The Impact of a Behavioral-Economics Informed Intervention on Primary Care Utilization for Postpartum Individuals with Chronic Conditions:
A Randomized Controlled Trial

Mark A. Clapp, MD MPH[1,2]
Alaka Ray, MD[1,2]
Kaitlyn E. James, PhD MPH[1,2]
Ishani Ganguli, MD[2,4]
Jessica Cohen, PhD[5]

[1] Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA
[2] Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
[3] Harvard College, Cambridge, MA
[4] Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston MA
[5] Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA

Corresponding Author:
Mark A Clapp, MD MPH
Massachusetts General Hospital
mark.clapp@mgh.harvard.edu
617-724-4531

Word count
Abstract: 357
Main text: 3029

ABSTRACT

Importance: Despite careful monitoring of chronic and gestational conditions during pregnancy, most postpartum individuals with (or at high risk for) chronic conditions are not engaged in primary care following delivery. This lack of postpartum obstetric-to-primary care transition reflects a missed opportunity to improve short- and long-term health and well-being.

Objective: To evaluate the efficacy of a behavioral economics-informed intervention designed to reduce patient administrative burden and information gaps on postpartum primary care visit completion.

Design: Individual-level randomized controlled trial.

Setting: Academic obstetric practice that included hospital- and community-based outpatient clinics.

Participants: English- and Spanish-speaking pregnant or recently postpartum adults who had obesity (pre-pregnancy body mass index (≥30 kg/m2)), anxiety or depressive mood disorder, type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus, chronic hypertension, gestational diabetes, and pregnancy-related hypertension listed in their medical record were eligible to participate. Participants also were required to have a primary care clinician (PCP) listed in their electronic health record.

Intervention: A behavioral economics-informed intervention bundle, which included automatic scheduling of PCP appointments after delivery, patient-tailored health information, and appointment reminder nudges.

Main Outcome: Attending a “health care maintenance” (i.e., “annual exam”) appointment or receiving disease-specific management relating to the eligibility criteria (obesity, anxiety/depression, diabetes, or hypertension) by a PCP within 4 months of delivery.

Results: 360 patients were randomized: 176 to the control group and 184 to the intervention group. primary outcome occurred in 22.0% in the control group and 40.0% in the intervention group ($p<0.001$). In the regression model that accounted for the randomization strata, the

intervention increased PCP visit completion within 4 months of a patient's EDD by 19.9 percentage points (95% confidence interval (CI) 10.7-29.1).

Conclusions and Relevance: Standard postpartum care practice encourages but does not facilitate transitions from obstetric to primary care. This study found that a behavioral science-informed intervention designed to facilitate this transition through default PCP visit scheduling, tailored messages, and nudge reminders substantially increased postpartum primary care visit completion for patients with or at risk for common comorbidities. This intervention represents a potentially low-cost and scalable solution to increase primary care engagement and ongoing health condition management after pregnancy, a time of high engagement and health activation.

Trial Registration: NCT05543265.

INTRODUCTION

Although the rate of chronic disease in pregnancy is high and growing in the U.S., most people with chronic conditions do not effectively transition to primary care management following delivery.[1–9] Over one-third of pregnant people enter pregnancy with obesity, hypertension, or diabetes, or develop a gestational condition, which increases their risk for one of these conditions, and at least one in eight postpartum individuals have depression.[10,11] Strong evidence underpins the benefits of managing chronic conditions through primary care and of managing these conditions earlier in life.[12–15] However, despite careful monitoring and management of these conditions throughout pregnancy, following delivery many postpartum people receive no routine care at all, and nearly half of those with chronic conditions do not receive primary care throughout the postpartum year.[16] The abrupt drop off from high health system engagement and motivation during pregnancy to limited or no health care encounters postpartum has been likened to a "postpartum cliff."[17] Low rates of postpartum primary care engagement reflect a missed opportunity to improve long-term health and well-being, including prevention and management of chronic disease.

Although obstetric clinical guidelines recommend obstetric care providers counsel patients on the importance of primary care follow-up, a range of systemic, financial, and behavioral barriers often prevent postpartum people from effectively transitioning to primary care.[18–22] Patient administrative burden (e.g., appointment scheduling, information seeking, prior authorization, insurance/billing issues) has been increasingly recognized as a barrier to accessing care.[23] In a recent survey, 33% of patients reported that they delayed or did not seek health care because of the administrative burden, with women having greater odds of performing tasks and experiencing burdens.[23] We hypothesize that the effects of this burden are amplified in the postpartum period when new parents are sleep-deprived and face many competing demands, including caring for their newborn.

This study aimed to increase patient engagement in primary care after the immediate postpartum period for pregnant individuals with conditions that convey a long-term health risk by reducing administrative burden and motivating continued health activation. Specifically, we sought to evaluate the efficacy of a behavioral economics-informed intervention bundle (patient-tailored health information, automatic scheduling of PCP appointment after delivery, and appointment reminder nudges) to increase patient attendance at a routine health care maintenance appointment (i.e., “annual exam”) or condition-specific management visit within 4 months of delivery for individuals with obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and/or a mental health condition. We hypothesized that this intervention would increase PCP attendance by at least 15 percentage points compared with routine pregnancy and postpartum care that encourages but does not facilitate primary care follow-up.

