Pontine Infarction: Cohort Study of Clinical Features, Prognosis, and Relation to Branch Atheromatous Disease

Authors:

Ryota Motoie, MD; Kotaro Ono, MD; Hiroshi Oketani MD; Yosuke Kawano, MD; Shintaro Nagaoka, MD; Kazushi Maeda, MD; Yoshio Suyama, MD, PhD; Hidefuku Gi, MD, PhD, Yukihide Kanemoto, MD, PhD

Affiliations:

Baba Memorial Hospital

4-244, Hamaderafunaochohigashi, Nishi-ku Sakai-shi, Osaka, 592-8341, Japan
072-**265-5558**

Corresponding author:

Ryota Motoie, MD; motoie3ryota@gmail.com/+819039453006

Short title:

Pontine infarction in focus

Total word count:

4,043 words

ABSTRACT

Background: Despite existing research on brainstem infarction, there are limited evidence for pontine infarctions, which have been often associated with significant recurrence risks and variable outcomes. We aimed to analyze the characteristics, prognosis, and rehabilitation outcomes of pontine infarctions, including branch atheromatous disease (BAD).

Methods: We analyzed 408 pontine stroke cases from the Baba Memorial Hospital (2012-2022), excluding irrelevant cases. We focused on variables, such as the age, sex, medical history, antithrombotic drug use, symptoms, BAD, and functional independence measure (FIM) scores at admission and discharge. The patients were categorized into good and poor prognosis groups based on their discharge FIM scores. Univariate and logistic regression analyses were performed with age adjustments because of their strong correlation. We performed a comparison between the patients with and without BAD, followed by multiple regression analysis using FIM efficiency.

Result: We evaluated the rehabilitation outcomes in patients with pontine infarction using the FIM. We recorded a 63% rate of home discharge, similar to that of other stroke types. Patients with an admission FIM score ≥63 were more likely to be discharged regardless of their age. Factors, such as the age, paralytic symptoms, and stroke history determined the rehabilitation efficiency and discharge outcomes significantly($p<0.05$). BAD did not impact prognosis significantly, despite its association with lower admission FIM scores caused by dysarthria and paralysis. Notably, a history of smoking and stroke was associated with lower FIM scores, indicating diminished rehabilitation effectiveness. Conversely, patients with dyslipidemia exhibited improved rehabilitation outcomes.

Conclusions: This study demonstrated a 63% home discharge rate for patients who have had pontine stroke, akin to others. Elevated admission FIM scores enhance the likelihood of discharge, whereas smoking and previous strokes impede rehabilitation, highlighting the need for specialized treatment approaches and additional studies.

Key words: branch atheromatous disease, BAD, functional independence measure, FIM, pontine stroke

Background

Despite existing literature on brainstem stroke, comprehensive analyses of pontine stroke are limited. Typically, pontine strokes are associated with a favorable prognosis; however, they entail a substantial risk of recurrence and poor outcomes (1,2). Studies on branch atheromatous disease (BAD) in cerebral stroke are limited.

BAD was first characterized as "atheromatous branch disease" in 1989 because of pathologies beyond arteriosclerosis or lipohyalinosis; it is a recognized cerebral stroke subtype (3). It is categorized as “other” in the Trial of ORG 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment classification (4). BAD affects the basal ganglia and pons predominantly, including the lenticulostriate artery (LSA) and paramedian pontine artery (PPA). The hallmark of early neurological deterioration (END) affects prognosis critically (5). Researchers have identified hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, and smoking as END risk factors for BAD affecting the LSA; nonetheless, their individual contributions remain largely unquantified (6,7). Reports have focused primarily on BAD in the LSA-influenced basal ganglia (8,9). However, studies on PPA-related pontine stroke and pontine BAD are limited. This article presents a prospective cohort study that examined the characteristics, prognosis, and rehabilitation outcomes of pontine stroke, including BAD.

