Does diet quality moderate the long-term effects of discrete but extreme $PM_{2.5}$ exposure on respiratory symptoms? A study of the Hazelwood coalmine fire

Thara Govindaraju[1], Martin Man[2], Alice J Owen[1], Matthew Carroll[3], Brigitte M. Borg[4], Catherine L Smith[1], Caroline X Gao[1,5], David Brown[1], David Poland[3], Shantelle Allgood[3], Jillian F Ikin[1], Michael J Abramson[1], Tracy A McCaffrey[6], Tyler J Lane[1]

[1] School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

[2] Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

[3] Monash Rural Health Churchill, Monash University, Churchill, Victoria, Australia

[4] Respiratory Medicine, Alfred Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

[5] Orygen, Centre for Youth Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

[6] Department of Nutrition, Dietetics and Food, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Corresponding author:

Tyler Lane
Senior Research Fellow

Monash University
School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine
Level 2, 553 St Kilda Road
Alfred Campus
Melbourne VIC 3004
Australia

Tyler.lane@monash.edu

Intended Journal: European Journal of Nutrition

Word count: 50,000 characters (including abstract and keywords, tables, captions, and references)

Current word count: 33358 characters

Abstract

Purpose

In 2014, a fire at an open cut coalmine in regional Victoria, Australia burned for 6 weeks. Residents of the nearby town of Morwell were exposed to smoke, which included high levels of fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$). We investigated whether the long-term effects of $PM_{2.5}$ on respiratory health were moderated by diet quality.

Methods

A cross-sectional analysis was conducted of data collected 8.5 years after the mine fire from 282 residents of Morwell and 166 residents from the nearby unexposed town of Sale. Primary outcomes were respiratory symptoms. Exposure was coalmine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and diet quality was assessed with the Australian Eating Survey (AES). The moderating effect of diet quality on respiratory outcomes associated with $PM_{2.5}$ was assessed using logistic regression models, adjusting for potential confounders.

Results

Diet quality was poor in this sample, with 60% in the lowest category of overall diet quality. Overall diet quality and fruit and vegetable quality significantly attenuated the association between $PM_{2.5}$ and prevalence of chronic cough and phlegm. Sauce/condiment intake was associated with a greater effect of $PM_{2.5}$ on COPD prevalence. No other moderating effects were significant.

Conclusion

The moderating effects of overall diet quality and vegetable and fruit intake aligned with *a priori* hypothesis, suggesting potential protective benefits. Considering the poor-quality diet in this community, we would encourage better eating habits including improving the fruit and vegetable intake.

Key words: landscape fires, diet quality, respiratory health, $PM_{2.5}$, fruit quality, vegetable quality, chronic cough

Introduction

The Hazelwood open cut brown coalmine in the Latrobe Valley of south-eastern Australia caught fire on 9th February 2014. The nearby town of Morwell was covered in smoke and ash over a period of approximately six weeks [1]. The concentrations of fine particulate matter 2.5 µm or less in diameter ($PM_{2.5}$) exceeded the average background $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations of 6 µg/m^3 and the modelled hourly mean reached as high as 3700 µg/m^3 [2]. In response to community concerns around possible health impacts of the smoke on local residents, the Victorian State Government established the Hazelwood Health Study [1] (www.hazelwoodhealthstudy.org.au) to formally investigate long term health effects.

The impact of ambient $PM_{2.5}$ on major health outcomes is well established, including increased risks for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases and all-cause mortality[3]. However, research is limited on long-term respiratory health consequences of extreme but medium duration $PM_{2.5}$, defined as lasting weeks to months and typically from events such as prolonged bushfires, peat or coal mine fires. The historical analogues to the Hazelwood coalmine fire, including the 1952 Great Smog of London [4, 5] and the Donora smog of 1948 [6], suggest increased risk of developing acute respiratory conditions and death. In the absence of high-quality historical evidence, much of the evidence focuses on chronic exposures to ambient $PM_{2.5}$, mostly originating from anthropogenic sources like transport, industry, and energy production [7]. Previously published analyses from the Hazelwood Health Study have found dose-response relationships between mine fire $PM_{2.5}$ exposure in adults and increased odds of experiencing chronic cough, phlegm and current wheeze approximately 2.5 years after the event [8], and chronic cough and current wheeze up to 8.5 to 9 years after mine fire [9]. Between 3.5 to 4 years after the mine fire dose-response relationships were observed between $PM_{2.5}$ and spirometry consistent with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in adult non-smokers [10].

