medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Breast and cervical cancer screening among women at reproductive age in Cambodia: A Secondary Analysis of Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2022

Samnang Um[1,*], Heng Sopheab[1 ‡]

National Institute of Public Health, Phnom Penh, Cambodia

‡ **SH** also contributed equally to this work.
* umsamnang56@gmail.com

Abstract

Breast and cervical cancers are the most prevalent diagnosed in women worldwide, significantly contributing to maternal morbidity and mortality. We examined socio-demographic and behavioral factors associated with breast and cervical cancer screening among Cambodian women aged 15-49 years old. We analyzed women's data from the 2022 Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey (CDHS). In total, 19,496 women were interviewed. Multiple logistic regression was performed using STATA V17 to examine factors associated with breast and cervical cancer screening. The proportion of breast and cervical cancer screenings was 10.6% and 15.3%, respectively. After being adjusted, factors independently associated with breast cancer screening included age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.51; 95% CI: 1.55-4.06], 30-39 years [AOR=4.34; 95% CI: 2.66-7.09], and 40-49 years [AOR=4.66; 95% CI: 2.81-7.71], higher education [AOR=1.92; 95% CI: 1.26-2.93], exposure media [AOR=1.66; 95% CI: 1.32-2.10], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=1.50; 95% CI: 1.25-1.80]. Similarly, the odds of having cervical cancer screening were age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.88; 95% CI: 1.76-4.71], 30-39 years [AOR=5.94; 95% CI: 3.58-9.83], and 40-49 years [AOR=7.61; 95% CI: 4.55-12.73], higher education [AOR=1.55; 95% CI: 1.55-2.73], exposure media [AOR=1.62; 95% CI: 1.35,1.95], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=2.14; 95% CI: 1.78-2.58]. In conclusion, this study shows the low screening proportion for both breast and cervical cancers, and it also highlights that socio-economic factors are significantly important in determining the health care seeking for these two main cancer screening services among women aged 15-49 years in Cambodia. Therefore, increase of exposure media with health education focusing on these cancer screenings should be made better accessible to women, particularly those of low socio-economic status.

Keywords: Cervical cancer, Breast cancer, Screening, Women, Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Cancer is the most significant public health problem worldwide, with approximately 18.1 million cases (8.8 million in women) and 10 million cancer-related mortality reported in 2020 [1]. Globally, breast and cervical cancers are the most prevalent diagnosed in women [2], especially since cervical cancer is a relatively more significant problem in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) than breast cancer [3]. According to estimates, cervical cancer accounted for more than 604,000 new cases and 342,000 deaths globally in 2020 [4], while breast cancer affected over 2.3 million women and resulted in 685,000 deaths; these most diagnosed cancers worldwide in women at any age after puberty, but with increasing rates when women get older [4,5]. Evidence suggests that early diagnosis is pivotal in preventing and intervening in these cancers [6]. Early community-based screening and awareness programs have been in place in many developed countries, such as the United States, Finland, Sweden, France, and Japan [7-10]. Recently, national screening programs for cervical cancer have been widely provided in Asian countries, including China, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, and Thailand [11]. However, breast cancer screening as a national program has been slowly implemented due to the limited availability of screening guidelines across Asia, access barriers, budgeting constraints, stigma, fear of diagnosis, limited knowledge of breast self-examination, and cultural concerns [5,12].

Evidence from LMICs such as Cambodia indicates that incomplete treatment and insufficient follow-up are the leading causes of the low proportion of breast and cervical cancer screening, with late detection resulting in high mortality. Other significant contributing factors have included social stigma, negligence, improper referral pathways, a lack of essential health infrastructure facilities, and ineffective treatment [13,14]. In these settings, there are surprisingly few feasible and easily accessible screening programs despite the rising number of instances of breast and cervical cancers. With limited resources, many countries have adopted varying ages for breast and cervical cancer screenings. For instance, the minimum recommended age for breast cancer screening in Vietnam is 20 years, 30 years in India, 35 years in Sri Lanka, and 40 years each in China and Pakistan [15-18]. In the case of cervical cancer, China recommends 18 years as the minimum age for screening, while it is 20 years in Korea, 30 years each in India and Indonesia, and 35 years in Thailand [11]. Despite these guidelines, the screening prevalence is

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

still low. For instance, cervical cancer screening ranges from 7.3% in Indonesia to 22.3% in India. Lack of screening knowledge, with socio-demographic, economic, cultural, and structural barriers are the main determinant for low screening in LMICs [19]. In Cambodia, breast and cervical cancers are remarkably rising in women in which the burden of breast cancer was 18.9% (1,877 cases), and cervical cancer was 11.4% (1,135 cases) reported in 2020 [1]. The age-standardized incidence rate (13.5 per 100,000 women) and the mortality rate (10.1 per 100,000 women) are much higher than regional and global estimates [1]. The recent data from CDHS 2021-22 have shown that only 11% of women aged 15-49 reported that healthcare had ever examined them to check for breast cancer and 15% reported that they had been tested for cervical cancer [20]. Breast and cervical cancers are increasing and become a public health problem [20]. Given these public health concerns, the breast and cervical cancers were included in the disease priority list in the National Strategy for the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases (NCDs) 2007-2010 [21]. In 2008, the Cambodian Ministry of Health (MoH) issued a national guideline recommend for cervical cancer screening with visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) for all sexually active women at every two years and immediate treatment with cryotherapy "e.g. screen and treat"). The new national action plan for cervical cancer prevention and control 2019-2023, has integrated HPV vaccination into the national program to support the prevention of cervical cancer in Cambodia [22]. Also, the new national action plan has addressed the screening methods for breast cancer including breast self-examination, and physical examination and mammography. However, it is expensive to test for Human papillomavirus (HPV), and it is less sensitive and less accurate than polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based test used in more affluent settings [23]. Therefore, Cambodia has limited resources for implementing its strategic plan since it still has many competing healthcare priorities, including infectious diseases, NCD, universal health coverage and quality of health [23]. In 2017-2018, there was an HPV vaccine campaign demonstration project to administer two doses of bivalent HPV vaccine to all 9-year-old girls residing in Svay Rieng and Siem Reap provinces; however, it is not yet widely available in Cambodia [24,25].

