Closing the gap towards a successful referral system. A case study of a tertiary teaching and referral hospital, Kenya: pre-posttest study design Authors: Maxwell Philip Omondi1* ¹ Orthopedic Unit, Department of Surgery, University of Nairobi, Kenya *Corresponding author Email address: maxwellomondi@gmail.com

29 Abstract

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

Inappropriate utilization of higher-level health facilities and ineffective management of the referral processes in resource-limited settings is increasingly becoming a concern in health care management in developing countries. This is characterized by self-referrals and frequent bypassing of nearest health facilities. On 1st July 2021, Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH) did enforce the national referral guidelines that required patients have a formal referral letter to reduce the number of self-referrals, decongest KNH and allow KNH to function as a referral facility as envisioned by Kenya Health Sector Referral Implementation Guidelines of 2014, Kenya 2010 constitution and KNH legal statue of 1987. The purpose of this study was to analyse the effect of enforcement of referral guidelines on facility referrals to KNH. This was a pre-posttest study design. The study was conducted amongst the orthopedic facility referrals in 2021 with 222 and 246 before and after enforcement of referral guidelines respectively. Data collection was done through data abstraction. Data was analyzed using frequency distribution, pearson chisquare test and logistic regression. Nairobi County and its environs constituted over fourfifth of all facility referrals to KNH. Over two-thirds of the facility referrals to KNH were from government facilities. There was significant reduction in health facilities tiers 2 and 3 referring patients directly to KNH after enforcement of referral guidelines (p=0.002). About 43 health facilities ceased referring patients to KNH with over two-thirds of these health facilities being private facilities. The major facility and patient factors that were associated with facility referrals to KNH were human resource capacity and availability In conclusion, enforcement of the referral guidelines and patient's preference. significantly reduced the lower tiers health facilities referring to KNH. We recommend

having written standard operating procedures on referrals based on the national referral guidelines with continued enforcement of the same to sustain the gains made.

Key words: referral guidelines, health facility referrals, Kenyatta National Hospital

Background

Tertiary hospitals in resource-limited countries treat patients referred but in most cases are the first level of care for the vast majority of patients (1). One of the challenges in health care delivery in resource-limited settings is inappropriate utilization of tertiary health facilities that results in patients' congestion in referral hospitals with simple medical conditions that can be effectively managed at the lower peripheral health facilities. The majority of these patients are self-referred, bypassing lower-level health facilities in the process (2-5).

A study done in Lusaka demonstrated how University Teaching and Referral Hospital is congested due to bypassing of lower peripheral health facilities and as a result the tertiary facility effectively functions as a primary health care facility. The urban phenomenon of widespread self-referral is associated with low rates of formal referral from peripheral health facilities (4, 6). The net result is simple medical conditions end up being managed in high-cost referral health facilities leading to overcrowding, long waiting times, and scarce staff time consumed by lesser medical conditions at the expense of complex medical conditions. It is therefore imperative that attempts need to be made to ensure patients make use of lower health facilities by creating disincentives for patients bye-passing these health facilities (1, 7). The bypassing of lower level health facilities also

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

cripples the primary health care system and this effectively ensures that primary health care facilities remain underused and inefficient (8). Taken together, this jeopardizes the appropriate delivery of primary, secondary, and tertiary health care In 2008, an assessment done in South African Public health sector revealed that patients were accessing the health system at inappropriate levels and bypassing primary health care to attend to regional and tertiary hospitals as their initial visit leading to overcrowding and unnecessary costs to the referral facilities (9). In cross-sectional study was done to review the self-referrals to a District-Regional Hospital in KwaZulu Natal, South Africa showed 36% were self-referrals. However, majority (64%) were appropriately referred with written referral letters (9). A study on referral system in Nigeria showed that 92.9% reported to tertiary hospital directly without referral while 7.1% were referred. The result of this is overcrowding of the tertiary facilities with conditions that can be managed at lower level health facilities (7). In India health care system is characterised by overcrowding, lack of specialist doctors and effective referral system. Despite having a referral guideline that details referral of patients from lower levels to sub-district, district and then to tertiary hospitals, the actual practices are that patients go to any level of health care system without any referral (10). This creates burden on the secondary and tertiary level hospitals. In Taiwan where we have a free-access systems, bypass of primary care and seeking secondary care through self-referral is rampant despite Taiwan's government taking various initiatives to mitigate bypass (11).

