Druggable causal genes of bipolar disorder identified through Mendelian Randomization analysis offer a route to intervention in integrated stress response

Zhai Ting[1,2*]

[1] School of Humanities, Southeast University, Nanjing, 211189, China

[2]Key Laboratory of Child Development and Learning Science (Southeast University), Ministry of Education, and Institute of Child Development and Education, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

*** Correspondence:**
Zhai Ting
matthewzhai@foxmail.com

Keywords: bipolar disorder, integrated stress response, summary data-based Mendelian randomization, genome-wide association study (GWAS), colocalization analysis.

Abstract

Protein misfolding and aggregation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and oxidative stress are all common features of age-associated proteinopathies. As a consequence, protein synthesis, folding, and degradation are altered in these disorders. The ISR acts as a central regulator of protein homeostasis. Genome wide association studies (GWASes) on bipolar disorder (BD) have been conducted; however, as a causative mechanism underlying the cognitive deficits and neurodegeneration in a wide broad range of brain disorders, the impact of ISR on BD is understudied, particularly for current GWASes of molecular phenotypes have limited sample sizes and limited protein coverages. And many genes and gene products are not easily druggable, so some potentially important causal genes may not offer an obvious route to intervention. We aimed to prioritize BD associated genes for functional follow-up studies using the summary data-based Mendelian Randomization (SMR) and Bayesian colocalization (COLOC) methods to integrate the summary-level data of the GWAS on BD and the expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) study in blood. We utilized the GWAS data including 41917 BD cases and 371549 controls from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) and the eQTL data from 31,684 participants of predominantly European ancestry from the eQTLGen consortium. Plus, we use Graph DB to alt drug target. The SMR analysis identified EIF2B5 gene that were associated with DB due to not linkage but pleiotropy or causality. The COLOC analysis strongly suggested that EIF2B5 and the trait of BD were affected by shared causal variants, and thus were colocalized. By means of data in EpiGraphDB we find other putative causal BD genes (EIF2AK4 and GSK3B) to prioritise potential alternative drug targets.

1 Introduction

Bipolar disorders(In this article, the abbreviation BD will be used to refer to Bipolar disorders) are described by the *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5™, 5th ed* (2013) as a group of brain disorders that cause extreme fluctuation in a person's mood, energy, and ability to function. People who live with bipolar disorder experience periods of great excitement, overactivity, delusions, and euphoria (known as mania) and other periods of feeling sad and hopeless (known as

depression). The prevalence of bipolar disorders was consistent across diverse cultures and ethnic groups, with an aggregate lifetime prevalence of 0.6% for bipolar I disorder, 0.4% for bipolar II disorder, 1.4% for subthreshold bipolar disorder, and 2.4% for the bipolar disorder spectrum(Grande et al., 2016). According to statistics, the total cost of BD in the United States is estimated to be $81,559 per patient per year (Cloutier et al., 2018). Bipolar disorder (BD) has a higher lifetime rate of suicide attempts (SA) than other psychiatric disorders(Brown et al., 2000; Sani et al., 2011; Takizawa et al., 2008). Previous studies have reported that individuals with BD experience more negative and stressful life events than the general population(Brown et al., 2000; Jacobs & Brewer, 2004; Sani et al., 2011). A better understanding of the underlying pathophysiology may aid in improving diagnostic accuracy and patient treatment stratification(Vieta et al., 2018); however, the pathophysiology mechanism of BD remains unclear.

The integrated stress response (ISR) is an evolutionarily conserved intracellular signaling network that helps the cell, tissue, and organism to adapt to a variable environment and maintain health. In response to different environmental and pathological conditions, including protein homeostasis (proteostasis) defects, nutrient deprivation, viral infection, and oxidative stress, the ISR restores balance by reprogramming gene expression. The various stresses are sensed by four specialized kinases (PERK, GCN2, PKR and HRI) that converge on phosphorylation of a single serine on the eukaryotic translation initiation factor eIF2. eIF2 phosphorylation blocks the action of eIF2's guanine nucleotide exchange factor termed eIF2B, resulting in a general reduction in protein synthesis. However, if the stress cannot be mitigated, the ISR triggers apoptosis to eliminate the damaged cell(Costa-Mattioli & Walter, 2020). Extensive research has shown that the ISR is activated in a wide range of disorders of the brain. This activation is evidenced by detection of eIF2-P and phosphorylation of PKR, PERK, and GCN2 in post-mortem brains from individuals and animal models of cognitive and neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, Huntington disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), traumatic brain injury, Down syndrome, and Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (Atkin et al., 2008; Buffington et al., 2014; Chang et al., 2002; Hoozemans et al., 2007; Ishimura et al., 2016; Moon et al., 2018; Smith & Mallucci, 2016). Notably, ISR activation causes cognitive defects in mouse models of traumatic brain injury (Chou et al., 2017; Sen et al., 2017), and Alzheimer's disease (Hwang et al., 2017; Tible et al., 2019). Whilst some research has been carried out on how stress will affect mood disorder, there have been few empirical investigations into if any change in ISR would bring patients mood disorder.