Methods

Patients

From 2012 to 2022, we identified 751 patients diagnosed with brainstem stroke (including midbrain, pons, and medulla oblongata infarctions) from the electronic medical records of the Baba Memorial Hospital. We excluded cases with missing data (26 cases), chronic brainstem infarctions (26 cases), multiple cerebral infarctions, including basilar artery occlusions (62 cases), non-brainstem stroke (92 cases), cases without magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) within 3 days of hospitalization (38 cases), and asymptomatic cases (15 cases). Finally, a focused analysis was conducted on 408 pontine stroke cases, excluding 84 non-pontine brainstem stroke cases (Figure 1). All medical records were stored in the electronic database of the Baba Memorial Hospital. Cases with high signal intensity on DWI at the base of the pons were identified as BAD (10).

The institutional clinical medical ethics committee of Baba Memorial Hospital approved this study (202401). Relatives of the patients provided written informed consent before treatment.

Analysis

The analysis included variables, such as the age, sex, medical history, use of antithrombotic drugs, symptoms, BAD, and functional independence measure (FIM) scores at admission and discharge. Therapists assessed the FIM scores at both admission and discharge. The discharge FIM was used for prognostic evaluation, and the FIM efficiency was used to determine the effectiveness of rehabilitation. A discharge FIM ≥90, as suggested by prior studies, was set as the threshold for a good prognosis group (11). The FIM efficiency was calculated by subtracting the admission FIM from the discharge FIM, dividing this difference by the maximum possible FIM score without the admission FIM, and multiplying it by 100 (Figure 2) (12).

Initially, patients with brainstem stroke were divided into two groups based on their discharge FIM as follows: (i) good prognosis with scores ≥90 and (ii) poor prognosis group with scores of ≤89. Univariate analysis was conducted for all variables, and a logistic regression analysis was performed for variables with p-values <0.05. Considering the anticipated strong correlation with age, we performed a logistic regression analysis after matching for the age. Subsequently, we compared patients with and without BAD. We performed a multiple regression analysis focusing on pontine stroke prognosis using FIM efficiency as the dependent variable. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was conducted to minimize the impact of age.

Considering the super-aged society of Japan and the prevalence of pre-existing dependency on care in patients with pontine stroke, all analyses were adjusted for the age.

All statistical analyses were performed using the R software (version 3.4.3; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Result

Univariate analysis of good and poor prognosis groups

Univariate analysis was conducted on 407 patients with stroke to identify the factors influencing prognosis (Table 1). Age, dyslipidemia, a history of stroke, regular alcohol use, and use of antithrombotic drugs exerted significant effects on the outcomes. Notably, the age was higher in the poor prognosis group (p<0.001); both dyslipidemia (p = 0.036) and a history of stroke (p<0.001) were more prevalent in the poor prognosis group. Regular alcohol use (p<0.001) and antithrombotic drug use (p=0.006) were associated

with poor prognosis. The admission FIM scores were lower in the poor prognosis group ($p<0.001$), and FIM efficiency was higher in the good prognosis group ($p<0.001$).

Age-based propensity score matching using the nearest neighbor method, followed by a logistic regression analysis

Significant variables identified through the univariate analysis (age, dyslipidemia, a history of stroke, regular alcohol consumption, antithrombotic medication use, and admission FIM scores) were further analyzed using a logistic regression analysis. Upon recognizing the established impact of age on patient prognosis, we performed age-adjusted logistic regression (Table 2) to elucidate the independent influence of each factor on the outcomes.

The admission FIM score, with a coefficient of 0.0865 ($p<0.001$), was the most influential factor for prognosis. In contrast, the use of antithrombotic drugs, dyslipidemia, regular alcohol use, and a history of stroke did not exert a statistically significant impact on prognosis. In addition, the optimal cut-off point for the admission FIM score was 63.0, with a sensitivity of 95.2% and specificity of 81.0%.

Univariate analysis of the BAD-diagnosed and non-diagnosed groups

Univariate analysis was conducted for the group diagnosed with BAD)(n=119) and the non-diagnosed group (n=288) (Table 3). The incidence of dysarthria was significantly higher in the diagnosed group (76.5%) than that in the undiagnosed group (40.6%; p=0.001). Similarly, the incidence of paralysis was significantly higher in the diagnosed group (70.6%) than that in the non-diagnosed group (47.2%) (p=0.001). We observed a significant difference in the admission FIM scores (p=0.010).

