Breast and cervical cancer screening among women at reproductive age in Cambodia: Data analysis of Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2022

Samnang Um*¶, Heng Sopheab¶

National Institute of Public Health, Tuol Kork District, Phnom Penh, Cambodia
*umsamnang56@gmail.com
¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Breast and cervical cancers account for about one-third of all cancers worldwide, significantly contributing to maternal morbidity and mortality. We examined socio-demographic and behavioral factors associated with breast and cervical cancer screening among Cambodian women aged 15-49 years old. We analyzed women's data from the 2022 Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey (CDHS) that used a two-stage stratified cluster sampling design to select the national representative samples. In total, 19,496 women were interviewed. Multivariable logistic regression was performed using STATA V17 to examine factors associated with breast and cervical cancer screening. The results show that the proportion screenings of cervical and breast cancers were 15.3% and 10.6% respectively. After being adjusted, factors independently associated with breast cancer screening included age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.51; 95% CI: 1.55-4.06], 30-39 years [AOR=4.34; 95% CI: 2.66-7.09], and 40-49 years [AOR=4.66; 95% CI: 2.81-7.71], higher education [AOR=1.92; 95% CI: 1.26-2.93], media access [AOR=1.66; 95% CI: 1.32-2.10], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=1.50; 95% CI: 1.25-1.80]. Similarly, the odds of having cervical cancer screening were age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.88; 95% CI: 1.76-4.71], 30-39 years [AOR=5.94; 95% CI: 3.58-9.83], and 40-49 years [AOR=7.61; 95% CI: 4.55-12.73], higher education [AOR=1.55; 95% CI: 1.55-2.73], media access [AOR=1.62; 95% CI: 1.35,1.95], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=2.14; 95% CI: 1.78-2.58]. In conclusion, this study shows the low screening proportion for both breast and cervical cancers; and it also highlights that socio-economic factors are significantly important in determining the health care seeking for these two main cancer screening services among women aged 15-49 years in Cambodia. Therefore, health education about main cancer screenings should be made better accessible to women in low socio-economic status.

Keywords: Cervical cancer, Breast cancer, Screening, Women, Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey

Introduction

Cancer is the most significantly public health problem worldwide, with approximately 18.1 million cases (8.8 million in women) and 10 million cancer-related mortality reported in 2020 [1]. The most commonly diagnosed cancers among women globally are breast and cervical cancers [2], with cervical cancer being a relatively bigger problem in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) than breast cancer [3]. According to estimates, cervical cancer accounted for more than 604,000 new cases and 342,000 deaths globally in 2020 [4], while breast cancer affected over 2.3 million women and resulted in 685,000 deaths; these most diagnosed cancers worldwide in women at any age after puberty, but with increasing rates of getting older [4,5]. Existing evidence suggests that early diagnosis plays a pivotal role in preventing and intervening in these cancers [6]. Early community-based screening and awareness programs have been in place in many developed countries such as the United States, Finland, Sweden, France, and Japan [7-10]. Recently, national screening programs for cervical cancer have been widely provided in Asian countries including China, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, and Thailand [11]. However, adopted breast cancer screening as a national program has been slowly implemented due to limited availabilities of screening guidelines across Asia, access barriers, budgeting constraint, stigma, fear of diagnosis, limited knowledge of breast self-examination, and cultural concerns [5,12].

Evidence from low and middle-income countries (LMICs) including Cambodia indicate that incomplete treatment and insufficient follow-up are the leading causes of the low proportion of breast and cervical cancer screening with late detection resulting in high mortality. Other significant contributing factors have included social stigma, negligence, unproper referral pathways, a lack of essential health infrastructure facilities, and ineffective treatment [13,14]. Despite growing cases of breast and cervical cancer, practical and accessible screening programs is very limited in LMICs. With limited resources, many countries have adopted varying ages for breast and cervical cancer screening. For instance, the minimum recommended age for breast cancer screening in Vietnam is 20 years, 30 years in India, 35 years in Sri Lanka, and 40 years each in China and Pakistan [15-18]. In the case of cervical cancer, China recommends 18 years as the minimum age for screening, while it is 20 years in Korea, 30 years each in India and Indonesia, and 35 years in Thailand [11]. Despite these guidelines, the screening prevalence is still low. For instance, cervical cancer screening varies from 7.3% in Indonesia to 22.3% in India. Among others,

lack of screening knowledge, socio- demographic and economic cultural, and structural barriers are the determinants for low screening in LMICs [19].