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

Kenya is a low-income middle-income country. Kenya's health sector is one of the 14 functions devolved to the 47 county governments as provided for in the Fourth Schedule of the 2010 constitution (12). There are six different levels or tiers of health care in Kenya. Tiers 1 -5 are managed by the county government and tier 6 by the national government. In this system patients are required to move from one tier to the next using a formal referral letter. Kenya has a pluralistic health sector consisting of private and nongovernmental service providers alongside the government-run health facilities that is organized into six (6) tiers (Figure 1). Figure 1: Six tiers of health care service delivery in Kenya (13) Tiers 4 are Sub-County Hospitals, Nursing Homes and also include medium-sized private hospitals. Tier 5 are County Teaching and Referral Hospitals, large private as well as faith-based hospitals. Tier 6 are National Teaching and Referral Hospitals. In Kenya there are four teaching and referral hospitals: a) Mathari Hospital, b) Kenyatta National Hospital, c) Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital and d) National Spinal Injury Hospital. These tier 6 offer range of services similar to Tier V but additionally they offer specialised treatments in addition to having advanced infrastructure and are not only accessed by Kenyans but so serve East Africa and Central Africa. Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH) was established as a National Referral and Teaching Hospital, to provide training and medical research. KNH was established in 1901 and became a State Corporation in 1987 and sits at the peak of the health referral system in

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

Kenya (14). According to the KNH Board order of 1987 contained in the Legal Notice No. 109, the functions of KNH were spelled out as a) to receive patients on referral for specialized health care; b) to provide facilities for medical education for the University of Nairobi and other health allied courses; c) to contribute to national health planning (14). This understanding has been reinforced by the Kenya Health Sector Referral Implementation Guidelines, 2014, and the Constitution of Kenya 2010 which tasks KNH with the responsibility for health policy formulation (12, 15). Orthopaedic wards in KNH have consistently recorded the highest bed occupancy percent for the last couple of years. In 2018, 2019 and 2020 it recorded bed occupancy percent of 142.2%, 138.2% and 116.5% respectively against the KNH bed occupancy percent of 106.2%, 113.4% and 91.5% (16). This coupled with low nurse-patient ratio of 1:10 compromises not only the quality of nursing care given to patients but also the ability of KNH to effectively perform its statutory obligations. Various studies have demonstrated that an appropriate nurse-patient ratio is associated with the reduction in medical errors, decubitus ulcers, hospital-acquired infections, long duration of hospital stay, high readmission rates, and compromised patient well-being and safety due to the nurse burnout (17-19). On 1st July 2021, KNH management made a decision to enforce the Kenya Health Sector Referral Guidelines 2014 that places KNH at the tip of the health sector referral system. This meant that patients will be seen based on referral letters from other health facilities to reduce the number of walk-in patients who would have otherwise been appropriately

seen at the peripheral health facilities. This would then allow KNH to focus on the management of complex medical conditions and allow uncomplicated medical conditions to be managed at lower-level health facilities. The purpose of this study was to analyse the effect of enforcement of referral guidelines on facility referrals to KNH. The findings of this study will help formulate policy and guidelines on orthopaedic and trauma essential care at the peripheral and tertiary health facilities in Nairobi Metropolitan Area and the country at large. It will also provide evidence-based information that will contribute to the review of Kenya Health Sector Referral Implementation Guidelines of 2014.

158 Methods

Study design

This was a pre-posttest study design. The national referral guideline was enforced on 1st July, 2021. The pretest covered 5 months before enforcement of referral guidelines (February 1, to June 30, 2021) while post-test covered 5 months after enforcement of the referral guidelines (August 1, to December 31, 2021). The variables compared were county, type of health facility (government or private) and health facility tier before and after enforcement of the referral guidelines. Enforcement of referral guidelines required that the referring health facility consults with KNH referral Office for concurrence before patients are referred to KNH and that patients should come with written official referral letters. This was to ensure only patients who require specialized orthopaedic and trauma care not available at the peripheral health facilities get admitted to KNH.

Study area

KNH is the largest teaching and referral hospital in East and Central Africa. KNH Orthopaedic Wards were the study area. KNH is based in Upperhill, Nairobi, the capital city of Kenya. It is located along Hospital Road, about 5km from the city centre. KNH has a bed capacity of 1,800, 6,000+ staff members, 50 wards, 22 out-patient clinics, 24 theaters (16 specialized) and Accident & Emergency Department (14). Of the 1800 bed capacity, 96 beds are allocated to orthopaedic wards. KNH is a 10-floor storied building complex and the Orthopaedic wards are located on the 6th floor but we also have orthopaedic admissions in private wings on 9th and 10th floor. Orthopaedic patients with other co-morbidities also get admitted to other wards in KNH.

