Is obesity in the Asia-Pacific body mass index classification associated with the development of chronic kidney disease in non-diabetic Japanese men and women?

Authors' names and affiliations

Yukari Okawa, M.P.H., Ph.D.[1], Toshiharu Mitsuhashi, M.D., Ph.D., Assistant Professor[2], and Toshihide Tsuda, M.D., Ph.D., Professor[3]

[1]Department of Public Health and Welfare, Zentsuji City Hall, 2-1-1 Bunkyo-cho, Zentsuji City, Kagawa 765-8503, Japan

[2]Center for Innovative Clinical Medicine, Okayama University Hospital, 2-5-1 Shikata-cho, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8558, Japan

[3]Department of Human Ecology, Graduate School of Environmental and Life Science, Okayama University, 3-1-1 Tsushima-naka, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8530, Japan

Corresponding author

Yukari Okawa

Department of Public Health and Welfare, Zentsuji City Hall, 2-1-1 Bunkyo-cho, Zentsuji City, Kagawa 765-8503, Japan

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1704-6609

Tel. +81 877 63 6308

Fax. +81 877 63 6368

E-mail okw3923@gmail.com

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all participants of this study, Ayaka Nakatsu, Masako Matsumoto, Mayumi Kitadani, and all local government officers of Zentsuji City for their support and contribution. We thank Anahid Pinchis, BSc, MBA, from Edanz (https://jp.edanz.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

Competing interests

This research received no specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. Y.O. is employed by Zentsuji City. T.M. and T.T. declare no potential competing interests.

Abstract

Objective:

Obesity is a risk factor for the development of chronic kidney disease (CKD). However, little is known about the relationship between the Asia-Pacific body mass index classification (Asia-Pacific classification) and the development of CKD in non-diabetic Asian men and women.

Methods:

Body mass index (BMI) was categorized in accordance with the Asia-Pacific classification: underweight (<18.5 kg/m^2), normal weight (18.5–22.9 kg/m^2), overweight (23.0–24.9 kg/m^2), obesity class I (25.0–29.9 kg/m^2), and obesity class II (≥30.0 kg/m^2). Using administrative checkup data for non-diabetic Japanese adults (1998–2023), we assessed the relationship between the Asia-Pacific classification and the development of CKD by sex. The Weibull accelerated failure time model was selected because the proportional hazards assumption was violated.

Results:

In total, 34.2% of 3098 men and 34.8% of 4391 women had developed CKD. The mean follow-up time was 7.41 years for men and 8.25 years for women. Compared with normal weight, obesity class I was associated with a 6% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 2%–10%) shorter time to CKD development in men and a 6% (95% CI: 2%–9%) shorter time to CKD development in women. Obesity class II was associated with the shortest survival to the development of CKD by

point estimate in men but had an almost null value in women (i.e., 95% CIs crossed 1 in all of the models).

Conclusions:

Among non-diabetic Japanese men and women, obesity class I in the Asia-Pacific classification was associated with a shorter survival time to CKD onset than normal weight. Low point estimates for obesity class II suggested a dose-response relationship in men but a less clear relationship in women. The conventional cutoff value for obesity, BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2, may be too high a risk factor for the development of CKD in non-diabetic Asians, regardless of sex.

Keywords

Body mass index, Chronic kidney disease, East Asian, Longitudinal studies, Risk factors

Introduction

Worldwide, 697.5 million people have chronic kidney disease (CKD)[1]. According to the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcome (KDIGO), CKD is defined as abnormalities of kidney structure or function that persist for ≥3 months[2]. Generally, CKD is asymptomatic until it deteriorates irreversibly. As CKD progresses to end-stage renal failure, patients must overcome physical, psychological, and financial hardship in a context of limited treatment options, which include dialysis and kidney transplantation[3]. Moreover, CKD increases the risk of a variety of outcomes including death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization[2,4]. Therefore, preventing the development of CKD is important.

Reported risk factors for the development of CKD include male sex, obesity, diabetes, high sodium intake, and low physical activity[5–10]. Diabetes in particular is a major cause of CKD because the hyperglycemia caused by diabetes damages renal vessels over time[9,11]. Therefore, many cohort studies that have examined the relationship between various exposures and the development of CKD have treated glycemic control status (e.g., fasting blood sugar levels, prevalent diabetes) as a confounding factor that is adjusted to reduce bias[12–14]. However, even after adjusting for glycemic control status, the effect of diabetes-induced bias may remain. Therefore, studies evaluating risk factors for the development of CKD in non-diabetic populations are important.

Obesity is a risk factor for developing CKD[5,6,15] A previous meta-analysis in which the results of 13 cohort studies were combined reported that the risk of an individual with obesity developing CKD was 1.28 times greater than that of a person of normal weight; however, no clear association was observed in individuals with overweight[7]. These studies used multiple definitions of obesity and CKD, included participants with and without diabetes from multiple

ethnic groups, and did not show sex-specific results[16]. Therefore, studies that include sex-stratified, mono-ethnic, and non-diabetic participants are needed to more accurately evaluate the relationship between obesity and the development of CKD[7].

A previous cohort study of non-diabetic Japanese men and women examined whether a dose-response relationship exists between body mass index (BMI) and the development of CKD[17]. The study categorized BMI into seven groups and reported that individuals in the BMI categories ≥23.0 kg/m^2 for men and ≥27 kg/m^2 for women were at an elevated risk of developing CKD compared with the reference group[17]. This suggests that even in a non-diabetic Asian population, elevated BMI is associated with the development of CKD and that sex differences are observed. However, these results cannot simply be compared with previous findings because the studies use different BMI cutoff values and reference groups[7,17]. Therefore, sex-stratified studies in single-ethnic and non-diabetic populations that include a global standard BMI classification that accounts for racial differences are needed to examine the relationship between BMI and the development of CKD.

The World Health Organization Western Pacific Region proposed the Asia-Pacific BMI classification (Asia-Pacific classification)[18]. The classification has a lower BMI cutoff value than the conventional World Health Organization BMI classification (conventional BMI classification) that is used for all races because Asians have higher morbidity and mortality even with a lower BMI[18]. Therefore, the Asia-Pacific classification should be used when assessing the relationship between BMI and CKD onset in Asian populations. On the basis of the above, the study's purpose was to investigate the relationship between BMI that was defined using the Asia-Pacific classification and the subsequent development of CKD in non-diabetic Japanese men and women.

Materials and methods

Data source

The study was an ongoing open population-based longitudinal study of citizens of Zentsuji City, Kagawa Prefecture, Japan[19]. We used anonymized annual health checkup data from Zentsuji City from 6 April 1998 to 19 April 2023. On 1 April 2023, the city had a population of 30431 (men: 49.7%), with 38.1% of citizens aged 60 years and older[19]. The city database used in the previous studies was used for data extraction[20,21]. The data extraction date was 6 July 2023.

In checkups, the participants underwent anthropometric measurements, a blood pressure test, a blood test, and a urine dipstick test, and answered a questionnaire about their lifestyle. The participants were not obliged to participate in a checkup, take all of the tests, or answer the questionnaire. Checkups were conducted in accordance with the protocol of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare[22].

Study participants

All citizens aged ≥40 years were eligible to receive a health checkup once every fiscal year (FY). In FYs 1998 and 1999 only, younger citizens aged 34–39 years were added to the eligible population on a trial basis to promote the health of younger people. In past years, approximately 30%–40% of the eligible population had received a checkup.

