1

### Risk and early signs of PTSD in people indirectly exposed to October 7 events

- 2 Dan Yamin, PhD<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Shahar Lev-Ari, PhD<sup>4,\*</sup>, Merav Mofaz, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Ron Elias, Bsc<sup>1</sup>, David
- 3 Spiegel, MD<sup>5</sup>, Matan Yechezkel, MS<sup>1</sup>, Margaret L. Brandeau, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Erez Shmueli, PhD<sup>1,3,6,\*</sup>
- <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Management Science and Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA,
  USA.
- <sup>3</sup> Wizermed D.H. LTD, Zoran, Israel.
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Health Promotion, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.
- 9 <sup>5</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA,
- 10 USA.
- <sup>6</sup> MIT Media Lab, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- 12 <sup>\*</sup>Contributed equally.
- 13
- 14 Address correspondence to: Prof. Erez Shmueli, shmueli@tau.ac.il
- 15 Keywords: Wearable sensors, PTSD, Anxiety, indirect exposure, October 7 atrocities,
- 16 physiological reactions, well-being

### 17 Primary Funding source

- 18 ISRAEL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (grant no. 3409/19), within the Israel Precision Medicine
- 19 Partnership program, and Koret Foundation gift for Smart Cities and Digital Living.
- 20 Abstract word count: 239
- 21 Text word count: 2,219

#### 23 First paragraph

24 The coordinated terrorist attacks on October 7, 2023, resulted in catastrophic atrocities, and marked the beginning of the 2023 Israel-Hamas war. The overwhelming coverage 25 26 by mainstream and social media, characterized by extreme details and graphic images, 27 vividly transported viewers to the horrifying scene. It remains unclear to what extent 28 such indirect exposure influences the occurrence of stress, anxiety, and post-traumatic 29 symptoms. We analyzed data from a three-year prospective study in which 4,797 30 participants received smartwatches and completed daily questionnaires, supplemented by a nationwide clinical survey with 2,536 participants. Among the participants not 31 32 directly exposed, we estimated PTSD prevalence to be 22.9-36.0% and moderate to 33 severe anxiety prevalence to be 22.9-55.32%, with 752,057 daily questionnaires before 34 and after October 7 further indicating higher stress levels than those reported in 35 previous events, including political disputes, the COVID-19 pandemic, and past armed 36 conflicts. The occurrences of PTSD and anxiety are well explained by increased and 37 persistent news consumption, and especially by the availability of gory videos on social 38 media. Continuous monitoring of participants via smartwatches and daily 39 questionnaires further revealed considerable differences in stress, mood, step counts, 40 sleep quality, and duration in the first week after the October 7 events among those who 41 later developed PTSD. This study demonstrates the unprecedented amplifying effect of 42 mass media on mental health in terror and war settings and highlights the potential of 43 continuous monitoring for early detection and prompt treatment of those in need.

44

#### 46 Main text

On October 7, 2023, a series of attacks across Israeli municipalities resulted in significant casualties, sexual abuse, and abductions of civilians from their homes <sup>1</sup>. These atrocities not only had a visible toll, including physical destruction and loss of life, but also raised concerns about potential long-term mental health implications, such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) among civilians.

52 Early detection of PTSD is crucial, as timely interventions can significantly aid individuals in 53 coping with the initial impact and prevent the progression to chronic PTSD. Beyond certain preconditions<sup>2</sup>, two primary factors influence an individual's risk of developing PTSD: the 54 55 extent of their exposure to the trauma and their unique physiological and psychological responses in the aftermath<sup>2</sup>. Previous research indicates that indirect exposure to trauma, such 56 57 as through media, can also precipitate PTSD, although the effects may differ from those of direct exposure  $^{3-5}$ . The graphic coverage of the October 7 events on social media platforms 58 59 like Telegram and TikTok was extremely vivid and detailed, posing new challenges in 60 assessing the media's impact on PTSD. In terms of individual responses to trauma, the 'impact 61 62 involves the initial recognition and reaction to the traumatic event, though not everyone who 63 experiences this stage will necessarily develop chronic PTSD. The relationship between 64 individuals' responses during this stage and the risk of developing PTSD at later stages 65 remains an area requiring further exploration.

66 Stress response systems, both the sympathetic adrenal medullary system producing 67 epinephrine and rapid increases in heart rate and blood pressure, and the hypothalamic-68 pituitary-adrenal axis releasing increased cortisol that mobilizes blood glucose, are designed 69 for rapid response to stressors, with homeostatic mechanisms that return to relatively normal 69 levels quickly after stress is over. However, chronic or repeated stress produces a resetting of 70 response levels, termed allostasis, a new and debilitating neuroendocrine pattern <sup>6</sup>. 72 Neuroplasticity, especially in portions of the brain devoted to memory and emotion such as 73 the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus, strengthens pathways that trigger ongoing 74 physiological stress response, including hyperarousal at reminders of earlier or ongoing stressors, laying the neural groundwork for  $PTSD^{7}$ . Prior prospective studies have shown an 75 76 evolution of patterns of PTSD symptoms over time. A network analysis of populations exposed to trauma<sup>8</sup> found initial patterns of reexperiencing trauma, intrusive thoughts, and 77 78 avoidance, while a year later avoidance was associated with dysphoria, and emotional numbing was connected with social distancing<sup>8</sup>. A study of responses to the 9/11 World 79 80 Trade Center attack found that early emotional support and lack of constraints on emotional 81 expression as well as lack of cognitive negativity and self-blame predicted less emotional 82 distress six months later. Media exposure was associated with substantially higher initial distress <sup>9</sup>. These findings all point to possible avenues of management of response to 83 84 community trauma that may ameliorate emotional damage over time.

To bridge these gaps and gain insights into the early indicators of PTSD risk, our analysis encompassed data from a three-year observational prospective study of 4,797 participants. These individuals were provided with smartwatches and tasked with completing daily questionnaires using a dedicated mobile application, capturing data both before and following the events of October 7. Complementing this, we conducted a panel study including nationwide surveys involving 2,536 participants, ensuring a representative sample of the adult Jewish population in Israel.

#### 92 Unprecedented levels of stress, anxiety, and PTSD

93 On the morning of October 7, during a time usually marked by holiday calm and weekend 94 relaxation, a series of unexpected atrocities jolted the Israeli population, triggering 95 unprecedented levels of stress, anxiety, and post-trauma. The extent of this upheaval was 96 captured through detailed data from daily self-reported questionnaires and a variety of 97 physiological metrics measured by smartwatches worn by participants in our prospective

98 study (Figure 1 A-E). Specifically, over the past three years, we conducted an observational 99 prospective study in which we equipped 4,797 participants with smartwatches and a dedicated mobile application <sup>10-14</sup>. The smartwatches continuously monitored several physiological 100 101 measures, while the mobile application collected daily self-reported questionnaires on the 102 general health and well-being of each participant. Data based on 752,057 daily questionnaires 103 filled out by participants between January 1, 2021 and November 30, 2023 revealed that 104 stress levels after October 7 were unprecedented (a maximum level of 3.45, 95% CI 3.3-3.6, 105 on a scale of 1 to 5; 'very low'- 'very high') and far exceeded those observed during previous 106 events (Figure 1A). This includes the deadliest and most contagious COVID-19 wave, during 107 which 30% of the Israeli population tested positive over a short period of three months (a 108 maximum level of 2.44, 95% CI 2.4-2.48); widespread political protests sparked by a 109 controversial judicial reform, culminating in the dismissal of an Israeli minister and strikes 110 across private and public sectors (a maximum level of 2.6, 95% CI 2.54-2.66); and intense 111 military engagements, with over 1,000 rockets launched from Gaza towards multiple Israeli 112 cities (a maximum level of 2.94, 95% CI 2.83-3.05). Additionally, data comparison of a 113 routine period preceding October 7 (September 30, 2023 until October 6, 2023) and the week 114 after October 7 highlighted a marked decline in reported mood level (3.68, 95% CI 3.59-3.77 115 vs. 2.81, 95% CI 2.69-2.93, on a scale of 1 to 5); decreased physical activity, as evidenced by 116 a significant drop in daily step count (7,881, 95% CI 7,588-8,175 vs. 6,127, 95% CI 5,841-117 6,411 steps); and a distinct decrease in reported sleep quality (3.51, 95% CI 3.41-3.6 vs. 3.14, 118 95% CI 3.03-3.25, on a scale of 1 to 5) accompanied by an increase in awake time during the 119 night (594.25, 95% CI 544.84-643.66 vs. 687.35, 95% CI 628.82-745.88 seconds) (Figure 120 1B-E). As an illustrative demonstration, we found increase in heart rate and stress based on 121 heart rate variability during the first 72 hours after October 7 (Supplementary Figures S2 and 122 S3) that were comparable to the reactions we previously observed following COVID-19 123 vaccinations<sup>11</sup>.

124 Next, we evaluated the extent to which the atrocities had post-traumatic effects on those 125 indirectly exposed (Figure 1F). To do so, we conducted a Post-traumatic Stress Disorder 126 Checklist (PCL-5) survey among a panel of 2,536 participants 6-7 weeks after October 7. The 127 PCL-5 questionnaire has previously demonstrated strong internal consistency, high test-retest 128 reliability, and strong convergent validity with the gold standard PTSD diagnosis <sup>15</sup>. 129 Participants were representative of the adult Jewish population in Israel by age, sex, and 130 geographical location. Among the 2,341 participants who were indirectly exposed to the 131 atrocities, the estimated prevalence of PTSD was 22.9% (95% CI 21.19%-24.6%) using the 132 most conservative case definition, and up to 35.96% (95% CI 34.05%-37.93%) with the least 133 conservative definition. This prevalence was substantially higher than the 7.5% found 6-8 weeks after the 9/11 terror attack among New York City residents <sup>16,17</sup>. 134

Similarly, using the General Anxiety Disorder (GAD) 7-item questionnaire among those not
directly exposed, the estimated prevalence of anxiety was 55.32% (95% CI 53.23%-57.4%),

137 with 22.86% (95% CI 21.14%-24.63%) being moderate to severe.

138

139



**Figure 1:** (A) mean daily reported stress levels for the prospective study active participants for the period January 1, 2021, to November 30, 2023. The stress levels were reported by participants as part of 752,057 daily questionnaires filled out. Stress was reported on a scale of 1 (Low) - 5 (High). The presented values are the daily moving average and the associated 95% confidence interval using a 7-day window. Figures (B-E) compare the measures recorded in a routine period before October 7 (September 30, 2023, to October 6, 2023) with those from the week after. Mean values and 95% confidence intervals are presented. Figure F shows the prevalence estimates of anxiety levels using the GAD-7 questionnaire and PTSD using the PCL-5 questionnaire among the panel study participants who were indirectly exposed to the October 7 events. In all panels, the color red represents data recorded after October 7, and green represents data recorded before October 7.

