1 Genomic epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 in Sudan: A retrospective analysis 2020-2022

2 Authors: Maureen W. Mburu^{1#}, Arnold W. Lambisia^{1#}, Musab Elnegoumi^{2#}, Eman O. M.

3 Nour², Osama M. M. Khair³, Elamin Abualas², Rehab M Elhassan², Muatsim A. M. Adam

4 ², Khadija Said Mohammed^{1,12,13}, John M. Morobe¹, Leonard Ndwiga¹, Edidah O. Moraa¹,

5 Timothy O. Makori¹, Nickson Murunga¹, Esther Nyadzua Katama¹, Dorcas W. Waruguru⁴,
6 Sarah Mwangi⁴, Sofonias K. Tessema⁴, Mawahib H. E. Adam², Iman Mahmoud², Hanan

6 Sarah Mwangi⁴, Sofonias K. Tessema⁴, Mawahib H. E. Adam², Iman Mahmoud², Hanan
7 SalmanBabikir Mohamed², Yousif A. A. Mustafa², Mohamedtaha M. A. Mohamed²,

- 8 Rasheeda H.A. Ahmed², Nuha Y.I. Mohamed², Rahma H. Ali², Rasha S.M. Ebraheem², Huda
- 9 H.H. Ahmed², Hamadelniel E. Abdalla², Izdihar Mukhtar², Abdalkhalig E. M. W. Alla², Omer
- 10 H. A. Elsheikh², Abdualmoniem O. Musa^{5,7,8}, Mahmoud Taha Faki⁵, Gada Mustfa Ahmed⁵,

11 Thoyba E. R. Ahmed², Mohammed A. O. Abdalla², Mujtaba O. K. Mohamed², Rayan A. A.

12 Ahmed², Samah M. M. AwadElkarim², Basamat A. E. M. Ahmed², Zainab A. I. Shumo²,

13 Eiman N. A. Ahmed², Samia S. A. Rushwan⁶, Phillip Bejon¹, D. James Nokes^{1,9}, Lynette

14 Isabella Ochola-Oyier¹, Charles N. Agoti^{1,10}, George Githinji^{1,11*}, Shahinaz A. Bedri²

15

16 **AFFILIATIONS**:

17 ¹Kenya Medical Research Institute-Wellcome Trust Research Programme (KWTRP), Kilifi,

- 18 Kenya.
- 19² National Public Health Laboratory, Khartoum, Sudan.
- ³ Department of Molecular Biology, National University Biomedical Research Institute.
- ⁴ Africa Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (Africa CDC), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- ⁵General Administration of Laboratories and Blood Banks, Ministry of Health, Kassala State,
- 23 Sudan.
- ⁶ Port Sudan Public Health Laboratory, Red Sea State, Sudan.
- ⁷ Microbiology Department, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Kassala University,
 Sudan.
- ⁸ Microbiology Department, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Gezira University,
 Sudan.
- ⁹ School of Life Sciences and Zeeman Institute for Systems Biology and Infectious Disease
- 30 Epidemiology Research (SBIDER), University of Warwick, Coventry, UK.
- 31 ¹⁰ Department of Public Health, Pwani University, Kilifi, Kenya.
- 32 ¹¹ Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Pwani University, Kilifi, Kenya.
- 33 ¹²The Francis Crick Institute, London, UK.

34	¹³ Division of Biosciences, Faculty of Life Sciences, University College London, London, UK.
35	
36	[#] Authors with equal contributions
37	* Corresponding author
38	
39	Corresponding: ggithinji@kemri-wellcome.org
40	
41	Keywords:
42	Sudan, SARS-CoV-2, VOC, VOI and introduction
43	
44	Words: Abstract (202 words), Main text: (3932 words)
45	
46	
47	

