Eco-anxiety among children and young people: A systematic review of social, political, and geographic determinants

Authors:
Claire L Niedzwiedz*+
Shamal M Kankawale*+
S Vittal Katikireddi*

*School of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Clarice Pears Building, 90 Byres Road, Glasgow, G12 8TB

+ Contributed equally

PRE-PRINT

Abstract

Eco-anxiety refers to distress arising from environmental degradation, especially concerning climate change, with children and young people (CYP) likely to be particularly susceptible. This systematic review examined social, political, and geographic factors associated with eco-anxiety among CYP. We searched 11 databases, Google Scholar and pre-print servers up to August 2024, and citations to January 2025. Quality was assessed using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT). 69 studies (42 quantitative, 16 qualitative, 11 mixed-methods) were included in the narrative synthesis. Most studies used non-probability sampling, limiting generalisability, and were from the Global North. Findings were grouped into three categories of determinants: social (age, gender, media exposure, socioeconomic and educational contexts, intergenerational relations, peer and cultural norms), political (distrust, government inaction, individual views, and participation), and geographic (exposure to environmental hazards, cross-country differences, urban or rural residence). Further study is needed to understand how eco-anxiety develops and varies globally, particularly regarding how social, political and geographic factors intersect, and its impacts on mental health and wellbeing.

Climate change is leading to a range of cumulative, interconnected and escalating effects on global health.[1,2] The associated health impacts include shifts in the incidence and distribution of vector-borne diseases, heat-related morbidity and mortality linked to extreme weather, amongst others[3]. Climate change disproportionately affects children and young people (CYP), who are projected to experience between two and seven times more extreme climatic events over their lifetimes, compared to older generations[4]. These effects are expected to be most severe in the Global South[4]. In particular, under Paris Agreement commitments, a child born in 2020 is estimated to face seven times the risk of extreme heatwaves and twice the risk of wildfire exposure compared to a person born in 1960, and this risk is even higher for children living in poverty and high climate risk regions[4–6]. These stressors are likely to have severe consequences for physical health, but also mental health and wellbeing[6]. Despite this, children's mental health is almost invisible within national adaptation policies on climate change[7].

Climate change impacts mental health via direct, indirect and overarching pathways[8,9]. Direct effects arise from exposure to extreme weather events, such as heatwaves[10], and slower-onset changes, such as drought.[11] Indirect effects may occur via disruptions to social, economic and other determinants of mental health, such as food insecurity, displacement and interruptions to education[8]. Additionally, the anticipation, awareness and understanding of climate change can also affect mental wellbeing, even among people without firsthand experience of climate-related events[12]. This overarching psychological burden may particularly affect CYP, who are increasingly exposed to educational content and media coverage concerning climate change and the lack of sufficient policy action[13,14]. Adolescence, a developmental period marked by heightened interest in global and environmental issues,[13] also coincides with the onset of many common mental health disorders.[15] Therefore it is essential to support CYP in developing adaptive coping strategies to manage the psychological impacts of climate awareness and related environmental concerns.

A range of terms – such as climate worry, eco-anxiety, climate anxiety, solastalgia and ecological grief – have emerged to describe the psychological responses to

PRE-PRINT

climate and environmental changes, predominantly within Western contexts[9,14,16]. Worry, reflects apprehensive thoughts and emotional discomfort related to perceived future threats or anticipated negative outcomes[17,18]. Although often regarded as a negative cognitive-emotional state, worry can serve an adaptive function by motivating individuals to take preparatory or protective action[19,20]. In relation to climate change, climate worry is typically characterised by verbal-linguistic processing (rather than visual imagery) focused on current or potential changes in the climate system and the anticipated consequences[9,21]. Importantly, climate worry has been related to increased engagement in pro-environmental behaviours[22,23]. However, when worry becomes persistent, difficult to control and repetitive, it may signal the presence of anxiety[17,21]. Eco-anxiety encompasses a broader range of distressing emotions linked to environmental degradation, including – but not limited to – climate change, and reflects concern over the numerous and intersecting threats posed by the ecological crisis[24]. Climate anxiety, considered a subset of eco-anxiety, specifically denotes psychological distress related to the climate crisis[9].

Several psychometric instruments have been developed to assess levels of eco- and climate anxiety, including the Climate Change Anxiety Scale (CCAS)[16] and Hogg Eco-Anxiety Scale (HEAS)[25]. The CCAS comprises four dimensions: cognitive-emotional impairment (e.g. experiencing nightmares about climate change), functional impairment (e.g. concerns about climate change that interfere with daily responsibilities - such as work or school), behavioural engagement (e.g. taking actions like recycling or consuming less meat), and personal experience (e.g. being directly affected by climate-related events)[16]. The HEAS also comprises four dimensions - affective symptoms, rumination, behavioural symptoms and anxiety related to personal impacts - but adopts a broader scope by incorporating concerns about a wider range of environmental issues beyond climate change, such as species extinction, pollution and deforestation[25]. High or chronic levels of eco-anxiety could lead to emotional fatigue or psychological burnout, affecting capacity to engage constructively with environmental challenges or participate in collective climate action[26], however research is still in its infancy. A systematic review of 12 studies examining the health implications of eco-anxiety found the evidence base to be of limited methodological quality, but associations with functional impairment, symptoms of depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), stress,

PRE-PRINT

insomnia, and poorer self-rated mental health were suggested.[27] A meta-analysis of 25 studies assessing the relationship between climate anxiety (restricted to studies using the CCAS) and wellbeing also demonstrated a moderate negative correlation, that may be stronger among people with a stronger environmental identity[28]. Climate worry has also been associated with future risk of clinical levels of anxiety among a large sample of adults across Europe[29]. Identifying and understanding the diverse factors that contribute to eco-anxiety is essential to formulate comprehensive strategies to support psychological wellbeing and encourage engagement in constructive environmental action.

The emergence and intensity of eco-anxiety is likely to be shaped by a complex interplay of individual, social, political and environmental factors[9,30]. A social-ecological approach can help to understand the various factors that may contribute to eco-anxiety among CYP[30]. Disparities in vulnerability to climate change and differential exposure to environmental threats across sociodemographic groups may contribute to unequal experiences of eco-anxiety. Disadvantaged communities, particularly those residing in regions disproportionately affected by environmental hazards, often experience poorer mental health outcomes - especially in the aftermath of extreme weather events[31,32] - due to limited adaptive resources and heightened exposure to adverse social and economic determinants of health. However, little research has examined whether these groups also experience correspondingly high levels of eco-anxiety. Indeed, critiques of the concept highlight the individualised and depoliticised mainstream approach to eco-anxiety, suggesting it represents a “privileged anxiety”[33,34], dominated by white experiences[35], mainly from the Global North[9].

Although eco-anxiety has attracted increasing global attention across media discourse[36], clinical settings[37,38], and academic research[28,39,40], there remains a notable gap in understanding the various social, political, and geographic determinants that influence its development and persistence among CYP. Existing literature reviews have focused on defining and measuring eco-anxiety among CYP[41], primarily within the Global North setting, or exploring its psychological impacts[13,42], with relatively limited investigation into the broader contextual determinants shaping its expression in younger populations[30]. This review therefore

PRE-PRINT

seeks to address this gap by synthesising evidence from a wide range of studies to provide a comprehensive overview of the factors influencing eco-anxiety experiences among CYP.

Methods

The study used a systematic review methodology and took a narrative synthesis approach to analyse literature focused on the determinants of eco-anxiety among CYP. The review is reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines[43]. The review design was guided by the PECO framework: **P**opulation included children and young people aged under 25 years; **E**xposure related to social, political, and geographic determinants; **C**omparator included different levels of the determinant being studied (e.g. for gender: young woman, man, or other gender); **O**utcome was eco-anxiety. The systematic review was registered on the PROSPERO database (ID=CRD42023440162, updated in October 2024 to broaden searches and inclusion criteria).

Eligibility criteria

Studies were eligible for inclusion if they investigated eco-anxiety among children and/or young people, defined as aged under 25 years, consistent with World Health Organization and United Nations classifcations[44]. Studies in which the majority of participants were aged under 25 years (or the median age of participants was <25 years), or where subgroup analyses allowed for extraction of data specific to this age group, were also considered for inclusion. All empirical study designs were considered, including quantitative, qualitative, mixed-method studies, and systematic reviews and meta-analyses, provided they included human participants.

To be included, studies had to contain information on the potential social, political or geographic determinants of eco-anxiety. This encompassed quantitative studies that assessed associations between specific variables (e.g. gender, political orientation, or country of residence) and eco-anxiety, as well as qualitative studies where such factors emerged as relevant themes. Studies that assessed the level or prevalence of eco-anxiety in a particular country or group, or which validated an appropriate measurement tool were also considered for eligibility. Outcome measures included

PRE-PRINT

eco- or climate anxiety, operationalised as anxiety, worry, fear, or psychological distress in relation to environmental issues or climate change. Studies conducted in any geographic location or setting were eligible, but only English language studies were included.

Articles were excluded if they did not report original research (e.g. narrative reviews, opinion pieces, or commentaries), did not include human participants, or examined populations predominantly aged 25 years and over without providing disaggregated data for CYP. Studies assessing general mental health outcomes (e.g. generalized anxiety or depressive symptoms) in relation to extreme weather or environmental changes without consideration of eco-anxiety were also excluded. Studies published in a language other than English were not considered for inclusion.

