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Excessive daytime sleepiness among dental students: A meta-analysis of prevalence

Introduction: Excessive daytime sleepiness is highly prevalent and variable in health students. However, a meta-analysis that synthesizes the prevalence of this symptom in dental students has yet to be reported.

Objective: To compute the pooled prevalence of sleepiness in dental students.

Method: A systematic review and meta-analysis of studies published between 2000 and 2023 was developed in Spanish, English, and Portuguese, with the keywords sleepiness, excessive drowsiness, Epworth scale for drowsiness, and dental students in all three languages. Original articles and degree theses that reported the frequency of sleepiness with a cut-off point for the Epworth scale for sleepiness greater than ten were included. The sample sizes and the number of students positive for sleepiness were observed, and the prevalence, raw and adjusted for sample size, was calculated.

Results: Seven articles were included with participant samples between 128 and 325 students, totaling 1,546. Students were positive on the Epworth scale for sleepiness between 43 and 189, with a sum of 671. The pooled prevalence was 42.7% (95% CI 40.3-45.2).

Conclusions: Drowsiness is present in four out of ten dental students. It is necessary to systematize the factors associated with drowsiness and establish a relationship with the academic performance of dental students.
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INTRODUCTION

Drowsiness is the propensity to sleep during waking hours. Excessive daytime sleepiness frequently occurs in idiopathic hypersomnolence, narcolepsy, Kleine-Levin syndrome, and obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea. Likewise, people who meet the criteria for mental disorders such as anxiety disorders, depressive disorders, and primary insomnia often complain of excessive sleepiness.
Short-term sleepiness is associated with a deterioration in cognitive performance that affects attention, memory, and learning functions and, consequently, can affect students’ academic performance.\(^8,9\) Furthermore, sleepiness can cause mood alterations, induce the abuse of stimulant substances, and predispose to traffic accidents.\(^10,11\) In the long term, sleepiness increases the risk of cardiovascular disease and obesity, among others.\(^12-14\)

On the other hand, sleepiness in adolescent and young adult students, in most cases, is due to poor sleep hygiene secondary to behavioral changes to meet schedules and other academic demands.\(^8-11,15\)

In medical students, two systematic reviews showed a high prevalence of sleepiness by applying the Epworth scale for sleepiness. Jahrami et al.\(^16\) included nine studies that included 2,587 participants and found a pooled prevalence of sleepiness of 34.6\% (95\% CI 18.3-50.9). More recently, Binjabr et al.\(^17\) reviewed 28 studies involving 10,122 students and reported a pooled prevalence of sleepiness of 33.3\% (95\% CI 26.5-40.9). However, it is necessary to have a systematic review of the prevalence of drowsiness in dental students. Knowing an accurate and reliable estimate of the prevalence of sleepiness is vital to identifying possible associated risk factors and developing prevention, early identification, and management strategies for sleepiness specific to dental students’ context and particular needs.\(^4,18-20\)

This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to estimate the pooled prevalence of sleepiness among dental students.

**METHODS**

A systematic review and meta-analysis of primary studies reporting the prevalence of sleepiness in dental students were conducted.

**Search for relevant studies**

A scientific literature search was carried out using specific descriptors in Spanish, English, and Portuguese combined using the Boolean operators ‘AND’, and ‘OR’. The search was carried out in Google Scholar and PubMed, which bring together the most significant number of relevant sources for studies in the health area. Equivalents to "sleepiness" and "Epworth" were used as descriptors in the languages as mentioned
earlier. "Sleepiness scale," "dentistry students," "odontontology students," and "dental students." The search equation used was: “Epworth sleepiness scale” OR “sleepiness” AND “dentistry students” OR “odontontology students” OR “dental students”.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

The authors included original articles and graduate papers published from January 2000 to October 2023 that used the Epworth Sleepiness Scale. Those studies were excluded in which the measurement of sleepiness omitted information on the prevalence of sleepiness, or the data presented prevented the calculation of precise data on participants with excessive daytime sleepiness with a cut-off point greater than ten.\(^{21,22}\)

Narrative reviews, citations, and results from gray literature, such as reports, documents, or manuals usually published without peer review, were excluded.\(^{23}\)

**Data extraction**

Initially, the titles of the studies were examined. Subsequently, two authors independently reviewed the abstracts, and articles were selected after reading the methods and results sections. Finally, the sample sizes the number of students positive for drowsiness, were observed.

The quality of the studies was quantified with a critical appraisal instrument for scientific reports.\(^{24}\) This measurement instrument summarizes the STROBE criteria for observational studies.\(^{25}\)

**Heterogeneity assessment and bias control**

A requirement for conducting a meta-analysis is for the group of included studies to be sufficiently homogeneous regarding participants and results. The variability between studies is heterogeneous and may be due to methodological diversity. Heterogeneity (tau) was assessed using I\(^2\);\(^{22}\) values greater than 50% are considered high.\(^{26}\)

