Does diet quality moderate the long-term effects of discrete but extreme $PM_{2.5}$ exposure on respiratory symptoms? A study of the Hazelwood coalmine fire

Thara Govindaraju[1], Martin Man[2], Alice J Owen[1], Matthew Carroll[3], Brigitte M. Borg[4], Catherine L Smith[1], Caroline X Gao[1,5], David Brown[1], David Poland[3], Shantelle Allgood[3], Jillian F Ikin[1], Michael J Abramson[1], Tracy A McCaffrey[6], Tyler J Lane[1]

[1] School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
[2] Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
[3] Monash Rural Health Churchill, Monash University, Churchill, Victoria, Australia
[4] Respiratory Medicine, Alfred Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
[5] Orygen, Centre for Youth Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia
[6] Department of Nutrition, Dietetics and Food, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Corresponding author:

Tyler Lane
Senior Research Fellow

Monash University
School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine
Level 2, 553 St Kilda Road
Alfred Campus
Melbourne VIC 3004
Australia

Email ID - Tyler.lane@monash.edu

Word count:

Abstract: 222

Main manuscript including abstract and keywords, tables, captions and references (with spaces)- 35634

Statements and Declarations

Acknowledgements

We thank the Latrobe Valley and Gippsland communities for their support and participation in the Hazelwood Health Study.

Ethics

Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee approved this study as part of the Hazelwood Adult Survey & Health Record Linkage Study (Project ID: 25680; previously CF15/872 - 2015000389 and 6066)

Funding

This work was funded by the Victorian Department of Health. The paper presents the views of the authors and does not represent the views of the Department.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper. MJA holds investigator-initiated grants from Pfizer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Sanofi and GSK for unrelated research. He has undertaken an unrelated consultancy for Sanofi and received a speaker's fee from GSK.

Availability of data and material

Hazelwood Health Study data are confidential and cannot be publicly shared. Analytical code has been archived on a public repository [48].

Highlights

- Fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ associated with higher prevalence of chronic cough and phlegm
- However, these effects were smaller with a higher-quality diet
- In line with *a priori* hypotheses, effect only observed among antioxidant-rich foods

Abstract

In 2014, a fire at an open cut coalmine in regional Victoria, Australia burned for 6 weeks. Residents of the nearby town of Morwell were exposed to smoke, which included high levels of fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$). We investigated whether the long-term effects of $PM_{2.5}$ on respiratory health were moderated by diet quality. A cross-sectional analysis was conducted of data collected 8.5 years after the mine fire from 282 residents of Morwell and 166 residents from the nearby unexposed town of Sale. Primary outcomes were respiratory symptoms. Exposure was coalmine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and diet quality was assessed with the Australian Eating Survey (AES). The moderating effect of diet quality on respiratory outcomes associated with $PM_{2.5}$ was assessed using logistic regression models, adjusting for potential confounders. Diet quality was poor in this sample, with 60% in the lowest category of overall diet quality. Overall diet quality and fruit and vegetable quality significantly attenuated the association between $PM_{2.5}$ and prevalence of chronic cough and phlegm. Sauce/condiment intake was associated with a greater effect of $PM_{2.5}$ on COPD prevalence. No other moderating effects were significant. The moderating effects of overall diet quality and vegetable and fruit intake aligned with *a priori* hypothesis, suggesting potential protective benefits. Considering the poor-quality diet in this community, we would encourage better eating habits including improving the fruit and vegetable intake.

Key words: landscape fires, diet quality, respiratory health, $PM_{2.5}$, fruit quality, vegetable quality, chronic cough

Introduction

The Hazelwood open cut brown coalmine in the Latrobe Valley of south-eastern Australia caught fire on 9th February 2014. The nearby town of Morwell was covered in smoke and ash over a period of approximately six weeks [1]. The concentrations of fine particulate matter 2.5 µm or less in diameter ($PM_{2.5}$) exceeded the average background $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations of 6 µg/m^3 and the modelled hourly mean reached as high as 3700 µg/m^3 [2]. In response to community concerns around possible health impacts of the smoke on local residents, the Victorian State Government established the Hazelwood Health Study [1] (www.hazelwoodhealthstudy.org.au) to formally investigate long term health effects.

The impact of ambient $PM_{2.5}$ on major health outcomes is well established, including increased risks for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases and all-cause mortality[3]. However, research is limited on long-term respiratory health consequences of extreme but medium duration $PM_{2.5,}$ defined as lasting weeks to months and typically from events such as prolonged bushfires, peat or coal mine fires. The historical analogues to the Hazelwood coalmine fire, including the 1952 Great Smog of London [4, 5] and the Donora smog of 1948 [6], suggest increased risk of developing acute respiratory conditions and death. In the absence of high-quality historical evidence, much of the evidence focuses on chronic exposures to ambient $PM_{2.5}$, mostly originating from anthropogenic sources like transport, industry, and energy production [7]. Previously published analyses from the Hazelwood Health Study have found dose-response relationships between mine fire $PM_{2.5}$ exposure in adults and increased odds of experiencing chronic cough, phlegm and current wheeze approximately 2.5 years after the event [8], and chronic cough and current wheeze up to 8.5 to 9 years after mine fire [9]. Between 3.5 to 4 years after the mine fire dose-response relationships were observed between $PM_{2.5}$ and spirometry consistent with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in adult non-smokers [10].

There is growing evidence that nutrition is an important, modifiable risk factor in the development and management of asthma, COPD and lung cancer [11, 12]. Fruits and vegetables are of particular interest in respiratory health [13, 14] due to their nutrient profile including antioxidants, vitamins, minerals, fibre and phytochemicals such as flavonoids. A randomised trial found subjects who consumed a high fruit and vegetable diet for three months had a decreased risk of asthma exacerbations, compared to subjects who consumed a low fruit and vegetable diet [15]. Reduced risk of childhood wheezing and of asthma in adults and children with higher consumption of fruit and vegetables have also been shown in a meta-analysis [16].

Diet also moderates the harmful effects of chronic exposure to air pollutants such as $PM_{2.5}$ [17], including on respiratory diseases [18]. The antioxidants, especially vitamin C, carotenoids and flavonoids found in fruits and vegetables, may prevent endogenous and exogenous oxidative damage

105 caused by $PM_{2.5}$ [19–22]. Antioxidant supplementation may also reduce the adverse effects of air
106 pollution and inflammatory responses [23] and a protective effect of fruit and vegetables has been
107 observed on lung function in children exposed to air pollutants [24]. However, research on the role of
108 diet in moderating effects of $PM_{2.5}$ (ambient or extreme) on respiratory health is limited.

109 Considering the gaps in the evidence, the current study investigated the potential moderating effects
110 of diet on longer-term respiratory health outcomes in adults with or without exposure to medium-
111 duration high-intensity $PM_{2.5}$, 8.5-9 years after the coalmine fire.