The approach to empirical research adopted for this study was Mendelian Randomization(MR) analysis, which is a reliable method to determine causal effects between risk factors and outcomes using genetic data, which can effectively eliminate the interference of confounding factors (Emdin et al., 2017). In addition, colocalization is an essential analytical method for exploring the common causal molecular mechanism among different diseases and disease-related intermediate phenotypes (Wu et al., 2019). The specific objective of this study was to describe the association between ISR and BD. As a result, we prioritized several eIF2-associated genes for follow-up functional studies in future to elucidate the underlying disease mechanisms. we systematically applied summary data-based Mendelian randomization analysis (SMR) and colocalization (COLOC) to prioritize putative causal genes using publicly available eQTL datasets. Furthermore, we try to explore potential alternative drug targets by searching candidate genes available from EpiGraphDB. Then, using MR analysis to enhance our confidence in identifying potential viable drug targets.

2 Methods

2.1 Study design and data resources

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

Figure 1 describes the design of this study.



Figure 1 Study overview.

For the summary-level data of the GWAS on BD, we used summary statistics of 41917 BD cases and 371549 controls from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (Sullivan, 2023). Tissue cis-QTL data were from the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project (Consortium et al., 2020). We downloaded lite version of the GTEx V8 eqtl data (only SNPs with P < 1e-5 are included). This is a set of cis-eQTL summary data across 49 human tissues from the GTEx project. Only SNPs within 1Mb of the transcription start site are available. Blood eQTL summary statistics of eIF2 genes were obtained from eQTLGen including the genetic data of blood gene expression in 31,684 individuals derived from 37 datasets (Võsa et al., 2021).

2.2 SMR analysis

We conducted SMR and heterogeneity in dependent instruments (HEIDI) tests in cis regions using the SMR software tool version 1.3.1. Detailed methods for SMR analysis were described in the original SMR paper (Zhu et al., 2016). The SMR software tool was originally developed to implement the SMR & HEIDI methods to test for pleiotropic association between the expression level of a gene and a complex trait of interest using summary-level data from GWAS and expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) studies. The SMR & HEIDI methodology can be interpreted as an analysis to test if the effect size of a SNP on the phenotype is mediated by gene expression. This tool can therefore be used to prioritize genes underlying GWAS hits for follow-up functional studies.

The default p-value threshold to select the top associated eQTL for the SMR test is 5.0e-8. By default, we only run the SMR analysis in the cis regions. The default threshold of eQTL p-value to

select eQTLs for the HEIDI test is 1.57e-3, which is equivalent to a chi-squared value (df=1) of 10. The window centred around the probe to select cis-eQTLs (passing a p-value threshold) for the SMR analysis is 2000Kb.

2.3 COLOC analysis

We conducted COLOC analysis using the coloc package (Giambartolomei et al., 2014) in R software (version 4.3.2). The coloc package can be used to perform genetic colocalisation analysis of two potentially related phenotypes, to ask whether they share common genetic causal variant(s) in a given region. This package(version 5) supercedes previously published version 4 by introducing use of the SuSiE approach to deal with multiple causal variants rather than conditioning or masking(Wang et al., 2020).

COLOC analysis calculates posterior probabilities (PPs) of the five hypotheses: 1) H0; no association with either gene expression or phenotype; 2) H1; association with gene expression, not with the phenotype; 3) H2; association with the phenotype, not with gene expression; 4) H3; association with gene expression and phenotype by independent SNVs; and 5) H4; association with gene expression and phenotype by shared causal SNVs. A large PP for H4 (PP.H4 above 0.95) strongly supports shared causal variants affecting both gene expression and phenotype.

2.4 PPI networks & Mendelian randomization

Systematic MR of molecular phenotypes such as proteins and expression of transcript levels offer enormous potential to prioritise drug targets for further investigation. However, many genes and gene products are not easily druggable, so some potentially important causal genes may not offer an obvious route to intervention.

A parallel problem is that current GWASes of molecular phenotypes have limited sample sizes and limited protein coverages. A potential way to address both these problems is to use protein-protein interaction information to identify druggable targets which are linked to a non-druggable, but robustly causal target. Their relationship to the causal target increases our confidence in their potential causal role even if the initial evidence of effect is below our multiple-testing threshold.

Here, we use data in EpiGraphDB to prioritise potential alternative drug targets in the same PPI network, as follows:

For an existing drug target of interests, we use PPI networks to search for its directly interacting genes that are evidenced to be druggable.(Liu et al., 2020). We then examine the causal evidence of these candidate genes on the disease by using two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) (Hemani et al., 2018).

3 Results

3.1 SMR and COLOC analyses using blood eQTL datas

The overall results are presented in Table 1.

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

Table 1 SMR/HEIDI results using the GWAS data on BD.

