrementally reclassified (Gupta et al., 2020). Several of these were identified within this study including *Metabacillus, Paenibacillus, Peribacillus, Psychrobacillus*, and *Priesta* species. All of these are frequently found in soil and rarely cause disease (Biedendieck et al., 2021; de Andrade et al., 2023; Krishnamurthi et al., 2010; Sáez-Nieto et al., 2017). The most clinically significant *Bacillus* species identified was *Bacillus cereus*, frequently associated with food- borne outbreaks and more recently implicated in localised wound and eye as well as systemic infections (Bottone, 2010; Glasset et al., 2018). Given its wide prevalence in the environment, it does not provide cause for immediate concern. However, it is something that should be monitored over time. The large majority of other bacteria isolated as part of this study bear little clinical relevance and were observed in agreement with other previous studies, albeit with *Streptococcus* spp. identified at much lower levels (Martineau et al., 2018; Nygren et al., 2023; Yano et al., 2017).

Conclusion

The presence of a resistant reservoir of bacteria recoverable on high touch surfaces highlights the importance of extensive cleaning protocols and efficient environmental surveillance systems, especially when CoNS are being increasingly viewed as emerging pathogens. In future, it would be beneficial to expand such studies to a greater variety of sites in addition to door handles to ensure an accurate representation of the hospital environment and respective microbiome. Furthermore, assessing the bacteria colonising patients and healthcare staff would shed light on potential routes of transmission. Whole genome sequencing is a powerful tool for the in-depth analysis of isolates and is currently being undertaken for all MDR *Staphylococcus* spp. identified.

References

Al-Habibi, M., Hefny, H. M., & El-Moghazy, A. N. A. (2022). Molecular characterization and prevalence of Bacillus species isolated from Egyptian hospitals. *Microbes and Infectious Diseases*, *3*(3), 625-638. https://doi.org/10.21608/mid.2022.139527.1316

Al-Haqan, A., Boswihi, S. S., Pathan, S., & Udo, E. E. (2020). Antimicrobial resistance and virulence determinants in coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated mainly from preterm neonates. *PLoS One*, *15*(8), e0236713. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236713

Anderson, D. J., Better, O. M., Chen, L. F., Gergen, M. F., Knelson, L. P., Nicholson, B. P., Rutala, W. A., Sexton, D. J., Weber, D. J., & Woods, C. W. (2019). A prospective study of transmission of Multidrug-Resistant Organisms (MDROs) between environmental sites and hospitalized patients—the TransFER study. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, *40*(1), 47-52. https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2018.275

Arduino, M. J., Cali, S., Edwards, J. R., Harris, A. D., Jacob, J. T., LaFae, A., McDonald, L. C., Noble-Wang, J. A., Pineles, L. L., Rose, L. J., Shams, A. M., & Thom, K. A. (2016). Assessment of the Overall and Multidrug-Resistant Organism Bioburden on Environmental Surfaces in Healthcare Facilities. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, *37*(12), 1426-1432. https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2016.198

Argemi, X., Hansmann, Y., Prola, K., & Prévost, G. (2019). Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci Pathogenomics. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *20*(5), 1215. https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/20/5/1215

Becker, K., Heilmann, C., & Peters, G. (2014). Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *27*(4), 870-926. https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/cmr.00109-13

Biedendieck, R., Knuuti, T., Moore, S. J., & Jahn, D. (2021). The "beauty in the beast"-the multiple uses of Priestia megaterium in biotechnology. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, *105*(14-15), 5719-5737. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-021-11424-6

Bottone, E. J. (2010). Bacillus cereus, a volatile human pathogen. *Clin Microbiol Rev*, *23*(2), 382-398. https://doi.org/10.1128/cmr.00073-09

Cason, C., D'Accolti, M., Soffritti, I., Mazzacane, S., Comar, M., & Caselli, E. (2022). Next-generation sequencing and PCR technologies in monitoring the hospital microbiome and its drug resistance. *Frontiers in microbiology*, *13*, 969863. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.969863

