Title: Exploring athlete pain and pain assessment experiences and priorities; A three-part qualitative series of athlete and physiotherapist interactions.

Part Two. "Gauging and discerning" - Athlete & physiotherapist pain assessment experiences and interactions.

Authors

Ciarán Purcell[1,2,3,4,5,6] Caoimhe Barry Walsh[3] Garett Van Oirschot[1,2] Brona M Fullen[1] Tomás Ward[2] Brian M Caulfield[1,2]

[1]*School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Sports Science, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*

[2]*Insight SFI Research Centre for Data Analytics, Dublin, Ireland*

[3]*School of Allied Health, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland*

[4]*Physical Activity for Health Research Cluster, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland*

[5]*Sports and Human Performance Centre, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland*

[6]*Ageing Research Centre, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland*

Corresponding Author: Ciaran Purcell **– ciaran.purcell.1@ucdconnect.ie**

Abstract

Objectives: To explore the content (subjective questions, objective tools and outcome measures) and discuss the nature (qualitative elements and wider considerations) of the athlete pain assessment by facilitating shared understandings of athlete and sports physiotherapists.

Design; Qualitative Research using a hermeneutic phenomenological approach.

Methods: We carried out focus groups comprising a deliberate criterion sample using a constructivist perspective. We developed a topic guide and used reflexive thematic analysis. We developed codes, candidate themes and finalised themes iteratively and employed a critical friend to add depth to our analysis. Our paper follows the consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ) guidelines.

Results: We completed five focus groups, comprising twelve athletes (five female, seven male) and four sports physiotherapists (four male). Three final themes (and eight subthemes) were created; I Measures, Scales and Dimensions (value and limitations of tools and scales, multidimensional methods, making sense and interpreting), II Connect, Listen and Learn (the pain interview and athlete's story, forging the athlete-clinician connection), III Lighthouse in the Storm (information overload and indecision, a beacon of direction; the role of the physiotherapist, the burden of expectation; challenges for physiotherapists)

Conclusion: We described and explored the phenomena of pain assessment in sport including current pain assessment strategies. Comprehensive multidimensional assessment methods that preserve the athlete-clinician therapeutic relationship and facilitate optimal communication are priorities for future research and practice.

Keywords

'Pain Measurement', 'Musculoskeletal Pain', 'Athletic Injuries' 'Athletic Performance' 'Focus Groups', 'Pain Management'.

Introduction

A comprehensive biopsychosocial, multidimensional pain assessment has been proposed to capture the full extent of an athlete's pain experience and the impact on their sport and wider life to plan and deliver more effective management strategies.[1 2] In our scoping review, we have identified gaps in pain assessment practice specifically around the psychological (affective) and contextual (cognitive and socioenvironmental) aspects of pain assessment.[3] In Part One of this series we highlighted the phenomenon of "athlete pain" and the journey of exploration and emotions athletes experience. The different coping strategies enlisted by athletes and the value of a support network and community were emphasised, highlighting the multidimensional nature of pain experience for athletes and physiotherapists alike, in line with contemporary models.[4 5] Communication strengthens an athlete's support network and thereby increases options for coping strategies building on contemporary understandings of the importance of effective communication in injury prevention.[6] In this paper we explore one of the key relationships and communication opportunities in an athlete's pain journey, the pain assessment. To date, little has been published exploring the athlete pain assessment and to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to combine both athlete and physiotherapist experiences and perspectives. Our objectives were to establish the content (subjective questions, objective tools and outcome measures) and discuss the nature (qualitative elements and wider considerations) of the athlete upper and lower limb pain assessment.

Methods

We carried out focus groups with a deliberate criterion sample of athletes and sports physiotherapists based in Ireland from diverse sporting backgrounds. We developed a topic guide which guided discussion from broad pain experience-related questions to more focused questions on future priorities for pain assessment. A moderator and neutral observer helped to ensure equity of participation and full exploration of the topic guide. We used reflexive thematic analysis and developed codes, candidate themes and final themes in an iterative fashion. A critical friend (CBW) independently reviewed the data and added additional perspectives. We have described the methodology and analysis for this body of research in full in Part One of this series. In this paper, Part Two of the series we use data from focus group questions that addressed athlete pain assessment. The full published data set can be accessed at https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/t47tw94mzd/2. Participant IDs were known only to CP, the lead researcher. Once participant IDs were allocated and analysis was complete all records of participant information were deleted ensuring anonymity.

