

1 **Title Page**

2 **Item generation for a new patient-reported outcome measure:** The non-traumatic anterior knee pain (AKP)-
3 YOUTH scale

4 **Running Head:** Patient-reported outcome measure and non-traumatic anterior knee pain

5 Authors: Marie Germund Nielsen^{1,2a}, Kristian Damgaard Lyng^{2,3a}, Sinead Holden^{2,3,4}, Simon Kristoffer
6 Johansen^{2,3}, Marinus Winters³ and Michael Skovdal Rathleff^{2,3,5}.

7

8 **Affiliations**

9 ¹ Clinical Nursing Research Unit, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

10 ² Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

11 ³ Center for General Practice at Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark.

12 ⁴ School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Sports Science, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

13 ⁵ Department of Occupational Therapy and Physiotherapy, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark.

14 ^a Shared first authorship.

15 Marie Germund Nielsen: marie.nielsen@rn.dk, Kristian Damgaard Lyng: klyng@dcm.aau.dk, Sinead Holden:
16 siho@hst.aau.dk, Simon Kristoffer Johansen: skjohansen@dcm.aau.dk, Marinus Winters:
17 marinuswinters@hotmail.com, Michael Skovdal Rathleff: misr@hst.aau.dk

18 All authors have read and approved this manuscript.

19

20 **Conflict of interest and source of funding**

21 The project is financed by funds from Novo Nordisk (ID: 29962) and TrygFonden (ID: 118547). Otherwise, the
22 authors have no conflicts to declare.

23 **Corresponding Author**

24 Kristian Damgaard Lyng, Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg
25 University, Selma Lagerlöfs Vej 249, 9260 Gistrup, Denmark, Phone +45 30669439, E-mail:
26 klyng@dcm.aau.dk.

27

28 **Keywords**

29 Adolescents, Knee pain, Patient reported outcome measure.

30 **Competing interests**

31 None stated.

32 **Data availability statement**

33 Researchers interested in the data from the AKP-Youth scale study may contact the principal investigator, Prof.

34 Michael Skovdal Rathleff, misr@hst.aau.dk.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

35 **Question:** Which domains are important to develop a preliminary item bank for a new patient-
36 reported outcome measure relating to adolescents with non-traumatic anterior knee pain?

37 **Design:** Multiple methods: semi-structured interviews,

38 **Participants:** Twenty-one adolescents with anterior knee pain participated in semi-structured
39 interviews which explored their experience of living with knee pain. Following thematic
40 analysis, we generated an item bank based on the domains which emerged from the impact
41 their knee pain had on their daily life. Ten clinical experts provided input on the preliminary
42 item bank via an online survey. Cognitive interviews were conducted using the think-aloud
43 approach with ten adolescents to evaluate the comprehensibility and face validity of the items.

44 **Results:** From the interviews we identified four overarching domains where adolescents were
45 impacted by their knee pain: knee symptoms, limitations in physical activity/sport, limitations
46 in social activities, and emotional impact of pain. Eighteen items was initially developed and
47 expanded to 23 following clinical expert input. The cognitive interviews with adolescents
48 demonstrated that the items were comprehensive, understandable, and relevant for adolescents.

49 **Conclusion:** This study developed an item bank of 23 items. These spanned four domains of
50 impact for adolescents with anterior knee pain. The items had good face validity and were
51 deemed relevant and understandable for adolescents with knee pain. Further steps are needed
52 to validate and reduce the items for the non-traumatic anterior knee pain (AKP)-YOUTH scale.

53

54

55

56

57 **Introduction**

58 Musculoskeletal pain is common in adolescents, affecting almost one in three (1,2). Knee pain
59 is one of the most common pain types (3,4) and is associated with disability, low quality of
60 life, and difficulties participating in physical activity, sport, and social activities (5–7). Several
61 painful conditions are included under the definition of non-traumatic anterior knee pain. The
62 most common conditions are Osgood Schlatter disease, patellofemoral pain, and Sinding-
63 Larsen Johansson, which are associated with pain and functional impairments which often span
64 months or even years (7–11).

65 Patient-reported outcomes are reports of patients' health conditions coming directly from the
66 patients and are important to gain patients' perspectives on their symptoms and condition (12).
67 Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) can either be generic (e.g., measures of quality
68 of life that are designed for use across multiple conditions) or condition-specific PROMs,
69 which are designed to capture changes in a specific condition (e.g., Knee Injury and
70 Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) (13)). No PROMs have been developed specifically for
71 adolescents with non-traumatic anterior knee pain.