141

### 142 Exposure to news and gory videos as predictors of the prevalence of PTSD

In contrast to the 9/11 terror attack, where smartphones and social media platforms were not available, the October 7 events were extensively documented in real-time through GoPro cameras and disseminated on various media platforms. We investigated the relationship between the frequency of news consumption and the number of gory videos viewed, and the prevalence of PTSD among panel participants (Figure 2). After adjusting for age, sex, socioeconomic status, and religious level, logistic regression revealed a positive correlation: higher news consumption in the first week and more gory videos viewed following October 7 were associated with an increased prevalence of PTSD (p.values 0.041 and 0.003) (Supplementary Table S3). For instance, estimated PTSD prevalence among those who did not watch any gory videos or news was 7.7%, compared to 31.7% among those who watched more than eight hours of news per day and viewed five or more gory videos during the first week after the event (Figure 2A). Among the various media platforms, Telegram presented the highest exposure rate to gory videos at 88.4%, followed by Instagram and TikTok at 59.3% and 55.4%, respectively (Figure 2B).



**Figure 2:** (A) Estimated prevalence of PTSD as a function of the number of hours spent watching news each day and the number of gory videos watched, in the first week after October 7. Adjusting for age, sex, socioeconomic status, and religious level, a logistic regression confirms that 'news consumption' and 'number of gory videos watched' are significantly correlated with PTSD prevalence (p.value 0.041 and 0.003 respectively) (Supplementary Table S3). (B) Exposure rate to gory videos by media platforms. For each media platform, we calculated the exposure rate as the number of participants who reported watching gory videos in that media platform divided by the total number of individuals who reported using that media platform.

#### 167 Greater changes in measures among those who will later develop PTSD

168 Interventions administered shortly after a traumatic event have the potential to prevent posttraumatic stress disorder <sup>18</sup>. A key challenge in delivering interventions is understanding how 169 PTSD develops in the acute post-trauma period <sup>18</sup>. Specifically, little is known about 170 171 physiological reactions occurring shortly after the trauma for those who later develop PTSD <sup>19</sup>. Focusing on the first week after the events, we found that participants who later developed 172 173 PTSD exhibited a higher increase in reported stress levels (1.31 vs. 0.85, on a 1-5 scale) and 174 a sharper decline in: reported mood level (1.28 vs. 0.82, on a 1-5 scale), physical activity as 175 manifested by step count (2155 vs. 1620 steps), reported sleep quality (0.7 vs. 0.31, on a 1-5 176 scale), and reported sleep duration (31 vs. 10 minutes) (Figure 3).



**Figure 3:** (A) Mean daily reported stress level for the prospective study participants for the period July 1, 2023 to November 30, 2023, stratified by PTSD status. Stress levels were reported by participants as part of the daily questionnaires on a scale of 1 (Low) - 5 (High). The presented values are the daily moving average and the associated 95% confidence interval using a 7-day window. Figures (B-E) compare the measures recorded in a routine period before October 7 (September 30, 2023, to October 6, 2023) with those from the week after, stratified by PTSD status. Mean values and 95% confidence intervals are presented.

#### 177 Discussion

178 In today's reality, where detailed, unfiltered, graphic, and prolonged coverage of massive 179 traumatic events is common, we find that PTSD rates among those indirectly exposed can be 180 substantially high, reaching 22.89-35.96%. These rates are exceptionally high, comparable to 181 those experienced by health professionals who encounter trauma in their professional duties  $^{20-22}$ . Specifically, the hours spent, and the number of gory videos viewed in the first week 182 183 following October 7 were associated with an increased risk. Furthermore, using information 184 from smartwatches and simple daily questionnaires, we found early signs in individuals who 185 later developed PTSD: these include a substantial increase in stress level and decline in mood 186 level, reduced activity as indicated by decreased step counts, and abnormal sleep as reflected 187 by a decline in sleep quality and duration.

188 The DSM-5's inclusion of indirect exposure to trauma as a criterion for PTSD acknowledges 189 the reality of 'secondary traumatic stress', a condition prevalent among professionals regularly 190 exposed to the aftermath of traumatic events, such as first responders and mental health 191 workers<sup>23</sup>. Highly visual social media platforms, including Telegram, Instagram, and TikTok, are gaining popularity, particularly among younger demographics <sup>24</sup>. Our study emphasizes 192 193 the profound impact that mass media's graphic portrayals of trauma can have on public mental 194 health in terror and war contexts, highlighting the public health importance of developing 195 guidelines and recommendations for posting and watching such unfiltered content. Given the 196 ubiquity of video and still photography on smartphones and other portable cameras, people 197 are now widely exposed to real images and sounds of violence, murder, sexual assault, and 198 other trauma as it occurs. Such exposure produces post-traumatic stress symptoms that are comparable to those that follow current Criterion A exposure <sup>25</sup>, prompting a need for 199 200 consideration of further expansion of exposure criteria in the DSM to include seeing such 201 images electronically and outside the context of work.

202 Few studies have explored the potential of physiological data from smartwatches in assessing 203 individual responses during the immediate aftermath of trauma. Recent study found that 204 monitoring such biometrics could predict adverse posttraumatic neuropsychiatric sequelae following traumatic stress exposure in hospital settings <sup>26</sup>. Another study demonstrated the 205 206 efficacy of detecting hyperarousal through heart rate and body acceleration data, achieving an 83% success rate in predicting PTSD onset in veterans <sup>27</sup>. Our study expands this knowledge 207 208 by revealing that civilians indirectly exposed to secondary traumatic stress via mass media 209 suffer from similar types of physiological changes in stress, sleep, and physical activity 210 during the week after such exposure and are at increased risk of developing PTSD.

211 Our findings extend current knowledge linking heightened stress levels, decreased physical activity, and sleep disturbances to the development of PTSD <sup>28-33</sup>. Further, our findings 212 213 suggest that the extent of these physiological reactions, as detected objectively via 214 smartwatches and subjectively by the individual, provides early signs from the impact phase. 215 The significance of these findings is amplified by the fact that a substantial number of 216 individuals with PTSD remain undiagnosed, despite the availability of early and personalized 217 treatments <sup>34–38</sup>. The absence of proper diagnosis and subsequent treatments can lead to more 218 severe long-term health outcomes, including persistent depressive symptoms, increased suicide attempts, higher morbidity, and premature death $^{38-40}$ . The ability to monitor and 219 220 analyze biometric data from smartwatches opens new avenues for identifying early signs of 221 PTSD at the population level, particularly in cases of indirect exposure to trauma through 222 mass media. This is crucial for timely intervention and the prevention of long-term adverse 223 mental health outcomes.

Our study has several limitations. We assumed that the traumatic event is defined by the October 7 atrocities, but the context of our PTSD prevalence estimates includes the onset of war following the October 7 events in the Gaza Strip. However, as opposed to the ongoing trauma of the civilians in Gaza, we believe that the trauma experienced by Israeli citizens primarily stems from the specific incidents on October 7. Second, though previously validated in several settings, including in Israel <sup>15,41</sup>, our prevalence estimate for PTSD is based on the
PCL-5 survey, while a gold standard diagnosis requires assessment by healthcare
professionals. Finally, the lack of longitudinal data limits our understanding of PTSD's
progression, severity, and long-term impacts. Future research should include longer follow-up
periods to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the trajectory of PTSD over time.

#### 236 Main references

- Column: Israel's Darkest Hour Casts a Shadow on the World | TIME.
   https://time.com/6321967/israel-president-isaac-herzog-hamas-attack/.
- 239 2. Ressler, K. J. *et al.* Post-traumatic stress disorder: clinical and translational
  240 neuroscience from cells to circuits. *Nature Reviews Neurology 2022 18:5* 18, 273–288
  241 (2022).
- Holman, E. A., Garfin, D. R., Lubens, P. & Silver, R. C. Media Exposure to Collective
  Trauma, Mental Health, and Functioning: Does It Matter What You See? *Clinical Psychological Science* 8, 111–124 (2020).
- 4. Galea, S. *et al.* Trends of probable post-traumatic stress disorder in New York City
  after the September 11 terrorist attacks. *Am J Epidemiol* 158, 514–524 (2003).
- 247 5. Neria, Y. & Sullivan, G. M. Understanding the Mental Health Effects of Indirect
  248 Exposure to Mass Trauma Through the Media. *JAMA*□: *the journal of the American*249 *Medical Association* **306**, 1374 (2011).
- McEwen, B. S. & Seeman, T. Protective and damaging effects of mediators of stress.
  Elaborating and testing the concepts of allostasis and allostatic load. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **896**, 30–47 (1999).
- Akil, H. & Nestler, E. J. The neurobiology of stress: Vulnerability, resilience, and
  major depression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 120, e2312662120 (2023).
- Bryant, R. A. *et al.* Acute and Chronic Posttraumatic Stress Symptoms in the
   Emergence of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder A Network Analysis. (2017)
   doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.3470.
- Butler, L. D. *et al.* Psychosocial predictors of resilience after the September 11, 2001
   terrorist attacks. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 197, 266–273 (2009).

- Mofaz, M. *et al.* Real-time sensing of war's effects on wellbeing with smartphones
  and smartwatches. *Communications Medicine 2023 3:1* 3, 1–11 (2023).
- 262 11. Yechezkel, M. *et al.* Safety of the fourth COVID-19 BNT162b2 mRNA (second booster) vaccine: a prospective and retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med* 0, (2022).
- 265 12. Mofaz, M. *et al.* Self-Reported and Physiologic Reactions to Third BNT162b2 mRNA
  266 COVID-19 (Booster) Vaccine Dose. *Emerg Infect Dis* 28, 1375 (2022).
- 267 13. Guan, G. *et al.* Higher sensitivity monitoring of reactions to COVID-19 vaccination
  268 using smartwatches. *npj Digital Medicine 2022 5:1* 5, 1–9 (2022).
- 269 14. Oved, S. *et al.* Differential effects of COVID-19 lockdowns on well-being: interaction
  270 between age, gender and chronotype. *J R Soc Interface* 18, (2021).
- Blevins, C. A., Weathers, F. W., Davis, M. T., Witte, T. K. & Domino, J. L. The
  Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5): Development and Initial
  Psychometric Evaluation. *J Trauma Stress* 28, 489–498 (2015).
- 16. Neria, Y. & Sullivan, G. M. Understanding the Mental Health Effects of Indirect
  Exposure to Mass Trauma Through the Media. *JAMA*: *the journal of the American Medical Association* **306**, 1374 (2011).
- 277 17. S, G. *et al.* Psychological sequelae of the September 11 terrorist attacks in New York
  278 City. *N Engl J Med* 346, 34 (2002).
- Price, M., Stolk-Cooke, K. van, Brier, Z. M. F. & Legrand, A. C. mHealth solutions
  for early interventions after trauma: improvements and considerations for assessment
  and intervention throughout the acute post-trauma period. *Mhealth* 4, 22–22 (2018).
- Mellman, T. A., Bustamante, V., Fins, A. I., Pigeon, W. R. & Nolan, B. REM sleep
  and the early development of posttraumatic stress disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 159, 1696–1701 (2002).