112 Methods

113 The study is a cross-sectional analysis of follow-up survey data from the Hazelwood Health Study Adult
114 Cohort [25]. Research questions and hypotheses were pre-registered on the Open Science Framework
115 [26]. Notably, we highlighted both fruit and vegetable intake as the foods most likely to have a
116 protective effect against the adverse health impacts of smoke exposure.

117 Study population and design

118 The Hazelwood Health Study adult cohort comprised 4,056 participants from the Adult Survey which
119 ran between May 2016 and February 2017. Participants had to have been residents of Morwell
120 (exposed) or of the comparison town, Sale, located 64 km to the east (minimally or unexposed) and
121 aged 18 years or older on 31 March 2014[25]. This analysis focuses on a follow-up survey conducted
122 in late 2022, or 8.5-9 years after the coalmine fire. Living cohort members were eligible if they had a
123 current email or phone number, had not refused further contact and were not randomly selected to
124 participate in the concurrent Hazelwood Health Study Psychological Impacts Stream surveys [27],
125 leaving 2,385 potential participants. Recruitment began in August 2022 with email and/or SMS invites
126 and ended in December that same year.

127 Respiratory assessment

128 Participants completed an online respiratory health survey using Redcap [28, 29] for data collection,
129 which included a modified version of the self-report European Community Respiratory Health Survey
130 (ECRHS) III Short Screening questionnaire [8]. The survey collected the presentation ("Yes" vs "No") of
131 respiratory symptoms including current wheeze, chest tightness, nocturnal and resting shortness of
132 breath, current nasal allergies, as well as chronic respiratory symptoms (on most days for as much as
133 three months a year) including chronic cough and chronic phlegm. The survey also measured self-
134 reported asthma and COPD, and date of diagnosis which was used to classify as pre- or post-mine fire.

Mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure estimation

Individual exposures to coalmine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ were estimated using self-reported time-location diary data from the Adult Survey blended with modelled $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations [25]. The Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) Oceans and Atmosphere estimated mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in the Latrobe Valley and surrounding areas through models incorporating variables such as wind direction, speed and temperature and the amount of $PM_{2.5}$ released per unit mass of burning coal [2].

Diet quality

Diet quality was assessed based on Australian Recommended Food Scores (ARFS) measured using the Australian Eating Survey Food Frequency Questionnaire (AES FFQ) [30]. The AES FFQ is a 120-item self-administered questionnaire designed to collect information about dietary intake over the participants' previous 6 months. It is semi-quantitative, providing a standard portion size for each food item determined using 'natural' serving size (e.g., a slice, a cup), where possible. An individual response for each food, or food type, was required, with frequency options ranging from 'Never' to '4 or more times per day', varying depending on the food, with some drink items up to '7 or more glasses per day'. The survey was proprietary software made available through the University of Newcastle [31] and the Australian Food Composition Database [32] was used to generate individual median daily macronutrient intakes and percent energy derived from macronutrients.

ARFS were calculated using a subset of 70 AES FFQ questions, which comprised eight food group subscales – vegetables (20-item), fruit (12-item), meat protein (7-item), non-meat/vegetarian protein (6-item), grains (breads and cereals, 12-item), dairy (10-item), water (1-item) and sauces/condiments (2-item) [31] and the total score was calculated by summing the points for each item (ranges 0-73 points). Diet quality was categorised as "Needs work" (total score <33), "Getting there" (33-38), "Excellent" (39-46) or "Outstanding" (47+).

Confounders

Demographic data were collected as part of the original Adult Survey and included age, sex, highest education ("secondary up to year 10," "secondary years 11-12," and Certificate/Diploma/tertiary degree" and smoking (smoking status and cigarette pack-years). Socioeconomic status was determined from the Index of Relative Socio-economic Advantage and Disadvantage (IRSAD), a socioeconomic score for residential areas from the 2016 Australian Census linked to participant's residence at Statistical Area Level 2 [33].

Statistical analysis

Simple descriptive statistics were used to summarise the cohort characteristics and outcomes in the exposed and non-exposed samples. The differences between those who were exposed to the mine fire (Morwell) and the comparison group (Sale) were assessed by Fisher's Exact tests for categorical measures and Kruskal-Wallis tests for continuous measures, with significance set at $p<0.05$. Multiple logistic regression models were constructed to investigate associations between diet intake and respiratory health outcomes, as well as whether diet quality moderated the association between mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and outcomes (via testing the interactions between diet and mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure). In regression models, associations were measured per 10µg/m^3 increment in daily mean $PM_{2.5}$ exposure from the coalmine fire. Aside from water intake, which as a single-point scale was effectively binary, diet scores were scaled and mean-centred, meaning odds ratios reflected a one standard deviation increase in diet score.

Multiple imputations were used to account for the missing data for all regression models, with the number of imputations equivalent to the proportion of records with missing data (n=8 for 7.14% of missing data), and results were pooled according to Rubin's rules [34]. In sensitivity analyses, we applied inverse probability weighting to account for attrition among those invited to participate in the survey. Both weighted and unweighted results were reported. Analyses were conducted in R [35] and SPSS (Ver 28, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

Results

Table 1 describes the characteristics of participants from Morwell and Sale. Morwell participants had lower socio-economic status and educational attainment. Eight years after the mine fire, the prevalence of all self-reported respiratory symptoms was still higher in Morwell than Sale (though the difference between groups in current nasal symptoms was non-significant). Prevalence of self-reported asthma was comparable between the two groups, whereas the prevalence of self-reported COPD was slightly higher in Sale compared to Morwell.

Table 1. Participant characteristics across Morwell and Sale

	Morwell (N=282)	**Sale (N=166)**	***P*-Value***
Age, years,	58 [50, 65]	57 [48, 66]	0.309
Sex - Female	160 [57%]	103 [62%]	0.270
Daily mean fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure (µg/m^3)	11 [7,18]	0 [0,0]	<0.001
Smoking status – Never	134(48%)	83(51%)	0.128

Former	116(42%)	73(45%)	
Current	29(10%)	8(4.9%)	
Cigarette pack years (among current and former smokers)	12 [5, 23]	20 [6, 27]	0.162
Education			0.006
Secondary up to year 10	67 [24%]	20 [12%]	
Secondary year 11-12	55 [20%]	31 [19%]	
Certificate/Diploma/Tertiary degree	158 [56%]	114 [69%]	
Residential socioeconomic score (IRSAD)	835 [796, 909]	930 [844, 952]	<.001
Self-reported respiratory conditions: Pre-mine Fire			
Asthma	85 [30%]	38 [23%]	0.100
COPD	6 [2.1%]	2 [1.2%]	0.710
Self-reported respiratory conditions & symptoms: 8 years post mine fire			
Asthma	94 [33%]	46 [28%]	0.240
COPD	22 [7.9%]	23 [14%]	0.050
Chronic cough	112 [40%]	35 [21%]	<0.001
Chronic phlegm	76 [27%]	24 [14%]	0.002
Current wheeze	142 [51%]	60 [36%]	0.003
Chest tightness	88 [31%]	36 [22%]	0.029
Nocturnal shortness of breath	70 [25%]	22 [13%]	0.002
Shortness of breath while resting	78 [28%]	28 [17%]	0.011
Nasal allergy	150 [54%]	74 [45%]	0.063