In Cambodia, breast and cervical cancer are the most common ones in women in which the burden of breast cancer was 18.9% (1,877 cases), and cervical cancer was 11.4% (1,135 cases) reported in 2020 [1]. The age-standardized incidence rate (13.5 per 100,000 women) and mortality rate (10.1 per 100,000 women) are much higher than regional and global estimates [1]. The recent data from CDHS 2021-22 have shown that only 11% of women aged 15-49 reported that they had ever been examined by health care providers to check for breast cancer and 15% reported that they had been tested for cervical cancer [20]. The breast and cervical cancers are increasing and become a public health problem [20]. Given these public health concerns, the breast and cervical cancers were included in the disease priority list in the National Strategy for the Prevention and Control of Non-communicable Diseases (NCDs) 2007-2010 [21]. In 2008, the Cambodian Ministry of Health (MoH) issued a national guideline for cervical cancer screening with visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) and immediate treatment with cryotherapy (For example "screen and treat"), while the three screening methods for breast cancer include breast self-examination, physical examination of the breasts by a physician or qualified health workers or clinical breast examination and mammography as the national strategy, followed by the national strategic plan for NCDs 2013-2020 [22]. However, it is expensive to test for Human papillomavirus (HPV) and it is less sensitive and less accurate that the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based test used in more affluent settings [23]. Therefore, Cambodia is limited in resource for implementing its strategic plan since the country still has many healthcare competing priorities to deal with including infectious diseases, NCD, universal health coverage and quality of health [23]. In 2017, there was an HPV vaccine campaign demonstration project to administer two doses of bivalent HPV vaccine to all 9-year-old girls residing in Svay Rieng and in Siem Reap provinces; however, it is not yet widely available in Cambodia [24,25].

To our knowledge, very limited research has been observed in the area of breast and cervical cancer screening and its associated risk factors among Cambodian women. Thus, this paper aimed to assess the breast and cervical cancer screening services linked to women aged 15-49 and its determinants.

Materials and methods

Data sources and procedures

We used existing women's data from the latest 2022 CDHS, which was a nationally representative population-based household survey implemented by the National Institute of Statistics (NIS) in collaboration with MoH. Data collection was conducted from September 15, 2021, to February 15, 2022 [20]. Two-stage stratified cluster sampling was used to collect the samples. In the initial stage, 709 clusters, enumeration areas (EAs) stratified by urban-rural were selected from the sampling frame using probability proportional to cluster size. In the second stage, 25-30 households were selected from the list of households in each EA using systematic sampling. Eligible women in selected households were invited to complete the interview. In total, 19,496 women aged 15-49 were interviewed face-to-face, using the survey questionnaire, covering socio-demographic characteristics, alcohol drinking, tobacco use, household assets maternal health related indicators and nutritional status, and data on breast and cervical cancer screening by healthcare providers [20]. The response rate was reported to be 98.2%. Overall, 254 women reported missing for breast and cervical cancer screening were excluded. Data restriction resulted in a final analytic sample of 19,242 women.

Measurements

Outcome variables

The two separate outcome variables consisting of breast cancer and cervical cancer screening were defined based on the question 'Has a doctor or other healthcare provider examined your breasts to check for breast cancer, and 'Has a doctor or other healthcare worker ever tested you for cervical cancer?' Then the two outcomes were coded as binary variable with Yes =1 to indicate cancer screening, and No = 0 to indicate otherwise respectively.

Independent variables

Independent variables consisted of socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors: Women's age in years (15-19, 20-29, 30-39, and 40-49), marital status (never-married, currently married, and formerly married), education (no education, primary, secondary, and higher), occupation (not working, professional/formal, and non-professional/informal). Household wealth

index (poorest, poorer, medium, richer, and richest) geographical regions (plains, Tonle Sap, coastal/sea, and mountains) and place of residence (rural vs. urban) [20]. Health insurance coverage (yes vs. no), health facility visits within the past year (yes vs. no), number of children ever born (no children, one-two child, three and more children). Smoking (non-smoker vs. smoker), alcohol consumption in the last 30 days (never drink, ever drink, and current drink which corresponds to one can or bottle of beer, one glass of wine, or one shot of spirits in the last 30 days, and contraceptive uses (hormonal methods and non-hormonal and traditional methods), media exposure at least once a week (no media, once type of media, and two and more types of media). Body mass index (underweight (< 18.5 kg/m^2), normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m^2), overweight, and/or obesity (≥ 25.0 kg/m^2).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 17 (Stata Crop 2019, College Station, TX). We accounted for CDHS sampling weight and complex survey design using the *survey package* in our descriptive and logistic regression analyses. Key socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors were described using weighted frequency distributions.

Bivariate analysis using Chi-square tests were performed to assess the association between the variables of interest (socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors) and breast cervical cancer screening separately. Variables associated with breast and cervical cancer screening with at p-value ≤ 0.10 were included in the multiple logistic regression analysis to determine the independent factors associated with the screening for breast and cervical cancer [26]. Multicollinearity between independent variables was checked including women's age, number of children ever born, education, wealth index, occupation, marital status, and place of residence. Result of the evaluating variance inflation factor (VIF) scores after fitting an Ordinary Least Squares regression model with the mean = 1.53 which indicated no collinearity concerns [27].