There is growing evidence that nutrition is an important, modifiable risk factor in the development and management of asthma, COPD and lung cancer [11, 12]. Fruits and vegetables are of particular interest in respiratory health [13, 14] due to their nutrient profile including antioxidants, vitamins, minerals, fibre and phytochemicals such as flavonoids. A randomised trial found subjects who consumed a high fruit and vegetable diet for three months had a decreased risk of asthma exacerbations, compared to subjects who consumed a low fruit and vegetable diet [15]. Reduced risk of childhood wheezing and of asthma in adults and children with higher consumption of fruit and vegetables have also been shown in a meta-analysis [16].

Diet also moderates the harmful effects of chronic exposure to air pollutants such as $PM_{2.5}$ [17], including on respiratory diseases [18]. The antioxidants, especially vitamin C, carotenoids and flavonoids found in fruits and vegetables, may prevent endogenous and exogenous oxidative damage

caused by $PM_{2.5}$ [19–22]. Antioxidant supplementation may also reduce the adverse effects of air pollution and inflammatory responses [23] and a protective effect of fruit and vegetables has been observed on lung function in children exposed to air pollutants [24]. However, research on the role of diet in moderating effects of $PM_{2.5}$ (ambient or extreme) on respiratory health is limited.

Considering the gaps in the evidence, the current study investigated the potential moderating effects of diet on longer-term respiratory health outcomes in adults with or without exposure to medium-duration high-intensity $PM_{2.5}$, 8.5-9 years after the coalmine fire.

Methods

The study is a cross-sectional analysis of follow-up survey data from the Hazelwood Health Study Adult Cohort [25]. Research questions and hypotheses were pre-registered on the Open Science Framework [26]. Notably, we highlighted both fruit and vegetable intake as the foods most likely to have a protective effect against the adverse health impacts of smoke exposure.

Study population and design

The Hazelwood Health Study adult cohort comprised 4,056 participants from the Adult Survey which ran between May 2016 and February 2017. Participants had to have been residents of Morwell (exposed) or of the comparison town, Sale, located 64 km to the east (minimally or unexposed) and aged 18 years or older on 31 March 2014[25]. This analysis focuses on a follow-up survey conducted in late 2022, or 8.5-9 years after the coalmine fire. Living cohort members were eligible if they had a current email or phone number, had not refused further contact and were not randomly selected to participate in the concurrent Hazelwood Health Study Psychological Impacts Stream surveys [27], leaving 2,385 potential participants. Recruitment began in August 2022 with email and/or SMS invites and ended in December that same year.

Respiratory assessment

Participants completed an online respiratory health survey using Redcap [28, 29] for data collection, which included a modified version of the self-report European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) III Short Screening questionnaire [8]. The survey collected the presentation ("Yes" vs "No") of respiratory symptoms including current wheeze, chest tightness, nocturnal and resting shortness of breath, current nasal allergies, as well as chronic respiratory symptoms (on most days for as much as three months a year) including chronic cough and chronic phlegm. The survey also measured self-reported asthma and COPD, and date of diagnosis which was used to classify as pre- or post-mine fire.

Mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure estimation

Individual exposures to coalmine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ were estimated using self-reported time-location diary data from the Adult Survey blended with modelled $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations [25]. The Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) Oceans and Atmosphere estimated mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in the Latrobe Valley and surrounding areas through models incorporating variables such as wind direction, speed and temperature and the amount of $PM_{2.5}$ released per unit mass of burning coal [2].

Diet quality

Diet quality was assessed based on Australian Recommended Food Scores (ARFS) measured using the Australian Eating Survey Food Frequency Questionnaire (AES FFQ) [30]. The AES FFQ is a 120-item self-administered questionnaire designed to collect information about dietary intake over the participants' previous 6 months. It is semi-quantitative, providing a standard portion size for each food item determined using 'natural' serving size (e.g., a slice, a cup), where possible. An individual response for each food, or food type, was required, with frequency options ranging from 'Never' to '4 or more times per day', varying depending on the food, with some drink items up to '7 or more glasses per day'. The survey was proprietary software made available through the University of Newcastle [31] and the Australian Food Composition Database [32] was used to generate individual median daily macronutrient intakes and percent energy derived from macronutrients.