48 Abstract

SARS-CoV-2 was first detected in Sudan on 13th March 2020. Here, we describe the genomic 49 50 epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 in Sudan between May 2020 and April 2022 to understand the introduction and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 variants in the country. A total of 667 SARS-51 52 CoV-2 positive samples were successfully sequenced using the nCoV-19 Artic protocol on the Oxford Nanopore Technology (≥70% genome completeness). The genomes were compared 53 54 with a select contemporaneous global dataset to determine genetic relatedness and estimate import/export events. The genomes were classified into 37 Pango lineages within the ancestral 55 56 strain (107 isolates across 13 Pango lineages), Eta variant of interest (VOI) (78 isolates in 1 lineage), Alpha variant of concern (VOC) (10 isolates in 2 lineages), Beta VOC (26 isolates 57 in 1 lineage), Delta VOC (171 isolates across 8 lineages) and Omicron VOC (242 isolates 58 across 12 lineages). We estimated a total of 144 introductions of the observed variants from 59 60 different countries across the globe. Multiple introductions of the Eta VOI, Beta VOC and Omicron VOC were observed in Sudan mainly from Europe and Africa. These findings suggest 61 62 a need for continuous genomic surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 to monitor their introduction and 63 spread consequently inform public health measures to combat SARS-CoV-2 transmission.

INTRODUCTION

The first confirmed SARS-CoV-2 case in Sudan was detected on 13th March 2020 and by end of April 2022 the country had confirmed a total of 62,131 cases and 4,933 COVID-19 related deaths [1]. A population-based study conducted between 1st March to 10th April 2021 in Omdurman city in Sudan estimated a seroprevalence of 54.6% with higher SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity among older people (>50 years)[2].

69

The first major SARS-CoV-2 wave of infections was observed between 7th April and 22nd 70 September 2020 [1]. The government introduced various countermeasures such as closure of 71 schools, airports and borders, restriction of movement across localities, dusk to dawn curfews 72 73 and banning of religious and social gatherings in order to curb the spread of the virus, but these measures were relaxed from September 2020 [3]. Due to challenges in contact tracing earlier 74 in the pandemic in Sudan, the SARS-COV-2 cases persisted and resulted in the second surge 75 76 of infections between November 2020 and January 2021 [2] [3]. Notably, political instability 77 in the country affected tracking and containment of SARS-CoV-2 infections. The third major 78 wave was observed between December 2021 and February 2022 [1].

79

80 The major waves of SARS-CoV-2 cases observed in Sudan coincided with the infection waves observed in other African countries and these have been attributed to import/export events 81 82 between different countries globally [4]. Sudan is bordered by seven countries namely, Eritrea, Ethiopia, South Sudan, Central African Republic, Chad, Libya, and Egypt with high movement 83 84 across the borders and major cities lying either along the Red Sea or Nile River. Previously, transmission of viral infectious diseases such yellow fever and recurrent arbovirus outbreaks 85 86 have attributed to rural-urban migration within the country[3]. However, the transmission 87 dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 variants in Sudan and across its borders during the pandemic to date 88 has not been previously described.

89

Genomic surveillance has been instrumental in monitoring and understanding SARS-CoV-2
variants evolution and spread in many countries globally [5]–[8]. This study aims to describe
SARS-CoV-2 variants and transmission dynamics in Sudan using 667 whole genome
sequences obtained from PCR-confirmed cases collected between May 2020 and April 2022.

94 METHODS

95 Ethical approval

- 96 The genomic surveillance protocol used at Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI)
- 97 Wellcome Trust (KWTRP) was reviewed and approved by the Scientific and Ethics Review
- 98 Committee (SERU) in KEMRI (SERU #4035). The SARS-CoV-2 positive samples were part
- 99 of the public health emergency response thus individual participant consent was waived.
- 100

101 SARS-CoV-2 sampling.

- A total of 667 SARS-CoV-2 positive samples were sent to KWTRP-Kenya, ILRI-Kenya and
 South Africa for whole genome sequencing. The dates of collection spanned from 20th May
 2020 to 18th April 2022.
- 105

106 Viral RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

- 107 SARS-CoV-2 samples were shipped from the National Public Health Laboratory Sudan to 108 KWTRP-Kenya (n=405). The samples shipped to KWTRP-Kenya were extracted using 109 QIAamp Viral RNA Mini kit (52906, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's 110 instructions. SARS-CoV-2 positivity screening was done using various quantitative PCR kits. 111 Samples with a cycle threshold (Ct) \leq 34 were selected for cDNA synthesis. 8µl of RNA was 112 reverse transcribed using 2 µl LunaScript® RT SuperMix Kit.
- 113