Study duration

The study duration was from 1st February 2021 to 31st December 2021. The referral guidelines were enforced from 1st July 2021. Data abstraction was conducted from 1st January to 31st March, 2022.

Study population

Orthopaedic inpatient caseload before and after enforcement of referral guidelines.

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

All orthopaedic and trauma facility referrals to KNH during the study period.

Sample size calculation

- Sample size was calculated using an adjusted Casagrande formula for calculating sample
- sizes that compare two binomial distributions (20).
- A sample size of 468 facility referrals were enrolled during the study period with 220 and
- 248 facility referrals before and after the enforcement of national referral guidelines.

Recruitment and sampling procedures

Three (3) research assistants (RAs) were recruited to collect and abstract patient data from patient files. The RAs were health care workers with a diploma in Orthopedic Trauma and with some experience in research data collection. The Principal Investigator (PI) was the research coordinator for the data collection. The orthopedic and trauma admissions with facility referrals were identified from the a) admission desk of Health Information System at KNH Accident and Emergency Unit (A&E) b) KNH Orthopedic Outpatient clinic records (OC) c) KNH Corporate Outpatient Care (COC). They were then recorded in a logbook. This logbook served as a master register for all facility referred patients admitted and therefore the sampling frame for the study. All facility admissions were logged into the logbook from the admission books stationed in these three (3) services points. Proportional Population to Size (PPS) was then used to decide on the numbers to be sampled per month from each of these three services points so that the sample size would be a representative of the admissions by month from each of these three orthopedic admissions entry points (Table 1).

Table 1: Orthopaedic and trauma admissions to KNH stratified by point of admission, 2021

	Month of the	Point of admission			
	year, 2021`	A&E	Clinic	COC	Total
Before	February	54	3	3	60
	March	40	1	3	44
	April	37	0	3	40
	May	33	2	2	37
	June	39	1	1	41
	Total	203	7	12	222
After	August	42	2	2	46
	September	52	3	4	59
	October	55	2	4	59
	November	20	0	12	32
	December	43	2	3	48
	Total	212	9	25	246

The three (3) RAs were reporting to and working under the direction of the PI. The RAs were trained for two (2) days by the PI on the research protocol, data collection tools, data collection procedures and that included pilot testing of the data collection tools as well before the actual data abstraction.

A written Informed consent was obtained from KNH Medical Research Department to have access to the patient's health records in the Health Information Office (Room 19).

Variables

a) Name of health facility County c) Facility Type (Government/Private) d) Health Facility Level/Tier e) Admission date (dd/mm/yyyy)

Data collection procedures

Data collection was done through a data abstraction form from the patient files.

Data Abstraction form – The three (3) RAs were trained on the data abstraction using a data abstraction form as per the research protocol. The PI reviewed all the filled-in abstraction forms for completeness and accuracy daily during the entire data collection period and providing regular feedback to the RAs on a timely manner to ensure data quality and compliance to the study protocol. All the completed and verified data abstraction forms were then collected and filed by the PI at the end of every week under

Ethical considerations

a lockable cabinet.

The study protocol was presented to UoN/KNH Ethics and Research Committee and was granted ethical approval (ERC No: P852/10/2021). Administrative approval was also granted by KNH Medical Research Department and KNH Orthopaedics Department.

Data management, analysis, and presentation plan

Data abstraction tool was designed to collect quantitative and qualitative data. For anonymity and confidentiality purposes the data abstraction tool were marked only with the participant study numbers and no names were used. However, the research assistants and the PI had access to the patients charts and therefore could identify individual patients during the data collection period. The data were entered into a password-protected Redcap database kept by the KNH Medical Research Department. The data were analyzed using SPSS version 21.0. Descriptive statistics such as

frequencies while inferential statistics using Pearson's chi-squared tests, logistic regression were used. The calculations were done at a 95% level of confidence. **Findings Basic Profile of the sample population** A total of 468 charts were abstracted of which 220 (47%) were before and 248 (53%) were after the enforcement of the referral guidelines. Nairobi County constituted more than half of facility referrals to KNH. This rose to over four – fifths of facility referrals with inclusion of the neighbouring counties namely Kajiado, Kiambu and Machakos. Almost all the facility referrals were from within Kenya (Table 2). About three-fifths of the facility referrals to KNH were government health facilities with private health facilities comprising about two-fifths. About half of the facility referrals are from Tiers 2 to 4 and with the remainder of facility referrals to KNH from Tier 5 and 6 (Table 2).

