Understanding the Absolute Risk of Neonatal Respiratory Morbidity among Late Preterm Births

Mark A. CLAPP, MD MPH[1], Siguo LI, MS[1], Jessica L. COHEN, PhD[2], Cynthia GYAMFI-BANNERMAN, MD, MS[3], Amy B. KNUDSEN, PhD[4], Scott A LORCH, MD, MSCE[5], Tanayott THAWEETHAI, PhD[6], Jason D. WRIGHT, MD[7], Anjali J. KAIMAL, MD MAS[8 *], Alexander MELAMED, MD MPH[1 *]

[1] Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital
[2] Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health
[3] Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California at San Diego
[4] Institute of Technology Assessment, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital
[5] Division of Neonatology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia
[6] Division of Biostatistics, Massachusetts General Hospital
[7] Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Columbia University
[8] Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of South Florida

* Drs. Kaimal and Melamed contributed equally.

Corresponding Author:
Mark A Clapp, MD MPH
Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology
Massachusetts General Hospital
55 Fruit St, Boston, MA 02114
Email: mark.clapp@mgh.harvard.edu

Word Count:
Main – 2,659
Abstract - 381

Disclosures: Dr. Clapp serves as a medical advisory board member with private equity in Delfina Health, outside the submitted work. Dr. Melamed reports grants from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, the National Cancer Institute, the Conquer Cancer-The ASCO Foundation, and the Department of Defense outside the submitted work. Dr. Melamed has also served as an advisor for AstraZeneca. Dr. Wright has received research funding from Merck and honoraria from UpToDate. Dr. Gyamfi-Bannerman reports grants from NHLBI, NICHD, and NIHMD and has received research funding from HealthCore Inc/SERA Prognostics, Inc. and MIRVIE, Inc.
Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest or financial support.
Funding: None.
Data Access, Responsibility, and Analysis: Dr. Clapp had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.
Data Sharing: Data used in this study is publicly available at https://dash.nichd.nih.gov/.
Acknowledgments: The authors have no other acknowledgments.

Precis

The absolute risk of neonatal respiratory morbidity among patients at risk for late preterm delivery varies considerably by gestational age and mode of delivery.

Abstract

Objective: To estimate the effect of late preterm antenatal steroids on the absolute risk of respiratory morbidity among subgroups of patients based on the planned mode of delivery and gestational age at presentation.

Methods: This was a secondary analysis of the Antenatal Late Preterm Steroid (ALPS) Trial, a multicenter trial originally conducted within the NICHD's MFMU network of individuals with singleton gestations and without pre-existing diabetes who were at high risk for late preterm delivery (34-36 weeks of gestation). We fit binomial regression models to estimate the absolute risk of respiratory morbidity, with and without steroid administration, by gestational age and planned mode of delivery at the time of presentation. We assumed a homogenous effect of steroids on the log-odds scale, as was reported in the ALPS trial. The primary outcome was neonatal respiratory morbidity, as defined in the ALPS Trial

Results: The analysis included 2,825 patients at risk for late preterm birth. The risk of respiratory morbidity varied significantly by planned mode of delivery (adjusted risk ratio (aRR) 1.90 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.55, 2.33) for cesarean compared to vaginal delivery) and day of gestation at presentation (aRR 0.92 (95% CI 0.90, 0.94)). For those planning a cesarean delivery and presenting in the 34th week of gestation, the risk of neonatal respiratory morbidity was 39.4% (95% CI 30.8, 47.9%) without steroids and 32.0% (95% CI 24.6, 39.4%) with steroids. In contrast, for patients presenting in the 36th week and planning a vaginal delivery, the risk of

neonatal respiratory morbidity was 6.9% (95% CI 5.6, 8.6%) without steroids and 5.6% (95% 4.2, 7.0%) with steroids.

Conclusion: The absolute risk of neonatal respiratory morbidity among patients at risk for late preterm delivery varies considerably by gestational age at presentation and planned mode of delivery. As only communicating the relative risk reduction of antenatal steroids for respiratory morbidity may lead to an inaccurate perception of benefit, individualized estimates of absolute risk expected with and without treatment may inform shared decision-making.