114 Whole genome amplification, library preparation and sequencing at KWTRP-Kenya

- 115 The SARS-CoV-2 genome was amplified using Q5® Hot Start High Fidelity 2X Master Mix 116 (NEB, US) and the modified V4 ARTIC primers [9]. The amplicons were cleaned using 1x 117 AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, US) as per the manufacturer's protocol, eluted in 118 nuclease free water and the eluent quantified using Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (ThermoFisher
- 119 Scientific, US). Library preparation was performed as previously described [9], [10].
- 120
- End-prep was done using 50ng of PCR amplicons according to the ARTIC nCoV-2019
 sequencing protocol v3 (LoCost) [11] using the NEBNext Ultra II End repair/dA-tailing Kit
 (NEB, US). Barcode ligation was done using the native barcoding expansion (EXP-NBD196)
 kit (Oxford Nanopore Technology, UK) and NEBNext Blunt/TA Ligase Master Mix (NEB,
 US). Thereafter, adaptor ligation was done using NEBNext Quick Ligation Module reagents
 (NEB, US), Adaptor Mix II (ONT, UK) and 100ng of the barcoded sample following the

- 127 manufacturers instruction. The final loading concentration was normalised to 15ng and loaded
- on a SpotON R9 flow cell and sequenced on a GridION device [12]. 128
- 129

SARS-CoV-2 genome assembly 130

131 Basecalling and demultiplexing were executed simultaneously during sequencing using Guppy 132 version 4.0.5 (https://artic.network/ncov-2019/ncov2019-bioinformatics-sop.html) with a 133 minimum Q score of 1. Consensus SARS-CoV-2 genomes were generated using the ARTIC bioinformatics protocol (https://artic.network/ncov-2019/ncov2019-bioinformatics-sop.html). 134 135 Briefly, the reads were filtered based on length (300-700bp) and mapped to the reference accession MN908947.3) 136 genome (GenBank using Minimap2 137 (https://github.com/lh3/minimap2). Fast5 reads were used to polish the consensus genomes using Nanopolish (https://github.com/jts/nanopolish) and consensus genomes generated with a 138 139 read depth of 20X. 140

141 **Additional Sudan data**

142 Additional sequences from Sudan generated at ILRI-Kenya (n=199) and South Africa (n=204) 143 available in the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID) were also included 144 in the subsequent analysis [13].

145

146 Lineage assignment, phylogenetics and mugration analysis

The consensus genomes were assigned lineages using Pangolin version 4.0.6 [13]. Clade 147 148 assignment and mutation profiling was done using NextClade v1.13.2 [14].

149

150 All sequences originating from Sudan were analysed against a global dataset of 13,122 SARS-151 CoV-2 genomes to ensure that global representation of sequences. The selected global subset 152 had >95% genome coverage and were selected evenly from across the Pango lineage, time (month of collection) and continents. The genomes were collected from 1st September 2020 to 153 19th January 2022. All global reference genome sequences and associated metadata in this 154 155 dataset are published in GISAID's EpiCoV database https://doi.org/10.55876/gis8.220815ga. 156

157 The sequences from Sudan and the global dataset were aligned using Nextalign version 1.4.1 158 (https://github.com/neherlab/nextalign) against the SARS-CoV-2 reference genome. A 159 likelihood (ML) tree was generated using IQTREE version 2.1.3 maximum (http://www.iqtree.org/) and a branch support was assessed using 1000 bootstrap iterations. 160

161 The ML trees were converted to time resolved phylogenetic trees using TreeTime
162 (<u>https://github.com/neherlab/treetime</u>).

