Wastewater monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 gene for COVID-19 epidemiological
surveillance in Tucumán Argentina

María Cecilia D'Arpino[a], Pedro Eugenio Sineli[b], Gustavo Goroso[c], William Watanabe[c], María
Lucila Saavedra[e], Elvira María Hebert[e], María Alejandra Martínez[b], Julieta Migliavaca[f], Silvina
Gerstenfeld[f], Rossana Elena Chahla[f], Augusto Bellomio[d], Virginia Helena Albarracín [a,g]*

[a] Laboratory of Molecular and Ultraestructural Microbiology, Centro Integral de Microscopía
Electrónica, (CIME-CONICET), Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Facultad de Agronomía,
Zootecnia y Veterinaria, Tucumán, Argentina.
[b] Planta Piloto de Procesos Industriales Microbiológicos (PROIMI-CONICET), Tucumán,
Argentina.
[c] Laboratorio de Processamento de Sinais e Modelagem de Sistemas Biológicos. Núcleo de
Pesquisas Tecnológicas. Universidade Mogi das Cruzes, Sao Paulo, Brasil.
[d] Instituto Superior de Investigaciones Biológicas (INSIBIO, CONICET-Universidad Nacional
de Tucumán), Tucumán, Argentina.
[e] Centro de Referencia para Lactobacilos (CERELA-CONICET), Tucumán, Argentina.
[f] Ministerio de Salud, Gobierno de Tucumán (SIPROSA). Tucumán, Argentina.
[g] Facultad de Ciencias Naturales e Instituto Miguel Lillo, Universidad Nacional Tucumán.
Tucumán, Argentina.

***Corresponding author:**
Virginia Helena Albarracín
Lab of Molecular and Ultraestructural Microbiology
Centro Integral de Microscopía Electrónica, CONICET, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán
Finca El Manantial, Facultad de Agronomía y zootecnia
Camino de Sirga s/n (CP 4107), Tucumán, Argentina.
E-mail: valbarracin@csnat.unt.edu.ar

Abbreviations: COVID-19, Coronavirus disease-19; Cq, quantification cycle; PEG,
polyethyleneglycol; SARS-CoV-2, acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2; WBE, wastewater-
based epidemiology; WWTP, wastewater treatment plant.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, Surveillance, Monitoring, Wastewater, Tucumán,

Abstract

Epidemiology based on the detection of pathogens in wastewater is extremely useful in providing

information about a population's health status. This study aimed to analyze and report the

epidemiological dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 in the province of Tucumán, Argentina during the

second and third surges of COVID-19 between April 2021 and March 2022. The study aimed to

quantify SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater, correlating it with clinically reported COVID-19

cases. Wastewater samples (n=72) were collected from 16 sampling points located in 3 cities of

Tucumán (San Miguel de Tucumán, Yerba Buena y Banda del Río Salí). Detection of viral

nucleocapsid markers (N1 gene) was carried out using one-step RT-qPCR. Viral loads were

determined for each positive sample using a standard curve. A positive correlation ($p < 0.05$) was

observed between viral load (copies/mL) and the clinically confirmed COVID-19 cases reported

during the sampling period in San Miguel de Tucumán. Our research findings provided a crucial

insight into the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 infection during epidemic outbreaks. The

implementation of wastewater monitoring has proven to be an invaluable epidemiological tool,

facilitating early detection of potential surges in COVID-19 cases, and enabling a comprehensive

tracking of the pandemic. Our study underscores the significance of incorporating SARS-CoV-2

genome-based surveillance as a standard practice which will contribute to anticipating any future

spikes in infections.

1. Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has caused great public health concerns around the world, being declared a global health emergency by the World Health Organization (WHO). As of October 25, 2023, the COVID-19 pandemic has caused 771,549,718 reported cases and 6,974,473 deaths worldwide (WHO, 2023).