ARFS were calculated using a subset of 70 AES FFQ questions, which comprised eight food group subscales – vegetables (20-item), fruit (12-item), meat protein (7-item), non-meat/vegetarian protein (6-item), grains (breads and cereals, 12-item), dairy (10-item), water (1-item) and sauces/condiments (2-item) [31] and the total score was calculated by summing the points for each item (ranges 0-73 points). Diet quality was categorised as "Needs work" (total score <33), "Getting there" (33-38), "Excellent" (39-46) or "Outstanding" (47+).

Confounders

Demographic data were collected as part of the original Adult Survey and included age, sex, highest education ("secondary up to year 10," "secondary years 11-12," and Certificate/Diploma/tertiary degree" and smoking (smoking status and cigarette pack-years). Socioeconomic status was determined from the Index of Relative Socio-economic Advantage and Disadvantage (IRSAD), a socioeconomic score for residential areas from the 2016 Australian Census linked to participant's residence at Statistical Area Level 2 [33].

Statistical analysis

Simple descriptive statistics were used to summarise the cohort characteristics and outcomes in the exposed and non-exposed samples. The differences between those who were exposed to the mine fire (Morwell) and the comparison group (Sale) were assessed by Fisher's Exact tests for categorical measures and Kruskal-Wallis tests for continuous measures, with significance set at $p<0.05$. Multiple logistic regression models were constructed to investigate associations between diet intake and respiratory health outcomes, as well as whether diet quality moderated the association between mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and outcomes (via testing the interactions between diet and mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure). In regression models, associations were measured per 10µg/m^3 increment in daily mean $PM_{2.5}$ exposure from the coalmine fire. Diet scores were scaled and mean-centred, meaning odds ratios reflected a one standard deviation increase in diet score.

Multiple imputations were used to account for the missing data for all regression models, with the number of imputations equivalent to the proportion of records with missing data (n=8 for 7.14% of missing data), and results were pooled according to Rubin's rules [34]. In sensitivity analyses, we applied inverse probability weighting to account for attrition among those invited to participate in the survey. Both weighted and unweighted results were reported. Analyses were conducted in R [35] and SPSS (Ver 28, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

Results

Table 1 describes the characteristics of participants from Morwell and Sale. Morwell participants had lower socio-economic status and educational attainment. Eight years after the mine fire, the prevalence of all self-reported respiratory symptoms was still higher in Morwell than Sale (though the difference between groups in current nasal symptoms was non-significant). Prevalence of self-reported asthma was comparable between the two groups, whereas the prevalence of self-reported COPD was slightly higher in Sale compared to Morwell.

Table 1. Participant characteristics across Morwell and Sale

	Morwell (N=282)	**Sale (N=166)**	***P*-Value***
Age, years,	58 [50, 65]	57 [48, 66]	0.309
Sex - Female	160 [57%]	103 [62%]	0.270
Daily mean fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure (µg/m^3)	11 [7,18]	0 [0,0]	<0.001
Smoking status – Never	134(48%)	83(51%)	0.128
Former	116(42%)	73(45%)	

Current	29(10%)	8(4.9%)	
Cigarette pack years (among current and former smokers)	12 [5, 23]	20 [6, 27]	0.162
Education			0.006
Secondary up to year 10	67 [24%]	20 [12%]	
Secondary year 11-12	55 [20%]	31 [19%]	
Certificate/Diploma/Tertiary degree	158 [56%]	114 [69%]	
Residential socioeconomic score (IRSAD)	835 [796, 909]	930 [844, 952]	<.001
Self-reported respiratory conditions: Pre-mine Fire			
Asthma	85 [30%]	38 [23%]	0.100
COPD	6 [2.1%]	2 [1.2%]	0.710
Self-reported respiratory conditions & symptoms: 8 years post mine fire			
Asthma	94 [33%]	46 [28%]	0.240
COPD	22 [7.9%]	23 [14%]	0.050
Chronic cough	112 [40%]	35 [21%]	<0.001
Chronic phlegm	76 [27%]	24 [14%]	0.002
Current wheeze	142 [51%]	60 [36%]	0.003
Chest tightness	88 [31%]	36 [22%]	0.029
Nocturnal shortness of breath	70 [25%]	22 [13%]	0.002
Shortness of breath while resting	78 [28%]	28 [17%]	0.011
Nasal allergy	150 [54%]	74 [45%]	0.063