Introduction

Neonatal respiratory morbidity, including respiratory distress syndrome and transient tachypnea of the newborn, is a common complication of prematurity.[1–3] Multiple patient factors, including gestational, mode of delivery, and fetal sex, influence the risk of respiratory morbidity.[2–7] There is a broad and longstanding consensus that antenatal steroids decrease the risk of neonatal respiratory morbidity for neonates born before 34 weeks of gestation.[8,9] More recently, the Antenatal Late Preterm Steroid (ALPS) Trial demonstrated that this benefit extends to fetuses at risk of delivery in the late preterm period (34-36 weeks of gestation): neonates exposed antenatally to betamethasone in the late preterm period had a 20% risk reduction of the composite respiratory outcome, without statistically conclusive evidence of treatment heterogeneity among pre-specified subgroups.[10]

The Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine recommends that clinicians and patients engage in shared decision-making around steroid administration in the late preterm period.[11] However, the baseline risk of respiratory morbidity differs substantially across the late preterm period, making summary measures of absolute risk, as reported in the original trial, less informative for individualized counseling.[2] Furthermore, communicating only the relative risk may lead to an inaccurate perception of the benefit of antenatal steroids, especially for those with a small absolute risk.[12] Thus, our objective in this secondary analysis of data from the ALPS Trial was to estimate the absolute risk of respiratory morbidity with and without steroid administration, based on patients' planned mode of delivery and gestational age at presentation.[10]

98 **Methods**

99 This is a secondary analysis of the Antenatal Late Preterm Steroid (ALPS) Trial
100 conducted within 17 university-based hospitals within the Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units
101 (MFMU).[10] In the trial, patients with a singleton pregnancy between 34 weeks 0 days and 36
102 weeks 5 days of gestation and with a high chance of delivery in the late preterm period were
103 enrolled and randomized to receive an antenatal course of betamethasone or placebo. The
104 primary outcome was a composite of respiratory morbidity within 72 hours after delivery and
105 included the following: high-flow nasal cannula or continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP)
106 for at least 2 hours; supplemental oxygen use for at least 4 hours; extracorporeal membrane
107 oxygenation (ECMO); and/or mechanical ventilation. We also examined the secondary outcome
108 of severe respiratory complications, as defined in the ALPS Trial as "a composite outcome of
109 CPAP or high-flow nasal cannula for at least 12 continuous hours, supplemental oxygen with a
110 fraction of inspired oxygen of at least 0.30 for at least at least 24 continuous hours, ECMO or
111 mechanical ventilation, stillbirth, or neonatal death within 72 hours after delivery."[10] The ALPS
112 trial randomized 2,831 patients to betamethasone (n=1,429) or placebo (n=1,402).[10] This analysis
113 included patients randomized at or after 34 weeks of gestation who were not lost to follow-up.

114 We examined the risk of neonatal respiratory morbidity among two patient factors
115 strongly associated with the risk of respiratory morbidity: mode of delivery and gestational
116 age.[2,3,5] To generate results that could guide clinical decision-making and inform counseling, we
117 considered *planned* mode of delivery (not actual mode) and gestational age *at presentation* (not
118 delivery), information available to clinicians and patients when deciding about antenatal steroid
119 administration. We defined the planned mode of delivery as "cesarean" if 1) a cesarean delivery
120 was scheduled in the late preterm period at the time of presentation or 2) if no delivery was

121 scheduled at the time of presentation but a cesarean section was performed at any gestational age
122 for the following indications: placenta accreta, placenta previa, fetal anomaly, prior uterine
123 surgery, or prior cesarean delivery. This definition was chosen to capture patients who were
124 unlikely to attempt a trial of labor. The prevalence of these factors was compared between the
125 two groups to examine for potential group imbalances in the original trial.

126 We constructed a binomial regression model that included the treatment assignment
127 (placebo or betamethasone), planned mode of delivery, and gestational age in days and used a
128 log link to report risk ratios. Because the prespecified subgroup analysis in the original trial did
129 not detect signs of treatment effect heterogeneity, the model assumed a constant effect size (on
130 the log-odds scale) of the intervention across all groups. We used this regression model to
131 estimate the absolute risks of respiratory morbidity in both the treated and untreated groups. The
132 predicted (or estimated) absolute risks of this model were presented graphically by planned mode
133 of delivery and across days of gestation; for comparison, we also plotted the observed absolute
134 risks of the outcome with a corresponding 95% confidence interval by planned mode of delivery
135 and weeks of gestation. To examine the model's discrimination and calibration, we used k-fold
136 cross-validation (k=10) to calculate the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC)
137 curves (AUC) and generate a calibration plot for both outcomes.