Table 2: Basic profile of the sample population, 2021

Variable	Category	Frequency (n=222)	Percent	Cumulative percent	Frequency (n=246)	Percent	Cumulative percent
			Before	-		After	
County	Nairobi	133	59.9%	59.9%	136	55.3%	55.2%
	Kiambu	31	14.0%	73.9%	31	12.6%	67.8%
	Kajiado	17	7.7%	81.6%	26	10.5%	78.3%
	Machakos	4	1.8%	83.4%	4	1.6%	79.9%
	Nakuru	4	1.8%	85.2%	2	0.8%	80.7%
	Others – Central	6	2.7%	87.9%	15	6.1%	86.8%
	Others - Eastern	22	9.9%	98.3%	22	8.9%	95.7%
	Others*	5	2.2%	100.0%	10	4.0%	99.7%
	Burundi	0	0.0%	100.0%	1	0.4%	100.1%
Type of	Government	140	63.1%	63.1%	146	59.3%	59.3%
Health Facility	Private	82	36.9%	100.0%	100	40.7%	100.0%
Health	2	14	6.3%	6.3%	2	0.8%	0.8%
Facility Tier	3	23	10.4%	16.7%	15	6.1%	6.9%
	4	74	33.3%	50.0%	106	43.1%	50.0%
	5	102	45.9%	95.9%	109	44.3%	94.3%
	6	9	4.1%	100.0%	14	5.7%	100.0%

Others* include facility referrals from former Coast, North Eastern, Nyanza, Western and Rift Valley regions of Kenya

Figure 2: The major health facilities referring to KNH before and after enforcement of referral guidelines, 2021

Mama Lucy Kibaki Hospital, Mbagathi District Hospital and Thika Level 5 Hospital were the major health facilities referring patients to KNH before and after enforcement of the referral guidelines (Figure 2).

There were increases in the health facility referrals to KNH after the enforcement of the referral guidelines. However, these increases were not statistically significant differences (Table 3).

Table 3: Bivariable analysis of the type of health facilities referrals referring to KNH before and after enforcement of referral guidelines, 2021

		Before (n=222)	After (n=246)	p-value
Type of Health	Government Facility referrals	140 (49.0%)	146 (51.0%)	p= 0.411
Facility	Private Facility referrals	82 (45.1%)	100 (54.9%)	

Legend: Pearson χ^2 statistics test was used to test for statistical significance at 95% CI.

There were statistically significant differences in health facilities referrals to KNH before and after the enforcement of the referral guidelines when stratified by the health facility tier (Table 4). There was significant reduction in Tiers II and III referring patients directly to KNH and an increase in the facility referrals to KNH from tiers IV, V and VI (Table 4). There were less tier II and III health facilities referring patients to KNH after enforcement of the referral guidelines. The facility referrals from Tier II were 90.8% less likely as compared to Tier III after enforcement of the referral guidelines (p=0.006) (Table 4).

Table 4: Multivariable analysis of the health facilities referrals, stratified by health facility tier, referring to KNH before and after enforcement of referral guidelines, 2021

		Before (n=222)	After (n=246)	Chi- square; p- value	Logistic regression
Health	Ш	14 (87.5%)	2 (12.5%)		1.0
Facility Tier	Ш	23 (60.5%)	15 (39.5%)	16 505	0.092 (p=0.006)
	IV	74 (41.1%)	106 (58.9%)	16.505;	0.419 (p=0.108)
	V	102 (48.3%)	109 (51.7%)	p=0.002	0.921 (p=0.856)
	VI	9 (39.1%)	14 (60.9%)	-	0.687 (p=0.301)

Legend: Pearson χ^2 statistics test was used to test for statistical significance at 95% CI and Odds Ratio was used to test the strength of association. 1.0 refers to reference group.

While the study showed about 43 health facilities ceased referring patients to KNH with over two-thirds of these health facilities being private facilities (Table 5).

The study revealed that lower tiers health facilities namely tier II-IV ceased referring patients to KNH and this comprised over four-fifths of all health facilities that stopped referring to KNH after enforcement of the referral guidelines (Table 5).

Table 5: Frequency distribution of type of health facilities that stopped referring, stratified by Type of Health Facility and Facility Tier, after enforcement of the referral guidelines, 2021

	Categories	Frequency (n=43)	Percent	Cumulative percent
Type of Health	Government	14	32.6%	32.6%
Facility	Private	29	67.4%	100.0%
	II	7	16.3%	16.3%
	III	12	27.9%	44.2%
Facility Tier	IV	16	37.2%	81.4%
-	V	6	14.0%	95.4%
	VI	2	4.7%	100.1%

The major facility and patient factors that were associated with facility referrals to KNH were human resource capacity and availability, health facility infrastructure, Orthopaedic equipment's and implants availability, patient's preference, financial considerations (Table 6). It's worth noting that across both private and government health facilities the main factors for referral were inadequate human resource capacity and availability followed by availability of medical supplies and equipments and financial considerations (Table 6). Amongst government health facility referrals, whereas financial considerations was among the common factors for facility referrals to KNH, the patients actually were opting to be referred to KNH as opposed to a private health facility due to cost "...the

patient needed a total knee replacement which could not be done in Nyahururu and they did not have enough funds to take him to a private hospital so they preferred to KNH..."