However, we observed no significant differences between the groups regarding the use of antithrombotic drugs, regular alcohol consumption, diabetes, dyslipidemia, sex, hypertension, other symptoms, smoking history, or a history of stroke.

Impact of multiple factors on FIM efficiency

We performed a multiple regression analysis to evaluate factors affecting FIM efficiency (Supplementary table 1). Significant differences were observed for the age (coefficient=-0.8977, p=4.65e-9), dyslipidemia (coefficient=8.001, p=0.0495), smoking history (coefficient=-7.391, p=0.0378), and

stroke (coefficient=-10.843, p=0.0068). Thus, the age, smoking history, and stroke exerted a negative impact on the dependent variable, indicating a decrease in FIM scores with increasing age. However, the use of antithrombotic drugs (coefficient=-3.632, p=0.3498) and BAD (coefficient=-2.097, p=0.5367) did not exert a significant effect.

ANCOVA analysis considering the impact of age

ANCOVA was performed to account for the effects of age (Table 4). Significant effects on FIM scores were confirmed for the age (coefficient=-0.8844, p<0.001), dyslipidemia (coefficient=8.499, p=0.0363), stroke (coefficient=-10.6701, p=0.0077), and smoking history (coefficient=-7.427, p=0.0372). The Multiple R-squared value was 0.1417, and the adjusted R-squared value was 0.1222, indicating the analyzed model explained approximately 14.17% of the data variance (12.22% upon adjustment). The Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) was <1.3 for all independent variables.

Discussion

The modified Rankin Scale (mRS) is commonly used to assess stroke outcomes; it is frequently utilized as a primary endpoint in clinical studies (13). The mRS categorizes patients into seven levels, including death, and its simplicity is one of the reasons for its widespread use. FIM was developed in 1983 by the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine and the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (14). It comprises 13 items assessing physical function and five items evaluating cognitive function; each item is scored from 1 to 7. This scoring system facilitates the precise assessment of a patient's activities of daily living, making it a frequently used tool for determining the effectiveness of rehabilitation and predicting outcomes (15,16). Therefore, we assessed the recovery levels after rehabilitation using FIM efficiency based on the FIM scores at admission and discharge.

Prognosis of pontine strokes

In this study, 63% of the patients were discharged to their homes (Table 1). This percentage is comparable to the prognosis of other types of strokes; a study published in 2016 indicated that approximately 63% of older adults aged ≥70 years were discharged to their homes (17).

The patients with an admission FIM score ≥63 could be discharged regardless of their age (sensitivity, 95%; specificity, 81%) (Table 2). Upon dividing into two groups based on an admission FIM cut-off point of 63, the multivariate analysis suggested significant differences caused by the age, paralysis

symptoms, and a history of stroke (Supplementary table 2). These factors not only hinder home discharge but also reduce the efficiency of rehabilitation, highlighting them as significant factors in patient care.

BAD in pontine strokes

BAD has poor prognosis (9,14). Therefore, we hypothesized that BAD may affect the poor prognosis in pontine infarction. However, BAD was not associated with the prognosis. To gain a deeper understanding, we performed a univariate analysis by dividing the patients into groups based on the presence of BAD. The results (Table 3) indicated significant differences in dysarthria, paralysis, and FIM scores on admission according to BAD. However, no significant differences were observed in the discharge FIM scores. Therefore, dysarthria and paralysis may lead to lower admission FIM scores in pontine stroke BAD; however, the differences may have been mitigated by rehabilitation during discharge. Considering no reports on FIM efficiency use for prognostic evaluation, it is challenging to compare our results with those of existing studies.

However, BAD in the pontine region did not influence the prognosis, consistent with previous results based on MRI (18). The treatment for BAD in the pontine region has likely been successful, but remains uncertain because specific treatments were not thoroughly examined in this study, warranting further research.