Values given as Median [Inter Quartile Range] or n (% of reporting population) unless otherwise specified. COPD – Chronic pulmonary obstructive disorder; IRSAD - Index of relative socio-economic advantage and disadvantage

* Significance of difference through Kruskal Wallis tests for continuous variables and Fisher's Exact test for categorical variables with location as the grouping variable

Diet quality of the cohort

The ARFS-rated diet quality was mostly similar in both groups except for higher-quality meat in Morwell and higher quality grain intake in Sale, as shown in Table 2. In both groups the percent total energy derived from macronutrients was slightly higher for fat and slightly lower for carbohydrate as compared to the acceptable macronutrient distribution range (15–25% of energy from protein, 20–35% from fat and 45–65% from carbohydrate) for Australia and New Zealand [36].

Table 2. Dietary characteristics of participants across Morwell and Sale

Dietary intake	**Morwell (N=282)**	**Sale [N=166)**	***P*-VALUE***
Energy, kJ/day	8756 [6574,11173]	8784 [6865,11086]	0.641
Carbohydrate, %TEI	43 [39,48]	44 [39,48]	0.778
Protein, %TEI	18 [16,20]	17 [15,19]	0.069
Fat, %TEI	36 [33,40]	36 [33,39]	0.762
Alcohol, %TEI	1 [0,4]	1 [0,5]	0.241

Diet Quality (range)			
Total Score (0-73)	30 [23, 37]	31 [23, 39]	0.385
Vegetables (0-21)	12 [9 16]	13 [9, 17]	0.409
Fruits (0-12)	5 [3, 7]	5 [3, 8]	0.255
Meat (0-7)	3 [2, 3]	2 [1, 3]	0.011
Meat alternatives (0-6)	2 [1, 2]	2 [1, 3]	0.242
Breads and cereals (0-13)	4 [3, 6]	5 [3, 6]	0.040
Dairy (0-11)	3 [2, 5]	4 [2, 5]	0.460
Sauces/condiments (0-2)	1 [0, 1]	1 [0, 1]	0.372
Water (0-1)	137 (49%)	80 (48%)	0.999

Values given as Median [IQR] except water intake, which is number and percent. TEI- total energy intake
* Significance of difference through Kruskal Wallis tests for continuous variables and Fisher's exact test for dichotomous variables, with location as the grouping variable

Diet quality for most of the participants (60.1%) was in the range of "needing work" (<33), with very few (5.1%) in the "outstanding" range (47+), as shown in **Figure 1.**



Figure 1. ARFS diet quality scores based on the Australian Eating Survey results for the whole cohort.

209

210 **Independent effects of fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and diet quality on respiratory health outcomes**

211 We analysed the effects of fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and diet quality scores in separate models, while
212 adjusting for confounders. In other words, the $PM_{2.5}$ model did not adjust for diet quality and vice
213 versa. Results are summarised in **Figure 2**.

214 Fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ was associated with higher prevalence of self-reported chronic cough, current
215 wheeze, and chronic phlegm, after adjustment for confounders. Sauce/condiment intake was
216 associated with higher prevalence of chronic cough, current wheeze, chest tightness, but reduced
217 prevalence of current nasal symptoms. Dairy intake was associated with reduced prevalence of
218 current wheeze, nocturnal shortness of breath, and asthma, while vegetable intake was associated
219 with higher prevalence of COPD and asthma, and alternate proteins with higher prevalence of chest
220 tightness.

221

222



Fig 2. Forest plot showing the association between 10µg/m^3 increases in fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and a standard deviation increase in diet quality score with the odds of reporting respiratory symptoms. Each row of ORs were estimated from an imputed multivariable logistic regression controlling for age, sex, smoking, IRSAD, and pre-fire asthma or COPD.

Moderating effects of diet quality on the association between $PM_{2.5}$ and respiratory health outcomes

The respiratory symptoms that was most frequently moderated by the fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and diet interaction were chronic cough and chronic phlegm. Overall diet, and also vegetable and fruit quality, were all associated with reduced prevalence of chronic cough in association with fire-related $PM_{2.5}$. Interestingly, in these models (Figure 3), the independent association between diet indicators and respiratory symptoms differed from the models in Figure 2, which did not adjust for fire-related $PM_{2.5}$. In each case, the diet indicator predicted *higher* prevalence of chronic cough or chronic phlegm, although the effect was not always statistically significant. To understand these interactions better, we conducted further analyses between $PM_{2.5}$ and diet adjusting for confounders excluding smoking (Supplementary Figure 1). While no significant associations were found, point estimates were elevated for overall diet and vegetable and fruit quality, as well as meat quality. The implications will be discussed.

The only other significant interaction effect was sauces/condiments (tomato sauce and vegemite) intake, which significantly *increased* the prevalence of COPD in association with fire-related $PM_{2.5}$.

These analyses are summarised in **Figure 3**.



17

18

19 **Fig 3.** Forest plot depicting the interactions between 10μg/m^3 increases in mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$

20 exposure and respiratory health outcomes, moderated by a standard deviation increase in diet quality

21 score. Each row of ORs were estimated from an imputed multivariable logistic regression fitting mine-

fire $PM_{2.5}$ exposure, diet quality score and interaction between $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and diet quality score controlling for age, sex, smoking and IRSAD score.

Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 provide detailed regression model outputs. We also conducted weighted analyses using inverse probability weighting which found fewer significant associations, although these were generally similar in direction (S**upplementary Figure 2**).

Discussion

We hypothesised *a priori* that diet quality – and more specifically, fruit and vegetable intake – would offer protection against respiratory symptoms associated with smoke exposure from the coalmine fire [37]. Our findings generally supported this hypothesis; overall diet and fruit and vegetable intakes were associated with an attenuation of the effect of fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ on the prevalence of chronic cough and phlegm. Fruit consumption has been suggested to have protective effects on respiratory health outcomes including inverse associations with cough and phlegm [38] and 25-year incidence of chronic nonspecific lung diseases characterised by chronic cough, phlegm, shortness of breath and wheezing[39]. While evidence strongly suggests fruit intake reduces the risk of asthma [40], we found no evidence of an effect. However, neither this study nor previous Hazelwood Health Study analyses [8] have found evidence that the mine fire exposures increased the prevalence of asthma; in other words, there may not be a harm to protect against.