".... the private hospital was too expensive so they opted for KNH..."

Patients' preference was shown to be a significant factor associated with private health facility referrals to KNH as opposed to government health facility referrals to KNH (Table 6).

Table 6: Table showing the frequency distribution of the major facility and patient related factors to the orthopaedic and trauma admissions at the KNH

Factors	Private health facilities referrals (n=182)	Government health facilities referrals (n=286)
Human resource capacity and availability	105 (57.7%)	178 (62.2%)
Infrastructure	16 (8.8%)	25 (8.7%)
Medical supplies and equipment	47 (25.8%)	74 (25.9%)
Finance	42 (23.1%)	79 (27.6%)
Patient preference	72 (39.6%)	15 (5.2%)

Legend: This was a cumulative tally of the facility and patient related factors to the orthopaedic and trauma admissions at the KNH as a proportion of the sample size (n).

340 Discussions

While orthopaedic facility referrals were spread across the country, over four – fifths of these facility referrals were from Nairobi County and its environs. This is in tandem with studies done at tertiary teaching and referral health facilities in Rwanda, Tanzania, Malawi and Nigeria that revealed significant majority of the facility referrals are from within the region where the health facilities are co-located (7, 21-24).

Most of the facility referrals to KNH are from government health facilities with private health facilities comprising about one-third. This compares favourably with a study done

in Rwanda that showed approximately 85.7% of the facility referrals were from public

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

hospitals while 10.7% of the referrals were from private health facilities (25). Similarly a prospective study done at Muhimbili National Hospital. Tanzania to examine the medical referral pattern of patients in 2004, revealed that about 22.4% of admissions were from public health facilities while only 4.2% were from private health facilities (26). Mama Lucy Kibaki Hospital, Mbagathi District Hospital and Thika Level 5 Hospital were the major health facilities referring patients to KNH before and after enforcement of the referral guidelines and they all happen to be government health facilities – which comprise majority of health facility referrals to KNH as compared to private health facility referrals. This is probably because of inadequate human resource, inadequate infrastructure like bed capacity, theatre space as well as lack of orthopaedic equipments and implants. However, this contrasts with a study on referrals to a tertiary health facility in Nigeria that showed over half of the admissions were from private health facilities (7). In addition, St Peters Orthopaedic and Surgical Speciality Centre, St Francis Community Hospital, Arthi River Shalom Community Hospital and St Mary's Mission Hospital were the most frequently referring private health facilities to KNH for orthopaedic admissions in 2021. These were probably due to inadequate human capacity, patients' preference and financial considerations. There were increases in the number of health facilities referring patients to KNH after the enforcement of the referral guidelines. This is in tandem with a study done in Republic of Hondura that showed the referral rate was observed to be higher when institutional managers emphasized the importance of the referral system (4). The enforcement of the

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

national referral guidelines meant more awareness on the referral requirements and importance of referrals of patients to the next level of care and this might have resulted in increase in the number of health facilities referring patients. The enforcement of the national referral guidelines was meant to streamline the referral process from the peripheral health facilities to KNH and in the process allow KNH to manage complex orthopaedic cases that cannot be handled at the lower-level health facilities. All potential referring health facilities were required to refer patients to the next tier of health facility instead of referring directly to KNH and the higher tier health facilities were expected to seek concurrence before referring patients to KNH and that should be accompanied with an official referring letter. Due to the enforcement of these referral requirements, there was significant reduction in Tiers 2 and 3 referring patients directly to KNH and an increase in the patients being referred to KNH from tiers 4, 5 and 6. The study showed about 43 health facilities ceased referring patients to directly to KNH with over two-thirds of these health facilities being private facilities. This is in tandem with a study on Geographic accessibility to public and private health facilities done in Kenya in 2021 that revealed the private health facilities' distribution was skewed toward the urban counties (27). The implication of this is that a good number of private health facilities were no longer referred patients directly to KNH. Lower tiers health facilities namely tier 2 and 3 largely reduced patient referrals to KNH and this together with tier 4 comprised over four-fifths of all health facilities that stopped referring to KNH after enforcement of the referral guidelines. This is because these lowertier facilities were now required to refer patients to the nearest Tier 4 and 5 health facilities