This study aimed to evaluate the relationship between BMI and the development of CKD in non-diabetic Japanese men and women. Therefore, the following exclusion criteria were applied: non-Japanese, those with missing exposure and/or outcome data, prevalent CKD and/or diabetes at study entry, missing hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) values, prevalent diabetes at study

entry, and only one observation. The participants who developed diabetes during the follow-up were regarded as right-censored. Diabetes was defined as HbA1c ≥6.5%[23].

Measures

Exposure

The exposure variable was obesity. BMI was used as the obesity definition. BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by height (m) squared. Obesity was defined in accordance with the Asia-Pacific classification: underweight (<18.5 kg/m^2), normal weight (18.5–22.9 kg/m^2), overweight (23.0–24.9 kg/m^2), obesity class I (25.0–29.9 kg/m^2), and obesity class II (≥30.0 kg/m^2)[24].

Outcome

The outcome variable in this study was the development of CKD. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was used as a measure of renal function[2]. We used the three-variable revised Japanese equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate (GFR): $eGFR(mL/min/1.73m^2) = 194 \times serum\ creatinine(mg/dL)^{-1.094} \times age\ (years)^{-0.287}(\times 0.739, if female)$[2,25–27]. Prevalent CKD was defined as eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m^2[22]. Serum creatinine (SCr) values in mg/dL were used up to two decimal places. The enzymatic method was used to measure SCr.

Other covariates

Age, self-reported alcohol intake, self-reported smoking status, hypertension, dyslipidemia, HbA1c, and residential district were treated as covariates for adjustment[7,17]. Age groups were categorized as 34–59, 60–69, and 70–100 years. Self-reported alcohol intake was queried with

the question, “How often do you drink?” Responses were dichotomized into “non- or seldom-drinker” and “drinker” categories. Self-reported smoking status was assessed by asking the question, “Are you currently a habitual smoker? A habitual smoker refers to those who have smoked a total of 100 or more cigarettes or have smoked for at least 6 months and have been smoking for the past month.” The answers were grouped into “non- or ex-smoker” and “smoker” categories.

Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥130 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥80 mm Hg[28]. The participants were considered dyslipidemic if they met at least one of the following conditions: serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥140 mg/dL, serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol <40 mg/dL, and/or serum triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL[29]. HbA1c values (%) were treated as a continuous variable. HbA1c was reported in Japan Diabetes Society units (%) from 1998 to 2012 and in National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program units (%) from 2013 to 2023[30]. HbA1c levels were standardized to National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program values using the officially certified equation: $HbA1c_{NGSP}(\%) = 1.02 \times HbA1c_{JDS}(\%) + 0.25$[31].

Neighborhood indicators (e.g., food quality and availability) have been reported to be related to obesity, diabetes, and possibly CKD[32]. Therefore, to reduce the potential impact of where participants resided within the city, the district of residence was treated as a covariate. Zentsuji City has eight districts: East, West, Central, South, Fudeoka, Tatsukawa, Yogita, and Yoshiwara.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted separately for men and women. The participants' characteristics were summarized by BMI class. The person-years at risk were calculated from the date of the first participation to the date of onset of CKD or diabetes or the end of the last observation during the follow-up period. The participant demographics during the follow-up period were expressed as numbers by CKD onset, total person-years at risk, and incidence rate per 1000 person-years.

We created Kaplan–Meier curves by Asia-Pacific classification for men and women. We assessed the proportional hazards assumption using log–log plots and the Schoenfeld residuals[33]. We observed that the assumption was violated in this study. Therefore, the Weibull accelerated failure time (AFT) model was selected in accordance with the Akaike and Bayesian information criteria[33–35]. The AFT model was selected for this analysis because the effect of covariates varied throughout the long follow-up from 1998 to 2023. The time ratio and its 95% confidence interval (CI) were a measure of the effect of exposure on an outcome of interest. For example, a time ratio of 0.9 signified a 0.9-fold longer survival to CKD onset than the reference group.

Four adjusted models were constructed in addition to the crude model. Model 1 adjusted for age category; Model 2 further adjusted for self-reported alcohol intake and smoking status; Model 3 further adjusted for hypertension, dyslipidemia, and HbA1c values; and Model 4 further adjusted for the residential district. To stabilize the models, a multiplicative term was added to Models 1–4 if an interaction effect between the exposure and the following variables was observed: age category, self-reported alcohol intake, self-reported smoking status, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and HbA1c values.

Given the nature of the voluntary checkup, missing values were observed for self-reported alcohol intake (missing in 31.0% of men and 28.3% of women), self-reported smoking status (missing in 24.9% of men and 23.1% of women), hypertension (not missing in men and missing in 0.02% of women), and dyslipidemia (missing in 17.2% of men and 24.4% of women). Missing measurements were complemented using multiple imputation methods with chained equations with 40 imputations[36,37]. Binary variables and categorical variables were imputed using logistic regression and multinominal logistic regression, respectively. Only imputed results were presented in this study because missing measurements were considered missing at random.

In this study, there was a large percentage of missing information on medication use of 59.4%. This large value was caused by a change in a questionnaire created by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. A question asking about medication use was added in 2012 and removed in 2020 for older people aged ≥75 years[22]. The use of information on medication use in the models was avoided because this age-related imbalance could induce bias.

We restricted the presentation to the results of the main exposure variable only to avoid the Table 2 fallacy[38]. A two-tailed p-value of less than 0.05 was treated as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata/MP 16.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). A participant flow and a district map of the city were drawn in Python 3.11.5[39–42]. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology reporting guidelines[43].

Sensitivity analyses

Three sensitivity analyses were conducted in this study. In the first sensitivity analysis, conventional BMI classification (underweight [<18.5 kg/m^2], normal weight [18.5–24.9 kg/m^2],

overweight [25.0–29.9 kg/m^2], obesity class I [30.0–34.9 kg/m^2], obesity class II [35.0–39.9 kg/m^2], and obesity class III [≥40 kg/m^2]) were treated as exposure variables[44]. Obesity classes I–III were combined as one obesity category because of the small number of participants in this study with BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2. In the second sensitivity analysis, to minimize the possibility of reverse causation, we excluded the participants who developed CKD at the second observation. The third sensitivity analysis attempted to eliminate temporary abnormal eGFR values by including the stringent definition of CKD, in which CKD is defined by two or more consecutive observations of eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m^2. Because the study included data from annual checkups, the duration of renal dysfunction in the stringent CKD definition was longer than that in the KDIGO definition of 3 months or longer[2]. In the fourth sensitivity analysis, the generalized gamma model was used to determine how the results differed from those using the Weibull AFT model.

Results

Main analysis

The initial participants were 6309 men and 9192 women, with a mean age at study entry of 70.0 years for men and 68.3 years for women. After exclusion, 3098 men (mean age at study entry: 68.4 years) and 4391 women (mean age at study entry: 65.9 years) who received checkups from 7 May 1998 to 19 April 2023 remained in the final cohort (Figure 1).