- 285 20. May, J. M., Richardi, T. M. & Barth, K. S. Dialectical behavior therapy as treatment
  286 for borderline personality disorder. *Ment Health Clin* 6, 62 (2016).
- 287 21. Figley, C. R. Compassion fatigue as secondary traumatic stress disorder: An
  288 overview. Compassion fatigue: Coping with secondary traumatic stress disorder in
  289 those who treat the traumatised (Brunner-Routledge, 1995).
- 290 22. Bride, B. E. Prevalence of secondary traumatic stress among social workers. *Soc Work*291 52, 63–70 (2007).
- 292 23. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
  293 Disorders. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (2022)
  294 doi:10.1176/APPI.BOOKS.9780890425787.
- 295 24. McCrory, E., Foulkes, L. & Viding, E. Social thinning and stress generation after
  296 childhood maltreatment: a neurocognitive social transactional model of psychiatric
  297 vulnerability. *Lancet Psychiatry* 9, 828–837 (2022).
- 298 25. Howard, J., Lorenzo-Luaces, L., Lind, C., Lakhan, P. & Rutter, L. Is a Criterion A
  299 trauma necessary to elicit posttraumatic stress symptoms? *J Psychiatr Res* (2023)
  300 doi:10.1016/J.JPSYCHIRES.2023.12.008.
- 301 26. Straus, L. D. *et al.* Utility of Wrist-Wearable Data for Assessing Pain, Sleep, and
  302 Anxiety Outcomes After Traumatic Stress Exposure. *JAMA Psychiatry* 80, 220–229
  303 (2023).
- 304 27. Sadeghi, M., McDonald, A. D. & Sasangohar, F. Posttraumatic stress disorder
  305 hyperarousal event detection using smartwatch physiological and activity data. *PLoS*306 *One* 17, (2022).
- Zhang, Y. *et al.* Sleep in posttraumatic stress disorder: A systematic review and metaanalysis of polysomnographic findings. *Sleep Med Rev* 48, (2019).

- 309 29. Gehrman, P. *et al.* Predeployment Sleep Duration and Insomnia Symptoms as Risk
  310 Factors for New-Onset Mental Health Disorders Following Military Deployment.
  311 Sleep 36, 1009–1018 (2013).
- 312 30. Hegberg, N. J., Hayes, J. P. & Hayes, S. M. Exercise Intervention in PTSD: A
  313 Narrative Review and Rationale for Implementation. *Front Psychiatry* 10, (2019).
- 314 31. Whitworth, J. W. & Ciccolo, J. T. Exercise and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder in
  315 Military Veterans: A Systematic Review. *Mil Med* 181, 953–960 (2016).
- 316 32. Vancampfort, D. *et al.* Physical fitness in people with posttraumatic stress disorder: a
  317 systematic review. *Disabil Rehabil* 39, 2461–2467 (2017).
- 318 33. Miller, K. E. & Rasmussen, A. War exposure, daily stressors, and mental health in
  319 conflict and post-conflict settings: bridging the divide between trauma-focused and
  320 psychosocial frameworks. *Soc Sci Med* 70, 7–16 (2010).
- 321 34. Smith Flowers, P., & Larkin, M., J. Interpretative phenomenological analysis: Theory,
  322 method and research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications Inc. 225 (2009).
- 323 35. GRINAGE, B. D. Diagnosis and Management of Post-traumatic Stress Disorder. *Am*324 *Fam Physician* 68, 2401–2409 (2003).
- 325 36. Meltzer, L. J., Montgomery-Downs, H. E., Insana, S. P. & Walsh, C. M. Use of
  actigraphy for assessment in pediatric sleep research. *Sleep Med Rev* 16, 463–475
  327 (2012).
- 328 37. Lecrubier, Y. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in Primary Care: A Hidden Diagnosis. J
  329 Clin Psychiatry 65, 14894 (2004).
- 330 38. Gagnon-Sanschagrin, P. *et al.* Identifying individuals with undiagnosed post-traumatic
  331 stress disorder in a large United States civilian population a machine learning
  332 approach. *BMC Psychiatry* 22, (2022).

- 333 39. Goenjian, A. K. *et al.* A prospective study of posttraumatic stress and depressive
  reactions among treated and untreated adolescents 5 years after a catastrophic disaster. *Am J Psychiatry* 162, 2302–2308 (2005).
- 40. Priebe, S. *et al.* Patients' views and readmissions 1 year after involuntary
  hospitalisation. *Br J Psychiatry* 194, 49–54 (2009).
- Raz, A. *et al.* Behavioral Self-Blame in PTSD—Etiology, Risk Factors, and Proposed
  Interventions. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*2023, Vol. 20, Page 6530 20, 6530 (2023).

341

#### 343 Methods

### 344 Study Design and Participants

### 345 Panel Study

346 For the panel study, we hired a professional survey company to recruit 2,536 participants from 347 November 1, 2023 to December 3, 2023. Participants were aged 18 years or older, and were 348 representative of the adult Israeli Jewish population by age, sex, and geographical location 349 (Supplementary appendix A). Participant recruitment was conducted through a preregistered panelists' 350 database via email. The survey company was responsible for ensuring that participants fulfilled all the 351 study's requirements. To guarantee the representativeness of the sample, invitations were 352 systematically distributed to panelists, ensuring their participation in the study through a staggered and 353 quota-based approach. For inclusion in the panel study, participants were required to be 18 years or 354 older, enrolled in the survey company's database of panelists, and willing to consent to the specified 355 terms of the study (Supplementary appendix D). Panelists were excluded if they failed to complete the 356 study requirements.

#### 357 Prospective study

The ongoing PerMed prospective observational study included 4,797 participants aged 18 years and older who were recruited starting November 1, 2020, from all across Israel <sup>1–5</sup>(Supplementary appendix A and B). As of December 6, 2023, a total of 1,751 participants remained active in the study. Participant recruitment was conducted via advertisements on social media and word-of-mouth. Each participant signed an informed consent form after receiving a comprehensive explanation of the study from a professional survey company. To be included in the PerMed study, participants needed to be 18 years or older, using their own smartphone, and able to give written informed consent by themselves. Participants were excluded if they knew that they would be outside of Israel for more than three months continuously at any point for the two years after enrolment. Participants were also excluded if they were students or employees of



the principal investigator.

### **Figure 4: Study participants.** (A) prospective study, (B) panel study.

370

371

### 372 Procedures

#### 373 Panel study

- 374 Upon enrollment, participants were provided with written information about the nature of the
- 375 study. We asked participants to complete online PTSD and anxiety surveys, focusing on
- coping with events that occurred on October 7, to detect probable cases of PTSD and anxiety
- 377 at the nationwide level. At this stage, participants were also requested to provide demographic
- 378 information, including age, sex, household size, educational background, and news
- 379 consumption behavior.

The online PTSD survey incorporates the Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Checklist (PCL-5), a self-report questionnaire designed to assess the 20 symptoms outlined in the DSM-5 criteria for PTSD. The PCL-5 serves various purposes, including screening individuals for PTSD and making provisional diagnoses <sup>6</sup>. It is widely used for clinical and research purposes; psychometrically, the PCL-5 demonstrates strong internal consistency ( $\alpha = .94$ ), high testretest reliability (r = .82), and strong convergent validity (rs = .74 to .85) <sup>6</sup>.

The survey also utilized the General Anxiety Disorder (GAD) 7 item questionnaire (GAD-7) to identify probable cases of GAD along with measuring anxiety symptom severity. The tool is also widely used as a screening measure of panic, social anxiety, and PTSD. The questionnaire is considered valid, sensitive, and specific for the diagnosis of GAD in the general population <sup>7</sup>. We used the Israeli Ministry of Health's (IMOH) Hebrew translation of these two questionnaires.

#### 392 Prospective study

Upon enrolment in the prospective study, we collected information on participants' sex, age, household income, city of residence, and religious level. After enrolment, participants used the PerMed mobile application to complete a daily questionnaire <sup>8–10</sup>. The questionnaire allowed participants to report on stress levels, mood, and sleep quality (Supplementary appendix A). Participants who were active in the study after the events on October 7, 2023, were asked to fill in an online PTSD survey eight weeks later.

Participants in the prospective cohort were equipped with Garmin Vivosmart 4 smart fitness trackers. Among other features, the smartwatch collects data for all-day heart rate, HRVbased stress, <sup>11</sup> step counts, sleep duration, and sleep-level classification, including light, deep, rapid eye movement (REM), and awake periods <sup>1</sup> (Supplementary appendix A). We focused on these measures because they provide continuous information on the well-being of an individual. Additionally, individuals diagnosed with PTSD may encounter nightmares, insomnia and often report a reduction in sleep time due to waking up earlier than desired, restlessness during the night, and difficulties falling asleep, all of which impact daily life <sup>12</sup>.
Step counts are strongly correlated with the physical activity level of an individual. Given that
PTSD involves anxiety and depression, those diagnosed with PTSD tend to be less physically
active <sup>13</sup>.

410 The smartwatch's optical wrist heart rate monitor was designed to continuously measure the 411 user's heart rate. The frequency at which the heart rate was measured varied and sometimes 412 depended on the level of activity of the user: when the user started an activity, the optical 413 heart rate monitor's measurement frequency increased. As heart rate variability (HRV) was 414 not easily accessible through Garmin's application programming interface, we used Garmin's stress level instead, which is calculated based on HRV<sup>11</sup>. Specifically, the device uses heart 415 416 rate data to determine the interval between each heartbeat. The variable length of time 417 between each heartbeat is regulated by the body's autonomic nervous system. Less variability 418 between beats correlates with higher stress levels, whereas an increase in variability indicates 419 less stress <sup>14</sup>. When examining the data collected in our study, we identified a heart rate 420 sample approximately every 15 s and a stress-based HRV sample every 180 s.

We developed a dedicated data collection platform that collects for each participant data from the smartphone sensors and daily questionnaires via the PerMed application and the smartwatch sensors via the Garmin Health API (Supplementary appendix B). These collected data are securely stored in Tel Aviv University facilities.

Participants completed a one-time enrolment questionnaire, received Garmin Vivosmart 4 smartwatches, and installed two applications on their mobile phones: (1) the PerMed application <sup>8-10</sup>, which collects daily self-reported questionnaires; and (2) an application that passively records smartwatch data. Participants were asked to wear their smartwatches as much as possible. Using a dedicated dashboard to monitor compliance, a survey company ensured that participants' questionnaires were filled at least twice a week, that their smartwatches were charged and properly worn, and that any technical problems with themobile applications or smartwatch were resolved (Supplementary appendix A).

433 We implemented several preventive measures to minimize participant attrition and discomfort 434 and thereby improve the quality, continuity, and reliability of the collected data. First, each 435 day, participants who did not fill out their daily questionnaire by 19:00h received a reminder 436 notification through the PerMed application. Second, we developed a dedicated dashboard 437 that allowed the survey company to identify participants who repeatedly neglected to 438 complete the daily questionnaire or did not wear their smartwatch for extended periods of 439 time. These participants were contacted by the survey company (either by text message or 440 phone call) and were encouraged to better adhere to the study protocol. Third, to strengthen 441 participants' engagement, a weekly summary report was generated for each participant, which 442 was available inside the PerMed application. Similarly, a monthly newsletter with recent 443 findings from published studies and useful tips regarding the smartwatch's capabilities was 444 sent to the participants. At the end of two years, participants received all the obtained personal 445 insights and could keep the smartwatch as a gift (Supplementary appendix C).

#### 446 Outcomes

For both the prospective and panel study cohorts, we analyzed the online PTSD survey
(Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary appendix D). We defined the main outcomes as
probable PTSD and anxiety levels for each participant.

For the prospective study, we analyzed step counts, sleep duration, and awake episodes during sleep from the smartwatches. In analyzing the daily questionnaires, we calculated the daily mean of reported stress, reported mood, reported sleep quality, and reported sleep duration before and after the events of October 7. For each measure, the prespecified outcomes are the daily mean value of each measurement. We calculated the daily mean value before and after the events of October 7. 456 We also analyzed heart rate and HRV-based stress from the smartwatches. For these 457 measures, we calculated the hourly mean value before and after the events of October 7.