Search strategy

A systematic search of electronic databases was conducted during August 2024. A librarian at the University of Glasgow was consulted for advice on the search strategy. Searches (title and abstracts) were performed using EBSCOhost which included APA PsycArticles, APA PsycInfo, Child Development & Adolescent Studies, CINAHL, EconLit, GreenFILE, Health Source: Nursing/Academic Edition, Psychology and Behavioral Sciences Collection, and SocINDEX. Additional searches were conducted via Ovid MEDLINE (no limiters) and Web of Science (title and abstracts). Google Scholar (first 10 pages of results) was also used to search for relevant grey literature (e.g. reports). Relevant unpublished academic articles were searched for using pre-print servers (MedRxiv and PsyArxiv). Backward and forward citation searches were also performed to ensure completeness in the search process up to January 2025. An example of the search performed in MEDLINE is included in Appendix 1. The search terms were as follows: ("eco-anxiety", “ecoanxiety”, "climate anxiety", “climate change anxiety”, "environmental anxiety", "fear of climate change", "eco-distress", “ecological stress”, "climate-related stress", “climate distress”, “climate worry”, “climate concern”, "environmental worry", “environmental concern”, “environmental distress”, “climate emotions”, “ecological emotions”) AND (“child”, “children”, “youth”, “young people”, “teenagers”, “teens”, “adolescence”, “juvenile”, “youngster”, “adolescent”, “minor”, “kid”). Searches were not limited by date of publication or language.

PRE-PRINT

Study selection

Search results were exported to Covidence and duplicate articles removed. Zotero was used to manage references. Articles were first screened from titles and abstracts within Covidence, using the inclusion and exclusion criteria to eliminate irrelevant articles. The remaining articles were screened based on their full texts and reasons for exclusion were recorded. Two reviewers (CLN and SVK) independently conducted title and abstract screening, followed by full-text screening. Conflicts were resolved through discussion.

Data extraction

Study characteristics (authors, title, publication year, country, location/setting, sample size, sampling strategy, dates of data collection), study design (e.g. quantitative, qualitative, mixed-method, systematic review, meta-analysis, observational or experimental, cross-sectional or longitudinal), participant characteristics (e.g. age, gender, ethnicity, socioeconomic position), social determinants of eco-anxiety (e.g. gender, education level, income), geographic determinants of eco-anxiety (e.g. country, exposure to climate-related events), political determinants of eco-anxiety (e.g. voting preference), outcome (e.g. eco-anxiety, climate anxiety, climate worry), outcome measurement tool used (CCAS, HEAS), analysis approach, key findings and limitations were extracted from each included study. Under key findings we also extracted summary measures for eco-anxiety if the study reported a mean, percentage, or similar summary statistic. We used the Artificial Intelligence (AI) tool Elicit (elicit.com) to facilitate data extraction[45,46], the results of which were exported into Excel and thoroughly checked by CLN, and further data extraction was performed manually by CLN where required (e.g. from supplementary material). Studies which were unable to be extracted via Elicit (N=1[47]) were manually extracted by CLN. SVK completed data extraction for a random 10% sample and all data extracted were further checked by SMK.

Quality appraisal

Given the diverse range of study designs included, the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT)[48,49] was selected as the most appropriate quality assessment instrument. The MMAT assesses the quality of qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-

PRE-PRINT

method studies, focusing on five core methodological quality criteria. For example, qualitative studies are assessed using the following questions: 1) Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?; 2) Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?; 3) Are the findings adequately derived from the data?; 4) Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?; 5) Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?[48]. To provide a crude overall quality score, 'yes' answers were scored as 1, and 'no' or 'can't tell' were scored as 0. The scores for each item were summed to provide an overall quality score ranging from 0 to 5, with those scoring 3 or more classified as moderate to high quality. Quality appraisal was conducted manually by CLN (checked by SMK), recorded in an Excel spreadsheet and a random 10% sample was independently completed by SVK. Alongside the quality appraisal, the type of article was also noted (e.g. peer-reviewed journal article, dissertation, pre-print, conference abstract).

Data synthesis

The data synthesis involved an iterative process of summarising and analysing the quantitative and qualitative findings from included studies using the data extraction spreadsheets, re-reading the articles, and noting key themes, statistics and quotations. A narrative synthesis approach was employed to interpret and integrate the evidence on the factors associated with eco-anxiety. Relevant guidelines for narrative synthesis were consulted to improve transparency[50]. The narrative synthesis involved identifying common themes, patterns, and trends across studies. These themes were categorised based on social, political and geographic determinants, allowing for the organisation and comparison of findings. Further subgroup themes were identified within the overall themes, some of which were pre-defined based on previous literature – for example, age and gender. Others emerged from the synthesis, for example, if more than one study highlighted the theme. The limitations of the included studies and heterogeneity in the findings were noted, paying attention to contradictory results. Synthesised findings were presented in a narrative format, supported by appropriate tables and quotations. The authors confirm that all data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Results:

Study selection:

The database searches yielded a total of 11,782 results. 99 articles were also identified from other sources (e.g. citations and grey literature searches). After removing 1309 duplicates, 10,572 titles and abstracts were screened for inclusion. Following title and abstract screening, 217 articles were screened using the full texts. Ultimately, 69 met the eligibility criteria after excluding 148 full texts (Fig. 1 provides full details of the search process and reasons for exclusion).

[Figure 1 here: PRISMA diagram]

PRE-PRINT

Study characteristics:

Sixty-nine articles were included in the systematic review (summarised in Table 1). All selected studies were published between 1995 and 2024, with a notable increase from around 2021. There were 42 quantitative, 16 qualitative and 11 mixed-method studies. Of the quantitative studies, most were cross-sectional, with only two studies identified as longitudinal[51,52]. The sample size included within studies varied from seven participants in a qualitative study examining eco-anxiety experiences and coping strategies[53], to 139,941 in a cross-sectional study of Norwegian school pupils[54]. In terms of geographic location, there was a higher representation of countries from the Global North like Australia, the United Kingdom, Canada, Sweden and the USA compared to those from the Global South. Only two studies that focused on a single country were identified from Africa, these were from Tanzania[55] and Kenya[56]. Only one study was identified concentrating on a country from South East Asia, which was based within the Philippines[57], and very few were from East Asia (China[58]), and South America (Brazil[59]). Amongst the cross-national studies included, again the Global South was underrepresented, with the USA and European countries, particularly the United Kingdom represented in the greatest number of studies[20,60–62].

[Table 1 here: Summary of included studies]

Study quality was variable, with the MMAT checklist score ranging from 0 to 5, with an average of 3 (full results of the quality appraisal can be found in Appendix 2). Across all studies, most adopted convenience, snowball or quota sampling, but a few quantitative studies included samples which were believed to be nationally representative of the general population, based on probability sampling[20,54,63].
The absence of a standard measure of eco-anxiety led to a variety of measurement approaches being implemented within quantitative studies. In studies that used a validated scale, the Hogg Eco-Anxiety Scale[25], Climate Change Anxiety Scale[16], Climate Change Worry Scale[21] and Reser's (2012) Climate Distress Scale[64] were used. In other studies, which tended to be older studies published before validated measures were developed, the authors created their own questions[47,65], which were often simple single-item measures. A notable trend was the use of online methods

PRE-PRINT

for data collection, both for online surveys and qualitative interviews, perhaps reflecting that data collection for many recent studies tended to take place during or after the COVID-19 pandemic period. Most quantitative studies involved survey data collection, with a few analysing secondary data of an existing dataset[20,51,55,66]. A couple of studies included an experimental component which tested the effect of media exposure on eco-anxiety[58,67]. A notable limitation across all studies was the lack of ethnic diversity and inclusion of Indigenous groups[60,68–70], as well as the over-representation of people from more advantaged socioeconomic backgrounds[59,69] and young women[67,71–76].

Amongst the qualitative and mixed-method studies, data were collected via focus groups[59,76–79], in-depth interviews[53,68,70,72,78,80–88], open-ended survey questions[69,71,75,89,90], participatory action research[91,92], auto-photography[72], diary[93,94], drawing[81,94], participant observation[94] and Q sorts[78] methods. Particular limitations concerning the mixed-method studies were the small sample size of the quantitative components[69,85], and the lack of analysis of the divergencies and inconsistencies between the quantitative and qualitative findings. The qualitative studies included also sometimes lacked detail on their analysis approaches[68,83,91].

Synthesis of findings

The analysis identified several themes within the three categories of determinants contributing to experiences of eco-anxiety (Table 2). Social determinants included factors such as age, cohort and developmental stage, gender, media exposure, intergenerational relations, peer and cultural norms, and socioeconomic and educational contexts. Political determinants encompassed government and institutional inaction, distrust and feeling of betrayal, and political views, action and participation. Geographic determinants captured the influence of place-based experiences, including direct exposure to environmental hazards, cross-country differences, and urban–rural distinctions. Each overarching set of determinants is discussed in detail below (further details in Appendix 3).