Figure 2 shows the map of the Zentsuji City district. The Kaplan–Meier curves stratified by BMI category in men and women are provided in Figure 3. The participants' characteristics by Asia-Pacific BMI classification during follow-up are presented in Table 1 for men and Table 2 for women. The mean follow-up time was slightly longer in women (8.25 years) than in men

(7.41 years). For both men and women, obesity class II (≥30.0 kg/m^2) had the shortest follow-up time, accounting for less than 3% of the total follow-up time. Men with higher BMI were more likely to have hypertension and/or dyslipidemia, and women with higher BMI were more likely to have hypertension. After 25 years of follow-up, 34.2% of men and 34.8% of women developed CKD. The numbers of participants at the onset of CKD in the 34–59, 60–69, and 70–100 age groups were 105 (9.9%), 411 (38.8%), and 543 (51.3%) for men and 362 (23.7%), 444 (29.1%), and 720 (47.2%) for women, respectively.

Our main analysis examined the relationship between the Asia-Pacific classification and later-onset CKD by sex (Table 3). Similar results were observed in men and women, with those in obesity class I having a 6% shorter survival to the development of CKD than those of normal weight. Men in obesity class II had the shortest survival to CKD onset with a point estimate of 9%; however, the 95% CIs were across 1 in all of the models. For women in obesity class II, the results were almost null. Overweight was associated with higher point estimates (i.e., close to 1) compared with obesity categories, especially null values in women. A dose-response relationship by the point estimate was only observed in men. In particular, women had a longer survival time to the development of CKD in the BMI category ≥30.0 kg/m^2 than in the BMI category of 25.0–29.9 kg/m^2 by the point estimate.

In this study, the Weibull AFT model was used because the PH assumption was violated. For reference, the results of the Weibull PH model as the full model were as follows: adjusted hazard ratios (aHR; 95% CI) in men with underweight, overweight, obesity class I, and obesity class II compared with men of normal weight were 0.72 (0.51–1.00), 1.10 (0.94–1.28), 1.30 (1.11–1.52), and 1.48 (0.93–2.34), respectively. The aHRs (95% CI) for the same BMI

categories in women compared with women of normal weight were 0.97 (0.79–1.19), 0.99 (0.86–1.14), 1.26 (1.11–1.44), and 1.02 (0.76–1.38), respectively.

Sensitivity analyses

In the first sensitivity analysis in which the conventional BMI classification was used, more participants (68.9% of men and 71.0% of women) were classified as having normal weight than in the main analysis in which the Asia-Pacific classification (43.3% of men and 50.6% of women) was used (Tables 1–2, S1). The results were similar for the obesity group using the conventional BMI classification and the obesity class II group using the Asia-Pacific classification (Table 3). Overweight was associated with a shorter survival than normal weight in each sex, similar to the results for obesity class I in the Asia-Pacific classification (Table 3).

The second sensitivity analysis attempted to minimize reverse causation by excluding the participants who had developed CKD at the second observation and included 2723 men and 3822 women. The mean age at study entry (61.4 years for men and 58.3 years for women) was lower than in the main analysis and in the group of excluded participants who developed CKD at the second observation (67.3 years for men and 64.4 years for women). The mean follow-up period of the second sensitivity analysis was 8.14 years for men and 9.14 years for women—slightly longer than those in the main analysis (Tables 1–2). The distribution of follow-up time by BMI category was similar to that of the main analysis. After the follow-up period, 25.1% of men and 25.2% of women developed CKD—lower than in the main analysis. The relationship between the Asia-Pacific classification and the subsequent development of CKD after exclusion is shown in Table S2. Most results were similar to those of the main analysis; however, point estimates of

survival for obesity class II were longer in men and shorter in women than those in the main analysis (Table 3).

Table S3 shows the results of the third sensitivity analysis, which was based on the stringent definition of CKD and in which two consecutive observations of eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73m^2 were regarded as CKD. Using the stringent definition of CKD, more participants (3380 men and 4963 women) remained in the final cohort, the mean follow-up time was longer (8.13 years for men and 9.29 years for women), and fewer participants developed CKD (20.7% of men and 21.1% of women) compared with the results of the main analysis (Tables 1–2). The mean age at study entry (62.4 years for men and 58.9 years for women) was lower than in the main analysis and in the group of participants who were excluded because of prevalent CKD at study entry (70.8 years for men and 69.1 years for women). Regardless of sex, point estimates for overweight, obesity class I, and obesity class II were similar to those in the main analysis, including the category with the shortest follow-up period, BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2 (Tables 3, S3).

In the fourth sensitivity analysis, the results for both sexes using the generalized gamma model were similar to those using the Weibull AFT model (Tables 3 and S4). This finding indicated that the Weibull AFT model was a well-fitting model.

Discussion

Main analysis

In the current analysis based on annual health checkups from 1998 to 2023 in non-diabetic Japanese adults (3098 men and 4391 women), obesity class I as defined by the Asia-Pacific classification was associated with the earlier development of CKD. The 95% CIs for overweight and obesity class II were across 1 in all models; however, the point estimates implied a dose-

response relationship, especially in men (Table 3). In all of the analyses, the results for the crude and adjusted models were similar, suggesting a lack of solid evidence that covariates affect the association between exposure and outcome.

These results partly agreed with those of the Ibaraki Prefecture Health Study (IPHS), a previous cohort study in diabetes-free Japanese participants[17,45]. The IPHS showed the dose-response relationship between BMI categories and the onset of CKD: aHRs were higher in BMI categories 23.0–24.9, 25.0–26.9, 27.0–29.9, and ≥30.0 kg/m^2 in men and 27.0–29.9 and ≥30.0 kg/m^2 in women compared with the BMI category 21.0–22.9 kg/m^2. In the current study, men but not women had a dose-response relationship by the point estimate.

Four factors may have influenced the difference between the results of these two studies[17]. First, the number of participants in our study (n = 7489) was smaller than that of the IPHS (n = 89760). Both studies included non-diabetic Japanese adults and reflected the Asian characteristic of low obesity prevalence (2%–3% of the total population)[17,46,47]. Therefore, the study's power may have been insufficient to detect a true effect in a small number of participants with BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2. Second, our participants were older than those in the IPHS. The age of our study participants ranged from 34 to 100 years compared with a range of 40 to 79 years in the IPHS. Consequently, efforts were made to mitigate bias by adjusting for age, thus reducing the likelihood of significant over- and underestimation from older adults' tendency toward a lower BMI[5,48]. Notably, however, the generalizability of this study's findings to populations of different ages is limited. Third, the observational period for our study was longer (1998–2023) than that of the IPHS (1993–2006). As the follow-up period increases, participants' susceptibility to outcomes decreases[49,50]. Specifically, our study had more built-in selection bias than the IPHS, which may have led to an underestimation of our results[17]. Fourth, male sex was a risk factor for

developing CKD. Previous studies showed a steeper decrease in the eGFR in men than in women, primarily owing to direct sex hormone effects on cellular metabolism[51,52]. A previous meta-analysis reported a relative risk of developing CKD for a BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2 compared with a BMI <25.0 kg/m^2 of 1.20 (95% CI: 1.12–1.29) in populations with ≥50% men and 1.33 (95% CI: 0.89–1.99) in populations with ≥50% women[7] . These sex-specific features may partly explain why the results of the present analysis in participants with a BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2 were attenuated, especially in women. However, as mentioned above, the study had a short follow-up time for a BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2 in both sexes. Therefore, the possibility of variability or chance cannot be ruled out for the results of a BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2. Further studies including a large number of obese Asians are required to examine the association between BMI and incident CKD.