METHODS

Study Design

This study was an individual-level, two-group, 1:1 stratified randomized controlled trial of the effectiveness of a behavioral economics-informed intervention to increase postpartum primary care visits. The study, registered on ClinicalTrials.gov on September 6, 2022, was conducted from November 3, 2022, to October 11, 2023. The Mass General Brigham Human Subjects Committee reviewed and approved this study. The Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) guidelines were followed in reporting the study and its results.

Patient Eligibility

Patients who had obesity (pre-pregnancy body mass index (≥30 kg/m^2)), anxiety or depressive mood disorder, type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus, chronic hypertension, gestational diabetes, and pregnancy-related hypertension listed in their medical record were eligible to participate. Patients at risk for hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, determined by the US

Preventative Services Task Force guidelines for prescribing low-dose aspirin, were also included. Patients with these conditions were prioritized for inclusion in the study as they were more likely to have ongoing care needs after pregnancy. Other eligibility criteria included: 1) pregnant or postpartum within two weeks of their estimated delivery date (EDD), as established by the patient's obstetrical care team, 2) receipt of prenatal care at the study institution or its affiliated clinics, 3) an identified PCP within the electronic health record (EHR), 4) enrolled and elected to receive messages in the study institutions' EHR patient portal, 5) primary language of English or Spanish, 6) age ≥18 years at the time of enrollment, 7) not actively undergoing a work-up or known to have a fetal demise at the time of enrollment.

Enrollment and Randomization

Eligible patients were approached in person and via telephone during the eligibility window (within two weeks of their EDD). Those who consented to participate in the study were also asked to consent to receive text (SMS) messages separately. Individuals were randomized using a randomization table created by a statistician and uploaded directly into the REDCap randomization module, which was blinded to the primary investigators and study staff. The assignment sequence was stratified by two variables that were determined a priori to be important to ensure balance: 1) visit with a PCP within 3 years of the EDD and 2) site of prenatal care (main campus obstetric clinic vs. off-site affiliated clinic). Patients were randomized after they consented and completed a baseline survey. Study staff and patients were blinded to their assignment.

Study Intervention

The intervention was designed to increase postpartum primary visit completion rates within 4 months of their EDD. The bundle included a targeted introduction message about the importance of seeing their PCP after delivery and informed them that, to support them in this, a study staff member would be making an appointment on their behalf; they were allowed to opt out

or communicate about scheduling preferences. For those who did not opt-out, the study staff called the PCP office and requested a “health care maintenance” or “annual visit” be scheduled within the target 4-month window. If a patient had already seen their PCP for an annual visit within the year, they were scheduled for this visit when they were next eligible, even outside the 4-month study follow-up period. For those who had appointments scheduled, study-specific appointment reminders were sent approximately 1 month after the EDD and 1 week before the scheduled appointment via the EHR patient portal and SMS, both of which used salient labeling to describe the visit; examples shown in Appendix (eFigure 1). If the PCP was a provider in the same health system and an appointment was scheduled, an electronic message was sent to the PCP from the study staff about the appointment scheduled by the study staff. For those for whom an appointment could not be scheduled, similar reminders were sent on the importance of PCP follow-up and encouraged the patient to contact their PCP’s office directly to schedule. Reminders included best practice wording from behavioral economic nudge “megastudies” including that the appointment had been “reserved for you.”[24] Salient labeling was used for the appointment, which was described as the “Postpartum-to-Primary Care Transition Appointment.”

Patients in the control group received 1 message within 2 weeks of the EDD with a generic recommendation for PCP follow-up after delivery.

Study Outcomes

The primary outcome was attending a “health care maintenance” (i.e., “annual exam”) appointment or receiving disease-specific management relating to the eligibility criteria (obesity, anxiety/depression, diabetes, or hypertension) by a PCP within 4 months of their EDD. This time frame was selected for two reasons: 1) to capitalize on the increased health activation and motivation that has been noted during pregnancy and 2) because these patients were more likely to have conditions that required ongoing and active management outside of the traditional postpartum period (up to 12 weeks after delivery).

Alternate definitions and measures of PCP visit completion were also explored as secondary outcomes: visits restricted to the patient's EHR-listed PCP and self-reported PCP visit within 4 months of the EDD, obtained from a survey sent approximately 5 months after the EDD. Similarly, the primary outcome was compared in the subgroup of patients in the intervention group whose PCP visit was scheduled. We also compared if any PCP visit had occurred or if it was scheduled to occur within 1 year of the EDD at the time of outcome assessment. We examined the following secondary outcomes measuring unscheduled care: emergency room or urgent care use (observed and by self-report) within 4 months of the EDD, obstetric triage visit, and readmission within 4 months after the delivery. All primary and secondary outcomes were assessed in the overall study cohort and within the subset of patients whose PCP was affiliated with the study institution, increasing the probability that PCP visits could be observed in the common EHR system (i.e., both prenatal and primary care visits captured in the same EHR).

To understand the potential impact of the intervention among various patient factors, the primary outcome was compared between groups among chronic conditions (anxiety/depression, diabetes, hypertension, obesity, and multi-morbidity, defined as >1 of the listed conditions), race (self-described Asian, Black, White, or Any Other), ethnicity (self-described Hispanic or non-Hispanic), insurer (private or Medicaid), and individual earnings/income (<$30,000, $30-75,000, or >$75,000).