138 Additional steps were performed to further facilitate counseling around the absolute risk
139 of respiratory morbidity and expected outcome by mode of delivery and gestational age. First,
140 the risks of the primary and secondary outcomes were estimated using the same logistic
141 regression model, though gestational age in weeks was used instead of gestational age in days;
142 this allowed for the output to be summarized in a compact, interpretable table. Second, we built
143 an online reference tool to display the estimated risk of the primary and secondary outcome

using a patient's precise gestational age in days at presentation and their planned mode of delivery, which is available at https://mghinferencelab.shinyapps.io/alps_web_calc/.

Data was obtained with permission from the NICHD Data and Specimen Hub (DASH).[13] The XXX Institution Review Board exempted this study. All analyses used Stata (Stata Corp, College Station, TX). P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The STROBE reporting guidelines were followed.

Results

Of the original 2,831 participants, we excluded 2 patients randomized before 34 weeks of gestation and 4 patients lost to follow-up (2.1%). eTable 1 in the Supplement shows the sample sizes of the various strata used in the analysis. As expected, there were no differences in distributions of the planned mode of delivery or gestational age at randomization between the two groups (eTable 2 in the Supplement).

Figure 1 shows the predicted absolute risks of respiratory morbidity among patients treated with steroids and those who did not by the day of gestation and the planned mode of delivery at the time of presentation. The observed rates (not those predicted by the model) by week of gestation are shown on the same graph for comparison and included in eTable 3 in the Supplement. The risk of respiratory morbidity varied significantly across planned modes of delivery (adjusted risk ratio (aRR) 1.90 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.55, 2.33) for cesarean compared to vaginal delivery) and day of gestation at presentation (aRR 0.92 (95% CI 0.90, 0.94)). The unadjusted and adjusted model outputs are shown in eTable 4 in the Supplement. The 10-fold cross-validated AUC was 0.669 (95% CI 0.639, 0.698). The ROC curve and calibration plot is shown in eFigure 1 and eFigure 2 in the Supplement.

The predicted absolute risks with and without treatment are summarized by week of gestation in Table 1. At baseline (i.e., among those treated with the placebo), neonates born to individuals planning a cesarean delivery have a 36.7% (95% CI 29.8, 43.7%) risk of respiratory morbidity when presenting in the 34th week of gestation; this risk decreases to 31.4% (95% CI 24.5, 37.9%) with antenatal steroids. By contrast, neonates who are born via a planned vaginal delivery after presenting in the 36th week of gestation have a 6.8% (95% CI 5.1, 8.5%) risk of respiratory morbidity without steroids and a 5.4% (95% CI 4.0, 6.9%) risk with steroid treatment.

Figure 2 shows the predicted absolute risks of severe respiratory complications among those who received and did not receive antenatal steroids by day of gestational age and planned mode of delivery. The observed risks are shown on the same graphs by week of gestation and are included in eTable 5 in the Supplement. Planned mode of delivery (aRR 2.16 (95% CI 1.72, 2.72) for cesarean vs. vaginal delivery) and gestational age (aRR 0.92 (95% CI 0.90, 0.94)) were significantly associated with the risk of severe respiratory complications. The unadjusted and adjusted model outputs are shown in eTable 6 in the Supplement. The 10-fold cross-validated AUC was 0.679 (95% CI 0.651, 0.717); the ROC curve and calibration plots are shown in eFigure 3 and eFigure 4 in the Supplement. These findings are summarized by week of gestation in Table 2 which tabulates the absolute risk of severe respiratory complication, with and without treatment, by planned mode of delivery and week of gestational age at presentation.

The results of these two models are available as an interactive calculator available at https://mghinferencelab.shinyapps.io/alps_web_calc/. This tool displays the estimated absolute risk of respiratory morbidity (and associated 95% CIs) with and without treatment as a function of day of gestation at presentation and planned mode of delivery (example shown in Figure 3).