Publication bias was assessed using the Rosenthal fail-safe N method.\(^{27}\) This method identifies the number of negative studies (with zero effect) that would need to be added for the meta-analytic result to be null. If the p-value associated with the fail-safe N value is more significant than 0.05, it is considered that there is publication bias.\(^{27}\) Despite being the most used parameter to evaluate publication bias, fail-safe N is highly variable with the method.\(^{28}\) This test assumes that all non-significant effect sizes are
equal to zero, regardless of sample size, and focuses more on statistical significance than on effect magnitude.\textsuperscript{29}

\textbf{Data synthesis}

The pooled prevalence of sleepiness was calculated with 95\% confidence intervals (95\%CI) with the application of the inverse variance method.\textsuperscript{30} When heterogeneity is low, applying the fixed effects model is suggested. On the contrary, the random effects model is indicated if heterogeneity is high.\textsuperscript{31} The analysis was completed with the free program Jamovi version 2.3.\textsuperscript{32}

\textbf{RESULTS}

One hundred and fifty-three titles were identified, of which ten were duplicated in Google Scholar. Only one study was identified in Pubmed, which was already identified in Google Scholar. After reading the titles and abstracts, thirteen articles were selected, whose full text was reviewed. Of these, six articles were ignored because they omitted information on the prevalence of sleepiness, and it was impossible to calculate it with the information presented.\textsuperscript{33-38} No additional titles were identified in the articles cited in the references of the initially included studies. Consequently, seven that met the inclusion criteria were reviewed.\textsuperscript{39-45} The complete flowchart of the selection process is presented in Figure 1. The summary of the seven cross-sectional analyses that reported the prevalence of sleepiness in dental students is presented in Table 1.

Figure 1. Flowchart of the articles.
Table 1. Summary of the included papers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age* Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Women n (%)</th>
<th>Epworth &gt;10 n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Figueroa et al.</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>18-28</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>149 (66.2)</td>
<td>93 (41.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelin et al.</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>18-37</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>251 (77.2)</td>
<td>189 (58.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonçalves et al.</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>129 (58.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>136</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>101 (74.3)</td>
<td>56 (41.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santos et al.</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>20-26</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>146 (73.7)</td>
<td>90 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Identification" section:
- 153 found titles
- 10 duplicate titles
- 143 selected abstracts
- 107 abstracts removed
- 36 full articles shortlisted
- 23 articles excluded
- 13 eligible articles
- 6 articles excluded
- 7 articles included
The sample size of participants for the seven included papers varied between 128 and 325 students, with a total of 1,546 students. The positive students on the Epworth scale for sleepiness were between 43 and 189, totaling 671 students.

The age of the participants varied between 18 and 40 years; only one study included participants under 18 years of age. Between 62 and 77% of the students positive for the Epworth scale were female students.

The studies showed high heterogeneity, with a tau value of 0.13 and $I^2$ of 93.8% ($p<0.001$). This finding was corroborated in the funnel plot (see graph 2), and the fail-safe N value was 3,100 ($p<0.01$). Therefore, the pooled prevalence was calculated with the random method and showed a value of 42.7% (95% CI 40.3-45.2). See details in graph 3.

Graph 2. Funnel plot for heterogeneity.
DISCUSSION

The present review shows that approximately four in ten dental students report drowsiness. This grouped prevalence was higher than that observed in other meta-analyses of sleepiness in medical students, in which prevalences of around 43% were observed.16,17

The high prevalence of drowsiness in dental students is a multifactorial problem, as in other university students.1 Sleepiness in this particular population can be explained by a considerable deterioration in sleep hygiene with entry to higher education, such as a decrease in sleeping hours or irregular sleep patterns due to early classes, university social lifestyle, and academic demands.8-11,15 To this can be added other psychosocial stressors that increase the risk of anxiety, depression, and insomnia that usually occur with drowsiness.1,5-7 It is necessary to keep in mind that sleepiness can be a symptom of mental disorders such as anxiety and depression, which are highly frequent in university students in the global context.46-50 Therefore, sleepiness among university students requires a careful evaluation of all possible causes to define the best approach.51

In general, university students report a higher prevalence of sleepiness than the general adult population, in whom the frequency of sleepiness can reach 12%.52 University students should be considered a vulnerable population at high risk of drowsiness, and, consequently, university authorities should implement screening strategies in the university context18-20 and activate the care route to identify the causes
and provide the best solutions and treatment options for everyone\textsuperscript{51} These early actions to recognize these cases can mitigate the adverse effects of drowsiness on academic performance and future professional practice\textsuperscript{8,9}.

This review has the advantage of including works published in Spanish and Portuguese that should be addressed in reviews carried out by English-speaking authors. Furthermore, the review covered gray literature, which reduces publication bias\textsuperscript{53} However, this meta-analysis had the limitation that the included studies should have included more information on the validity and reliability of the Epworth scale for sleepiness in the participating samples. This information is relevant because the reliability indicators of measurement scales can vary dramatically between populations and compromise the internal validity of the findings\textsuperscript{54}. Likewise, the high heterogeneity of the research could be considered a limitation. However, calculating the grouped prevalence by the random method makes an essential adjustment to this indicator\textsuperscript{31}.

It is concluded that drowsiness affects 42.7% of dental students. It is necessary to systematize the factors associated with drowsiness and establish a relationship with the academic performance of dental students. It is mandatory to manage strategies for early identification in the university context and refer for formal diagnosis and timely management.

REFERENCES