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

as their first referral point. This means that the enforcement of the national referral guidelines did reduce referrals from lower tier health facilities and probably were referring them to the next tier facility. This may explain why the numbers of higher tiers referring patients to KNH increased after the enforcement of the referral guidelines. Human resource capacity remains a major factor in health facility referrals both for private and government health facilities. Inadequate human resource does also comprise the quality of care provided at the health facilities. This is in tandem with a study finding in Kenya that revealed Human resources for health was inadequately financed with insufficient number of health workers and there was maldistribution of staff in favor of higher-level facilities and in urban cities and this resulted in unnecessary referrals to higher level consequently compromised quality of primary healthcare (28-30). A number of studies in Brazil, Japan, United States of America and in Low- and Middle-income countries have also demonstrated inadequate human resource capacity and availability as the main reasons for patient referrals (31-35). However, this contradicts a study done in China on types of health care facilities and the quality of primary care revealed that Community Health Centers offer quality health care services than the secondary and tertiary health care hospitals (36). This was largely due to the fact that it was Chinese deliberate government policy to support and improve the capacity of the of the Community Health Centers. Private health facility referrals to KNH were to significant extent dictated by patients' preference. This could be due to perceived good quality of care but also due to perceived low cost of medical care in KNH by virtue of it being a government national referral hospital. Studies done in California, USA and Islamic Republic of Iran, Cape Town, South Africa did show that the perception of good quality of care does influence choice of health facility (3, 37-39).

The study had a few limitations. First, the effect of COVID 19 pandemic on facility referrals of cases from peripheral health facilities and walk-in patients. This was mitigated by ensuring the data collection period covered the covid period where intercounty movement restrictions were lifted. secondly, this study is retrospective and a quasi-experimental study design and hence weaker in determining causality. Despite these limitations, given the paucity of published literature in this study topic, this study offers key information on the effects of enforcement of referral guidelines in health facility referrals to KNH with important lessons for Kenya and possible sub-Saharan Africa.

430 Conclusions

The enforcement of the national referral guidelines did reduce the number of facility referrals from lower tier health facilities with a good number of private health facilities stopped referring patients to KNH altogether. The major facility and patient factors that were associated with facility referrals to KNH were human resource capacity and availability, health facility infrastructure, Orthopaedic equipment's and implants availability, patient's preference, financial considerations. Therefore, it is possible to successfully enforce the implementation of referral guidelines.

Recommendations

Recommendations to Policy Makers/ County Government

- 1. Regularly sensitize the health facilities on the implementation of the national referral guidelines 2014 for continued enforcement of the referral guidelines;
- 2. Strengthen the human resource capacity and availability to minimize on referrals to KNH and this will consequently strengthen the primary and tertiary level orthopaedic and trauma care and help decongest KNH and allow it to function as a premier referral facility as per its statutory obligations;
- 3. Develop, disseminate and train health facilities on standard operating procedures based on the national referral guidelines and ensure adherence to the same.

Recommendations to KNH

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462 463

464

- Educate and sensitize the health facilities on the role of KNH as a premier National
 Teaching and Referral facility that is mandated to manage complex referrals and
 should not be the first point of contact for patients seeking orthopaedic care;
- 2. Develop, disseminate and train KNH staff from A&E department, Orthopedic Clinic and Corporate Outpatient Centre on the national referral guidelines and referral standard operating procedures.

Recommendations to Health Facilities

 Ensure adherence to the national referral guidelines of 2014 for an effective referral process and to ensure optimum utilization of primary, secondary and tertiary level of care.

Data availability

Data is available and has been uploaded in the submission portal.

466 467

468 469 470

471

472 473

474 475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

Conflict of interests The author has no conflict of interest to declare. **Funding statements** This study was partially funded by Kenyatta National Hospital RFA 2020/21. Acknowledgements We would like to sincerely acknowledge the work of Brian Okinyi and Micah J. Kipkemei for commitment and assistance in data collection process. References 1. Hensher M, Price M, Adomakoh S, Referral Hospitals. Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries 2nd edition Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank; 2006 2nd Edition ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006. p. 1229 - 43. Holdsworth G. Garner PA, Harphan T. Crowded outpatient departments in city 2. hospitals of developing countries: a case study from Lesotho. Int J Health Plann Manage. 1993 Oct-Dec;8(4):315-24. 3. London L, Bachmann OM. Pediatric utilization of a teaching hospital and a community health center. Predictors of level of care used by children from Khayelitsha, Cape Town. S Afr Med J. 1997 Jan;87(1):31-6. Ohara K, Melendez V, Uehara N, Ohi G. Study of a patient referral system in the 4. Republic of Honduras. Health Policy Plan. 1998 Dec;13(4):433-45. Sanders D, Kravitz J, Lewin S, McKee M. Zimbabwe's hospital referral system: 5.

does it work? Health Policy Plan. 1998 Dec;13(4):359-70.