ProbeID	PROBECHR	GENE	TOPSNP	TOPSNP_CHR	A1	A2	FREQ	B_GWAS	SE_GWAS	P_GWAS	B_EQTL	SE_EQTL	P_EQTL	B_SMR	SE_SMR	P_SMR	P_HEIDI	NSNP_HEIDI	FDR
ENSG00000070785	1	EIF2B3	rs399628	1	A	C	0.375746	-1.0029	0.0095	0.7613	0.143125	0.0200113	8.5382E-13	-7.00716	0.981964	9.6179E-13	3.708E-10	16	1.1541E-12
ENSG00000143486	1	EIF2D	rs6692859	1	G	A	0.425447	0.99541	0.0095	0.6305	0.252463	0.0246545	1.3118E-24	3.9428	0.386871	2.1642E-24	2.8087E-25	16	5.1941E-24
ENSG00000055332	2	EIF2AK2	rs4648160	2	T	C	0.0427435	-0.97356	0.022	0.2236	-0.492181	0.0593344	1.0855E-16	1.97805	0.242615	3.5488E-16	3.6422E-15	20	6.0836E-16
ENSG00000172071	2	EIF2AK3	rs10203616	2	C	T	0.0636183	-1.02798	0.0176	0.1165	0.380813	0.0658245	7.2394E-09	-2.69944	0.468888	8.557E-09	4.0199E-13	7	9.2539E-09
ENSG00000144895	3	EIF2A	rs2868946	3	C	T	0.856859	-1.0008	0.013	0.9495	0.682109	0.0346387	2.5199E-86	-1.46721	0.0769066	3.8571E-81	2.9752E-14	20	4.6286E-80
ENSG00000145191	3	EIF2B5	rs843360	3	A	T	0.442346	-1.01217	0.0094	0.1974	0.117791	0.0204781	8.8164E-09	-8.59293	1.49602	9.2539E-09	0.3175938	8	9.2539E-09
ENSG00000086232	7	EIF2AK1	rs4724770	7	G	A	0.828032	-0.97404	0.0119	0.02699	-0.24618	0.0270822	9.8949E-20	3.95662	0.437943	1.6467E-19	8.539E-55	20	3.2934E-19
ENSG00000236493	10	EIF2S2P3	rs11187107	10	A	G	0.361829	-1.00854	0.0098	0.3851	0.341224	0.0424696	9.3928E-16	-2.95565	0.368988	1.1455E-15	4.0277E-13	20	1.7183E-15
ENSG00000111361	12	EIF2B1	rs112286578	12	A	C	0.163022	-0.99015	0.0129	0.4399	0.331611	0.0314287	5.0136E-26	-2.98588	0.28565	1.4206E-25	2.1631E-17	20	4.2619E-25
ENSG00000134001	14	EIF2S1	rs12590956	14	G	A	0.0715706	-1.04227	0.0195	0.03332	-0.190429	0.0240201	2.2285E-15	5.47327	0.697933	4.43E-15	0.0008309	20	5.9066E-15
ENSG00000119718	14	EIF2B2	rs175040	14	G	A	0.461233	-1.00361	0.0093	0.6958	-0.500686	0.0324789	1.2834E-53	2.00447	0.131348	1.3954E-52	1.9281E-31	20	8.3726E-52
ENSG00000128829	15	EIF2AK4	rs72731415	15	G	A	0.333002	-0.98857	0.0099	0.2496	0.36058	0.0289515	1.3195E-35	-2.74161	0.221833	4.3623E-35	1.0534E-25	20	1.7449E-34

First, we conducted the SMR analysis to integrate the GWAS and blood eQTL data to identify the most relevant genes whose expression in blood was significantly associated with the trait of DB. Only one gene passed the SMR test. In chromosome 3, EIF2B5 gene passed both the SMR and HEIDI tests and thus were significantly associated with the trait of DB because of pleiotropy or causality.

Next, we conducted the COLOC analysis to integrate the GWAS and blood eQTL data of the genes that passed the SMR test and assess whether the genes were colocalized with the trait of DB. TIt can be seen from the data in Table 2 that there is strong support for colocalization between the trait and the EIF2B5 gene that passed both SMR and HEIDI tests.

Table 2 COLOC results of the genes that passed the SMR test

snp	pvalues.df1	MAF.df1	N.df1	V.df1	z.df1	r.df1	lABF.df1	pvalues.df2	MAF.df2	N.df2	V.df2	z.df2	r.df2	lABF.df2	internal.sum.lABF	SNP.PP.H4
rs843360	0.1974	0.510834	50000	0.000181	1.2889945	0.99549542	-1.8743189	4.2643E-25	0.510834	10000	0.00020009	10.3481992	0.99118533	50.7049839	48.830665	1

3.2 Alt Drug Target

3.2.1 Using PPI networks for alternative drug targets search

The assumption here is that the most likely alternative targets are either directly interacting with EIF2B5 or somewhere in the same PPI network. In this example, we consider only genes that were found to interact with EIF2B5 via direct protein-protein interactions, and require that those interacting proteins should also be druggable.

The thousands of genes are classified with regard to their druggability by (Finan et al., 2017), where the Tier 1 category refers to approved drugs or those in clinical testing while for other tier categories the druggability confidence drops in order Tier 2 and then Tier 3.

Table 3 shows the results obtained from data on the druggable alternative genes.

Table 3 Druggable alternative genes

g1.name	p1.uniprot_id	p2.uniprot_id	g2.name	g2.druggability_tier
EIF2B5	Q13144	Q9P2K8	EIF2AK4	Tier 1
EIF2B5	Q13144	P49841	GSK3B	Tier 1
EIF2B5	Q13144	Q9H1Y3	OPN3	Tier 3B

3.2.2 Distinguishing vertical and horizontal pleiotropy for SNP-protein associations

A key MR assumption is that the genetic variant (SNP) is only related to the outcome of interest through the exposure under study (the “exclusion restriction” assumption). This assumption is potentially violated under horizontal pleiotropy, where a SNP is associated with multiple phenotypes

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

(e.g. proteins) independently of the exposure of interest. In contrast, vertical pleiotropy, where a SNP is associated with multiple phenotypes on the causal pathway to the outcome, does not violate the "exclusion restriction criterion" of MR.

Here, by integrating SNP-protein associations with biological pathway and protein-protein interaction (PPI) information retrieved from EpiGraphDB, we have developed an approach to assess potential horizontal pleiotropy. For a SNP associated with a group of proteins, we check the number of biological pathways and PPIs that are shared across this group of proteins. If these proteins are mapped to the same biological pathway and/or a PPI exists between them, then the SNP is more likely to act through vertical pleiotropy and therefore be a valid instrument for MR.