Results and Discussion

The results are derived from five focus groups (including one pilot focus group) which gathered the experiences and interactions of sixteen participants (twelve athletes and four physiotherapists) from a broad range of sports and competition levels. See Table 1 in Part One of this series. Figure 1 displays the finalised themes and the codes assigned to each theme. Figure 2 is a thematic map of the themes and subthemes relevant to athlete pain assessment and where they fit in the athlete pain assessment priority pyramid that will be presented in Part Three.

Figures 1 – Themes (Measures, Scales & Dimensions; Connect Listen & Learn; Lighthouse in the Storm) and codes inserted here.

Figure 2 – Themes & Subthemes (and thematic map) inserted here.

Theme 1. Measures Scales and Dimensions

Theme 1 includes three subthemes; 1.1 – value and limitations of tools and scales, 1.2 – multidimensional methods and 1.3 – making sense and interpreting. Recent editorials have identified; a) the importance of athletes' and clinicians' beliefs and management of pain and fatigue and b) a spectrum from sports-related injury to sports-related pain, to offer practical guidance for athletes and clinicians.[7 8] Despite these positive advances the nebulous nature of pain experience proves challenging when it comes to accurate and comprehensive assessment. Participants in this study contrasted the value of tangible measures and scales which add a level of objectivity to the subjective experience of pain with the limitations of currently available tools and scales for assessing athlete pain. The need for wider biopsychosocial and multidimensional pain assessment strategies is firmly established in the literature, something with which participants concurred.[2 3] Participants outlined the need for interpretation and making sense of the pain assessment results collected and reconciling them with the athlete's pain experience which is in line with contemporary pain assessment guidance.[9 10]

1.1 Value and limitations of tools and scales

Participants discussed aspects of a standard physiotherapy pain assessment when recalling previous experiences, including observation of the athlete and measures of physical function such as range of motion, strength and performance markers. Physiotherapists and athletes alike noted the importance of pain provocation and localisation to identify the "athlete's pain" to validate the athlete's specific

pain experience which is promoted by contemporary pain definitions and standards.[10] Physiotherapists acknowledged how criteria-based rehabilitation and pain management, guided by objective indicators can provide clear goals for athletes and preferred this strategy to focusing on timelines which are not always easy to predict. Additionally, athletes appreciated having a tangible measure to both represent their pain and gauge their progress. This concept has previously been established in sports-related injury and is something participants felt should be emphasised in the assessment and management of pain.[11]

"Mobility, and kind of, my range, things like that, and strength on both legs, that would have been tested, and then pinpointing the pain, physically." – A11

"I do a lot of like single leg hopping and stuff like that as I often say to a runner if you can't single leg hop, you can't run, you kind of set your parameters early." – P01

"My pain is stopping me from being able to perform the same on that leg. I appreciated that like there was an objective outcome .. (Physiotherapist) being able to see if pain is actually affecting me. There was something that was tangible…I was quite happy that meant he was going to take my pain serious." – A08

However, in cases where pain was more diffuse or difficult to pinpoint, the limitations of representing pain in a clinical setting were a source of frustration for athletes. Additionally, some athletes experienced negative consequences from pain provocation testing or repeatedly being asked about symptoms, highlighting the need for the selective use of certain pain assessment tools. Choosing the right time to assess pain response and ask about symptoms is an important aspect that has been previously discussed by established pain clinician-researchers.[12]

"I couldn't tell where it was I couldn't point to it, I couldn't palpate it they couldn't locate where it was .. which kind of frustrated me." – A03

"When I single leg hopped I then had seven out of ten pain for the next two days when I could have told them that 'this is going to be really sore'." – A03

The development of technology in sport science and medicine has facilitated additional means for capturing data that can be helpful in the assessment of pain in athletes including wearable devices for physical performance and physiologic data, as well as smartphones and applications for assisting with subjective health and wellness monitoring.[13 14] Athletes reported familiarity with physiological markers such as heart rate metrics however, effective use requires planning, implementation and reporting and at times athletes felt overwhelmed with the amount of information.

"I'm like a real techy person like I'm always on Garmin and Whoop and Auras and all the different things…I went back to physios, went back to Doctors, because my resting heart rate would have been normally you know low forties.. and then for a good two months, it was mid-sixties." – A10

"Maybe it was a bad thing that I was keeping such a close eye on you know the data I was collecting yes because I was probably forming some sort of psychological response."– A10

Questionnaires and pain diaries can be enlisted as a method to add a layer of objectivity to the retrospective subjective reporting of pain.[15] Athletes described the individual nature of their preferences for communicating pain. They noted how written diaries allow pain to be reported at multiple time points throughout the day addressing the limitations of the once-off point-in-time assessments. Physiotherapists also noted that written methods add an opportunity for athletes to reflect. Conversely, the time taken to complete written descriptions or questionnaires was seen as burdensome. Athletes felt they were repeating what they had already expressed through conversation and physiotherapists acknowledged that they are often not appropriately analysed and utilised, citing time restrictions and instead placed higher value on objective measures.