PRE-PRINT

[Table 2 here: Subthemes within the categories of social, political and geographic determinants of eco-anxiety]

Social determinants

Age, cohort and developmental stage

The findings from the reviewed studies underscored age and developmental stage as key determinants of eco-anxiety. A consistent pattern emerged across multiple studies showing that adolescents and young adults reported heightened levels of climate-related worry, eco-anxiety, and distress[95]. Several studies documented that late adolescents and young adults often engage more deeply with climate issues, with this engagement intensifying as their cognitive and emotional capacities mature[65,80,89]. This pattern was reinforced within longitudinal studies, which demonstrated an age-related increase in climate change worry from 15 to 21 years, indicating that as individuals aged, their perception of environmental threats became more acute[52]. The eight-year longitudinal study conducted by Sciberras and Fernando (2022) found that as age increased from 10-11 years up to 18-19 years increasing levels of climate change-related worry were observed[51]. Similarly, in their longitudinal study, Prati et al (2022) observed that climate change worry increased from age 15 years to around 23-25 years, after which a small decrease was observed[52]. Metsäranta (2021) found eco-anxiety was experienced more strongly among people aged 24-29 years compared to those aged 15-23 years in a sample of Finnish young people[96]. Similar findings were also observed by Prencipe et al (2023), who found older youth in Tanzania reported more climate change distress[55], and by Donati et al (2024) who found climate worry was higher among older adolescents than younger adolescents[97].

However, a cross-national study across 23 countries mostly in Europe found little difference in climate worry between age groups[20], but differences between countries were not explored. In a Norwegian study of people aged 13-19 years, those aged 15-16 years were more likely to be worried about climate change, but the relationship with age was non-linear, decreasing among those aged 18-19 years[54]. In a mixed-methods study focusing on Swedish children and young adults, Ojala (2021) noted

PRE-PRINT

climate worry was stronger among adolescents (aged around 16-17 years) and young adults (aged around 20-25 years), compared to children (aged around 11-12 years)[89]. In a qualitative study by Chou et al (2023), the authors noted that children aged around 11-12 years may experience more climate-related distress compared to younger children as they develop and become more able to envisage a hypothetical future[59]. These results suggest that eco-anxiety may not be uniformly distributed across age groups, but may instead be shaped by psychological development, making adolescence a particularly critical period.

Gender

Consistent gender differences in the experience of eco-anxiety were found, with most studies suggesting that young women and girls express higher eco-anxiety levels compared to other genders[16,20,54–56,65,97–103]. Leonhardt et al. (2022) found that adolescent girls were more likely to experience eco-anxiety than boys: 14% of girls reported being very worried about climate change, compared to 7% of boys, and 28% of boys reported being not worried at all, compared to 10% of girls, in their study including 128,484 participants[54]. In their logistic regression models adjusted for sociodemographic factors and leisure activities, girls had 2.60 (95% CI: 2.53–2.67) higher odds of eco-anxiety compared to boys, and this association persisted after further adjustment for mental health and health behaviours. In a study including 2,652 high school pupils in Kenya, young women were more likely to report being afraid of climate change compared to men (42.3% compared to 33.8%)[56]. This gender disparity was also found within several other studies, with a few exceptions.

Comparing climate activists with non-climate activists in Turkey, Ediz and Yanik (2023) found no notable differences in climate change anxiety between genders[104]. Hill-Harding et al. (2024) found no apparent differences between genders for most results in their study of students at a large UK university[75]. However, overall findings suggest gender differences in eco-anxiety emerge early and generally persist across developmental stages and different country contexts. Parsons et al. (2024) found that even among a relatively gender progressive country, young women in New Zealand often felt greater sense of responsibility for pro-climate action compared to men, which is linked to the general feminisation of care practices.

PRE-PRINT

"is the emotional and psycho-social burden[s] of caring [for the environment] and [concern about] climate change falls to a huge extent onto women… [This results in] negative impacts [for me and] a lot of women's psyches, like carrying this burden. I think about [how I can] help fix [the climate challenges faced by] underprivileged women in other countries as well. (Izadora, FG1)", p1451[76].

Ethnicity, race and migration

Very few studies reported on ethnic differences in eco-anxiety and studies generally lacked adequate representation of ethnic minority groups. One study found that students from ethnic minority groups reported lower levels of eco-anxiety compared to those from the white majority group in a study within English secondary schools[99]. A Swedish study found no difference in climate change worry when comparing people from Swedish and foreign national backgrounds[100]. A number of studies included people from Māori and other ethnic minority groups in Aotearoa (New Zealand), suggesting these group may be particularly affected by eco-anxiety[68,69,88].

Socioeconomic context:

Several studies highlighted the socioeconomic and educational contexts as potential influential factors for eco-anxiety, but findings differed depending on the aspect of socioeconomic position studied (e.g. education level, occupation, or income) and scale (e.g. individual, parental, household, or area-level). According to Leonhardt et al. (2022), adolescents who perceived their family's financial situation as good had lower risk of being worried about climate change, compared to those who perceived their financial situation as poor, in the large Norwegian study[54]. They also found that the level of parental education was consistently associated with eco-anxiety, compared to those with no higher education, young people whose parents had higher education had 1.57 (95 CI: 1.52–1.61) higher odds of being worried about climate change[54], which was also found in several other studies, including within Sweden[100], Portugal[105] and Tanzania[55]. In a study based in Australia, those living in more disadvantaged areas (according to the Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage) had higher odds of experiencing eco-anxiety compared to those living in more advantaged areas[95]. Among UK residents, higher socioeconomic status (measured by the Family Affluence Scale[106]) was associated with higher levels of

PRE-PRINT

eco-anxiety[90], however, another study found that young adults in an unfavourable financial situation had higher odds of experiencing stress related to the climate crisis in Poland[103].

Chou et al. (2023) elaborated in their qualitative study using focus groups with participants aged 5-18 years in three areas of Brazil[59], that the socioeconomic context shapes awareness and engagement with climate change:

> *"There are two types of rich and poor people who deal with this situation: the rich ones either don't care, they only think about money – or are informed and try to do as much as possible, because they have the money for it. There are two types of poor people, who either have no place to find information – or they have information, but they don't have money to afford organic food (12,F)",* p260[59].

Further, they found that the groups who were more aware and engaged with climate change issues belonged to the wealthier social classes and experienced more eco-anxiety[59]. This group comprised children and adolescents whose parents were more engaged in environmental action, or who attended private schools which had climate change integrated into their curricula. The influence of parental occupation was also noted by a participant in another qualitative study, who noted that their mother was a journalist and felt well-informed by them[59]. Working in extreme temperatures was also linked with climate change distress in a Tanzanian study[55], but there was a general lack of studies examining occupational influences. Another study highlighted how youth climate activists from privileged backgrounds had greater access to emotional and social resources to navigate eco-anxiety, further highlighting inequality in resilience and response capacity[68]. Other studies noted the educational context and that students enrolled in environment-related courses tended to report higher levels of eco-anxiety[83,107–109]. However, these cross-sectional studies do not imply a causal relationship since students likely self-select based on their concern about the environment.

Media exposure

Media exposure emerged in several studies as a potential determinant of eco-anxiety[58,67,71,81,82,85,96,107,110,111]. In multiple qualitative studies, increased exposure to climate-related news, especially through social and mass media, was mentioned as

PRE-PRINT

a key theme. Studies highlighted that media allow the distribution of helpful information which can inform people about environmental issues[80], but it can also intensify feelings of helplessness, especially when messaging is fear-based or lacks hopeful framing[111,112].The frequency of media use and attention given to climate change news were key predictors of climate anxiety in a study based in the USA, with media exposure variables explaining around a third of the variance in climate anxiety scores[111].

Many studies mentioned social media as an important factor affecting how children and young people experience eco-anxiety[51,54,71,85]. A mixed-method study by Gunasiri et al. (2022[b]) including 46 participants aged 18-24 years in Australia found that negative stories about climate change shared on social media can contribute to feelings of hopelessness, guilt, shame and anxiety, which can be overwhelming[85].

> *"I do get a lot of climate anxiety when I read the stuff about how June was the hottest month, I'm like yeah I can't do anything about that. [Interview participant 7]",* p6[85].

On the other hand, social media was also reported to have a positive role, providing a platform for young people's voices and demonstrating action:

> *"You can be speaking to people on the other side of the globe that you'd never interact with at any other point in your life and you're able to build a community and a network... ", p7*[85].

In another Australian study by Sciberras and Fernando (2022), greater societal engagement, including consumption of news relating to international affairs, was related to high and increasing levels of climate-related worry over time[51]. A study across eight countries also found that participants reported increased climate anxiety due to social media coverage[71]. In contrast, the large cross-sectional Norwegian study by Leonhardt et al. (2022) found that individuals who expressed higher levels of concern about climate change tended to spend less time using social media[54]. Despite some variation, the overall pattern suggests that media can act as both a conduit for climate awareness and action, as well as a vector for psychological burden.

<u>Intergenerational relations</u>

PRE-PRINT

A few studies indicated that intergenerational relations, including trust in older generations and worry about future generations, are potentially related to eco-anxiety[53,72,77,79,108]. Boyd et al. (2024) highlighted a 'generational misalignment', where youth report frustration and distress arising from perceived climate inaction by older generations[79]. This lack of trust in adults' willingness or ability to mitigate climate threats contributed to feelings of betrayal and powerlessness among young people with pre-existing mental health problems in Australia[79]. Frustration at the burden of trying to fix previous generations' actions was similarly highlighted in another qualitative study[70,77].