Ethical consideration

The study used women's data extracted from the most recent CDHS 2022 which are publicly available and granted through the DHS program (https://dhsprogram.com/data/available-

158 datasets.cfm) with all removed personal identifiers. Written informed consent was obtained from
159 the parent/guardian of each participant under 18 years of age before data collection. The data
160 collection tools and procedures for CHDS 2022 were approved by the Cambodia National Ethics
161 Committee for Health Research on 10 May 2021 (Ref # 83 NECHR) and the Institutional Review
162 Board (IRB) of ICF in Rockville, Maryland, USA.
163
164
165 **Results**
166
167 **Characteristics of the study samples**
168
169 Women's socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors are described in **Table 1**. The
170 mean age was slightly close to 31 years old (SD = 9.5 years); the age group 30-49 years old
171 accounted for 56.28%. More than 69% were currently married. Close to 43.0% of women worked
172 in non-professional or informal jobs, and 27.19% were unemployed. Half of the women completed
173 at least secondary education, while 11.56% had no formal education. More than one fourth of
174 women (26.87%) had three and more children. Close to one fourth of them (24.21%) reported
175 using hormonal contraceptives. Over half (53.60%) of the women did not have access to media,
176 and 28.73% were overweight and obese. Less than 2.0% of them reported cigarette smoking, and
177 16.20% currently drink alcohol. Of the total sample, 35.26% of women were from poor households.
178 Over half (57.45%) of the women resided in rural areas.
179
180 **Table 1.** Socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics of the weighted samples of women
181 aged 15-49 years old in Cambodia, 2022 (N = 19,251)
182

Variables	Freq.	Percent
Mean age in years (±SD)	30.8 (± 9.5)	
15-19	2,910	15.12
20-29	5,506	28.60
30-39	6,581	34.19
40-49	4,253	22.09
Marital status		
Never married	4,683	24.33
Currently married	13,361	69.40
Formerly married	1,206	6.26
Occupation		
Non-professional/Informal	8,164	42.41

Professional/Formal	5,852	30.40
Not working	5,234	27.19
Education		
No education	2,226	11.56
Primary	7,458	38.74
Secondary	8,178	42.48
Higher	1,389	7.22
Number of children born		
No birth	5,693	29.57
1-2 children	8,385	43.56
≥ 3 children	5,173	26.87
Contraceptive use		
Not use	10,909	56.67
Hormonal	4,660	24.21
Non-hormonal	3,681	19.12
Media exposure (Newspaper, radio, TV)		
None	10,319	53.60
One	6,103	31.70
≥ Two	2,829	14.70
BMI (n=9,708)		
Underweight	1,001	10.31
Normal	5,918	60.96
Overweight/Obese	2,789	28.73
Alcohol drinking in past 30 days		
Never drink	12,548	65.18
Ever drink	3,583	18.61
Current drink	3,119	16.20
Smokes cigarettes		
Non-smoker	18,967	98.52
Smoker	284	1.48
Health insurance coverage		
No	15,063	78.25
Yes	4,188	21.75
Wealth index		
Poor	6,787	35.26
Middle	3,788	19.68
Rich	8,676	45.07
Place of residence		
Urban	8,190	42.54
Rural	11,060	57.45
Geographical region*		
Plain	9,723	50.51
Tonle Sap	5,744	29.84
Plateau/Mountain	2,590	13.45
Coastal	1,194	6.20

Notes: *Survey weights were applied to obtain weighted percentages.* ****Plains:*** *Phnom Penh, Kampong Cham, Tbong Khmum, Kandal, Prey Veng, Svay Rieng, and Takeo;* ***Tonle Sap:*** *Banteay Meanchey, Kampong Chhnang, Kampong Thom, Pursat, Siem Reap, Battambang, Pailin, and Otdar Meanchey;* ***Coastal/sea:*** *Kampot, Kep, Preah Sihanouk, and Koh Kong;* ***Mountains:*** *Kampong Speu, Kratie, Preah Vihear, Stung Treng, Mondul Kiri, and Ratanak Kiri.*

Prevalence of breast and cervical screening among women and provincial distribution

Overall, 10.60% (95% CI: 9.7%-11.6%) of women had breast cancer screening by health providers, whereas the cervical cancer screening was 15.30% (95% CI: 14.2%-16.6%). **Fig 1** indicates the province specific prevalence of breast cancer screening **(Map A)** and cervical cancer screening **(Map B)**. The prevalence of breast cancer screening is the highest among women in Siem Reap (17.6%) followed by Phnom Penh (17.2%), Battambang (17.1%), Kampong Thom (15.3%) and the lowest in Kep (2.6%). The prevalence of cervical cancer screening was the highest among women in Phnom Penh (28.5%), followed by Kampong Thom (21.7%), Battambang (20.1%), and the lowest in Kampong Speu (3.1%).

Fig 1. Prevalence of breast cancer screening (**Map A**) and cervical cancer screening (**Map B)** among women by province. Shapefiles for administrative boundaries in Cambodia are publicly accessible through the DHS website at (UR:https://spatialdata.dhsprogram.com/boundaries/#view=table&countryId=KH).

Factors associated with breast cancer screening in bivariate analysis

Table 2 shows the association between socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors and breast cancer screening. Women had a higher proportion of breast cancer screening among aged 40-49 years (14.4%) than others (p-value <0.001). The women with professional jobs (15.2%) had higher education (22.4%) than other job categories (p-value <0.001). Also, women reported at least three children had a significantly higher experience with breast cancer screening (14.0%) than those with less children (p-value < 0.001); likewise, breast cancer screening was higher among women using non-hormonal contraceptive (18.7%) than those with hormonal use (p-value <0.001). Furthermore, following factors were found significantly associated with breast cancer screening: access to at least two types of media (16.0%) overweight and obese (14.2%) (p-value <0.001), report of never drinking alcohol (11.3%) (p-value = 0.003), non-smoker (11.3%) (p-value = 0.049), rich wealth quintile households (14.7%) (p-value <0.001), higher in urban areas than rural ones (13.1% vs 8.7%, p-value <0.001).