72 The PROMs currently used in clinical research on non-traumatic knee pain in adolescents (e.g.,
73 KOOS, KOOS-Child and Pedi-IKDC) are not specifically developed for adolescents with non-
74 traumatic anterior knee pain (13–15). These PROMs may not adequately capture the
75 adolescent's experience and creates uncertainty in what is measured (16,17). This can lead to
76 inaccuracies when estimating the effect of an intervention or the prognosis of the knee
77 condition (18). The main objectives of this study were to identify domains and develop a
78 preliminary item bank for adolescents with non-traumatic knee pain. This item bank should
79 later contribute to the non-traumatic anterior knee pain (AKP)-YOUTH scale.

81 **Materials and methods**

82 **Design**

83 To identify domains of relevance for the AKP-YOUTH scale, we incorporated lived
84 experiences from adolescents with anterior knee pain, clinical experts, and research on anterior
85 knee pain which was mapped to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and
86 Health (ICF) model. The development consisted of the following steps (Figure 1):

- 87 1) Identification of domains of impact for adolescents with knee pain through semi-
88 structured interviews with adolescents
- 89 2) Preliminary item bank formulation
- 90 3) Clinical expert input on identified domains and preliminary item bank
- 91 4) Cognitive interviews of adolescents using the think-aloud approach.
- 92 5) Translation of content

93 Data were processed and stored in accordance with the regulations of the General Data
94 Protection Regulation (GDPR). Ethical approval by the local committee was applied for but
95 was deemed exempt from full approval due to the low-risk nature of the study. Written
96 informed consent was obtained from the participants (15 years of age or older) or their legal
97 guardian(s) (if below 15 years).

98

99 **INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE: IDENTIFICATION PROCESS**

100

101

102

103 **Step 1: Identification of relevant domains through interviews**

104 To ensure domains included in an item bank reflected the lived experience of adolescents with
105 non-traumatic anterior knee pain (content validity), we conducted qualitative semi-structured
106 interviews with adolescents. An interview guide was designed by members of the steering
107 group (Appendix 1) based on existing research, members experience with this patient
108 population, and the ICF model (19). It consisted of open-ended questions, covering different
109 aspects of adolescents' experience of living with knee pain, while exploring which parts of the
110 experience the adolescents deemed important to improve. The interview guide was piloted on
111 three adolescents with knee pain to ensure comprehensibility and incited deep descriptions
112 from participants (20). The interview guide was iterated as new themes emerged from the
113 interviews. Adolescents aged 10 to 19 years with any type of non-traumatic anterior knee pain
114 for over three months were eligible for inclusion in the interviews. Adolescents with a traumatic
115 origin of pain or any other origin of pain (e.g., systemic disease, tumour) and those who were
116 not fluent in Danish were ineligible. McConaughy's recommendations for interviewing
117 adolescents were used to ensure all necessary precautions were taken (21). The interviews
118 lasted between 30 and 60 minutes. The interviews were conducted in person (n = 15) or over
119 Microsoft Teams (n = 6). Parents were invited to be present during the interviews. All
120 interviews were audio recorded and transcribed verbatim by the interviewer and two student
121 assistants using NVivo 12 (NVivo qualitative data analysis software; QSR International Pty
122 Ltd. Version 12, 2018). Data were analysed using the thematic text analysis approach described
123 by Braun and Clarke (24), which included the following steps: familiarisation, coding,
124 searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining, and naming themes, and writing up themes
125 into a narrative form. To familiarise themselves with the raw data, the transcripts of the
126 interviews were read multiple times by two coders. From this thematic coding, potential themes
127 were categorized by one researcher via inductive interpretation. All potential themes were

128 summarised, combined, and presented to the rest of the steering group as potential domains
129 and items. All themes were discussed, reviewed, and refined by the project group. As new
130 themes were identified, the transcripts were reread to ensure the themes and their related
131 domains were empirically grounded.