Rehabilitation outcomes in patients who have had pontine stroke

Limited studies have examined the effectiveness of rehabilitation for pontine infarction. We evaluated this aspect using the FIM efficiency. The age-adjusted FIM efficiency results (Table 4) indicated dyslipidemia, smoking history, and stroke as statistically significant factors. Generally, the responsiveness to rehabilitation decreases with age (19,20). Thus, we performed age adjustment using ANCOVA. However, this adjustment did not completely eliminate the influence of age. Researchers should interpret the results cautiously because age-related factors may still exert an impact.

A history of smoking and previous stroke negatively impacts the FIM scores, potentially reducing the effectiveness of rehabilitation. Reports have elucidated the effect of smoking on rehabilitation outcomes, consistent with our findings (21,22). Because rehabilitation effectiveness depends on cognitive function, we examined the relationship between stroke history and cognitive function on admission FIM.

The group with a history of stroke demonstrated significantly lower FIM cognitive function scores ($p<0.001$) (Supplementary figure 1) (23). Thus, patients with a history of stroke may experience a more negative impact on FIM efficiency than those without a history of stroke. Therefore, in the age-adjusted model for pontine stroke, both smoking and stroke histories diminished the effectiveness of rehabilitation independently.

Interestingly, the dyslipidemia group demonstrated favorable results in FIM efficiency ($t=2.010$). This outcome may be attributed to the common prescription of statins in such cases, which may have contributed positively (24). The effectiveness of statins combined with dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) in patients with BAD is being studied in China, and the results are highly anticipated (25).

Effectiveness of rehabilitation in pontine strokes

Despite the adjustments made using the ANCOVA, the influence of age cannot be entirely eliminated.

A history of both smoking and stroke exerted negative effects on the FIM scores, suggesting they may lower rehabilitation effectiveness. The impact of smoking on rehabilitation outcomes has been previously reported and aligns with our findings. Upon investigating the influence of a history of stroke on rehabilitation effectiveness, we observed a significant difference in cognitive function at admission (Supplementary figure 1). Cognitive impairment worsens the rehabilitation outcomes. Hence, a history of stroke affects FIM efficiency. Furthermore, both smoking and stroke histories reduced rehabilitation effectiveness independently in the age-adjusted model for pontine stroke.

In contrast, the group with dyslipidemia demonstrated favorable results in FIM efficiency ($t=2.010$). This finding may be attributed to the prevalent prescription of statins in these cases.

Statistical evaluation

The results of propensity score matching adjusted for the age were considered reasonable based on an F1 score ranging from 0.789 to 0.859 and an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of 0.893. The ANCOVA model generated a multiple R-squared value of 0.142, indicating that the dependent variables could only explain approximately 14% of the variance for FIM efficiency as the outcome variable. This finding suggests that unknown factors may affect FIM efficiency and underscores the need for further research to identify other influencing factors. In addition, the VIF values for all dependent variables were <1.3, indicating the minimal impact of multicollinearity.

The following conclusions were drawn from the study:

- The prognosis of pontine stroke is strongly influenced by the age and FIM score at admission.
- Patients with an admission FIM score ≥63 are more likely to be discharged home.
- In pontine strokes, BAD does not affect the prognosis.
- The FIM efficiency was negatively affected by the age, stroke history, and smoking history.

Our findings suggested that 63% of the patients with pontine stroke were discharged, comparable to that of patients with other types of stroke. Patients with an admission FIM score of ≥63 were more likely to be discharged regardless of their age. BAD in the pontine region does not directly affect the prognosis. Furthermore, smoking and stroke histories were identified as risk factors that decrease the effectiveness of rehabilitation. These findings are significant for treatment strategies and rehabilitation planning for patients with pontine stroke and warrant the need for further research.