163

A Python script was used to estimate SARS-CoV-2 import and export events in Sudan based on the origin of sequence and time resolved tree's tips and internal nodes. The import/export events were visualized using R packages alluvial and tidyverse [15]

167

168 **RESULTS**

169 Baseline demographic characteristics

170 A total of 667 SARS-CoV-2 samples were collected from 11 different locations in Sudan and

a majority of these samples were from Khartoum (n=390, 58.5%), Kassala (n=180, 27%) and

172 Port Sudan (n=66, 9.9%). Among this population, the median age was 40 years. Out of the 667

173 cases, 237 (35.5%) were presented with symptoms and only 4 (0.6%) had known international

travel history. Metadata for variables such as vaccination status, travel history, sex, age, and

175 reason for testing was lacking for >10% of the cases (Supplementary table 1).

176

177 SARS-CoV-2 variants surveillance in Sudan

178 Genomic surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 started in May 2020 in Sudan and the 667 genomes

179 sequenced were classified into multiple variants namely, non-variant of concern/ non-variant

- 180 of internet (non-VOC/non-VOI (n=140), Eta (n=78), Alpha (n=10), Beta (n=26), Delta (n=171)
- 181 and Omicron (n=242) (Figure1C).

182



183

Figure 1: (A) Stringency index showing the government intervention levels. (B) A weekly
rolling average of the daily confirmed SARS-CoV-2 cases in Sudan as populated in
<u>https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus/country/sudan</u>. (C) Temporal distribution of detected
SARS-CoV-2 variants.

188

189 The predominant lineages within the non-VOC/non-VOI group were A.29 (n=43, 30.7%), A

190 (n=42, 30.0%) and B.1 (n=29, 20.7%). These lineages persisted through the first two major

191 waves of SARS-CoV-2 infections in Sudan (Figure 2). The Eta VOI (B.1.525) was first

192 observed in December 2020 and was sustained until August 2021 with the peak cases seen in

193 March 2021 (Figure 2). Alpha and Beta VOC cases were seen to cocirculate between March 194 2021 and May 2021.

195

196 Nine Delta VOC lineages were observed between December 2020 and February 2022. The 197 most predominant lineage across this period was B.1.617.2 (n=156, 91.2%). The other Delta VOC lineages were observed between October 2021 and February 2022 (Figure 2). By July 198 199 2021, the Delta VOC was the predominant SARS-CoV-2 variant in circulation in Sudan and 200 become dominant by August 2021.

201

Twelve lineages within the Omicron VOC were observed in between January and April 2022 202 203 during the third major wave in Sudan (Figure 2). The most common Omicron lineages were

204 BA.1.1 (n=87, 36.0%), BA.1 (n=60, 24.8%), BA.2 (n=49, 20.2%), and BA.1.1.14 (n=28, 205 11.6%).

206



208

- Figure 2: Temporal distribution of detected SARS-CoV-2 lineages in Sudan between May
 2020 to April 2022. The colours show the different VOCs/VOI, and the circle sizes indicate
 the frequency.
- 212

213 Genetic diversity of SARS-CoV-2 in Sudan

- 214 Multiple clusters and singletons from the Sudan genomes were observed with each of the
- 215 detected variants. The Sudan genomes were interspersed within the global datasets among all
- the variants (**Figure 3**).



217

Figure 3: Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees of SARS-CoV-2 sequences from different
lineages (A) non-VOC/non-VOI (B) Eta VOI (C) Alpha VOC (D) Beta VOC (E) Delta VOC,
and (F) Omicron VOC from Sudan (coloured dots) and sub-sampled global sequences.

221

222 Importations of SARS-CoV-2 variants in Sudan

- 223 Virus importation events into Sudan were estimated from the time-resolved phylogenetic trees.
- A total of 144 virus introduction events were estimated for all the variants from different parts
- of the globe. The introduction events for each variant were as follows: non-VOC/non-VOI
- 226 (n=13), Alpha (n=7), Beta (n=11), Delta (n=24), Eta (n=13) and Omicron (n=76)
- 227 (Supplementary figure 1).

228 DISCUSSION

229 This study describes the genomic epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 in Sudan between September

230 2020 and January 2022. Over this period, 37 lineages which were either non-VOCs/non-VOIs

Alpha VOC, Eta VOI, Beta VOC, Delta VOC or Omicron VOC were in circulation in Sudan.