Factors associated with cervical cancer screening in bivariate analysis

225 The study found a strong association between socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral
226 factors and cervical cancer screening, as indicated in **Table 2**. Among women aged 40-49 years,
227 there was a significantly greater proportion of breast cancer screening (23.2%) compared to other
228 groups (p-value <0.001). This pattern was observed among formerly married women (20.2%) (p-
229 value <0.001), those with professional occupations (23.70%), and those with higher levels of
230 education (23.50%) (p-value <0.001). Also, women reported at least three children had a
231 significantly higher experienced with breast cancer screening (21%) than women with children
232 less than 3 (p-value < 0.001). Similarly, the prevalence of breast cancer screening was higher
233 among women who used non-hormonal contraceptives (29.29%) than those who used hormonal
234 contraceptives (p-value < 0.001). Moreover, other factors that exhibited a significant association
235 with breast cancer screening included having access to a minimum of two forms of media (22.30%),
236 being overweight or obese (21.60%) (p-value <0.001), reporting current alcohol consumption
237 (19.20%) (p-value 0.001), being a non-smoker (15.50%) (p-value 0.001), rich wealth quintile
238 (22.31%) (p-value <0.001), and residing in urban areas as opposed to rural areas (20.1% vs 11.8%,
239 p-value <0.001).

240 **Table 2.** Factors associated with breast and cervical cancer screening among women aged 15-49 years

	Breast cancer screening (N=19,251)			Cervical cancer screening (N=19,251)		
Variables	**Yes (n=2,039)**	**No (n=17,212)**		**Yes (n=2,952)**	**No (n=16,299)**	
	%	**%**	**P-value**	**%**	**%**	**P-value**
Women's age in years						
15-19	1.4	98.6	<0.001	1.0	99.0	<0.001
20-29	7.7	92.3		9.6	90.4	
30-39	14.7	85.3		21.4	78.6	
40-49	14.4	85.6		23.2	76.8	
Marital status						
Never-married	2.3	97.7	<0.001	1.8	98.2	<0.001
Currently married	13.2	86.8		19.7	80.3	
Formerly married	14.0	86.0		20.2	79.8	
Occupation						
Professional/Formal	15.2	84.8	<0.001	23.7	76.3	<0.001
Non-professional/Informal	8.8	91.2		12.4	87.6	
Not working	8.1	91.9		10.5	89.5	
Education						
No education	8.8	91.2	<0.001	12.9	87.1	<0.001
Primary	10.8	89.2		15.8	84.2	
Secondary	10.0	90.0		14.2	85.8	
Higher	15.5	84.5		23.5	76.5	
Number of children born						
No birth	3.4	96.6	<0.001	4.0	96.0	<0.001

1-2 children	13.4	86.6		19.5	80.5	
≥ 3 children	14.0	86.0		21.0	79.0	
Contraceptive use						
Not use	7.9	92.2	<0.001	11.0	89.1	<0.001
Hormonal	11.1	88.9		14.6	85.4	
Non-hormonal	18.1	81.9		29.3	70.7	
Media exposure (Newspaper, radio, TV)						
None	8.9	91.1	<0.001	13.0	87.0	<0.001
One	11.3	88.7		16.0	84.0	
Two or more	15.5	84.5		22.3	77.7	
BMI (n=9,708)						
Underweight	5.3	94.7	<0.001	6.3	93.7	<0.001
Normal	10.5	89.5		14.3	85.7	
Overweight/Obese	14.2	85.8		21.6	78.4	
Alcohol drinking in past 30 days						
Never drink	11.3	88.7	0.003	14.3	85.7	<0.001
Ever drink	8.0	92.0		15.7	84.3	
Current drink	10.8	89.2		19.2	80.8	
Smokes cigarettes						
Non-smoker	10.6	89.4	0.049	15.5	84.5	<0.001
Smoker	6.8	93.2		6.4	93.6	
Health insurance coverage						
No	10.5	89.5	0.472	15.0	85.0	0.112
Yes	11.0	89.0		16.6	83.4	
Wealth index						
Poor	7.4	92.6	<0.001	8.7	91.3	<0.001
Middle	8.3	91.7		11.2	88.8	
Rich	14.1	85.9		22.3	77.7	
Place of residence						
Urban	13.1	86.9	<0.001	20.1	79.9	<0.001
Rural	8.7	91.3		11.8	88.2	
Geographical of region*						
Tonle Sap	13.6	86.4	<0.001	16.6	83.4	<0.001
Plain	10.7	89.3		17.8	82.2	
Coastal	8.7	91.3		12.0	88.0	
Plateau/Mountain	4.6	95.4		4.8	95.2	