Limitation

FIM efficiency was used to assess the effectiveness of rehabilitation. In its calculation, the "theoretical maximum score" (126 points) was used as the denominator, which represents the maximal functional level of a patient before the onset of the illness. However, preexisting conditions or physiological changes caused by aging may suggest that the pre-illness functional level is lower than the maximum score. Thus, patients with a lower functional level before experiencing a stroke may be assessed as having undergone less effective rehabilitation than the reality. Assessing pre-stroke FIM is unreasonable, and estimating it is challenging; hence, we used 126 points as the maximum score in the calculations. However, this calculation method introduced a potential bias.

The presence of early neurological deterioration (END) is unclear; therefore, we cannot conclude whether BAD is associated with the prognosis or FIM efficiency. Further research on END is required for a more comprehensive understanding.

Acknowledgments: We express our profound gratitude to the Chairman of Baba Memorial Hospital, the SCU staff, and the Medical Information Management Department.

Funding sources: No funding was received from any source.

Disclosure:

The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript.

References

1. Kumral E, Bayülkem G, Evyapan D. Clinical spectrum of pontine infarction. Clinical-MRI correlations. *Journal of Neurology* 2002;249:1659-1670.

2. Oh S, Bang OY, Chung CS, Lee KH, Chang WH, Kim GM. Topographic location of acute pontine infarction is associated with the development of progressive motor deficits. *Stroke* 2012;43:708-713.

3. Caplan LR. Intracranial branch atheromatous disease: A neglected, understudied, and underused concept. *Neurology* 1989;39:1246-1250.

4. AdamsJr HP, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, Biller J, Love BB, Gordon DL, Marsh EE 3rd. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in acute stroke treatment. *Stroke* 1993;24(1):35-41.

5. Petrone L, Nannoni S, Del Bene A, Palumbo V, Inzitari D. Branch atheromatous disease: A clinically meaningful, yet unproven concept. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* 2016;41:87-95.

6. Nakase T, Yamazaki T, Ogura N, Suzuki A, Nagata K. The impact of inflammation on the pathogenesis and prognosis of ischemic stroke. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences* 2008;271:104-109.

7. Kwan MWM, Mak W, Cheung RTF, Ho SL. Ischemic stroke related to intracranial branch atheromatous disease and comparison with large and small artery diseases. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences* 2011;303:80-84.

8. Deguchi I, Takahashi S. Pathophysiology and optimal treatment of intracranial branch atheromatous disease. *Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis* 2023;30:701-709.

9. Ha SH, Jeong S, Park JY, Chang JY, Kang DW, Kwon SU, Kim JS, Kim BJ. Association between arterial tortuosity and early neurological deterioration in lenticulostriate artery infarction. *Scientific Reports* 2023;13:19865.

10. Deguchi I, Hayashi T, Kato Y, Nagoya H, Ohe Y, Fukuoka T, Maruyama H, Horiuchi Y, Tanahashi N. Treatment outcomes of tissue plasminogen activator infusion for branch atheromatous disease.

Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases 2013;22:e168–e172.

11. Sato A, Fujita T, Yamamoto Y. Activities of daily living independence level for home discharge in stroke patients based on number of caregivers: an analysis of the Japan Rehabilitation Database. *Physical Therapy Research* 2017;20:23-27.

12. Tokunaga M, Higashi T, Inoue R, Ohkubo T, Watanabe S. Comparison of functional independence measure (FIM) gain between groups with extreme differences in FIM score at admission. *International Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2017;05.

13. Shinohara Y, Minematsu K, Amano T, Ohashi Y, Group MRS. Reliability of modified Rankin Scale. *Japanese Journal of Stroke* 2007;29:6-13.

14. Men X, Hu M, Guo Z, Li Y, Zheng L, Wu R, Huang X, Zhang B, Lu Z. Culprit plaques of large parent arteries, rather than cerebral small vessel disease, contribute to early neurological deterioration in stroke patients with intracranial branch atheromatous disease. *Cerebrovascular Diseases* Published online March 30 2023.

15. Sonoda S, Tsubahara A, Tajiri H, Igari M, Sawa S, Saito M, Domen K, Chino N. Functional evaluation after stroke using the FIM (Functional Independence Measure). *Japanese Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine* 1992;29:217-222.

