AImedReport: A Tool to Facilitate Research Reporting and Translation of AI Technologies in Healthcare

Tracey A. Brereton, M.S.[1], Momin M. Malik, Ph.D., M.S., M.Sc.[1], Lauren M. Rost, Ph.D., M.S.[1], Joshua W. Ohde, Ph.D.[1], Lu Zheng, Ph.D., M.S.[1], M.S., Kristelle A. Jose, M.S.[1], Kevin J. Peterson, Ph.D., M.S.[1], David Vidal, J.D.[1], Mark A. Lifson, Ph.D.[1], Joe Melnick[1], Bryce Flor[1], Jason D. Greenwood, M.D., M.S.[2], Kyle Fisher, M.P.A.[1], Shauna M. Overgaard, Ph.D.[1]

[1]Center for Digital Health, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, United States of America

[2]Department of Family Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, United States of America

Abstract

AImedReport is a documentation repository that consolidates available AI research reporting guidelines and centrally tracks and organizes any information provided as part of following various guidelines. It functions to assist teams by a) outlining phases of the AI lifecycle and clinical evaluation; b) iteratively developing a comprehensive documentation deliverable and historical archive; and c) addressing translation, implementation, and accountability gaps. By acting as a hub for determining what information to capture, it helps navigate team responsibilities, simplify compliance with evaluation and reporting measures, and fulfill requirements to support clinical trial documentation and publications. Here, we give an overview of this system and describe how it can be used to address documentation and collaboration challenges in AI translation.

Abbreviations

AI, artificial intelligence; MLOps, machine learning operations; UX, user experience.

Introduction

The core of AI research in healthcare is carried out by AI data scientists, AI engineers, and clinicians; however, successfully evaluating and translating AI technologies into healthcare requires cross-collaboration beyond this group. Throughout ideation, development, and validation, successful translation requires engaging with many domains, including AI ethicists, quality management professionals, systems engineers, and more (1-5). In this process, unless there is clear, consistent, and unified communication and collaboration across domains, there will be gaps in development, accountability, and implementation (6-9). Documentation is a crucial part of such efforts, but maintaining it throughout the AI lifecycle remains a challenging task (6, 8-10).

In AImedReport, we have established a documentation strategy for AI translation and to simplify compliance with evaluation and reporting standards. This involves organizing available reporting guidelines for different parts of the AI lifecycle, consolidating reporting items from different guidelines, assigning specific roles to team members, and guiding relevant information to capture when knowledge is generated (Appendix A).

Figure 1. Prepare phase of AImedReport.

Development of a Reporting Item Repository

We established a centralized documentation repository by reviewing literature and conducted a scoping review to understand the existing landscape of AI documentation and investigate available resources (reporting guidelines, protocols, standards, etc.). When we cross-referenced the phases of AI development theorized by the AI Evaluation Framework (1), we found that documentation measures were fragmented throughout several reporting guidelines, prompting the consolidation of such resources. Six research reporting guidelines make up the AImedReport, including: CONSORT-AI (11), DECIDE-AI (12), ML Test Score (13), Model Card (14), SPIRIT-AI (15), and TRIPOD (16) (Table 1). The research reporting guidelines are included in the AImedReport as "Reporting Items" and describe considerations for teams to document and maintain, representative of all phases of the AI lifecycle.

Reporting Guideline	Description
Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials – Artificial Intelligence (CONSORT-AI)	Aims to promote transparency and completeness in reporting clinical trials for AI interventions, helping to understand, interpret and appraise the quality of clinical trial design and risk of bias in the reported outcomes; focuses on reporting the results of clinical trials
Developmental and Exploratory Clinical Investigation of DEcision-support systems driven by Artificial Intelligence (DECIDE-AI)	Aims to improve the reporting of studies describing the evaluation of AI-based decision support systems during their early, small-scale implementation in live clinical settings
ML Test Score	Aims to measure production readiness of a machine learning system is by offering a scoring system that focuses on assessing testing and monitoring needs
Model Card	Aims to encourage transparent model reporting, clarifying the intended use cases of models and detailing performance characteristics
Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT-AI)	Aims to promote transparent prospective evaluation and completeness of clinical trial protocol reporting for AI interventions; focuses on publishing the clinical trial protocol before the trial is conducted
Transparent Reporting of a multivariable prediction model for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD)	Aims to improve the transparency and reporting of studies developing, validating, or improving a prediction model

Table 1. Description of reporting guidelines included within the AImedReport.