Figure 2 pathway_protein_graph

From the graph above we can see that P49841 and Q9P2K8 have same biological pathways, the table below illustrates the specific pathways that how they interact.

Table 4 Specific pathways that how proteins interact

node.name	**node.id**	**node.url**
Cellular responses to external stimuli	R-HSA-8953897	https://reactome.org/PathwayBrowser/#/R-HSA-8953897
Cellular responses to stress	R-HSA-2262752	https://reactome.org/PathwayBrowser/#/R-HSA-2262752

Then we complement the information given by pathway ontologies with data of interactions between proteins. For each pair of proteins, we retrieve shared protein-protein interactions, either a direct interaction or an interaction where there's one mediator protein in the middle. As can be seen from the figure3, for each pair of proteins, there are shared protein-protein interactions.



Figure 3 ppi_protein_graph

Overall, these results indicate that our study will not violate under horizontal pleiotropy.

3.2.3 Using Mendelian randomization results for causal effect estimation

The next step is to find out whether any of these genes have a comparable and statistically plausible effect on DB. For further analysis we select the gene of interest (EIF2B5) as well as its interacting genes with Tier 1 druggability.



Figure 4 Scatter plots of the association of EIF2B5 and BD

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article



Figure 5 Scatter plots of the association of EIF2AK4 and BD



Figure 6 Scatter plots of the association of GSK3B and BD

It is worth noting that there were also differences in the ratios of MR test. As noted by Burgess et al. (2023); Burgess and Thompson (2017), due to the heterogeneity test highlighting the existence of heterogeneity ($P < 0.05$), we give priority to using a random effect model of IVW and/or Weighted median method. The results, as shown in Table 5, indicate that a causal association was found between EIF2B5, EIF2AK4, GSK3B and BD.

Table 5 MR analysis of putative causal BD genes and bipolar disorder.

		MR analyses											*Heterogeneity*			*Pleiotropy*	
exposure	outcome	method	nsnp	b	se	pval	lo_ci	up_ci	or	or_lci95	or_uci95	Q	Q_df	Q_pval	egger_ intercept	se	pval
EIF2B5 (clump_r2=0.18, clump_kb=1000)	BD	MR Egger	65	-5.21E-01	1.20E+00	6.65E-01	-2.87E+00	1.82E+00	5.94E-01	5.69E-02	6.20E+00	202058.3	63	0	3.98E-01	2.31E-01	9.08E-02
		Weighted median	65	1.58E+00	9.13E-02	5.37E-67	1.40E+00	1.76E+00	4.85E+00	4.05E+00	5.80E+00						
		Inverse variance weighted	65	1.25E+00	6.19E-01	4.37E-02	3.54E-02	2.46E+00	3.48E+00	1.04E+00	1.17E+01	211521	64	0			
		Simple mode	65	4.25E+00	1.84E-01	8.77E-33	3.89E+00	4.61E+00	7.03E+01	4.90E+01	1.01E+02						
		Weighted mode	65	1.50E+00	2.45E-01	6.76E-08	1.02E+00	1.98E+00	4.47E+00	2.76E+00	7.23E+00						
EIF2AK4		MR Egger	290	1.72E+00	6.70E-01	1.09E-02	4.04E-01	3.03E+00	5.57E+00	1.50E+00	2.07E+01	1570106	288	0	-1.54E-01	1.15E-01	1.81E-01
		Weighted median	290	2.31E+00	6.09E-02	0.00E+00	2.19E+00	2.43E+00	1.00E+01	8.92E+00	1.13E+01						
		Inverse variance weighted	290	9.42E-01	3.39E-01	5.46E-03	2.77E-01	1.61E+00	2.57E+00	1.32E+00	4.99E+00	1579904	289	0			
		Simple mode	290	5.59E+00	8.68E-02	2.37E-173	5.41E+00	5.76E+00	2.66E+02	2.25E+02	3.16E+02						
		Weighted mode	290	3.19E+00	8.52E-02	7.01E-113	3.02E+00	3.36E+00	2.43E+01	2.05E+01	2.87E+01						
GSK3B (clump_r2=0.05, clump_kb=100)		MR Egger	19	-4.98E-01	2.78E+00	8.60E-01	-5.95E+00	4.95E+00	6.08E-01	2.62E-03	1.41E+02	37775.24	17	0	5.82E-01	4.31E-01	1.95E-01
		Weighted median	19	1.81E+00	1.21E-01	1.70E-50	1.57E+00	2.04E+00	6.09E+00	4.81E+00	7.72E+00						
		Inverse variance weighted	19	2.80E+00	1.36E+00	3.93E-02	1.38E-01	5.46E+00	1.64E+01	1.15E+00	2.35E+02	41822.66	18	0			
		Simple mode	19	5.59E+00	4.32E-01	1.50E-10	4.74E+00	6.44E+00	2.68E+02	1.15E+02	6.25E+02						
		Weighted mode	19	2.16E+00	1.07E-01	8.31E-14	1.95E+00	2.36E+00	8.63E+00	7.00E+00	1.06E+01						

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

4 Discussion

The results of this study show that EIF2B5 is the potential disease-causing gene of BD, EIF2AK4 and GSK3B may become alternative drug targets for this disease.