"..where you kind of pull out a sheet and describe the pain and it kind of gets the flow of thought going and you're better able to communicate it then to the physio.'' – A11

"Sometimes there's a period of time where like pain diaries and you know getting patients to write down every day what the pain was and what they were doing." – P01

" A pain diary .., that takes persistence that I didn't have." –A08

Measuring the intensity of pain plays an important part in the assessment process. Various scales are used to gauge the severity of pain an athlete feels during the clinical encounter including during pain provocation tests, at specific time points throughout the day and following certain activities.[16] The numerical pain rating scale (NPRS), often called the 0-10 pain scale, was most frequently encountered by participants who found it may be effective for repeated use with an athlete particularly when tracking large changes in pain over time. However, both athletes and physiotherapists advised comparisons between athletes should not be made. Furthermore, physiotherapists noted interpretation of pain ratings around the middle of the scale can be challenging and there are floor and ceiling effects for gauging an increase or reduction in pain severity. Additionally, athletes and physiotherapists found a single point-in-time measure of pain is not representative of the twenty-four-hour nature of pain experience or sensitive to the changes in perceptions in response to different experiences and activities. The benefits (simplicity and widespread use) and drawbacks (once-off measure, floor/ceiling effects, subjective interpretation of scale) of the NPRS described by participants are closely aligned with established pain measurement literature.[17]

"I often find with the 0-to-10 scale, someone can say a 5, doesn't really mean a whole lot. If someone says a 9, it probably does, but if someone says a 3 or 4 that could be very different to someone else's 3 or 4, so it gives you, I think it certainly gives me an insight into just that person, and if your pain goes from a 6 to a 2, I know that that's an improvement for you." – P04

"So usually I get asked to describe it from nought to ten but I don't really get the opportunity to describe the twenty-four-hour cycle of the pain, which I think is probably something maybe more relevant to people who are experiencing a chronic pain." – A08

Athletes often struggled to represent their pain as a number and preferred descriptions that they could attribute greater meaning to. Physiotherapists also used alternative pain scales offering different means of measuring pain severity including the traffic light system which indicates when athletes must stop activities (red), proceed with caution (amber) or continue unabated (green), an option that has been adopted widely in managing tendon pain.[18] Grading pain as mild, moderate or severe was another option participants encountered.

> *"I find it very hard to say nought to ten so she would say mild, moderate or severe on the pain scale like something that took it away from me giving it a number."* – A08

1.2 Multidimensional methods

Athletes emphasised the importance of accurately representing the psychological and emotional aspects of pain perception and felt that current pain assessment practice does not achieve this and could be improved in line with best practice guidance.[1] Physiotherapists noted how psychological and emotional aspects can be difficult to include as part of a structured assessment and described how they tend to be addressed more informally when they are included. Participants highlighted how wider biological and lifestyle factors (e.g. stress, sleep and nutrition, age and time of a female athlete's menstrual cycle) and environmental factors (e.g. pain culture within the sport, time of the competitive season, weather and playing conditions) can have a profound impact on pain perception. Participants agreed these aspects should be considered as part of the assessment process but did not report encountering them as part of previous pain assessment experiences which contrasts with current athlete pain assessment guidance.[2 4]

> *"I know personally if my cortisol levels are higher, so if I'm stressed or lacking sleep that I nearly feel pain more and as a woman actually at different parts of your cycle."* – A08

> *"I think people probably didn't ask enough about the mental effects of injury until you are visibly in a bad mood or something"* – A05

"On the psychological stuff, I suppose the way I would normally do it is you kind of a little more informal so you kind of be having a chat with them." – P02

1.3 Making sense and interpreting

Whilst valuable, the objective measures and pain scales encountered by participants had their limitations. Athletes described their frustration when physical tests and measures were used in isolation during a pain assessment and encouraged clinicians to apply context to the objective results gathered and see the whole picture. Prior research has noted how physiotherapists can sometimes feel underequipped when assessing pain, particularly with more complex and chronic presentations, suggesting the need for enhanced education and improved knowledge of the tools and strategies available.[19] Drawing on their experience of prior pain assessments, athletes highlighted the need to go beyond the available pain scales and search for better ways to describe and represent pain intensity. Physiotherapists also acknowledged how in their experience objective findings must be correlated with athletes' subjective reports of pain to complete an effective pain assessment that is in line with best practice guidance.[2]

"Instead of like tell me what your pain is from nought to ten it's like tell me how it impacted your ability to train, your ability to race… to live a normal life." – A08

"The outcome measures .. like handheld dynamometry and force plates .. you're trying to correlate that with their own.. feedback on pain." –- P01

Despite available measures, current practice falls short of effectively capturing the athlete's pain experience. More multidimensional pain assessment tools must be developed and adopted to improve how pain is understood and assessed.