132

133 **Step 2: Preliminary item bank formulation based on identified domains of impact.**

134 Based on the results and identified themes from the interviews in step 1 and knowledge from
135 the literature (8,22–24) on adolescent anterior knee pain, initial items were formulated using
136 guidelines from Streiner et al (25). From the literature we used phrasing of items and response
137 options from other questionnaires in the preliminary item bank (14,15,26). This was conducted
138 by the study's steering group. The following criteria were used to generate preliminary items;
139 the items should:

- 140 1) Be discriminatory; measure differences within the construct, important to the
141 adolescent with non-traumatic anterior knee pain
- 142 2) Be linked to adolescents' knee condition
- 143 3) Be able to measure changes over time
- 144 4) Measure a present state (i.e., does not say something about what will happen/is expected
145 to happen in the future)
- 146 5) Be applicable/relevant to all (>95%); all possible adolescents with knee pain should be
147 able to score on the item (e.g., an item on playing football may not be appropriate as
148 not all adolescents with knee pain engage in football regularly).
- 149 6) Each domain should include one construct

150 Preliminary items were as simple as possible (i.e., simplicity in phrasing, no jargon, no
151 ambiguity, no double-barrelled questions, and no value-laden words) to ensure

152 understandability regardless of health literacy (25). The formulation of the preliminary items
153 was an iterative process between the members of the steering group and was repeated until
154 agreement. All decisions and changes to the items were discussed collaboratively within the
155 steering group, which consisted of the authors who had clinical and research experience with
156 anterior knee pain among adolescents.

157

158 **Step 3: Clinical expert input**

159 We aimed to incorporate input from clinical experts into the identification of the domains and
160 preliminary items to ensure the item bank covered the important domains for this population.
161 Surveys were conducted in English, developed, and sent through Research Electronic Data
162 Capture tools (REDCapTM) hosted at Aalborg University (27,28). Some of the items, were
163 created in Danish, and hence the steering group translated the items into English for the purpose
164 of the survey. The participants were asked if the domains and items were adequately
165 comprehensive. Participants were also asked if the item bank missed any domains, or items
166 within domains. Participants were eligible to participate as experts if they had a minimum of 5
167 years of experience with adolescents with knee pain and had been involved in research on knee
168 pain (29). No domains or items were removed as this step was to provide input on phrasing and
169 to identify any potential domains or items of relevance that had not yet been captured by the
170 initial set of items.

171

172 **Step 4: Think-aloud exercise with adolescents.**

173 A think-aloud exercise was conducted with a researcher acting as ‘narrator’ (KDL) and
174 included the assessment of setup, usability, comprehensibility, and face validity via reflection-
175 in-action (30). To ensure that the wording of the item bank were applicable and readable to as

176 many 10-19 years as possible, we expanded the recruitment to include children and adolescents
177 between 8-19 years. The think-aloud exercise was conducted online via Microsoft Teams using
178 a process guide. Audio and video from the interviews were recorded (see Appendix 2 for think-
179 aloud interview process) (31). Parents were allowed to sit next to the adolescent to replicate a
180 situation in which adolescents would complete it with parents present. The adolescents received
181 a link to the item bank and were asked to print it out prior to the think-aloud exercise. During
182 the think-aloud exercise, the participants were asked to complete the items while reading and
183 thinking out loud about the items and responses. The participants were instructed to say
184 whatever came to their minds as they went through the items. This allowed the identification
185 of any difficulties in understanding phrases or concepts. If the adolescents would stop or pause,
186 the researcher would prompt (e.g., ‘why did you pause on this’) them to articulate their
187 reflections while reading the items to identify any misunderstanding or confusion of each item.
188 During all think-aloud exercises, the researcher collected field notes which were updated by
189 reviewing the video recordings after the exercise to ensure nothing was overlooked (30).
190 Sampling of participants was continued until saturation. We adjusted the items according to
191 the responses on an ongoing basis. And transcripts from the think-aloud exercise were imported
192 into Microsoft Word, analysed, and presented to the rest of the steering group for final
193 interpretation. Reiterations of the item bank were discussed within the steering group and
194 decisions were made by consensus.

195

196 **Step 5: Translation of content**

197 All Danish content was translated through a dual-panel approach (32). The first panel consisted
198 of the author group, consisting of five individuals (two physiotherapist, one nurse, one exercise
199 scientist, one information specialist) fluent in Danish and English. Five were native Danish
200 speakers, and one was a native English speaker. All independently translated the Danish all

201 study-derived content into English. The aim of their translation where to create translations that
202 could be understood by the target population (adolescents with non-traumatic knee pain aged
203 between 10-19 years old). The panel then synthesized and compared their translations with
204 linguistic imprecisions, language- and cultural appropriation in mind. Through consensus, a
205 final version was derived. Hereafter, a new panel consisting of four laypersons were established
206 and this panel were instructed to review the final translation from the first panel. The second
207 panel provided inputs to re-wording or re-phrasing of the translation. In cases where the item
208 bank and responses were derived from other validated PROMS, no translation were performed,
209 and the original formulation was kept. All translated material is presented in Appendix 8.