Perhaps the most interesting finding is early research regarding EIF2B5 mainly aimed to study the roles of its expression in few cancerous tissue(Hou et al., 2020; Jiao et al., 2018; Xue et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014), multiple sclerosis(Zahoor et al., 2017), and vanishing white matter (VWM) (Dietrich et al., 2005)syndrome. Importantly, the eIF2B complex plays a central role in the cellular integrated stress response (ISR). Stress-dependent kinase activation leads to phosphorylation of eIF2, which binds eIF2B more tightly and reduces overall protein synthesis. Previous research has established that white matter microstructure alterations in bipolar disorder(Adler et al., 2004; Bellani & Brambilla, 2011; Bellani et al., 2012; López-Larson et al., 2002; Yurgelun-Todd et al., 2007). However, eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2B (EIF2B) gene family encoding the five subunits of eIF2B complex-α, β, γ, δ and ε respectively. This finding supports previous research into this brain area which links EIF2B5 and BD.

The ISR responds to a variety of different stress conditions that lead to alterations in cellular homeostasis. In metazoans, these stresses are sensed by at least four different kinases that each phosphorylate Ser51 in eIF2a to activate the ISR(Wek, 2018). One of them, GCN2(gene name EIF2AK4) contains a regulatory domain homologous with histidyl-tRNA synthetase and when amino acids are scarce, binding of deacylated His-tRNA triggers its activation (Harding et al., 2000; Hinnebusch, 2005). However, the precise mechanism(s) underlying these vastly different activation modalities remain unknown. But it is well known that the HPA axis is closely related to stress and AgRP neurons(Cleber Gama de Barcellos Filho et al., 2021; Perry et al., 2015; Perry et al., 2019). A possible explanation for this might further support the idea of Yuan et al. (2023): hypothalamic AgRP neurons are involved in the process of leucine deficiency improving depressive-like behavior induced by CRS, and the amino acid sensor general control non-derepressible 2 (GCN2) mediates this behavioral effect of AgRP neurons.

When it comes to GSK3B, Chen et al. (2014) showed that published data on the association between GSK3B -50C/T (rs334558) and bipolar disorder are inconclusive. This differs from the findings presented here. It could be argued that the positive results were due to the relatively much bigger sample size and a multiple SNPs-based approach can provide a more objective evaluation. Despite its exploratory nature, this study offers some insight into GSK3B, which is not only implicated in the pathophysiology of Alzheimer disease (Mendes et al., 2009), Parkinson disease (Wider et al., 2011), schizophrenia (Chen et al., 2020), and depressive disorders (Levchenko et al., 2018).

The generalisability of these results is subject to certain limitations. Firstly, the SMR method cannot distinguish pleiotropic genes from the causative genes. The association between prioritized genes and BD needs to be validated by follow-up functional studies. Moreover, BD cohorts in our data were collected in Europe, North America and Australia, so these findings cannot be extrapolated to all patients.

5 Conclusion

This study set out to examine the relationship between ISR and BD, also, assess the feasibility of some genes' druggability. The most obvious finding to emerge from this study is that we established causal relationships between genetic variants, candidate genes, and BD risk. The insights gained from this study may be of assistance to cure this disease.

6 Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

7 Author Contributions

ZT designed this study, analyzed data, and wrote the draft of the manuscript. Prof.Deng huihua discussed and reviewed the manuscript critically. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

8 Acknowledgments

The authors thank Yang Lab for the user-friendly SMR software. We also appreciate consortia such as the PGC, GTEx and eQTLGen for providing publicly available data.

9 Data Availability Statement

The summary-level data of the GWAS on BD was publicly available from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/bip2021_noUKBB/22564402/1). The eQTL dataset was publicly available from the eQTLGen consortium (https://www.eqtlgen.org/). V8 release of the GTEx eQTL/sQTL summary data can be downloaded from https://yanglab.westlake.edu.cn/software/smr/#DataResource.

10 Reference

Adler, C. M., Holland, S. K., Schmithorst, V., Wilke, M., Weiss, K. L., Pan, H., & Strakowski, S. M. (2004). Abnormal frontal white matter tracts in bipolar disorder: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Bipolar Disorders*, *6*(3), 197-203. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-5618.2004.00108.x

Atkin, J. D., Farg, M. A., Walker, A. K., McLean, C., Tomas, D., & Horne, M. K. (2008). Endoplasmic reticulum stress and induction of the unfolded protein response in human sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurobiology of Disease*, *30*(3), 400-407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2008.02.009

Bellani, M., & Brambilla, P. (2011). Diffusion imaging studies of white matter integrity in bipolar disorder. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, *20*(2), 137-140. https://doi.org/10.1017/s2045796011000229

Bellani, M., Perlini, C., Ferro, A., Cerruti, S., Rambaldelli, G., Isola, M., Cerini, R., Dusi, N., Andreone, N., Balestrieri, M., Mucelli, R. P., Tansella, M., & Brambilla, P. (2012). White matter microstructure alterations in bipolar disorder. *Functional Neurology*, *27*(1), 29-34. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22687164/

Brown, G. K., Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Grisham, J. R. (2000). Risk factors for suicide in psychiatric outpatients: a 20-year prospective study. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*, *68*(3), 371-377. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006x.68.3.371

Buffington, S. A., Huang, W., & Costa-Mattioli, M. (2014). Translational Control in Synaptic Plasticity and Cognitive Dysfunction. In S. E. Hyman (Ed.), *Annual Review of Neuroscience, Vol 37* (Vol. 37, pp. 17-38). Annual Reviews. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-071013-014100