There remains an apparent contradiction in our findings, with overall diet and fruit and vegetable quality seeming both to protect against the effect of fire-related $PM_{2.5}$, while simultaneously increasing the prevalence of chronic cough and phlegm. As diet quality was measured years after the coalmine fire, reverse causality may be a factor; those most affected by the smoke – and who developed respiratory symptoms as a result – may have taken the biggest steps to improve their health, such as by eating better. Our analyses also indicated that while not significant, diet quality seemed to increase with exposure to fire-related smoke, after adjusting for all plausible confounders, barring tobacco use, as a change in diet could have been collinear with a change in smoking behaviours. The slight increase in diet quality with exposure could have been catalysed by health messaging through health care providers as a result of increased hospital visits or improved awareness through health promotion campaigns concerning diet and lifestyle, primarily organised as part of engaging with the community post-fire.

Several factors need consideration while interpreting the results of this study. The ARFS counts all vegetables equally, making no distinction on important characteristics such as antioxidant capacity that may influence protective effects [41]. For instance, while higher intake of fruit and vegetables in

general is linked to reduced mortality, consumption of starchy vegetables like potatoes, which account for a quarter of vegetables consumed in Australia [42], is not [43]. Furthermore, while the epidemiological evidence for protective effects of fruits and vegetables is substantial, findings from randomised controlled trials have been mixed [44, 45].

Dairy quality was negatively associated with asthma and current wheeze independently but did not remain significant in the interaction model. The general perception of dairy worsening asthma and causing mucus, could have resulted in avoidance of dairy products and influenced the findings. The moderating effect of sauce/condiment intake on the $PM_{2.5}$-COPD, which indicated increasing adverse effects of $PM_{2.5}$, might have been a Type 1 Error, made more likely by the small numbers.

Most respondents were rated in the lowest diet quality category, "needs work." Although similar to Australians generally [46], limited variability in ARFS score restricted our ability to identify moderating effects of diet quality. While overall diet quality of regional Australians was outside the scope of our study, this finding suggested there may be opportunities to improve general health in communities like Morwell and Sale through improvement of diet. Diet quality has multifactorial influences including health conditions and nutrition awareness, and socioeconomic factors, which are particularly relevant considering Morwell is in the 3rd percentile nationwide and 1st percentile in Victoria on disadvantage based on IRSAD scores[33]. The mechanisms by which a community may experience poor dietary health associated with mine fire exposure may include food scarcity, or lack of awareness regarding healthy eating and wider food environments structured on socio-economic status [47]. This could be exacerbated by limited access to health services due to living in a regional area.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate moderating effects of diet quality on longer-term respiratory health outcomes when exposed to extreme but medium-duration $PM_{2.5}$. This topic is of growing importance as climate change is increasing the frequency and intensity of such events, such as the 2019/2020 Australian Black Summer bushfire season, the 2020 Western United States wildfire season, and the 2023 Canadian, Mediterranean and Hawaiian wildfires.

Strengths and limitations

This research has substantial strengths, including the use of a validated diet survey, modelled smoke/$PM_{2.5}$ exposure data, and an established cohort.

However, there are several limitations. $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was based on time-location diaries collected 2.5 years after the mine fire and were thus subject to recall bias. Also, they did not account for protective behaviours such as wearing masks or staying indoors. Causality cannot be inferred owing

to the nature of cross-sectional analysis. As a result of multiple comparisons, the significant associations must be interpreted cautiously and within the context of the current evidence.

Conclusions

We found evidence that higher overall diet quality may protect or mitigate against the long-term effects of smoke exposure from a coalmine fire. Vegetable and fruit intake stood out, in line with our *a priori* hypotheses. Nevertheless, further confirmation from larger studies is needed to validate the findings. In the interim, larger studies could help generate greater certainty about the protective effects of diet quality against the harms of air pollution. In addition, there are broader policy implications to improving the quality of diet in areas that face numerous socioeconomic and health challenges, especially with the growing impacts of climate change.

References

1. Government of Victoria (2014) Hazelwood mine fire inquiry report :2014

2. Luhar AK, Emmerson KM, Reisen F, et al (2020) Modelling smoke distribution in the vicinity of a large and prolonged fire from an open-cut coal mine. Atmos Environ 229:117471–117471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117471

3. Atkinson RW, Kang S, Anderson HR, et al (2014) Epidemiological time series studies of PM 2.5 and daily mortality and hospital admissions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Thorax 69:660–665. https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-204492

4. Polivka BJ (2018) The Great London Smog of 1952. AJN Am J Nurs 118:57–61. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NAJ.0000532078.72372.c3

5. Abercrombie GF (1953) December fog in London and the emergency bed service. The Lancet 261:234–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(53)90905-2

6. Heimann H (1950) Air Pollution in Donora, Pa.: Epidemiology of the Unusual Smog Episode of October 1948. Preliminary Report. J Am Med Assoc 143:323–323. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1950.02910380107025

7. McDuffie EE, Martin RV, Spadaro JV, et al (2021) Source sector and fuel contributions to ambient PM2.5 and attributable mortality across multiple spatial scales. Nat Commun 12:3594–3594. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23853-y

8. Johnson AL, Gao CX, Dennekamp M, et al (2019) Associations between Respiratory Health Outcomes and Coal Mine Fire PM2.5 Smoke Exposure: A Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 16:4262–4262. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16214262

9. Lane TJ, Carroll M, Borg BM, et al (2023) Respiratory symptoms after coalmine fire and pandemic: a longitudinal analysis of the Hazelwood Health Study adult cohort. Occupational and Environmental Health

10. Prasad S, Gao CX, Borg B, et al (2022) Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in Adults Exposed to Fine Particles from a Coal Mine Fire. Ann Am Thorac Soc 19:186–195. https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.202012-1544OC

11. van Iersel LEJ, Beijers RJHCG, Gosker HR, Schols AMWJ (2022) Nutrition as a modifiable factor in the onset and progression of pulmonary function impairment in COPD: a systematic review. Nutr Rev 80:1434–1444. https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuab077

12. Allan K, Devereux G (2011) Diet and Asthma: Nutrition Implications from Prevention to Treatment. J Am Diet Assoc 111:258–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2010.10.048

13. Hosseini B, Berthon BS, Wark P, Wood LG (2017) Effects of Fruit and Vegetable Consumption on Risk of Asthma, Wheezing and Immune Responses: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Nutrients 9:341–341. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9040341

14. Kaluza J, Harris HR, Linden A, Wolk A (2018) Long-term consumption of fruits and vegetables and risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective cohort study of women. Int J Epidemiol. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyy178

147 15. Wood LG, Garg ML, Smart JM, et al (2012) Manipulating antioxidant intake in asthma: a
148 randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 96:534–543.
149 https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.111.032623

150 16. Seyedrezazadeh E, Pour Moghaddam M, Ansarin K, et al (2014) Fruit and vegetable intake and
151 risk of wheezing and asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutr Rev 72:411–428.
152 https://doi.org/10.1111/nure.12121

153 17. Lim CC, Hayes RB, Ahn J, et al (2019) Mediterranean Diet and the Association Between Air
154 Pollution and Cardiovascular Disease Mortality Risk. Circulation 139:1766–1775.
155 https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.035742