210

211 **RESULTS**

212 **Step 1: Identification of domains of relevance for adolescents with knee pain through** 213 **semi-structured interviews with adolescents**

214 Twenty-one adolescents with non-traumatic knee pain participated in the interviews to give
215 their experience of living with knee pain. Detailed demographics are presented in Table 1. The
216 thematic analysis revealed 12 domains. Summaries of each domain together with illustrative
217 quotes, were discussed within the steering group and distilled into four overarching domains
218 of importance. These were symptoms, limitations of physical activity, limitations in social
219 activity, and the emotional impact of pain.

220

221 **INSERT TABLE 1 HERE: DEMOGRAPHICS OF STEP 1**

222

223 **Step 2: Preliminary item bank formulation based on expert (steering group)**

224 The steering group identified four key domains (symptoms, limitations in physical activity,
225 limitations social activity and emotional impact of pain). A description of the topics after this
226 stage is included in Appendix 3. Also, existing literature was used and where possible, phrasing
227 or structure of phrasing of items and response options from existing questionnaires.
228 Preliminary item formulations are included in Appendix 4.

229 **Step 3: Clinical expert input**

230 Eleven clinical experts responded to the online questionnaire (demographics and clinical
231 experience are outlined in Table 2). The expert origins from the following countries: Australia
232 1(9.1%), Denmark 3(27.3%), Ireland 1(9.1%), United Kingdom 3(27.3%) and USA 1(9.1%).
233 Overall, the experts deemed the identified domains and preliminary items relevant and
234 comprehensive for adolescents with anterior knee pain. The experts made suggestions for
235 improvements in the phrasing of the included items. Some of the feedback pertained to overlap
236 in items. Based on the clinical expert input, six items were added (Appendix 5). This was done
237 to better capture the domain of physical activity and exercise (3 items) to better capture the
238 general ability to be active and how this is influenced by knee pain. This was triangulated with
239 the themes identified in the interviews with adolescents and based on this, additional items
240 were added to ensure full comprehensiveness in the item bank. In addition, items were added
241 for impact on sleep (symptoms domain), standing from prolonged sitting (limitations in
242 activity), and difficulty kneeling (limitations in activity). The items added are listed in appendix
243 5, and they correspond to items 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, and 13 in the questionnaire.

244

245 **INSERT TABLE 2 HERE: DEMOGRAPHICS OF STEP 3**

246

247

248 **Step 4: Think-aloud exercise with adolescents**

249 Cognitive interviews were undertaken on the final 23 items in a draft questionnaire (33). Ten
250 adolescents (8 with non-traumatic anterior knee pain, and 2 were pain-free) participated in the
251 think-aloud exercise. Demographics are presented in Table 3. Themes generated from think-
252 aloud are presented in table 4. All participants were able to read and understand the
253 formulations and response categories used in the think-aloud exercise. In the analysis we
254 identified issues and incorporated these. In the first theme, *usability*, the adolescents expressed
255 that REDCap was easy to use and only required little to no extra mental effort to navigate
256 through the items. One participant highlighted the potential need for a read-aloud function for
257 those with a reading-disability. Within the theme of *visibility*, the adolescents expressed that it
258 was easy to recognise the intended meaning of the items and that the instructions on how to
259 reply were clear. In the *understandability* theme, the adolescents highlighted several ideas for
260 rephrasing items questions (see Appendix 6 for item formulation after think-aloud).
261 Furthermore, most of the participants expressed difficulty in understanding the difference
262 between severity and frequency related to two items. Despite a few proposed corrections from
263 the participants, the adolescents expressed that the overall reading flow was good but
264 highlighted that the flow could be improved if some of the questions were shortened. No action
265 was taken as the overall impression was good and in general, all participants expressed that the
266 content was both accurate and appropriate in terms of their own lived experience, and thereby
267 the item list was considered acceptable and comprehensive.