Theme 2. Connect, Listen & Learn

Theme 2 includes two subthemes; 2.1 – the pain interview and athlete's story and 2.2 – forging the athlete clinician connection. Every athlete has their own unique "pain story" and effectively facilitating an athlete to describe their pain experiences and the meaning it holds for them through a pain interview is a cornerstone of pain assessment.[10] This aspect of assessment was seen by participants as an opportunity for athletes and physiotherapists to develop a rapport and relationship with characteristics such as trust, authenticity and empathy woven into the process. A well-conducted pain interview allowed athletes to feel listened to, understood and validated, as prioritised in pain assessment guidelines.[20] In contrast, assessments in sports settings are often time-pressured and establishing injury diagnosis is most frequently prioritised above comprehensive pain assessment meaning optimal pain assessment and management cannot be achieved.[21]

2.1 The pain interview and athlete's story.

The pain interview allows the athlete and physiotherapist to explore the sensory and emotional aspects of pain and their wider impact.[2] Athletes discussed being asked about aggravating and easing factors, severity, irritability and nature of the pain and to describe it using various adjectives. The onset, duration and preceding history of the pain experience were commonly explored. Participants emphasized how the pain interview is an interactive process that is enhanced by the physiotherapist exploring the context and history behind an athlete's pain experience. Athletes gathered pain and injury experiences from their support network and teammates adding them to their interpretations. Physiotherapists used the pain interview to guide the generation of hypotheses and the selection of objective tests and measures.

> "Because everyone has pain, no one goes through life without pain, and then it's individual to that person so maybe help give them a bit of context to their own pain; this feels .. similar to what I had before, .. to what this person told me they had, or... " – P04

"If we don't have an idea before they're on .. the treatment table, .. of two or three things that might be going on here well then we either haven't asked the right question or we haven't listened to them." –P01

Open-ended discussions allowed the athlete to go beyond the sensory or physical manifestations of pain and begin to explore the mental, emotional and multidimensional nature of pain experience and aid the clinician in developing accurate hypotheses and diagnoses in line with research findings of question styles used in other pain cohorts.[22] Conversely participants found the judicious use of closed questions can be helpful to focus on specific aspects of the pain experience.

"Just letting someone talk to me about what their pain is, you learn a bit about the physical side of it but then, you know, what does their pain means to them .. the emotion side of it and the mental side of it as well, you get a bit more information out of it.., , .. a lot of the time people will tell you exactly what's wrong with them" –P04

2.2 Forging the athlete-clinician connection

There were wide-ranging and deeper benefits of fully exploring the athlete story described by participants. Athletes valued having an opportunity to give their unique and full perspective which lay the foundation for a strong relationship with their physiotherapist. Listening to an athlete with empathy provides validation and reassurance that they are being heard and understood enabling effective therapeutic alliance and an effective working relationship.[23] Participants shared how truly understanding an athlete's pain experience requires an investment of time and energy.

"I think it really matters how you talk to someone about their own pain you know if they think that it's really important to them, it's really important." – A03

"This is the first time someone's actually listened to what's been going on and like. I think that allows.. the patient.. to suddenly go right okay well this is what I think is going on or you can, you tend to get a little more insight into their pain." – P01

Athletes and physiotherapists alike stressed the importance of seeing the athlete as a whole person, prioritising human interaction and connection and understanding their emotions, motivations and behaviours. Trust plays an integral role in fostering the athlete-physiotherapist relationship and an athlete's confidence in the diagnosis, pain explanation and prognosis they have received.[24] The ambiguous nature of pain and the pressure to decide on whether or not to play through pain in high-stakes sports settings can create barriers around forming strong relationships. Despite these challenges, physiotherapists emphasised that their primary responsibility is the welfare of the athlete. The athlete pain assessment therefore is a delicate balance between capturing sufficient objective data to structure the assessment and management and dedicating time to nurture relationships and forge connections.