According to existing literature, factors associated with cervical and breast screening among women include higher socioeconomic position (education, occupation and income) [26-29], ethnicity, age, marital status, media exposure [29], increased access to health care [27]; covered

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

by health insurance [29,30], urban residence [26,29], positive lifestyle behaviors such as physical activity and fruit and vegetable consumption [30], cultural taboos, stigma, geographic conditions, and gender inequality [29]. In the context of limited resource countries such as Cambodia, in this study we use the social determinants of health theorical framework as the potential factors influence women awareness and utilization of health services such as breast and cervical cancer screening [31]. To our knowledge, very limited research has been observed in the area of breast and cervical cancer screening and its associated risk factors among Cambodian women. Thus, this paper aimed to assess the breast and cervical cancer screening services linked to women aged 15-49 and its determinants.

Materials and methods

Data sources

We used existing women's data from the latest 2022 CDHS, a nationally representative population-based household survey implemented by the National Institute of Statistics (NIS) in collaboration with MoH. Data was collected from September 15, 2021, to February 15, 2022 [20]. Two-stage stratified cluster sampling was used to collect the samples. First, selected 709 clusters or enumeration areas (EAs) stratified by urban-rural using probability proportional to cluster size. Second, selected 25-30 households in each EA using systematic sampling. In total, 19,496 women aged 15-49 were interviewed face-to-face, using the survey questionnaire, covering socio-demographic characteristics, alcohol drinking, tobacco use, household assets maternal health-related indicators and nutritional status, and data on breast and cervical cancer screening by healthcare providers [20]. The response rate was reported to be 98.2%. Overall, 254 women reported missing for breast and cervical cancer screening were excluded. Data restriction resulted in a final analysis with 19,251 weighted samples of women.

Measurements

Outcome variables

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

The two separate outcome variables consisting of breast cancer and cervical cancer screening were defined based on the question 'Has a doctor or other healthcare provider examined your breasts to check for breast cancer, and 'Has a doctor or other healthcare worker ever tested you for cervical cancer?' Then the two outcomes were coded as binary variables with Yes =1 to indicate cancer screening, and No = 0 to indicate otherwise, respectively.

Independent variables

Independent variables consisted of socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors: Women's age in years (15-19, 20-29, 30-39, and 40-49), marital status (never-married, currently married, and formerly married), education (no education, primary, secondary, and higher), occupation (not working, professional/formal, and non-professional/informal), and household wealth index reflected to the household assets and dwelling characteristics was categories as poorest, poorer, medium, richer, and richest. Provinces were grouped into four geographical regions (Plains, Tonle Sap, Coastal/sea, and Mountains) and place of residence (rural vs. urban) [20]. Health insurance coverage (yes vs. no), health facility visits within the past year (yes vs. no), number of children ever born (no children, one-two children, three or more children). Current smoking was measured at the time of the survey (non-smoker vs. smoker, including daily smoker and occasional smoker). Alcohol consumption in the last 30 days (never drink, ever drink, and current drink which corresponds to one can or bottle of beer, one glass of wine, or one shot of spirits in the last 30 days, and contraceptive uses (hormonal methods and non-hormonal and traditional methods). Media exposure variables were "frequency of reading magazine or newspaper", "frequency of listening to radio", and "frequency of watching television", which were used to calculate the "media exposure score". Each variable was coded as 0 = not at all, 1 = less than once a week, and at least once a week; the computed "media exposure" index ranged from 0 to 3 and was categorized as (0 = no exposure media, 1 = one type of media, and 2 = two and more types of media). Body mass index (underweight (<□18.5□kg/m^2), normal weight (18.5-24.9□kg/m^2), overweight, and obesity ($\geq$ 25.0 kg/m^2).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 17 (Stata Crop 2019, College Station, TX). We accounted for CDHS sampling weight and complex survey design using the *survey*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

package in our descriptive and logistic regression analyses. Key socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors were described using weighted frequency. Continuous variables were calculated as mean (SD) such as women age. Bivariate analysis with chi-square tests was used to assess the association between the variables of interest (socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors) and breast and cervical cancer screening separately. Variables associated with breast and cervical cancer screening with at p-value $\leq$ 0.10 were included in the multiple logistic regression analysis to determine the independent factors associated with the screening for breast and cervical cancer [32]. Multicollinearity between independent variables was checked including women's age, number of children ever born, education, wealth index, occupation, marital status, and place of residence. Variance inflation factor (VIF) scores were 1.53 indicated no collinearity concerns [33].

