Title: Exploring athlete pain and pain assessment experiences and priorities; A three part qualitative series of athlete and physiotherapist interactions.

Part One. "Through their eyes" - Athlete & physiotherapist pain experiences and perspectives.

Authors

Ciarán Purcell[1,2,3,4,5,6] Caoimhe Barry Walsh[3] Garett Van Oirschot[1,2] Brona M Fullen[1] Tomás Ward[6] Brian M Caulfield[1,2]

[1]*School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Sports Science, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*

[2]*Insight SFI Research Centre for Data Analytics, Dublin, Ireland*

[3]*School of Allied Health, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland*

[4]*Physical Activity for Health Research Cluster, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland*

[5]*Sports and Human Performance Centre, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland*

[6]*Ageing Research Centre, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland*

Abstract

Objectives: To explore upper and lower limb athlete pain experiences and perceptions and facilitate dialogue and shared understanding between athletes and sports physiotherapists.

Design; Qualitative Research using a hermeneutic phenomenological approach.

Methods: We carried out focus groups comprising a deliberate criterion sample of athletes and physiotherapists using a constructivist perspective. We developed a topic guide using an established step-by-step approach. Using reflexive thematic analysis, we developed codes, candidate themes and finalised themes iteratively. We applied a critical friend approach to add depth to analysis. Our paper follows the consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ) guidelines. *Results*: We completed five focus groups, comprising twelve athletes (five female, seven male) and four sports physiotherapists (four male) including one successful pilot of two athletes. Four final themes (I-IV) and nine subthemes (a-i) were developed; I) Athlete Pain Lens (a - pain is part of being an athlete, b - pain shapes the life of an athlete) II) Exploring And Navigating Pain (c- the athlete pain spectrum, d- making sense of pain) III) The Emotional Toll of Pain (e - challenging emotions, f - the impact of time) IV) Coping, Community and Communication (g - coping with Pain, h - influence of community and support network, i - communication-the broken key).

Conclusion: We explored athlete pain experiences and perspectives through engaged conversations with athletes and physiotherapists. Athletes often struggle to understand and manage their pain, and it is crucial for everyone in the sports community to recognize and adjust to these challenges.

Keywords; ‘Pain Perception’, ‘Musculoskeletal Pain’, ‘Athletic Injuries’ ‘Athletic Performance’ ‘Focus Groups’ ‘Sports Medicine’

Introduction

The value and clinical utility of a comprehensive biopsychosocial, multidimensional approach to pain has been firmly established in the general population.[1] Pain research and practice with athlete populations is continually evolving with the International Olympic Committee (IOC) advising a multidimensional approach to athlete pain that goes beyond traditional structure-based understanding to include aspects of pain perception and experience across five key domains: neurophysiological, biomechanical, affective, cognitive, and socioenvironmental.[2] Psychosocial aspects such as history of stress and coping responses have been linked with injury rates.[3] Furthermore, sports-specific sociocultural aspects such as attitudes and culture encouraging athletes to be "tough'' and "stay in the game'' have been linked to injury risk, injury rates and timely access to healthcare and treatment. The context of the pain experience has been highlighted as a key component of contemporary pain science where the same pain experience may be interpreted as stressful or alarming in one context and as a helpful indicator to manage resources in another.[4]

Recent literature has harnessed the athlete's voice to explore and address complex topics within sport which are often multidimensional with qualitative methods being used to highlight how coaches, physiotherapists and athletes perceive an injury.[5] Building on these insights, the role of context in the implementation of injury prevention and rehabilitation among athletes has been established, thereby facilitating an enhanced understanding and providing practical guidance.[6,7] Despite developments in sports injury prevention and rehabilitation, understanding and managing the specific entity of "athlete pain'' experiences within sport remains problematic for athletes and clinicians alike. Traditionally, qualitative research has focused on the separate experiences of athletes and clinicians when it comes to pain, much of which has focused on low back pain.[8 9] To truly understand the "athlete pain" phenomenon, there is a need to gather athletes' and physiotherapists' shared definitions and experiences of pain in the context of multidimensional and contemporary pain understanding. There is a need, therefore, for focus groups comprised of both athletes and physiotherapists, to facilitate the open sharing of perspectives and ideas to develop a more comprehensive understanding of athlete pain experiences of the upper and lower limb.