"I think it's all just about understanding people really and then obviously you have to have the rationale to back up what you're going to do etc.." – P02

''There's a balance I suppose in terms of seeing people as a number on a sheet and also as a human being. Sometimes I think it comes down to the idea of like... with outcome measures. It ends up where people just don't be seen at all as a person.'' – P03

According to participants, the crux to balancing an effectively conducted assessment and a strong therapeutic relationship involved taking an individualised approach to athlete pain assessment that considers the values and preferences of each person. Understanding individual preferences for communication styles and methods can improve how an athlete represents their pain. Both athletes and physiotherapists being open to different methods of communication, assessment and management is an important aspect of a tailored, individualised, patient-centred pain assessment.[20]

"Understanding how people communicate is good because some people are, you know, would be more comfortable writing, some people are comfortable with verbal, some people might be more comfortable showing, you know.." – P04

'In an ideal world it's like every patient gets a very individual service I suppose... the person, their previous experiences, you know their goals, their objectives this session like you know so then you can (use) different parts of you know assessment tools and then you can go dictate your treatments.'' – P03

Theme 3. Lighthouse In The Storm

Theme 3 includes three subthemes; 3.1 – information overload and indecision, 3.2 – a beacon of direction – the role of the physiotherapist and 3.3 – the burden of expectation – challenges for physiotherapists. Understanding and making sense of pain is fraught with challenges for athletes. The nature of changing pain presentations, making sense of sensations and emotions and navigating life within and beyond sport is turbulent and has been explored in Part One of this series where we established how an abundance of available information and misinformation of variable quality can add complexity to understanding pain. Athletes found that the interaction with a physiotherapist helped them make informed decisions relating to their pain. The role of providing clarity and direction for athletes is burdensome.[25] Time demands, high expectations and work environment difficulties can be likened to the solitary and challenging role of the lighthouse keeper. Contemporary research discusses how physiotherapists can sometimes feel outside of their comfort zone in particular when managing chronic pain.[26] Whilst there is a limit to the scope of practice, physiotherapists are optimally positioned to educate, collaborate and promote an individualised comprehensive approach to athlete pain assessment.

Information overload and indecision

Athletes struggled to accurately describe and represent their pain during the pain assessment process, acknowledging how the misinterpretation of different means of communication can be frustrating. Intertwined with their feelings of doubt and frustration was the potential for athletes to become hypervigilant about their pain experience. They noted that too much attention amplified pain experiences and ruminating thoughts intruded. In line with previous findings, athletes and physiotherapists noted the assessment of pain can be further complicated by cognitive overload through an abundance of information gleaned from social media, support networks and various clinicians that can leave an athlete feeling confused and frozen in indecision.[27]

> *"You kind of have that feeling of, you know, is that pain or is that, you know, your mind, because you're overthinking when you're coming back so I suppose that's something that I definitely struggle with."* – A12

> *"Like Instagram and people influencing you and you know like I was changing exercises every single day trying to solve my pains and everything and it just yes, the stress and the thought process and then doubting other therapists was another huge thing so like ..there's a lot of therapists that clash and it just doesn't help at all."* – A07

A beacon of direction – the role of the physiotherapist

Athletes highlighted how a clear explanation of their pain presentation provides clarity and reassurance helping them to accept their current situation and begin to plan their next steps. The pain assessment is an opportunity to educate athletes about pain which can have a positive effect on pain experience and sports performance.[28] Participants found effective communication and education required discussing the causes and contributors to pain. Comprehensive explanations can lead seamlessly into strategies for pain management that are justified by the athlete's understanding of their pain.

"I know exactly what it is, and I nearly come to terms with it an awful lot quicker whereas if it's an injury that I'm not sure what it is sometimes I feel like my interpretation of that is worse. I feel like my education around it has a huge impact so therefore that knowledge aspect is a drawback if I'm not asked about it". – A08

"As a kind of full-circle to the person .. "this is what the pain is" or "this is what I think it is and this is why I think it is" and "this is what we're going to do about it" and then if you understand the first two then the third becomes a lot easier for the person to actually continue on because they understand, you know" – P04

An effective assessment sets the pace and expectations for the management process. Athletes saw receiving an accurate prognosis and timeline to build goals as a pivotal part of pain management. Athletes and Physiotherapists highlighted that the role of the physiotherapist is to provide an objective perspective to aid in the decision-making process. The optimal level of objectivity and guidance varied amongst athletes and sports environments. Physiotherapists acknowledged the importance of providing clear direction at the end of the assessment. However, they also valued honesty and emphasised how diagnoses, timelines and decisions are not always straightforward.

"I think clarity is probably the most important thing, just having a timeline said to you that you can believe and actually see is realistic, I think that's very important in terms of progressing" – A05

"As much as you're a fan of the sport and a runner as well you kind of have to then be able to stand aside and go hold on what's the best for the athlete" – P01

"It's okay to not know and I think sometimes it's better to tell someone you don't know but definitely have a direction you feel like they need to go in" – P04

The burden of expectation – challenges for physiotherapists

Physiotherapists acknowledged the challenging nature of assessing and understanding pain and the difficulty of choosing the appropriate pain assessment tools and measures. During the clinical reasoning process, physiotherapists must be mindful of their communication strategy with athletes. With due regard to the "information overload'' described by athletes, physiotherapists highlighted how they were conscious not to cause further confusion in the pain assessment process, which can be a challenge.