156 18. Whyand T, Hurst JR, Beckles M, Caplin ME (2018) Pollution and respiratory disease: can diet or
157 supplements help? A review. Respir Res 19:79–79. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-018-0785-0

158 19. Andre C, Larondelle Y, Evers D (2010) Dietary Antioxidants and Oxidative Stress from a Human
159 and Plant Perspective: A Review. Curr Nutr Food Sci 6:2–12.
160 https://doi.org/10.2174/157340110790909563

161 20. Miglio C, Peluso I, Raguzzini A, et al (2014) Fruit juice drinks prevent endogenous antioxidant
162 response to high-fat meal ingestion. Br J Nutr 111:294–300.
163 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114513002407

164 21. Romieu I, Meneses F, Ramirez M, et al (1998) Antioxidant Supplementation and Respiratory
165 Functions among Workers Exposed to High Levels of Ozone. Am J Respir Crit Care Med
166 158:226–232. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.158.1.9712053

167 22. Wootton-Beard PC, Ryan L (2011) Improving public health? The role of antioxidant-rich fruit
168 and vegetable beverages. Food Res Int 44:3135–3148.
169 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.09.015

170 23. González-Flecha B (2004) Oxidant mechanisms in response to ambient air particles. Mol
171 Aspects Med 25:169–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2004.02.017

172 24. Romieu I, Barraza-Villarreal A, Escamilla-Núñez C, et al (2009) Dietary intake, lung function and
173 airway inflammation in Mexico City school children exposed to air pollutants. Respir Res
174 10:122–122. https://doi.org/10.1186/1465-9921-10-122

175 25. Ikin J, Carroll MTC, Walker J, et al (2021) Cohort Profile: The Hazelwood Health Study Adult
176 Cohort. Int J Epidemiol 49:1777–1778. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyaa083

177 26. Lane J Tyler, Carroll Matthew, Tracy A McCaffrey (2022) Long-term respiratory health in a
178 community affected by mine fire. Open Sci Framew. https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/YFGB3

179 27. Smith CL, Campbell TCH, Gao CX, et al (2023) Sociodemographic circumstances, health, and life
180 experience shape posttraumatic distress trajectories among individuals exposed to smoke
181 during a large-scale coal mine fire. J Trauma Stress 36:465–473.
182 https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22923

183 28. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al (2019) The REDCap consortium: Building an international
184 community of software platform partners. J Biomed Inform 95:103208.
185 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208

186 29. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, et al (2009) Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A
187 metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research
188 informatics support. J Biomed Inform 42:377–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010

189 30. Collins CE, Boggess MM, Watson JF, et al (2014) Reproducibility and comparative validity of a
190 food frequency questionnaire for Australian adults. Clin Nutr 33:906–914.
191 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2013.09.015

192 31. Collins C, Burrows T, Rollo M, et al (2015) The Comparative Validity and Reproducibility of a
193 Diet Quality Index for Adults: The Australian Recommended Food Score. Nutrients 7:785–798.
194 https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7020785

195 32. Australian Government Publishing Service (1999) AUSNUT Australian Food and Nutrient
196 Database. Canberra

197 33. Australian Bureau of Statistics (2016) Census of Population and Housing: Socio-Economic
198 Indexes for Areas (SEIFA). 2033055001.Available from
199 https://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/DetailsPage/2033.0.55.0012016?OpenDocumen
200 t

201 34. Buuren S van, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K (2011) mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained
202 Equations in R. J Stat Softw 45: https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v045.i03

203 35. R Core team (2023) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Found Stat
204 Comput

205 36. National Health and Medical Research Council (2013) Australian Dietary Guidelines. Canberra:
206 National Health and Medical Research Council. (2013) Australian Dietary Guidelines. Canberra

207 37. Smit HA, Grievink L, Tabak C (1999) Dietary influences on chronic obstructive lung disease and
208 asthma: a review of the epidemiological evidence. Proc Nutr Soc 58:309–319.
209 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665199000427

210 38. Butler LM, Koh W-P, Lee H-P, et al (2004) Dietary Fiber and Reduced Cough with Phlegm. Am J
211 Respir Crit Care Med 170:279–287. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200306-789OC

212 39. Miedema I, Feskens EJM, Heederik D, Kromhout D (1993) Dietary Determinants of Long-term
213 Incidence of Chronic Nonspecific Lung Diseases. Am J Epidemiol 138:37–45.
214 https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116775

215 40. Hosseini B, Berthon BS, Wark P, Wood LG (2017) Effects of Fruit and Vegetable Consumption
216 on Risk of Asthma, Wheezing and Immune Responses: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
217 Nutrients 9:341–341. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9040341

218 41. Deng G-F, Lin X, Xu X-R, et al (2013) Antioxidant capacities and total phenolic contents of 56
219 vegetables. J Funct Foods 5:260–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2012.10.015

220 42. Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2011, December). Australian Health Survey: Nutrition First
221 Results - Foods and Nutrients. ABS. https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/health/health-
222 conditions-and-risks/australian-health-survey-nutrition-first-results-foods-and-nutrients/latest-
223 release.

43. Wang DD, Li Y, Bhupathiraju SN, et al (2021) Fruit and Vegetable Intake and Mortality. Circulation 143:1642–1654. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.048996

44. Baldrick FR, Elborn JS, Woodside JV, et al (2012) Effect of fruit and vegetable intake on oxidative stress and inflammation in COPD: a randomised controlled trial. Eur Respir J 39:1377–1384. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00086011

45. Keranis E, Makris D, Rodopoulou P, et al (2010) Impact of dietary shift to higher-antioxidant foods in COPD: a randomised trial. Eur Respir J 36:774–780. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00113809

46. Ashton L, Williams R, Wood L, et al (2017) Comparison of Australian Recommended Food Score (ARFS) and Plasma Carotenoid Concentrations: A Validation Study in Adults. Nutrients 9:888–888. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9080888

47. Han C, Xu R, Gao CX, et al (2021) Socioeconomic disparity in the association between long-term exposure to PM2.5 and mortality in 2640 Chinese counties. Environ Int 146:106241–106241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106241

48. Lane J Tyler (2023) Does diet quality moderate the long-term effects of discrete but extreme PM2.5 exposure on respiratory symptoms? A study of the Hazelwood coalmine fire - Analytical code. Monash Univ Online Resour

List of tables

1. Participant characteristics across Morwell and Sale

2. Dietary characteristics of participants across Morwell and sale

List of figures

1. ARFS diet quality scores based on the Australian Eating Survey results for the whole cohort.
2. Forest plot showing the association between 10µg/m^3 increase in fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and a standard deviation increase in diet quality score with the odds of having respiratory symptoms, controlling for age, sex, smoking, socioeconomic area score, and pre-fire asthma or COPD
3. Forest plot depicting the interaction effect between 10µg/m^3 increases in mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and respiratory health outcomes, moderated by a standard deviation increase in diet quality score.