268

269 **INSERT TABLE 3 HERE: DEMOGRAPHICS OF STEP 4**

270

271 **INSERT TABLE 4 HERE: THEMES GENERATED FROM THINK-ALLOUD**

272 **DISCUSSION**

273 We identified a preliminary set of items to be used in a condition-specific PROM for
274 adolescents with non-traumatic knee pain. We combined multiple steps to ensure that the
275 domains identified were of importance to adolescents, clinicians, and researchers. Our results
276 from the cognitive interviews showed that the preliminary set of items had good face and
277 content validity. The clinicians' additions to the domains emerged from a clinical rationale
278 which are valuable for clinicians using the tool for treating adolescents. Several clinical trials
279 have used PROMs for non-traumatic anterior knee pain (22,34) however none of them have
280 been developed specifically for this population. The most frequently used PROMs are the
281 KOOS and KOOS Child, the Anterior Knee Pain Scale, and the Pedi-IKDC (13–15,26). The
282 KOOS child covers five domains: pain, other symptoms, function in daily living (ADL),
283 function in sport and recreation (Sport/Rec), and knee-related quality of life (QOL) (14). The
284 Anterior Knee Pain Scale covers pain, symptoms, and knee function domains, while the Pedi-
285 IKDC covers three domains: symptoms, sports activity, and knee function (15,26). None of
286 these PROMs were originally developed based on interviews with adolescents suffering from
287 non-traumatic anterior knee pain. These PROMs are either physician-based, includes
288 symptoms items not relevant to our population or developed without patient involvement. Our
289 interviews with 21 adolescents revealed two domains of importance that are not included in the
290 currently used PROMs. The domains missing in current PROMs are limitations in social
291 activities (e.g., ability to do things with your friends) and the emotional impact of the pain (e.g.,
292 how often you feel sad because of knee pain). This highlights the importance of engaging
293 adolescents to ensure that PROMs captures domains of importance to them. Where possible,
294 we used phrasing or structure of phrasing of items and response options from other
295 questionnaires in the preliminary bank of items (14,15,26). This included frequency measures
296 such as “Never”, “Rarely”, “Sometimes”, “Often”, and “Always”. Likert scales were used to

297 assess the degree of difficulty such as “Not at all difficult”, “A little bit difficult”, “Somewhat
298 difficult”, “Very difficult”, and “Extremely difficult”. The aim of the response options was to
299 make answering easy and comprehensible for adolescents and make sure we used (where
300 possible) phrasing tested multiple times with this age group.

301

302 **Meaning of findings**

303 Our study introduces a new item bank for adolescence with non-traumatic anterior knee pain.
304 Applying such items (and as a PROM in the future) can lead to better trials where researchers
305 capture what is most important to the end-users. Previous cross-sectional research shows that
306 Osgood Schlatter disease and Patellofemoral Pain (the two most common conditions of non-
307 traumatic anterior knee pain have a significant impact on knee function, ADL, sports
308 participation, quality of life, sleep, and emotional well-being in adolescents (8). However, these
309 studies have been conducted using PROMs decided upon by researchers. Previous developed
310 PROMs are not comprehensive and has not been proven relevant among adolescence. This
311 means that we may not capture the full impact of these conditions on adolescents with non-
312 traumatic knee pain. This has implications both for interpreting the results of trials as well as
313 the design of trials to target domains of importance to the adolescents.

314

315 **Limitations**

316 We conducted the initial interviews in step 1 with children and adolescents from Denmark. It
317 is unclear if our findings would have been different if adolescents were from other countries
318 where physical activity patterns may be different. As an example, problems with bicycling
319 were identified as an important domain in our interviews. In countries where adolescents do
320 not bike regularly, this question may be less relevant. It is crucial that future adaptations cover

321 the cultural differences that might exist. It could have been a strength if we adolescents were
322 also involved in the item development (e.g., through workshops). However, the content validity
323 for adolescents is ensured by the findings from the interviews and think-aloud exercises. We
324 aimed to include children and adolescents with various forms of non-traumatic anterior knee
325 pain to ensure that a potential future PROM would be suitable for all types of non-traumatic
326 anterior knee pain between the age of 10 and 19 years of age. Therefore, it is unclear if there
327 are domains and items specifically relevant for specific knee conditions within the broader
328 group of adolescents with non-traumatic anterior knee pain. We conducted the think-aloud
329 exercise online due to COVID-19 restrictions. This method made it easy and accessible for
330 adolescents across Denmark to participate, but it is unclear if it affected the adolescents during
331 the think-aloud exercise. We used a pragmatic Danish-English translation process, which might
332 have affected our contributions/alterations to the items during the development. Three of the
333 items (jumping, biking, running) may not be relevant to all adolescents. Future studies need to
334 test the relevance of these items outside a Danish context.