The primary and most secondary outcomes were ascertained directly by reviewing the patient's medical record approximately 5 months after their EDD. Secondary self-reported outcomes were obtained by an electronic survey sent to patients approximately 5 months after their EDD. Definitions for all reported outcomes are included in the Appendix (eTable 1).

Sample Size Calculation

Based on a historical cohort, we estimated that 33% of the targeted study population would have an eligible PCP visit within 4 months of delivery. We estimated the intervention would

increase the percentage of individuals attending a PCP visit by at least 15 percentage points, a conservative estimate based on a prior study that examined the impact of default scheduling of postpartum obstetric care appointments (24 percentage point increase).[25] Assuming an alpha of 0.05 and power of 80% and using a two-sided z-test, 334 patients were needed to detect a 15-percentage point difference. To account for individuals who may be lost to follow-up or withdraw, we planned to randomize 360 patients.

Statistical Analysis

Patients were analyzed by intention-to-treat. Patients who were lost to follow-up (i.e., transferred obstetric care before delivery) or withdrew before the outcome assessment were excluded. Primary and secondary outcomes were compared using chi-squared, t-tests, and Fisher's exact test, where appropriate. The percentage point difference in outcomes between the two groups was estimated using a linear probability regression model that included two indicator terms for the randomization strata, which were defined *a priori*.

Stata 16.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) was used for the analysis. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Initially, 574 patients were identified as likely to be eligible based on pre-defined eligibility filters within the EHR. Upon chart review, 35 were determined not to be eligible. Of those confirmed eligible, 77 could not be contacted, and 102 declined to be approached. 360 patients were randomized: 176 to the control group and 184 to the intervention group. Six patients were excluded from the final analysis because they transferred their care to another institution before delivery (3 in each group). One patient in the intervention group withdrew from the study before the end of the follow-up period. The final number of patients analyzed in each group was 173 in the control group and 180 in the intervention group (Figure 1). Among study participants, 345/353

(97.7%) accessed study-related messages in the online patient portal. The proportion of patients in the intervention group who received each component of the intervention bundle is included in the Appendix (eTable 2). Of all participants, 61.8% completed the online survey sent electronically 5 months after the EDD.

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics between the two groups. The groups were balanced in all patient characteristics that were assessed. Of the eligibility conditions, which were not mutually exclusive, 75.8% had anxiety or depression, 15.9% had a chronic or pregnancy-related hypertensive disorder, 19.8% had pre-existing or gestational diabetes, and 40.4% had a pre-pregnancy BMI ≥30 kg/m^2. At enrollment, 65.7% had seen a PCP within the prior 3 years, 68.1% had a PCP who was affiliated with the study site's health system, and 70.8% were receiving obstetric care at the hospital's main campus obstetric clinic (as opposed to a satellite or health center clinic).

Table 2 shows the effects of the multi-component, behavioral economics-informed intervention on the primary outcome. The primary outcome occurred in 40.0% in the intervention group and 22.0% in the control group ($p<0.001$). In the regression model, the intervention increased PCP visit completion within 4 months of a patient's EDD by 19.9 percentage points (95% confidence interval (CI) 10.7-29.1). There was no statistically significant difference between groups in emergency or urgent care use: 11.1% vs. 13.3% ($p=0.53$) for observed use in the EHR and 24.0% vs. 22.1% ($p=0.74$) for self-reported use in the intervention and control groups, respectively. Similarly, there was no significant difference in obstetric triage (unscheduled) visits in the intervention and control groups: 15.6% vs. 16.2% ($p=0.87$). However, there were fewer postpartum readmissions in the intervention group: 1.7% vs. 5.8% ($p=0.04$). eTable 3 in the Appendix shows the same comparisons when the analysis was restricted to individuals whose PCP was within the same health system as the study institution, a cohort in which it was more likely the primary outcome would be captured in the shared EHR. The findings were similar to the

overall cohort: the intervention increased PCP visit completion within 4 months of a patient's EDD by 24.7 percentage points (95% confidence interval (CI) 12.7-36.8).

Table 3 shows the primary outcome when analyzed by subgroups based on patient sociodemographic factors. While the study was powered to measure the effect among the entire cohort, significant increases in PCP visit completion were observed in many subgroups, suggesting that the intervention is likely effective across many patient factors.

DISCUSSION

Among pregnant patients with common comorbidities and who had an established PCP, a bundle of behavioral economics-informed interventions, including tailored messaging, nudge reminders, and opt-out appointment scheduling, increased PCP visit completion within 4 months postpartum by approximately 20 percentage points, a nearly 2-fold increase, compared to standard care. The primary finding was robust to multiple definitions or variations of the primary outcome, including self-reported PCP visit attendance. The effects on the primary outcome appeared largely consistent among population subgroups, though small sample sizes limited power in these comparisons. There were no observed changes in emergent or urgent care visits between the two groups; however, we hypothesized that the effects of facilitated primary care engagement on emergent care use are more likely to be a longer-term benefit, which we plan to measure in future studies. Interestingly, the bunded intervention did decrease postpartum readmissions (1.7 vs 5.8%, p=0.04).