458

#### 459 Statistical analysis

460 PTSD diagnosis requires an interview conducted by a trained clinician. As our outcome was 461 derived from a screening tool, we characterize the result as "probable PTSD". We defined 462 probable PTSD as a total score of 33 on the PCL-5 questionnaire and meeting the DSM-5 463 diagnostic rule which requires at least: 1 B item (questions 1-5), 1 C item (questions 6-7), 2 D 464 items (questions 8-14), 2 E items (questions 15-20). This definition aligns with the most 465 conservative criteria, consistent with the guidelines established by the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies<sup>15</sup> (Supplementary appendix D). In a secondary analysis, we 466 467 considered a less conservative definition of probable PTSD, whereby it is identified by either 468 a total score of 31 on the PCL-5 questionnaire or by meeting the DSM-5 diagnostic rule.

In line with the GAD-7 criteria, the anxiety level of participants was determined by the total
score: no anxiety (0-4), mild (5-9), moderate (10-14) and severe (15)<sup>7</sup>.

#### 471 Panel study

#### 472 Descriptive statistics

We analyzed the data of participants who had indirect exposure to the events of October 7. Specifically, we defined indirect exposure for all participants who, along with their immediate family, were not injured, killed, or abducted. To ensure specificity in attributing PTSD sources, we excluded individuals who evacuated their homes. This exclusion is based on the consideration that PTSD in these cases may stem more from the evacuation process than the events of October 7. We evaluated the estimated prevalence of PTSD as the proportion of individuals with probable PTSD. We also stratified the prevalence estimate by the duration of news information consumption during the first week after October 7 and the extent ofexposure to gory videos. Additionally, we examined the rates of each anxiety level.

We also evaluated the rates of exposure to gory videos on each media platform. Specifically,
we divided the number of participants who were exposed to gory videos on each platform by
the number of participants who consumed news information using that platform.

The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were obtained under the assumption of binomialdistribution.

### 487 Inferential statistics

To examine the unadjusted associations between the probability of probable PTSD, duration of news consumption during the first week following October 7, and the extent of exposure to gory videos, while controlling for other explanatory variables (age, sex, educational background, PTSD background, religious level, and socioeconomic level), we applied a logistic regression model:

$$\ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) \sim age + sex + educational background + PTSD background$$
(1)
$$+ religious level + socioeconomic level$$

$$+ duration of news consumption + gory video exposure,$$

493

494

where p represents the probability of probable PTSD. Age is treated as a continuous variable,
while educational background, religious level, socioeconomic level, duration of news
consumption, and gory video exposure are considered ordinal variables. Sex and PTSD
background are categorized as Boolean variables.

Likewise, to examine the unadjusted associations between the probability of moderate to severe anxiety, duration of news consumption during the two weeks before filling in the online PTSD survey, and the extent of exposure to gory videos, while controlling for other explanatory variables (age, sex, educational background, anxiety background, religious level, and socioeconomic level), we applied a logistic regression model:

$$ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) \sim age + sex + educational background + anxiety background + religious level (2) + socioeconomic level + duration of news consumption + gory video exposure,$$

where p represents the probability of moderate to severe anxiety. Age is treated as a continuous variable, while educational background, religious level, socioeconomic level, duration of news consumption, and gory video exposure are considered ordinal variables. Sex and anxiety background are categorized as Boolean variables.

For both models, we derived the odds ratios and their corresponding 95% confidence intervalsfrom the values of the regression coefficients.

510 Prospective study

### 511 Descriptive statistics

From the commencement of the prospective study on January 1, 2021, to December 3, 2023,

513 we collected 1,933,475 days of smartwatch data and 752,057 completed self-reported

514 questionnaires. Additionally, 803 participants filled in the PTSD online survey.

515 Before analyzing the data, we conducted a preprocessing step. If participants filled out the 516 daily questionnaire more than once on the same day, only the latest questionnaire for that day 517 was considered. The rationale behind this decision was that once a questionnaire was filled, it 518 was sent to the server, and could not be updated. Therefore, in the case of a filling error, 519 participants were instructed to re-fill the questionnaire.

For a more comprehensive understanding of individual perception, we examined the reported stress levels throughout the PerMed study period. The reported stress levels were recorded from the daily questionnaires on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 represents 'very low,' and 5 signifies 'very high.' We calculated the daily moving average and the associated 95% confidence interval with a 7-day window from January 1, 2021, to November 30, 2023, for all active participants. For participants who filled in the PTSD online survey, we implemented the same procedure, stratifying them based on whether they exhibited probable PTSD.

We noted several significant national events that might have influenced reported stress levels, including the major Jewish holidays (Passover and Rosh Hashanah), armed conflicts involving the firing of at least 1,000 rockets at the civilian population, a major political demonstration related to judicial reform that was escalated on March 26, 2023, following the dismissal of the Minister of Defense and the strike in both the public and private sectors, and the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron wave, which persisted from December 20, 2023, to May 1, 2023, with approximately 30% of the Israeli population reportedly infected <sup>16</sup>.

For each participant who remained active after October 7, 2023, we assessed the reported sleep quality (measured from daily questionnaires on a scale of 1 to 5 where 1 represents 'awful' and 5 signifies 'excellent'), as well as various physiological indicators: duration of awake time during sleep, step counts, and duration of high-stress levels. We computed a single weighted average value for each of the two periods—the week prior to October 7, 2023 (baseline period) and the week after October 7, 2023. 540 The weighted average for each participant was determined by first averaging the 541 corresponding indicator values separately for workdays and free days (weekends and national 542 holidays). Subsequently, the weighted average of these two values was calculated, assigning a 543 weight of 5/7 to workdays and 2/7 to free days. The rationale for computing this weighted 544 average is further explained elsewhere<sup>1</sup>. In essence, we identified a weekly rhythm across 545 various indicators, with free days exhibiting different mean daily values than workdays. 546 Given the relatively short duration of the examined time periods and variations in the number 547 of free days (e.g., holidays), we aimed to correct for potential biases. Finally, we calculated 548 the mean and corresponding 95% confidence interval for each indicator across the 549 participants. For each indicator, we utilized a paired t-test (Supplementary Table S5) to 550 examine the difference between the weighted averages during the baseline period and those 551 following October 7, 2023.

For participants who filled in the PTSD online survey, we repeated the above analysis,stratifying participants based on whether they exhibited probable PTSD.

### 554 Inferential statistics

For each of the well-being indicators, we explored the unadjusted associations between the probability of probable PTSD, the weighted average during the baseline period, and the difference in the weighted average between baseline and the week after October 7 periods, while controlling for other explanatory variables (age and sex), we applied a logistic regression model (Supplementary Table S6):

(3)  
$$ln\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) \sim age + sex + baseline period average + average difference,$$

where p represents the probability of probable PTSD. Age, weighted average during the baseline period, and the difference in the weighted average between baseline and the week after October 7 periods are treated as continuous variables, while sex is categorized as Boolean variables.

All statistical analyses were performed using Python 3.8.

565 Ethics

The panel study, PTSD survey, and the prospective study protocols were approved by the Tel Aviv University Institutional Review Board (0007545-1). Before participating in the panel studies, all subjects were advised, in writing, as to the nature of the study. All data were deidentified and no personally identifiable information was gathered.

## 570 Role of the funding source

571 The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data572 interpretation, or writing of the report.

# 573 Data availability statements

- 574 According to this study's Tel Aviv University Institutional Review Board approval (0007545-
- 1) and data utilization committees' guidelines, no patient-level data is to be shared outside the
- 576 permitted researchers.

# 577 Code availability statements

578 The Statistical analysis code will be available upon publication.

#### 580 Methods references

- Mofaz, M. *et al.* Real-time sensing of war's effects on wellbeing with smartphones
   and smartwatches. *Communications Medicine 2023 3:1* 3, 1–11 (2023).
- 583 2. Yechezkel, M. *et al.* Safety of the fourth COVID-19 BNT162b2 mRNA (second booster) vaccine: a prospective and retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med* 0, (2022).
- Mofaz, M. *et al.* Self-Reported and Physiologic Reactions to Third BNT162b2 mRNA
   COVID-19 (Booster) Vaccine Dose. *Emerg Infect Dis* 28, 1375 (2022).
- 4. Guan, G. *et al.* Higher sensitivity monitoring of reactions to COVID-19 vaccination
  using smartwatches. *npj Digital Medicine 2022 5:1* 5, 1–9 (2022).
- 590 5. Oved, S. *et al.* Differential effects of COVID-19 lockdowns on well-being: interaction
  591 between age, gender and chronotype. *J R Soc Interface* 18, (2021).
- Blevins, C. A., Weathers, F. W., Davis, M. T., Witte, T. K. & Domino, J. L. The
   Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5): Development and Initial
   Psychometric Evaluation. *J Trauma Stress* 28, 489–498 (2015).
- 595 7. Löwe, B. *et al.* Validation and standardization of the generalized anxiety disorder
  596 screener (GAD-7) in the general population. *Med Care* 46, 266–274 (2008).
- 597 8. Oved, S. et al. Differential effects of COVID-19 lockdowns on well-being: interaction
  598 between age, gender and chronotype. (2021) doi:10.21203/RS.3.RS-137929/V1.
- Mofaz, M. *et al.* Self-Reported and Physiologic Reactions to Third BNT162b2 mRNA
  COVID-19 (Booster) Vaccine Dose. *Emerg Infect Dis* 28, 1375 (2022).
- 601 10. Gepner, Y. *et al.* Utilizing wearable sensors for continuous and highly-sensitive
  602 monitoring of reactions to the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine.
  603 *Communications Medicine 2022 2:1* 2, 1–8 (2022).

- 604 11. VÍVOSMART ® 4 Owner's Manual. (2018).
- 605 12. Sleep Problems and PTSD PTSD: National Center for PTSD.
  606 https://www.ptsd.va.gov/understand/related/sleep\_problems.asp.
- 13. Zen, A. L., Whooley, M. A., Zhao, S. & Cohen, B. E. Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder
- is Associated With Poor Health Behaviors: Findings From the Heart and Soul Study. *Health Psychology* **31**, 194 (2012).
- 610 14. What Is the Stress Level Feature on My Garmin Watch? | Garmin Support.
  611 https://support.garmin.com/en-US/?faq=WT9BmhjacO4ZpxbCc0EKn9.
- 612 15. ISTSS Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist. https://istss.org/clinical613 resources/assessing-trauma/ptsd-checklist-dsm-
- 614 5?gclid=Cj0KCQiAgqGrBhDtARIsAM5s0\_kIFlV4y3jn36yr2sC5-
- 615 6VohlDk05TMyRsIosd5YiZObB7N1DWkRMgaAsJnEALw\_wcB.
- 616 16. COVID-19 Datasets- Government Data. https://data.gov.il/dataset/covid-19.
- 617

### 619 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by ISRAEL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (grant no. 3409/19), within
the Israel Precision Medicine Partnership program and a Koret Foundation gift for Smart
Cities and Digital Living.