COVID-19 is the result of infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the Order Nidovirales, Family Coronaviridae, genus Betacoronavirus (Alexandersen et al., 2020). Is an enveloped, positive-sense single-stranded RNA virus (Kadam et al., 2021; Shereen et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020).

Human-to-human transmission occurs through droplets, aerosols, and contaminated surfaces originating from an infected person's respiratory secretions (Jones et al., 2020; Sharma et al., 2021). Patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 exhibit a wide range of symptoms, including fever, cough, shortness of breath, sore throat, and headaches. Clinical manifestations vary from asymptomatic infection or mild respiratory disease to severe pneumonia, respiratory failure, and even multi-organ failure (Guan et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2020). Gastrointestinal symptoms, such as nausea, vomiting, loss of appetite, diarrhea, and abdominal pain, have also been reported (Adhikari et al., 2022; Kariyawasam et al., 2021; Megyeri et al., 2021). Notably, the gastrointestinal tract contains a substantial amount of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2), a receptor used by SARS-CoV-2 for cell entry (Hamming et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2020; Lewandowski et al., 2023). This allows the virus to infect human enterocytes in the gastrointestinal tract, leading to viral replication and excretion in feces (Jones et al., 2020). Therefore, SARS-CoV-2 RNA is commonly detected in wastewater, with concentrations of up to 10^7 copies g^{-1} (Ahmed et al., 2020a; Thompson et al., 2020).

Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) turns out to be a methodology that allows obtaining an assessment of the population's exposure to infectious agents such as SARS-CoV-2. WBE offers extremely valuable information on the temporal and spatial dynamics of population exposure, providing information on the health status of a community concerning etiological agents found mainly in human feces or urine. (Ahmed et al., 2021; Giraud-Billoud, 2021; Prado et al., 2021; Randazzo et al., 2020).

Given the magnitude of the COVID-19 crisis, there is a pressing need for integrated alert and surveillance systems to detect and model the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 infections. Accurate assessment of the number of infected individuals is challenging, especially due to a significant proportion of carriers displaying mild or no symptoms but capable of excreting and transmitting the virus (Maréchal et al., 2023; Rothe et al., 2020). Sewage surveillance offers the potential to monitor virus circulation in the community, covering both symptomatic and asymptomatic carriers. Moreover, WBE can serve as an early warning system for the emergence of SARS-CoV-2, outbreak detection, and identification of circulating strains (Li et al., 2023). Furthermore, the

use of WBE for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater may provide early indicators

of an increase in clinical cases at the population level (Ahmed et al., 2020b; Ahmed et al., 2021;

Larsen and Wigginton, 2020; Medema et al., 2020).

This study represents the first attempt to monitor the epidemiological evolution of SARS-

CoV-2 using WBE analysis in the metropolitan area of Tucumán, Argentina. The study involved

the analysis of wastewater samples collected during the COVID-19 pandemic between April 2021

and March 2022 from various sources, including manholes, wastewater treatment plants, and

hospital effluents exit. These samples were subjected to viral concentration and RNA isolation

methods, followed by quantification of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid markers by RT-qPCR.

Finally, this study correlated the number of genetic copies of the SARS-CoV-2 N1 gene in

wastewater with reported cases of COVID-19.

2.   Materials and methods

2.1 Wastewater sampling

Tucumán province is in the Northwest of Argentina with a population of 1.703.186 inhabitants

(http://estadistica.tucuman.gov.ar/index.php/censo-2022). Seventy-two wastewater samples were

collected between April 2021 and March 2022. The sampling period covered the 2nd and 3rd wave

of COVID-19 pandemic in Tucumán. The samples were collected from various sources: manholes

located in San Miguel de Tucumán (n9) and Yerba Buena (n4), a wastewater treatment plant

(WWTP), (n1) located in San Miguel of Tucumán and the wastewater inspection chambers of two

hospitals, one located in San Miguel de Tucumán (n1) and the other in Banda del Río Salí (n1).