Values given as Median [Inter Quartile Range] or n (% of reporting population) unless otherwise specified. COPD – Chronic pulmonary obstructive disorder; IRSAD - Index of relative socio-economic advantage and disadvantage

* Significance of difference through Kruskal Wallis tests for continuous variables and Fisher's Exact test for categorical variables with location as the grouping variable

Diet quality of the cohort

The ARFS-rated diet quality was mostly similar in both groups except for higher-quality meat in Morwell and higher quality grain intake in Sale, as shown in Table 2. In both groups the percent total energy derived from macronutrients was slightly higher for fat and slightly lower for carbohydrate as compared to the acceptable macronutrient distribution range (15–25% of energy from protein, 20–35% from fat and 45–65% from carbohydrate) for Australia and New Zealand [36].

Table 2. Dietary characteristics of participants across Morwell and Sale

Dietary intake	**Morwell (N=282)**	**Sale [N=166)**	***P*-VALUE***
Energy, kJ/day	8756 [6574,11173]	8784 [6865,11086]	0.641
Carbohydrate, %TEI	43 [39,48]	44 [39,48]	0.778
Protein, %TEI	18 [16,20]	17 [15,19]	0.069
Fat, %TEI	36 [33,40]	36 [33,39]	0.762
Alcohol, %TEI	1 [0,4]	1 [0,5]	0.241
Diet Quality (range)			

Total Score (0-73)	30 [23, 37]	31 [23, 39]	0.385
Vegetables (0-21)	12 [9 16]	13 [9, 17]	0.409
Fruits (0-12)	5 [3, 7]	5 [3, 8]	0.255
Meat (0-7)	3 [2, 3]	2 [1, 3]	0.011
Meat alternatives (0-6)	2 [1, 2]	2 [1, 3]	0.242
Breads and cereals (0-13)	4 [3, 6]	5 [3, 6]	0.040
Dairy (0-11)	3 [2, 5]	4 [2, 5]	0.460
Sauces/condiments (0-2)	1 [0, 1]	1 [0, 1]	0.372
Water (0-1)	1 [0, 1]	1 [0, 1]	0.937

Values given as Median [IQR] unless otherwise specified. TEI- total energy intake

* Significance of difference through Kruskal Wallis tests with location as the grouping variable

Diet quality for most of the participants (60.1%) was in the range of "needing work" (<33), with very few (5.1%) in the "outstanding" range (47+), as shown in **Figure 1.**



Figure 1. ARFS diet quality scores based on the Australian Eating Survey results for the whole cohort.

Independent effects of fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and diet quality on respiratory health outcomes

We analysed the effects of fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and diet quality scores in separate models, while adjusting for confounders. In other words, the $PM_{2.5}$ model did not adjust for diet quality and vice versa. Results are summarised in **Figure 2**.

Fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ was associated with higher prevalence of self-reported chronic cough, current wheeze, and chronic phlegm, after adjustment for confounders. Sauce/condiment intake was associated with higher prevalence of chronic cough, current wheeze, chest tightness, but reduced prevalence of current nasal symptoms. Dairy intake was associated with reduced prevalence of current wheeze, nocturnal shortness of breath, and asthma, while vegetable intake was associated with higher prevalence of COPD and asthma, and alternate proteins with higher prevalence of chest tightness.



Fig 2. Forest plot showing the association between 10µg/m^3 increases in fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and a standard deviation increase in diet quality score with the odds of reporting respiratory symptoms. Each row of ORs were estimated from an imputed multivariable logistic regression controlling for age, sex, smoking, IRSAD, and pre-fire asthma or COPD.