These SARS-CoV-2 variants sustained the cases throughout 2021 until the third major wave

driven by Omicron VOC in January 2022. The third wave coincided with both the relaxation

234 of the stringency measures in Sudan and the introduction of Omicron, with its increased

transmissibility and infectivity compared to other VOCs such as Delta and Beta [16], [17].

236

237 Lineage A.29 was first detected in Gambia in August 2020 and then spread with minimal 238 infectivity and severity globally [18]. The lineage was first detected in Sudan in September 2020 and was sustained until February 2021 based on additional sequences deposited on 239 240 GISAID [19]. Alpha VOC, Eta VOI, Beta VOC, and Delta VOC were detected and were in 241 circulation between February 2021 and July 2021. However, no major waves were observed in 242 Sudan coincidented with the introduction of these variants, which contrasts the association of 243 these variants with surges of cases seen in other countries within Africa [6]. The common 244 Omicron lineages detected in cocirculation in Sudan were BA.1.1 (30.7%), BA.1 (27.3%) and 245 BA.2 (23.9%) and the frequency of these variants increased rapidly across the weeks in January 2022. Similar findings in transition of lineages and these omicron subvariants causing surges 246 247 in cases have been reported elsewhere [20]–[23].

248

249 Our phylogenetic analysis showed the sequences from Sudan were interspersed within the 250 global tree, suggesting the frequent global spread of the detected variants due to the movement 251 of people across different countries. Multiple introductions of the Eta VOI, Beta VOC and 252 Omicron VOC were observed into Sudan, mainly from Europe and Africa. Import/export 253 events of the virus within Africa and across Europe have been previously described [6], [8]. Interestingly, only one Delta lineage B.1.617.2 was identified in Sudan and the phylogeny 254 suggests a single introduction event that led further spread of Delta in Sudan through local 255 256 transmission. However, this conclusion may be influenced by the limited sampling, especially 257 lack of sampling between August and December 2021 following the replacement of the Delta. 258 Our study had some limitations. First, the samples used in this analysis are only from two 259 major cities: Khartoum; and Port Sudan, and we do not have detailed epidemiological data. 260 Additionally, there is a lack of continuous sampling over time, making it difficult to draw

solid conclusions on the complete diversity of SARS-CoV-2 in Sudan during the pandemic.

262

In conclusion, this study reveals the genetic diversity of SARS-CoV-2 variants in circulation in after multiple introductions of these variants in the country. These findings show that continuous genomic surveillance is key in monitoring and understanding the introduction and spread of SARS-CoV-2 variants within countries as it helps in upholding measures public health measures that can mitigate the spread of the virus.

269

270 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Sudan Ministry of Health, Public Health Laboratory, Africa-CDC for facilitating
sharing of sequenced SARS-CoV-2 samples. We would like to thank all the laboratories that
have shared SARS-CoV-2 sequence data in GISAID that we included as comparison data in

- our analysis.
- 275

276 Funding Information

This works was supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) 277 278 (project references 17/63/82 and 16/136/33) using UK aid from the UK Government to support global health research, The UK Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office and 279 280 Wellcome Trust (grant# 220985/Z/20/Z) The views expressed in this publication are those of the author (s) and not necessarily those of NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care, 281 282 Foreign Commonwealth and Development Office. This work was also supported by the Sudan Public Health Laboratory (Public Health Authority), Africa-CDC, WHO-Afro, ASLM, and 283 284 WHO-Kenya offices.

285

286 Data Availability Statement:

287 The Sudan daily case data for the study period was obtained and is freely available from the

- 288 Our World in Data database. All genome sequences and associated metadata in this dataset
- are published in GISAID's EpiCoV database <u>https://doi.org/10.55876/gis8.231110pw</u>.
- 290 The epidemiological data is available on the Virus Epidemiology and Control (VEC)
- 291 Dataverse (DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/782VRG</u>). Data are available under the terms
- 292 of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license</u> (CC-BY 4.0).

293

294 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors have declared no competing interest.