Ethical consideration

The study used women's data extracted from the most recent CDHS 2022 publicly available and granted through the DHS program (https://dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm) with all removed personal identifiers. Written informed consent was obtained from the parent/guardian of each participant under 18 years of age before data collection. The Cambodia National Ethics Committee for Health Research approved the data collection tools and procedures for CHDS 2022 on 10 May 2021 (Ref # 83 NECHR) and ICF's Institutional Review Board (IRB) in Rockville, Maryland, USA.

Results

Characteristics of the study samples

Women's socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors are described in **Table 1**. The mean age was slightly close to 31 years old (SD = 9.5 years); the age group 30-49 years old accounted for 56.3%. More than 69.0% were currently married. Close to 43.0% of women worked in non-professional or informal jobs, and 27.2% were unemployed. Half of the women completed at least secondary education, while 11.5% had no formal education. Over one-fourth

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

of women (26.9%) had three or more children. Close to one fourth of them (24.2%) reported using hormonal contraceptives. Over half (53.6%) of the women did not have exposure to media, and 28.7% were overweight and obese. Less than 2.0% of them reported cigarette smoking, and 16.20% currently drink alcohol. Of the total sample, 35.3% of women were from poor households. Over half (57.5%) of the women resided in rural areas.

Table 1. Socio-demographic and behavioral characteristics of the weighted samples of women aged 15-49 years old in Cambodia, 2022 (N = 19,251)

Variables	Freq.	Percent
Mean age in years (±SD)	30.8 (± 9.5)	
15-19	2,910	15.12
20-29	5,506	28.60
30-39	6,581	34.19
40-49	4,253	22.09
Marital status		
Never married	4,683	24.33
Currently married	13,361	69.40
Formerly married	1,206	6.26
Occupation		
Non-professional/Informal	8,164	42.41
Professional/Formal	5,852	30.40
Not working	5,234	27.19
Education		
No education	2,226	11.56
Primary	7,458	38.74
Secondary	8,178	42.48
Higher	1,389	7.22
Number of children born		
No birth	5,693	29.57
1-2 children	8,385	43.56
≥ 3 children	5,173	26.87
Contraceptive use		
Not use	10,909	56.67
Hormonal	4,660	24.21
Non-hormonal	3,681	19.12
Media exposure (Newspaper, radio, TV)		
None	10,319	53.60
One	6,103	31.70
≥ Two	2,829	14.70
BMI (n=9,708)		
Underweight	1,001	10.31
Normal	5,918	60.96
Overweight/Obese	2,789	28.73
Alcohol drinking in the past 30 days		
Never drink	12,548	65.18
Ever drink	3,583	18.61
Current drink	3,119	16.20

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Smokes cigarettes		
Non-smoker	18,967	98.52
Smoker	284	1.48
Health insurance coverage		
No	15,063	78.25
Yes	4,188	21.75
Wealth index		
Poor	6,787	35.26
Middle	3,788	19.68
Rich	8,676	45.07
Place of residence		
Urban	8,190	42.54
Rural	11,060	57.45
Geographical region*		
Plain	9,723	50.51
Tonle Sap	5,744	29.84
Plateau/Mountain	2,590	13.45
Coastal	1,194	6.20

Notes: *Survey weights were applied to obtain weighted percentages.* ****Plains:*** *Phnom Penh, Kampong Cham, Tbong Khmum, Kandal, Prey Veng, Svay Rieng, and Takeo;* ***Tonle Sap:*** *Banteay Meanchey, Kampong Chhnang, Kampong Thom, Pursat, Siem Reap, Battambang, Pailin, and Otdar Meanchey;* ***Coastal/sea:*** *Kampot, Kep, Preah Sihanouk, and Koh Kong;* ***Mountains:*** *Kampong Speu, Kratie, Preah Vihear, Stung Treng, Mondul Kiri, and Ratanak Kiri.*

Prevalence of breast and cervical screening among women and provincial distribution

Overall, 10.6% (95% CI: 9.7%-11.6%) of women had breast cancer screening by health providers, whereas cervical cancer screening was 15.3% (95% CI: 14.2%-16.6%). **Fig 1** indicates the province specific prevalence of breast cancer screening **(Map A)** and cervical cancer screening **(Map B)**. The prevalence of breast cancer screening was the highest among women in Siem Reap (17.6%), followed by Phnom Penh (17.2%), Battambang (17.1%), Kampong Thom (15.3%), and the lowest in Kep (2.6%). The prevalence of cervical cancer screening was the highest among women in Phnom Penh (28.5%), followed by Kampong Thom (21.7%), Battambang (20.1%), and the lowest in Kampong Speu (3.1%).

Fig 1. Prevalence of breast cancer screening (**Map A**) and cervical cancer screening (**Map B)** among women by province. Shapefiles for administrative boundaries in Cambodia are publicly accessible through the DHS website at (UR:https://spatialdata.dhsprogram.com/boundaries/#view=table&countryId=KH).

Factors associated with breast cancer screening in bivariate analysis

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Table 2 shows the association between socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors and breast cancer screening. Women had a higher proportion of breast cancer screening among those aged 40-49 years (14.4%) than others (p-value <0.001). The women with professional jobs (15.2%) had higher education (22.4%) than other job categories (p-value <0.001). Also, women reported at least three children had a significantly higher experience with breast cancer screening (14.0%) than those with fewer children (p-value < 0.001); likewise, breast cancer screening was higher among women using non-hormonal contraceptives (18.7%) than those with hormonal use (p-value <0.001). Furthermore, following factors were found significantly associated with breast cancer screening: exposure to at least two types of media (16.0%) overweight and obese (14.2%) (p-value <0.001), report of never drinking alcohol (11.3%) (p-value = 0.003), non-smoker (11.3%) (p-value = 0.049), rich wealth quintile households (14.7%) (p-value <0.001), higher in urban areas than rural ones (13.1% vs 8.7%, p-value <0.001).