Moderating effects of diet quality on the association between $PM_{2.5}$ and respiratory health outcomes

The respiratory symptoms that was most frequently moderated by the fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and diet interaction were chronic cough and chronic phlegm. Overall diet, and also vegetable and fruit quality, were all associated with reduced prevalence of chronic cough in association with fire-related $PM_{2.5}$. Interestingly, in these models (Figure 3), the independent association between diet indicators and respiratory symptoms differed from the models in Figure 2, which did not adjust for fire-related $PM_{2.5}$. In each case, the diet indicator predicted *higher* prevalence of chronic cough or chronic phlegm, although the effect was not always statistically significant. To understand these interactions better, we conducted further analyses between $PM_{2.5}$ and diet adjusting for confounders excluding smoking (Supplementary Figure 1). While no significant associations were found, point estimates were elevated for overall diet and vegetable and fruit quality, as well as meat quality. The implications will be discussed.

The only other significant interaction effect was sauces/condiments (tomato sauce and vegemite) intake, which significantly *increased* the prevalence of COPD in association with fire-related $PM_{2.5}$.

These analyses are summarised in **Figure 3**.



Fig 3. Forest plot depicting the interactions between 10µg/m^3 increases in mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and respiratory health outcomes, moderated by a standard deviation increase in diet quality score. Each row of ORs were estimated from an imputed multivariable logistic regression fitting mine-fire $PM_{2.5}$ exposure, diet quality score and interaction between $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and diet quality score controlling for age, sex, smoking and IRSAD score.

Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 provide detailed regression model outputs. We also conducted weighted analyses using inverse probability weighting which found fewer significant associations, although these were generally similar in direction (S**upplementary Figure 2**).

Discussion

We hypothesised *a priori* that diet quality – and more specifically, fruit and vegetable intake – would offer protection against respiratory symptoms associated with smoke exposure from the coalmine fire [37]. Our findings generally supported this hypothesis; overall diet and fruit and vegetable intakes were associated with an attenuation of the effect of fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ on the prevalence of chronic cough and phlegm. Fruit consumption has been suggested to have protective effects on respiratory health outcomes including inverse associations with cough and phlegm [38] and 25-year incidence of chronic nonspecific lung diseases characterised by chronic cough, phlegm, shortness of breath and wheezing[39]. While evidence strongly suggests fruit intake reduces the risk of asthma [40], we found no evidence of an effect. However, neither this study nor previous Hazelwood Health Study analyses [8] have found evidence that the mine fire exposures increased the prevalence of asthma; in other words, there may not be a harm to protect against.

There remains an apparent contradiction in our findings, with overall diet and fruit and vegetable quality seeming both to protect against the effect of fire-related $PM_{2.5}$, while simultaneously increasing the prevalence of chronic cough and phlegm. As diet quality was measured years after the coalmine fire, reverse causality may be a factor; those most affected by the smoke – and who developed respiratory symptoms as a result – may have taken the biggest steps to improve their health, such as by eating better. Our analyses also indicated that while not significant, diet quality seemed to increase with exposure to fire-related smoke, after adjusting for all plausible confounders, barring tobacco use, as a change in diet could have been collinear with a change in smoking behaviours. The slight increase in diet quality with exposure could have been catalysed by health messaging through health care providers as a result of increased hospital visits or improved awareness through health promotion campaigns concerning diet and lifestyle, primarily organised as part of engaging with the community post-fire.

Several factors need consideration while interpreting the results of this study. The ARFS counts all vegetables equally, making no distinction on important characteristics such as antioxidant capacity that may influence protective effects [41]. For instance, while higher intake of fruit and vegetables in general is linked to reduced mortality, consumption of starchy vegetables like potatoes, which account for a quarter of vegetables consumed in Australia [42], is not [43]. Furthermore, while the epidemiological evidence for protective effects of fruits and vegetables is substantial, findings from randomised controlled trials have been mixed [44, 45].

Dairy quality was negatively associated with asthma and current wheeze independently but did not remain significant in the interaction model. The general perception of dairy worsening asthma and

causing mucus, could have resulted in avoidance of dairy products and influenced the findings. The moderating effect of sauce/condiment intake on the $PM_{2.5}$-COPD, which indicated increasing adverse effects of $PM_{2.5}$, might have been a Type 1 Error, made more likely by the small numbers.