> "*Sometimes you come away from it and you wanted, why didn't I do that, why did I do this, we should assess this, I didn't actually. You know, it can be hard.*" – P03

Striking the balance between assessment tools and diagnosis is a fine art, when not satisfied with the pain explanation, diagnosis or prognosis provided by one clinician, athletes may seek additional assessment, something clinicians were acutely aware of.

> "*All I can remember is I can't run for six weeks so that's not going to happen so I'll go to somebody else and find the answer I want*" – P01

Physiotherapists found making a definitive diagnosis and providing direction was particularly challenging in persistent pain presentations where the signs and symptoms that frequently accompany acute pain and injury presentations were not present and recovery and improvement were often protracted. These findings align with gaps and future priorities in athlete pain assessment and management identified by the International Olympic Committee (IOC).[29]

> "*I agree completely with what P03 was saying as regards how cloudy things become over time and I think that that's a difficulty like for … with a patient… I would always say to them .. look the longer we go away from your injury to where we are now, the more likely is we won't be able to give you a definite diagnosis of what's going on"* – P02

Physiotherapists also described the challenges of completing pain assessments pitch side or in other sports settings with conditions often less than optimal to conduct a thorough assessment. The timing of the assessment is a key consideration with early and frequent assessment recommended in musculoskeletal physiotherapy standards of practice.[30] Assessing early and often in a thorough manner gives a clearer and more comprehensive picture of pain. In contrast to these standards, the pain assessment practice described by participants in this study was challenged by the lack of time available in both private practice and sports physiotherapy settings and the pressure to return to perform and minimise time out of sport, something that has been previously been discussed by sports physiotherapists.[25] Physiotherapists acknowledged the difficulties of completing a thorough assessment and providing a diagnosis from the initial assessment.

"Like if you're working with an athlete day-to-day then it's a lot easier to gauge pain versus if I have someone that comes in off the street into the clinic that I've never met before, and you don't know their tendencies or you don't know their history." – A12

"I think it's like, putting the pressure on yourself to know exactly what's wrong with someone the first time you see them is unrealistic" –P04

Physiotherapists were acutely aware of these challenges and their limitations in an area that does not always have a clear answer. Understanding one's limitations is an important aspect of the role of the physiotherapist and ties in with the honesty and trust required for an effective athlete-physiotherapist assessment and relationship.[24 30]

"Lads come in with pain and ask what is it?..I don't know exactly what it is, it could be this, this and this, but all I know is that you're having issues with these three areas, this is what we're going to work on..we'll see what it's like." – P04

Conclusion

We described and explored the phenomena of pain assessment in sport. Athletes and physiotherapists described and critiqued the routine methods and measures used. We highlighted the value of the pain interview and athlete's story and we discussed how combining objective findings with the athlete's pain experience requires consideration and skill. Athletes shared their desire for direction in understanding and managing pain and we highlighted the challenges this poses, particularly for physiotherapists.

Whilst every effort was made to collect experiences from a diverse range of athletes and physiotherapists and variety was achieved in sport, competition level and practice setting the experiences gathered from these focus groups may not apply to all athlete pain assessment settings. Notably, participants were all recruited from Ireland and whilst some of the female athletes also had a physiotherapy background, no female sports physiotherapists were available to participate.

Better, more comprehensive and multidimensional means to describe and assess pain are a priority for future research and practice. Additionally, improved communication strategies that facilitate more timely and relevant pain information whilst preserving an effective athlete-physiotherapist relationship are needed. Priorities for future pain assessment will be explored further in part 3.

Practical Implications

- Objective assessment tools offer value in mitigating the subjective nature of pain experience and as indicators of progress.
- Some commonly used objective tools and scales have their limitations when it comes to comprehensively assessing pain. The overuse of objective measures that may not be relevant or helpful should be avoided.
- Multidimensional psychosocial assessment tools are underused and Physiotherapists should consider integrating them into their athlete pain assessment practice.
- Physiotherapists must place a high value on a well-conducted pain interview to facilitate the athlete to tell their pain story and effectively explore the multidimensional nature of pain.
- Physiotherapists must take an individualised approach when interpreting pain assessment findings and use the assessment as an opportunity to develop a therapeutic relationship and educate the athlete regarding their pain.