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

Burgess, S., Davey Smith, G., Davies, N., Dudbridge, F., Gill, D., Glymour, M., Hartwig, F., Kutalik, Z., Holmes, M., Minelli, C., Morrison, J., Pan, W., Relton, C., & Theodoratou, E. (2023). Guidelines for performing Mendelian randomization investigations: update for summer 2023 [version 3; peer review: 2 approved]. *Wellcome Open Research*, *4*(186). https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15555.3

Burgess, S., & Thompson, S. G. (2017). Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, *32*(5), 377-389. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x

Chang, R. C. C., Wong, A. K. Y., Ng, H.-K., & Hugon, J. (2002). Phosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor-2α (eIF2α) is associated with neuronal degeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *NeuroReport*, *13*(18), 2429-2432. https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-200212200-00011

Chen, G. D., Tang, J., Yu, G. W., Chen, Y. P., Wang, L. C., & Zhang, Y. (2014). Meta-analysis demonstrates lack of association of the GSK3B-50C/T polymorphism with risk of bipolar disorder. *Molecular Biology Reports*, *41*(9), 5711-5718. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-014-3441-x

Chen, Y., Hua, S., Wang, W. P., Fan, W. X., Tang, W., Zhang, Y., & Zhang, C. (2020). A comprehensive analysis of GSK3B variation for schizophrenia in Han Chinese individuals. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, *47*, Article 101832. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2019.10.012

Chou, A., Krukowski, K., Jopson, T., Zhu, P. J., Costa-Mattioli, M., Walter, P., & Rosi, S. (2017). Inhibition of the integrated stress response reverses cognitive deficits after traumatic brain injury. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *114*(31), E6420-E6426. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1707661114

Cleber Gama de Barcellos Filho, P., Campos Zanelatto, L., Amélia Aparecida Santana, B., Calado, R. T., & Rodrigues Franci, C. (2021). Effects chronic administration of corticosterone and estrogen on HPA axis activity and telomere length in brain areas of female rats. *Brain Research*, *1750*, 147152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2020.147152

Cloutier, M., Greene, M., Guerin, A., Touya, M., & Wu, E. (2018). The economic burden of bipolar I disorder in the United States in 2015. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *226*, 45-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.09.011

Consortium, T. G., Aguet, F., Anand, S., Ardlie, K. G., Gabriel, S., Getz, G. A., Graubert, A., Hadley, K., Handsaker, R. E., Huang, K. H., Kashin, S., Li, X., MacArthur, D. G., Meier, S. R., Nedzel, J. L., Nguyen, D. T., Segrè, A. V., Todres, E., Balliu, B., . . . Volpi, S. (2020). The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues. *Science*, *369*(6509), 1318-1330. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz1776

Costa-Mattioli, M., & Walter, P. (2020). The integrated stress response: From mechanism to disease. *Science*, *368*(6489), 384-+, Article eaat5314. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat5314

Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5™, 5th ed. (2013). American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596

Dietrich, J., Lacagnina, M., Gass, D., Richfield, E., Mayer-Pröschel, M., Noble, M., Torres, C., & Pröschel, C. (2005). EIF2B5 mutations compromise GFAP₊ astrocyte generation in vanishing white matter leukodystrophy. *Nature Medicine*, *11*(3), 277-283. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1195

326 Emdin, C. A., Khera, A. V., & Kathiresan, S. (2017). Mendelian Randomization. *JAMA*, *318*(19),
327 1925-1926. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.17219

328 Finan, C., Gaulton, A., Kruger, F. A., Lumbers, R. T., Shah, T., Engmann, J., Galver, L., Kelley, R.,
329 Karlsson, A., Santos, R., Overington, J. P., Hingorani, A. D., & Casas, J. P. (2017). The
330 druggable genome and support for target identification and validation in drug development.
331 *Science Translational Medicine*, *9*(383), eaag1166.
332 https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aag1166

333 Giambartolomei, C., Vukcevic, D., Schadt, E. E., Franke, L., Hingorani, A. D., Wallace, C., &
334 Plagnol, V. (2014). Bayesian Test for Colocalisation between Pairs of Genetic Association
335 Studies Using Summary Statistics. *Plos Genetics*, *10*(5), Article e1004383.
336 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004383

337 Grande, I., Berk, M., Birmaher, B., & Vieta, E. (2016). Bipolar disorder [Review]. *Lancet*,
338 *387*(10027), 1561-1572. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(15)00241-x

339 Harding, H. P., Novoa, I., Zhang, Y., Zeng, H., Wek, R., Schapira, M., & Ron, D. (2000). Regulated
340 Translation Initiation Controls Stress-Induced Gene Expression in Mammalian Cells.
341 *Molecular Cell*, *6*(5), 1099-1108. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(00)00108-8

342 Hemani, G., Zheng, J., Elsworth, B., Wade, K. H., Haberland, V., Baird, D., Laurin, C., Burgess, S.,
343 Bowden, J., Langdon, R., Tan, V. Y., Yarmolinsky, J., Shihab, H. A., Timpson, N. J., Evans,
344 D. M., Relton, C., Martin, R. M., Davey Smith, G., Gaunt, T. R., & Haycock, P. C. (2018).
345 The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome.
346 *eLife*, *7*, e34408. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34408

347 Hinnebusch, A. G. (2005). TRANSLATIONAL REGULATION OF GCN4 AND THE GENERAL
348 AMINO ACID CONTROL OF YEAST. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, *59*(1), 407-450.
349 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.59.031805.133833