Factors associated with cervical cancer screening in bivariate analysis

The study found a strong association between socio-demographic characteristics and behavioral factors and cervical cancer screening, as indicated in **Table 2**. Among women aged 40-49 years, there was a significantly greater proportion of breast cancer screening (23.2%) compared to other groups (p-value <0.001). This pattern was observed among formerly married women (20.2%) (p-value <0.001), those with professional occupations (23.7%), and those with higher levels of education (23.5%) (p-value <0.001). Also, women reported at least three children had a significantly higher experience with breast cancer screening (21.0%) than women with children less than 3 (p-value < 0.001). Similarly, the prevalence of breast cancer screening was higher among women who used non-hormonal contraceptives (29.3%) than those who used hormonal contraceptives (p-value < 0.001). Moreover, other factors that exhibited a significant association with cervical cancer screening included having exposure to at least two forms of media (22.3%), being overweight or obese (21.6%) (p-value <0.001), reporting current alcohol consumption (19.2%) (p-value 0.001), being a non-smoker (15.5%) (p-value 0.001), rich wealth quintile (22.3%) (p-value <0.001), and residing in urban areas as opposed to rural areas (20.1% vs 11.8%, p-value <0.001).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Factors associated with breast and cervical cancer screening among women aged 15-49 years (N=19,251)

Variables	(%) Breast cancer screening (n=2,039)	X^2	P-value	(%) Cervical cancer screening (n=2,952)	X^2	P-value
Women's age in years						
15-19	1.4	492.6	<0.001	1.0	989.4	<0.001
20-29	7.7			9.6		
30-39	14.7			21.4		
40-49	14.4			23.2		
Marital status						
Never-married	2.3	451.1	<0.001	1.8	876.1	<0.001
Currently married	13.2			19.7		
Formerly married	14.0			20.2		
Occupation						
Professional/Formal	15.2	192.4	<0.001	23.7	465.3	<0.001
Non-professional/Informal	8.8			12.4		
Not working	8.1			10.5		
Education						
No education	8.8	45.3	<0.001	12.9	90.9	<0.001
Primary	10.8			15.8		
Secondary	10.0			14.2		
Higher	15.5			23.5		
Number of children born						
No birth	3.4	437.0	<0.001	4.0	811.9	<0.001
1-2 children	13.4			19.5		
≥ 3 children	14.0			21.0		
Contraceptive use						
Not use	7.9	305.7	<0.001	11.0	715.8	<0.001
Hormonal	11.1			14.6		
Non-hormonal	18.1			29.3		
Media exposure (Newspaper, radio, TV)						
None	8.9	106.8	<0.001	13.0	152.0	<0.001
One	11.3			16.0		
Two or more	15.5			22.3		
BMI (n=9,708)						
Underweight	5.3	62.7	<0.001	6.3	148.5	<0.001
Normal	10.5			14.3		
Overweight/Obese	14.2			21.6		
Alcohol drinking in the past 30 days						
Never drink	11.3	30.6	0.003	14.3	46.0	<0.001
Ever drink	8.0			15.7		
Current drink	10.8			19.2		
Smokes cigarettes						
Non-smoker	10.6	4.4	0.049	15.5	17.8	<0.001
Smoker	6.8			6.4		
Health insurance coverage						
No	10.5	1.1	0.472	15.0	6.6	0.112

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Yes	11.0			16.6		
Wealth index						
Poor	7.4	203.4	<0.001	8.7	602.7	<0.001
Middle	8.3			11.2		
Rich	14.1			22.3		
Place of residence						
Urban	13.1	94.5	<0.001	20.1	253.5	<0.001
Rural	8.7			11.8		
Geographical of region*						
Tonle Sap	13.6	158.0	<0.001	16.6	282.7	<0.001
Plain	10.7			17.8		
Coastal	8.7			12.0		
Plateau/Mountain	4.6			4.8		

Notes: *Survey weights are applied to obtain weighted percentages.* ****Plains:*** *Phnom Penh, Kampong Cham, Tbong Khmum, Kandal, Prey Veng, Svay Rieng, and Takeo;* ***Tonle Sap:*** *Banteay Meanchey, Kampong Chhnang, Kampong Thom, Pursat, Siem Reap, Battambang, Pailin, and Otdar Meanchey;* ***Coastal/sea:*** *Kampot, Kep, Preah Sihanouk, and Koh Kong;* ***Mountains:*** *Kampong Speu, Kratie, Preah Vihear, Stung Treng, Mondul Kiri, and Ratanak Kiri.*

Factors associated with breast cancer screening in multiple logistic regression

As shown in **Table 3**, several factors were independently associated with increased odds of having breast cancer screening among women. These factors included age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.51; 95% CI: 1.55-4.06], 30-39 years [AOR=4.34; 95% CI: 2.66-7,09], and 40-49 years [AOR=4.66; 95% CI: 2.81-7.71], currently married [AOR=2.67; 95% CI: 1.79-3.97], formerly married [AOR=3.16; 95% CI: 1.93-5.17], completed secondary education [AOR=1.44; 95% CI: 1.12-1.85], higher education [AOR=1.92; 95% CI: 1.26-2.93], using non-hormonal contraceptive [AOR=1.34; 95% CI: 1.08-1.65], exposure media at least two types [AOR=1.66; 95% CI: 1.32-2.10], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=1.50; 95% CI: 1.25-1.80]. On the contrary, women reporting ever alcohol consumption [AOR=0.55; 95% CI: 0.45-0.66], and those reporting current alcohol drinking were associated with lower odds of having breast cancer screening [AOR=0.75; 95% CI: 0.63-0.89].