295 **REFERENCES**

- W. Moser *et al.*, "SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Prevalence and Population-Based Death
 Rates, Greater Omdurman, Sudan," *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 1026–1030,
 2022, doi: 10.3201/eid2805.211951.
- [3] M. M. Mukhtar and M. Khogali, "The accelerating COVID-19 epidemic in Sudan,"
 Nat. Immunol., vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41590-021-00950-0.
- X. Deng *et al.*, "Genomic surveillance reveals multiple introductions of SARS-CoV-2
 into Northern California," *Science (80-.).*, vol. 369, no. 6503, pp. 582–587, 2020, doi:
 10.1126/science.abb9263.
- 304 [6] H. Tegally *et al.*, "The evolving SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in Africa: Insights from
 305 rapidly expanding genomic surveillance
- Z. Chen *et al.*, "Global landscape of SARS-CoV-2 genomic surveillance and data
 sharing," *Nat. Genet.*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 499–507, 2022, doi: 10.1038/s41588-022 01033-y.
- 309 [8] E. Wilkinson *et al.*, "A year of genomic surveillance reveals how the SARS-CoV-2
 310 pandemic unfolded in Africa," *Science (80-.).*, vol. 374, no. 6566, pp. 2021, doi:
 311 10.1126/science.abj4336.
- A. W. Lambisia *et al.*, "Optimization of the SARS-CoV-2 ARTIC Network V4
 Primers and Whole Genome Sequencing Protocol," *Front. Med.*, vol. 9, no. February,
 pp. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.836728.
- 315 [10] G. Githinji *et al.*, "Tracking the introduction and spread of SARS-CoV-2 in coastal
 316 Kenya," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 4809, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1038/s41467-021317 25137-x.
- 318 [11] J. Quick, "nCoV-2019 sequencing protocol v3 (LoCost) V.3," 2020.
- 319 [12] J. R. Tyson et al., "Improvements to the ARTIC multiplex PCR method for SARS-
- 320 CoV-2 genome sequencing using nanopore.," *bioRxiv Prepr. Serv. Biol.*, 2020, doi:
 321 10.1101/2020.09.04.283077.
- A. Rambaut *et al.*, "A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to
 assist genomic epidemiology," *Nat. Microbiol.*, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 1403–1407, 2020,
 doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-0770-5.
- 325 [14] J. Hadfield et al., "NextStrain: Real-time tracking of pathogen evolution,"
- *Bioinformatics*, vol. 34, no. 23, pp. 4121–4123, 2018, doi:
- **327** 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty407.
- 328 [15] R. C. R Core Team, "R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing,"

329

Vienna, Austria, 2018.

- 330 [16] D. Ao *et al.*, "SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant: Immune escape and vaccine
 331 development," *MedComm*, vol. 3, no. 1. pp. doi: 10.1002/mco2.126.
- J. Chen, R. Wang, N. B. Gilby, and G. W. Wei, "Omicron Variant (B.1.1.529):
 Infectivity, Vaccine Breakthrough, and Antibody Resistance," *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*,
 vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 412–422, 2022, doi: 10.1021/acs.jcim.1c01451.
- and A. R. Áine O'Toole, Emily Scher, "Pango Lineages: Latest epidemiological
 lineages of SARS-CoV-2," 2022.
- Y. Shu and J. McCauley, "GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data –
 from vision to reality," *Eurosurveillance*, vol. 22, no. 13, pp. 2017, doi:
 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2017.22.13.30494.
- P. Elliott *et al.*, "Twin peaks: The Omicron SARS-CoV-2 BA.1 and BA.2 epidemics in
 England," *Science (80-.).*, vol. 376, no. 6600, 2022, doi: 10.1126/science.abq4411.
- 342 [21] O. Eales *et al.*, "The new normal? Dynamics and scale of the SARS-CoV-2 variant
 343 Omicron epidemic in England," *medRxiv*, p. 2022.03.29.22273042, 2022, doi:
 344 10.1038/s41467-022-32096-4.
- 345 [22] NGS-SA, "Network for Genomic Surveillance in South Africa (NGS-SA) SARS-CoV346 2 sequencing update."
- 347
- 348