Notes: *Survey weights are applied to obtain weighted percentages.* ****Plains:*** *Phnom Penh, Kampong Cham, Tbong Khmum, Kandal, Prey Veng, Svay Rieng, and Takeo;* ***Tonle Sap:*** *Banteay Meanchey, Kampong Chhnang, Kampong Thom, Pursat, Siem Reap, Battambang, Pailin, and Otdar Meanchey;* ***Coastal/sea:*** *Kampot, Kep, Preah Sihanouk, and Koh Kong;* ***Mountains:*** *Kampong Speu, Kratie, Preah Vihear, Stung Treng, Mondul Kiri, and Ratanak Kiri.*

Risk factors associated with breast cancer screening in multiple logistic regression

As shown in **Table 3**, several factors were independently associated with increased odds of having breast cancer screening among women. These factors included age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.51; 95% CI: 1.55-4.06], 30-39 years [AOR=4.34; 95% CI: 2.66-7,09], and 40-49 years [AOR=4.66; 95% CI: 2.81-7.71], currently married [AOR=2.67; 95% CI: 1.79-3.97], formerly married [AOR=3.16; 95% CI: 1.93-5.17], completed secondary education [AOR=1.44; 95% CI: 1.12-1.85],

higher education [AOR=1.92; 95% CI: 1.26-2.93], using non-hormonal contraceptive [AOR=1.34; 95% CI: 1.08-1.65], access to media at least two types [AOR=1.66; 95% CI: 1.32-2.10], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=1.50; 95% CI: 1.25-1.80]. On the contrary, women reporting ever alcohol consumption [AOR=0.55; 95% CI: 0.45-0.66], and those reporting current alcohol drinking was associated with lower odds of having breast cancer screening [AOR=0.75; 95% CI: 0.63-0.89].

Risk factors associated with cervical cancer screening in multiple logistic regression

Similarly, following factors were independently associated with increased odds of having cervical cancer screening among women: age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.88; 95% CI: 1.76-4.71], 30-39 years [AOR=5.94; 95% CI: 3.58-9.83], and 40-49 years [AOR=7.61; 95% CI: 4.55-12.73], currently married [AOR=5.38; 95% CI: 3.52-8.21], formerly married [AOR=5.69; 95% CI: 3.70-8.74], working in the professional and/or formal jobs [AOR=1.38; 1.14-1.66], completed secondary education [AOR=1.34; 95% CI: 1.09-1.65], had higher education [AOR=1.55; 95% CI: 1.55-2.73], using non-hormonal contraceptive [AOR=1.46; 95% CI: 1.29-1.66], access to media at least two types [AOR=1.62; 95% CI: 1.35-1.95], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=2.14; 95% CI: 1.78-2.58]. On the contrary, women reported smoking cigarettes was associated with lower odds of having cervical cancer screening [AOR=0.43; 95% CI: 0.2-0.72], **(Table 3)**.

Table 3. Factors independently associated with breast and cervical cancer screening among women aged 15-49 years: Multivariate logistic regression

Variables	Breast cancer screening (N=19,251)		Cervical cancer screening (N=19,251)	
	AOR	95% CI	AOR	95% CI
Women's age in years				
15-19	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
20-29	2.51***	[1.55-4.06]	2.88***	[1.76-4.71]
30-39	4.34***	[2.66-7.09]	5.94***	[3.58-9.83]
40-49	4.66***	[2.81,7.71]	7.61***	[4.55-12.73]
Marital status				
Never-married	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Currently married	2.67***	[1.79-3.97]	5.38***	[3.52-8.21]
Formerly married	3.16***	[1.93-5.17]	5.69***	[3.70-8.74]
Occupation				
Not working	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Professional/Formal	1.20	[0.99-1.47]	1.38***	[1.14-1.66]
Non-professional/Informal	0.89	[0.73-1.09]	0.94	[0.79-1.12]
Education				
No education	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.

Primary	1.19	[0.94-1.52]	1.14	[0.94-1.38]
Secondary	1.44**	[1.12-1.85]	1.34**	[1.09-1.65]
Higher	1.92**	[1.26,2.93]	2.06***	[1.55-2.73]
Number of children born				
No birth	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
1-2 children	1.36	[0.92-2.02]	1.31	[0.99-1.75]
≥ 3 children	1.38	[0.94-2.03]	1.31	[0.94-1.82]
Contraceptive use				
Not use	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Hormonal	0.97	[0.80-1.19]	0.83*	[0.70-0.98]
Non-hormonal	1.34**	[1.08-1.65]	1.46***	[1.23-1.73]
Media exposure (Newspaper, radio, TV)				
None	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
One	1.20*	[1.04-1.39]	1.09	[0.96-1.24]
≥ Two	1.66***	[1.32-2.10]	1.62***	[1.35-1.95]
Alcohol drinking in the past 30 days				
Never drink	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Ever drink	0.55***	[0.45-0.66]	0.90	[0.75-1.08]
Current drink	0.75**	[0.63-0.89]	1.12	[0.95-1.31]
Smokes cigarettes				
Non-smoker	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Smoker	0.72	[0.43-1.19]	0.43**	[0.26-0.72]
Health insurance coverage				
No	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	0.96	[0.82-1.13]	1.00	[0.86-1.17]
Household wealth index				
Poor	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Middle	1.00	[0.82-1.23]	1.14	[0.95-1.36]
Rich	1.50***	[1.25-1.80]	2.14***	[1.78-2.58]
Place of residence				
Rural	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Urban	0.87	[0.73-1.03]	0.85*	[0.73-1.00]