All samples were taken early in the morning by collecting 500 mL of water in sterilized plastic

containers. The collected samples were brought on ice to the laboratory for processing within 24

hours. No precipitation or storms occurred on or before the sampling days.

2.2. PEG concentration method

The samples were thermally inactivated to increase the safety of their handling (Hasan et al.,

2021; Pastorino et al., 2020). PEG-based viral concentration method was used, which according

to the literature is one of the most efficient methods in terms of viral recovery (Barril et al., 2021;

Iwai et al., 2009; Thongprachum et al., 2018). 200 mL was transferred to a sterile glass bottle

with a screw cap containing 20 g of 8% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (PEG) 6000 (Sigma Aldrich

SRL, Argentina) and 4.5 g of 0.2 M (p/v) (Cicarelli). It was shaken to homogenize, and the

mixture was allowed to stand overnight at 4°C for viral precipitation. Viruses were concentrated

by two-step centrifugation, 7670 g for 10 min and then 7990 g for 1 h at 4°C. The pellet was

suspended in 1 mL of TRI Reagent® (Molecular Research Center. Inc) to obtain the homogenate)

and stored at -70°C.

2.3. SARS-CoV-2 RNA purification

Viral RNA was extracted from TRI Reagent® (Molecular Research Center) homogenate and

purified by using a Puro Virus RNA (Productos Bio-Lógicos, Argentina), according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 140 µl of the TRI Reagent homogenate was mixed with 560

µl of lysis buffer A plus ARN Carrier (10,7 ug/mL) and subjected to pulse-vortexing for 15 seg

and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. Then, 560 µl of cold 100% ethanol was added and

incubated for 5 min at room temperature. The lysate was transferred to the column and centrifuged

at 6000 g for 1 min. Then was washed with buffer w1, centrifuged at 6000 g for 1 min, and

continued with two washes with buffer w2. The column was then dried by centrifuging at 12,000

g for 3 min and then RNA elution was performed in 50 µl of RNase-free water by centrifugation

at 12,000 g for 1 min. Purified RNA was stored at -80 °C. The concentration and quality of RNA

were measured using a µDrop Plate (Thermo Scientific).

2.4. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 genetic marker in wastewater samples

Detection of SARS-CoV-2 genetic marker from wastewater was carried out by one-step RT-

qPCR. The N-gene encoding the viral nucleocapsid (N) was amplified as target using the primers

2019-nCoV_N1F: GACCCCAAAATCAGCGAAAT,   2019-nCoV_N1R:

TCTGGTTACTGCCAGTTGAATCTG   and   the   probe   was,   2019-nCoV_N1P: FAM-

ACCCCGCATTACGTTTGGTGGACC-BHQ1, validated by the US Centers for Disease Control

and Prevention (CDC, 2020) (Lednicky et al., 2020). RT-qPCR mix was prepared using qScript

XLT 1-Step RT-PCR (Quantabio) in a one-step system. Reaction mix (10 µl) consisted of One

Step RT master Mix, Qscript ROX Reference Dye, 0.50 pmol/mL REV primer, 0.5 pmol/mL FW

primer and 0.25 pmol/mL FAM labeled TaqMan probe, nuclease-free water. A real-time (RT-

qPCR) assay was performed in a StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems).

The RT qPCR program set up was, one cycle for retrotranscription at 50°C for 10 min, then a

preheating at 95 °C for 1min and 45 cycles of amplification at 95 °C for 10 s, and 60 °C for 1

min. Each RNA was analyzed in duplicate, and every RT-qPCR assay included negative

(nuclease-free water) and positive controls.