- 494 6. Nordberg E, Holmberg S, Kiugu S. Exploring the interface between first and
- second level of care: referrals in rural Africa. Trop Med Int Health. 1996 Feb;1(1):107-11.
- 496 7. Akande T. Referral system in Nigeria: Study of a tertiary health facility. Annals of
- 497 African Medicine. 2004;3(3):130 3.
- 498 8. Stefanini A. District hospitals and strengthening referral systems in developing
- 499 countries. World Hosp Health Serv. 1994;30(2):14-9.
- 9. Pillay I, Mahomed OH. Prevalence and determinants of self-referrals to a District-
- Regional Hospital in KwaZulu Natal, South Africa: a cross sectional study. Pan Afr Med
- 502 J. 2019; 33:4.
- 503 10. Godlee F. Operationalizing an effective referral system in India. BMJ Yale.
- 504 2015;351.
- 505 11. Liang L, Huang N, Shen Y, Chen A, Chou Y. Do patients bypass primary care for
- 506 common health problems under a free-access system? Experience of Taiwan. BMC
- Health Service Research 2020;1050.
- 508 12. GoK. Article 6 of the Constitution of Kenya 2010. Nairobi, Kenya; 2010.
- 509 13. (NCAPD) NCAfPaD, [Kenya], Ministry of Health (MOH), Central Bureau of
- Statistics (CBS), Macro. O. Kenya Service Provision Assessment Survey 2004.
- 511 14. MoH. Nairobi; 2021 [updated 2021; cited 2021 10th May]; Available from:
- 512 https://knh.or.ke/index.php/history/
- 513 15. MoH. Kenya Health Policy 2014 2030. Nairobi, Kenya; 2014.
- 16. KNH IN-PATIENT STATISTICS REPORT 2018, 2019 & 2020 [database on the
- 515 Internet]. 2020 [cited June 2020].

- 516 17. Duffield C, Diers D, O'Brien-Pallas L, Aisbett C, Roche M, King M, et al. Nursing
- staffing, nursing workload, the work environment and patient outcomes. Appl Nurs Res.
- 518 2010 Nov;24(4):244-55.
- 519 18. Buerhaus JN. Policy Implications of Research on Nurse Staffing and Quality of
- Patient Care Policy, Politics, & Nursing Practice. 2000.
- 521 19. Sharma SK, Rani R. Nurse-to-patient ratio and nurse staffing norms for hospitals
- in India: A critical analysis of national benchmarks. J Family Med Prim Care. 2020 Jun
- 523 2020;9(6):2631-7.
- 524 20. Casagrande JT, Pike MC, Smith PG. An improved approximation formula for
- calculating sample sizes for comparing two binomial distributions. Biometrics 1978;
- 526 34:483-6
- 527 21. Simba DO, Mbembati NA, Museru LM, Lema LE. Referral pattern of patients
- received at the national referral hospital: challenges in low-income countries. East Afr J
- 529 Public Health. 2008 Apr;5(1):6-9.
- 530 22. Pittalis C, Brugha R, Bijlmakers L, Mwapasa G, Borgstein E, Gajewski J. Patterns,
- quality and appropriateness of surgical referrals in Malawi. Trop Med Int Health. 2020
- 532 Jul;25(7):824-33.
- 533 23. Theoneste N, Gabriel T, Jackline O, Rebecca M, Robert R, Neil G, et al. Referral
- patterns and predictors of referral delays for patients with traumatic injuries in rural
- 535 Rwanda. Global Surgery. 2016;160(6):1636-44.
- 536 24. Premkumar A, Massawe HH, Mshabaha DJ, R.Foran J, XiaohanYing, Sheth NP.
- The burden of orthopaedic disease presenting to a referral hospital in northern Tanzania.
- 538 Global Surgery. 2015;2(1):70-5.