This paper is the first in our three-part series investigating athlete and physiotherapist experiences and future priorities with relation to athlete pain and athlete pain assessment. This paper, part one of the series, will focus on the experiences and perceptions of athlete pain. We aimed to explore athlete and sports physiotherapist experiences and perspectives of upper and lower limb pain in a sporting context through mixed focus groups facilitating dialogue, interactions and shared understanding.

Methods

We conducted a qualitative study with mixed focus groups that combined athletes and sports physiotherapists. Our paper follows the consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ) guidelines.[10]

As a sports physiotherapist and clinician my (CP) move to the field of research is one inspired by both pragmatism and constructivism. My primary motivation stems from investigating solutions to real-world problems and queries from the clinic where the best method is often the one that works. I recognise the need for hearing the patient's (athlete's) voice. My doubts, assumptions and beliefs drive my position of a value-driven axiology.[11]

As the primary interviewer I recognise that being a sports physiotherapist and PhD researcher will influence the dynamic of discussions, participants may respond and react to this accordingly.[12] I am unavoidably enmeshed, and I embrace this to understand athlete and clinician upper and lower limb pain experiences with a reflexive approach.[13] Alongside eight years of clinical experience assessing and treating athletes I have some qualitative research experience gained through an MSc in sports physiotherapy. GvO (male) PhD candidate and sports physiotherapist with seventeen years of experience acted as moderator for the first two focus groups. BC, a Professor in physiotherapy with twenty years of research experience much of which in the area of sport, movement and assessment acted as a neutral observer for the first session. CBW, Physiotherapist and PhD researcher in the field of chronic conditions with experience in the conduct of focus groups, acted as a critical friend for the qualitative analysis. We took a hermeneutic phenomenological, pragmatic approach to exploring

athlete and physiotherapist lived experiences. We viewed perceptions of athlete upper and lower limb pain and pain assessment from a constructivist perspective.[12] Our research team took a pragmatic approach and drew on the relevant knowledge from elements of empirico-analytical, interpretive and critical research paradigms.[14]

We recruited a deliberate criterion-based sample of athletes and sports physiotherapists. We sought athletes spread across multiple sports of varying ages, genders and competition levels, with experience of an upper or lower limb pain episode requiring sports physiotherapist assessment within the last year. We recruited sports physiotherapists, with 3 or more years' experience of working with athletes as part of their weekly caseload across a variety of sports and clinical settings. Our initial successful pilot focus group of two athletes was followed up with four focus groups combining athletes and physiotherapists in line with methodological recommendations and similar studies to facilitate interaction through dialogue and capture various perspectives in a shared space.[15,16] We used a reflexive sampling strategy with data collection and analysis following each focus group informing the total sample size. Recruitment ceased when we generated no new concepts. The notion of data saturation has been contested given that data and themes are generated through interpretation and there can be no objective point at which no new themes "emerge" in an active constructivist approach.[17] Therefore we ceased sampling when sufficient depth of information on athlete pain experience and athlete-physiotherapist pain assessment experience was gathered.

We recruited athletes through university sports clubs and local sports clubs' email and other communication channels. We contacted sports physiotherapists registered with the Irish Society of Chartered Physiotherapists Sports and Exercise Medicine Group (CPSEM) working across a variety of sports and settings via the CPSEM email channels and networks. We offered participants their preference of face-to-face and online (zoom) focus group settings (which were run separately).

Ethical permission was granted for our study by the UCD Human Research Ethics Committee. (LS-22-40-Purcell-Caulfield) We sent participants a pre-participation questionnaire to capture demographics, sport, context and information regarding previous pain and injury. We asked

physiotherapists about their experience working in sport and their additional qualifications alongside any current or prior sports participation. We developed a topic guide/question route (Appendix A) using an established step-by-step approach which included: brainstorming, ordering, timing and phrasing of questions, obtaining feedback from peers, revising and piloting.[18] The topic guide moved from broad questions concerning athlete pain understandings and experiences to thoughts and reflections on current pain assessment practice before identifying priorities for an athlete upper and lower limb pain assessment framework. Questions were open and encouraged interaction between participants. We altered the sequencing of questions slightly in subsequent focus groups in line with an iterative and reflexive approach.[19] We allocated two hours for the sessions to ensure enough time for all components. We set ground rules establishing confidentiality, equity and respect for diverse views and opinions before each group. We moderated the power imbalance between physiotherapists and athletes by emphasising the importance of the athlete voice and asking for athletes' opinions to be shared before clinicians. Where possible we ensured athletes and physiotherapists who previously worked together were separated. As moderator, GvO assisted CP with the first two focus groups to ensure all voices were heard and took field notes of the setting and context. GvO prompted CP regarding any outstanding IOC Athlete Pain domains for consideration throughout the focus group.[2]