16. Gueye T, Dedkova M, Rogalewicz V, Grunerova-Lippertova M, Angerova Y. Early post-stroke rehabilitation for upper limb motor function using virtual reality and exoskeleton: equally efficient in older patients. *Neurologia i Neurochirurgia Polska* 2021;55:91-96.

17. Dutrieux RD, van Eijk M, van Mierlo ML, van Heugten CM, Visser-Meily JM, Achterberg WP. Discharge home after acute stroke: differences between older and younger patients. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine* 2016;48:14-18.

18. Zhao FL, Mi DH, Zhang CQ, Song QH, Liu HS, Dai HL, Liu ZM, Ge CQ, Wang YJ, Liu LP, et al. A cohort study of isolated brainstem infarction based on head MR imaging and clinical findings. *Journal of International Medical Research* 2018;46:4974-4984.

19. Bagg S, Pombo AP, Hopman W. Effect of age on functional outcomes after stroke rehabilitation. *Stroke* 2002;33:179-185.

20. van der Laag PJ, Arends SAM, Bosma MS, van den Hoogen A. Factors associated with successful rehabilitation in older adults: A systematic review and best evidence synthesis. *Geriatric Nursing* 2021;42:83-93.

21. Karaahmet OZ, Umay E, Gurcay E, Serçe A, Gundogdu I, Cakci A. The effect of premorbid features on post-stroke rehabilitation outcome. *Iranian Journal of Neurology* 2018;17:38-46.

22. Gaalema DE, Cutler AY, Higgins ST, Ades PA. Smoking and cardiac rehabilitation participation: associations with referral, attendance and adherence. *Preventive Medicine* 2015;80:67-74.

23. Araki Y, Furuichi M, Nokura H, Iwata T. [Influence of pre-existing cognitive impairment on rehabilitation outcomes in patients with cerebral infarction]. *Brain and Nerve* 2018;70:651-660.

24. Alidadi M, Montecucco F, Jamialahmadi T, Al-Rasadi K, Johnston TP, Sahebkar A. Beneficial effect of statin therapy on arterial stiffness. *BioMed Research International* 2021;2021:5548310.

25. Huang YC, Lee JD, Weng HH, Lin LC, Tsai YH, Yang JT. Statin and dual antiplatelet therapy for the prevention of early neurological deterioration and recurrent stroke in branch atheromatous disease: a protocol for a prospective single-arm study using a historical control for comparison. *BMJ Open* 2021;11:e054381.

Table 1. Univariate analysis of good vs. poor prognosis in pontine infarction

Factors	All patients	Poor prognosis	Good prognosis	p-value
Number (%)	407	150 (36.9)	257 (63.1)	
Sex (%)	278	95 (63.3)	183 (71.2)	0.122
Age	76.0 (54.0–93.0)	79.00 (73.00, 86.00)	71.00 (64.00, 77.00)	<0.001
BAD	119	49 (32.7)	70 (27.2)	0.26
Hypertension (%)	266	99 (66.0)	167 (65.0)	0.914
Diabetes mellitus (%)	161	58 (38.7)	103 (40.1)	0.834
Dyslipidemia (%)	76	20 (13.3)	56 (21.8)	0.036
Stroke (%)	102	53 (35.3)	49 (19.1)	<0.001
Smoking history (%)	192	67 (44.7)	125 (48.6)	0.472
Daily alcohol use (%)	136	33 (22.0)	103 (40.1)	<0.001
Antithrombotic drugs (%)	113	54 (36.0)	59 (23.0)	0.006
Paralysis (%)	236	91 (60.7)	145 (56.4)	0.408
Ataxia (%)	25	8 (5.3)	17 (6.6)	0.674
Dysarthria (%)	262	98 (65.3)	164 (63.8)	0.83
Other symptoms (%)	91	31 (20.7)	60 (23.3)	0.622
Admission FIM, median (IQR)	76.0 (54.0–93.0)	51.00 (34.25-66.00)	89.00 (74.00–99.00)	<0.001
FIM efficiency, median (IQR)	43.0 (14.872.4)	66.00 (44.00- 81.00)	113.00 (104.00–119.00)	<0.001