623

# 624 Authors' contributions

- 625 Conception and design: DY, SLA, ES. DY, MY, MF, RE, and ES had access to the raw data
- and were responsible for verifying the data. Analysis and interpretation of the data: MY, DY,
- 627 ES, MF, RE, and DS. Statistical analysis: MY, DY, ES, MF, and RE. Drafting the article: all
- 628 authors. Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: DY, ES. Final
- approval of the article: All authors. Obtaining funding: DY, ES, and MLB.

630

#### 631 Declaration of Interests

632 All authors declare no competing interests.

633	Supplementary Materials for:
634	Risk and early signs of PTSD in people indirectly exposed to October 7 events
635 636	Dan Yamin, PhD <sup>1,2,3,*</sup> , Shahar Lev-Ari, PhD <sup>4,*</sup> , Merav Mofaz, PhD <sup>1</sup> , Ron Elias, Bsc <sup>1</sup> , David Spiegel, MD <sup>5</sup> , Matan Yechezkel, MS <sup>1</sup> , Margaret L. Brandeau, PhD <sup>2</sup> , Erez Shmueli, PhD <sup>1,3,6,*</sup>
637	<sup>1</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.
638	<sup>2</sup> Department of Management Science and Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA.
639	<sup>3</sup> Wizermed D.H. LTD, Zoran, Israel.
640	<sup>4</sup> Department of Health Promotion, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.
641	<sup>5</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA.
642	<sup>6</sup> MIT Media Lab, Cambridge, MA, USA.
643	* Contributed equally.
644	
645	Address correspondence to: Prof. Erez Shmueli, shmueli@tau.ac.il
646	
647 648	Keywords: Wearable sensors, PTSD, Anxiety, indirect exposure, October 7 atrocities, physiological reactions, well-being
649	Table of Contents
650	Appendix A – Study protocol2
651	Prospective part
652	Panel study
653	Appendix B – Data collection platform and data access8
654	Architecture
655	The PerMed Dashboard
656	The Type of Data Collected and data access
657	Appendix C – Prospective study participants' adherence9
658	Appendix D – PTSD online survey11
659	Appendix E – Additional results16
660	Appendix F – Additional results: continous physiological measures
661	References
662	
663	

# 664 Appendix A – Study protocol

### 665 **Prospective part**

666

### 667 Study Design

In this study we will analyze data that were already collected and will be collected as part of the PerMed study <sup>1</sup>. Participants in the PerMed study are recruited for a period of two years, during which they are equipped with a Garmin Vivosmart 4 smartwatches and are asked to wear them as much as they could. In addition, participants install two applications on their mobile phones: an application that passively collects data from the smartwatch and a dedicated mobile application which allows participants to fill a daily. We will also ask active participants to fill in dedicated surveys for the detection of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and anxiety. In this study, we will consider for each participant the 4-weeks period prior to October 7, 2023, as the baseline period.

675

### 676 Participants

677 The inclusion criteria for the PerMed study includes those aged > 18 years. Individuals who are not eligible to give 678 and sign a consent form of their free are excluded. In this study, we will analyze the data of participants aged 18 679 years and above before and after October, 7, 2023. To recruit participants and ensure they complete all the study's 680 requirements, we will hire a professional survey company. Potential participants will be recruited through 681 advertisements in social media, online banners, and word-of-mouth. The survey company is responsible for 682 guaranteeing the participants meet the study's requirements, in particular, that the questionnaires are filled daily, 683 ensuring the smartwatches are charged constantly and worn properly, and assisting participants resolve technical 684 problems.

685

### 686 Study procedures

687 Before participation in the study, all participants will be advised orally and in writing about the nature of the 688 experiments and give written, informed consent. At this time, participants will be asked to complete an enrollment 689 questionnaire that includes demographic information and health status. In addition, participants will be asked to 690 install two applications on their mobile phones: an application that passively collects data from the smartwatch and 691 the PerMed application, which allows participants to fill in the daily questionnaires. Participants will be given 692 instructions regarding the self-reported symptoms questionnaires and how to operate the smartwatch, which they 693 will wear as much as they can. We will also ask active participants to fill in dedicated surveys of PTSD eight weeks 694 after the events of October 7, 2023 to detect a possible signs of probable PTSD.

695

# 696 Enrollment questionnaire

All participants will fill in a one-time enrollment questionnaire that includes demographic questions and questions about the participant's health condition in general. Specifically, the questionnaire will include the following: age, sex, height, weight, underlying medical conditions, and household income (Listed in Table S2). Other questions such as name, address, phone and email will be recorded and used by the survey company to contact the participants. The answers will be filled-in directly by the survey company to the study's secured dashboard.

- 702
- 703 Monitoring device

- Participants will be equipped with Garmin Vivosmart 4 smart fitness trackers. Among other features, the smartwatch
   provides all-day heart rate and heart rate variability and during-night blood oxygen saturation level tracking
   capabilities<sup>2</sup>.
- 707

708 The optical wrist heart rate (HR) monitor of the smartwatch is designed to continuously monitor a user's heart rate.
709 The frequency at which heart rate is measured varies and may depend on the level of activity of the user: when the
710 user starts an activity, the optical HR monitor's measurement frequency increases.

711

Since heart rate variability (HRV) is not easily accessible through Garmin's application programming interface (API), we use Garmin's stress level instead, which is calculated based on HRV. Specifically, the device uses heart rate data to determine the interval between each heartbeat. The variable length of time between each heartbeat is regulated by the body's autonomic nervous system. Less variability between beats correlates with higher stress levels, whereas an increase in variability indicates less stress<sup>3</sup>. A similar relationship between HRV and stress was also seen in <sup>4,5</sup>.

- 718
- Examining the data collected in our study, we identified an HR sample roughly every 15 seconds, a stress based
   HRV sample every 180 seconds.
- 721

722 While the Garmin smartwatch provides state-of-the-art wrist monitoring, it is not a medical-grade device, and some

- readings may be inaccurate under certain circumstances, depending on factors such as the fit of the device and the
- type and intensity of the activity undertaken by a participant  $^{6-8}$ .
- 725

# 726 Daily questionnaires

All participants will complete the daily self-reported questionnaire in a dedicated application (the PerMed mobileapplication). The daily questionnaire we will use includes the following questions:

How is your mood today? • Awful (1)• Bad (2)• OK (3)• Good (4)• Excellent (5)

How would you describe the level of your stress during the last day?• Very Low (1)• Low (2)• Medium (3)• High (4)• Very high (5)

How would you define your last night sleep quality?• Awful (1)• Bad (2)• OK (3)• Good (4)• Excellent (5)

Try to remember how many minutes of sports activity you performed on the last day?

Have you experienced one or more of the following symptoms in the last 24 hours?• My general feeling is good, and I have no symptoms• Heat measured above 37.5• Cough• Sore throat• Runny nose• Headache• Shortness of breath• Muscle aches• Weakness / fatigue• Diarrhea• Nausea / vomiting• Chills• Confusion• Loss of sense of taste / smell• Another symptom.

# 729

# 730 *PTSD dedicated survey*

All participants will be asked to fill in an online survey that includes well-established PTSD surveys via the PerMed

- application. The Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist (PCL-5) is a self-report questionnaire designed to assess
- the 20 symptoms outlined in the DSM-5 criteria for PTSD. The PCL-5 serves various purposes, including screening

- individuals for PTSD and making provisional diagnoses <sup>9</sup>. It is widely used for clinical and research purposes;
- psychometrically, the PCL-5 demonstrates strong internal consistency ( $\alpha = .94$ ), high test-retest reliability (r = .82),
- and strong convergent validity (rs = .74 to .85)<sup>9</sup>. We will utilize the General Anxiety Disorder (GAD) 7 item
- questionnaire (GAD-7) to identify probable cases of GAD along with measuring anxiety symptom severity. The tool
   is also widely used as a screening measure of panic, social anxiety, and PTSD. The questionnaire is considered
- valid, sensitive, and specific for the diagnosis of GAD in the general population <sup>10</sup>. Will use the Israeli Ministry of
- 739 valid, sensitive, and specific for the diagnosis of GAD in the general population . will use the Israen iv
   740 Health's (IMOH) Hebrew translation of these surveys. See Appendix D for the full survey.
- Health s (INIOH) Heblew translation of these surveys. See Appendix D for the full survey.
- 741

# 742 Data Storage

743 Data collected from the mobile phone application and from the smartwatches will be stored on a secure server 744 within Tel Aviv University facilities. The server runs a CentOS operating system and is located in the Software 745 Engineering Building at Tel Aviv University. This server is protected behind the university's firewall and is not 746 connected to external networks. In addition, a secure connection through an SSL protocol and a trusted certificate 747 will be obtained for the transfer of information from the mobile phone application into the secured server.

748

Access will be restricted to investigators in the study. The information from the mobile application will be stored in

a structured manner on the secured server without any explicitly identifying information (name, ID number, email).

751 Each participant will be assigned a coded participant number that will be used to identify the subject in the database.

752 The code with the identified information will be stored in an encrypted form on a separate secured server that only

the research manager will have access to. Access to all servers is restricted with username and password.

754

All (non-digital) questionnaires and signed informed consent documents will be stored in a secured cabinet in Tel Aviv University, to which only the research manager and the principal investigators will have access. No data collected as part of the study will be added to individuals' medical charts.

758

# 759 Data preprocessing

We will perform several preprocessing steps. Concerning the daily questionnaires, in cases where participants will fill in the daily questionnaire more than once on a given day, only the last entry for that day will be considered, as it

761 fill in the daily questionnaire more than once on a given day, only the last entry for that day will be considered, as it 762 is reasoned that the last one likely best represented the entire day. Self-reported symptoms that are entered as the

- 763 free text will be manually categorized.
- 764

For participant that completed PTSD survey will also be categorized probable PTSD if the total score of the PCL-5 questionnaire is  $\geq$  33 and meet the DSM-5 diagnostic rule which requires at least: 1 B item (questions 1-5), 1 C item (questions 6-7), 2 D items (questions 8-14), 2 E items (questions 15-20). In line with the GAD-7 criteria, anxiety level of participant will be determined by the total score: no anxiety (0-4), mild (5-9), moderate (10-14) and severe ( $\geq$ 15). Then we will compute the proportion of participants presenting probable PTSD and proportions of each anxiety level.

771

# 772 Data Analysis and inclusion criteria

For a more comprehensive understanding of individual perception, we will examine the reported stress levels throughout the PerMed study period. We will calculate the daily centered moving average and the associated 95% confidence interval with a 7-day window from January 1, 2021, for all active participants. For participants who filled in the PTSD online survey, we will implement the same procedure, stratifying them based on whether they

- 777 exhibited probable PTSD.
- 778

For each participant who will remain active after October 7, 2023, we will assess the reported sleep quality, as well
as various physiological indicators: duration of awake time during sleep, step counts, and duration of high stress

1781 levels. We will compute a single weighted average value for each of the two periods — a week prior to October 7,

2023 (baseline period) and the week after October 7, 2023. For each indicator, we will utilize paired t-test to
examine the difference between the weighted averages during the baseline period and those following October 7, 2023.