- 539 25. Theoneste Nkurunziza, Gabriel Toma, Jackline Odhiambo, Rebecca Maine,
- Robert Riviello, Neil Gupta, et al. Referral patterns and predictors of referral delays for
- patients with traumatic injuries in rural Rwanda. Global Surgery. 2016;160(6):1636-44.
- 542 26. Simba DO, Mbembati NAA, Museru LML, E.K. L. Referral Pattern of Patients
- Received at the National Referral Hospital: Challenges in Low Income Countries. East
- African Journal of Public Health. 2008;5(1):6-9.
- 545 27. Moturi AK, Suiyanka L, Mumo E, Snow RW, Okiro EA, Macharia PM. Geographic
- accessibility to public and private health facilities in Kenya in 2021: An updated geocoded
- inventory and spatial analysis. Front Public Health. 2022; 10:1002975.
- 548 28. Nyawira L, Tsofa B, Musiega A, Munywoki J, Njuguna RG, Hanson K, et al.
- Management of human resources for health: implications for health systems efficiency in
- 550 Kenya. BMC Health Serv Res. 2022 Aug 16;22(1):1046.
- 551 29. Wisborg T, Montshiwa TR, Mock C. Trauma research in low- and middle-income
- countries is urgently needed to strengthen the chain of survival. Scand J Trauma Resusc
- 553 Emerg Med. 2011 Oct 24; 19:62.
- 554 30. Gwatkin DR, Bhuiya A, Victora CG. Making health systems more equitable.
- 555 Lancet. 2004 Oct 2-8;364(9441):1273-80.
- 556 31. Viel IL, Moura BRS, Martuchi SD, de Souza Nogueira L. Factors Associated with
- Interhospital Transfer of Trauma Victims. J Trauma Nurs. 2019 Sep/Oct;26(5):257-62.
- 558 32. Garwe T, Cowan LD, Neas B, Cathey T, Danford BC, Greenawalt P. Survival
- benefit of transfer to tertiary trauma centers for major trauma patients initially presenting
- to nontertiary trauma centers. Acad Emerg Med. 2010 Nov;17(11):1223-32.

- 561 33. Newgard CD, McConnell KJ, Hedges JR, Mullins RJ. The benefit of higher level of
- care transfer of injured patients from nontertiary hospital emergency departments. J
- 563 Trauma. 2007 Nov;63(5):965-71.
- 564 34. Pittalis C, Brugha R, Gajewski J. Surgical referral systems in low- and middle-
- income countries: A review of the evidence. PLoS One. 2019;14(9):e0223328.
- 566 35. Jumbam DT, Menon G, Lama TN, Lodge li W, Maongezi S, Kapologwe NA, et al.
- Surgical referrals in Northern Tanzania: a prospective assessment of rates, preventability,
- reasons and patterns. BMC Health Serv Res. 2020 Aug 8;20(1):725.
- 36. Hu R, Liao Y, Du Z, Hao Y, Liang H, Shi L. Types of health care facilities and the
- quality of primary care: a study of characteristics and experiences of Chinese patients in
- Guangdong Province, China. BMC Health Serv Res. 2016 Aug 2;16(a):335.
- 572 37. Luft HS, Garnick DW, Mark DH, Peltzman DJ, Phibbs CS, Lichtenberg E, et al.
- 573 Does quality influence choice of hospital? JAMA. 1990 Jun 6;263(21):2899-906.
- 574 38. Bahadori M, Teymourzadeh E, Ravangard R, Nasiri A, Raadabadi M,
- Alimohammadzadeh K. Factors contributing towards patient's choice of a hospital clinic
- from the patients' and managers' perspective. Electron Physician. 2016 May;8(5):2378-
- 577 87.

582

583

- 578 39. Nolan T, Angos P, Cunha AJ, Muhe L, Qazi S, Simoes EA, et al. Quality of hospital
- 579 care for seriously ill children in less-developed countries. Lancet. 2001 Jan
- 580 13;357(9250):106-10.

Captions of supporting Information files

584 S1 Supporting Information – Working dataset 04.12.2023

585	S2 Supporting Information – Facilities stopped referring
586	S3 Supporting Information – Reasons for Referral spreadsheet
587	S4 Supporting Information – Reasons for Referral Tally spreadsheet
588	S5 Supporting Information – Referrals spreadsheet
589	S6 Supporting Information – Referral facilities spreadsheet
590	Other - ERB approval letter - ERC APPROVAL LETTER.pdf
591	Other - PLOSOne_Human_Subjects_Research_Checklist.doc

Level 5: County Teaching and Referral Hospitals

Level 4: Sub-County Hospitals

Level 4: Sub-County Hospitals

Level 3: Health Centres and Small Maternity Homes

Level 2: Dispensaries and Small Clinics

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.02.24300732: this version posted January 9, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv all leones to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 international ligences.

Level 1: Community Health Units