We completed the transcription verbatim and transcripts were checked against the audio recordings for accuracy. The full, uncoded and anonymised set of transcripts has been published in an online data repository. (10.17632/t47tw94mzd.) We chose reflexive thematic analysis as it was congruent with both our data collection and epistemological position.[13] Thus, data analysis began immediately after the pilot focus group informing the sampling procedure, data collection and analysis for subsequent focus groups in an iterative fashion.

CP read transcripts in their entirety and added initial observations and notes. CP carried out Initial coding of the entire transcripts (both semantic and latent). One-third of the transcripts were given to a CBW to code independently. We discussed, compared and refined initial codes using a critical friend approach to incorporate breath and variation in experiences and perspectives.[20]

CP then reread transcripts and updated coding where necessary before codes were compared, with similar codes being highlighted and grouped to form rough clusters of candidate themes. The team reviewed candidate themes in relation to each other and in the context of the research question with consideration given to the data available to support each theme. We merged some themes and adjusted others at this refinement stage. We developed an initial thematic map of the refined themes before we applied titles and created a final thematic map. The final thematic map will be presented in Part Three of this series. (Appendix A)

In Part One of this series, we report on data primarily from questions focused on athlete pain experience. In Part Two we use data from questions focused on athlete pain assessment. For the third and final part of the series, we draw data predominantly from questions focused on future priorities for pain assessment alongside the nominal group technique. Additionally, we offer an integrated view of what we have learned from the series alongside practical take-home advice.

Results & Discussion

Table 1 – Participant Demographics inserted here

Participant demographics are summarised in Table 1. Five out of the twelve athletes who participated in the focus groups had a background in physiotherapy practice (either as physiotherapy students or as physiotherapists where sport was a significant portion of their practice). Similarly, all physiotherapists were either current or previous athletes. The mix of backgrounds facilitated an engaged and deep discussion of both athlete and physiotherapist perspectives beyond that possible with groups of either athletes or physiotherapists alone. Athletes and physiotherapists empathised with each other, validated experiences and then asked questions to explore deeper meanings.

During the data analysis process, we assigned athletes alphanumeric participant IDs beginning with the letter "A" and physiotherapists alphanumeric IDs beginning with ''P". Athletes and physiotherapists were talking about and experiencing the same concepts so we merged the data for coding and analysis. Participant IDs were known only to CP, the lead researcher. Once participant IDs were allocated and analysis was complete all records of participant information were deleted ensuring anonymity. Subsequent analysis was completed to identify codes that were present in (i) athletes only, (ii) physiotherapists only and (iii) codes that were present in both athletes and physiotherapists. All final themes exploring athlete pain and athlete pain assessment included a mixture of codes from all three categories (i, ii and iii above). Figure 1 displays the finalised themes and the codes assigned to each theme. Figure 2 is a thematic map of the themes and subthemes relevant to athlete pain.

Figure 1– Themes and codes inserted here.

Figure 2 – Athlete Pain Themes & Subthemes inserted here

The Athlete Pain Lens

Pain is part of being an athlete

Participants shared how pain is an inherent aspect of being an athlete and helps shape their identity. The interaction between pain, exertion and fitness explains some of the enmeshed experiences with athletes acknowledging that pain or muscle soreness can be a sign of effort towards the attainment of fitness. In line with contemporary research, playing through pain whether it be exertion-related or otherwise was commonplace behaviour reported by both sports physiotherapists and athletes and part of the athlete experience, albeit with potential consequences. The main concern athletes had was the

impact of pain on their performance particularly during competitive parts of the season. Physiotherapists also acknowledged the impact that the time of the season has on pain behaviours.

"I find that you live with pain. It's not that you wait for the day for pain to come or go.'' – A01

"I'm happy to run through pain all summer and just my only worry is that it impacts performance." – A08.

"Because depending on time of season, what's coming up, .. changes someone's, maybe not how they experience pain but certainly how far they're willing to take it." – *P04*

Pain impacts and shapes the life of an athlete.