Declaration of interests

☐ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☒ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Thara Govindaraju, Matthew Carroll, Brigitte M. Borg, Catherine L. Smith, Caroline X. Gao, David Brown, David Poland, Shantelle Allgood, Jillian F. Skin, Michael J. Abrasion, Tyler J. Lane reports financial support was provided by Victoria Department of Health. Michael J. Abramson reports a relationship with Pfizer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Sanofi, GSK that includes: funding grants. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Thara Govindaraju: Methodology, Formal Analysis, Validation, Investigation **Martin Man:** Methodology, **Alice J Owen:** Writing – review & editing **Matthew Carroll:** Conceptualisation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing **Brigitte M. Borg:** Writing – review & editing **Catherine L Smith:** Methodology, Writing – review & editing **Caroline X Gao:** Methodology, Writing – review & editing **David Brown:** Software, Data Curation, Writing – review & editing **David Poland:** Data Curation **Shantelle Allgood:** Data Curation **Jillian F Ikin:** Writing – review & editing, Project Administration, Funding Acquisition **Michael J Abramson:** Conceptualisation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding Acquisition **Tracy A McCaffrey:** Conceptualisation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing, Supervision **Tyler J Lane:** Conceptualisation, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Visualisation, Supervision

Does diet quality moderate the long-term effects of discrete but extreme $PM_{2.5}$ exposure on respiratory symptoms? A study of the Hazelwood coalmine fire

Supplements

Supplementary tables

Table S1. Regression models for diet quality and $PM_{2.5}$ across respiratory health outcomes

Table S2. Regression models exploring the moderating role of diet quality between $PM_{2.5}$ levels and respiratory health outcomes

Supplementary figures

Figure S1. Change in Diet score (SD) due to fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure (10µg/m^3 increase), adjusted for sex, age, educational attainment and IRSAD.

Figure S2. Forest Plot depicting the interaction coefficients for the association between 10µg/m^3 of $PM_{2.5}$ exposure from mine fires and respiratory health outcomes, moderated by diet quality; weighted analyses.

Table S1. Regression models for diet quality and $PM_{2.5}$ across respiratory health outcomes

	Chronic cough		Current wheeze		Chest tightness		Shortness of breath (nocturnal)		Shortness of breath (resting)		Current nasal symptoms		Chronic phlegm		Asthma		COPD	
Diet quality (ARFS)	**OR (95%CI)**	**P-VALUE**	**OR (95%CI)**	**P-VALUE**	**OR (95%CI)**	**P-VALUE**	**OR (95%CI)**	**P-VALUE**	**OR (95%CI)**	**P-VALUE**	**OR (95%CI)**	**P-VALUE**	**OR (95%CI)**	**P-VALUE**	**OR (95%CI)**	**P-VALUE**	**OR (95%CI)**	**P-VALUE**
Total Score	1.08 (0.78-1.50)	0.624	1.01 (0.74-1.39)	0.94	1.27 (0.90-1.78)	0.178	1.03 (0.71-1.48)	0.882	1.16 (0.81-1.67)	0.422	1.07 (0.79-1.44)	0.673	1.04 (0.73-1.49)	0.828	1.02 (0.62-1.68)	0.945	1.64 (0.87-3.09)	0.123
Vegetables	1.04 (0.75-1.43)	0.812	1.08 (0.79-1.47)	0.646	1.18 (0.84-1.65)	0.345	1.07 (0.75-1.54)	0.706	1.06 (0.74-1.51)	0.763	1.12 (0.83-1.50)	0.457	1.00 (0.70-1.42)	0.997	1.44 (0.86-2.42)	0.169	2.06 (1.01-4.18)	0.047
Fruits	1.11 (0.80-1.55)	0.526	1.03 (0.75-1.41)	0.876	1.12 (0.80-1.57)	0.516	1.02 (0.71-1.47)	0.9	1.21 (0.84-1.74)	0.303	0.94 (0.70-1.27)	0.697	1.18 (0.82-1.69)	0.382	1.06 (0.65-1.74)	0.812	1.39 (0.75-2.58)	0.29
Meats	0.93 (0.68-1.26)	0.621	1.13 (0.83-1.52)	0.437	1.06 (0.77-1.47)	0.708	1.17 (0.82-1.65)	0.385	1.04 (0.74-1.46)	0.829	1.11 (0.84-1.48)	0.454	0.86 (0.61-1.22)	0.403	0.86 (0.53-1.40)	0.538	1.10 (0.62-1.94)	0.742
Alternate proteins	0.97 (0.68-1.39)	0.887	0.97 (0.70-1.34)	0.844	1.39 (1.00-1.93)	0.052	1.04 (0.72-1.50)	0.825	0.99 (0.68-1.44)	0.949	1.22 (0.88-1.68)	0.227	0.97 (0.65-1.45)	0.884	0.92 (0.54-1.60)	0.78	0.78 (0.36-1.68)	0.518
Grains	1.10 (0.81-1.51)	0.537	0.83 (0.60-1.13)	0.233	1.10 (0.80-1.52)	0.56	0.94 (0.66-1.33)	0.718	1.08 (0.77-1.52)	0.668	0.99 (0.74-1.33)	0.969	1.13 (0.80-1.59)	0.497	0.77 (0.47-1.24)	0.282	1.22 (0.68-2.16)	0.506
Dairy	1.06 (0.77-1.46)	0.718	0.85 (0.63-1.16)	0.31	1.01 (0.73-1.41)	0.943	0.80 (0.55-1.14)	0.215	1.10 (0.77-1.56)	0.61	1.04 (0.77-1.39)	0.815	0.97 (0.68-1.39)	0.882	0.65 (0.39-1.09)	0.101	1.06 (0.61-1.85)	0.841
Sauces/Condiments	1.22 (0.89-1.67)	0.207	1.23 (0.91-1.66)	0.187	1.31 (0.95-1.80)	0.099	1.04 (0.74-1.46)	0.825	1.21 (0.86-1.70)	0.268	0.78 (0.59-1.05)	0.098	0.97 (0.69-1.36)	0.868	0.85 (0.53-1.38)	0.517	1.11 (0.62-1.99)	0.719
Water	1.04 (0.67-1.63)	0.848	1.19 (0.78-1.82)	0.418	1.34 (0.85-2.11)	0.207	1.29 (0.79-2.10)	0.305	1.54 (0.95-2.49)	0.077	0.88 (0.59-1.31)	0.521	0.97 (0.59-1.57)	0.891	1.19 (0.62-2.29)	0.598	1.36 (0.61-3.01)	0.448
Exposure to particulate matter																		
PM2.5	1.38 (1.15-1.66)	**0.001**	1.30 (1.08-1.57)	**0.006**	1.04 (0.86-1.26)	0.658	0.98 (0.79-1.22)	0.884	1.02 (0.83-1.25)	0.845	1.01 (0.84-1.20)	0.954	1.29 (1.06-1.57)	**0.013**	1.10 (0.78-1.56)	0.581	1.06 (0.80-1.42)	0.679