In this study, men had shorter survival by point estimate at a BMI ≥23.0 kg/m^2, whereas women had shorter survival at a BMI ≥25.0 kg/m^2. Therefore, men had a shorter survival time to CKD onset than women with the same BMI. This trend was consistent with that in the IPHS and previous findings and is partly explained by sex differences[17,53]. First, men's higher muscle mass compared with women can lead to systematic errors in eGFR in men when the higher muscle is misclassified as low eGFR[54,55]. Consequently, the results are likely to be overestimated in men. However, the overestimation may have been attenuated by the large number in our study of older participants (i.e., those aged ≥70 years), whose low BMI and muscle mass may have contributed to the underestimation (Tables 1–2)[48]. Second, men had shorter follow-up times than women, potentially resulting in larger random errors and wider 95% CIs than women, especially in the category (≥30.0 kg/m^2) with the shortest follow-up time.

According to the checkup data for the Japanese general population in FY 2020, the prevalence of CKD (eGFR <60 mL/min/173m^2) for men and women was 3.97% and 2.57%,

respectively, in their 40s; 7.48% and 6.82%, respectively, in their 50s; 15.8% and 14.8%, respectively, in their 60s; 27.7% and 31.8%, respectively, in their 70s; and 44.6% and 36.1%, respectively, in their 80s and older[56]. The prevalence of CKD in this study (i.e., the prevalence of those who had CKD at study entry and were excluded from the analysis) was higher than in the Japanese general population (Figure 1). Therefore, unmeasured factors may have influenced the prevalence of CKD among the participants in this study.

Sensitivity analyses

In the first sensitivity analysis, the conventional BMI classification was used as exposure (Table S1). Obesity had the lowest point estimates for men and an almost null value for women, with all 95% CIs crossing 1. Compared with the findings of the main analysis, overweight, which corresponds to obesity class I in the Asia-Pacific classification, was associated with shorter survival to CKD (5% shorter in men and 6% shorter in women). Thus, the cutoff value of BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2 for obesity may be too high a risk factor for CKD in a non-diabetic Asian population.

The second sensitivity analysis was conducted to minimize the possibility of reverse causation by excluding the participants who had developed CKD at the second observation (Table S2). The results for all but the highest category showed a similar trend to that in the main analysis (Table 3), suggesting no clear evidence of reverse causation between the BMI categories of less than 30.0 kg/m^2 and CKD onset. However, these findings indicate a possible weak inverse association between the BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2 category and CKD onset that may be partly due to the shorter follow-up period in the BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2 category (449.9 person-years for men [2.03% of the total] and 1027.6 person-years for women [2.94% of the total]) compared with those in the

other categories (Table S2). As a result, the relationship between BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2 and the development of CKD could not be fully assessed in all of the models because of reduced power—especially in men, who had the shortest survival to CKD onset by point estimate in the main analysis. Therefore, a type II error may exist in the BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2 category, and a dose-response relationship may exist between BMI and the development of CKD in this analysis.

The third sensitivity analysis, which was based on the stringent CKD definition and in which two consecutive observations of eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73m^2 were considered CKD, showed a similar trend to that of the main analysis (Tables 3 and S3), suggesting a robust relationship between BMI and the subsequent development of CKD.

In the fourth sensitivity analysis, the results using the Weibull AFT and generalized gamma models were similar. This finding suggests that the model chosen does not affect the results, and the use of the simpler Weibull AFT model is appropriate.

Strengths and limitations

A strength of this study was that it used the Asia-Pacific BMI classification to evaluate the impact of elevated BMI on the development of CKD in non-diabetic monoracial Asian men and women using longitudinal data with a long follow-up period of approximately 25 years.

However, several limitations must be specified. First, because East Asians tend to have a lower BMI than Westerners, the number of participants with the highest category of BMI (≥30.0 kg/m^2) was low in this study, and the relationship between BMI and subsequent development of CKD could not be fully assessed[46].

Second, high BMI is not equivalent to obesity. BMI is simply a measure that is calculated based on weight and height and not body composition such as body fat percentage. When obesity

is defined as body fat percentages of ≥25% for men and ≥35% for women in an Asian population, detecting obesity using a BMI ≥30.0 kg/m^2 has high specificity (97.3% for men and 95.0% for women) but low sensitivity (6.7% for men and 13.4% for women)[57]. Therefore, a BMI cutoff of ≥30.0 kg/m^2 misses most participants with high body fat and results in a misclassification that underestimates the highest BMI category.

Third, our results are not generalizable to the general Japanese or Asian population because this was a longitudinal study that was conducted in a single city, and it included only 7489 non-diabetic participants aged from 34 to 100 years (men: 41.4%). The percentage of men in the Japanese general population on 1 October 2023 was 47.5% in the age category ≥34 years, which is higher than that in this study[42]. This imbalance of sex distribution could be partly explained by male characteristics of a higher prevalence of diabetes and of not being willing to participate in checkups[58,59]. Therefore, the results of this study are most useful for generalization to a population that is free of diabetes and other diseases and participates in community health examinations.

Fourth, the exact date of CKD onset could not be known—a major and unresolved shortcoming of cohort studies that are based on annual checkup data and in which participants can decide when to be screened. These factors lead to interval censoring, which requires extremely complex statistical models to address[60].

Fifth, measurement errors in renal function may have occurred. Inulin clearance values, the gold standard for measuring GFR, could not be obtained in our study because the test is too time-consuming and expensive for inclusion in regular checkups by local governments[53]. Instead, we used SCr to estimate GFR using the three-variable revised Japanese equation, which is more accurate than the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equations[25–27]. Unlike inulin clearance,

SCr is affected by factors such as moderate/intense physical activity, muscle mass, and high protein intake; participants with such characteristics may be classified as having CKD despite not having the disease[55,61,62]. However, the mean age of the participants at study entry was late 60s. Participation in sports tends to decline with age, suggesting that the effect of these characteristics, which are more common in young people, was small[63].

Sixth, our study participants were healthier than the general population. A previous study reported that those who voluntarily attended checkups were more likely to be health-conscious and healthier than the general population[64]. This selection bias may be responsible for the underestimated results. Seventh, the presence of competing risks that may have modified the occurrence of an event such as death or hospitalization could not be assessed because the necessary data were unavailable.

Seventh, the unavailability of information on medication use may have affected the results. In this study, hypertension was more common with a higher BMI regardless of sex, while dyslipidemia was more common with a higher BMI only in men (Tables 1–2). The follow-up time for the highest BMI category of ≥30.0 kg/m^2 only consisted of approximately 2%–3% in both sexes, which may have caused more variability. According to the National Database open data of 40–74-year-olds who underwent the same checkup in the fiscal year of 2021 in Japan, men were more likely than women to take antihypertensive agents (24.9% vs 17.6%), and women were more likely than men to take lipid-lowering agents (16.1% vs 14.7%)[56]. Additionally, as the age of participants increased, they were more likely to take medications[56]. The present study included older participants than those in the National Database open data. Therefore, more participants were likely to have been taking medications in our study, leading to an overestimation of the estimated results.

Finally, indicators of central and visceral fat, such as waist circumference or waist-to-hip ratio, were not available[65]. In the Hallym Aging Study, a cohort study of 454 adult Korean participants, elevations in these factors were more strongly associated with CKD than BMI regardless of prevalent diabetes[65]. In the future, these variables should be used together with BMI to create an obesity index that more clearly indicates the risk of developing CKD by race for men and women without diabetes.