Athletes and Physiotherapists alike defined pain as something that influences an athlete's ability to participate and their performance capacity within their sport. Adding insult to injury, athletes described how pain also impacts their everyday life outside of their sport with the combination of the physical and emotional experience having the potential to influence their identity. Previous research has documented how the levity of the impact of pain on daily life and mental wellness can even force athletes to contemplate their future in sport, something one athlete in this study resonated with.[21]

"I suppose physical pain can often translate into being an emotional pain, not being able to participate can change the life of an athlete." – A04

"It happens me all day, every day, there's times that I limp because of it and I can't walk. I've had to adjust my lifestyle. I can't wear certain shoes, I can't just ..run for a bus I need a good long warm up and I am meant to be an athlete." – A08

"I'm able to run through it but it causes me so much pain that I contemplate retiring." – A08

Exploring And Navigating Pain

Athletes experience a variety of unique pain encounters. They interpret and learn about their pain in an effort to determine whether each pain episode is part of the normal athlete pain experience or if it is associated with potential injury and subsequently warrants action.[22,23] Pain is neither predictable nor repeatable and the abundance of available information through the internet and various sources is often more of a hindrance than a help, as discussed by coaches of Generation Z athletes who appear to have more competition for their attention than previous generations.[24] All of these aspects contribute to a complex phenomenon that is not easily understood and warrants greater attention, appreciation and representation.

The athlete pain spectrum.

In line with contemporary research, pain experience existed along a spectrum for athletes and physiotherapists alike who described a variety of pain presentations from routine training-related muscle soreness, to "niggles", to pain that is more sinister in nature and may be a cause for concern.[25] Pain perception has both sensory (physical) and emotional (psychological) aspects and participants described how they were influenced by a multitude of sports-related activities from a routine warm-up to the rush of adrenalin and intense focus experienced when competing.[23] This situational and contextual pain perception phenomenon served the purpose of deferring the pain experience and facilitating athletes' performance during competition.

"It kind of has physical implications and psychological as well yeah". – A11

"For the majority of anything from a niggle to an injury, if you warm up you can still run on it." – A01

"The adrenaline of it all takes over I'm able to finish the rep or finish the race but as soon as I stepped off the track, I said to my coach on both occasions like ah somethings gone wrong." – A08

Making sense of pain

Differentiating between pain and injury is a challenge athletes and physiotherapists often grapple with. In many cases, injuries may be indicated by pain whilst in others it is not. The amount and intensity of pain an athlete perceives is influenced by a range of factors beyond tissue damage, something which athletes and physiotherapists found frustrating to reconcile.[2]

"That is something I definitely struggle with from an athlete perspective is when does pain become something that stops you from competing…as opposed to finding that place where you know it's safe to continue." – A12

"I don't think it relates to pain, I don't think pain relates to level of injury, I think it's a very loose connection to make, especially an acute injury." – P04

Further exploring the meaning of pain, athletes and physiotherapists acknowledged the individual nature of pain enlisting individual pain descriptions and language to describe their experiences. Accurately representing and expressing pain required consideration and time for reflection with successful communication hinging on the physiotherapist understanding and valuing the athlete's unique lexicon.

"I think everyone kind of expresses themselves differently." – A11

"I suppose as long as your physio understands your language, that's the key thing and that's where the relationship with your physio is actually kind of important." – P03

Fanning the flames of frustration athletes are also faced with an abundance of information from different sources relating to their pain experience. The availability of large swathes of information from multiple sources makes it difficult to identify the signal from the noise and operating in a

contemporary world adds additional complexity in the form of technology.[24] Athletes and physiotherapists discussed how the internet, social media and data derived from wearable technologies may provide a source of helpful information but also often exacerbate the dilemma of deciphering pain.

"I think people get really confused about the whole situation, they've read stuff, they've probably been to other practitioners before, they've gone around the mill so they can be a little bit of a challenge in terms of figuring out where they are from both a pain point of view, function point of view." – P03

"Like Instagram and people influencing you and you know like I was changing exercises every single day trying to solve my pains … the stress and the thought process." – A07

Despite the challenges, athletes valued the protective role pain plays and were intrigued to learn more both about, and from, their pain. Pain acted as a warning sign or indicator that athletes and physiotherapists used when deciding which pain and load management strategies to apply.

"But for me, it's kind of a feedback mechanism for the injuries to kind of guide me on what I can and can't do. If the body signals to kind of back off on things and try to kind of use it as a guide or to teach you how far to push things with injuries and stuff." – A09

"You know coming up with a plan maybe with your physio to kind of work towards getting back and you know like taking that break that you need to rehabilitate and kind of working towards the next goal." – A02

"Rest isn't always the answer you know there's an element of loading but where is that sweet spot?." – P01.