Factors associated with cervical cancer screening in multiple logistic regression

Similarly, following factors were independently associated with increased odds of having cervical cancer screening among women: age group 20-29 years [AOR=2.88; 95% CI: 1.76-4.71], 30-39 years [AOR=5.94; 95% CI: 3.58-9.83], and 40-49 years [AOR=7.61; 95% CI: 4.55-12.73], currently married [AOR=5.38; 95% CI: 3.52-8.21], formerly married [AOR=5.69; 95%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

CI: 3.70-8.74], working in the professional and/or formal jobs [AOR=1.38; 1.14-1.66], completed secondary education [AOR=1.34; 95% CI: 1.09-1.65], had higher education [AOR=1.55; 95% CI: 1.55-2.73], using non-hormonal contraceptive [AOR=1.46; 95% CI: 1.29-1.66], exposure media at least two types [AOR=1.62; 95% CI: 1.35-1.95], and rich wealth quintile [AOR=2.14; 95% CI: 1.78-2.58]. On the contrary, women reported smoking cigarettes was associated with lower odds of having cervical cancer screening [AOR=0.43; 95% CI: 0.2-0.72] **(Table 3)**.

Table 3. Factors independently associated with breast and cervical cancer screening among women aged 15-49 years: Multivariate logistic regression

Variables	Breast cancer screening (N=19,251)		Cervical cancer screening (N=19,251)	
	AOR	95% CI	AOR	95% CI
Women's age in years				
15-19	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
20-29	2.51***	[1.55-4.06]	2.88***	[1.76-4.71]
30-39	4.34***	[2.66-7.09]	5.94***	[3.58-9.83]
40-49	4.66***	[2.81,7.71]	7.61***	[4.55-12.73]
Marital status				
Never-married	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Currently married	2.67***	[1.79-3.97]	5.38***	[3.52-8.21]
Formerly married	3.16***	[1.93-5.17]	5.69***	[3.70-8.74]
Occupation				
Not working	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Professional/Formal	1.20	[0.99-1.47]	1.38***	[1.14-1.66]
Non-professional/Informal	0.89	[0.73-1.09]	0.94	[0.79-1.12]
Education				
No education	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Primary	1.19	[0.94-1.52]	1.14	[0.94-1.38]
Secondary	1.44**	[1.12-1.85]	1.34**	[1.09-1.65]
Higher	1.92**	[1.26,2.93]	2.06***	[1.55-2.73]
Number of children born				
No birth	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
1-2 children	1.36	[0.92-2.02]	1.31	[0.99-1.75]
≥ 3 children	1.38	[0.94-2.03]	1.31	[0.94-1.82]
Contraceptive use				
Not use	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Hormonal	0.97	[0.80-1.19]	0.83*	[0.70-0.98]
Non-hormonal	1.34**	[1.08-1.65]	1.46***	[1.23-1.73]
Media exposure (Newspaper, radio, TV)				
None	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
One	1.20*	[1.04-1.39]	1.09	[0.96-1.24]
≥ Two	1.66***	[1.32-2.10]	1.62***	[1.35-1.95]
Alcohol drinking in the past 30 days				
Never drink	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Ever drink	0.55***	[0.45-0.66]	0.90	[0.75-1.08]
Current drink	0.75**	[0.63-0.89]	1.12	[0.95-1.31]
Smokes cigarettes				

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Non-smoker	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Smoker	0.72	[0.43-1.19]	0.43**	[0.26-0.72]
Health insurance coverage				
No	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	0.96	[0.82-1.13]	1.00	[0.86-1.17]
Household wealth index				
Poor	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Middle	1.00	[0.82-1.23]	1.14	[0.95-1.36]
Rich	1.50***	[1.25-1.80]	2.14***	[1.78-2.58]
Place of residence				
Rural	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Urban	0.87	[0.73-1.03]	0.85*	[0.73-1.00]

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Discussion

The study highlights the relatively low proportion of breast cancer screening (10.6%), and cervical cancer screening (15.3%) respectively, compared to some Asian countries such as Thailand (46%-59%) and China (17%-26%) but comparable to Indonesia and India [11]. The study was consistent with a previous study that indicated that most women had performed more cervical cancer screening than breast cancer screening especially in low and middle-income countries [34]. The main reason may be due to the awareness and concern about cervical cancer among women rather than the breast cancer despite the prevalence of breast cancer being relatively higher than cervical cancer [35]. Also, it may be explained by the available national cancer screening guidelines focusing on cervical cancer screening [21,36]. Additionally, awareness about breast self-examination (BSE) in Cambodia was limited. Approximately 60% of Cambodian women were unaware of BSE [37]. Moreover, cancer screening uptake remained low compared to screening rates of above 50% in developed countries [35]. Therefore, strengthening cancer screening in Cambodia requires ongoing efforts.