AImedReport is structured in accordance with the five phases of the AI Evaluation

Framework (1) for clinical research development and evaluation: Prepare, Develop, Validate,

Deploy, and Maintain. The "Prepare" phase focuses on metadata related to the owner, defining

the model's purpose and clinical impact, data preparation, and planning for model

development. The "Develop" phase centers around model development and evaluation,

usability related to inputs, assessing risk and bias, and protocol development for validation

studies. The "Validate" phase catalogs information about the design and execution of how the

model was validated, summative usability testing, generating user education, and planning for

deployment. The "Deploy" phase focuses on clinical validation and generating training

materials. Lastly, the "Maintain" phase plans for post-deployment surveillance and

maintenance and quality monitoring and auditing. These five phases were further broken down

into subphases to organize the Reporting Items within AImedReport. For each "Reporting

Item", the team or team members that need to be involved at each phase and in what ways

(e.g., reporting, maintaining documentation, or utilization) were also defined, as shown in Table

2.

Research & Discovery		Translation	Deployment	
Prepare	**Develop**	**Validate**	**Deploy**	**Maintain**
Purpose and Clinical Impact Data Preparation	Model Development and Evaluation Usability Formative Model Bias Evaluation Study Protocol Development	Validation Planning Usability Summative User Education Deployment Planning	Clinical Validation User Training	Post-Deployment Surveillance and Maintenance Quality Monitoring and Audit
Project Manager Clinical Expert	Project Manager Clinical Expert	Project Manager Clinical Expert	Project Manager Clinical Expert	Clinical Expert Quality Management

	UX Researcher	Ethicist	Data Scientist	MLOps
UX Researcher	UX Researcher	Ethicist	Data Scientist	MLOps
Ethicist	Ethicist	Data Engineer	MLOps	Ethicist
Data Engineer	Data Engineer	Data Scientist	System Engineer	Informaticist
Data Scientist	Data Scientist	System Engineer	Software Engineer	Software Engineer
Regulatory and Legal	Informaticist	UX Researcher	UX Researcher	
Informaticist	System Engineer			
	Software Engineer			

Table 2. AI lifecycle phases into which AImedReport "Reporting Items" were sorted, along with subphases and interdisciplinary alignment that came from further organization.

Tool for the Collaboration and Documentation of AI Technologies

The interactions among AI technologies, their users, and the implementation environments actively define the overall potential effectiveness of AI interventions within healthcare, especially because these tools are complex interventions designed as clinical decision support systems, not autonomous agents. A tailored, step-by-step approach is required to transition AI technologies from being evaluated by statistical performance to clinical validity. To address this translational gap, AImedReport was developed to assist teams in several key areas, including a) outlining phases of the AI lifecycle and clinical evaluation, b) iteratively developing a comprehensive documentation deliverable and historical archive, and c) addressing translation, implementation, and accountability gaps. This is achieved by consolidating the existing landscape of research reporting guidelines into a repository. This repository acts as a centralized documentation hub and provides a standardized list of considerations and accountability assignments as the solution advances across the development lifecycle.

Each "Reporting Item" can be assigned to a team or team member to define who is responsible, accountable, consulted, and informed, who can then use the Reporting Item description as a reference to satisfy their role. For example, project managers, user experience researchers, and machine learning operations (MLOps) can contribute model overview, goals, and future state from their respective perspectives and reference one another's vision. Similarly, data scientists, AI ethicists, informatics teams, and clinical practice committees may use documented demographic data of patient populations to assess items such as bias, differential model performance, appropriate clinical location, and potential clinical workflow location. During deployment and maintenance, the primary user and updater of the documentation will be an MLOps team, to ensure requirements set by previous groups are met, monitoring input and output metrics for drift, volume, and appropriate use. This can also facilitate interoperability between organizations, as the tool provides a standardized format, and documentation can be transferred across organizations and research governing bodies for consumption, auditing, and monitoring. AlmedReport also serves as a source of information describing completed evaluation and research reporting measures and can therefore fulfill reporting requirements to support clinical trial documentation and other publications. Additional descriptions of roles and responsibilities included within AlmedReport can be found in Appendix B.

A link to AlmedReport can be found . AlmedReport is planned to be available as a Web resource and a software platform. This will further enhance the tool's usability, reproducibility, and convenience by providing the ability to automate the documentation process, enhance task completion and generate deliverables in accordance with relevant reporting measures, and

allow for communication and updates to model documents to be centrally available across teams. Introducing such a platform allows for transparent communication of evaluation and reporting measures, but also embraces anticipated changes and modifications that come with development and maintenance.