Approaches to improving postpartum healthcare utilization have largely focused on increasing the utilization of obstetric postpartum care.[26–33] Our study is most closely aligned with the intervention research on postpartum care navigation, in which trained patient navigators are brought on to identify and holistically address patient-level barriers to care and assist with care coordination.[26,34] Although obstetric care navigators hold great promise for improving postpartum health care use, that level of intervention intensity and cost may not be necessary for most

postpartum people needing primary care. By comparison, we designed and tested a behavioral economics-informed intervention targeted to overcome patient administrative barriers (e.g., appointment scheduling, information seeking, insurance/billing issues) to accessing primary care.[23] We delivered this intervention consistently, including default scheduling of PCP appointments for 75% of participants, of whom the "no-show" appointment rate was only 4%. Thus, this bundled intervention has the potential to be a low-cost, high-impact approach to increasing primary care use, offering a new approach to increasing primary care engagement for people with or at high risk for chronic conditions.

Behavioral economics is a body of theoretical and empirical research studying how people make predictable decision errors and testing interventions that leverage these insights to remove behavioral barriers ("nudges").[35–37] These interventions often try to make it easier for people to make choices they already want to undertake but are not (e.g. because they are too busy, forgetting, etc.). The underlying hypothesis of this work was that many postpartum individuals with (or at high risk for) chronic conditions want to be under the care of a PCP but face multiple barriers to primary care engagement in the postpartum period. Our study design was built to address two of these well-known behavioral barriers, namely, inattention and status-quo bias, and demonstrated how automatic primary care appointment scheduling, a salient label for the appointment, and tailored SMS messages and appointment reminders increased primary care visits within 4 months of delivery. Similar approaches have been effectively used to motivate other health behaviors, including in obstetric and postpartum care.[38–41]

The strengths of this study are its robust randomized controlled trial design and the augmentation of observed outcomes within the EHR with self-reported outcomes, which >60% of participants completed at approximately 5 months postpartum. The trial population was enriched for individuals with anxiety or mood disorders, highlighting a high burden of mental health conditions in this obstetric population and a group requiring ongoing care and management outside of the immediate postpartum period. The intervention increased PCP use but was also

associated with reduced postpartum readmissions. In a post hoc exploration of enrollee characteristics, the prevalence of pregnancy-associated hypertensive disorders (e.g., pre-eclampsia) was higher in the control group (30.6 vs. 21.1% (p=0.04)); these patients are known to be at high risk for postpartum readmission, and the association between readmission and the intervention may be due to chance imbalance in the emergence of pre-eclampsia, which was not present at baseline.[42]

The study was limited in observing healthcare encounters within a single healthcare system, though the health system is large (>1,300 PCPs) and covers much of eastern Massachusetts. Approximately 30% of enrollees had PCPs outside the study site's health system, potentially restricting our ability to observe the primary and secondary outcomes; the supplementation of self-reported data helps to understand the impact of this limitation. The generalizability of the study is limited to individuals who already had an identified PCP at the time of enrollment; given the limited availability of PCPs in certain areas, the effect of the intervention may be lessened for individuals seeking to establish care with a new provider if there are difficulties in establishing care.

In conclusion, a behavioral-science-informed intervention that included default scheduling, nudge reminders, and tailored messaging increased primary care use within 4 months postpartum for patients with or at risk for common comorbidities. This intervention represents a potentially low-cost and scalable solution to increase primary care engagement and ongoing health condition management after pregnancy, a time of high engagement and health activation. Future work is needed to examine the intervention's long-term impact on health outcomes and whether it can be expanded to individuals seeking to establish care with a PCP after delivery.

Disclosures: Dr. Clapp is a scientific medical advisor and has private equity for Delfina Care, which is not related and was not involved in this study.

Presentations: Initial results from this study were presented at UPenn Center for Health Incentives and Behavioral Economics research conference (October 30, 2023; Philadelphia, PA), the 2023 Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management (APPAM) Fall Research Conference (November 9-11, 2023; Atlanta, GA) and the American Economic Association Annual Meeting (January 5-7, 2024; San Antonio, TZ). The study has been submitted for presentation at the 2024 Society for General Internal Medicine's Annual Meeting (May 15-18, 2024; Boston, MA) and the 2024 American Society for Health Economists conference (June 16-19, 2024; San Diego, CA).

Funding: This study was funded by the National Institute for Aging via the MIT Roybal Center for Translational Research to Improve Health Care for the Aging (P30AG064190) and the NBER Roybal Center for Behavior Change in Health (P30AG034532). Additional support was provided by the National Academy of Medicine's Health Catalyst Award.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Pichliya Liang, Hasan Quadri, and Fowsia Warsame for their assistance in conducting this trial.