276
277 * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

Discussion

The study highlights the relatively low proportion of breast cancer screening (10.6%), and cervical cancer screening (15.3%) respectively comparing to some Asian countries such as Thailand (46%-59%) and China (17%-26%) but comparable to Indonesia and India [11]. The study was consistent with previous study that indicated that most women had performed more cervical cancer screening than breast cancer screening especially in low and middle-income countries [28]. The main reason may be due to the awareness and concern about cervical cancer among women rather than the breast cancer despite the prevalence of the breast cancer is relatively higher than cervical cancer [29]. Also, it may be explained by the available of the national cancer screening guideline that focusing on cervical cancer screening [21,22]. Additionally, awareness about breast self-examination (BSE) in Cambodia was limited. Approximately 60% of Cambodia women were unaware about BSE [30]). Moreover, cancer screening uptake remained low compared to screening rates of above 50% in developed countries [29]. Therefore, strengthening the cancer screening in Cambodia requires ongoing efforts.

Overall, socio-economic factors were found to be associated with breast and cervical cancers screening [31,32]. For example, in our study, the odds of breast cancer screening increased with ages and the highest in ages 40-49 years. This corroborates previous studies showing that women aged 40 years and above are at a greater risk of developing breast cancer [33,34]. Consequently, women aged 35-39 should undergo routine mammography to enhance the early detection of breast cancer at least at the interval of 1-3 years and annually for women aged 40 years and older [34]. Similarly, the odds of being screened for cervical cancer increased with the women's age especially among aged 40-49 years that is commonly consistent with other studies after years of women's being sexually active [28,35,36]. The American Cancer Society (ACS) recommends that women aged 25 should undergo cervical cancer screening and tested for HPV every 5 years through age 65 years [37]. Women with higher education levels were more likely to increase the odds of breast cancer and cervical screening than those without formal education, being consistent with previous studies [31,32]. Moreover, contextual factors may contribute to the screening such as less educated women live in remote areas [38,39]. Similarly, women from rich families and professional or formal employment were more likely to have received breast or cervical cancer screening. These findings are consistent with the results of other international studies [32,40]. A

possible reason could be that women with strong financial backing are more likely to afford preventive care services, including breast cancer and cervical cancer screening, which may not be the case for women of the lowest economic status [41]. Another finding worth commenting on is the higher odds of breast cancer and cervical cancer screening among women exposed to the media (e.g., Newspaper, radio, TV) at least once a week compared to those not exposed at all. Intensive publicity and educative programs on various mass media platforms on the health benefits of periodic breast examinations for early detection and treatment had the potential to improve breast cancer screening behavior among women by building on their awareness levels.

Limitation

This study has few limitations. First, the data were cross-sectional, preventing any causal inference between the explanatory and outcome measures. Secondly, since we used existing data, some unavailable variables missing in the dataset. For instance, knowledge and attitude regarding cancer preventive services, and frequency of screening. Thirdly, the examination of breast and cervical cancer data were collected as self-reported by the women, it may make our analysis prone to information bias as under estimated. Despite these limitations, this study is the first to assess the socio-economic and behavioral factors associated with breast and cervical cancer screening in a nationally-representative sample of women of reproductive age 15-49 years in Cambodia. Furthermore, the study provides important insights regarding the prevalence and socio-demographic factors of breast cancer and cervical screening services with the national representative sample, which should be of particular interest among researchers and policymakers involved in cancer prevention program.

Conclusion

This study shows the low screening proportion for both breast and cervical cancers; and it also highlights that socio-economic factors are significantly important in determining the health care seeking for these two main cancer screening services among women aged 15-49 years in Cambodia. Therefore, health education about main cancer screenings should be made better accessible to women in low socio-economic status. Further studies are therefore necessary to generate a better

picture of the situation, including the quality of the health service and factors associated with the accessibility of the available services.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank DHS-ICF, who approved the data used for this paper.

Abbreviations

ACS; American Cancer Society, AOR; Adjusted odds ratio, BMI; Body mass index, CDHS; Cambodia Demographic Health Survey, EA; Enumeration areas, HPV; Human papillomavirus, NCDs; Non-communicable diseases, PPS; Probability proportional to size, WRA; Women reproductive age, WHO; World Health Organization.