Overall, socio-economic factors were associated with breast and cervical cancer screening [38,39]. For example, in our study, the odds of breast cancer screening increased with ages and the highest in age 40-49 years. This corroborates previous studies showing that women aged 40 years and above are at a greater risk of developing breast cancer [40,41]. Consequently, women aged 35-39 should undergo routine mammography to enhance the early detection of breast cancer at least at the interval of 1-3 years and annually for women aged 40 years and older [41]. Similarly, the odds of being screened for cervical cancer increased with the women's age too, especially among those aged 40-49 years, which is commonly consistent with other studies after years of women's being sexually active [34,42,43]. The American Cancer Society (ACS) recommends that women aged 25 should undergo cervical cancer screening and be tested for HPV every five years through age 65 years [44]. Women with higher education levels were more likely to increase the odds of breast cancer and cervical screening than those without formal education, being consistent with previous studies [38,39]. Moreover, contextual factors such as less educated women living in remote areas may contribute to the less screening [45,46]. Similarly, women from rich families and professional or formal employment were more likely to have received breast or cervical cancer screening. These findings are consistent with the results

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

of other international studies [39,47]. A possible reason could be that women with strong financial backing are more likely to afford preventive care services, including breast cancer and cervical cancer screening, which may not be the case for women of the lowest economic status [48]. Another finding worth commenting on is the higher odds of breast cancer and cervical cancer screening among women exposed to the media (e.g., Newspaper, radio, TV) at least once a week compared to those not exposed at all. Therefore, intensive publicity and educational programs on various mass media platforms on the health benefits of periodic breast examinations for early detection and treatment had the potential to improve breast cancer screening behavior among women by building on their awareness levels.

Limitation

This study has a few limitations. First, the data were cross-sectional, preventing any causal inference between the explanatory and outcome measures. Secondly, since we used existing data, some variables must be added to the dataset—for instance, knowledge and attitude regarding cancer preventive services and frequency of screening. Thirdly, the examination of breast and cervical cancer data was collected as self-reported by the women, which may make our analysis prone to information bias as underestimated. Despite these limitations, this study is the first to assess the socio-economic and behavioral factors associated with breast and cervical cancer screening in a nationally-representative sample of women of reproductive age 15-49 years in Cambodia. Furthermore, the study provides important insights regarding the prevalence and socio-demographic factors of breast cancer and cervical screening services with the nationally representative sample. The findings from this study could be valuable for researchers, clinicians and health policymakers to engage more in developing effective strategies for promoting breast and cervical cancer screening services, awareness among women from different socio-demographic groups since it is has played a pivotal to improving early detection and screening practices. Health policymakers should prioritize health promotion interventions target women living in rural areas, women without formal education, especially in the poor wealth quintile.

Conclusion

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

This study shows the relative lower screening proportion for both breast and cervical cancers among Cambodian women reproductive age; and it also highlights that socio-demographic factors are significantly important in determining the of breast and cervical screening services among women aged 15-49 years. The findings emphasize the need for targeted awareness campaigns, continued efforts in health promotion and education, and comprehensive interventions to address these socio-demographic disparities breast and cervical cancer screenings practices. Further studies are therefore necessary to generate a better picture of the situation, including the quality of the health service and factors associated with the accessibility of the available services.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank DHS-ICF, who approved the data use for this paper.

Abbreviations

ACS; American Cancer Society, AOR; Adjusted odds ratio, BMI; Body mass index, CDHS; Cambodia Demographic Health Survey, EA; Enumeration areas, HPV; Human papillomavirus, NCDs; Noncommunicable diseases, PPS; Probability proportional to size, VIA; Visual inspection with acetic acid, WRA; Women at reproductive age, WHO; World Health Organization.

References

1. Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, Colombet M, Mery L, Piñeros M, et al. Global cancer observatory: cancer today. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2020. 2021.
2. Ginsburg O, Bray F, Coleman MP, Vanderpuye V, Eniu A, Kotha SR, et al. The global burden of women's cancers: a grand challenge in global health. Lancet (London, England). 2017;389(10071):847-60. Epub 2016/11/07. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)31392-7. PubMed PMID: 27814965; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc6191029.
3. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet (London, England). 2015;386(9995):743-800. Epub 2015/06/13. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(15)60692-4. PubMed PMID: 26063472; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc4561509.
4. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394-424. Epub 2018/09/13. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492. PubMed PMID: 30207593.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

5. Lim YX, Lim ZL, Ho PJ, Li J. Breast Cancer in Asia: Incidence, Mortality, Early Detection, Mammography Programs, and Risk-Based Screening Initiatives. Cancers. 2022;14(17). Epub 2022/09/10. doi: 10.3390/cancers14174218. PubMed PMID: 36077752; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9454998.

6. World Health Organization. Cancer - Screening and early detection 2010 [cited 2023 July]. Available from: https://www.who.int/europe/news-room/fact-sheets/item/cancer-screening-and-early-detection-of-cancer.

7. Machii R, Takahashi H. Japanese cancer screening programs during the COVID-19 pandemic: Changes in participation between 2017-2020. Cancer epidemiology. 2023;82:102313. Epub 2022/12/13. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2022.102313. PubMed PMID: 36508964; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9726684.

8. Ma ZQ, Richardson LC. Cancer Screening Prevalence and Associated Factors Among US Adults. Preventing chronic disease. 2022;19:E22. Epub 2022/04/22. doi: 10.5888/pcd19.220063. PubMed PMID: 35446757; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9044902.

9. Autier P, Sullivan R. Population Screening for Cancer in High-Income Settings: Lessons for Low- and Middle-Income Economies. J Glob Oncol. 2019;5:1-5. Epub 2019/02/05. doi: 10.1200/jgo.18.00235. PubMed PMID: 30715958; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc6426516.