Conclusion

Our study of 7489 non-diabetic Japanese adults in which the Asia-Pacific BMI classification was used showed that men and women with obesity class I had 6% shorter survival to subsequent CKD than those of normal weight. Obesity class II had the shortest survival to CKD onset by point estimates in men and an almost null value in women, with all 95% CIs across 1. The results support previous findings of a dose-response relationship between BMI and the development of CKD, especially in men. Our results add new evidence to support that the BMI cutoff values for obesity that are based on the conventional BMI classification are too high when considering the association between obesity and future CKD development in non-diabetic Asian men and women.

Ethics

All data were anonymized before receipt. The Ethics Committee of Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences and Okayama University Hospital approved this study (No. K1708-040). The Ethics Committee waived the need for informed consent. Study procedures were performed in accordance with the Declaration of

Helsinki and Japanese Ethical Guidelines for Medical and Biological Research Involving Human Subjects.

Data statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Author contributions

T.M.: Methodology; Supervision; and Writing - review & editing. **T.T.**: Methodology; Supervision; and Writing - review & editing. **Y.O.**: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Visualization; Roles/Writing - original draft; and Writing - review & editing.

References

1. Bikbov B, Purcell CA, Levey AS, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet*. 2020;395(10225):709-733. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30045-3

2. Stevens PE, Ahmed SB, Carrero JJ, et al. KDIGO 2024 Clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney International*. 2024;105(4):S117-S314. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2023.10.018

3. Liyanage T, Ninomiya T, Jha V, et al. Worldwide access to treatment for end-stage kidney disease: a systematic review. *The Lancet*. 2015;385(9981):1975-1982. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61601-9

4. Go AS, McCulloch CE. Chronic kidney disease and the risks of death, cardiovascular events, and hospitalization. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. Published online 2004:10.

5. Yamagata K, Ishida K, Sairenchi T, et al. Risk factors for chronic kidney disease in a community-based population: a 10-year follow-up study. *Kidney International*. 2007;71(2):159-166. doi:10.1038/sj.ki.5002017

6. Tsai WC, Wu HY, Peng YS, et al. Risk factors for development and progression of chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2016;95(11):e3013. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000003013

7. Garofalo C, Borrelli S, Minutolo R, Chiodini P, De Nicola L, Conte G. A systematic review and meta-analysis suggests obesity predicts onset of chronic kidney disease in the general population. *Kidney International*. 2017;91(5):1224-1235. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2016.12.013

8. Weldegiorgis M, Woodward M. The impact of hypertension on chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease is greater in men than women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Nephrol*. 2020;21(1):506. doi:10.1186/s12882-020-02151-7

9. Shen Y, Cai R, Sun J, et al. Diabetes mellitus as a risk factor for incident chronic kidney disease and end-stage renal disease in women compared with men: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Endocrine*. 2017;55(1):66-76. doi:10.1007/s12020-016-1014-6

10. Kelly JT, Su G, Zhang L, et al. Modifiable lifestyle factors for primary prevention of CKD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JASN*. 2021;32(1):239-253. doi:10.1681/ASN.2020030384

11. Thomas MC, Brownlee M, Susztak K, et al. Diabetic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2015;1(1):15018. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2015.18

12. Wijarnpreecha K, Thongprayoon C, Thamcharoen N, Panjawatanan P, Cheungpasitporn W. Association of coffee consumption and chronic kidney disease: A meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2017;71(1):e12919. doi:10.1111/ijcp.12919

13. Thomas G, Sehgal AR, Kashyap SR, Srinivas TR, Kirwan JP, Navaneethan SD. Metabolic syndrome and kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *CJASN*. 2011;6(10):2364-2373. doi:10.2215/CJN.02180311

14. Fabrizi F, Verdesca S, Messa P, Martin P. Hepatitis C virus infection increases the risk of developing chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2015;60(12):3801-3813. doi:10.1007/s10620-015-3801-y

15. Lai YJ, Hu HY, Lee YL, Ku PW, Yen YF, Chu D. Association between obesity and risk of chronic kidney disease: A nationwide Cohort study in Taiwan. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*. 2017;27(11):1008-1014. doi:10.1016/j.numecd.2017.08.006

16. Flegal KM, Shepherd JA, Looker AC, et al. Comparisons of percentage body fat, body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-stature ratio in adults. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2009;89(2):500-508. doi:10.3945/ajcn.2008.26847

17. Tsujimoto T, Sairenchi T, Iso H, et al. The dose-response relationship between body mass index and the risk of incident stage ≥3 chronic kidney disease in a general Japanese population: The Ibaraki Prefectural Health Study (IPHS). *Journal of Epidemiology*. 2014;24(6):444-451. doi:10.2188/jea.JE20140028

18. World Health Organization Regional Office for the Western Pacific Region. *The Asia-Pacific Perspective: Redefining Obesity and Its Treatment*. Sydney: Health Communications Australia; 2000. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/206936

19. Zentsuji City. Zentsuji City official website. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://www.city.zentsuji.kagawa.jp

20. Okawa Y, Suzuki E, Mitsuhashi T, Tsuda T, Yorifuji T. A population-based longitudinal study on glycated hemoglobin levels and new-onset chronic kidney disease among non-diabetic Japanese adults. *Sci Rep*. 2023;13(1):13770. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-40300-8

21. Okawa Y, Mitsuhashi T. Association between blood pressure and new onset of chronic kidney disease in non-diabetic Japanese adults: a population-based longitudinal study from 1998 to 2023. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*. Published online May 2024:S093947532400173X. doi:10.1016/j.numecd.2024.05.005

22. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Specific health examination and specific health guidance. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000161103.html

23. ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, et al. 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: *standards of care in diabetes—2023*. *Diabetes Care*. 2023;46(Supplement_1):S19-S40. doi:10.2337/dc23-S002

24. WHO expert consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *The Lancet*. 2004;363(9403):157-163. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15268-3

25. Matsuo S, Imai E, Horio M, et al. Revised equations for estimated GFR from serum creatinine in Japan. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*. 2009;53(6):982-992. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.12.034

26. Matsuo S, Yasuda Y, Imai E, Horio M. Current status of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) equations for Asians and an approach to create a common eGFR equation: approach to eGFR equation for Asians. *Nephrology*. 2010;15:45-48. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1797.2010.01313.x

27. Fujii R, Pattaro C, Tsuboi Y, et al. Comparison of glomerular filtration rate estimating formulas among Japanese adults without kidney disease. *Clinical Biochemistry*. 2023;111:54-59. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2022.10.011

28. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: Executive summary: a report of the American college of cardiology/American heart association task force on clinical practice guidelines. *Hypertension*. 2018;71(6):1269-1324. doi:10.1161/HYP.0000000000000066

29. Kinoshita M, Yokote K, Arai H, et al. Japan Atherosclerosis Society (JAS) guidelines for prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases 2017. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2018;25(9):846-984. doi:10.5551/jat.GL2017

30. NGSP. NGSP Home. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://ngsp.org

31. Kashiwagi A, Kasuga M, Araki E, et al. International clinical harmonization of glycated hemoglobin in Japan: from Japan Diabetes Society to National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program values. *Journal of Diabetes Investigation*. 2012;3(1):39-40. doi:10.1111/j.2040-1124.2012.00207.x