335

336 **Implications and future research**

337 Our preliminary item bank needs further testing in larger groups to test both validity, reliability
338 and responsiveness before usage in research and clinical practice (35). Developing a valid
339 PROM will provide health professionals understand the impact across domains of importance
340 to adolescents and inform the treatment and progress of adolescents with non-traumatic anterior
341 knee pain.

342

343

344

345 **Conclusion**

346 A preliminary item bank was developed with inputs from adolescents with non-traumatic
347 anterior knee pain, and clinical experts. The item bank revealed items involving
348 biopsychosocial aspects and items not previously covered by other PROMs for adolescent knee
349 pain. Further studies is needed to ensure that the most reliable and valid items has been
350 identified prior to implementation in clinical practice and research.

351

352 **Acknowledgements**

353 The authors would like to thank the expert clinicians, who provided valuable input to the
354 development of the PROM. Additionally, the authors would like to thank all the participants
355 including adolescents, parents, and clinicians who participated in the study. Finally, thank you
356 to Rasmus Sloth Hoffman for his contribution to designing the study and acquisition of data.

357

358 **Declaration of generative AI in scientific writing**

359 All authors declare that no artificial intelligent technology was used in any part of this study.

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367 **References**

- 368 1. Keeratisiroj O, Siritaratiwat W. Prevalence of self-reported musculoskeletal pain
369 symptoms among school-age adolescents: age and sex differences. *Scand J Pain*.
370 2018;18(2):273–80.
- 371 2. Kamper SJ, Henschke N, Hestbaek L, Dunn KM, Williams CM. Musculoskeletal pain in
372 children and adolescents. *Braz J Phys Ther*. 2016;20(AHEAD):0–0.
- 373 3. Rathleff MS, Roos EM, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S. High prevalence of daily and multi-site
374 pain – a cross-sectional population-based study among 3000 Danish adolescents. *Bmc*
375 *Pediatr*. 2013;13(1):191.
- 376 4. King S, Chambers CT, Huguet A, MacNevin RC, McGrath PJ, Parker L, et al. The
377 epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents revisited; A systematic
378 review. *Pain*. 2011;152(12):2729–38.
- 379 5. Rathleff MS, Rathleff CR, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S, Roos EM. Is Knee Pain During
380 Adolescence a Self-limiting Condition? *Am J Sports Medicine*. 2016;44(5):1165–71.
- 381 6. Rathleff MS, Roos EM, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S, Arendt-Nielsen L. Lower Mechanical
382 Pressure Pain Thresholds in Female Adolescents With Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome. *J*
383 *Orthop Sport Phys*. 2013;43(6):414–21.
- 384 7. Guldhammer C, Rathleff MS, Jensen HP, Holden S. Long-term Prognosis and Impact of
385 Osgood-Schlatter Disease 4 Years After Diagnosis: A Retrospective Study. *Orthop J Sports*
386 *Medicine*. 2019;7(10):2325967119878136.
- 387 8. Rathleff MS, Winiarski L, Krommes K, Graven-Nielsen T, Hölmich P, Olesen JL, et al.
388 Pain, Sports Participation, and Physical Function in Adolescents With Patellofemoral Pain
389 and Osgood-Schlatter Disease: A Matched Cross-sectional Study. *J Orthop Sport Phys*.
390 2020;50(3):149–57.
- 391 9. Rathleff MS, Holden S, Straszek CL, Olesen JL, Jensen MB, Roos EM. Five-year
392 prognosis and impact of adolescent knee pain: a prospective population-based cohort study of
393 504 adolescents in Denmark. *Bmj Open*. 2019;9(5):e024113.
- 394 10. Holden S, Olesen JL, Winiarski LM, Krommes K, Thorborg K, Hölmich P, et al. Is the
395 Prognosis of Osgood-Schlatter Poorer Than Anticipated? A Prospective Cohort Study With
396 24-Month Follow-up. *Orthop J Sports Medicine*. 2021;9(8):23259671211022240.
- 397 11. Holden S, Roos EM, Straszek CL, Olesen JL, Jensen MB, Graven-Nielsen T, et al.
398 Prognosis and transition of multi-site pain during the course of 5 years: Results of knee pain
399 and function from a prospective cohort study among 756 adolescents. *Plos One*.
400 2021;16(5):e0250415.
- 401 12. Weldring T, Smith SMS. Patient-Reported Outcomes (PROs) and Patient-Reported
402 Outcome Measures (PROMs). *Heal Serv Insights*. 2013;6:HSI.S11093.