Castelli, A., Norville, P., Kiernan, M., Maillard, J. Y., & Evans, S. L. (2022). Review of decontamination protocols for shared non-critical objects in 35 policies of UK NHS

acute care organizations. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, *120*, 65-72. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2021.10.021

Cave, R., Misra, R., Chen, J., Wang, S., & Mkrtchyan, H. V. (2019). Whole genome sequencing revealed new molecular characteristics in multidrug resistant staphylococci recovered from high frequency touched surfaces in London. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 9637. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45886-6

Chesneau, O., Morvan, A., Grimont, F., Labischinski, H., & El Solh, N. (1993). Staphylococcus pasteuri sp. nov., Isolated from Human, Animal, and Food Specimens. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, *43*(2), 237-244. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-43-2-237

de Andrade, L. A., Santos, C. H. B., Frezarin, E. T., Sales, L. R., & Rigobelo, E. C. (2023). Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria for Sustainable Agricultural Production. *Microorganisms*, *11*(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11041088

Denissen, J., Reyneke, B., Waso-Reyneke, M., Havenga, B., Barnard, T., Khan, S., & Khan, W. (2022). Prevalence of ESKAPE pathogens in the environment: Antibiotic resistance status, community-acquired infection and risk to human health. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, *244*, 114006. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2022.114006

French, G. L., Otter, J. A., & Yezli, S. (2011). The Role Played by Contaminated Surfaces in the Transmission of Nosocomial Pathogens. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, *32*(7), 687-699. https://doi.org/10.1086/660363

Gastmeier, P., Stamm-Balderjahn, S., Hansen, S., Zuschneid, I., Sohr, D., Behnke, M., Vonberg, R.-P., & Rüden, H. (2006). Where should one search when confronted with outbreaks of nosocomial infection? *American Journal of Infection Control*, *34*(9), 603-605. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2006.01.014

Glasset, B., Herbin, S., Granier, S. A., Cavalié, L., Lafeuille, E., Guérin, C., Ruimy, R., Casagrande-Magne, F., Levast, M., Chautemps, N., Decousser, J.-W., Belotti, L., Pelloux, I., Robert, J., Brisabois, A., & Ramarao, N. (2018). Bacillus cereus, a serious cause of nosocomial infections: Epidemiologic and genetic survey. *PLoS One*, *13*(5), e0194346. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194346

Gupta, R. S., Patel, S., Saini, N., & Chen, S. (2020). Robust demarcation of 17 distinct Bacillus species clades, proposed as novel Bacillaceae genera, by phylogenomics and comparative genomic analyses: description of Robertmurraya kyonggiensis sp. nov. and proposal for an emended genus Bacillus limiting it only to the members of the Subtilis and Cereus clades of species. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, *70*(11), 5753-5798. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004475

Hanssen, A.-M., & Ericson Sollid, J. U. (2006). SCCmec in staphylococci: genes on the move. *FEMS Immunology & Medical Microbiology*, *46*(1), 8-20. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2005.00009.x

Koksal, F., Yasar, H., & Samasti, M. (2009). Antibiotic resistance patterns of coagulase-negative staphylococcus strains isolated from blood cultures of septicemic patients in Turkey. *Microbiological Research*, *164*(4), 404-410. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2007.03.004

Krishnamurthi, S., Ruckmani, A., Pukall, R., & Chakrabarti, T. (2010). Psychrobacillus gen. nov. and proposal for reclassification of Bacillus insolitus Larkin & Stokes, 1967, B. psychrotolerans Abd-El Rahman et al., 2002 and B. psychrodurans Abd-El Rahman et al., 2002 as Psychrobacillus insolitus comb. nov., Psychrobacillus psychrotolerans comb. nov. and Psychrobacillus psychrodurans comb. nov. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, *33*(7), 367-373. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2010.06.003