> *"Yeah, I'm also angry at previous generation because actually, it's us, it's us who have to live with this. . . Like people who are 70 will die in like 30 or 20 years even, you know. . . it's me who is 11 years old that will live in 40 years, 50 years, 60 years. . . Probably I'm going to live that again and again because others who are 70 years old today will have passed away when I'll be 70 years old. . . But I will be there, I will see everything that degrades."– Participant 15, 11 years old,* P10[70]*.*

Smith et al. (2023) also documented how young women expressed eco-anxiety in the context of contemplating future family planning, citing concerns about the environmental legacy being inherited from previous generations[72]. These findings suggested that diminished intergenerational trust may exacerbate eco-anxiety, but also influence life choices and outlooks.[108]

> *"I mainly think about my own children that I want to have in the future [...] And, you know, since I feel like they will be close to me because they are my family, I feel like I'm already worried about them. And these children don't even exist and this future that doesn't even exist. But it's something that worries me the most. I think about my future family living in a world with climate change." (Participant 7, female, 23)", P34*[53]

<u>Peer and cultural norms</u>

The influence of peer and cultural norms emerged as correlates of eco-anxiety in some studies[47,51,65,77]. Thomas et al. (2022) found that young people often described their climate-related emotions as shaped not only by personal experiences, but by the social environments in which they are embedded, including peer interactions and broader cultural narratives[77]. Cultural expectations regarding environmental

PRE-PRINT

responsibility, particularly in collectivist or activist-oriented communities, may heighten pressure to respond emotionally or behaviourally to the climate crisis. Sciberras and Fernando (2021) reported that individual worry profiles may be influenced by shared social and cultural contexts, where normative beliefs about climate change can either validate or suppress eco-anxiety[51]. In a qualitative study using participatory action methods, young people also highlighted the stigma surrounding climate-related concern and the unwillingness to talk about these issues in countries like Jamacia (where some older people considered extreme weather to be a normal occurrence), but also in the UK (where it has been considered a taboo subject)[91]. They also mentioned struggling with whether to discuss their concerns with family, friends and colleagues. A culture of denial was also a found to be a source of eco-anxiety in a small mixed-method study among adolescents participating in environmental groups at the University of Vermont, Canada[82]. Being 'shamed' by peers, being belittled and experiencing derision related to their interest in climate issues was highlighted by several participants in a small study based within a Welsh school:

> *"I was made fun of and called Greta Thunberg for speaking out loud for defending my opinion. I know for a fact that I am not the first one, and sadly will not be the last one to experience it... I believe that is the school's duty to stop shaming people for their beliefs and start to give better education. (Sara, YP5, 2166-2174)",* P80[113].

Political determinants

Distrust

Studies consistently revealed concern from CYP regarding their lack of inclusion and representation in decision-making processes and their lack of trust in government and institutions, which can exacerbate eco-anxiety[62,69,87,90,91,114]. The cross-sectional study by Hickman et al. (2021) with data from 10,000 young people across ten countries found that participants felt more betrayal (highest in Brazil, India and the Philippines) than reassurance towards the government and that children believed government responses to the climate crisis have been inadequate, which correlated with climate anxiety and distress[62]. Barnes (2022) found that participants expressed pervasive distrust in government actors, associating this with heightened existential

PRE-PRINT

concern and hopelessness about the future[83]. Similar sentiments were reported by Thomas et al. (2022), where US participants described disappointment and anger toward political leaders as intensifying their eco-anxiety[77] and in a study focused on Australia exploring CYP's emotional responses to climate change.

> *"We have a few more Independent politicians in Parliament now […] so I think that is a positive… I feel more hopeful, but the trust is still low due to the previous government when nothing happened. (Young person, age 24).", P9*[115]

Other studies further elaborated that CYP often perceive political leaders as unresponsive or indifferent to the urgency of the climate crisis, resulting in frustration, alienation, and a diminished sense of agency[59,77,87,91,92]. These studies suggest that eco-anxiety is not merely a reaction to environmental degradation, but also a response to political systems perceived as inadequate or disingenuous in addressing the crisis.

<u>Government and institutional inaction:</u>

Government and institutional inaction was identified as a recurring theme contributing to eco-anxiety. The large cross-sectional study by Hickman et al. (2021), highlighted that young people's negative perceptions of governmental responses to climate change were associated with increased levels of distress[116]. Moreover, government inaction was frequently mentioned to be intertwined with political interests and industry lobbying, exemplified by Myers et al. (2022) in an Australian study, which highlighted young people's frustration and anger as they witnessed fossil fuel industries influencing policymaking and distributing misinformation[88]. This hindered effective climate action leading to reduced trust and contributing to eco-anxiety, especially when young people felt their concerns were being dismissed. These findings were corroborated in another study by Boyd et al. (2024)

> *"They're really letting us down, like, [the Prime Minister] is putting so much money towards fossil fuels. When we really need to focus on the environment at the moment, because what's the point of making money if you're not going to have a planet? You know those politicians who are meant to oversee the stuff, they're in their like, 60s, 70s. So by the time it actually hits really hard, a lot of them will probably not be here anymore. So it's a bit discouraging as well. Like, it's sort of been relegated to us. (Client8).", P1027*[79]

PRE-PRINT

Several studies revealed that perceived governmental inaction, lack of transparency, and symbolic rather than substantive climate policies erode public confidence and contribute to emotional distress[53,59,68,72,79,82–85,91,95,117]. Hill-Harding et al. (2024) examined students' emotional responses to climate change among university students in the UK and also found that perceptions of inadequate institutional responses, in this case the university, can exacerbate eco-anxiety[75].

Political views, participation and actions:

The literature consistently highlighted other political factors may shape eco-anxiety, including political views and participation. Parsons et al. (2024) found that youth engaged in climate activism frequently expressed both empowerment and psychological strain, highlighting the emotional weight of taking on political responsibility when institutional responses are seen as inadequate[76]. Sciberras and Fernando (2022) found that adolescents with consistently high or increasing climate change-related worry were more politically engaged[51]. Gunasiri et al. (2022) similarly found that participants who took climate action reported higher levels of worry, but that there were also positive benefits (e.g. feeling more in control)[85] and in other studies the development of a social network which validated their identity was highlighted[80]. Some studies also noted concern around the potential repercussions of protest involvement[88]. Other studies also noted a more liberal or left-leaning political orientation was associated with higher eco-anxiety among CYP[83,111].

Geographic determinants

Direct exposure to environmental hazards:

The direct experience of climate-related events (e.g. wildfire) was highlighted in several studies that explored CYP's personal experiences[57,59,73,85,88,91,118]. A cross-sectional study by Vercammen et al. (2023) in the USA reported that individuals who had direct experience of climate change had higher mean scores for climate distress and eco-anxiety compared to those who had not encountered such impacts, even when taking into account age, gender, education level, family affluence, urban/rural residence and ethnicity[118]. The cross-sectional study conducted by Lykins et al. (2023) investigated Australian youth mental health in the aftermath of the Black Summer Bushfires during 2019/20, highlighting the mental health impact of localised

PRE-PRINT

events related to climate change on the individuals affected directly[73]. Young people directly exposed to the bushfires experienced higher levels of climate change distress and concern compared to those who were not directly exposed. The study found that the proximity of the bushfire event, whether in terms of physical distance, social, or temporal aspects, did not appear to have an impact on anxiety levels[73]. Simon et al. (2023) similarly found that young people living in the Philippines, where many people constantly experience first-hand the effects of climate change-related typhoons and droughts, were prone to experiencing eco-anxiety, but this also motivated them to take climate action[57].

Qualitative research in New Zealand highlighted the potential impact of living in a coastal community, where one participant saw first-hand the erosion of the foreshore on his journey to school[88]. Chou et al. (2023) also highlighted that having family members impacted by climate-related events, such as flooding, led to feelings of fear amongst some participants in a qualitative study based in Brazil[59]. People living in low-lying countries, such as the Philippines and Jamacia, reported experiencing despair that their homelands may cease to exist in the future due to sea-level rise and flooding[91]. Hearing the rain was also highlighted as a trigger for eco-anxiety among those affected by flooding in an Australian study focusing on young people with mental health problems[79].

> *"And at night time that rain, for me, it was triggering. It was like, 'Are we going to be flooded'? You know, I'm safe, but it still doesn't help you not think about it. Think about others and yeah, animals, wildlife, all those things that are put out of place. I think that affects a lot of people too. (Client7)." P1028* [79]

<u>Cross-country differences</u>

The study by Hickman et al. (2021) suggested that the climate vulnerability of regions may be an important geographic determinant of eco-anxiety, with notable cross-country differeces[116]. Surveying 10,000 CYP across ten countries—including both high-risk and less-affected regions—the study found that youth living in areas more vulnerable to climate impacts (e.g. Philippines and India) reported higher levels of climate-related worry and distress[62], compared to countries such as Finland and France. In another multi-country study, Lau et al. (2024) explored differences in emotional engagement with climate change including climate anxiety, finding that

PRE-PRINT

China had the highest levels, compared to Portugal, South Africa, United Kingdom and the USA[61]. Other cross-national studies found few differences between levels of climate worry between countries[65,119]. Differences in measurement and scoring approaches used across quantitative studies made it difficult to synthesise results from single country studies, therefore we focused on the multi-country studies (see Appendix 4 for full table of results). However, these are also limited by the lack of nationally representative samples.

Urban-rural differences

Potential differences in the manifestation of eco-anxiety were found based on the urban or rural residence of the individual. For example, Strife et al. (2012) conducted interviews with urban American children who expressed heightened environmental anxiety, influenced by their exposure to urban environmental degradation[81]. In contrast, studies such Ndetei et al. (2024) suggested that youth living in rural or semi-urban regions may experience eco-anxiety differently, with their concerns more closely tied to direct interactions with the natural environment and localised climate impacts[56,104]. Young people living in rural areas were found to experience a higher level of climate worry compared to those living in urban areas of Kenya[56]. Chou et al. (2023) also identified regional disparities in Brazilian children's climate awareness, emphasising that urban access to information and activism differed from rural lived experiences[59]. Adolescents living in urban areas of Norway were more prone to eco-anxiety, compared to those living in rural areas[54]. Similarly, Prati et al. (2022) found living in the countryside to be negatively correlated with climate change worry[52].