The Emotional Toll Of Pain

Athletes experience a plethora of emotions that express the challenges and psychological toll of navigating pain.[23] Guilt, worry, fear, frustration, and doubt are woven into the fabric of athlete pain experience often arising from the inability to participate and perform in their given sport and the influence pain has on daily life activities.[5] The appreciation of these emotions tends to progress insidiously, with time highlighting and heightening the psychological experience of pain.[22]

Challenging emotions

Athletes reported they often feel worried and anxious owing to the lack of certainty of the potential causes, consequences and outlook of pain. Pain persisting beyond expected timeframes encroached on everyday life and heightened concerns. Pain and feelings of doubt negatively impacted athletes' capacity to make sense of and manage their pain effectively. Challenging emotions including fear, doubt and frustration were often synonymous with athletes' experiences of pain and have previously been found to impact an athlete's ability to maintain activity levels when injured.[26] Athletes spoke about how they fear pain intensifying and are acutely aware of the impending impact it may pose on their ability to participate in their sport. At times, the inertia accompanied by this cocktail of emotions left athletes feeling guilty for not being more proactive and blaming themselves for their current situation.

"The fear that it's going to get worse or feeling like it's going to stop me from playing." – A02

"When you go through the pain for that long you want it to not be there you start to not trust your own perception" – A03

"But then I do kind of feel bad because if it's something that happened before, then I know I should have been doing my rehab .. so it's kind of my fault." – A01

The impact of time on the emotional aspects of pain.

The trial-and-error nature of pain exploration and effective management was often at odds with the protracted timelines athletes have to make decisions and be ready for their next competition leading to frustration and anguish. Participants described how repeated pressurised decisions contribute to the cumulative emotional toll which negatively impacts an athlete's physical and mental well-being, reflecting research findings.[21] Athletes discussed the negative impact of pain on their sleep, contributing to fatigue and further fuelling a negative pain and quality of life cycle.

"Obviously when pain would reach a point that it takes you out of the field of play it can really affect you mentally and it's difficult to deal with and it can affect all walks of life just away from the sport" – A05

"Yes it was really frustrating because it was like a circle of not being able to sleep and that kind of causing disruption ..to feel just tired and generally fatigued throughout the day and then fairly anxious and depressed that you can't train" – A10

Coping, Community and Communication

Coping with pain

Applying transferable skills acquired in their sports, athletes discussed using a variety of coping strategies including managing their attention and focus and maintaining their fitness and performance with alternative, non pain-provoking, cross-training modalities. Athletes can use the additional time to reflect and foster other interests and hobbies away from their sport which may help manage stress, an important aspect of pain and injury management.[3] Athletes acknowledged the often-unrelenting nature of the pursuit of excellence within sport and the opportunities afforded during pain and injury.

"Sometimes you just want to ignore pain and just get through it or whatever." - A05

"Just kind of did my own thing, kind of eased off..worked around it because I do kind of a broad range of stuff like and almost always work around injuries" – A09

"You have other parts of your life that you can focus on like you're able to focus on different types of training .. and like for example I really enjoy gardening so like whenever I'm injured, I tend to go and garden more because well I can't train but also it's a really nice outlet for me to relieve some of that stress." – A08

Influence of community and support networks

Understanding and managing pain can be a solitary pursuit however athletes identified that they are part of a wider team and community. Relationships with friends, family and loved ones, teammates, colleagues, coaches and health care practitioners play a pivotal role in an athlete understanding, managing and coping with their pain.[7] Emotional support for athletes came from personal relationships and teammates who were often the most appropriately positioned to offer context-specific empathy and advice. Conversely, athletes involved in individual sports were acutely aware of the void of comradery.

"My current partner .. she was just like .. go back and get some sleep and take care of yourself for a few weeks." – A10

"The players we all live together they are the ones who would probably check in on you more in that aspect .. asking you from the perspective of how you are feeling about it." – A05

"I think it's better that if you're in a team sport, you could talk to some of your teammates like A02 could. Like it's sometimes hard on your own." – A01

Athletes described how they spend a significant amount of time with their coaches with relationships developing over years in many cases. The support an athlete feels from their coach is inextricably linked to the coach's attitude to pain.[5] Participants discussed how some coaches tend to ignore pain, focusing on return to play and availability whilst others respond to pain acknowledging the impact it has on the athlete and the strategies needed to best manage it. Physiotherapists acknowledged the

integral role coaches and management staff play in managing athlete pain, investing time in explaining the complexities behind return-to-play timelines and the variable nature of pain that is unique to each athlete.