Most respondents were rated in the lowest diet quality category, "needs work." Although similar to Australians generally [46], limited variability in ARFS score restricted our ability to identify moderating effects of diet quality. While overall diet quality of regional Australians was outside the scope of our study, this finding suggested there may be opportunities to improve general health in communities like Morwell and Sale through improvement of diet. Diet quality has multifactorial influences including health conditions and nutrition awareness, and socioeconomic factors, which are particularly relevant considering Morwell is in the 3rd percentile nationwide and 1st percentile in Victoria on disadvantage based on IRSAD scores[33]. The mechanisms by which a community may experience poor dietary health associated with mine fire exposure may include food scarcity, or lack of awareness regarding healthy eating and wider food environments structured on socio-economic status [47]. This could be exacerbated by limited access to health services due to living in a regional area.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate moderating effects of diet quality on longer-term respiratory health outcomes when exposed to extreme but medium-duration $PM_{2.5}$. This topic is of growing importance as climate change is increasing the frequency and intensity of such events, such as the 2019/2020 Australian Black Summer bushfire season, the 2020 Western United States wildfire season, and the 2023 Canadian, Mediterranean and Hawaiian wildfires.

Strengths and limitations

This research has substantial strengths, including the use of a validated diet survey, modelled smoke/$PM_{2.5}$ exposure data, and an established cohort.

However, there are several limitations. $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was based on time-location diaries collected 2.5 years after the mine fire and were thus subject to recall bias. Also, they did not account for protective behaviours such as wearing masks or staying indoors. Causality cannot be inferred owing to the nature of cross-sectional analysis. As a result of multiple comparisons, the significant associations must be interpreted cautiously and within the context of the current evidence.

Conclusions

We found evidence that higher overall diet quality may protect or mitigate against the long-term effects of smoke exposure from a coalmine fire. Vegetable and fruit intake stood out, in line with our

a priori hypotheses. Nevertheless, further confirmation from larger studies is needed to validate the findings. In the interim, larger studies could help generate greater certainty about the protective effects of diet quality against the harms of air pollution. In addition, there are broader policy implications to improving the quality of diet in areas that face numerous socioeconomic and health challenges, especially with the growing impacts of climate change.

Back matter

Ethics

Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee approved this study as part of the Hazelwood Adult Survey & Health Record Linkage Study (Project ID: 25680; previously CF15/872 - 2015000389 and 6066)

Funding

This work was funded by the Victorian Department of Health. The paper presents the views of the authors and does not represent the views of the Department.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Latrobe Valley and Gippsland communities for their support and participation in the Hazelwood Health Study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper. MJA holds investigator-initiated grants from Pfizer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Sanofi and GSK for unrelated research. He has undertaken an unrelated consultancy for Sanofi and received a speaker's fee from GSK.

Availability of data and material

Hazelwood Health Study data are confidential and cannot be publicly shared. Analytical code has been archived on a public repository [48].

Highlights

- There is limited evidence of how extreme $PM_{2.5}$ exposure affects long-term respiratory health, and whether this may be moderated by diet quality.
- Overall diet quality as well as vegetable and fruit intake attenuated the effect of $PM_{2.5}$ on chronic cough and phlegm. Further research is required to confirm the findings and ascertain public health significance.

References

1. Government of Victoria (2014) Hazelwood mine fire inquiry report :2014

2. Luhar AK, Emmerson KM, Reisen F, et al (2020) Modelling smoke distribution in the vicinity of a large and prolonged fire from an open-cut coal mine. Atmos Environ 229:117471–117471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117471

3. Atkinson RW, Kang S, Anderson HR, et al (2014) Epidemiological time series studies of PM 2.5 and daily mortality and hospital admissions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Thorax 69:660–665. https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-204492

4. Polivka BJ (2018) The Great London Smog of 1952. AJN Am J Nurs 118:57–61. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NAJ.0000532078.72372.c3

5. Abercrombie GF (1953) December fog in London and the emergency bed service. The Lancet 261:234–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(53)90905-2

6. Heimann H (1950) Air Pollution in Donora, Pa.: Epidemiology of the Unusual Smog Episode of October 1948. Preliminary Report. J Am Med Assoc 143:323–323. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1950.02910380107025