Larsson, D. G. J., & Flach, C.-F. (2022). Antibiotic resistance in the environment. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *20*(5), 257-269. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-021-00649-x

Liu, Y., Chen, L., Duan, Y., & Xu, Z. (2022). Molecular Characterization of Staphylococci Recovered from Hospital Personnel and Frequently Touched Surfaces in Tianjin, China. *Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology*, *2022*, 1061387. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1061387

Ma, X. X., Wang, E. H., Liu, Y., & Luo, E. J. (2011). Antibiotic susceptibility of coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS): emergence of teicoplanin-non-susceptible CoNS strains with inducible resistance to vancomycin. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, *60*(11), 1661-1668. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.034066-0

Martineau, C., Li, X., Lalancette, C., Perreault, T., Fournier, E., Tremblay, J., Gonzales, M., Yergeau, É., & Quach, C. (2018). Serratia marcescens Outbreak in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: New Insights from Next-Generation Sequencing Applications. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, *56*(9), 10.1128/jcm.00235-00218. https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/jcm.00235-18

Mendes, R. E., Sader, H. S., & Jones, R. N. (2010). Activity of telavancin and comparator antimicrobial agents tested against Staphylococcus spp. isolated from hospitalised patients in Europe (2007–2008). *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, *36*(4), 374-379. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.05.016

Mitchell, B. G., Dancer, S. J., Anderson, M., & Dehn, E. (2015). Risk of organism acquisition from prior room occupants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, *91*(3), 211-217. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2015.08.005

Mody, L., Washer, L. L., Kaye, K. S., Gibson, K., Saint, S., Reyes, K., Cassone, M., Mantey, J., Cao, J., Altamimi, S., Perri, M., Sax, H., Chopra, V., & Zervos, M. (2019). Multidrug-resistant Organisms in Hospitals: What Is on Patient Hands and in Their Rooms? *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *69*(11), 1837-1844. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz092

Mulani, M. S., Kamble, E. E., Kumkar, S. N., Tawre, M. S., & Pardesi, K. R. (2019). Emerging Strategies to Combat ESKAPE Pathogens in the Era of Antimicrobial Resistance: A Review. *Frontiers in microbiology*, *10*, 539. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00539

Murray, C. J. L., Ikuta, K. S., Sharara, F., Swetschinski, L., Robles Aguilar, G., Gray, A., Han, C., Bisignano, C., Rao, P., Wool, E., Johnson, S. C., Browne, A. J., Chipeta, M. G., Fell, F., Hackett, S., Haines-Woodhouse, G., Kashef Hamadani, B. H., Kumaran, E. A. P., McManigal, B., . . . Naghavi, M. (2022). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *The Lancet*, *399*(10325), 629-655. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0

Nasaj, M., Saeidi, Z., Tahmasebi, H., Dehbashi, S., & Arabestani, M. R. (2020). Prevalence and distribution of resistance and enterotoxins/enterotoxin-like genes in different clinical isolates of coagulase-negative Staphylococcus. *European Journal of Medical Research*, *25*(1), 48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40001-020-00447-w

NHS. (2023). *Infection Prevention and Control Annual Report 2022/23 and Programme 2023/24*. https://www.liverpoolft.nhs.uk/application/files/8116/8960/5046/IPC_Annual_Report_2022-2023_and_2023-2024.pdf

Nygren, E., Gonzales Strömberg, L., Logenius, J., Husmark, U., Löfström, C., & Bergström, B. (2023). Potential sources of contamination on textiles and hard surfaces identified as high-touch sites near the patient environment. *PLoS One*, *18*(7), e0287855. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287855

Odoyo, E., Matano, D., Georges, M., Tiria, F., Wahome, S., Kyany'a, C., & Musila, L. (2021). Ten Thousand-Fold Higher than Acceptable Bacterial Loads Detected in Kenyan Hospital Environments: Targeted Approaches to Reduce Contamination Levels. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(13), 6810. https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/13/6810