32. Lapedis CJ, Mariani LH, Jang BJ, Hodgin J, Hicken MT. Understanding the link between neighborhoods and kidney disease. *Kidney360*. 2020;1(8):845-854. doi:10.34067/KID.0001202019

33. Schoenfeld D. Partial residuals for the proportional hazards regression model. *Biometrika*. 1982;69(1):239-241. doi:10.1093/biomet/69.1.239

34. Akaike H. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Trans Automat Contr*. 1974;19(6):716-723. doi:10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705

35. Schwarz G. Estimating the dimension of a model. *Ann Statist*. 1978;6(2). doi:10.1214/aos/1176344136

36. von Hippel PT. How many imputations do you need? A two-stage calculation using a quadratic rule. *Sociological Methods & Research*. 2020;49(3):699-718. doi:10.1177/0049124117747303

37. Young R, Johnson DR. Handling missing values in longitudinal panel data with multiple imputation. *Fam Relat*. 2015;77(1):277-294. doi:10.1111/jomf.12144

38. Westreich D, Greenland S. The Table 2 fallacy: presenting and interpreting confounder and modifier coefficients. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2013;177(4):292-298. doi:10.1093/aje/kws412

39. Python Software Foundation. Python. Python.org. April 5, 2023. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://www.python.org/

40. Delker CJ. Schemdraw 0.17 documentation. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://schemdraw.readthedocs.io/en/latest/

41. Bossche JV den, Fleischmann M, McBride J, Ward B, Wolf L, Richards M. GeoPandas 0.14.1 documentation. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://geopandas.org/en/stable/index.html

42. National Statistics Center of Japan. Portal Site of Official Statistics of Japan. Portal Site of Official Statistics of Japan. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://www.e-stat.go.jp/en

43. Vandenbroucke JP, von Elm E, Altman DG, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. *Epidemiology*. 2007;18(6):805-835. doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181577511

44. World Health Organization. A healthy lifestyle - WHO recommendations. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://www.who.int/europe/news-room/fact-sheets/item/a-healthy-lifestyle---who-recommendations

45. Ibaraki Prefectural Health Plaza. Ibaraki Prefectural Health Study: IPHS. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://www.hsc-i.jp/05_chousa/iphs.htm

46. Abarca-Gómez L, Abdeen ZA, Hamid ZA, et al. Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128·9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *The Lancet*. 2017;390(10113):2627-2642. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32129-3

47. The GBD 2015 Obesity Collaborators. Health effects of overweight and obesity in 195 countries over 25 years. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(1):13-27. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1614362

48. Tarui I, Okada E, Okada C, Saito A, Takimoto H. Trends in BMI among elderly Japanese population: findings from 1973 to 2016 Japan National Health and Nutrition Survey. *Public Health Nutr*. 2020;23(11):1907-1915. doi:10.1017/S1368980019004828

49. Hernán MA, Hernández-Díaz S, Robins JM. A structural approach to selection bias. *Epidemiology*. 2004;15(5):615-625. doi:10.1097/01.ede.0000135174.63482.43

50. Hernán MA. The hazards of hazard ratios. *Epidemiology*. 2010;21(1):13-15. doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e3181c1ea43

51. Chesnaye NC, Carrero JJ, Hecking M, Jager KJ. Differences in the epidemiology, management and outcomes of kidney disease in men and women. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2024;20(1):7-20. doi:10.1038/s41581-023-00784-z

52. Neugarten J, Golestaneh L. Influence of sex on the progression of chronic kidney disease. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 2019;94(7):1339-1356. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.12.024

53. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Chapter 1: definition and classification of CKD. *Kidney International Supplements*. 2013;3(1):19-62. doi:10.1038/kisup.2012.64

54. Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Wang Z, Ross R. Skeletal muscle mass and distribution in 468 men and women aged 18–88 yr. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2000;89(1):81-88. doi:10.1152/jappl.2000.89.1.81

55. Nankivell BJ, Nankivell LFJ, Elder GJ, Gruenewald SM. How unmeasured muscle mass affects estimated GFR and diagnostic inaccuracy. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;29-30:100662. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100662

56. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. NDB Open Data. NDB Open Data. Accessed September 21, 2024. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000177182.html

57. Goh VHH, Tain CF, Tong TYY, Mok HPP, Wong MT. Are BMI and other anthropometric measures appropriate as indices for obesity? A study in an Asian population. *Journal of Lipid Research*. 2004;45(10):1892-1898. doi:10.1194/jlr.M400159-JLR200

58. Noguchi R, Shen J. Factors affecting participation in health checkups: Evidence from Japanese survey data. *Health Policy*. 2019;123(4):360-366. doi:10.1016/j.healthpol.2018.10.013

59. Charvat H, Goto A, Goto M, et al. Impact of population aging on trends in diabetes prevalence: A meta-regression analysis of 160,000 Japanese adults. *J of Diabetes Invest*. 2015;6(5):533-542. doi:10.1111/jdi.12333

60. Chen L, Qiu B. Analysis of length-biased and partly interval-censored survival data with mismeasured covariates. *Biometrics*. Published online July 17, 2023:biom.13898. doi:10.1111/biom.13898

61. Baxmann ACAA, Ahmed MS, Marques NAAC, et al. Influence of muscle mass and physical activity on serum and urinary creatinine and serum cystatin C. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 2008;3(2):348-354. doi:10.2215/CJN.02870707

62. Ko GJ, Obi Y, Tortorici AR, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Dietary protein intake and chronic kidney disease. *Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition & Metabolic Care*. 2017;20(1):77-85. doi:10.1097/MCO.0000000000000342

63. McPhee JS, French DP, Jackson D, Nazroo J, Pendleton N, Degens H. Physical activity in older age: perspectives for healthy ageing and frailty. *Biogerontology*. 2016;17(3):567-580. doi:10.1007/s10522-016-9641-0

64. Bjerregaard AL, Maindal HT, Bruun NH, Sandbæk A. Patterns of attendance to health checks in a municipality setting: the Danish 'Check Your Health Preventive Program.' *Preventive Medicine Reports*. 2017;5:175-182. doi:10.1016/j.pmedr.2016.12.011

65. Oh H, Quan SA, Jeong JY, Jang SN, Lee JE, Kim DH. Waist circumference, not body mass index, is associated with renal function decline in Korean population: Hallym Aging Study. Vliegenthart R, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(3):e59071. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059071

Figures



Figure 1. Participant flow chart of the study cohort.

Figure 2. Map of Zentsuji City districts.