"The coaches very rarely ever asked about how are you getting on, how are you dealing with it, it was always just when are you back. So, they don't tend to look at you as much as a person and ask you about how you are getting on with it and how are you feeling about it." – A05

"Because in different scenarios management play a huge role in it like is there somebody that is going to look and say you know, we'll sit you out this game or you don't have to train Wednesday but you're still playing Saturday or trying to get a balance." – A07

"I find I spend a lot of time actually educating managers on injuries and the likely timeframes and how that might change depending on how they're doing." – P02

Communication – the broken key.

Central to successful personal, sporting and therapeutic relationships is effective communication regarding the experience of pain.[7] Physiotherapists and athletes spoke about how they work together and with other members of an interdisciplinary team at various stages throughout the pain journey. Adequately representing and expressing pain to clinicians was often challenging for athletes owing to the time constraints of clinical and sports-based assessments. Participants described how frustration can build in persisting pain presentations or when the cause of pain is less clear.

"Because I, actually, before I go into a physio, I do write down what I'm going to say, because more often than not I'd leave forgetting to mention things and you're like, oh god, well it's gone now and I'm never going to say anything." – A11

Participants discussed how physicians often sit at the top of the decision-making tree when it comes to managing athlete pain medically. As a result, some athletes find the decisive nature of these

interactions refreshing, providing clarity. In stark contrast, athletes can also find interactions with physicians limited and reductionist with physiotherapists also echoing this frustration.

"Whenever I feel pain, I go to the Doctor and they confirm what my pain was and I'm reassured that what I felt was real and then I follow the rehab protocol." – A08

"That's very hard .. yes this pain like that thing in my foot I'm like I've had this years ..how do you get a sports doc to see that beyond just the MRI and what it shows you?'' – A08

"With the doctor instead of constantly saying to everyone we'll just MRI your back maybe they start to get a bit better too at knowing who they should be referring for medical imaging because we're picking up the bloody pieces after it.." – P02

Athletes shared how in sport, the pressure to perform and rapidly return to play, a deep sense of responsibility to teammates and playing through pain culture can be at odds with the time and space needed to effectively communicate the pain experience within their support network. Physiotherapists also noted the effect the team dynamic of sport has on an athlete negotiating their individual pain.

"The team environment and how competitive it was at the time, you couldn't really say to the management unless it got to a point where like there's fairly you know something sinister or something" – A07.

"There is a little bit of a sense of community, teammates and stuff that's going on as well where 'who am I letting down?' ..so you know." – P03

When communication and the ensuing support are hampered, athletes found that their resources for coping are reduced and the likelihood of seeking medical and surgical interventions can increase. Conversely, when communication is effective, collaborative decision-making can occur with comprehensive pain management plans being devised. This was often accompanied by a more positive outlook with athletes reporting feelings of acceptance and empowerment as key markers of moving forward and coping with pain.

"Until you kind of accept it and then you sort of say to yourself okay right, what's my path to recovery? I think you're at nothing with most injuries to be honest like you have to have that level of acceptance." – A09

Part of the unique athlete pain experience is how they resourcefully employ a range of strategies to improve their understanding and manage their pain. An athlete's personal, sporting, and medical community forms an ecosystem which offers additional strategies to understand and cope with pain beyond those available on an individual level. Accessing these strategies often hinges on effective communication between the athlete and their support network.[7] The importance of communication between athletes, their coaching staff and clinicians is well documented and impacts performance and injury rates as well as the athlete pain experience.[27]

Conclusion

Whilst every effort was made to collect experiences from a diverse range of athletes and physiotherapists and variety was achieved in sport, competition level and practice setting the experiences gathered from these focus groups may not apply to all athlete pain settings. Notably, participants were all recruited from Ireland and whilst some of the female athletes also had a physiotherapy background no female sports physiotherapists were available to participate.

This paper presents four key themes (Athlete Pain Lens; Exploring and Navigating Pain; The Emotional Toll; Coping, Community and Communication), capturing the unique entity of athlete pain experience through combined focused groups of athletes and sports physiotherapists.