Peters, A., Schmid, M. N., Parneix, P., Lebowitz, D., de Kraker, M., Sauser, J., Zingg, W., & Pittet, D. (2022). Impact of environmental hygiene interventions on healthcare-associated infections and patient colonization: a systematic review. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*, *11*(1), 38. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-022-01075-1

Rana, N., Panda, A. K., Pathak, N., Gupta, T., & Thakur, S. D. (2020). Bacillus cereus: public health burden associated with ready-to-eat foods in Himachal Pradesh, India. *J Food Sci Technol*, *57*(6), 2293-2302. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-020-04267-y

Sáez-Nieto, J. A., Medina-Pascual, M. J., Carrasco, G., Garrido, N., Fernandez-Torres, M. A., Villalón, P., & Valdezate, S. (2017). Paenibacillus spp. isolated from human and environmental samples in Spain: detection of 11 new species. *New Microbes New Infect*, *19*, 19-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2017.05.006

Stefani, S., & Varaldo, P. E. (2003). Epidemiology of methicillin-resistant staphylococci in Europe. *Clin Microbiol Infect*, *9*(12), 1179-1186. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2003.00698.x

Stewart, S., Robertson, C., Pan, J., Kennedy, S., Haahr, L., Manoukian, S., Mason, H., Kavanagh, K., Graves, N., Dancer, S. J., Cook, B., & Reilly, J. (2021). Impact of healthcare-associated infection on length of stay. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, *114*, 23-31. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2021.02.026

Tanner, W. D., Leecaster, M. K., Zhang, Y., Stratford, K. M., Mayer, J., Visnovsky, L. D., Alhmidi, H., Cadnum, J. L., Jencson, A. L., Koganti, S., Bennett, C. P., Donskey, C. J., Noble-Wang, J., Reddy, S. C., Rose, L. J., Watson, L., Ide, E., Wipperfurth, T., Safdar, N., . . . Samore, M. H. (2021). Environmental Contamination of Contact Precaution and Non-Contact Precaution Patient Rooms in Six Acute Care Facilities. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *72*(Supplement_1), S8-S16. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa1602

van der Schoor, A. S., Severin, J. A., Klaassen, C. H. W., Gommers, D., Bruno, M. J., Hendriks, J. M., Voor in 't holt, A. F., & Vos, M. C. (2023). Environmental contamination with highly resistant microorganisms after relocating to a new hospital building with 100% single-occupancy rooms: A prospective observational before-and-after study with a three-year follow-up. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, *248*, 114106. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2022.114106

Wertheim, H. F. L., Melles, D. C., Vos, M. C., van Leeuwen, W., van Belkum, A., Verbrugh, H. A., & Nouwen, J. L. (2005). The role of nasal carriage in Staphylococcus aureus infections. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, *5*(12), 751-762. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70295-4

World Health Organization. (2022). *Global report on infection prevention and control*. https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/354489/9789240051164-eng.pdf?sequence=1

Wright, J. R., Ly, T. T., Brislawn, C. J., Chen See, J. R., Anderson, S. L. C., Pellegrino, J. T., Peachey, L., Walls, C. Y., Bess, J. A., Bailey, A. L., Braun, K. E., Shope, A. J., & Lamendella, R. (2022). cleanSURFACES® intervention reduces microbial activity on surfaces in a senior care facility [Original Research]. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.1040047

Xu, Z., Shah, H. N., Misra, R., Chen, J., Zhang, W., Liu, Y., Cutler, R. R., & Mkrtchyan, H. V. (2018). The prevalence, antibiotic resistance and mecA characterization of coagulase negative staphylococci recovered from non-healthcare settings in London, UK. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*, *7*(1), 73. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-018-0367-4

Yano, R., Shimoda, T., Watanabe, R., Kuroki, Y., Okubo, T., Nakamura, S., Matsuo, J., Yoshimura, S., & Yamaguchi, H. (2017). Diversity changes of microbial communities into hospital surface environments. *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy*, *23*(7), 439-445. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiac.2017.03.016