Discussion

This systematic review synthesised 69 studies exploring the determinants of eco-anxiety in children and young people. While we found a range of factors to be related to eco-anxiety, including social (age and developmental stage, gender, socioeconomic context, media exposure, peer and cultural norms, and intergenerational relations), political (distrust, government inaction, and individual views and participation), and geographic (direct experience of environmental hazards, cross-country differences, and urban-rural status), the review also identifies

PRE-PRINT

a number of significant gaps in the literature and the overall lack of methodological quality affecting the evidence base.

The review highlighted the importance of age, with eco-anxiety generally increasing throughout adolescence and peaking in early adulthood[52]. This trajectory appears to reflect developmental changes in cognitive, emotional, and moral reasoning. Further exploration of the longitudinal development of eco-anxiety throughout the life course is needed to fully understand age and developmental trajectories, as well as differentiating these patterns from secular trends in an evolving climate. Gender also emerged as a strong correlate, with young women and girls more likely to report eco-anxiety across a number of diverse contexts. Stigma and societal norms relating to emotional expressiveness and empathy might partly account for women and girls exhibiting a greater tendency for heightened eco-anxiety[59,71]. Socioeconomic context presented a more complex picture, with both higher and lower socioeconomic position linked to increased eco-anxiety, likely through different mechanisms—awareness and exposure, respectively. Political determinants, particularly government inaction, were consistently reported as correlates of climate distress[116]. Many studies highlighted how a lack of trust in leadership and intergenerational inequity contributes to perceptions of helplessness, betrayal, and existential worry. At the same time, youth agency and activism emerged as both a response to eco-anxiety and a coping strategy, though one that carries emotional burdens. Geographically, the experience of eco-anxiety seems heightened in climate-vulnerable regions and among populations directly exposed to environmental hazards, such as wildfires[73,116] and flooding[79]. Urban–rural differences were observed, but varied by country[52,54,120].

There was a general lack of diversity in the countries included, with several studies from Australia, Europe and the USA, but very few including populations living in Africa, Asia and South America. Further research is needed from countries in the Global South to understand the applicability of eco-anxiety among different cultures and to fully explore differences between countries and cultures. There was an important gap concerning research which linked aspects of the environment, such as air pollution, flood risk, and temperature, to experiences of eco-anxiety. While this review covered a diverse range of determinants, evidence on specific factors, such

PRE-PRINT

as ethnicity and migration status, were lacking, limiting the depth of synthesis for some aspects. It should also be highlighted that studies sometimes mentioned other factors which were not fully investigated, such as religiosity, spirituality[84], and sexual orientation[103]. Most studies also recruited participants using online methods, which likely excludes those without internet access, such as people who live in more remote areas and Indigenous groups who may be at higher risk from climate change impacts. Studies frequently used non-probability sampling, limiting generalisability and the ability to adequately compare differences in prevalence between countries. Mixed-method studies were of generally of poorer quality, particularly relating to their quantitative components. A key gap is the lack of studies which took an intersectional approach, there is therefore a need to examine the potentially compounded risks experienced by those with multiple disadvantages.

This systematic review has several strengths that build on existing reviews[13,41,42,121]. The comprehensive search process covered several interdisciplinary databases and included relevant studies across various contexts and populations. Including qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-method studies further enriched the depth of the review. Moreover, we assessed the quality of studies using the MMAT toolkit, but there may be some limitations with this approach and the quality appraisal process is inherently subjective[48]. However, most previous reviews have not assessed the quality of existing studies[13,42,121]. We included only studies that were published in English, which may have introduced bias, potentially omitting valuable insights from non-English language literature. Heterogeneity in the definition and measurement of eco-anxiety limited the ability to conduct meta-analysis and made comparisons between studies challenging. There is generally a lack of conceptual clarity around eco-anxiety and related terms, therefore there is a chance we may have overlooked studies that used other similar terms. Furthermore, our interest was in exploring the determinants of eco-anxiety, rather than attempting to establish causal relationships. This reflects the relatively early development of the evidence base, the need to understand who is most affected and to identify factors that warrant further investigation. Finally, while efforts were made to identify grey literature, language and publication biases may have influenced the pool of included studies. The narrative synthesis is also somewhat influenced by the authors' biases and subjective interpretations.

PRE-PRINT

A significant research gap pertains to the long-term impacts of eco-anxiety on the mental health and wellbeing of CYP. There is limited research on how early-life eco-anxiety influences later psychological trajectories, coping strategies, and decision-making behaviours over time. There is a need for longitudinal studies that track eco-anxiety during youth into adulthood, currently limited by the lack of available data and measurement tools. The limited exploration of effective coping mechanisms for CYP to manage their eco-anxiety, may hinder the development of strategies to mitigate its potential adverse impacts. Furthermore, research is needed to explore the influence of ethnicity, race and migration status and its intersection with other factors such as gender, education and income. Studies that explore environmental and geographic factors, like living in urban, rural or coastal settings, are also needed to understand eco-anxiety experiences in different places.

Our review underscores the need for climate adaptation and mitigation policies that centre the experiences and future of CYP. Young people increasingly report feeling like their views are not being heard and that they lack a say in important decisions which will affect them the most.[116] This can foster feelings of disempowerment and hopelessness. Political leaders can take important steps towards more inclusive and equitable decision making. Reform of voting systems which often exclude young people is one way in which CYP could feel more empowered[122,123]. Teachers, health professionals and parents/carers, amongst others, could more actively encourage civic engagement among young people, whilst also taking effective action themselves[124].

To conclude, this systematic review demonstrates that eco-anxiety among CYP is likely shaped by a range of social, political, and geographic determinants. While experiences of eco-anxiety vary across contexts, certain groups—particularly adolescents, young women, individuals living in climate-vulnerable regions, and those exposed to government inaction or intense negative media coverage—may be particularly affected. The review highlights the need for consistent, validated measures to assess eco-anxiety, as well as greater representation of populations within the Global South and generally more survey data which are nationally representative. It also underscores the importance of examining eco-anxiety not only

PRE-PRINT

as an individual psychological response, but as a reflection of broader systemic failures, including political inaction, social inequality, and environmental injustice. Managing eco-anxiety likely requires a dual approach: enhancing individual coping and resilience through education, support, and open dialogue, while simultaneously advancing structural changes that restore trust, promote intergenerational equity, and meaningful involvement of CYP in political decisions. As the climate crisis continues to evolve, understanding and addressing the emotional dimensions of its impact especially for younger generations must be a key priority for researchers, policymakers, educators, and mental health practitioners.

Data availability

This is a systematic review study based on findings in published literature and did not involve analysis of newly generated data. All data extracted are available in the online appendix.

Code Availability

This systematic review did not generate any new code.

PRE-PRINT

References

1. Burrows, K. & Fussell, E. A life course epidemiology approach to climate extremes and human health. *The Lancet Planetary Health* **6**, e549–e550 (2022).
2. Romanello, M. *et al.* The 2024 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: facing record-breaking threats from delayed action. *The Lancet* **404**, 1847–1896 (2024).
3. Sheffield, P. E. & Landrigan, P. J. Global climate change and children's health: threats and strategies for prevention. *Environ Health Perspect* **119**, 291–298 (2011).
4. Thiery, W. *et al.* Intergenerational inequities in exposure to climate extremes. *Science* **374**, 158–160 (2021).
5. Ryan, E., Wakefield, J. & Luthen, S. Born into the climate crisis: Why we must act now to secure children's rights. 2021. *Save the Children (International)*.
6. Save the Children. *Born into the Climate Crisis 2: An Unprecedented Life: Protecting Children's Rights in a Changing Climate*. (2025).
7. Zangerl, K. E. *et al.* Child health prioritisation in national adaptation policies on climate change: a policy document analysis across 160 countries. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* **8**, 532–544 (2024).
8. Hayes, K., Blashki, G., Wiseman, J., Burke, S. & Reifels, L. Climate change and mental health: risks, impacts and priority actions. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems* **12**, 28 (2018).
9. Niedzwiedz, C. L. *et al.* Coming to terms with climate change: a glossary for climate change impacts on mental health and well-being. *J Epidemiol Community Health* **79**, 295 (2025).

PRE-PRINT

10. Thompson, R. *et al.* Ambient temperature and mental health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Planetary Health* **7**, e580–e589 (2023).

11. Burrows, K. *et al.* A systematic review of the effects of chronic, slow-onset climate change on mental health. *Nature Mental Health* (2024) doi:10.1038/s44220-023-00170-5.

12. Fritze, J. G., Blashki, G. A., Burke, S. & Wiseman, J. Hope, despair and transformation: Climate change and the promotion of mental health and wellbeing. *Int J Ment Health Syst* **2**, 13 (2008).

13. Martin, G., Reilly, K., Everitt, H. & Gilliland, J. A. Review: The impact of climate change awareness on children's mental well-being and negative emotions – a scoping review. *Child & Adolescent Mental Health* **27**, 59–72 (2022).