- 403 13. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD. Knee Injury and
404 Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)—Development of a Self-Administered Outcome
405 Measure. *J Orthop Sport Phys*. 1998;28(2):88–96.
- 406 14. Örtqvist M, Roos EM, Broström EW, Janarv PM, Iversen MD. Development of the Knee
407 Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score for Children (KOOS-Child). *Acta Orthop*.
408 2012;83(6):666–73.
- 409 15. Nasreddine AY, Connell PL, Kalish LA, Nelson S, Iversen MD, Anderson AF, et al. The
410 Pediatric International Knee Documentation Committee (Pedi-IKDC) Subjective Knee
411 Evaluation Form: Normative Data. *Am J Sports Medicine*. 2017;45(3):527–34.
- 412 16. Anker SD, Agewall S, Borggrefe M, Calvert M, Caro JJ, Cowie MR, et al. The
413 importance of patient-reported outcomes: a call for their comprehensive integration in
414 cardiovascular clinical trials. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35(30):2001–9.
- 415 17. Makrinioti H, Bush A, Griffiths C. What are patient-reported outcomes and why they are
416 important: improving studies of preschool wheeze. *Archives Dis Child - Educ Pract Ed*.
417 2020;105(3):185–8.
- 418 18. Kluzek S, Dean B, Wartolowska KA. Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) as
419 proof of treatment efficacy. *Bmj Evidence-based Medicine*. 2022;27(3):153–5.
- 420 19. International classification of functioning, disability, and health : ICF [Internet]. Version
421 1.0. Geneva : World Health Organization, [2001] ©2001; Available from:
422 <https://search.library.wisc.edu/catalog/999977181002121>
- 423 20. Kvale S, Brinkmann S. Interviews: Learning the craft of qualitative research interviewing.
424 3. edition. Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage Publications; 2014. xviii, 405 pages p.
- 425 21. McConaughy S. Clinical interviews for children and adolescents : assessment to
426 intervention. New York: Guilford Press; 2013. xv, 272 s. p.
- 427 22. Rathleff MS, Graven-Nielsen T, Hölmich P, Winiarski L, Krommes K, Holden S, et al.
428 Activity Modification and Load Management of Adolescents With Patellofemoral Pain: A
429 Prospective Intervention Study Including 151 Adolescents. *Am J Sports Medicine*.
430 2019;47(7):1629–37.
- 431 23. Winters M, Holden S, Lura CB, Welton NJ, Caldwell DM, Vicenzino BT, et al.
432 Comparative effectiveness of treatments for patellofemoral pain: a living systematic review
433 with network meta-analysis. *Brit J Sport Med*. 2021;55(7):369–77.
- 434 24. Johansen SK, Holden S, Pourbordbari N, Jensen MB, Thomsen JL, Rathleff MS.
435 PAINSTORIES – Exploring the temporal developments in the challenges, barriers, and self-
436 management needs of adolescents with longstanding knee pain: A qualitative, retrospective
437 interview study with young adults experiencing knee pain since adolescence. *J Pain*. 2021;
- 438 25. Streiner DL, Norman GR, Cairney J. Health measurement scales: A practical guide to
439 their development and use. 5th edition. Press OU, editor. Oxford University Press; 2015.