10. Ouanhnon L, Rougé Bugat ME, Lamy S, Druel V, Delpierre C, Grosclaude P. Social and territorial inequalities in breast and cervical cancers screening uptake: a cross-sectional study in France. BMJ Open. 2022;12(2):e055363. Epub 2022/02/24. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-055363. PubMed PMID: 35193917; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc8867371.

11. Aoki ES, Yin R, Li K, Bhatla N, Singhal S, Ocviyanti D, et al. National screening programs for cervical cancer in Asian countries. Journal of gynecologic oncology. 2020;31(3):e55. Epub 2020/04/09. doi: 10.3802/jgo.2020.31.e55. PubMed PMID: 32266804; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc7189071.

12. Schliemann D, Hoe WMK, Mohan D, Allotey P, Reidpath DD, Tan MM, et al. Challenges and opportunities for breast cancer early detection among rural dwelling women in Segamat District, Malaysia: A qualitative study. PloS one. 2022;17(5):e0267308. Epub 20220520. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0267308. PubMed PMID: 35594267; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9122189.

13. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2011;61(2):69-90.

14. Dey S. Preventing breast cancer in LMICs via screening and/or early detection: The real and the surreal. World journal of clinical oncology. 2014;5(3):509.

15. Toan DTT, Son DT, Hung LX, Minh LN, Mai DL, Hoat LN. Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding breast cancer early detection among women in a mountainous area in Northern Vietnam. Cancer Control. 2019;26(1):1073274819863777.

16. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Operational Framework: Management of Common Cancers 2016. Available from: http://cancerindia.org.in/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Operational_Framework_Management_of_Common_Cancers.pdf.

17. Huang Y, Tong Z, Chen K, Wang Y, Liu P, Gu L, et al. Interpretation of breast cancer screening guideline for Chinese women. Cancer biology & medicine. 2019;16(4):825.

18. Majeed AI, Hafeez A, Khan SA. Strengthening Breast Cancer Screening Mammography Services in Pakistan Using Islamabad Capital Territory as a Pilot Public Health Intervention. Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland). 2022;10(6). Epub 2022/06/25. doi: 10.3390/healthcare10061106. PubMed PMID: 35742157; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc9223128.

19. Srinath A, van Merode F, Rao SV, Pavlova M. Barriers to cervical cancer and breast cancer screening uptake in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. Health policy and planning. 2023;38(4):509-27. Epub 2022/12/17. doi: 10.1093/heapol/czac104. PubMed PMID: 36525529; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc10089064.

20. National Institute of Statistics PP, Cambodia, Ministry of Health Phnom Penh, Cambodia, The DHS Program, ICF, Rockville, Maryland, USA. Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2021–22. Phnom Penh, Cambodia, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIS, MoH, and ICF. 2022.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

21. Ministry of Health Cambodia. National Strategy for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Disease 2007-2010 [cited June 2023]. Available from: https://www.ghdonline.org/uploads/Cambodia_NCD_Strategy_2007-10.pdf.

22. Ministry of Health Cambodia. National Action Plan for Cervical Cancer Prevention and Control 2019-2023. 2019. Available from: https://www.iccp-portal.org/system/files/plans/KHM_B5_MIIB5%20NAP-CCPT%202019%20EN.pdf.

23. Ueda Y, Kawana K, Yanaihara N, Banno K, Chhit M, Uy K, et al. Development and evaluation of a cervical cancer screening system in Cambodia: A collaborative project of the Cambodian Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics and Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology. The journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research. 2019;45(7):1260-7. Epub 2019/04/13. doi: 10.1111/jog.13968. PubMed PMID: 30977232; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc6618121.

24. Touch S, Oh JK. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward cervical cancer prevention among women in Kampong Speu Province, Cambodia. BMC cancer. 2018;18(1):294. Epub 2018/03/17. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4198-8. PubMed PMID: 29544466; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc5856224.

25. Garon J, Wuddhika IV, Sreenivasan N, Wannemuehler K, Vutthikol Y, Chhorvann C, et al. Community-based household assessment of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination coverage and acceptability - HPV vaccine demonstration program, Cambodia - 2017. Vaccine. 2019;37(9):1202-8. Epub 2019/01/29. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.12.052. PubMed PMID: 30686637; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc6372342.

26. Phaswana-Mafuya N, Peltzer K. Breast and Cervical Cancer Screening Prevalence and Associated Factors among Women in the South African General Population. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2018;19(6):1465-70. Epub 20180625. doi: 10.22034/apjcp.2018.19.6.1465. PubMed PMID: 29936716; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6103566.

27. Narayan A, Fischer A, Zhang Z, Woods R, Morris E, Harvey S. Nationwide cross-sectional adherence to mammography screening guidelines: national behavioral risk factor surveillance system survey results. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2017;164(3):719-25. Epub 20170515. doi: 10.1007/s10549-017-4286-5. PubMed PMID: 28508184.

28. Serral G, Borrell C, Puigpinós IRR. [Socioeconomic inequalities in mammography screening in Spanish women aged 45 to 69]. Gac Sanit. 2018;32(1):61-7. Epub 20170306. doi: 10.1016/j.gaceta.2016.12.010. PubMed PMID: 28274621.

29. Lamichhane B, Adhikari B, Poudel L, Pandey AR, Kakchhapati S, K CS, et al. Factors associated with uptake of breast and cervical cancer screening among Nepalese women: Evidence from Nepal Demographic and Health Survey 2022. PLOS global public health. 2024;4(3):e0002971. Epub 20240311. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0002971. PubMed PMID: 38466682; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10927089.