Number at risk						
Underweight	166	87	45	25	2	0
Normal weight	1308	731	397	189	56	1
Overweight	762	455	229	126	22	0
Obesity class I	784	430	206	81	26	0
Obesity class II	78	31	15	12	4	0

Number at risk						
Underweight	332	200	110	72	33	0
Normal weight	2150	1295	762	438	159	1
Overweight	882	533	303	176	60	0
Obesity class I	890	486	258	133	43	0
Obesity class II	137	86	37	23	6	0

Figure 3. Kaplan–Meier survival estimates by Asia-Pacific body mass index classification for men and women.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of all observations stratified by the Asia-Pacific BMI classification among 3098 non-diabetic Japanese male citizens of Zentsuji City (1998–2023)

	Asia-Pacific BMI classification																	
	<18.5 kg/m^2 Underweight			18.5–22.9 kg/m^2 Normal weight			23.0–24.9 kg/m^2 Overweight			25.0–29.9 kg/m^2 Obesity class I			≥30.0 kg/m^2 Obesity class II			Total		
	Failure	PY	IR*	Failure	PY	IR*	Failure	PY	IR*	Failure	PY	IR*	Failure	PY	IR*	Failure	PY	IR*
Time at risk																		
Total		1145.5			9941.5			5877.1			5532.7			468.8			22965.6	
Minimum		0.71			0.40			0.46			0.34			0.60			0.34	
Maximum		20.4			24.5			23.2			24.3			22.2			24.5	
Mean		4.44			6.05			4.82			5.23			4.15			7.41	
Median		2.91			4.19			3.16			3.89			2.99			5.99	
Failure/IR*	40		34.9	438		44.1	272		46.3	287		51.9	22		46.9	1,059		46.1
Variables																		
Age category in years																		
34–59	2	241.9	8.3	35	2327.5	15.0	25	1671.4	15.0	39	1838.7	21.2	4	223.8	17.9	105	6303.3	16.7
60–69	11	353.9	31.1	168	3763.0	44.6	107	2140.8	50.0	114	1994.6	57.2	11	137.3	80.1	411	8389.5	49.0
70–100	27	549.7	49.1	235	3851.0	61.0	140	2064.9	67.8	134	1699.5	78.8	7	107.7	65.0	543	8272.8	65.6
Self-reported alcohol intake																		
Non- or seldom-drinker	20.3	554.0	36.7	173.2	3785.6	45.8	114.7	2151.0	53.3	111.7	1990.2	56.1	8.5	214.8	39.5	428.4	8695.6	49.3
Drinker	19.7	591.5	33.3	264.8	6155.9	43.0	157.3	3726.1	42.2	175.3	3542.6	49.5	13.5	253.9	53.3	630.6	14270.0	44.2
Self-reported smoker status																		
Non- or ex-smoker	25.9	718.2	36.0	302.3	6727.5	44.9	206.6	4223.3	48.9	227.6	3950.3	57.6	18.8	342.3	54.9	781.1	15961.5	48.9
Smoker	14.2	427.3	33.1	135.7	3214.1	42.2	65.4	1653.8	39.5	59.4	1582.4	37.6	3.2	126.4	25.6	277.9	7004.0	39.7
Hypertension†																		
No	22.0	647.0	34.0	190.0	5100.0	37.3	103.0	2426.9	42.4	85.0	1759.7	48.3	3.0	128.5	23.3	403.0	10062.0	40.1
Yes	18.0	498.5	36.1	248.0	4841.6	51.2	169.0	3450.2	49.0	202.0	3773.0	53.5	19.0	340.3	55.8	656.0	12903.6	50.8
Dyslipidemia‡																		
No	24.1	691.2	34.9	198.3	4996.5	39.7	99.8	2324.4	42.9	92.5	1793.6	51.6	5.8	132.0	43.9	420.4	9937.7	42.3
Yes	15.9	454.3	35.1	239.7	4945.1	48.5	172.3	3552.6	48.5	194.5	3739.1	52.0	16.2	336.8	48.2	638.6	13027.9	49.0
Residential district																		
East	8.2	208.3	39.1	103.1	1987.4	51.9	53.0	1329.5	39.9	55.2	1072.7	51.5	3.2	63.1	50.2	222.6	4661.0	47.8
Tatsukawa	4.3	142.6	29.8	60.3	1749.2	34.4	40.0	976.2	41.0	44.0	892.4	49.3	3.1	106.4	29.3	151.7	3866.8	39.2
Central	7.2	162.6	44.1	64.0	1244.4	51.5	30.0	677.8	44.3	34.8	666.2	52.2	1.2	78.3	14.5	137.1	2829.2	48.5
Fudeoka	2.1	117.3	17.7	39.5	1180.3	33.5	29.0	702.2	41.3	43.0	768.6	55.9	9.2	90.2	101.5	122.7	2858.6	42.9
South	5.1	177.0	29.0	48.9	1123.5	43.5	43.0	771.0	55.8	30.6	621.7	49.1	3.1	63.8	48.5	130.7	2757.1	47.4
West	5.1	159.9	31.6	54.1	1001.0	54.0	36.0	542.9	66.3	34.6	646.0	53.6	0.2	18.5	5.7	129.9	2368.2	54.8
Yoshiwara	5.2	94.0	55.1	43.8	1052.4	41.6	28.0	608.7	46.0	28.5	457.6	62.3	1.1	25.5	41.7	106.6	2238.2	47.6
Yogita	3.0	83.8	35.8	24.4	603.3	40.4	13.0	268.8	48.4	16.4	407.6	40.1	1.1	23.0	46.3	57.8	1386.4	41.7
HbA1c values, %																		
Mean (SD)	5.42	(0.38)		5.42	(0.41)		5.46	(0.43)		5.53	(0.43)		5.64	(0.39)		5.46	(0.42)	

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1C; IR, incidence rate; SD, standard deviation.

Results for multiple imputed variables (self-reported alcohol intake, self-reported smoking status, hypertension†, dyslipidemia‡, and residential district) are averaged over 40 imputations.

*Incidence rate is reported per 1000 person-years.

†Hypertension is defined as systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥80 mmHg.

‡Dyslipidemia is defined as serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥140 mg/dL, serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol <40 mg/dL, and/or serum triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of all observations stratified by the Asia-Pacific BMI classification among 4391 non-diabetic Japanese female citizens of Zentsuji City (1998–2023)