Athlete pain requires interpretation and can be difficult both to understand and to cope with. All members of the wider athlete support network must appreciate the significant impact of pain on performance and quality of life and recognise the steps we can all take to help athletes make sense of

their pain and manage it more effectively. Part Two of this series will report on the pain assessment experiences from both the athlete's and sports physiotherapists' perspectives.

Practical Implications

- Pain is part of being an athlete and is influenced by each individual's unique experience and sporting context
- There is a significant emotional aspect of the athlete's pain experience which should be discussed and validated.
- Interpreting pain experiences and making decisions is a challenge for athletes.
- Athletes display a variety of coping strategies which are strengthened through effective communication with their support network.
- Physiotherapists, coaches and the wider support network must recognise the impact pain has on athletes' sports performance and quality of life and help athletes understand their pain and make informed decisions.

Acknowledgements

A huge thank you to Alison Keogh and Aisling Lacey for their consultation and expertise in qualitative research methods in sport and exercise.

463 **References**

464 1. Lin I, Wiles L, Waller R, et al. What does best practice care for musculoskeletal pain look like?
465 Eleven consistent recommendations from high-quality clinical practice guidelines: systematic
466 review. *Br J Sports Med* 2020;54(2):79-86. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2018-099878 [published
467 Online First: 2019/03/04]
468 2. Hainline B, Derman W, Vernec A, et al. International Olympic Committee consensus statement on
469 pain management in elite athletes. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;51(17):1245-58. doi:
470 10.1136/bjsports-2017-097884 [published Online First: 2017/08/23]
471 3. Ivarsson A, Johnson U, Andersen MB, et al. Psychosocial Factors and Sport Injuries: Meta-analyses
472 for Prediction and Prevention. *Sports Medicine* 2017;47(2):353-65. doi: 10.1007/s40279-016-
473 0578-x
474 4. Caneiro JP, Smith A, Bunzli S, et al. From Fear to Safety: A Roadmap to Recovery From
475 Musculoskeletal Pain. *Physical Therapy* 2022;102(2) doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzab271
476 5. Bolling C, Delfino Barboza S, Van Mechelen W, et al. How elite athletes, coaches, and
477 physiotherapists perceive a sports injury. *Translational Sports Medicine* 2019;2(1):17-23. doi:
478 10.1002/tsm2.53
479 6. Bolling C, Van Mechelen W, Pasman HR, et al. Context Matters: Revisiting the First Step of the
480 'Sequence of Prevention' of Sports Injuries. *Sports Medicine* 2018;48(10):2227-34. doi:
481 10.1007/s40279-018-0953-x
482 7. Wouw Avd. Advocating a holistic approach for sport injury prevention and rehabilitation. *British*
483 *Journal of Sports Medicine* 2023;57(14):895-96. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2022-105695
484 8. Wilson F, Ng L, O'Sullivan K, et al. 'You're the best liar in the world': a grounded theory study of
485 rowing athletes' experience of low back pain. *Br J Sports Med* 2021;55(6):327-35. doi:
486 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102514 [published Online First: 2020/10/11]

9. Fawcett L, Heneghan NR, James S, et al. Perceptions of low back pain in elite gymnastics: A multi-disciplinary qualitative focus group study. *Phys Ther Sport* 2020;44:33-40. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2020.04.003 [published Online First: 2020/05/07]

10. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 2007;19(6):349-57. doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzm042

11. Saunders M, Lewis P, Thornhill A, et al. "Research Methods for Business Students" Chapter 4: Understanding research philosophy and approaches to theory development2019:128-71.

12. Korstjens I, Moser A. Series: Practical guidance to qualitative research. Part 2: Context, research questions and designs. *European Journal of General Practice* 2017;23(1):274-79. doi: 10.1080/13814788.2017.1375090

13. Braun V, Clarke V. Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health* 2019;11(4):589-97. doi: 10.1080/2159676x.2019.1628806

14. Fossey E, Harvey C, McDermott F, et al. Understanding and Evaluating Qualitative Research. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 2002;36(6):717-32. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1614.2002.01100.x

15. Rushton A, Heneghan NR, Heap A, et al. Patient and physiotherapist perceptions of rehabilitation following primary lumbar discectomy: a qualitative focus group study embedded within an external pilot and feasibility trial. *BMJ Open* 2017;7(4):e015878. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-015878

16. Tausch AP, Menold N. Methodological Aspects of Focus Groups in Health Research. *Global Qualitative Nursing Research* 2016;3(0):233339361663046. doi: 10.1177/2333393616630466