30. Theme Filha MM, Leal MD, Oliveira EF, Esteves-Pereira AP, Gama SG. Regional and social inequalities in the performance of Pap test and screening mammography and their correlation with lifestyle: Brazilian national health survey, 2013. Int J Equity Health. 2016;15(1):136. Epub 20161117. doi: 10.1186/s12939-016-0430-9. PubMed PMID: 27852313; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5112710.

31. Asare M, Flannery M, Kamen C. Social Determinants of Health: A Framework for Studying Cancer Health Disparities and Minority Participation in Research. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2017;44(1):20-3. doi: 10.1188/17.Onf.20-23. PubMed PMID: 28060469; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5583708.

32. Um S, Yom A, J AM, Sopheab H. Overweight and obesity among women at reproductive age in Cambodia: Data analysis of Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2014. PLOS global public health. 2023;3(3):e0001768. Epub 2023/04/01. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgph.0001768. PubMed PMID: 37000710; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc10065241.

33. Midi H, Sarkar SK, Rana S. Collinearity diagnostics of binary logistic regression model. Journal of interdisciplinary mathematics. 2010;13(3):253-67.

34. Keetile M, Ndlovu K, Letamo G, Disang M, Yaya S, Navaneetham K. Factors associated with and socioeconomic inequalities in breast and cervical cancer screening among women aged 15-64 years in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Botswana. PloS one. 2021;16(8):e0255581. Epub 2021/08/05. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0255581. PubMed PMID: 34347841; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc8336819.

35. Globocan 2020. Primary and secondary prevention of cancer: response by country [July 2023]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.24766.

36. Ministry of Health Cambodia. National Strategic Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases 2013-2020 [cited June 2023]. Available from: https://www.iccp-portal.org/system/files/plans/KHM_B3_NSP-NCD%202013-2020_Final%20approved.pdf.

37. Vorn R, Ryu E, Srun S, Chang S, Suh I, Kim W. Breast and cervical cancer screening for risk assessment in Cambodian women. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology : the journal of the Institute of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2020;40(3):395-400. Epub 2020/02/25. doi: 10.1080/01443615.2019.1633515. PubMed PMID: 32091288.

38. Damiani G, Basso D, Acampora A, Bianchi CB, Silvestrini G, Frisicale EM, et al. The impact of level of education on adherence to breast and cervical cancer screening: Evidence from a systematic review and meta-analysis. Prev Med. 2015;81:281-9. Epub 2015/09/27. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.09.011. PubMed PMID: 26408405.

39. Akinyemiju T, Ogunsina K, Sakhuja S, Ogbhodo V, Braithwaite D. Life-course socioeconomic status and breast and cervical cancer screening: analysis of the WHO's Study on Global Ageing and Adult Health (SAGE). BMJ Open. 2016;6(11):e012753. Epub 2016/11/25. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012753. PubMed PMID: 27881528; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc5129035.

40. Melnikow J, Henderson JT, Burda BU, Senger CA, Durbin S, Weyrich MS. Screening for Cervical Cancer With High-Risk Human Papillomavirus Testing: Updated Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. Jama. 2018;320(7):687-705. Epub 2018/08/25. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.10400. PubMed PMID: 30140883.

41. Kmietowicz Z. Women aged over 40 who are at increased risk of breast cancer should get annual mammograms. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2004;328(7455):1515. Epub 2004/06/26. doi: 10.1136/bmj.328.7455.1515. PubMed PMID: 15217851; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc437135.

42. Smith JS, Melendy A, Rana RK, Pimenta JM. Age-specific prevalence of infection with human papillomavirus in females: a global review. The Journal of adolescent health : official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine. 2008;43(4 Suppl):S5-25, S.e1-41. Epub 2008/10/01. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2008.07.009. PubMed PMID: 18809145.

43. Lin S, Gao K, Gu S, You L, Qian S, Tang M, et al. Worldwide trends in cervical cancer incidence and mortality, with predictions for the next 15 years. Cancer. 2021;127(21):4030-9. Epub 2021/08/10. doi: 10.1002/cncr.33795. PubMed PMID: 34368955.

44. Fontham ETH, Wolf AMD, Church TR, Etzioni R, Flowers CR, Herzig A, et al. Cervical cancer screening for individuals at average risk: 2020 guideline update from the American Cancer Society. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(5):321-46. Epub 2020/07/31. doi: 10.3322/caac.21628. PubMed PMID: 32729638.

45. National Institute of Statistics (NIS). General population census of the Kingdom of Cambodia 2019 (2020) [cited November 2021]. Available from: https://www.nis.gov.kh/nis/Census2019/Final%20General%20Population%20Census%202019-English.pdf.

46. National Institute of Statistics (NIS). Report of Cambodia Socio-Economic Survey 2019-2020 2020 [cited 2023 July]. Available from: https://www.nis.gov.kh/nis/CSES/Final%20Report%20of%20Cambodia%20Socio-Economic%20Survey%202019-20_EN.pdf.

47. Damiani G, Federico B, Basso D, Ronconi A, Bianchi CB, Anzellotti GM, et al. Socioeconomic disparities in the uptake of breast and cervical cancer screening in Italy: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2012;12:99. Epub 2012/02/07. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-99. PubMed PMID: 22305108; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPmc3314546.

48. screening Wppom. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-position-paper-on-mammography-screening.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

548

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.05.23299477; this version posted March 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-4.0 International license .