14. Lawrance, E. L., Thompson, R., Newberry Le Vay, J., Page, L. & Jennings, N. The Impact of Climate Change on Mental Health and Emotional Wellbeing: A Narrative Review of Current Evidence, and its Implications. *International Review of Psychiatry* **34**, 443–498 (2022).

15. Gore, F. M. *et al.* Global burden of disease in young people aged 10–24 years: a systematic analysis. *The Lancet* **377**, 2093–2102 (2011).

16. Clayton, S. & Karazsia, B. T. Development and validation of a measure of climate change anxiety. *Journal of Environmental Psychology* **69**, (2020).

17. Soutar, C. & Wand, A. P. F. Understanding the Spectrum of Anxiety Responses to Climate Change: A Systematic Review of the Qualitative Literature. *International journal of environmental research and public health* **19**, (2022).

PRE-PRINT

18. Borkovec, T. D., Ray, W. J. & Stober, J. Worry: A Cognitive Phenomenon Intimately Linked to Affective, Physiological, and Interpersonal Behavioral Processes. *Cognitive Therapy and Research* **22**, 561–576 (1998).

19. Sweeny, K. & Dooley, M. D. The surprising upsides of worry. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* **11**, e12311 (2017).

20. Martin, G., Roswell, T. & Cosma, A. Exploring the relationships between worry about climate change, belief about personal responsibility, and mental wellbeing among adolescents and young adults. *Wellbeing, Space and Society* **6**, 100198 (2024).

21. Stewart, A. E. Psychometric Properties of the Climate Change Worry Scale. *International journal of environmental research and public health* **18**, (2021).

22. Ojala, M., Cunsolo, A., Ogunbode, C. A. & Middleton, J. Anxiety, Worry, and Grief in a Time of Environmental and Climate Crisis: A Narrative Review. *Annual Review of Environment & Resources* **46**, 35–58 (2021).

23. Ogunbode, C. A. *et al.* Climate anxiety, wellbeing and pro-environmental action: Correlates of negative emotional responses to climate change in 32 countries. *Journal of Environmental Psychology* **84**, 1–14 (2022).

24. Pihkala, P. Climate anxiety. Helsinki: MIELI Mental Health Finland. (2019).

25. Hogg, T. L., Stanley, S. K., O'Brien, L. V., Wilson, M. S. & Watsford, C. R. The Hogg Eco-Anxiety Scale: Development and validation of a multidimensional scale. *Global Environmental Change Part A: Human & Policy Dimensions* **71**, N.PAG-N.PAG (2021).

26. Brophy, H., Olson, J. & Paul, P. Eco-anxiety in youth: An integrative literature review. *International journal of mental health nursing* **32**, 633–661 (2023).

PRE-PRINT

27. Boluda-Verdú, I., Senent-Valero, M., Casas-Escolano, M., Matijasevich, A. & Pastor-Valero, M. Fear for the future: Eco-anxiety and health implications, a systematic review. *Journal of Environmental Psychology* **84**, 1–17 (2022).

28. Gago, T., Sargisson, R. J. & Milfont, T. L. A meta-analysis on the relationship between climate anxiety and wellbeing. *Journal of Environmental Psychology* **94**, 102230 (2024).

29. Collery, A & Niedzwiedz, CL. Climate change worry and the association with future depression and anxiety: cross-national analysis of 11 European countries. *BMJ Mental Health* **28**, e301318 (2025).

30. Crandon, T. J., Scott, J. G., Charlson, F. J. & Thomas, H. J. A social–ecological perspective on climate anxiety in children and adolescents. *Nature Climate Change* **12**, 123–131 (2022).

31. Benevolenza, M. A. & DeRigne, L. The impact of climate change and natural disasters on vulnerable populations: A systematic review of literature. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment* **29**, 266–281 (2019).

32. Sharpe, I. & Davison, C. M. Climate change, climate-related disasters and mental disorder in low- and middle-income countries: a scoping review. *BMJ Open* **11**, e051908 (2021).

33. Hall, K. Q. Toward a Cripistemology of Eco-Anxiety. *Journal of Literary & Cultural Disability Studies* **18**, 173–189 (2024).

34. Davidson, J. P. The politics of eco-anxiety: Anthropocene dread from depoliticisation to repoliticisation. *The Anthropocene Review* 20530196231211854 (2023).

35. Verlie, B. Feeling climate injustice: Affective climate violence, greenhouse gaslighting and the whiteness of climate anxiety. *Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space* 25148486241252734 (2024).

PRE-PRINT

36. Gregory, A. Eco-anxiety': fear of environmental doom weighs on young people. *The Guardian* **6**, (2021).

37. Budziszewska, M. & Jonsson, S. E. Talking about climate change and eco-anxiety in psychotherapy: A qualitative analysis of patients' experiences. *Psychotherapy* **59**, 606–615 (2022).

38. Hickman, C. We need to (find a way to) talk about ... Eco-anxiety. *Journal of Social Work Practice* **34**, 411–424 (2020).

39. Pearson, H. The rise of eco-anxiety: scientists wake up to the mental-health toll of climate change. *Nature* **628**, 256–258 (2024).

40. Whitlock, J. Climate change anxiety in young people. *Nature Mental Health* **1**, 297–298 (2023).

41. Martin, G. *et al.* Measuring negative emotional responses to climate change among young people in survey research: A systematic review. *Social Science & Medicine* **329**, 116008 (2023).

42. Léger-Goodes, T. *et al.* Eco-anxiety in children: A scoping review of the mental health impacts of the awareness of climate change. *Frontiers in psychology* **13**, 872544 (2022).

43. Page, M. J. *et al.* The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *bmj* **372**, (2021).

44. World Health Organization. *Programming for Adolescent Health and Development: Report of a WHO/UNFPA/UNICEF Study Group on Programming for Adolescent Health*. (World Health Organization, 1999).

45. Bernard, N. *et al.* Using artificial intelligence for systematic review: the example of elicit. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* **25**, 75 (2025).

PRE-PRINT

46. Whitfield, S. & and Hofmann, M. A. Elicit: AI literature review research assistant. *Public Services Quarterly* **19**, 201–207 (2023).

47. Szagun, G. & Pavlov, V. Environmental awareness: A comparative study of German and Russian adolescents. *Youth & Society* **27**, 93–112 (1995).

48. Hong, Q. N. *et al.* The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. *Education for Information* **34**, 285–291 (2018).

49. Hong, Q. N. *et al.* Mixed methods appraisal tool (MMAT), version 2018. *Registration of copyright* **1148552**, 1–7 (2018).

50. Popay, J. *et al.* Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in systematic reviews. *A product from the ESRC methods programme Version* **1**, b92 (2006).

51. Sciberras, E. & Fernando, J. W. Climate change-related worry among Australian adolescents: an eight-year longitudinal study. *Child Adolesc Ment Health* **27**, 22–29 (2022).

52. Prati, G., Tzankova, I., Albanesi, C. & Cicognani, E. Longitudinal Predictors of Perceived Climate Change Importance and Worry among Italian Youths: A Machine Learning Approach. *Sustainability* **14**, (2022).

53. Valle, L. M. I. Exploring Eco-anxiety: Experiences and Coping Strategies among Young People. (2023).

54. Leonhardt, M., Granrud, M. D., Bonsaksen, T. & Lien, L. Associations between Mental Health, Lifestyle Factors and Worries about Climate Change in Norwegian Adolescents. *International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health [Electronic Resource]* **19**, 07 (2022).

PRE-PRINT

55. Prencipe, L., Houweling, T. A. J., van Lenthe, F. J., Kajula, L. & Palermo, T. Climate distress, climate-sensitive risk factors, and mental health among Tanzanian youth: a cross-sectional study. *The lancet. Planetary Health* **7**, e877–e887 (2023).

56. Ndetei, D. M. *et al.* The perceived impact of climate change on mental health and suicidality in Kenyan high school students. *BMC Psychiatry* **24**, 117 (2024).

57. Simon, P. D., Pakingan, K. A. & Aruta, J. J. B. R. Measurement of climate change anxiety and its mediating effect between experience of climate change and mitigation actions of Filipino youth. *The Educational and Developmental Psychologist* **39**, 17–27 (2022).

58. Shao, L. & Yu, G. Media coverage of climate change, eco-anxiety and pro-environmental behavior: Experimental evidence and the resilience paradox. *Journal of Environmental Psychology* **91**, 102130 (2023).

59. Chou, D. T., Abelama Neto, E., Thomas, I., Martin, A. & Benoit, L. Climate awareness, anxiety, and actions among youth: a qualitative study in a middle-income country. *Revista Brasileira de Psiquiatria* **45**, 258–267 (2023).

60. Thomas, I., Martin, A., Wicker, A. & Benoit, L. Understanding youths' concerns about climate change: a binational qualitative study of ecological burden and resilience. *Child & Adolescent Psychiatry & Mental Health [Electronic Resource]* **16**, 110 (2022).

61. Lau, S. S. S. *et al.* Emotional responses and psychological health among young people amid climate change, Fukushima's radioactive water release, and wars in Ukraine and the Middle East, and the mediating roles of media exposure and nature connectedness: a cross-national analysis. *Lancet Planetary Health* **8**, e365–e377 (2024).

62. Hickman, C. *et al.* Climate anxiety in children and young people and their beliefs about government responses to climate change: a global survey. *The lancet. Planetary Health* **5**, e863–e873 (2021).

PRE-PRINT

63. Hagquist, C. Worry and Psychosomatic Problems Among Adolescents in Sweden in the Wake of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Unequal Patterns Among Sociodemographic Groups? *Journal of Adolescent Health* **72**, 688–695 (2023).