190 **Discussion**

191 In this secondary analysis of the ALPS Trial, we demonstrated that the absolute risks of
192 neonatal respiratory morbidity vary significantly by gestational age and planned mode of
193 delivery. Notably, infants born via a planned cesarean delivery are at a much higher risk of
194 respiratory morbidity than those having a vaginal delivery, especially at earlier gestational ages
195 (approximately 37% for planned cesarean delivery at 34 weeks vs. 7% for planned vaginal
196 delivery at 36 weeks). While these relationships were previously known, we quantified this risk
197 in the late preterm period using prospectively collected data as part of the ALPS Trial. Similarly,
198 we demonstrated the challenge of predicting these outcomes for patients at the time of
199 counseling and decision-making for antenatal steroids, even in the context of a clinical trial that
200 used a strict definition of "high risk for preterm delivery," as demonstrated by the ROC curves;
201 to convey the level of uncertainty around the estimates, all data are presented with 95%
202 confidence intervals. This information can inform shared decision-making about late preterm
203 steroids and allow clinicians to estimate the baseline risk of neonatal respiratory morbidity more
204 accurately. This absolute baseline risk of respiratory in the unexposed group can then be used to
205 interpret the expected relative risk reduction that was demonstrated uniformly across all enrollees
206 in the trial.

207 These findings must be interpreted in the context of the original ALPS Trial protocol and
208 the trial enrollees. The ALPS Trial only enrolled patients who were at high risk of a late preterm
209 delivery as determined by predefined clinical factors (rupture of membranes, preterm labor at ≥3
210 cm dilation or ≥75% effacement, or expected preterm delivery via induction or cesarean within
211 24 hours and 7 days) or provider judgment who had not previously received antenatal
212 corticosteroids or had pregestational diabetes.[10] As a result, nearly 40% of enrollees delivered

213 before receiving the second dose, and the median time from presentation to delivery was
214 approximately 30 hours.[10] The absolute risks reported from this trial are most relevant to patients
215 presenting with a similar risk of preterm delivery. For example, the benefit of antenatal steroids
216 may be higher than reported in the trial for patients who are more likely to deliver after receiving
217 a full course; in contrast, the benefit may be less if more patients received antenatal steroids but
218 went on to delivery at later gestations or full term (i.e., a lower risk of preterm delivery than the
219 trial). Studies of late preterm antenatal steroids outside of the context of a clinical trial are
220 needed to better estimate the absolute risks of respiratory morbidity and the benefit of the
221 intervention.

222 The original trial did include subgroup comparisons for several factors, including planned
223 cesarean delivery and gestational age, though gestational age was analyzed as 34-35 vs. 36
224 weeks of gestation. The study reported no significant effects for the interaction terms for the
225 primary outcome when each factor was analyzed individually; however, the trial was not
226 powered to detect differences in the primary outcome within subgroups. The possibility of a
227 differential impact of betamethasone in actual practice (i.e., treatment effect heterogeneity)
228 remains plausible, especially considering the differences in the number of days between first
229 dose and delivery and the number of patients who received a full course of betamethasone before
230 delivery by planned mode of delivery and gestational age at presentation. Investigations of
231 treatment effect heterogeneity should be a focus of future research to further individualize
232 counseling on risks and benefits.

233 This study focused on counseling regarding the individualized benefits of antenatal
234 steroids among two common and known clinical factors across which the baseline risk of
235 respiratory morbidity varies widely. However, other factors may inform clinical decision-

236 making, especially the known and theoretical intervention risks. Hypoglycemia, which was more
237 likely in the treatment group in the ALPS Trial (RR 1.60 (95% CI 1.37-1.87)), also likely varies
238 among population subgroups (e.g., gestational age).[10,14,15] We could not investigate the absolute
239 risks of hypoglycemia across gestational age and mode of delivery because these data are not
240 publicly available due to ongoing data collection at the time of this analysis.[13] Furthermore, there
241 is no data available to inform individualized counseling on the associations between long-term
242 neurocognitive or developmental risks and antenatal steroids in the late preterm period. Available
243 evidence in other populations is mixed, though a single dose of antenatal steroids in the early
244 preterm period has not been shown to increase long-term neurodevelopment risks.[16–21]