Notes- OR-odds ratio /ARFS-Australian Recommended Food Score/COPD- Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; adjusted for age, sex, IRSAD and smoking /*significant at 0.05 levels

Table S2. Regression models exploring the moderating role of diet quality between $PM_{2.5}$ levels and respiratory health outcomes; associations with p<0.05 **in bold**

Diet Quality (ARFS)	**Respiratory health outcomes**	**$PM_{2.5}$** OR (95%CI)	*P*-Value	**Diet** OR (95%CI)		**Interaction** OR (95%CI)	*P*-Value
Total Score	Chronic cough	1.41 (1.16-1.71)	**0.001**	1.37 (1.02-1.85)	**0.038**	0.79 (0.65-0.95)	**0.015**
Vegetables		1.38 (1.14-1.68)	**0.001**	1.29 (0.95-1.74)	0.101	0.82 (0.69-0.98)	**0.031**
Fruits		1.45 (1.19-1.76)	**0.001**	1.55 (1.13-2.13)	**0.006**	0.76 (0.63-0.93)	**0.007**
Meat		1.39 (1.15-1.68)	**0.001**	0.92 (0.69-1.24)	0.591	0.97 (0.81-1.16)	0.731
Meat Alternatives		1.38 (1.15-1.67)	**0.001**	1.13 (0.85-1.50)	0.400	0.92 (0.77-1.09)	0.32
Breads and Cereals		1.37 (1.14-1.66)	**0.001**	1.12 (0.83-1.50)	0.469	0.92 (0.76-1.11)	0.372
Dairy		1.38 (1.15-1.67)	**0.001**	1.10 (0.81-1.49)	0.552	0.93 (0.76-1.14)	0.512
Sauces /Condiments		1.39 (1.14-1.68)	**0.001**	1.36 (1.00-1.85)	**0.048**	0.90 (0.74-1.10)	0.294
Water		1.35 (1.04-1.76)	**0.026**	0.99 (0.55-1.80)	0.977	1.04 (0.73-1.48)	0.829
Total Score	Current wheeze	1.33 (1.09-1.62)	**0.004**	1.21 (0.92-1.60)	0.173	0.83 (0.69-1.01)	0.063
Vegetables		1.30 (1.08-1.58)	**0.007**	1.26 (0.96-1.67)	0.101	0.87 (0.73-1.03)	0.110
Fruits		1.33 (1.09-1.61)	**0.005**	1.23 (0.92-1.63)	0.161	0.88 (0.74-1.06)	0.185
Meat		1.31 (1.08-1.58)	**0.006**	1.27 (0.96-1.66)	0.091	0.87 (0.73-1.04)	0.134
Meat Alternatives		1.31 (1.08-1.58)	**0.006**	1.11 (0.86-1.43)	0.418	0.90 (0.76-1.07)	0.228
Breads and Cereals		1.29 (1.07-1.56)	**0.009**	0.92 (0.70-1.22)	0.566	0.92 (0.75-1.12)	0.385
Dairy		1.31 (1.08-1.59)	**0.006**	0.79 (0.59-1.05)	0.107	0.97 (0.79-1.19)	0.754
Sauces/Condiments		1.28 (1.05-1.55)	**0.014**	1.28 (0.97-1.70)	0.084	1.00 (0.82-1.22)	0.983
Water		**1.41 (1.07-1.86)**	**0.014**	1.37 (0.79-2.38)	0.267	0.86 (0.60-1.23)	0.404
Total Score	Chest tightness	1.04 (0.85-1.26)	0.718	1.22 (0.91-1.63)	0.186	0.97 (0.81-1.17)	0.778
Vegetables		1.03 (0.85-1.26)	0.730	1.26 (0.94-1.70)	0.124	0.91 (0.76-1.08)	0.285
Fruits		1.04 (0.86-1.26)	0.695	1.06 (0.79-1.42)	0.702	1.03 (0.87-1.23)	0.714
Meat		1.04 (0.85-1.26)	0.709	1.24 (0.93-1.66)	0.143	0.82 (0.68-1.00)	0.051
Meat Alternatives		1.03 (0.85-1.26)	0.730	1.25 (0.97-1.61)	0.090	1.06 (0.90-1.25)	0.488

Breads and Cereals		1.06 (0.87-1.28)	0.579	0.86 (0.64-1.16)	0.318	1.21 (0.98-1.48)	0.071
Dairy		1.04 (0.85-1.26)	0.718	1.00 (0.75-1.35)	0.982	0.93 (0.75-1.16)	0.536
Sauces/Condiments		1.01 (0.83-1.23)	0.901	1.20 (0.89-1.63)	0.226	1.10 (0.89-1.35)	0.387
Water		1.12 (0.85-1.47)	0.425	1.52 (0.85-2.73)	0.159	0.88 (0.61-1.27)	0.489
Total Score	Nocturnal shortness of breath	0.99 (0.80-1.22)	0.903	0.95 (0.69-1.30)	0.737	1.00 (0.81-1.23)	0.985
Vegetables		0.98 (0.79-1.22)	0.866	1.10 (0.80-1.50)	0.554	0.93 (0.77-1.13)	0.490
Fruits		0.98 (0.79-1.22)	0.874	1.09 (0.79-1.49)	0.605	0.93 (0.77-1.14)	0.501
Meat		0.98 (0.79-1.21)	0.838	1.19 (0.87-1.62)	0.276	0.95 (0.78-1.17)	0.659
Meat Alternatives		0.99 (0.79-1.22)	0.897	0.90 (0.64-1.25)	0.522	1.04 (0.86-1.27)	0.653
Breads and Cereals		0.99 (0.80-1.24)	0.955	0.73 (0.52-1.01)	0.060	1.21 (0.97-1.53)	0.097
Dairy		1.00 (0.81-1.25)	0.985	0.63 (0.45-0.89)	**0.008**	1.11 (0.88-1.40)	0.388
Sauces/Condiments		0.96 (0.77-1.19)	0.708	0.92 (0.66-1.27)	0.598	1.17 (0.93-1.47)	0.182
Water		0.96 (0.70-1.33)	0.816	1.25 (0.66-2.35)	0.491	1.04 (0.68-1.57)	0.867
Total Score	Shortness of breath while resting	1.01 (0.83-1.24)	0.893	1.07 (0.78-1.46)	0.668	1.03 (0.85-1.26)	0.740
Vegetables		1.02 (0.83-1.25)	0.850	1.03 (0.76-1.41)	0.849	0.98 (0.81-1.17)	0.805
Fruits		1.01 (0.83-1.24)	0.908	1.16 (0.84-1.58)	0.366	1.03 (0.85-1.24)	0.754
Meat		1.02 (0.83-1.25)	0.841	1.12 (0.83-1.53)	0.461	0.92 (0.76-1.13)	0.436
Meat Alternatives		1.02 (0.83-1.25)	0.833	0.88 (0.62-1.23)	0.442	1.10 (0.91-1.32)	0.314
Breads and Cereals		1.03 (0.84-1.26)	0.774	0.94 (0.69-1.29)	0.711	1.12 (0.91-1.39)	0.284
Dairy		1.02 (0.84-1.25)	0.827	1.00 (0.73-1.38)	0.981	1.07 (0.86-1.33)	0.532
Sauces/Condiments		1.01 (0.82-1.23)	0.960	1.17 (0.85-1.61)	0.338	1.05 (0.84-1.30)	0.675
Water		1.14 (0.85-1.53)	0.372	**1.91 (1.02-3.58)**	**0.044**	0.81 (0.55-1.20)	0.298
Total Score	Current nasal symptoms	1.00 (0.84-1.19)	0.995	1.00 (0.78-1.28)	0.991	1.05 (0.89-1.25)	0.556
Vegetables		1.00 (0.84-1.19)	0.991	1.08 (0.84-1.39)	0.539	1.01 (0.87-1.19)	0.870
Fruits		0.99 (0.83-1.19)	0.956	0.84 (0.65-1.09)	0.180	1.12 (0.95-1.32)	0.180
Meat		1.00 (0.84-1.20)	0.958	1.06 (0.83-1.36)	0.636	0.97 (0.83-1.15)	0.736
Meat Alternatives		1.00 (0.84-1.20)	0.974	1.09 (0.85-1.39)	0.494	1.06 (0.89-1.25)	0.513
Breads and Cereals		1.01 (0.85-1.20)	0.923	0.97 (0.76-1.25)	0.822	1.04 (0.87-1.25)	0.628
Dairy		1.01 (0.84-1.20)	0.954	1.03 (0.80-1.34)	0.815	1.00 (0.83-1.21)	0.972
Sauces/Condiments		1.02 (0.85-1.22)	0.811	0.82 (0.63-1.06)	0.131	0.99 (0.82-1.19)	0.882