64. Reser, J. P., Bradley, G. L., Glendon, A. I., Ellul, M. C. & Callaghan, R. *Public Risk Perceptions, Understandings and Responses to Climate Change and Natural Disasters in Australia, 2010 and 2011*. (National Climate Change Adaptation Research Facility Gold Coast, 2012).

65. Hokka, P. *et al.* Anxiety about environmental hazards among teenagers in Helsinki, Moscow and Tallinn. *Science of the Total Environment* **234**, 95–107 (1999).

66. Clayton, S. Climate anxiety: Psychological responses to climate change. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders* **74**, (2020).

67. Loll, L., Schmatz, N., von Lonski, L., Cremer, L. D. & Richter, M. H. The influence of climate crisis-related media reporting on the eco-anxiety of individuals. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Environmental and Science Education* **19**, e2306 (2023).

68. Bright, M. L. & Eames, C. From apathy through anxiety to action: emotions as motivators for youth climate strike leaders. *Australian Journal of Environmental Education* **38**, 13–25 (2022).

69. Hathaway, J. Exploring Eco-Anxiety with Young Adolescents in Aotearoa, New Zealand: A Mixed Method Study. *openrepository.aut.ac.nz* (2022).

70. Leger-Goodes, T. *et al.* How children make sense of climate change: A descriptive qualitative study of eco-anxiety in parent-child dyads. *PLoS ONE [Electronic Resource]* **18**, e0284774 (2023).

PRE-PRINT

71. Parry, S., McCarthy, S. R. & Clark, J. Young people's engagement with climate change issues through digital media – a content analysis. *Child & Adolescent Mental Health* **27**, 30–38 (2022).

72. Smith, D. M., Sales, J., Williams, A. & Munro, S. Pregnancy intentions of young women in Canada in the era of climate change: a qualitative auto-photography study. *BMC public health* **23**, 766 (2023).

73. Lykins, A. D. *et al.* Australian Youth Mental Health and Climate Change Concern After the Black Summer Bushfires. *Ecohealth* **20**, 3–8 (2023).

74. Vercammen, A., Oswald, T. & Lawrance, E. Psycho-social factors associated with climate distress, hope and behavioural intentions in young UK residents. *PLOS Global Public Health* **3**, e0001938 (2023).

75. Hill-Harding, C., Barsalou, L. & Papies, E. K. Students' emotional experiences with climate change and how universities can help. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/nu76j (2024).

76. Parsons, M., Bhor, G. & Crease, R. P. Everyday youth climate politics and performances of climate citizenship in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Environment and Planning E-Nature and Space* (2023) doi:10.1177/25148486231208205.

77. Thomas, I., Martin, A., Wicker, A. & Benoit, L. Understanding youths' concerns about climate change: a binational qualitative study of ecological burden and resilience. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health* **16**, 110 (2022).

78. Lee, R. How are young people in the UK experiencing and engaging with concerns around climate change? (2023).

79. Boyd, C. P. *et al.* Eco-anxiety among regional Australian youth with mental health problems: A qualitative study. *Early intervention in psychiatry* **22**, 22 (2024).

PRE-PRINT

80. Ojala, M. Confronting macrosocial worries: Worry about environmental problems and proactive coping among a group of young volunteers. *Futures* **39**, 729–745 (2007).

81. Strife, S. J. Children's Environmental Concerns: Expressing Ecophobia. *Journal of Environmental Education* **43**, 37–54 (2012).

82. Coppola, I. G. Eco-Anxiety in "the Climate Generation": Is Action an Antidote? (2021).

83. Barnes, B. Eco-Anxiety: Exploring Existential Threats and Ontological Security among University Students. (2022).

84. Feick, M. When Action Feels Meaningless: How Spirituality and Eco-Anxiety Correspond. A mixed-methods research among youth living in the Netherlands.

85. Gunasiri, H. *et al.* Hope, Coping and Eco-Anxiety: Young People's Mental Health in a Climate-Impacted Australia. *International journal of environmental research and public health* **19**, (2022).

86. Sarrasin, O., Henry, J. L. A., Masserey, C. & Graff, F. The Relationships between Adolescents' Climate Anxiety, Efficacy Beliefs, Group Dynamics, and Pro-Environmental Behavioral Intentions after a Group-Based Environmental Education Intervention. *Youth (2673-995X)* **2**, 422–440 (2022).

87. Russell, T. A 'greenhouse affect'? Exploring young Australians' emotional responses to climate change. *Climatic Change* **177**, 75 (2024).

88. Myers, T. Fighting Back: Youth and Climate Change Resistance in Aotearoa/New Zealand. *openaccess.wgtn.ac.nz* (2022).

89. Ojala, M. Regulating Worry, Promoting Hope: How Do Children, Adolescents, and Young Adults Cope with Climate Change?. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education* **7**, 537–561 (2012).

PRE-PRINT

90. Vercammen, A., Oswald, T. & Lawrance, E. Psycho-social factors associated with climate distress, hope and behavioural intentions in young UK residents. *PLOS Global Public Health* **3**, e0001938 (2023).

91. Diffey, J. *et al.* 'Not about us without us' – the feelings and hopes of climate-concerned young people around the world. *International Review of Psychiatry* **34**, 499–509 (2022).

92. Togneri, H. From "powerless and alone" to finding "all the great people who care": a co-operative inquiry with young people exploring eco-anxiety and constructive ways of coping. (2022).

93. Mathers-Jones, J. & Todd, J. Ecological anxiety and pro-environmental behaviour: the role of attention. *Journal of anxiety disorders* **98**, 102745 (2023).

94. Jones, V. & Whitehouse, S. ' It Makes Me Angry. REALLY Angry': Exploring Emotional Responses to Climate Change Education. *Journal of Social Science Education* **20**, 93–119 (2021).

95. Patrick, R. *et al.* Prevalence and determinants of mental health related to climate change in Australia. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* **57**, 710–724 (2023).

96. Metsäranta, V. Eco-anxiety and it´ s link to the everyday life choices of young Finns in 2020. (2021).

97. Donati, M. A., Santisi, S., Di Leonardo, L. & Primi, C. How to measure climate change worry in adolescents? Psychometric properties of the Climate Change Worry Scale. *International Journal of Behavioral Development* (2024) doi:10.1177/01650254241266119.

PRE-PRINT

98. Ramirez-Lopez, A. S., Rosetti, M. F. & Poma, A. Gender, Exposure to News, Knowledge About Climate Change, and Prosociality Predict Climate Anxiety Scores in Mexican Students. *Ecopsychology* **15**, 184–192 (2023).

99. Finnegan, W. Educating for hope and action competence: a study of secondary school students and teachers in England. *Environmental Education Research* **29**, 1617–1636 (2023).

100. Hagquist, C. Worry and Psychosomatic Problems Among Adolescents in Sweden in the Wake of the COVID-19 Pandemic: Unequal Patterns Among Sociodemographic Groups? *Journal of Adolescent Health* **72**, 688–695 (2023).

101. Usta, G. Determining The Climate Change Anxiety Levels of University Students. *Üniversite Öğrencilerinin İklim Değişikliği Endişe Düzeylerinin Belirlenmesi.* 159–167 (2023) doi:10.52642/susbed.1282642.

102. Geraci, A. *et al.* Psychological dimensions associated with youth engagement in climate change issues: a person-centered approach. *Current Psychology* **43**, 25836–25846 (2024).

103. Kalwak, W. *et al.* Young adults from disadvantaged groups experience more stress and deterioration in mental health associated with polycrisis. *Scientific Reports* **14**, 8757 (2024).

104. Ediz, C. & Yanik, D. The effects of climate change awareness on mental health: Comparison of climate anxiety and hopelessness levels in Turkish youth. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry* **69**, 2157–2166 (2023).

105. Sampaio, F. *et al.* Validating a measure for eco-anxiety in Portuguese young adults and exploring its associations with environmental action. *BMC Public Health* **23**, 1905 (2023).

PRE-PRINT

106. Hartley, J. E., Levin, K. & Currie, C. A new version of the HBSC family affluence scale-FAS III: Scottish qualitative findings from the international FAS development study. *Child indicators research* **9**, 233–245 (2016).

107. Ramírez-López, A. S., Rosetti, M. F. & Poma, A. Gender, Exposure to News, Knowledge About Climate Change, and Prosociality Predict Climate Anxiety Scores in Mexican Students. *Ecopsychology* **15**, 184–192 (2023).

108. Daeninck, C., Kioupi, V. & Vercammen, A. Climate anxiety, coping strategies and planning for the future in environmental degree students in the UK. *Frontiers in Psychology* **14**, (2023).

109. Kenstler, M. & Shelley, G. Love of Nature in the Time of Climate Change: Environmental Science Undergraduates Report Higher Levels of Nature-Relatedness and Eco-Anxiety but Not Resilience. *Ecopsychology* **16**, 200–208 (2024).

110. Romano, L. *et al.* Adolescents' Climate Anxiety Is Related to Participation in Pro-Environmental Movements Through Social Media Usage: Boys Show Greater Associations Than Girls. *Ecopsychology* **16**, 158–171 (2024).

111. Maduneme, E. Some Slice of Climate Anxiety… Is Good: A Cross-Sectional Survey Exploring the Relationship Between College Students Media Exposure and Perceptions About Climate Change. *Journal of Health Communication* 1–12 (2024).