References

1. Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, Colombet M, Mery L, Piñeros M, et al. Global cancer observatory: cancer today. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2020. 2021.
2. Ginsburg O, Bray F, Coleman MP, Vanderpuye V, Eniu A, Kotha SR, et al. The global burden of women's cancers: a grand challenge in global health. Lancet (London, England). 2017;389(10071):847-60. Epub 2016/11/07. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31392-7. PubMed PMID: 27814965; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc6191029.
3. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet (London, England). 2015;386(9995):743-800. Epub 2015/06/13. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(15)60692-4. PubMed PMID: 26063472; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc4561509.
4. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394-424. Epub 2018/09/13. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492. PubMed PMID: 30207593.
5. Lim YX, Lim ZL, Ho PJ, Li J. Breast Cancer in Asia: Incidence, Mortality, Early Detection, Mammography Programs, and Risk-Based Screening Initiatives. Cancers. 2022;14(17). Epub 2022/09/10. doi: 10.3390/cancers14174218. PubMed PMID: 36077752; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9454998.
6. World Health Organization. Cancer - Screening and early detection 2010 [cited 2023 July]. Available from: https://www.who.int/europe/news-room/fact-sheets/item/cancer-screening-and-early-detection-of-cancer.
7. Machii R, Takahashi H. Japanese cancer screening programs during the COVID-19 pandemic: Changes in participation between 2017-2020. Cancer epidemiology. 2023;82:102313. Epub 2022/12/13. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2022.102313. PubMed PMID: 36508964; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9726684.
8. Ma ZQ, Richardson LC. Cancer Screening Prevalence and Associated Factors Among US Adults. Preventing chronic disease. 2022;19:E22. Epub 2022/04/22. doi: 10.5888/pcd19.220063. PubMed PMID: 35446757; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9044902.

9. Autier P, Sullivan R. Population Screening for Cancer in High-Income Settings: Lessons for Low- and Middle-Income Economies. J Glob Oncol. 2019;5:1-5. Epub 2019/02/05. doi: 10.1200/jgo.18.00235. PubMed PMID: 30715958; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc6426516.
10. Ouanhnon L, Rougé Bugat ME, Lamy S, Druel V, Delpierre C, Grosclaude P. Social and territorial inequalities in breast and cervical cancers screening uptake: a cross-sectional study in France. BMJ Open. 2022;12(2):e055363. Epub 2022/02/24. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-055363. PubMed PMID: 35193917; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc8867371.
11. Aoki ES, Yin R, Li K, Bhatla N, Singhal S, Ocviyanti D, et al. National screening programs for cervical cancer in Asian countries. Journal of gynecologic oncology. 2020;31(3):e55. Epub 2020/04/09. doi: 10.3802/jgo.2020.31.e55. PubMed PMID: 32266804; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc7189071.
12. Schliemann D, Hoe WMK, Mohan D, Allotey P, Reidpath DD, Tan MM, et al. Challenges and opportunities for breast cancer early detection among rural dwelling women in Segamat District, Malaysia: A qualitative study. PloS one. 2022;17(5):e0267308. Epub 20220520. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0267308. PubMed PMID: 35594267; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9122189.
13. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2011;61(2):69-90.
14. Dey S. Preventing breast cancer in LMICs via screening and/or early detection: The real and the surreal. World journal of clinical oncology. 2014;5(3):509.
15. Toan DTT, Son DT, Hung LX, Minh LN, Mai DL, Hoat LN. Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding breast cancer early detection among women in a mountainous area in Northern Vietnam. Cancer Control. 2019;26(1):1073274819863777.
16. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Operational Framework: Management of Common Cancers 2016. Available from: http://cancerindia.org.in/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Operational_Framework_Management_of_Common_Cancers.pdf.
17. Huang Y, Tong Z, Chen K, Wang Y, Liu P, Gu L, et al. Interpretation of breast cancer screening guideline for Chinese women. Cancer biology & medicine. 2019;16(4):825.
18. Majeed AI, Hafeez A, Khan SA. Strengthening Breast Cancer Screening Mammography Services in Pakistan Using Islamabad Capital Territory as a Pilot Public Health Intervention. Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland). 2022;10(6). Epub 2022/06/25. doi: 10.3390/healthcare10061106. PubMed PMID: 35742157; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9223128.
19. Srinath A, van Merode F, Rao SV, Pavlova M. Barriers to cervical cancer and breast cancer screening uptake in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. Health policy and planning. 2023;38(4):509-27. Epub 2022/12/17. doi: 10.1093/heapol/czac104. PubMed PMID: 36525529; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc10089064.
20. National Institute of Statistics PP, Cambodia, Ministry of Health Phnom Penh, Cambodia, The DHS Program, ICF, Rockville, Maryland, USA. Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2021–22. Phnom Penh, Cambodia, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIS, MoH, and ICF. 2022.
21. Ministry of Health Cambodia. National Strategy for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Disease 2007-2010 [cited June 2023]. Available from: https://www.ghdonline.org/uploads/Cambodia_NCD_Strategy_2007-10.pdf.
22. Ministry of Health Cambodia. National Strategic Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases 2013-2020 [cited June 2023]. Available from: https://www.iccp-portal.org/system/files/plans/KHM_B3_NSP-NCD%202013-2020_Final%20approved.pdf.
23. Ueda Y, Kawana K, Yanaihara N, Banno K, Chhit M, Uy K, et al. Development and evaluation of a cervical cancer screening system in Cambodia: A collaborative project of the Cambodian Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics and Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology. The journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2019;45(7):1260-7. Epub 2019/04/13. doi: 10.1111/jog.13968. PubMed PMID: 30977232; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc6618121.
24. Touch S, Oh JK. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward cervical cancer prevention among women in Kampong Speu Province, Cambodia. BMC cancer. 2018;18(1):294. Epub 2018/03/17. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4198-8. PubMed PMID: 29544466; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc5856224.