Water		1.12 (0.87-1.44)	0.363	1.07 (0.64-1.78)	0.795	0.81 (0.58-1.14)	0.219
Total Score	Chronic phlegm	1.29 (1.05-1.59)	**0.014**	1.35 (0.98-1.86)	0.066	0.78 (0.64-0.96)	**0.019**
Vegetables		1.28 (1.04-1.57)	**0.020**	1.37 (0.98-1.91)	0.062	0.78 (0.65-0.95)	**0.011**
Fruits		1.31 (1.07-1.61)	**0.008**	1.47 (1.05-2.06)	0.025	0.82 (0.67-0.99)	0.039
Meat		1.30 (1.07-1.59)	**0.009**	0.87 (0.63-1.21)	0.420	0.97 (0.80-1.17)	0.740
Meat Alternatives		1.28 (1.04-1.56)	**0.019**	1.22 (0.91-1.65)	0.190	0.80 (0.63-1.03)	0.082
Breads and Cereals		1.29 (1.06-1.58)	**0.012**	1.19 (0.87-1.64)	0.281	0.95 (0.78-1.16)	0.619
Dairy		1.29 (1.06-1.57)	**0.013**	0.95 (0.68-1.33)	0.773	0.98 (0.79-1.22)	0.886
Sauces/Condiments		1.30 (1.06-1.59)	**0.010**	1.05 (0.75-1.47)	0.765	0.92 (0.75-1.14)	0.467
Water		1.16 (0.88-1.55)	0.294	0.77 (0.40-1.47)	0.427	1.21 (0.83-1.77)	0.321
Total Score	Asthma	1.05 (0.79-1.40)	0.735	0.85 (0.55-1.32)	0.468	1.23 (0.96-1.58)	0.098
Vegetables		1.03 (0.77-1.38)	0.832	1.24 (0.81-1.91)	0.328	1.14 (0.89-1.46)	0.314
Fruits		1.02 (0.77-1.37)	0.879	0.80 (0.52-1.23)	0.308	1.28 (0.99-1.65)	0.055
Meat		1.09 (0.81-1.45)	0.575	0.75 (0.49-1.15)	0.191	1.04 (0.80-1.37)	0.751
Meat Alternatives		1.05 (0.79-1.41)	0.733	1.01 (0.68-1.50)	0.968	1.10 (0.89-1.36)	0.392
Breads and Cereals		1.08 (0.81-1.44)	0.619	0.72 (0.46-1.12)	0.146	1.17 (0.88-1.54)	0.277
Dairy		1.08 (0.81-1.44)	0.601	0.58 (0.37-0.91)	**0.018**	1.24 (0.92-1.67)	0.158
Sauces/Condiments		1.08 (0.81-1.44)	0.606	0.94 (0.61-1.47)	0.792	0.93 (0.67-1.28)	0.642
Water		1.12 (0.73-1.71)	0.613	1.30 (0.55-3.03)	0.548	0.91 (0.53-1.59)	0.747
Total Score	COPD	1.12 (0.78-1.61)	0.528	1.66 (0.97-2.84)	0.062	0.90 (0.63-1.28)	0.546
Vegetables		1.11 (0.76-1.62)	0.584	1.95 (1.06-3.57)	**0.032**	0.93 (0.64-1.34)	0.681
Fruits		1.12 (0.78-1.61)	0.522	1.70 (0.97-2.99)	0.064	0.85 (0.61-1.19)	0.350
Meat		1.12 (0.79-1.58)	0.539	0.98 (0.59-1.63)	0.946	0.94 (0.67-1.32)	0.721
Meat Alternatives		0.91 (0.57-1.43)	0.676	1.13 (0.66-1.93)	0.655	0.56 (0.30-1.05)	0.072
Breads and Cereals		1.13 (0.79-1.61)	0.517	1.41 (0.84-2.37)	0.190	0.93 (0.65-1.34)	0.691
Dairy		1.10 (0.77-1.57)	0.591	1.13 (0.68-1.90)	0.636	0.91 (0.63-1.30)	0.597
Sauces/Condiments		0.90 (0.58-1.39)	0.626	0.58 (0.33-1.03)	0.064	1.79 (1.19-2.69)	**0.005**
Water		1.15 (0.71-1.84)	0.575	1.45 (0.53-4.02)	0.470	0.94 (0.48-1.81)	0.842

Notes- OR-odds ratio /ARFS-Australian Recommended Food Score/COPD- Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; adjusted for age, sex, IRSAD and smoking/*significant at 0.05 levels



Figure S1. Change in Diet score (SD) due to fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure (10µg/m^3 increase), adjusted for sex, age, educational attainment and IRSAD.



Figure S2. Forest Plot depicting the interaction coefficients for the association between 10µg/m^3 increases in $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and respiratory health outcomes, moderated by diet quality; weighted analyses.