REFERENCES

1. Admon LK, Winkelman TNA, Moniz MH, Davis MM, Heisler M, Dalton VK. Disparities in Chronic Conditions Among Women Hospitalized for Delivery in the United States, 2005-2014. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;130(6):1319-1326. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002357

2. Bateman BT, Bansil P, Hernandez-Diaz S, Mhyre JM, Callaghan WM, Kuklina EV. Prevalence, trends, and outcomes of chronic hypertension: a nationwide sample of delivery admissions. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;206(2):134.e1-8. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2011.10.878

3. Bardenheier BH, Imperatore G, Gilboa SM, et al. Trends in Gestational Diabetes Among Hospital Deliveries in 19 U.S. States, 2000-2010. *Am J Prev Med*. 2015;49(1):12-19. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.01.026

4. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Ogden CL. Prevalence of Obesity and Trends in the Distribution of Body Mass Index Among US Adults, 1999-2010. *JAMA*. 2012;307(5):491-497. doi:10.1001/jama.2012.39

5. Li M, Gong W, Wang S, Li Z. Trends in body mass index, overweight and obesity among adults in the USA, the NHANES from 2003 to 2018: a repeat cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open*. 2022;12(12):e065425. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065425

6. Fisher SC, Kim SY, Sharma AJ, Rochat R, Morrow B. Is obesity still increasing among pregnant women? Prepregnancy obesity trends in 20 states, 2003–2009. *Prev Med*. 2013;56(6):372-378. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2013.02.015

7. Thomas DT, Benson G, Gan A, Schwager S, Okeson B, Baechler CJ. Fourth Trimester: Assessing Women's Health Equity and Long-Term Cardiovascular Outcomes in a Large Midwestern Health System in 2021. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*. 2024;17(1):e010157. doi:10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.123.010157

8. Lewey J, Levine LD, Yang L, Triebwasser JE, Groeneveld PW. Patterns of Postpartum Ambulatory Care Follow-up Care Among Women With Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2020;9(17):e016357. doi:10.1161/JAHA.120.016357

9. D'Amico R, Dalmacy D, Akinduro JA, et al. Patterns of Postpartum Primary Care Follow-up and Diabetes-Related Care After Diagnosis of Gestational Diabetes. *JAMA Network Open*. 2023;6(2):e2254765. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.54765

10. Bauman BL. Vital Signs: Postpartum Depressive Symptoms and Provider Discussions About Perinatal Depression — United States, 2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6919a2

11. Natality Information. Accessed January 20, 2024. https://wonder.cdc.gov/natality.html

12. Ashburner JM, Horn DM, O'Keefe SM, et al. Chronic disease outcomes from primary care population health program implementation. *Am J Manag Care*. 2017;23(12):728-735.

13. Sung NJ, Markuns JF, Park KH, Kim K, Lee H, Lee JH. Higher quality primary care is associated with good self-rated health status. *Fam Pract*. 2013;30(5):568-575. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmt021

14. Starfield B, Shi L, Macinko J. Contribution of primary care to health systems and health. *Milbank Q*. 2005;83(3):457-502. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0009.2005.00409.x

15. Hughes LS, Cohen DJ, Phillips RL Jr. Strengthening Primary Care to Improve Health Outcomes in the US—Creating Oversight to Address Invisibility. *JAMA Health Forum*. 2022;3(9):e222903. doi:10.1001/jamahealthforum.2022.2903

16. Bennett WL, Chang HY, Levine DM, et al. Utilization of primary and obstetric care after medically complicated pregnancies: an analysis of medical claims data. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2014;29(4):636-645. doi:10.1007/s11606-013-2744-2

17. Cohen JL, Daw JR. Postpartum Cliffs—Missed Opportunities to Promote Maternal Health in the United States. *JAMA Health Forum*. 2021;2(12):e214164. doi:10.1001/jamahealthforum.2021.4164

18. Bellerose M, Rodriguez M, Vivier PM. A systematic review of the qualitative literature on barriers to high-quality prenatal and postpartum care among low-income women. *Health Services Research*. 2022;57(4):775-785. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.14008

19. Ruderman RS, Dahl EC, Williams BR, et al. Provider Perspectives on Barriers and Facilitators to Postpartum Care for Low-Income Individuals. *Womens Health Rep (New Rochelle)*. 2021;2(1):254-262. doi:10.1089/whr.2021.0009

20. Admon LK, Daw JR, Interrante JD, Ibrahim BB, Millette MJ, Kozhimannil KB. Rural and Urban Differences in Insurance Coverage at Prepregnancy, Birth, and Postpartum. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2023;141(3):570. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000005081

21. Johnston EM, McMorrow S, Alvarez Caraveo C, Dubay L. Post-ACA, More Than One-Third Of Women With Prenatal Medicaid Remained Uninsured Before Or After Pregnancy. *Health Affairs*. 2021;40(4):571-578. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.01678

22. Saldanha IJ, Adam GP, Kanaan G, et al. Health Insurance Coverage and Postpartum Outcomes in the US: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Network Open*. 2023;6(6):e2316536. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.16536

23. Kyle MA, Frakt AB. Patient administrative burden in the US health care system. *Health Serv Res*. 2021;56(5):755-765. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.13861

24. Milkman KL, Patel MS, Gandhi L, et al. A megastudy of text-based nudges encouraging patients to get vaccinated at an upcoming doctor's appointment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2021;118(20):e2101165118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2101165118

25. Polk S, Edwardson J, Lawson S, et al. Bridging the Postpartum Gap: A Randomized Controlled Trial to Improve Postpartum Visit Attendance Among Low-Income Women with Limited English Proficiency. *Women's Health Reports*. 2021;2(1):381-388. doi:10.1089/whr.2020.0123

26. Yee LM, Martinez NG, Nguyen AT, Hajjar N, Chen MJ, Simon MA. Using a Patient Navigator to Improve Postpartum Care in an Urban Women's Health Clinic. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;129(5):925-933. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000001977