112. Lau, S. S. S. *et al.* Emotional responses and psychological health among young people amid climate change, Fukushima's radioactive water release, and wars in Ukraine and the Middle East, and the mediating roles of media exposure and nature connectedness: a cross-national analysis. *The Lancet Planetary Health* **8**, e365–e377 (2024).

PRE-PRINT

113. Togneri, H. From ‘Powerless and Alone’ to Finding ‘All the Great People Who Care’ : a Co-Operative Inquiry with Young People Exploring Eco-Anxiety and Constructive Ways of Coping. (2022).

114. Galway, L. P. & Field, E. Climate emotions and anxiety among young people in Canada: A national survey and call to action. *The Journal of Climate Change and Health* **9**, 100204 (2023).

115. Russell, T. A ‘greenhouse affect’? Exploring young Australians’ emotional responses to climate change. *Climatic Change* **177**, (2024).

116. Hickman, C. *et al.* Climate anxiety in children and young people and their beliefs about government responses to climate change: a global survey. *The Lancet. Planetary health* **5**, e863–e873 (2021).

117. Lawrance, E. L. *et al.* Psychological responses, mental health, and sense of agency for the dual challenges of climate change and the COVID-19 pandemic in young people in the UK: an online survey study. *The Lancet Planetary Health* **6**, e726–e738 (2022).

118. Vercammen, A., Wray, B., Crider, Y. S., Belkin, G. & Lawrance, E. Eco-anxiety and the influence of climate change on future planning is greater for young US residents with direct exposure to climate impacts. Available at Research Square [https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2698675/v1]. Preprint at (2023).

119. Rizeq, J. & Ojala, M. Climate Worry and Hope Have Different Associations with Well-being and Climate-Friendly Behaviors across Young People from Sweden and Qatar. *Ecopsychology* (2024) doi:10.1089/eco.2024.0002.

120. Ndetei, D. M. *et al.* The perceived impact of climate change on mental health and suicidality in Kenyan high school students. *BMC Psychiatry* **24**, 117 (2024).

PRE-PRINT

121. Ma, T., Moore, J. & Cleary, A. Climate change impacts on the mental health and wellbeing of young people: A scoping review of risk and protective factors. *Social Science & Medicine* **301**, 114888 (2022).

122. Ribeiro, N., Malafaia, C. & Ferreira, T. Lowering the voting age to 16: Young people making a case for political education in fostering voting competencies. *Education, Citizenship and Social* **18**, 327–343 (2023).

123. Huebner, C. How Young People in Scotland Experience the Right to Vote at 16: Evidence on 'Votes-at-16' in Scotland from Qualitative Work with Young People. *Parliamentary Affairs* **74**, 563–580 (2021).

124. Korich, F. & Fields, E. L. Civic Engagement to Empower Young People to Impact Health and Well-Being. *Pediatrics* **151**, e2022057267H (2023).

125. Gunasiri, H. *et al.* Young people's mental health in a changing climate. *The Lancet Planetary Health* **6**, S1 (2022).

126. Wullenkord, M. C. & Ojala, M. Climate-change worry among two cohorts of late adolescents: Exploring macro and micro worries, coping, and relations to climate engagement, pessimism, and well-being. *Journal of Environmental Psychology* **90**, (2023).

127. Qin, Z., Wu, Q., Bi, C., Deng, Y. & Hu, Q. The relationship between climate change anxiety and pro-environmental behavior in adolescents: the mediating role of future self-continuity and the moderating role of green self-efficacy. *BMC Psychology* **12**, 241 (2024).

128. Jylhä, K. M., Ojala, M., Odisho, S. & Riise, A. Climate-friendly food-choice intentions among emerging adults: extending the theory of planned behavior with objective ambivalence, climate-change worry and optimism. *Frontiers in Psychology* **14**, (2023).

PRE-PRINT

129. Zimmermann, G. *et al.* 'Bringing Children in a Burning World?' The Role of Climate Anxiety and Threat Perceptions in Childbearing Motivations of Emerging Adults in Switzerland. *Emerging Adulthood* (2024) doi:10.1177/21676968241258270.

130. Feick, M. When Action Feels Meaningless: How Spirituality and Eco-Anxiety Correspond. A mixed-methods research among youth living in the Netherlands. (2022).

131. Samuels, M. N. *Climate Change Anxiety Impact on Choosing Natural Science: A Direct Logistic Regression Analysis*. (2023).

132. Lee, R. How are young people in the UK experiencing and engaging with concerns around climate change? (2023).

133. Hill-Harding, C., Barsalou, L. & Papies, E. K. Students' emotional experiences with climate change and how universities can help. (2024).

134. Sarrasin, O., Henry, J. L. A., Masserey, C. & Graff, F. The Relationships between Adolescents' Climate Anxiety, Efficacy Beliefs, Group Dynamics, and Pro-Environmental Behavioral Intentions after a Group-Based Environmental Education Intervention. *Youth (2673-995X)* **2**, 422–440 (2022).

135. Galway, L. P. & Field, E. Climate emotions and anxiety among young people in Canada: a national survey and call to action. *The Journal of Climate Change and Health* **9**, (2023).

136. Chiw, A. & Ling, H. S. Young people of Australia and climate change: Perceptions and concerns. *Millennium Kids* 1–31 (2019).

137. Simon, P. D., Pakingan, K. A. & Aruta, J. J. B. R. Measurement of climate change anxiety and its mediating effect between experience of climate change and mitigation actions of Filipino youth. *Educational and Developmental Psychologist* **39**, 17–27 (2022).

PRE-PRINT

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

No specific funding supported this study.

PRE-PRINT



Figure 1: PRISMA flowchart

Table 2: Subthemes within the categories of social, political and geographic determinants of eco-anxiety

Category	Subthemes	Explanation	
Social Determinants	Age, cohort & developmental Stage	Vulnerability due to identity formation, future uncertainty	△[47], ▲[65], ●[89], △[96], ▲[51], △[125], ▲[54], ▲[52], ▲[66], △[95], △[55], ▲[101], ▲[126], ▲[97], ▲[127]
	Gender	Gender differences, potentially related to emotional labour among women and feminisation of care	△[47], ▲[65], ■[83], △[99], ▲[54], ▲[52], ▲[98], ▲[66], ▲[100], ▲[128], △[55], ▲[58], ▲[101], ●[118], ▲[120], ▲[97], △[102], ▲[103], ▲[20], ■[76], △[110], △[129]
	Ethnicity, race and migration	Structural discrimination may impact climate vulnerability and anxiety, 'whiteness' of climate anxiety	△[99], ■[88], ▲[52], ■[59]
	Socioeconomic and educational context	Limited resources reduces adaptive capacity, but knowledge increases awareness. Lack of climate education at school.	△[96], ■[83], ■[68], ○[130], ▲[54], ▲[52], ■[92], ▲[98], ■[59], △[108], △[104], ▲[100], △[55], ▲[105], ▲[131], ●[118], ▲[103], △[109], ■[115]
	Media exposure	Saturation can lead to emotional overload and constant threat perception in absence of positive narratives. Social media can help to spread information, awareness and solidarity.	■[80], ●[89], ■[81], ○[82], △[96], ▲[51], ●[85], ▲[117], ▲[54], ■[88], ○[71], ■[60], ■[92], ▲[98], ●[132], △[67], ▲[58], ■[53], ●[133], △[111], △[110], ■[115]
	Intergenerational relations	Perceived betrayal, lack of action by older generations	■[80], ■[81], ■[94], ○[130], ■[88], ■[60], ■[92], ■[72], ■[70], ■[53], ▲[79]
	Peer & cultural norms	Social approval, expression norms influence emotional coping, climate change a taboo subject	■[91], ○[134], ■[60], ■[92],
Political Determinants	Distrust & feelings of betrayal	Lack of belief and confidence in institutions and leaders, feeling betrayed	●[89], ▲[116], ■[83], ■[88], ▲[52], ■[92], ▲[135], ■[115]
	Government & institutional inaction	Inaction may increase feelings of helplessness	■[80], △[136], ○[82], ▲[116], △[96], ■[83], ■[68], ■[91], ○[84], ●[85], ○[69], ▲[117] ■[88], ■[92], ▲[135], ●[132], ■[53], ●[118], ▲[75], ●[133], ▲[79], ■[76], ■[115]
	Individual views, actions & participation	Liberal views related to climate worry. Political participation and climate activism provides outlet for anxiety but may lead to burnout	■[80], ○[82], ▲[51], ■[83], ■[68], ○[130], ○[69], ■[88], ▲[52], ■[60], △[104], ▲[79], △[111], ▲[20], ■[76], ■[115]
Geographic Determinants	Direct exposure to environmental hazards	Firsthand experience with disasters, witnessing extreme weather and degradation may trigger eco-anxiety	■[91], △[125], ■[88], ▲[137], ■[72], △[73], ■[53], ●[118], ▲[118], ▲[79]
	Cross-country differences	Eco-anxiety may be higher in regions vulnerable to climate change and due to other socio-political factors	△[47], ▲[65], ▲[116], ■[91], ■[60], ▲[66], ▲[112], ▲[119]
	Urban–rural differences	Uneven adaptation resources, education or exposure to hazards between regions	▲[54] ▲[52], ■[59], ▲[120]

Study design: ▲ Quantitative; ■ Qualitative; ● Mixed-method. Study quality: Shaded= Moderate/higher quality; Non-shaded=Lower quality.

PRE-PRINT