FIM, functional independence measure; IQR, interquartile range

Table 2. Age-matched propensity score matching

Factors	Coefficient	Standard error	z value	p-value
Antithrombotic drugs	0.220	0.938	0.234	0.815
Dyslipidemia	0.030	1.001	0.030	0.976
Daily alcohol use	0.871	0.866	1.006	0.315
Admission FIM	0.087	0.017	5.012	<0.001
Stroke	-1.435	0.85	-1.688	0.091

FIM, functional independence measure

Table 3. Univariate analysis results of BAD in the pontine region

Factor	All patients	BAD(-)	BAD+	p-value
Number (%)	407	288(70.8)	119 (29.2)	
Sex (%)	278	199 (69.1)	79 (66.4)	0.640
Age, years, median (IQR)	73 (67–81)	73 (66.0–81.0)	75 (68.0–82.0)	0.299
Hypertension (%)	266	189 (65.6)	77 (64.7)	0.909
Diabetes mellitus (%)	161	121 (42.0)	40 (33.6)	0.120
Dyslipidemia (%)	76	54 (18.8)	22 (18.5)	1.000
Stroke (%)	102	79 (27.4)	23 (19.3)	0.102
Smoking history (%)	192	141 (49.0)	51 (42.9)	0.277
Daily alcohol use (%)	136	99 (34.4)	37 (31.1)	0.565
Antithrombotic drugs (%)	113	86 (29.9)	27 (22.7)	0.147
Paralysis (%)	236	152 (52.8)	84 (70.6)	0.001
Ataxia (%)	25	20 (6.90)	5 (4.20)	0.368
Dysarthria (%)	262	171 (59.4)	91 (76.5)	0.001
Other symptoms (%)	91	71 (24.7)	20 (16.8)	0.090
Admission FIM, median (IQR)	76.0 (54.0–93.0)	78.5 (55.8–94.0)	72.0 (51.5–86.5)	0.010
Discharge FIM, median (IQR)	12.0 (77.0–115.0)	104.0 (79.8–116.0)	96.0 (75.0–113.5)	0.109
FIM efficiency, median (IQR)	43.0 (14.8-72.4)	44.6 (12.5–73.0)	40.0 (16.2–71.0)	0.709

FIM, functional independence measure; IQR, interquartile range; and BAD, branch atheromatous disease

Table 4. ANCOVA analysis accounting for the effects of age

	Estimate	**Standard error**	**t value**	**p-value**
Age	-0.884	0.150	-5.912	7.29e-09
Sex	-1.596	3.685	-0.433	0.665
BAD	-2.050	3.395	-0.604	0.546
Antithrombotic drugs	-3.436	3.881	-0.885	0.376
Dyslipidemia	8.499	4.046	2.101	0.036
Diabetes mellitus	2.529	3.274	0.773	0.440
Stroke	-10.670	3.985	-2.678	0.008
Smoking history	-7.427	3.553	-2.091	0.037
Daily alcohol use	6.066	3.482	1.742	0.082

ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; BAD, branch atheromatous disease



Figure 1. Flow diagram of the study selection process

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging

$$Fim\ effectiveness\ = \frac{(Discharge\ FIM\ score\ - Admission\ FIM\ score)}{(Maximum\ FIM\ score\ - Admission\ FIM\ score)} \times 100$$

Figure 2. Formula for calculating the FIM efficiency

FIM, functional independence measure


751 cases
(Jan 1, 2012- Dec 31, 2022)
Data Missing (n=26)
Chronic Brainstem infarctions (n=26)
Multiple infarctions (n=62)
Not brain stem infarctions (n=92)
No MRI within 3 Days (n=38)
Asymptomatic infarctions (n=15)
Not pons lesion (n=84)
Included in Analysis
(n=408)

$$FIM\ efficiency\ = \frac{(Discharge\ FIM\ score\ - Admission\ FIM\ score)}{(Maximum\ FIM\ score\ - Admission\ FIM\ score)} \times 100$$