27. Himes KP, Donovan H, Wang S, Weaver C, Grove JR, Facco FL. Healthy Beyond Pregnancy, a Web-Based Intervention to Improve Adherence to Postpartum Care: Randomized Controlled Feasibility Trial. *JMIR Human Factors*. 2017;4(4):e7964. doi:10.2196/humanfactors.7964

28. Kabakian-Khasholian T, Campbell OMR. A simple way to increase service use: triggers of women's uptake of postpartum services. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 2005;112(9):1315-1321. doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2004.00507.x

29. Polk S, Edwardson J, Lawson S, et al. Bridging the Postpartum Gap: A Randomized Controlled Trial to Improve Postpartum Visit Attendance Among Low-Income Women with Limited English Proficiency. *Women's Health Reports*. 2021;2(1):381-388. doi:10.1089/whr.2020.0123

30. Attanasio LB, Ranchoff BL, Cooper MI, Geissler KH. Postpartum Visit Attendance in the United States: A Systematic Review. *Women's Health Issues*. 2022;32(4):369-375. doi:10.1016/j.whi.2022.02.002

31. Wilcox A, Levi EE, Garrett JM. Predictors of Non-Attendance to the Postpartum Follow-up Visit. *Matern Child Health J*. 2016;20(1):22-27. doi:10.1007/s10995-016-2184-9

32. Masho SW, Cha S, Karjane N, et al. Correlates of Postpartum Visits Among Medicaid Recipients: An Analysis Using Claims Data from a Managed Care Organization. *Journal of Women's Health*. 2018;27(6):836-843. doi:10.1089/jwh.2016.6137

33. Soffer MD, Rekawek P, Pan S, Overbey J, Stone J. Improving Postpartum Attendance among Women with Gestational Diabetes Using the Medical Home Model of Care. *Am J Perinatol*. 2023;40(3):313-318. doi:10.1055/s-0041-1727216

34. McKenney KM, Martinez NG, Yee LM. Patient navigation across the spectrum of women's health care in the United States. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;218(3):280-286. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2017.08.009

35. Rice T. The Behavioral Economics of Health and Health Care. *Annual Review of Public Health*. 2013;34(1):431-447. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031912-114353

36. Jenssen BP, Buttenheim AM, Fiks AG. Using Behavioral Economics to Encourage Parent Behavior Change: Opportunities to Improve Clinical Effectiveness. *Acad Pediatr*. 2019;19(1):4-10. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2018.08.010

37. Matjasko JL, Cawley JH, Baker-Goering MM, Yokum DV. Applying Behavioral Economics to Public Health Policy. *Am J Prev Med*. 2016;50(5 Suppl 1):S13-S19. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2016.02.007

38. Bogale B, Mørkrid K, O'Donnell B, et al. Development of a targeted client communication intervention for pregnant and post-partum women: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2021;398 Suppl 1:S18. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01504-X

39. South EC, Lee K, Oyekanmi K, et al. Nurtured in Nature: a Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial to Increase Time in Greenspace among Urban-Dwelling Postpartum Women. *J Urban Health*. 2021;98(6):822-831. doi:10.1007/s11524-021-00544-z

40. McConnell M, Rothschild CW, Ettenger A, Muigai F, Cohen J. Free contraception and behavioural nudges in the postpartum period: evidence from a randomised control trial in Nairobi, Kenya. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2018;3(5):e000888. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2018-000888

41. Triebwasser JE, Lewey J, Walheim L, Sehdev HM, Srinivas SK. Electronic Reminder to Transition Care After Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2023;142(1):91-98. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000005237

42. Bruce KH, Anderson M, Stark JD. Factors associated with postpartum readmission for hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MFM*. 2021;3(5):100397. doi:10.1016/j.ajogmf.2021.100397

FIGURES

Figure 1. Consort diagram



TABLES

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Analytical Sample

Characteristic	Treatment Group (N=180)	Control Group (N=173)
From Electronic Medical Record at Enrollment		
Patient Age at Estimated Due Date, Mean (SD), Years	34.2 (4.8)	34.0 (5.0)
Gestational Age at Enrollment, Mean (SD), Days	255 (20)	254 (20)
PCP Works Within Study Health System	117 (66.5%)	122 (70.9%)
Receives Routine Prenatal Care at Primary Hospital Location	129 (71.7%)	121 (69.9%)
Had a PCP Visit Within 3 Years of Enrollment	111 (61.7%)	121 (69.9%)
Any Mental Illness	138 (76.7%)	128 (74.0%)
Any Chronic or Gestational Hypertensive Disorder	31 (17.2%)	26 (15.0%)
Any Chronic or Gestational Diabetes Mellitus	31 (17.2%)	38 (22.0%)
Obesity (Pre-pregnancy BMI >= 30 kg/m2)	69 (38.3%)	75 (43.4%)
Race: Asian (Self-Reported)	11 (6.1%)	14 (8.1%)
Race: Black (Self-Reported)	14 (7.8%)	14 (8.1%)
Race: Other (Self-Reported)	25 (13.9%)	26 (15.0%)
Race: White (Self-Reported)	127 (70.6%)	117 (67.6%)
Ethnicity: Hispanic (Self-Reported)	37 (21.0%)	41 (24.4%)
English is Preferred Language (Self-Reported)	167 (92.8%)	161 (93.1%)
From Baseline Survey at Enrollment		
Married	137 (76.1%)	125 (72.3%)
Education: High School Graduate or Some High School	22 (12.2%)	30 (17.3%)
Education: Some College	22 (12.2%)	11 (6.4%)
Education: Bachelor's or Associate Degree	71 (39.4%)	69 (39.9%)
Education: Graduate School Degree	65 (36.1%)	63 (36.4%)
Individual Earnings: $30,000 or less	36 (21.6%)	32 (21.2%)
Individual Earnings: $30,000 - $74,999	55 (32.9%)	37 (24.5%)
Individual Earnings: $75,000 or more	76 (45.5%)	82 (54.3%)
Physical Health "Very Good" or "Excellent"	96 (53.3%)	92 (53.2%)
Mental Health "Very Good" or "Excellent"	79 (43.9%)	67 (38.7%)