25. Garon J, Wuddhika IV, Sreenivasan N, Wannemuehler K, Vutthikol Y, Chhorvann C, et al. Community-based household assessment of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination coverage and acceptability - HPV vaccine demonstration program, Cambodia - 2017. Vaccine. 2019;37(9):1202-8. Epub 2019/01/29. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.12.052. PubMed PMID: 30686637; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc6372342.
26. Um S, Yom A, J AM, Sopheab H. Overweight and obesity among women at reproductive age in Cambodia: Data analysis of Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2014. PLOS global public health. 2023;3(3):e0001768. Epub 2023/04/01. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0001768. PubMed PMID: 37000710; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc10065241.
27. Midi H, Sarkar SK, Rana S. Collinearity diagnostics of binary logistic regression model. Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics. 2010;13(3):253-67. doi: 10.1080/09720502.2010.10700699.
28. Keetile M, Ndlovu K, Letamo G, Disang M, Yaya S, Navaneetham K. Factors associated with and socioeconomic inequalities in breast and cervical cancer screening among women aged 15-64 years in Botswana. PloS one. 2021;16(8):e0255581. Epub 20210804. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0255581. PubMed PMID: 34347841; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8336819.
29. Globocan 2020. Primary and secondary prevention of cancer: response by country [July 2023]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.24766.
30. Vorn R, Ryu E, Srun S, Chang S, Suh I, Kim W. Breast and cervical cancer screening for risk assessment in Cambodian women. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology : the journal of the Institute of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2020;40(3):395-400. Epub 2020/02/25. doi: 10.1080/01443615.2019.1633515. PubMed PMID: 32091288.
31. Damiani G, Basso D, Acampora A, Bianchi CB, Silvestrini G, Frisicale EM, et al. The impact of level of education on adherence to breast and cervical cancer screening: Evidence from a systematic review and meta-analysis. Prev Med. 2015;81:281-9. Epub 2015/09/27. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.09.011. PubMed PMID: 26408405.
32. Akinyemiju T, Ogunsina K, Sakhuja S, Ogbhodo V, Braithwaite D. Life-course socioeconomic status and breast and cervical cancer screening: analysis of the WHO's Study on Global Ageing and Adult Health (SAGE). BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e012753. Epub 2016/11/25. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012753. PubMed PMID: 27881528; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc5129035.
33. Melnikow J, Henderson JT, Burda BU, Senger CA, Durbin S, Weyrich MS. Screening for Cervical Cancer With High-Risk Human Papillomavirus Testing: Updated Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. Jama. 2018;320(7):687-705. Epub 2018/08/25. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.10400. PubMed PMID: 30140883.
34. Kmietowicz Z. Women aged over 40 who are at increased risk of breast cancer should get annual mammograms. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2004;328(7455):1515. Epub 2004/06/26. doi: 10.1136/bmj.328.7455.1515. PubMed PMID: 15217851; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc437135.
35. Smith JS, Melendy A, Rana RK, Pimenta JM. Age-specific prevalence of infection with human papillomavirus in females: a global review. The Journal of adolescent health : official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine. 2008;43(4 Suppl):S5-25, S.e1-41. Epub 2008/10/01. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2008.07.009. PubMed PMID: 18809145.
36. Lin S, Gao K, Gu S, You L, Qian S, Tang M, et al. Worldwide trends in cervical cancer incidence and mortality, with predictions for the next 15 years. Cancer. 2021;127(21):4030-9. Epub 2021/08/10. doi: 10.1002/cncr.33795. PubMed PMID: 34368955.
37. Fontham ETH, Wolf AMD, Church TR, Etzioni R, Flowers CR, Herzig A, et al. Cervical cancer screening for individuals at average risk: 2020 guideline update from the American Cancer Society. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(5):321-46. Epub 2020/07/31. doi: 10.3322/caac.21628. PubMed PMID: 32729638.
38. National Institute of Statistics (NIS). General population census of the Kingdom of Cambodia 2019 (2020) [cited November 2021]. Available from: https://www.nis.gov.kh/nis/Census2019/Final%20General%20Population%20Census%202019-English.pdf.

39. National Institute of Statistics (NIS). Report of Cambodia Socio-Economic Survey 2019-2020 2020 [cited 2023 July]. Available from: https://www.nis.gov.kh/nis/CSES/Final%20Report%20of%20Cambodia%20Socio-Economic%20Survey%202019-20_EN.pdf.

40. Damiani G, Federico B, Basso D, Ronconi A, Bianchi CB, Anzellotti GM, et al. Socioeconomic disparities in the uptake of breast and cervical cancer screening in Italy: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2012;12:99. Epub 2012/02/07. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-99. PubMed PMID: 22305108; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc3314546.

41. screening Wppom. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-position-paper-on-mammography-screening.


Map A
Percent
3 - 5
6 - 7
8 - 12
13 - 16
17 - 22
23 - 29


Map B
Percent
3 - 5
6 - 7
8 - 12
13 - 16
17 - 22
23 - 29