350 Hoozemans, J. J. M., van Haastert, E. S., Eikelenboom, P., de Vos, R. A. I., Rozemuller, J. M., &
351 Scheper, W. (2007). Activation of the unfolded protein response in Parkinson's disease.
352 *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, *354*(3), 707-711.
353 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.01.043

354 Hou, L., Jiao, Y., Li, Y. Q., Luo, Z. P., Zhang, X. Y., Pan, G. Q., Zhao, Y. C., Yang, Z. Y., & He, M.
355 (2020). Low <i>EIF2B5<i> expression predicts poor prognosis in ovarian cancer. *Medicine*,
356 *99*(5), 6, Article e18666. https://doi.org/10.1097/md.0000000000018666

357 Hwang, K. D., Bak, M. S., Kim, S. J., Rhee, S., & Lee, Y. S. (2017). Restoring synaptic plasticity
358 and memory in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease by PKR inhibition. *Molecular Brain*,
359 *10*, 10, Article 57. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13041-017-0338-3

360 Ishimura, R., Nagy, G., Dotu, I., Chuang, J. H., & Ackerman, S. L. (2016). Activation of GCN2
361 kinase by ribosome stalling links translation elongation with translation initiation. *eLife*, *5*, 22,
362 Article e14295. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.14295

363 Jacobs, D., & Brewer, M. (2004). APA practice guideline provides recommendations for assessing
364 and treating patients with suicidal behaviors. In (Vol. 34, pp. 373-380): SLACK Incorporated
365 Thorofare, NJ.

366 Jiao, Y., Fu, Z., Li, Y. Q., Meng, L. Y., & Liu, Y. H. (2018). High EIF2B5 mRNA expression and its
367 prognostic significance in liver cancer: a study based on the TCGA and GEO database.
368 *Cancer Management and Research*, *10*, 6003-6014. https://doi.org/10.2147/cmar.S185459

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

369 Levchenko, A., Losenkov, I. S., Vyalova, N. M., Simutkin, G. G., Bokhan, N. A., Wilffert, B.,
370 Loonen, A. J. M., & Ivanova, S. A. (2018). The functional variant rs334558 of GSK3B is
371 associated with remission in patients with depressive disorders. *Pharmacogenomics &*
372 *Personalized Medicine*, *11*, 121-126. https://doi.org/10.2147/pgpm.S171423

373 Liu, Y., Elsworth, B., Erola, P., Haberland, V., Hemani, G., Lyon, M., Zheng, J., Lloyd, O.,
374 Vabistsevits, M., & Gaunt, T. R. (2020). EpiGraphDB: a database and data mining platform
375 for health data science. *Bioinformatics*, *37*(9), 1304-1311.
376 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa961

377 López-Larson, M. P., DelBello, M. P., Zimmerman, M. E., Schwiers, M. L., & Strakowski, S. M.
378 (2002). Regional prefrontal gray and white matter abnormalities in bipolar disorder.
379 *Biological Psychiatry*, *52*(2), 93-100, Article Pii s0006-3223(02)01350-1.
380 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3223(02)01350-1

381 Mendes, C. T., Mury, F. B., Moreira, E. D., Alberto, F. L., Forlenza, O. V., Dias-Neto, E., & Gattaz,
382 W. F. (2009). Lithium reduces Gsk3b mRNA levels: implications for Alzheimer Disease.
383 *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, *259*(1), 16-22.
384 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-008-0828-5

385 Moon, S. L., Sonenberg, N., & Parker, R. (2018). Neuronal Regulation of eIF2α Function in Health
386 and Neurological Disorders. *Trends in Molecular Medicine*, *24*(6), 575-589.
387 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2018.04.001

388 Perry, R. J., Lee, S., Ma, L., Zhang, D., Schlessinger, J., & Shulman, G. I. (2015). FGF1 and FGF19
389 reverse diabetes by suppression of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis. *Nature*
390 *communications*, *6*(1), 6980. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7980

391 Perry, R. J., Resch, J. M., Douglass, A. M., Madara, J. C., Rabin-Court, A., Kucukdereli, H., Wu, C.,
392 Song, J. D., Lowell, B. B., & Shulman, G. I. (2019). Leptin's hunger-suppressing effects are
393 mediated by the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenocortical axis in rodents. *Proceedings of the*
394 *National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(27), 13670-13679.
395 https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.1901795116

396 Sani, G., Tondo, L., Koukopoulos, A., Reginaldi, D., Kotzalidis, G. D., Koukopoulos, A. E.,
397 Manfredi, G., Mazzarini, L., Pacchiarotti, I., Simonetti, A., Ambrosi, E., Angeletti, G.,
398 Girardi, P., & Tatarelli, R. (2011). Suicide in a large population of former psychiatric
399 inpatients. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, *65*(3), 286-295.
400 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1819.2011.02205.x

401 Sen, T., Gupta, R., Kaiser, H., & Sen, N. (2017). Activation of PERK Elicits Memory Impairment
402 through Inactivation of CREB and Downregulation of PSD95 After Traumatic Brain Injury.
403 *Journal of Neuroscience*, *37*(24), 5900-5911. https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.2343-16.2017

404 Smith, H. L., & Mallucci, G. R. (2016). The unfolded protein response: mechanisms and therapy of
405 neurodegeneration. *Brain*, *139*, 2113-2121. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aww101

406 Sullivan, P. (2023). *bip2021_noUKBB. figshare*. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22564402.v1

407 Takizawa, R., Kasai, K., Kawakubo, Y., Marumo, K., Kawasaki, S., Yamasue, H., & Fukuda, M.
408 (2008). Reduced frontopolar activation during verbal fluency task in schizophrenia: a multi-
409 channel near-infrared spectroscopy study. *Schizophr Res*, *99*(1-3), 250-262.
410 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2007.10.025