Abbreviation: PCP, Primary Care Provider

Table 2. Effects on Care Utilization

Outcomes	Control Group	Intervention Group	p-value	Between-group difference, percentage point (95% CI) [1]
Primary Outcome				
Visit with any PCP visit completion within 4 months of EDD for health maintenance visit or condition-specific management	38/173 (22.0)	72/180 (40.0)	**<0.001**	18.7 (9.0 to 28.2)
Other Measures of Primary Care Utilization				
Primary outcome restricted to visits with a patient's reported PCP	23/173 (12.7)	50/180 (27.8)	**<0.001**	15.1 (6.7 to 23.5)
Self-reported PCP visit completion within 4 months of delivery	46/113 (40.7)	65/105 (61.9)	**0.002**	20.1 (7.0 to 33.3)
Any PCP visit completed or scheduled to be completed within 1 year of EDD	75/173 (43.4)	117/180 (65.0)	**<0.001**	24.0 (14.2 to 33.8)
Emergent or Urgent Care Use				
Emergency Department or Urgent Care Visit	23/173 (13.3)	20/180 (11.1)	0.53	-1.8 (-8.6 to 5.0)
Self-Reported: Visit to Emergency Department or Urgent Care	25/113 (22.1)	25/104 (24.0)	0.74	1.8 (-9.6 to 13.1)
Postpartum Readmission	10/173 (5.8)	3/180 (1.7)	**0.04**	-3.9 (-7.8 to -0.1)

Abbreviation: EDD, estimated date of delivery; PCP, Primary Care Provider
More descriptive definitions for all outcomes are included in the Appendix (eTable 2).
[1] To account for randomization strata, regressions include indicator variables for whether or not the participant had any PCP visit in the 3 years before randomization and whether the participant received prenatal care from a hospital or health center.

Table 3. Primary Outcome by Population Subgroups

Group	Control Group	Intervention Group	p-value	Between-group difference, percentage point (95% CI) [1]
Medical Condition				
Diabetes	9/38 (23.7)	21/31 (67.7)	<0.001	19.3 (9.9 to 28.9)
Hypertension	*7/26 (26.9)*	*16/31 (51.6)*	0.06	24.2 (-0.2 to 50.8)
Mental Health Condition	28/128 (21.9)	56/138 (40.6)	0.001	18.9 (7.9 to 30.0)
Obesity	19/75 (25.3)	27/69 (39.1)	0.08	15.6 (0.2 to 31.1)
Multi-Morbidity	20/73 (27.4)	33/67 (49.3)	0.008	22.3 (6.3 to 38.4)
Race (Self-Reported)				
Asian	3/14 (21.4)	3/11 (27.3)	0.73	3.6 (-32.6 to 39.8)
Black	5/14 (35.7)	8/14 (57.1)	0.26	22.6 (-16.0 to 61.2)
Other	5/26 (19.2)	11/25 (44.0)	0.06	29.7 (2.5 to 57.0)
White	25/117 (21.4)	50/127 (39.4)	0.002	18.4 (6.8 to 30.0)
Ethnicity (Self-Reported)				
Non-Hispanic	29/127 (22.8)	57/139 (41.0)	0.002	18.8 (7.7 to 29.9)
Hispanic	6/41 (14.6)	14/37 (37.8)	0.02	23.7 (3.9 to 43.5)
Insurance Provider				
Medicaid	4/20 (20.0)	8/17 (47.1)	0.08	30.1 (-0.8 to 61.1)
Private	20/97 (20.6)	33/83 (39.8)	0.005	18.6 (5.1 to 32.0
Income (Individual Earnings)				
<$30k	8/32 (25.0)	17/36 (47.2)	0.06	21.0 (-2.1 to 44.0)
$30-75k	8/37 (21.6)	23/55 (41.8)	0.04	20.6 (-0.3 to 40.6)
>$75k	16/82 (19.5)	29/76 (38.2)	0.009	19.4 (5.0 to 33.6)

eFigure 1. Examples of Study Messages

Dear XXX,

Having a primary care provider (PCP) and seeing them at least once a year is important for your current and future health and wellbeing.

These providers have different roles than your obstetrician (pregnancy doctor) or midwife. It is recommended that you see your PCP in the months after your delivery since you will stop seeing your pregnancy provider.