245 The study is strengthened by its use of rigorously collected data as part of a large
246 randomized controlled trial, allowing us to estimate the rates of respiratory morbidity among
247 those exposed and unexposed to antenatal steroids in the late preterm period. However, it is
248 limited in that the trial enrollees may not reflect the general population at risk for late preterm
249 birth or considering antenatal steroids. As mentioned, the baseline risks of respiratory morbidity
250 are presented for individuals with a high likelihood of delivery in the late preterm period.[10] The
251 baseline risks may vary in a population without the same likelihood of preterm delivery.
252 Similarly, the original trial was not powered to detect differences within population subgroups.
253 As such, the number of patients within our strata varies, some of which are small, leading to
254 considerable uncertainty. To help quantify this uncertainty, 95% confidence intervals are
255 provided in Tables 1 and 2 and in the online calculator. Other factors may also contribute to a
256 neonate's risk for respiratory morbidity. However, this study focused on two patient-specific
257 factors that would be known at the time of presentation and have previously been shown to have
258 a significant effect on risk in the literature; future studies could try to improve the performance

of the predictive model for respiratory morbidity using other factors, though the baseline challenge of predicting who and when a patient will deliver in the preterm period likely will continue to limit model discrimination. Last, we acknowledge that many non-patient factors may affect clinical decision-making surrounding ALPS (e.g., hospital resources, etc.); this information and the visualization tool are intended to help conceptualize the absolute risk reduction of respiratory morbidity with ALPS, which may inform one aspect of the shared decision-making.

In conclusion, the absolute risk of neonatal respiratory morbidity among patients at high risk for late preterm delivery varies considerably by gestational age at presentation and planned mode of delivery. Assuming no treatment effect heterogeneity (i.e., a constant relative risk reduction among all subgroups), patients with a low baseline risk (e.g., those presenting in the 36th week and planning a vaginal delivery) can expect to obtain a considerably smaller absolute benefit from steroid administration compared to patients at the highest risk of neonatal respiratory morbidity (e.g., those presenting in the 34th week and planning a cesarean delivery). ; Accurate understanding of these anticipated benefits and potential risks is critical to patients' making decisions based on informed patient preferences. Studies adequately powered to compare the risks and benefits of antenatal late preterm steroids among population subgroups, and their effectiveness outside the context of a clinical trial, are needed to increase the precision of shared decision-making and public policy surrounding late preterm steroids.

References

1. Mahoney AD, Jain L. Respiratory disorders in moderately preterm, late preterm, and early term infants. *Clin Perinatol*. 2013;40(4):665-678. doi:10.1016/j.clp.2013.07.004

2. Consortium on Safe Labor, Hibbard JU, Wilkins I, et al. Respiratory morbidity in late preterm births. *JAMA*. 2010;304(4):419-425. doi:10.1001/jama.2010.1015

3. De Luca R, Boulvain M, Irion O, Berner M, Pfister RE. Incidence of early neonatal mortality and morbidity after late-preterm and term cesarean delivery. *Pediatrics*. 2009;123(6):e1064-1071. doi:10.1542/peds.2008-2407

4. Mekic N, Selimovic A, Cosickic A, et al. Predictors of adverse short-term outcomes in late preterm infants. *BMC Pediatr*. 2023;23(1):298. doi:10.1186/s12887-023-04112-z

5. Berthelot-Ricou A, Lacroze V, Courbiere B, Guidicelli B, Gamerre M, Simeoni U. Respiratory distress syndrome after elective caesarean section in near term infants: a 5-year cohort study. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2013;26(2):176-182. doi:10.3109/14767058.2012.733743

6. Altman M, Vanpée M, Cnattingius S, Norman M. Risk factors for acute respiratory morbidity in moderately preterm infants. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2013;27(2):172-181. doi:10.1111/ppe.12035

7. Condò V, Cipriani S, Colnaghi M, et al. Neonatal respiratory distress syndrome: are risk factors the same in preterm and term infants? *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2017;30(11):1267-1272. doi:10.1080/14767058.2016.1210597