	Asia-Pacific BMI classification																	
	<18.5 kg/m^2 Underweight			18.5–22.9 kg/m^2 Normal weight			23.0–24.9 kg/m^2 Overweight			25.0–29.9 kg/m^2 Obesity class I			≥30.0 kg/m^2 Obesity class II			Total		
	Failure	PY	IR*	Failure	PY	IR*	Failure	PY	IR*	Failure	PY	IR*	Failure	PY	IR*	Failure	PY	IR*
Time at risk																		
Total		2804.2			18306.5			7424.3			6627.6			1055.4			36218.0	
Minimum		0.63			0.48			0.48			0.53			0.80			0.48	
Maximum		24.3			24.7			22.8			24.3			20.3			24.7	
Mean		4.95			7.00			5.09			5.49			4.91			8.25	
Median		3.05			5.05			3.63			3.87			3.10			6.83	
Failure/IR*	106		37.8	697		38.1	307		41.4	371		56.0	45		42.6	1526		42.1
Variables																		
Age category in years																		
34–59	23	1137.7	20.2	187	7825.2	23.9	70	2392.4	29.3	73	1980.3	36.9	9	436.8	20.6	362	13772.4	26.3
60–69	23	761.2	30.2	199	5690.4	35.0	93	2816.1	33.0	117	2455.0	47.7	12	300.7	39.9	444	12023.4	36.9
70–100	60	905.2	66.3	311	4790.9	64.9	144	2215.9	65.0	181	2192.3	82.6	24	317.9	75.5	720	10422.2	69.1
Self-reported alcohol intake																		
Non- or seldom-drinker	86.3	2099.5	41.1	534.8	13329.6	40.1	240.4	5549.7	43.3	305.4	5171.6	59.1	39.1	825.2	47.4	1206.0	26975.6	44.7
Drinker	19.8	704.7	28.0	162.2	4976.9	32.6	66.6	1874.6	35.5	65.6	1456.0	45.0	5.9	230.1	25.8	320.1	9242.4	34.6
Self-reported smoker status																		
Non- or ex-smoker	102.7	2565.9	40.0	668.3	17272.1	38.7	296.9	7097.8	41.8	362.5	6331.8	57.3	43.7	990.7	44.1	1474.0	34258.5	43.0
Smoker	3.3	238.2	13.9	28.7	1034.4	27.8	10.2	326.5	31.1	8.5	295.8	28.7	1.3	64.6	20.5	52.0	1959.6	26.5
Hypertension†																		
No	53.0	1950.5	27.2	330.0	10753.6	30.7	110.0	3377.2	32.6	113.0	2314.4	48.8	11.0	243.3	45.2	617.0	18638.9	33.1
Yes	53.0	853.7	62.1	367.0	7553.0	48.6	197.0	4047.2	48.7	258.0	4313.2	59.8	34.0	812.0	41.9	909.0	17579.1	51.7
Dyslipidemia‡																		
No	64.3	1765.0	36.5	328.6	9278.5	35.4	121.7	3079.3	39.5	127.5	2515.8	50.7	16.4	404.9	40.5	658.4	17043.5	38.6
Yes	41.7	1039.2	40.2	368.5	9028.0	40.8	185.4	4345.1	42.7	243.6	4111.8	59.2	28.6	650.5	44.0	867.7	19174.5	45.3
Residential district																		
East	22.0	509.5	43.2	132.9	3625.4	36.7	57.4	1431.8	40.1	76.7	1448.2	52.9	8.0	214.6	37.3	297.0	7229.5	41.1
Tatsukawa	15.0	555.3	27.0	100.7	3325.7	30.3	57.0	1219.3	46.8	52.7	1100.1	47.9	7.0	170.2	41.1	232.4	6370.7	36.5
Central	21.0	451.8	46.5	106.5	2511.3	42.4	43.0	949.6	45.3	51.6	873.1	59.1	11.0	157.3	70.0	233.1	4943.0	47.2
Fudeoka	10.0	279.0	35.9	93.3	2343.5	39.8	31.8	955.5	33.3	49.6	858.2	57.7	3.0	146.9	20.4	187.6	4583.1	40.9
South	11.0	364.3	30.2	70.1	1913.5	36.6	31.9	809.5	39.4	39.3	702.5	56.0	4.0	110.2	36.3	156.4	3900.0	40.1
West	6.0	290.7	20.6	69.0	1902.0	36.3	38.8	792.3	48.9	43.4	586.1	74.1	5.0	110.9	45.1	162.2	3682.0	44.1
Yoshiwara	10.0	192.8	51.9	81.0	1779.3	45.5	28.7	819.7	35.0	35.5	593.4	59.9	4.0	96.1	41.6	159.2	3481.3	45.7
Yogita	11.0	160.7	68.5	43.6	905.9	48.1	18.4	446.5	41.2	22.2	466.0	47.7	3.0	49.2	61.0	98.2	2028.4	48.4
HbA1c values, %																		
Mean (SD)	5.45	(0.35)		5.46	(0.38)		5.51	(0.39)		5.54	(0.41)		5.60	(0.38)		5.49	(0.39)	

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1C; IR, incidence rate; SD, standard deviation.

Results for multiple imputed variables (self-reported alcohol intake, self-reported smoking status, hypertension†, dyslipidemia‡, and residential district) are averaged over 40 imputations.

*Incidence rate is reported per 1000 person-years.

†Hypertension is defined as systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥80 mmHg.

‡Dyslipidemia is defined as serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥140 mg/dL, serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol <40 mg/dL, and/or serum triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL.

Table 3. New onset of chronic kidney disease according to the Asia-Pacific BMI classification in non-diabetic Japanese citizens of Zentsuji City using the Weibull accelerated failure time model (1998–2023)

				Crude	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Asia-Pacific BMI classification		PY	Failure	TR (95% CI)	aTR (95% CI)	aTR (95% CI)	aTR (95% CI)	aTR (95% CI)
Men (n=3098)								
<18.5 kg/m^2	Underweight	1145.5	40	1.08 (1.00–1.16)	1.08 (1.00–1.18)	1.08 (1.00–1.18)	1.08 (0.99–1.17)	1.09 (1.00–1.18)
18.5–22.9 kg/m^2 (reference)	Normal weight	9941.5	438	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
23.0–24.9 kg/m^2	Overweight	5877.1	272	0.97 (0.94–1.01)	0.97 (0.93–1.01)	0.97 (0.93–1.01)	0.98 (0.94–1.01)	0.98 (0.94–1.01)
25.0–29.9 kg/m^2	Obesity class I	5532.7	287	0.94 (0.90–0.97)	0.93 (0.89–0.97)	0.93 (0.89–0.97)	0.94 (0.90–0.98)	0.94 (0.90–0.98)
≥30.0 kg/m^2	Obesity class II	468.8	22	0.92 (0.83–1.02)	0.91 (0.81–1.02)	0.91 (0.81–1.02)	0.92 (0.82–1.03)	0.91 (0.81–1.02)
Women (n=4391)								
<18.5 kg/m^2	Underweight	2804.2	106	1.02 (0.96–1.07)	1.02 (0.97–1.07)	1.02 (0.97–1.07)	1.01 (0.96–1.06)	1.01 (0.96–1.06)
18.5–22.9 kg/m^2 (reference)	Normal weight	18306.5	697	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
23.0–24.9 kg/m^2	Overweight	7424.3	307	1.00 (0.96–1.04)	0.99 (0.96–1.03)	0.99 (0.96–1.03)	1.00 (0.97–1.04)	1.00 (0.97–1.04)
25.0–29.9 kg/m^2	Obesity class I	6627.6	371	0.93 (0.90–0.96)	0.93 (0.90–0.97)	0.93 (0.90–0.97)	0.94 (0.91–0.98)	0.94 (0.91–0.98)
≥30.0 kg/m^2	Obesity class II	1055.4	45	0.97 (0.90–1.05)	0.98 (0.91–1.05)	0.98 (0.91–1.05)	0.99 (0.92–1.07)	0.99 (0.92–1.07)

Abbreviations: aTR, adjusted time ratio; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1C; PY, person-years; TR, time ratio.

*Hypertension is defined as systolic blood pressure ≥130 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥80 mmHg.

†Dyslipidemia is defined as serum low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥140 mg/dL, serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol <40 mg/dL, and/or serum triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL.

Multiple imputed variables: hypertension*, dyslipidemia†, self-reported alcohol intake, self-reported smoking status, and residential district.

Model 1: Adjusted for age category (34–59 [reference]/60–69/70–100).

Model 2: Adjusted for the variable of Model 1, self-reported alcohol intake (non- or seldom-drinker [reference]/drinker), and self-reported smoking status (non- or ex-smoker [reference]/smoker).

Model 3: Adjusted for all variables of Model 2, hypertension* (no [reference]/yes), dyslipidemia† (no [reference]/yes), and HbA1c values.

Model 4: Adjusted for all variables of Model 3 and residential district (East [reference]/Tatsukawa/Central/Fudeoka/South/West/Yoshiwara/Yogita).