To help support you in transitioning to primary care after your delivery, we will schedule your "**Pregnancy-to-Primary Care Transition**" appointment with your PCP within the next few months. We will message you once the appointment has been scheduled. *If you have date/time preferences for this appointment OR do*

Dear XXX,

Your "**Pregnancy-to-Primary Care Transition**" Appointment has been specially reserved for you:
XXX APPT INFO ##

This appointment was made to help support your transition from your pregnancy provider to your primary care provider (PCP) after your delivery. Your PCP will make sure you have a plan in place to keep you healthy and can answer any questions or concerns you have about your health.

Dear XXX,

Your reserved "**Pregnancy-to-Primary Care Transition**" Appointment is coming up!
XXX APPT INFO ##

This appointment was made to help support your transition from your pregnancy provider to your primary care provider (PCP) after your delivery. Your PCP will make sure you have a plan in place to keep you healthy and can answer any questions or concerns you have about your health.

eTable 1. Outcome Definition Table

Unless otherwise specified, all electronic health record (EHR)-based outcomes were assessed within 4 months of the estimated due date (EDD).

Self-reported outcomes occurred at the time of endline survey completion, unless otherwise noted. Endline surveys were sent to participants approximately 5 months after the EDD.

The trial protocol specified an a priori plan to examine outcomes among the different eligibility conditions (e.g., hypertension, diabetes). Those included in the clinicaltrials.gov registry (NCT 05543265) at the time of initial study entry (9/13/22) are designated in the table.

Outcome	Source	Description	Pre-registered on clinicaltrials.gov
Primary Outcome			
Visit with any PCP for Health Maintenance Visit or Condition-Specific Management	EHR	Primary care providers are defined as those in family medicine, internal medicine, pediatrics, and gynecology practices. Health care maintenance (or "annual exam") was determined by appointment "reason for visit," provider documentation, and diagnosis codes. Condition-Specific Management was defined as a PCP visit for any reason in which one of the study's eligibility conditions were addressed in the provider's plan.	X
Secondary Outcomes			
Primary Outcome Restricted to Visits with Assigned PCP	EHR	Assigned PCP was the PCP listed in the patient's EHR as their PCP at the time of study enrollment.	X
Self-reported PCP Visit	Survey	Question: "When was the last time you saw a primary care provider for any reason? This does not include visits to your pregnancy care provider (OB/GYN or midwife), an emergency department, or urgent care center." Positive Responses: "0-2 months after giving birth" or "3-4 months after giving birth"	X
Any Primary Care Visit or Scheduled within 1 Year of EDD	EHR	A scheduled visits were upcoming visits that were scheduled but had not yet occurred at the time of chart review for the primary outcome.	
Any Emergency Department or Urgent Care Visit	EHR	Emergency and urgent care were defined by visits occurring at those designated locations within the health system.	X
Self-Reported: Visit to Emergency Dept or Urgent Care	Survey	Question: "Since giving birth, have you been to an emergency department or urgent care center to get care for yourself?" Positive Response: "Yes"	
Postpartum Readmission	EHR	Postpartum readmission was defined as any readmission within 4 months of the EDD.	

PCP, primary care provider.

eTable 2. Comparison of the Intervention Components between Groups

Intervention Component	Control (n=173)	Treatment (n=180)
Generic PCP Visit Recommendation Message Sent	173 (100%)	-
Tailored PCP Visit Recommendation Message Sent	-	180 (100%)
PCP Appointment Scheduled by Study Staff	-	137 (76%)
PCP Appointment Scheduled within 4 months EDD	-	124/137 (91%)
PCP Appointment but Patient did not Attend or Cancel (“no-show”)	-	6/137 (4%)
Reminder PCP Appointment Messages Sent via Patient Portal	-	180 (100%)
Text Message (SMS) PCP Appointment Reminders Sent	-	180 (100%)
Electronic Message Sent to PCP about Scheduled Appointment	-	88 (49%)

Abbreviation: PCP, Primary Care Provider; ED, Emergency Department

eTable 3. Effects on Care Utilization for Individuals with a PCP Within the Same Health System

Outcomes	Control Group	Intervention Group	p-value	Between-group difference, percentage point (95% CI) [1]
Primary Outcome				
Visit with any PCP visit completion within 4 months of EDD for health maintenance visit or condition-specific management	30/122 (24.6)	58/117 (49.6)	**<0.001**	24.7 (12.7 to 36.8)
Other Measures of Primary Care Utilization				
Primary outcome restricted to visits with a patient's reported PCP	20/122 (16.4)	43/117 (36.8)	**<0.001**	20.1 (9.0 to 31.3)
Self-reported PCP visit completion within 4 months of delivery	34/84 (41.0)	40/69 (58.0)	**0.04**	16.1 (0.2 to 32.1)
Any PCP visit completed or scheduled to be completed within 1 year of EDD	60/122 (49.2)	92/117 (78.6)	**<0.001**	30.9 (19.6 to 42.3)
Emergent or Urgent Care Use				
Emergency Department or Urgent Care Visit	19/122 (15.6)	12/117 (10.3)	0.22	-5.3 (-13.8 to 3.2)
Self-Reported: Visit to Emergency Department or Urgent Care	16/83 (19.3)	17/68 (25.0)	0.40	5.9 (-7.6 to 19.3)
Postpartum Readmission	4/122 (3.3)	3/117 (2.3)	0.74	-0.6 (-4.9 to 3.5)

More descriptive definitions for all outcomes are included in the Appendix (eTable 2).