Limitations

The scope of this work was focused on evaluating, consolidating, and understanding available documentation resources to support AI reporting and facilitate communication across a multidisciplinary team. AlmedReport primarily concentrated on research reporting guidelines to address the immediate gaps identified within documentation practices. Future work will expand to include and align to various frameworks and organizations, including the Food & Drug Administration (17), Coalition for Health AI (18), National Academy of Medicine (5), Health AI Partnership (19), National Institute of Standards and Technology (20), World Health Organization (21), and others. We believe that this documentation can also be used, in its current state, to bridge some of the reporting and documentation requirements for products necessitating design controls under good manufacturing practices of the quality system regulation, such as those that may be Software as a Medical Device (SaMD) (21-23). Future iterations of AlmedReport will better align translational science and regulatory science so that documentation can be used directly by teams pursuing regulated pathways, aligning with the information needed by regulatory review groups, accreditation commissions, and regulatory bodies (e.g., Food & Drug Administration).

Conclusion

Our team developed AImedReport as a strategic effort to address collaboration and documentation challenges in AI translation. AImedReport functions to assists teams by a) outlining phases of the AI lifecycle and clinical evaluation, b) iteratively developing a comprehensive documentation deliverable and historical archive, and c) addressing translation, implementation, and accountability gaps. By consolidating the existing landscape of research reporting guidelines into a repository, AImedReport acts as a centralized documentation hub that provides a standardized list of considerations and accountability assignments to guide information capture when knowledge is generated and simplify compliance with evaluation and reporting measures as AI technologies advances across the lifecycle. Completed measures documented within the AImedReport can also serve as a source of information to fulfill reporting requirements to support clinical trial documentation and other publications.

Appendix

Appendix A: AImedReport

AImedReport is comprised of reporting items, outlined following product lifecycle phases to guide translation and promote transparent and explainable AI/ML-based MMS documentation. Access to the live document can be found here: **AImedReport Link**

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jenXP5miRxcteV6XRU71e-A7sJB46Ztz/edit?usp=sharing&ouid=101890913810826973034&rtpof=true&sd=true

Appendix B: Example AI Research Team Roles and Responsibilities

Role	Example Accountability
Project Manager	Manage the development and execution of a project, tracking progress and team communication to achieve project objectives.
Clinical Expert	Provides knowledge of clinical context throughout the AI lifecycle to inform design, usability, and adoption.
UX Researcher	Gathers user feedback and understands mental models of end users. Identifies users' needs and behaviors through direct observations, interviews, surveys, and usability evaluations to inform product design and development.
Ethicist	Identify types of possible harms, and specific potential subjects of harms; reason about underlying unjust historical and social processes that might eventually lead to differential model performance via measurement error, choice of sample, what data for which subjects are available or not.
Data Engineer	Manage data collection, connections, quality, cleaning, storage, processing and create data pipelines.
Data Scientist	Conduct data cleaning and quality checks, as well as employ appropriate statistical and machine learning approaches to uncover underlying meaningful relationships and patterns within datasets to allow for downstream actionable outcomes
MLOps	Actualize machine learning models into practice implementation and deployment through constructing necessary data connections and pipelines into production environments with appropriate model auditing, maintenance, monitoring, and feedback mechanisms in place.
System Engineer	Plan, define, test, and evaluate products for safety and efficacy against established best practices and procedures and external guidance or standards
Software Engineer	Build out data pipelines towards front-end users, accounting for resilient, scaled, and accurate cloud services through collaboration with data engineers and project developers to capture requirements and algorithms within application end products
Translational Informaticists	Bridges AI-model development and clinical implementation by aligning scientific and operational principles across teams
Regulatory and Legal	Manage and strategize compliance with regulatory policies and procedures,

	including those related to device classification and risk assessments.
Quality Management	Define and establish internal processes to fulfill quality mandates driven by institutions or external governance bodies.