8. Roberts D, Dalziel S. Antenatal corticosteroids for accelerating fetal lung maturation for women at risk of preterm birth. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2006;(3):CD004454. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004454.pub2

9. Gilstrap LC, Christensen R, Clewell WH, et al. Effect of Corticosteroids for Fetal Maturation on Perinatal Outcomes: NIH Consensus Development Panel on the Effect of Corticosteroids for Fetal Maturation on Perinatal Outcomes. *JAMA*. 1995;273(5):413-418. doi:10.1001/jama.1995.03520290065031

10. Gyamfi-Bannerman C, Thom EA, Blackwell SC, et al. Antenatal Betamethasone for Women at Risk for Late Preterm Delivery. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2016;374(14):1311-1320. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1516783

11. Reddy UM, Deshmukh U, Dude A, Harper L, Osmundson SS. Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine Consult Series #58: Use of antenatal corticosteroids for individuals at risk for late preterm delivery: Replaces SMFM Statement #4, Implementation of the use of antenatal corticosteroids in the late preterm birth period in women at risk for preterm delivery, August 2016. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2021;225(5):B36-B42. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2021.07.023

12. Freeman ALJ. How to communicate evidence to patients. *Drug Ther Bull*. 2019;57(8):119-124. doi:10.1136/dtb.2019.000008

13. NICHD DASH - Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Data and Specimen Hub. Accessed November 11, 2023. https://dash.nichd.nih.gov/

14. Bromiker R, Perry A, Kasirer Y, Einav S, Klinger G, Levy-Khademi F. Early neonatal hypoglycemia: incidence of and risk factors. A cohort study using universal point of care screening. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*. 2019;32(5):786-792. doi:10.1080/14767058.2017.1391781

15. Lubchenco LO, Bard H. INCIDENCE OF HYPOGLYCEMIA IN NEWBORN INFANTS CLASSIFIED BY BIRTH WEIGHT AND GESTATIONAL AGE. *Pediatrics*. 1971;47(5):831-838. doi:10.1542/peds.47.5.831

16. Räikkönen K, Gissler M, Tapiainen T, Kajantie E. Associations Between Maternal Antenatal Corticosteroid Treatment and Psychological Developmental and Neurosensory Disorders in Children. *JAMA Network Open*. 2022;5(8):e2228518. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.28518

17. Ninan K, Liyanage SK, Murphy KE, Asztalos EV, McDonald SD. Evaluation of Long-term Outcomes Associated With Preterm Exposure to Antenatal Corticosteroids: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2022;176(6):e220483. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2022.0483

18. van der Merwe JL, Sacco A, Toelen J, Deprest J. Long-term neuropathological and/or neurobehavioral effects of antenatal corticosteroid therapy in animal models: a systematic review. *Pediatr Res*. 2020;87(7):1157-1170. doi:10.1038/s41390-019-0712-1

19. Thevathasan I, Said JM. Controversies in antenatal corticosteroid treatment. *Prenatal Diagnosis*. 2020;40(9):1138-1149. doi:10.1002/pd.5664

20. Dalziel SR, Lim VK, Lambert A, et al. Antenatal exposure to betamethasone: psychological functioning and health related quality of life 31 years after inclusion in randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2005;331(7518):665. doi:10.1136/bmj.38576.494363.E0

21. Sotiriadis A, Tsiami A, Papatheodorou S, Baschat AA, Sarafidis K, Makrydimas G. Neurodevelopmental Outcome After a Single Course of Antenatal Steroids in Children Born Preterm: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;125(6):1385-1396. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000000748

Figure 1: Risk of respiratory morbidity among individuals exposed and not exposed to antenatal steroids by gestational age and planned mode of delivery



The lines represent the estimated rates of respiratory morbidity among those exposed (+ ALPS) and not exposed (- ALPS) that were derived from a logistic regression model that included gestation age at presentation (in days), planned mode of delivery (vaginal vs. cesarean), and treatment group. For comparison, the observed rates (i.e., "actual risk") with their corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) by week (not day) of gestation are also plotted; of note, their position on the x-axis does not correspond to a specific day within the week of gestation.