References

1. Hainline B, Derman W, Vernec A, et al. International Olympic Committee consensus statement on pain management in elite athletes. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;51(17):1245-58. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2017-097884 [published Online First: 2017/08/23]
2. Hainline B, Turner JA, Caneiro JP, et al. Pain in elite athletes-neurophysiological, biomechanical and psychosocial considerations: a narrative review. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;51(17):1259-64. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2017-097890 [published Online First: 2017/08/23]
3. Purcell C, Duignan C, Fullen BM, et al. Comprehensive assessment and classification of upper and lower limb pain in athletes: a scoping review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 2023:bjsports-2022-106380. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2022-106380
4. Wouw Avd. Advocating a holistic approach for sport injury prevention and rehabilitation. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 2023;57(14):895-96. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2022-105695
5. Titze C, Fett D, Trompeter K, et al. Psychosocial subgroups in high-performance athletes with low back pain: eustress-endurance is most frequent, distress-endurance most problematic! *Scand J Pain* 2021;21(1):59-69. doi: 10.1515/sjpain-2020-0053 [published Online First: 2020/09/07]
6. Tabben M, Verhagen E, Warsen M, et al. Obstacles and opportunities for injury prevention in professional football in Qatar: exploring the implementation reality. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine* 2023;9(1):e001370. doi: 10.1136/bmjsem-2022-001370
7. O'Sullivan K, O'Sullivan PB, Gabbett TJ. Pain and fatigue in sport: are they so different? *Br J Sports Med* 2018;52(9):555-56. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2017-098159 [published Online First: 2017/10/21]

513 8. Hoegh M, Stanton T, George S, et al. Infographic. Pain or injury? Why differentiation matters in
514 exercise and sports medicine. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 2022;56(5):299-300. doi:
515 10.1136/bjsports-2021-104633
516 9. Wijma AJ, van Wilgen CP, Meeus M, et al. Clinical biopsychosocial physiotherapy assessment of
517 patients with chronic pain: The first step in pain neuroscience education. *Physiother Theory*
518 *Pract* 2016;32(5):368-84. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2016.1194651 [published Online First:
519 2016/06/29]
520 10. Raja SN, Carr DB, Cohen M, et al. The revised International Association for the Study of Pain
521 definition of pain: concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Pain* 2020;161(9):1976-82. doi:
522 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001939 [published Online First: 2020/07/23]
523 11. Mendiguchia J, Martinez-Ruiz E, Edouard P, et al. A Multifactorial, Criteria-based Progressive
524 Algorithm for Hamstring Injury Treatment. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2017;49(7):1482-92. doi:
525 10.1249/MSS.0000000000001241 [published Online First: 2017/03/10]
526 12. Wilson D, Williams M, Butler D. Language and the pain experience. *Physiother Res Int*
527 2009;14(1):56-65. doi: 10.1002/pri.424 [published Online First: 2008/11/15]
528 13. Saw AE, Main LC, Gastin PB. Monitoring the athlete training response: subjective self-reported
529 measures trump commonly used objective measures: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med*
530 2016;50(5):281-91. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2015-094758 [published Online First: 2015/10/02]
531 14. Seçkin AÇ, Ateş B, Seçkin M. Review on Wearable Technology in Sports: Concepts, Challenges
532 and Opportunities. *Applied Sciences* 2023;13(18):10399.
533 15. Haase I. Accuracy of retrospective pain measurement in patients with chronic pain. *Med Int*
534 *(Lond)* 2023;3(4):35. doi: 10.3892/mi.2023.95 [published Online First: 2023/07/14]
535 16. EFIC EPF. Measuring Pain in the Clinic [Website]. Brussels: EFIC (European Pain Federation); 2022
536 [updated 2022. Available from: https://europeanpainfederation.eu/measuring-pain-in-the-
537 clinic/ accessed 19th December 2023 2023.