References

1. Overgaard SM, Peterson KJ, Wi CI, Kshatriya BSA, Ohde JW, Brereton T, et al. A Technical Performance Study and Proposed Systematic and Comprehensive Evaluation of an ML-based CDS Solution for Pediatric Asthma. AMIA Jt Summits Transl Sci Proc. 2022;2022:25-35.
2. Lysaght T, Lim HY, Xafis V, Ngiam KY. AI-Assisted Decision-making in Healthcare: The Application of an Ethics Framework for Big Data in Health and Research. Asian Bioeth Rev. 2019;11(3):299-314.
3. IEEE. Addressing ethical dilemmas in AI: listening to engineers report2021. Available from: https://standards.ieee.org/initiatives/artificial-intelligence-systems/ethical-dilemmas-ai-report.html.
4. Gilliland CT, White J, Gee B, Kreeftmeijer-Vegter R, Bietrix F, Ussi AE, et al. The Fundamental Characteristics of a Translational Scientist. ACS Pharmacol Transl Sci. 2019;2(3):213-6.
5. Health Care Artificial Intelligence Code of Conduct. Available from: https://nam.edu/programs/value-science-driven-health-care/health-care-artificial-intelligence-code-of-conduct/.
6. Brereton TA, Malik MM, Lifson M, Greenwood JD, Peterson KJ, Overgaard SM. The Role of Artificial Intelligence Model Documentation in Translational Science: Scoping Review. Interact J Med Res. 2023;12:e45903.
7. Seneviratne MG, Shah NH, Chu L. Bridging the implementation gap of machine learning in healthcare. Bmj Innov. 2020;6(2):45-7.
8. DeCamp M, Lindvall C. Latent bias and the implementation of artificial intelligence in medicine. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2020;27(12):2020-3.
9. Amann J, Blasimme A, Vayena E, Frey D, Madai VI. Explainability for artificial intelligence in healthcare: a multidisciplinary perspective. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2020;20(1):310.
10. Andrews E. "Flying in the dark": Hospital AI tools aren't well documented2021. Available from: https://hai.stanford.edu/news/flying-dark-hospital-ai-tools-arent-well-documented.
11. Liu X, Cruz Rivera S, Moher D, Calvert MJ, Denniston AK, Spirit AI, et al. Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the CONSORT-AI extension. Lancet Digit Health. 2020;2(10):e537-e48.
12. Vasey B, Nagendran M, Campbell B, Clifton DA, Collins GS, Denaxas S, et al. Reporting guideline for the early-stage clinical evaluation of decision support systems driven by artificial intelligence: DECIDE-AI. Nat Med. 2022;28(5):924-33.
13. Breck E, Cai S, Nielsen E, Salib M, Sculley D. The ML test score: A rubric for ML production readiness and technical debt reduction. IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data). 2017:1123-32.
14. Mitchell M, Wu S, Zaldivar A, Barnes P, Vasserman L, Hutchinson B, et al. Model Cards for Model Reporting. Proceedings of the conference on fairness, accountability, and transparency. 2019:220-9.
15. Cruz Rivera S, Liu X, Chan AW, Denniston AK, Calvert MJ, Spirit AI, et al. Guidelines for clinical trial protocols for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the SPIRIT-AI extension. Lancet Digit Health. 2020;2(10):e549-e60.
16. Collins GS, Dhiman P, Andaur Navarro CL, Ma J, Hooft L, Reitsma JB, et al. Protocol for development of a reporting guideline (TRIPOD-AI) and risk of bias tool (PROBAST-AI) for diagnostic and prognostic prediction model studies based on artificial intelligence. BMJ Open. 2021;11(7):e048008.
17. U.S. Food & Drug Administration2023 [Available from: https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices.
18. AI CfH. [Available from: https://coalitionforhealthai.org/.
19. Partnership HA. [Available from: https://healthaipartnership.org/.
20. AI RISK MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK NIST2023 [Available from: https://www.nist.gov/itl/ai-risk-management-framework/ai-rmf-development.

21.   FG-AI4H deliverables overview

 International Telecommunications Union (ITU) [Available from: https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/focusgroups/ai4h/Pages/deliverables.aspx.
22.   CFR - Code of Federal Regulations Title 21 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?fr=56.115.
23.   ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 42 ISO2017 [Available from: https://www.iso.org/committee/6794475.html.

Purpose and Desired Impact

Active	Completed?	Responsible	Accountable	Consulted	Informed	Reporting Item: Description	Reporting Item: Project	Reporting Guideline Referenced	Section of Reporting Guideline Referenced	Item Number Referenced
1.1						Identify the study as developing and/or validating a multivariable prediction model, the target population, and the outcome to be predicted.		TR|POD	Title	1
						Explain the medical context (including whether diagnostic or prognostic) and rationale for developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including references to existing models.		TR|POD	Background and Introduction	3a
1.2						Describe the targeted medical condition(s) and intended use(s), including the current standard practice, and the intended patient population(s).		SPIRIT-AI	Title	1(d)
						Describe the primary intended uses of the model		Model Cards	Model Details	
1.3								DECIDE-AI	Model Details	(introduction)
1.4						Describe the intended context in which the model is to be used		SPIRIT-AI	Background and Introduction	6a(i)
						Describe the secondary contexts in which the model is, its planned integration in the care pathway, and (or), potential effect, including patient outcomes, that it intended to address.		CONSORT-AI	Background and Introduction	6a(i)
						Describe primary intended uses of the model		Model Cards	Model Details	
1.5						Discuss the potential clinical use of the model and implications for future research		DECIDE-AI	Implications	(introduction)