- 785
- 786

787 The weighted average for each participant will be determined by first averaging the corresponding indicator values 788 separately for workdays and free days (weekends and national holidays). Subsequently, the weighted average of 789 these two values will be calculated, assigning a weight of 5/7 to workdays and 2/7 to free days. The rationale for 790 computing this weighted average is further explained in <sup>11</sup>. In essence, we identified a weekly rhythm across various 791 indicators, with free days exhibiting different mean daily values than workdays. Given the relatively short duration 792 of the examined time periods and variations in the number of free days (e.g., holidays), we aimed to correct for 793 potential biases. Finally, we will calculate the mean and its corresponding 95% confidence interval for each 794 indicator across the participants.

795

For participants who filled in the PTSD online survey, we will repeat the above analysis, by stratifying the participants based on whether they exhibited probable PTSD.

798

For each of the well-being indicators, we will explore the unadjusted associations between the probability of probable PTSD, the weighted average during the baseline period, and the difference in the weighted average between baseline and the week after October 7 periods, while controlling for other explanatory variables (age and sex).

803

All statistical analyses will be performed using Python 3.8.

805

# 806 Potential Risks & Risk management

807 No specific risks arising from the smartwatches are expected, as the device is already commercialized with no 808 known adverse reactions. The main risk in this study is the leakage of private data which we intend to manage as we 809 describe in the following section.

810

# 811 *Privacy/Confidentiality*

Results from this study will be handled at an aggregated level. Individual data records will remain confidential and will not be published or shared with any third party. Signed and dated informed consent forms, as well as data recording sheets (e.g., case report forms) will be stored in locked cabinets during the study and following its completion. A file containing the personal details of the participants will be coded to help preserve confidentiality and will be separated from all other data collected throughout the study. This file will be kept by the principal investigator. Data will be stored on computers in password-protected files.

The data obtained from the smartwatch used in this study will be linked to a coded participant number. The smartwatch does not include a GPS. The data collected by the PerMed application will arrive directly to PerMed back-end servers and will be stored securely.

821

# 822 Panel study

# 823 Study Design

In this study will recruit and analyze data of 2,500 participants representative of the Jewish Israeli population in terms of age, sex, and geographical location. Participants will be asked to fill in online dedicated surveys for the

- detection of probable PTSD and anxiety. Participants will also be asked to provide basic demographic data such as
- age, sex, educational background, place of residence, and etc. (see Appendix E)

828

# 829 Participants

830 Inclusion criteria includes individuals age > 18 years from the Jewish Israeli population. To recruit participants for

- the panel study and ensure they complete all the study's requirements, we will hire a professional survey company.
- 832 Potential participants will be recruited through a preregistered panelists' database via email. The survey company
- will ensure that the participants are representative of the Israeli population in term of age, sex and geographical
   location. To incentivize participants to complete all study's requirements (i.e., complete the PTSD survey), each
- participant will receive 3 New Israeli Shakala unon completion of study's requirements
- participant will receive 3 New Israeli Shekels upon completion of study's requirements.
- 836
- 837

# 838 Study procedures

- 839 Before participating in the study, all participants will be advised in writing about the nature of the survey (see 840 Appendix E). At this time, participants will be asked to complete the PTSD online survey about coping with the 841 events that began on October 7, that includes demographic information and news consumption behavior, to detect a
- 842 possible sign of probable PTSD nationwide.

# 843

# 844 Description of the data

- Data will be collected in the online survey includes (see the survey questions in Appendix E):
- 846 Sociodemographic: 847 Sex ٠ 848 • Age 849 Household size • 850 Household income • 851 Religion 852 Place of residence (city name) 853 History of PTSD and anxiety (binary) 854 News consumption behavior  $\geq$ 855 • News consumption frequency 856 News consumption platforms
- 858

# 859 Data collection and storage

The data will be extracted and stored on a secure server within Tel Aviv University facilities. The server runs a
 CentOS operating system and is located in the Software Engineering Building at Tel Aviv University. This server is
 protected behind the university's firewall and is not connected to external networks.

Access will be restricted to investigators in the study. The information from the online PTSD survey will be stored in a structured manner on the secured server without any explicitly identifying information (name, ID number, email). Each participant will be assigned a coded participant number that will be used to identify the subject in the database.

867

# 868 Data Analysis and inclusion criteria

The questionnaire data will be preprocessed by manually categorizing any self-reported symptom of probable PTSD and anxiety according to the PCL-5 and GAD-7 criteria. Participant will be categorized as probable PTSD if the total score of the PCL-5 questionnaire is  $\geq$  33 and meet the DSM-5 diagnostic rule which requires at least: 1 B item (questions 1-5), 1 C item (questions 6-7), 2 D items (questions 8-14), 2 E items (questions 15-20). In line with the GAD-7 criteria, anxiety level of participant will be determined by the total scores: no anxiety (0-4), mild (5-9),

874 moderate (10-14) and severe ( $\geq 15$ ).

875 876

877 We will analyze the data of participants who had either direct or indirect exposure to the events of October 7. We 878 define direct exposure as participants who were evacuated from their place of residence or indicated that they or 879 their immediate family were injured, killed or abducted. Indirect exposure refers to situations in which participants 880 or their immediate family members did not experience physical harm or the need for evacuation, but their income 881 might have been affected. For each level of exposure, we will evaluate the prevalence estimate of PTSD as the 882 proportions of probable PTSD, we will also stratify the prevalence estimate by the duration of news information 883 consumption during the first week after October 7 and the extent of exposure to gory videos. Additionally, we will 884 examine the rates of each anxiety level.

885

886

We will also evaluate the rates of exposure to gory videos on each media platform. Specifically, we will divide the
number of participants who were exposed to gory videos on each platform by the number of participants who
consume news information using that platform.

890 891

893

892 The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) will be obtained under the assumption of binomial distribution.

To examine the relation between the probability of probable PTSD and news information consumption behavior,
 controlling for other explanatory variables (age, sex, educational background, PTSD background, religious level,
 and socioeconomic level), we will fit a logistic regression model.

897 898

Likewise, we will also examine the unadjusted associations between the probability of moderate to severe anxiety, duration of news consumption during the two weeks before filling in the online PTSD survey, and the extent of exposure to gory videos, while controlling for other explanatory variables (age, sex, educational background, anxiety background, religious level, and socioeconomic level).

903

# 904 Potential Risks & Risk management

The main risk in this study is the leakage of private data which we intend to manage as we describe in the following section.

907

# 908 *Privacy/Confidentiality*

Results from this study will be handled at an aggregated level. Individual data records will remain confidential andwill not be published or shared with any third party.

# 912 Appendix B – Data collection platform and data access

913

919

920

921

922

923

933

934

## 914 Architecture

915 The data collection platform contains several components that interact with each other (see Figure 2):

- The PerMed application This application is installed on each participant's phone to collect sensors data and the self-reported daily questionnaires. It also handles the smartwatch pairing. The current version of the application supports both Android and iOS devices.
  - **The smartwatch** send the data to the Garmin Connect app on the smartphone, which then sends these data to Garmin's server.
    - **The smartwatch application** This application (currently Garmin) receives information from the smartwatch via Bluetooth and transmits it to the company's server. In addition, it provides a convenient interface for displaying the participant's smartwatch information.
- The app server The webserver handles the database connectivity using REST API pages. It enables the server to authenticate users as they launch the application and write records to the database. A MySQL server stores the sensors' raw data and the answers to the daily questionnaires. At last, there is a batch processes running on the server that sends app notifications (daily reminder to fill the questionnaire).
- The dashboard server hosts the dashboard pages, which assist in monitoring the quality of the information and controlling the experiment. The dashboard has access to participant information and signals indicating whether questionnaires were completed and the smart watch was worn without seeing its content directly. A batch process is responsible for aggregating raw data for dashboard statistics.
  - **The smartwatch server** A MySQL server stores the smartwatch data. A batch process is responsible for collecting the data from the Garmin server.



Figure S1. The high-level architecture of the PerMed's data collection platform.

- 935
- 936

# 937 The PerMed Dashboard

Participants will be recruited by a qualified external recruitment team headed by Tel Aviv University personal. The team receive limited information essential control the experiment. Thus, we developed a dedicated dashboard for monitoring the quality of the information and control the experiment. This dashboard aims to identify data collection issues such as participants who did not fill the daily questionnaires or participants who did not charge the battery of their smartwatches. The dashboard also helps us identify problems that were not related to participants' cooperation, such as bugs in the mobileapp. This identification allows us to respond faster and provide timely solutions.

## 945 The Type of Data Collected and data access

- 946 Data collected by the platform arrive from four primary sources:
- 947 
   Enrolment questionnaire data were collected from a one-time enrollment questionnaire that includes basic personal characteristics such as socio-demographic information (e.g., age, gender, height, weight), general habits, health status, and a short Big Five personality questionnaire.
- Daily questionnaire consists of questions on 1) wellbeing, 2) general health condition, 3)
   symptoms observed, 4) test results to diagnose infectious diseases, 4) vaccination or medication
   consumption (if relevant to the study question).
- 953 Smartphone sensor data consist of location, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, screen, and activity.
- 954Image: Smartwatch data consist of heart rate data, accelerometer and gyroscope information and measures955based on these data including active minutes, steps, distance, calories, and sleep level classification,956including light, deep, REM, and awake periods.

957 The current research, aims to explore the safety of vaccination, is part of a larger study. Raw accelerometer 958 data, mobile activity and GPS locations are generally considered sensitive information. In accordance with the 959 data minimization principle, we did not extract this type of data for this vaccination safety research.

960

# 961 Appendix C – Prospective study participants' adherence

962

963 We employed a professional survey company to recruit participants and ensure they adhere to the study 964 requirements. Participant recruitment was performed via advertisements on social media and word-of-mouth. Each 965 participant signed an informed consent form after receiving a comprehensive explanation on the study. Then, 966 participants completed a one-time enrollment questionnaire, were equipped with Garmin Vivosmart 4 smartwatches, and installed two applications on their mobile phones: (1) the PerMed application <sup>1,12,13</sup>, which collects daily self-967 reported questionnaires, and (2) an application that passively records smartwatch data. Participants were asked to 968 969 wear their smartwatches as much as possible. The survey company ensured that participants' questionnaires were 970 filled at least twice a week, that their smartwatches were charged and properly worn, and that any technical 971 problems with the mobile applications or smartwatch were resolved. Participants were monitored through the mobile 972 application and smartwatches for a period of at least 49 days, starting seven days before vaccination. Participants 973 also granted full access to their EMR data.

974

975 We implemented several preventive measures to minimize participant attrition and discomfort as a means to 976 improve the quality, continuity and reliability of the collected data. First, each day, participants who did not fill their 977 daily questionnaire by 7 pm received a reminder notification through the PerMed application. Second, we developed 978 a dedicated dashboard that allowed the survey company to identify participants who repeatedly neglected to 979 complete the daily questionnaire or did not wear their smartwatch for extended periods of time; these participants 980 were contacted by the survey company (either by text message or phone call) and encouraged to better adhere to the 981 study protocol. Third, to strengthen participants' engagement, a weekly personalized summary report was generated 982 for each participant, which was available inside the PerMed application. Similarly, a monthly newsletter with recent

- 983 findings from the study and useful tips regarding the smartwatch's capabilities was sent to the participants. At the
- 984 end of the study, participants will receive all personal insights that were obtained and can keep the smartwatch as a985 gift.