Figure 2: Risk of severe respiratory complications among individuals exposed and not exposed to antenatal steroids by gestational age and planned mode of delivery



The lines represent the estimated rates of severe respiratory complications among those exposed (+ ALPS) and not exposed (- ALPS) that were derived from a logistic regression model that included gestation age at presentation (in days), planned mode of delivery (vaginal vs. cesarean), and treatment group. For comparison, the observed rates (i.e., "actual risk") with their corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) by week (not day) of gestation are also plotted; of note, their position on the x-axis does not correspond to a specific day within the week of gestation.

Figure 3: Image of online calculator to estimate the absolute risks of respiratory morbidity by planned mode of delivery and gestational age with and without antenatal steroids

Absolute Risk of Respiratory Morbidity for Neonates at High Risk for Late Preterm Birth

The following model estimates the absolute risk of respiratory outcomes for singleton gestations at high risk for late preterm birth using data from the ALPS Trial. In the trial, *high risk for preterm delivery* was definied by the following criteria: rupture of membranes, preterm labor at ≥3 cm dilation or ≥75% effacement, expected preterm delivery via induction or cesarean within 24 hours and 7 days, or provider judgment. Risk estimates are a function of the planned mode of delivery and gestational age (in days) at the time of presentation and are presented between the trial's control group ('Without Steroids') and intervention group ('With Steroids').

Please note: This tool is only for research purposes, and the findings have not been externally validated. Confidence intervals around the point estimates are shown to reflect the degree of uncertainty.



	Without Steroids	With Steroids
Respiratory Morbidity	16.3% (14.3% - 18.4%)	13.6% (11.7% - 15.6%)
Severe Respiratory Complication	13.7% (11.8% - 15.8%)	9.5% (7.9% - 11.4%)

Outcome Definitions

Respiratory Morbidity

Respiratory support <72 hours after birth is defined as any of the following: the use of CPAP or high-flow nasal cannula for ≥2 consecutive hours, supplemental oxygen with a FiO2 ≥0.30 for ≥4 continuous hours, ECMO, or mechanical ventilation.

Severe Respiratory Complication

Defined as any of the following: CPAP or high-flow nasal cannula for ≥ 2 continuous hours, supplemental oxygen with FiO2 ≥0.30 for ≥24 continuous hours, ECMO or mechanical ventilation, stillbirth, or neonatal death within 72 hours after delivery.

Table 1: Estimated risk of respiratory morbidity among neonates not exposed and exposed to antenatal steroids, stratified by week of gestation and planned mode of delivery

Week of Gestation at Presentation	Planned Vaginal Delivery		Planned Cesarean Delivery	
	No ALPS % (95% CI)	ALPS % (95% CI)	No ALPS % (95% CI)	ALPS % (95% CI)
34 weeks	20.9 (17.5-24.4)	17.0 (13.9-20.2)	39.4 (30.8, 47.9)	32.0 (24.6-39.4)
35 weeks	11.8 (9.6-14.1)	9.6 (7.7-11.5)	22.3 (17.3-27.3)	18.1 (14.0-22.3)
36 weeks	6.9 (5.2-8.6)	5.6 (4.2-7.0)	12.9 (9.3-16.6)	10.6 (7.5-13.)

ALPS, antenatal late preterm steroids; CI, confidence interval.

Table 2: Estimated risk of severe respiratory complications among neonates not exposed and exposed to antenatal steroids, stratified by week of gestation and planned mode of delivery

Week of Gestation at Presentation	Planned Vaginal Delivery		Planned Cesarean Delivery	
	No ALPS % (95% CI)	ALPS % (95% CI)	No ALPS % (95% CI)	ALPS % (95% CI)
34 weeks	17.3 (14.1-20.1)	11.6 (9.0-14.2)	37.0 (28.1-45.9)	24.8 (18.1-31.4)
35 weeks	9.4 (7.6-11.8)	6.5 (5.0-8.1)	20.8 (15.6-25.9)	13.9 (10.2-17.6)
36 weeks	5.0 (3.5-6.4)	3.3 (2.3-4.4)	10.6 (7.1-14.1)	7.1 (4.7-9.5)

ALPS, antenatal late preterm steroids; CI, confidence interval.