411 Tible, M., Liger, F. M., Schmitt, J., Giralt, A., Farid, K., Thomasseau, S., Gourmaud, S., Paquet, C.,
412 Reig, L. R., Meurs, E., Girault, J. A., & Hugon, J. (2019). PKR knockout in the 5xFAD
413 model of Alzheimer's disease reveals beneficial effects on spatial memory and brain lesions.
414 *Aging Cell*, *18*(3), 11, Article e12887. https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12887

415 Vieta, E., Salagre, E., Grande, I., Carvalho, A. F., Fernandes, B. S., Berk, M., Birmaher, B., Tohen,
416 M., & Suppes, T. (2018). Early Intervention in Bipolar Disorder. *American Journal of*
417 *Psychiatry*, *175*(5), 411-426. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17090972

418 Võsa, U., Claringbould, A., Westra, H.-J., Bonder, M. J., Deelen, P., Zeng, B., Kirsten, H., Saha, A.,
419 Kreuzhuber, R., Yazar, S., Brugge, H., Oelen, R., de Vries, D. H., van der Wijst, M. G. P.,
420 Kasela, S., Pervjakova, N., Alves, I., Favé, M.-J., Agbessi, M., . . . i, Q. T. L. C. (2021).
421 Large-scale cis- and trans-eQTL analyses identify thousands of genetic loci and polygenic
422 scores that regulate blood gene expression. *Nature Genetics*, *53*(9), 1300-1310.
423 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00913-z

424 Wang, G., Sarkar, A., Carbonetto, P., & Stephens, M. (2020). A Simple New Approach to Variable
425 Selection in Regression, with Application to Genetic Fine Mapping. *Journal of the Royal*
426 *Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, *82*(5), 1273-1300.
427 https://doi.org/10.1111/rssb.12388

428 Wek, R. C. (2018). Role of eIF2α kinases in translational control and adaptation to cellular stress.
429 *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology*, *10*(7), a032870.
430 https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a032870

431 Wider, C., Vilariño-Güell, C., Heckman, M. G., Jasinska-Myga, B., Ortolaza-Soto, A. I., Diehl, N.
432 N., Crook, J. E., Cobb, S. A., Bacon, J. A., Aasly, J. O., Gibson, J. M., Lynch, T., Uitti, R. J.,
433 Wszolek, Z. K., Farrer, M. J., & Ross, O. A. (2011). SNCA, MAPT, and
434 GSK3B in Parkinson disease: a gene-gene interaction study. *European Journal of*
435 *Neurology*, *18*(6), 876-881. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03297.x

436 Wu, Y., Broadaway, K. A., Raulerson, C. K., Scott, L. J., Pan, C., Ko, A., He, A., Tilford, C.,
437 Fuchsberger, C., Locke, A. E., Stringham, H. M., Jackson, A. U., Narisu, N., Kuusisto, J.,
438 Pajukanta, P., Collins, F. S., Boehnke, M., Laakso, M., Lusis, A. J., . . . Mohlke, K. L. (2019).
439 Colocalization of GWAS and eQTL signals at loci with multiple signals identifies additional
440 candidate genes for body fat distribution. *Human Molecular Genetics*, *28*(24), 4161-4172.
441 https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddz263

442 Xue, D., Lu, M., Gao, B., Qiao, X., & Zhang, Y. (2014). Screening for transcription factors and their
443 regulatory small molecules involved in regulating the functions of CL1-5 cancer cells under
444 the effects of macrophage-conditioned medium. *Oncol Rep*, *31*(3), 1323-1333.
445 https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2013.2937

446 Yang, S., Zhang, H., Guo, L., Zhao, Y., & Chen, F. (2014). Reconstructing the coding and non-
447 coding RNA regulatory networks of miRNAs and mRNAs in breast cancer. *Gene*, *548*(1), 6-
448 13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2014.06.010

449 Yuan, F., Wu, S., Zhou, Z., Jiao, F., Yin, H., Niu, Y., Jiang, H., Chen, S., & Guo, F. (2023). Leucine
450 deprivation results in antidepressant effects via GCN2 in AgRP neurons. *Life Metabolism*,
451 *2*(1). https://doi.org/10.1093/lifemeta/load004

452 Yurgelun-Todd, D. A., Silveri, M. M., Gruber, S. A., Rohan, M. L., & Pimentel, P. J. (2007). White
453 matter abnormalities observed in bipolar disorder: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Bipolar*
454 *Disorders*, *9*(5), 504-512. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-5618.2007.00395.x

This is a provisional file, not the final typeset article

455 Zahoor, I., Haq, E., & Asimi, R. (2017). Multiple Sclerosis and EIF2B5: A Paradox or a Missing
456 Link. In A. A. A. Asea, F. Geraci, & P. Kaur (Eds.), *Multiple Sclerosis: Bench to Bedside:*
457 *Global Perspectives on a Silent Killer* (Vol. 958, pp. 57-64). Springer International
458 Publishing Ag. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47861-6_5

459 Zhu, Z., Zhang, F., Hu, H., Bakshi, A., Robinson, M. R., Powell, J. E., Montgomery, G. W.,
460 Goddard, M. E., Wray, N. R., Visscher, P. M., & Yang, J. (2016). Integration of summary
461 data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. *Nature Genetics*,
462 *48*(5), 481-487. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3538

463