538 17. Correll DJ. Chapter 22 - The Measurement of Pain: Objectifying the Subjective. In: Waldman SD,
539 ed. Pain Management (Second Edition). Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders 2011:191-201.
540 18. Silbernagel KG, Thomeé R, Eriksson BI, et al. Continued sports activity, using a pain-monitoring
541 model, during rehabilitation in patients with Achilles tendinopathy: a randomized controlled
542 study. *Am J Sports Med* 2007;35(6):897-906. doi: 10.1177/0363546506298279 [published
543 Online First: 2007/02/20]
544 19. Cowell I, McGregor A, O'Sullivan P, et al. Physiotherapists' Approaches to Patients' Concerns in
545 Back Pain Consultations Following a Psychologically Informed Training Program. *Qualitative*
546 *Health Research* 2021;31(13):2486-501. doi: 10.1177/10497323211037651
547 20. UK NGC. Evidence review for communication between healthcare professionals and people with
548 chronic pain (chronic primary pain and chronic secondary pain): Chronic pain (primary and
549 secondary) in over 16s: assessment of all chronic pain and management of chronic primary
550 pain: Evidence review B. . London National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)
551 2021.
552 21. Thorborg K, Rathleff MS, Petersen P, et al. Prevalence and severity of hip and groin pain in sub-
553 elite male football: a cross-sectional cohort study of 695 players. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*
554 2017;27(1):107-14. doi: 10.1111/sms.12623 [published Online First: 2015/12/09]
555 22.. McDonald DD, M S, Rose L, et al. The effect of pain question phrasing on older adult pain
556 information. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2009;37(6):1050-60. doi:
557 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2008.06.008
558 23. Nicola M, Correia H, Ditchburn G, et al. Defining pain-validation: The importance of validation in
559 reducing the stresses of chronic pain. *Front Pain Res (Lausanne)* 2022;3:884335. doi:
560 10.3389/fpain.2022.884335 [published Online First: 2022/11/01]
561 24. David S, Hitchcock J. Understanding Patient Trust in the Athletic Setting through Interviews.
562 *Internet Journal of Allied Health Sciences and Practice* 2018 doi: 10.46743/1540-
563 580x/2018.1683

564 25. Morgan N. Practical tips for a future in sports physiotherapy: Association of Chartered
565 Physiotherapists in Sport and Exercise Medicine blog series. In: Medicine BJoS, ed.: BMJ,
566 2023.
567 26. Synnott A, O'Keeffe M, Bunzli S, et al. Physiotherapists may stigmatise or feel unprepared to
568 treat people with low back pain and psychosocial factors that influence recovery: a
569 systematic review. *J Physiother* 2015;61(2):68-76. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2015.02.016
570 [published Online First: 2015/03/31]
571 27. Gould D, Nalepa J, Mignano M. Coaching Generation Z Athletes. *Journal of Applied Sport*
572 *Psychology* 2020;32(1):104-20. doi: 10.1080/10413200.2019.1581856
573 28. Puentedura EJ, Louw A. A neuroscience approach to managing athletes with low back pain. *Phys*
574 *Ther Sport* 2012;13(3):123-33. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2011.12.001 [published Online First:
575 2012/07/21]
576 29. Zideman DA, Derman W, Hainline B, et al. Management of Pain in Elite Athletes: Identified Gaps
577 in Knowledge and Future Research Directions. *Clin J Sport Med* 2018;28(5):485-89. doi:
578 10.1097/JSM.0000000000000618 [published Online First: 2018/06/29]
579 30. Bishop A, Blackburn, J., Hallam, F., McComiskie, E., Rankin, G. Musculoskeletal physiotherapy
580 service standards; The delivery of musculoskeletal (MSK) physiotherapy services in the UK
581 for adults of 16 years and over. In: Physiotherapy TCSo, ed. London 2021.
582
583
584
585
586
587

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Themes (Measures, Scales and Dimensions; Connect, Listen and Learn; Lighthouse in the Storm) and codes. Dark shading – indicates codes that were present in athletes and physiotherapists. Light shading – indicates codes that were present in athletes only, No shading – indicates codes that were present in physiotherapists only

Figure 2. **Athlete pain assessment experience themes and subthemes.** The themes for each part of this series represent a row in the priorities for pain assessment pyramid, this paper presents the middle row of the pyramid. This comprises the three themes that describe the "athlete pain assessment" experience alongside the constituent subthemes. These themes build on the bottom row or foundation of the pyramid presented in Part One of this series. In the top row, the "priorities for future athlete pain assessment" themes and subthemes will be presented in Part Three and will therefore build on the themes from the previous two papers.

Figure 1. Themes (Measures, Scales and Dimensions; Connect, Listen and Learn; Lighthouse in the Storm) and codes. Dark shading – indicates codes that were present in athletes and physiotherapists. Light shading – indicates codes that were present in athletes only, No shading – indicates codes that were present in physiotherapists only



Figure 2. Athlete pain assessment experience themes and subthemes. The themes for each part of this series represent a row in the priorities for pain assessment pyramid, this paper presents the middle row of the pyramid. This comprises the three themes that describe the "athlete pain assessment" experience alongside the constituent subthemes. These themes build on the bottom row or foundation of the pyramid presented in Part One of this series. In the top row, the "priorities for future athlete pain assessment" themes and subthemes will be presented in Part Three and will therefore build on the themes from the previous two papers.