7. McDuffie EE, Martin RV, Spadaro JV, et al (2021) Source sector and fuel contributions to ambient PM2.5 and attributable mortality across multiple spatial scales. Nat Commun 12:3594–3594. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-23853-y

8. Johnson AL, Gao CX, Dennekamp M, et al (2019) Associations between Respiratory Health Outcomes and Coal Mine Fire PM2.5 Smoke Exposure: A Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 16:4262–4262. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16214262

9. Lane TJ, Carroll M, Borg BM, et al (2023) Respiratory symptoms after coalmine fire and pandemic: a longitudinal analysis of the Hazelwood Health Study adult cohort. Occupational and Environmental Health

10. Prasad S, Gao CX, Borg B, et al (2022) Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in Adults Exposed to Fine Particles from a Coal Mine Fire. Ann Am Thorac Soc 19:186–195. https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.202012-1544OC

11. van Iersel LEJ, Beijers RJHCG, Gosker HR, Schols AMWJ (2022) Nutrition as a modifiable factor in the onset and progression of pulmonary function impairment in COPD: a systematic review. Nutr Rev 80:1434–1444. https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuab077

12. Allan K, Devereux G (2011) Diet and Asthma: Nutrition Implications from Prevention to Treatment. J Am Diet Assoc 111:258–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2010.10.048

13. Hosseini B, Berthon BS, Wark P, Wood LG (2017) Effects of Fruit and Vegetable Consumption on Risk of Asthma, Wheezing and Immune Responses: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Nutrients 9:341–341. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9040341

14. Kaluza J, Harris HR, Linden A, Wolk A (2018) Long-term consumption of fruits and vegetables and risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a prospective cohort study of women. Int J Epidemiol. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyy178

15. Wood LG, Garg ML, Smart JM, et al (2012) Manipulating antioxidant intake in asthma: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 96:534–543. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.111.032623

16. Seyedrezazadeh E, Pour Moghaddam M, Ansarin K, et al (2014) Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of wheezing and asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutr Rev 72:411–428. https://doi.org/10.1111/nure.12121

17. Lim CC, Hayes RB, Ahn J, et al (2019) Mediterranean Diet and the Association Between Air Pollution and Cardiovascular Disease Mortality Risk. Circulation 139:1766–1775. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.035742

18. Whyand T, Hurst JR, Beckles M, Caplin ME (2018) Pollution and respiratory disease: can diet or supplements help? A review. Respir Res 19:79–79. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-018-0785-0

19. Andre C, Larondelle Y, Evers D (2010) Dietary Antioxidants and Oxidative Stress from a Human and Plant Perspective: A Review. Curr Nutr Food Sci 6:2–12. https://doi.org/10.2174/157340110790909563

20. Miglio C, Peluso I, Raguzzini A, et al (2014) Fruit juice drinks prevent endogenous antioxidant response to high-fat meal ingestion. Br J Nutr 111:294–300. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114513002407

21. Romieu I, Meneses F, Ramirez M, et al (1998) Antioxidant Supplementation and Respiratory Functions among Workers Exposed to High Levels of Ozone. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 158:226–232. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.158.1.9712053

22. Wootton-Beard PC, Ryan L (2011) Improving public health? The role of antioxidant-rich fruit and vegetable beverages. Food Res Int 44:3135–3148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.09.015

23. González-Flecha B (2004) Oxidant mechanisms in response to ambient air particles. Mol Aspects Med 25:169–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2004.02.017

24. Romieu I, Barraza-Villarreal A, Escamilla-Núñez C, et al (2009) Dietary intake, lung function and airway inflammation in Mexico City school children exposed to air pollutants. Respir Res 10:122–122. https://doi.org/10.1186/1465-9921-10-122

25. Ikin J, Carroll MTC, Walker J, et al (2021) Cohort Profile: The Hazelwood Health Study Adult Cohort. Int J Epidemiol 49:1777–1778. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyaa083

26. Lane J Tyler, Carroll Matthew, Tracy A McCaffrey (2022) Long-term respiratory health in a community affected by mine fire. Open Sci Framew. https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/YFGB3

27. Smith CL, Campbell TCH, Gao CX, et al (2023) Sociodemographic circumstances, health, and life experience shape posttraumatic distress trajectories among individuals exposed to smoke during a large-scale coal mine fire. J Trauma Stress 36:465–473. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22923

28. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al (2019) The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. J Biomed Inform 95:103208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208

29. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, et al (2009) Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 42:377–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010

30. Collins CE, Boggess MM, Watson JF, et al (2014) Reproducibility and comparative validity of a food frequency questionnaire for Australian adults. Clin Nutr 33:906–914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2013.09.015

31. Collins C, Burrows T, Rollo M, et al (2015) The Comparative Validity and Reproducibility of a Diet Quality Index for Adults: The Australian Recommended Food Score. Nutrients 7:785–798. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7020785

32. Australian Government Publishing Service (1999) AUSNUT Australian Food and Nutrient Database. Canberra

33. Australian Bureau of Statistics (2016) Census of Population and Housing: Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA). 2033055001.Available from https://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/DetailsPage/2033.0.55.0012016?OpenDocument

34. Buuren S van, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K (2011) mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations in R. J Stat Softw 45: https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v045.i03

35. R Core team (2023) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Found Stat Comput

36. National Health and Medical Research Council (2013) Australian Dietary Guidelines. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council. (2013) Australian Dietary Guidelines. Canberra

37. Smit HA, Grievink L, Tabak C (1999) Dietary influences on chronic obstructive lung disease and asthma: a review of the epidemiological evidence. Proc Nutr Soc 58:309–319. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665199000427

38. Butler LM, Koh W-P, Lee H-P, et al (2004) Dietary Fiber and Reduced Cough with Phlegm. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 170:279–287. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200306-789OC

39. Miedema I, Feskens EJM, Heederik D, Kromhout D (1993) Dietary Determinants of Long-term Incidence of Chronic Nonspecific Lung Diseases. Am J Epidemiol 138:37–45. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116775

40. Hosseini B, Berthon BS, Wark P, Wood LG (2017) Effects of Fruit and Vegetable Consumption on Risk of Asthma, Wheezing and Immune Responses: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Nutrients 9:341–341. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9040341

41. Deng G-F, Lin X, Xu X-R, et al (2013) Antioxidant capacities and total phenolic contents of 56 vegetables. J Funct Foods 5:260–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2012.10.015

42. Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2011, December). Australian Health Survey: Nutrition First Results - Foods and Nutrients. ABS. https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/health/health-conditions-and-risks/australian-health-survey-nutrition-first-results-foods-and-nutrients/latest-release.

43. Wang DD, Li Y, Bhupathiraju SN, et al (2021) Fruit and Vegetable Intake and Mortality. Circulation 143:1642–1654. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.048996

44. Baldrick FR, Elborn JS, Woodside JV, et al (2012) Effect of fruit and vegetable intake on oxidative stress and inflammation in COPD: a randomised controlled trial. Eur Respir J 39:1377–1384. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00086011

45. Keranis E, Makris D, Rodopoulou P, et al (2010) Impact of dietary shift to higher-antioxidant foods in COPD: a randomised trial. Eur Respir J 36:774–780. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00113809

46. Ashton L, Williams R, Wood L, et al (2017) Comparison of Australian Recommended Food Score (ARFS) and Plasma Carotenoid Concentrations: A Validation Study in Adults. Nutrients 9:888–888. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9080888

47. Han C, Xu R, Gao CX, et al (2021) Socioeconomic disparity in the association between long-term exposure to PM2.5 and mortality in 2640 Chinese counties. Environ Int 146:106241–106241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106241

48. Lane J Tyler (2023) Does diet quality moderate the long-term effects of discrete but extreme PM2.5 exposure on respiratory symptoms? A study of the Hazelwood coalmine fire - Analytical code. Monash Univ Online Resour

List of tables

1. Participant characteristics across Morwell and Sale

2. Dietary characteristics of participants across Morwell and sale

List of figures

1. ARFS diet quality scores based on the Australian Eating Survey results for the whole cohort.
2. Forest plot showing the association between 10µg/m^3 increase in fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ and a standard deviation increase in diet quality score with the odds of having respiratory symptoms, controlling for age, sex, smoking, socioeconomic area score, and pre-fire asthma or COPD
3. Forest plot depicting the interaction effect between 10µg/m^3 increases in mine fire-related $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and respiratory health outcomes, moderated by a standard deviation increase in diet quality score.