- 440 26. Kujala UM, Jaakkola LH, Koskinen SK, Taimela S, Hurme M, Nelimarkka O. Scoring of
441 patellofemoral disorders. *Arthrosc J Arthrosc Relat Surg.* 1993;9(2):159–63.
- 442 27. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic
443 data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for
444 providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform.* 2009;42(2):377–81.
- 445 28. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, Elliott V, Fernandez M, O’Neal L, et al. The REDCap
446 Consortium: Building an International Community of Software Platform Partners. *J Biomed*
447 *Inform.* 2019;95:103208.
- 448 29. Barton CJ, Rathleff MS. ‘Managing My Patellofemoral Pain’: the creation of an
449 education leaflet for patients. *Bmj Open Sport Exerc Medicine.* 2016;2(1):e000086.
- 450 30. Burbach B, Barnason S, Thompson SA. Using “Think Aloud” to Capture Clinical
451 Reasoning during Patient Simulation. *Int J Nurs Educ Scholarsh.* 2015;12(1):1–7.
- 452 31. Lundgrén-Laine H, Salanterä S. Think-Aloud Technique and Protocol Analysis in
453 Clinical Decision-Making Research. *Qual Health Res.* 2010;20(4):565–75.
- 454 32. Swaine-Verdier A, Doward LC, Hagell P, Thorsen H, McKenna SP. Adapting Quality of
455 Life Instruments. *Value Health.* 2004;7:S27–30.
- 456 33. Wolcott MD, Lobczowski NG. Using cognitive interviews and think-aloud protocols to
457 understand thought processes. *Curr Pharm Teach Learn.* 2021;13(2):181–8.
- 458 34. Rathleff MS, Roos EM, Olesen JL, Rasmussen S. Exercise during school hours when
459 added to patient education improves outcome for 2 years in adolescent patellofemoral pain: a
460 cluster randomised trial. *Brit J Sport Med.* 2015;49(6):406.
- 461 35. Comins JD, Brodersen J, Siersma V, Jensen J, Hansen CF, Krogsgaard MR. How to
462 develop a condition-specific PROM. *Scand J Med Sci Spor.* 2021;31(6):1216–24.
- 463

Figures

Figure 1: DEVELOPMENT PROCESS OF THE AKP-YOUTH PROM




Table 1: DEMOGRAPHICS OF PARTICIPANTS IN STEP 1

Category	
No. of participants (n)	21
Age in years, median (range)	12 (10-18)
Sex (female, %)	n = 12, 57.1%
Height in cm, median (range)	150 (137-176)
Weight in kg, median (range)	47 (37-66)
Diagnosis (n)	PFP = 13, OSD = 6, SL = 1, RK = 1.
Duration of symptoms in months, median (range)	12 (3-48)
Sports Activity (Yes, n/n)	19/21

Abbreviations: PFP = Patellofemoral Pain, OSD = Osgood Schlatter, SL = Sinding-Larsson, RK = Runner's Knee

Table 2: DEMOGRAPHICS OF PARTICIPANTS FOR STEP 3

Response rate	11/14 (78%)
Sex (%female)	30%
Age in years (mean (SD))*	44(8)
Healthcare profession	
Sports medicine physician	2 (18.2%)
Physiotherapist/physical therapist	8 (72.7%)
Orthopaedic surgeon	1 (9.1%)
Country	
Australia	1 (9.1%)
Denmark	3 (27.3%)
Ireland	1 (9.1%)
United Kingdom	3 (27.3%)
USA	1 (9.1%)
Cases per month of adolescent knee pain	
Between 1 and 4	
Between 5 and 10	2 (18.2%)
Between 11 and 15	6 (54.5%)
More than 16	1 (9.1%)
	2 (18.2%)
Years' experience	
Between 5 and 10	6 (54.5%)
Between 11 and 15	5 (45.5%)
Academic qualification	
Bachelor	1 (9.1%)
Master	3 (27.3%)
PhD	7 (63.6%)

*Missing data for N = 1 participant on age

Table 3: DEMOGRAPHICS OF PARTICIPANTS STEP 4

Category	
No. of participants (n)	10
Age in years, median (range)	11.5 (8-17)
Sex (female, %)	n = 6, 60%
Height in cm, median (range)	151.5 (140-178)
Weight in kg, median (range)	41.5 (27-62)
Diagnosis (n)	6 = OSD, 2 = PFP, 2 = pain-free
Sports Activity (Yes, n/n)	10/10

Abbreviations: PFP = Patellofemoral Pain, OSD = Osgood Schlatter,

TABLE 4: THEMES GENERATED FROM THINK-ALOUD

Theme	Description
<i>Usability</i>	Practical use of the items, including time, navigation, clicks and mental effort.
<i>Visibility</i>	Level of ease to recognize the key messages and instructions given in the questionnaire
<i>Content</i>	Accuracy and appropriateness of content included in the questionnaire
<i>Understandability</i>	Understandability and meaning of words used in the questionnaire
<i>Flow</i>	Ability to navigate through each category and general reading flow of questionnaire
<i>Acceptability</i>	General accept of the relevancy of the overall questionnaire