17. Braun V, Clarke V. To saturate or not to saturate? Questioning data saturation as a useful concept for thematic analysis and sample-size rationales. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health* 2021;13(2):201-16. doi: 10.1080/2159676x.2019.1704846

18. Kallio H, Pietilä AM, Johnson M, et al. Systematic methodological review: developing a framework for a qualitative semi-structured interview guide. *J Adv Nurs* 2016;72(12):2954-65. doi: 10.1111/jan.13031 [published Online First: 2016/05/26]

19. Moser A, Korstjens I. Series: Practical guidance to qualitative research. Part 3: Sampling, data collection and analysis. *European Journal of General Practice* 2018;24(1):9-18. doi: 10.1080/13814788.2017.1375091

20. Smith B, McGannon KR. Developing rigor in qualitative research: problems and opportunities within sport and exercise psychology. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 2018;11(1):101-21. doi: 10.1080/1750984x.2017.1317357

21. Sanders G, Stevinson C. Associations between retirement reasons, chronic pain, athletic identity, and depressive symptoms among former professional footballers. *European Journal of Sport Science* 2017;17(10):1311-18. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2017.1371795

22. Barrette A, Harman K. Athletes Play Through Pain-What Does That Mean for Rehabilitation Specialists? *J Sport Rehabil* 2020;29(5):640-49. doi: 10.1123/jsr.2018-0426 [published Online First: 2019/05/17]

23. Raja SN, Carr DB, Cohen M, et al. The revised International Association for the Study of Pain definition of pain: concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Pain* 2020;161(9):1976-82. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001939 [published Online First: 2020/07/23]

24. Gould D, Nalepa J, Mignano M. Coaching Generation Z Athletes. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology* 2020;32(1):104-20. doi: 10.1080/10413200.2019.1581856

25. Lacey A, Whyte E, O'Keeffe S, et al. The Running Injury Continuum: A qualitative examination of recreational runners' description and management of injury. *PLOS ONE* 2023;18(10):e0292369. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0292369

26. Fischerauer SF, Talaei-Khoei M, Bexkens R, et al. What Is the Relationship of Fear Avoidance to Physical Function and Pain Intensity in Injured Athletes? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2018;476(4):754-63. doi: 10.1007/s11999.0000000000000085

27. Ekstrand J, Spreco A, Bengtsson H, et al. Injury rates decreased in men's professional football: an 18-year prospective cohort study of almost 12 000 injuries sustained during 1.8 million hours of play. *Br J Sports Med* 2021 doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-103159 [published Online First: 2021/02/07]

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Themes (Athlete Pain Lens; Exploring & Navigating Pain; The Emotional Toll; Coping, Community & Communication) and codes. Dark shading – indicates codes that were present in athletes and physiotherapists. Light shading – indicates codes that were present in athletes only, No shading – indicates codes that were present in physiotherapists only

Figure 2. **Athlete pain experience themes and subthemes.** The themes for each part of this series represent a row in the priorities for pain assessment pyramid, this paper presents the bottom row, or foundation of the pyramid. This comprises the four themes that describe the "athlete pain" experience alongside the constituent subthemes. The middle row contains the three themes that describe the "athlete pain assessment" experience that will be presented in part two of this series. In the top row, the "priorities for future athlete pain assessment" themes and subthemes are to be presented in part three and will therefore build on the themes from the previous two papers.

Figure 1 Athlete Pain Themes & Codes

Figure 2 – Athlete Pain Themes and Subthemes (And final thematic Map)



Table 1 – Participant Demographics

PARTICIPANTS	N	FEMALE	MALE	SPORT (N)	COMPETITION LEVEL	PHYSIOTHERAPY BACKGROUND (N)	ATHLETE BACKGROUND
ATHLETES	12	5	7	Athletics (5) Tag Ruby (1) Field Hockey (1) Soccer (2), Cycling (1) Mixed Martial Arts (1) Gaelic Football & Basketball (1)	Professional/International (1) Semi-Professional (2) Elite Amateur (9)	Yes (5) No (7)	NA
PHYSIOTHERAPISTS	4	-	4	Athletics (1), Rugby (1), Gaelic Football & Hurling (2), Mixed Sports in Private Practice (3)	Professional/International (2) Mixed Competition level in Private Practice (3)	NA	Current Athletes (2) Retired Athletes (2)