# 987 Appendix D – PTSD online survey

988

989 Participants will be asked to fill in an online survey that includes well-established PTSD surveys. The online survey 990 incorporates The Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Checklist (PCL-5) is a self-report questionnaire designed to assess 991 the 20 symptoms outlined in the DSM-5 criteria for PTSD. The PCL-5 serves various purposes, including screening individuals for PTSD and making provisional diagnoses <sup>9</sup>. It is widely used for clinical and research purposes; 992 993 psychometrically, the PCL-5 demonstrates strong internal consistency ( $\alpha = .94$ ), high test-retest reliability (r = .82), and strong convergent validity (rs = .74 to .85)<sup>9</sup>. We will also utilize the General Anxiety Disorder (GAD) 7 item 994 995 questionnaire (GAD-7) to identify probable cases of GAD along with measuring anxiety symptom severity. The tool 996 is also widely used as a screening measure of panic, social anxiety, and PTSD. The questionnaire is considered valid, sensitive, and specific for the diagnosis of GAD in the general population <sup>10</sup>. Will use the Israeli Ministry of 997 998 Health's (IMOH) Hebrew translation of these surveys.

999 The online survey also includes questions regarding demographic information and consumption of news 1000 information.

1001 PTSD diagnosis requires an interview conducted by a trained clinician. As our outcome was derived from a 1002 screening tool, we characterize the result as a "probable PTSD." This terminology, utilized in previous studies. Thus, 1003 we will opt for a more conservative interpretation, defining only individuals with a total score of  $\geq$  33 on the PCL-5 1004 questionnaire and meeting the DSM-5 diagnostic rule which requires at least: 1 B item (questions 1-5), 1 C item 1005 (questions 6-7), 2 D items (questions 8-14), 2 E items (questions 15-20) as probable PTSD.

- 1006
- 1007 The English version of the PTSD online survey:
- 1008
- 1009 Dear participant,
- 1010
- 1011 The following questionnaire is about coping with the events that began on October 7.

1012 The data will be used for statistical analysis as part of an academic study carried out by researchers from the Faculty1013 of Medicine and the Faculty of Engineering from Tel Aviv University.

1014 Your answers will help us learn about the effect of the "Swords of Iron" operation on the mental state of the citizens 1015 of the State of Israel and develop ways of coping with the effects of the war.

- 1016 There are no correct or incorrect answers, but it is crucial to answer all questions truthfully.
- 1017 The purpose of this questionnaire is not to diagnose any medical condition. If you are feeling unwell, we advise you 1018 to contact your primary care physician for further treatment.
- 1019 Thank you for your valuable time and cooperation with the research team.
- 1020

### Table S1. PTSD online survey.

No.	Questio	n						
1	What is	your age?						
2	Please select all the statements that apply to you from the following list (you can select more than one statement)							
	•	In the events, either my family members or I were injured.						
	٠	One of my family members was abducted.						

	• Either my family members or I were evacuated from our place of residence.
	• My source of income was affected by the events.
	• I am acquainted with people whom their family members or friends were killed, injured, or abducted
	during the events.
	• I am acquainted with people who were killed, injured, or abducted during the events.
	• I am acquainted with people who were evacuated from the place of residence (either from southern or
	northern Israel).
	• I am acquainted with people whom their source of income or their work capacity have been affected by
	the events.
	• None of the above.
3	During the first week of the war that began on October 7, how frequently did you consume news information
	(TV, news sites, social media) about the fighting events?
	• I did not consume.
	• Less than an hour a day
	• 1-2 hours a day
	• 2-4 hours a day
	• 4-8 hours a day
	• More than 8 hours a day
4	During the last two weeks, how frequently did you consume news information (TV, news sites, social media)
	about the fighting events?
	• I did not consume.
	• Less than an hour a day
	• 1-2 hours a day
	• 2-4 hours a day
	• 4-8 hours a day
	• More than 8 hours a day
5	Which platforms do you use to consume news information? (You can select more than one answer)
	• TV
	• Facebook
	• Instagram
	• Twitter
	• TikTok
	• Telegram
	• WhatsApp
	• Newspapers
	• Word of mouth
	• Other, please specify
6	To the best of your recollection, how much were you exposed to gory videos depicting war events? (such as
	videos documenting the killings carried out on October 7, or the abductions of the captives)
	• I was not exposed.
	• Occasionally (up to five times)
-	• Many times (over five cases)
1	If you encountered gory videos of war events (such as videos documenting the killings carried out on October /, or the abduations of the centives), on which digital platforms were you primerily exposed?
	• TV
	• Instagram
	• I witter
	• TikTok
1	• Telegram

	• WhatsApp									
	• Other, please specify									
8	Below is a list of problems that people sometimes have in response to a very stressful experience. Please read									
	each problem carefully and then indicate how much you have been bothered by that problem in the past month									
	tollowing the events that began on October 7 (hereinafter the war events).									
	1. In the past month, how much were you bothered by:	Not	A	Moderately	Quite	Extremely				
		at	little		a bit					
	2 Repeated disturbing and unwanted memories of	all	UII							
	the war events?									
	3 Repeated disturbing dreams of the war events?									
	Suddenly feeling or acting as if the stressful									
	experience of October 7/ war events. were actually									
	happening again (as if you were actually back there									
	reliving it)?									
	4. Feeling very upset when something reminded you									
	of the war events?									
	5. Having strong physical reactions when something									
	reminded you of war events (for example, heart									
	pounding, trouble breathing, sweating)?									
	6. Avoiding memories, thoughts, or feelings related to									
	the war events? (referring to factors originating									
	from within yourself and not external influences)									
	7. Avoiding external reminders of the war events (for									
	example, people, places, conversations, activities,									
	Objects, or situations)?									
	8. Irouble remembering important parts of the war events?									
	9. Having strong negative beliefs about yourself, other									
	people, or the world (for example, having									
	thoughts such as: I am bad, there is something									
	seriously wrong with me, no one can be trusted,									
	the world is completely dangerous)?									
	10. Blaming yourself or someone else for the stressful									
	experience or what happened after it?									
	11. Having strong negative feelings such as fear,									
	norror, anger, guilt, or shame?									
	12. Loss of interest in activities that you used to									
	enjoy?									
	13. Feeling distant of cut off from other people?									
	14. Ifouble experiencing positive reenings (for									
	loving feelings for people close to you)?									
	15 Irritable behavior angry outbursts or acting									
	agoressively?									
	16 Taking too mony risks or doing things that sould									
	10. Taking too many fisks of doing things that could									
	17. Being "superalert" or watchful or on guard?									
	18. Feeling jumpy or easily startled?									
	19. Having difficulty concentrating?									

	20. Trouble falling or staying asleep?								
9	Over the last two weeks, how often have you been bothered by the following problems?								
		Not at	Several	More than half the	Nearly every				
		all	days	days	day				
	1. Feeling nervous, anxious, or on edge								
	2. Not being able to stop or control								
	worrying								
	3. Worrying too much about different								
	things								
	4. Irouble relaxing								
	5. Being so restless that it is hard to sit still								
	6. Becoming easily annoyed of inflable								
	7. Feeling alfaid, as it something awith								
10	Ingnt nappen		1	Sealing of Canton of Second					
10	How many close friends do you have with whore What is your sex?	m you car	i snare your i	eelings? (enter a nume	erical value only)				
11	• Male								
	Female								
	Other please specify								
12	What is your educational background (the last se	chool you	attended)?						
	Elementary education	,							
	High School Diploma								
	Technical/Vocational Training								
	Bachelor's Degree								
	Master's Degree or higher								
13	What is your marital status?								
	• Single								
	Married								
	Divorced or separated								
	• Widow/er		100						
14	How many individuals reside in your household	l, includir	ng yourself?						
15	Do you have children? If yes, now many?								
	<ul> <li>Yes, now many.</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>								
16	Is either yourself or any of your immediate fan	nily mem	bers currently	y serving in the Israeli	security forces? (For				
	Instance, in active duty of reserve service; you c	can select	multiple opti	ions.)					
	Child     Spouse								
	Parent								
	Sibling								
	• Other, please specify								
	No								

	17	What is your religion?							
		• Jewish							
		• Moslem							
		Christian							
		• Druze							
		• Other, please specify							
	18	<ul> <li>As per the Central Bureau of Statistics, the average monthly income for a dual-income family in Israel is approximately NIS 20,000 gross (NIS 11,000 for a single breadwinner). How would you assess your income?</li> <li>Way below average</li> <li>Slightly below average</li> <li>Slightly above average</li> <li>Way above average</li> </ul>							
		• way above average							
	19	Where is your permanent place of residence?							
	20	<ul> <li>Have you been diagnosed in the past (before the events of October 7) as suffering from one or more of the following syndromes:</li> <li>Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)</li> <li>Anxiety</li> <li>Depression</li> <li>I have not</li> <li>I choose not to disclose</li> </ul>							
	21	Did you experience attacks or symptoms of the syndrome in the three months preceding October 7?							
		• Yes							
		• No							
		• I choose not to disclose							
1021									
1022									
1023									
1024									

# 1025 Appendix E – Additional results

1026

### Table S2. Cohorts characteristics.

	Prospe		
	Active		Papal study cohort
	participants pre-	Active participants	Parler study conort
	war period	after October 7, 2023	
Total (%)	4,797	1751	2536
	(100%)	(100%)	(100%)
Sex			
Female (%)	2387	898	1340
	(49.8%)	(51.3%)	(52.86%)
Male (%)	2410	853	1195
	(50.2%)	(48.7%)	(47.14%)
Age (years)			
Mean	45.6	48.6	39.86
Std	14.9	14.1	14
Range	20-90	20-88	18 - 77
Median	44	48	38
Age group			
18-30 (%)	944	198	820
	(19.7%)	(11.3%)	(32.35%)
31-50 (%)	2023	764	1049
	(42.2%)	(43.6%)	(41.39%)
51-64 (%)	1206	514	515
	(25.1%)	(29.4%)	(20.32%)
≥ 65 (%)	624	275	150
	(13.0%)	(15.7%)	(5.91%)
Household income			
Below average (%)	2107	697	1111
	(43.9%)	(39.8%)	(43.8%)
Average (%)	883	374	751
	(18.4%)	(21.4%)	(29.61%)
Above average (%)	1708	661	674
	(35.6%)	(37.7%)	(26.57%)
Not reported	99	19	
	(2.1%)	(1.1%)	(-)
Educational background			
Elementary education	8	2	30
	(0.01%)	(0.01%)	(1.18%)
High school diploma	774	174	626
	(16.1%)	(9.9%)	(24.68%)
Technical/Vocational			
Training	1061	380	670
	(22.1%)	(21.7%)	(26.41%)
Academic degree	2937	1194	1210

	(61.2%)	(68.2%)	(47.7%)
Not reported	17	1	
	(0.03%)	(0.0%)	(-)
Extent of exposure <sup>*</sup>			
Direct	14	14	52
	(0.02%)	(0.7%)	(2.05%)
Affected	42	43	143
	(0.08%)	(2.5%)	(5.63%)
Indirect	743	746	2341
	(15.5%)	(42.6%)	(92.31%)
Not reported	3998	948	
	(83.3%)	(54.1%)	(-)
PTSD background			
Yes	31	31	90
	(0.06%)	(1.8%)	(3.54%)
Not	738	741	2446
	(15.4%)	(42.3%)	(96.45%)
Not reported	4028	979	
	(84.0%)	(55.9%)	(-)
Anxiety background			
Yes	52	53	242
	(1.1%)	(3.0%)	(9.54%)
Not	717	719	2294
	(14.9%)	(41.1%)	(90.45%)
Not reported	4028	979	
	(84.0%)	(55.9%)	(-)

\* The extent of exposure is determined by PTSD survey question 2 (see Appendix E). 'Indirect' encompasses all participants who, along with their immediate family, were not injured, killed, or abducted. 'Affected' refers to individuals who responded that their source of income was affected or were evacuated from their place of residence. 'Direct' includes individuals who responded that they or their immediate family were injured, killed, or abducted.

Factor	Coefficient (95% CI)	P-value	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
Age	-0.0195 (-0.028 to -0.011)	< 0.001	0.981 (0.973 to 0.989)
Sex	0.7462 (0.525 to 0.967)	< 0.001	2.109 (1.691 to 2.630)
Educational background	0.0833 (-0.025 to 0.192)	0.132	1.087 (0.975 to 1.211)
PTSD background	1.2584 (0.744 to 1.773)	< 0.001	3.520 (2.104 to 5.887)
Duration of news consumption during the first week following October 7	0.0845 (0.004 to 0.165)	0.041	1.089 (1.004 to 1.180)
Extent of exposure to gory videos	0.2418 (0.08 to 0.403)	0.003	1.270 (1.083 to 1.497)
Religious level	-0.1385 (-0.258 to -0.019)	0.023	0.871 (0.772 to 0.981)
Socioeconomic level	-0.1017 (-0.194 to -0.009)	0.031	0.900 (0.823 to 0.991)

Table S3. Regression model coefficients for probable PTSD within the participants of the panel study

Table S4. Regression model coefficients for anxiety within the participants of the panel study

Factor	Coefficient (95% CI)	P-value	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
Age	-0.0121 (-0.02 to -0.004)	0.005	0.988 (0.980 to 0.996)
Sex	0.8239 (0.605 to 1.042)	<0.001	2.2793 (1.832 to 2.836)
Educational background	-0.0153 (-0.123 to 0.092)	0.779	0.9848 (0.885 to 1.096)
Duration of news consumption during the two weeks before filling in the online PTSD survey	0.2296 (0.148 to 0.311)	<0.001	1.2581 (1.159 to 1.365)
Extent of exposure to gory videos	0.2074 (0.052 to 0.363)	0.009	1.2305 (1.053 to 1.438)
Religious level	-0.1325 (-0.252 to -0.014)	0.029	0.8759 (0.778 to 0.986)
Socioeconomic level	-0.128 (-0.22 to -0.036)	0.006	0.8799 (0.803 to 0.964)
Anxiety background	0.944 (0.625 to 1.263)	<0.001	2.570 (1.868 to 3.536)

Well-being indicator	Ν	Baseline average value (95% CI)	Week after October 7, 2023, average value (95% CI)	Average difference	P-value
Reported mood	228	3.68 (3.59 to 3.77)	2.81 (2.69 to 2.93)	-0.87	< 0.001
Reported stress	228	2.36 (2.26 to 2.46)	3.28 (3.15 to 3.40)	0.90	< 0.001
Step counts	621	7881.74 (7588.00 to 8175.47)	6126.76 (5841.64 to 6411.89)	-1754.97	< 0.001
Distance travelled in meters	621	5983.14 (5675.98 to 6290.29)	4605.25 (4325.89 to 4884.62)	-1377.89	< 0.001
Reported sleep quality	227	3.51 (3.41 to 3.60)	3.14 (3.03 to 3.25)	-0.37	< 0.001
Reported sleep time	225	6.61 (6.49 to 6.74)	6.38 (6.23 to 6.53)	-0.23	0.016
Awake duration in seconds	494	594.25 (544.84 to 643.66)	687.35 (628.82 to 745.88)	93.10	0.017
Light sleep duration in seconds	494	16031.23 (15767.75 to 16294.70)	16312.04 (16008.71 to 16615.38)	280.82	0.171
Rem sleep in seconds	494	4631.35 (4445.45 to 4817.24)	4786.15 (4577.13 to 4995.16)	154.80	0.278
Deep sleep duration in seconds	494	4313.71 (4116.09 to 4511.34)	4304.18 (4085.71 to 4522.65)	-9.54	0.949

Table S5. Paired t-test results for each well-being indicator among the participants of the prospective study

	Probable			Week after October 7, 2023,	Average	
Well-being indicator	PTSD*	Ν	Baseline average value	average value	difference	P-value
Reported mood	No	187	3.71 (3.66 to 3.76)	2.89 (2.83 to 2.96)	-0.82	0.007
Reported mood	Yes	187	3.55 (3.50 to 3.61)	2.27 (2.20 to 2.34)	-1.28	0.007
Reported stress	No	187	2.29 (2.23 to 2.34)	3.14 (3.07 to 3.21)	0.85	0.002
Reported stress	Yes	187	2.67 (2.60 to 2.73)	3.98 (3.92 to 4.04)	1.31	0.002
Step counts	No	431	8021.87 (7844.06 to 8199.67)	6402.28 (6223.12 to 6581.44)	-1619.59	0.055
Step counts	Yes	431	7491.50 (7319.69 to 7663.31)	5336.39 (5188.88 to 5483.90)	-2155.11	0.055
Distance travelled in meters	No	431	6258.60 (6056.52 to 6460.67)	4925.72 (4733.44 to 5117.99)	-1332.88	0.079
Distance travelled in meters	Yes	431	5406.74 (5248.06 to 5565.42)	3783.53 (3667.25 to 3899.81)	-1623.21	0.079
Reported sleep quality	No	186	3.58 (3.53 to 3.63)	3.27 (3.21 to 3.32)	-0.31	0.002
Reported sleep quality	Yes	186	3.37 (3.31 to 3.43)	2.68 (2.62 to 2.74)	-0.70	0.002
Reported sleep time	No	186	6.66 (6.59 to 6.72)	6.48 (6.41 to 6.55)	-0.17	0.029
Reported sleep time	Yes	186	6.35 (6.27 to 6.43)	5.84 (5.72 to 5.96)	-0.51	0.029
Awake duration in seconds	No	357	594.21 (565.00 to 623.42)	665.13 (629.72 to 700.53)	70.92	0.357
Awake duration in seconds	Yes	357	574.45 (551.08 to 597.82)	738.05 (709.66 to 766.45)	163.60	0.357
Light sleep duration in seconds	No	357	15911.31 (15760.01 to 16062.60)	16079.81 (15904.66 to 16254.95)	168.50	0.371
Light sleep duration in seconds	Yes	357	16797.03 (16645.68 to 16948.39)	17137.82 (16967.12 to 17308.52)	340.79	0.371
Rem sleep in seconds	No	357	4730.07 (4612.17 to 4847.97)	4781.87 (4655.31 to 4908.43)	51.80	0.810
Rem sleep in seconds	Yes	357	4559.48 (4461.32 to 4657.63)	4661.21 (4549.23 to 4773.19)	101.74	0.810
Deep sleep duration in seconds	No	357	4281.51 (4161.61 to 4401.40)	4343.37 (4209.04 to 4477.69)	61.86	0.600

Table S6. Regression model coefficients of each well-being indicator among the participants of the prospective study

Deep sleep duration in seconds         Yes         357         4344.85 (4237.13 to 4452.57)	4296.20 (4164.64 to 4427.75)	-48.65	0.600
---	------------------------------	--------	-------

\* Probable PTSD was determined based on the response for the PTSD survey (see Appendix D)

#### 1034 Appendix F – Additional results: continous physiological measures

1035

1036 To calculate the changes in smartwatch measures after October 7, 2023, for active participants in the 1037 prospective study, (Figures S2 and S3), we performed the following steps. First, for each participant 1038 and each hour we calculated the difference between the mean value of the measure tested on that hour 1039 and that of the corresponding hour in a week prior (keeping the same day of the week and same hour 1040 during the day). If such data were not recorded (e.g., a participant did not wear the smartwatch in the 1041 same period before and after vaccination), we excluded the participant from this analysis. Then, we 1042 aggregated each hour's differences over all participants to calculate a mean difference and the 1043 associated 95% confidence interval.



**Figure S2**. HRV-based stress reaction to October 7, 2023, events as recorded by the smartwatches. The figures show the mean difference between the baseline and the week after period in terms heart rate variability-based stress (n=512). Mean values are depicted as solid lines, and 95% confidence intervals are presented as shaded regions.



**Figure S3**. Heart rate reaction to October 7, 2023, events as recorded by the smartwatches. The figures show the mean difference between the baseline and the week after period in terms heart rate (n=495). Mean values are depicted as solid lines, and 95% confidence intervals are presented as shaded regions.

### 1046 References

- 1047 1. Oved, S. et al. Differential effects of COVID-19 lockdowns on well-being: interaction 1048 between age, gender and chronotype. (2021) doi:10.21203/RS.3.RS-137929/V1. 1049 2. VÍVOSMART ® 4 Owner's Manual. (2018). 1050 3. What Is the Stress Level Feature on My Garmin Watch? | Garmin Support. 1051 https://support.garmin.com/en-US/?faq=WT9BmhjacO4ZpxbCc0EKn9. 1052 4. Kim, H.-G., Cheon, E.-J., Bai, D.-S., Lee, Y. H. & Koo, B.-H. Stress and Heart Rate 1053 Variability: A Meta-Analysis and Review of the Literature. Psychiatry Investig 15, 1054 235 (2018). 1055 5. Pereira, T., Almeida, P. R., Cunha, J. P. S. & Aguiar, A. Heart rate variability metrics 1056 for fine-grained stress level assessment. Comput Methods Programs Biomed 148, 71-1057 80 (2017). 1058 RK, R. et al. Accuracy of Wrist-Worn Activity Monitors During Common Daily 6. 1059 Physical Activities and Types of Structured Exercise: Evaluation Study. JMIR 1060 *Mhealth Uhealth* **6**, (2018). 7. 1061 Bent, B., Goldstein, B. A., Kibbe, W. A. & Dunn, J. P. Investigating sources of 1062 inaccuracy in wearable optical heart rate sensors. npj Digital Medicine 2020 3:1 3, 1–9 1063 (2020).1064 8. Accuracy | Garmin. https://www.garmin.com/en-US/legal/atdisclaimer/. 9. 1065 Blevins, C. A., Weathers, F. W., Davis, M. T., Witte, T. K. & Domino, J. L. The 1066 Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5): Development and Initial 1067 Psychometric Evaluation. J Trauma Stress 28, 489–498 (2015). 1068 10. Löwe, B. et al. Validation and standardization of the generalized anxiety disorder 1069 screener (GAD-7) in the general population. Med Care 46, 266–274 (2008). 1070 11. Mofaz, M. et al. Real-time sensing of war's effects on wellbeing with smartphones 1071 and smartwatches. Communications Medicine 2023 3:1 3, 1–11 (2023). 1072 12. Mofaz, M. et al. Self-Reported and Physiologic Reactions to Third BNT162b2 mRNA 1073 COVID-19 (Booster) Vaccine Dose. Emerg Infect Dis 28, 1375 (2022). 1074 13. Gepner, Y. et al. Utilizing wearable sensors for continuous and highly-sensitive 1075 monitoring of reactions to the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine. 1076 Communications Medicine 2022 2:1 2, 1–8 (2022). 1077 1078 1079 1080
- 1081