2.5. Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater samples

The viral load in those wastewater samples that tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA was

quantified using a standard curve of a plasmid containing the SARS-CoV-2 N gene (2019-

nCoV_N_Positive Control, IDT). Linear dynamic range was evaluated among 50 and 200000

genetic copies. The standard curve used had an R^2 value of 0.995 (slope = -3.5167; y-intercept =

32.86). The results were expressed as the number of genetic copies in 1 mL of wastewater

(copies/mL).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Pearson's correlations analyses were performed to test the correlation between COVID-19

cases and the number of genetic copies by 1 mL of wastewater samples. The significance level

was fixed at $p < 0.05$.

2.7. Variables related to epidemiological surveillance

All epidemiological data covering the period from April 2021 to March 2022, considered in

this investigation, were provided by the environmental department of the Ministry of Health of

Tucumán.

3.   **Results**

3.1. Epidemiological data in the Tucumán province, Argentina

The evolution of daily COVID-19 cases in Tucumán during the first, second, and third waves

are shown in Figure 1. Most cases were reported for the metropolitan area of the province called

as "Gran San Miguel de Tucumán" which account for more than 90% of the population and

covered four different districts: Capital San Miguel de Tucumán, Cruz Alta, Yerba Buena, Tafí

Viejo and Lules. The first wave occurred during the second semester of 2020 from the second

week of August to the end of November with a peak on October 15, where approx. 1300 daily

cases were recorded. In 2021, a second wave lasting 24 weeks, from March to August, daily cases

increased from an average of 166 in early March to 755 in mid-April. Movement restrictions were

imposed on April 8, followed by a declaration of confinement on May 22. June showed a peak

with up to 1400 cases/day, with 155345 confirmed cases in the province as of June 28, 2021. 65%

of these cases were concentrated in the capital city of San Miguel de Tucumán. A significant

decrease in cases occurred from August, maintaining fewer than 50 confirmed daily cases until

December. A subsequent increase in cases was observed corresponding to the third wave, which

extended from mid-December to mid-February. On January 10, a maximum of 6500 positive

cases were recorded. By March 2022, cases had dropped to fewer than five per day.

3.2 SARS-CoV-2 genetic marker detection in wastewater

Taking in account the above referred epidemiological context, wastewater sampling was

conducted during the second and third waves; more exactly in April, June, September, December

(2021) and in March 2022. In total, 13 manholes from the cities with most reported cases were

sampled: San Miguel de Tucumán (n9) and Yerba Buena (n4). In addition, the main wastewater

treatment plant of the metropolitan area, San Felipe, was sampled for a general reference together

with two COVID19-reference hospitals sewage systems (positive controls): i) Zenón J. Santillán

Health Center (San Miguel de Tucumán); ii) Eva Perón Hospital (Banda del Rio Salí, Cruz Alta).

Figure 2.a shows the geolocation of each of the sampling points (Table 1).

Over time, we analysed 72 samples and detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA via RT-qPCR. The average Cq values from manholes wastewater samples were as follows: April 2021 (29.73 ± 4.55), June 2021 (32.78 ± 1.73), September 2021 (41.24 ± 0.51), and December 2021 (39.02 ± 4.11) (mean $\pm$ SEM). The Cq values correspond to the San Felipe wastewater treatment plant (SP7), and to the wastewater inspection chamber of the Centro de Salud Zenón J. Santillán (SP8) and Eva Perón (SP9) hospitals are shown in the supplementary material.

All sampling points, except SP1 and SP2, showed evidence of SARS-CoV-2 at least once during the sampling period. Specifically, SP3 exhibited a low viral load in September 2021. Additionally, sample points 12, 14, and 15 were only positive in June. Detailed RNA viral load data for each sampling point during the second wave is summarized in Table 2. In April 2021, 6 out of 16 samples tested positive. The highest viral load was observed at SP4. June 2021 sampling, coinciding with the second wave, yielded 9 positive samples out of 16. In September 2021, 8 points were analysed, all in San Miguel de Tucumán, of which 5 were positive. In December 2021, 10 out of 16 samples tested positive. On the other hand, sites SP5, SP11, and SP16 only showed the presence of the virus in December. No viral RNA was detected in samples taken in March 2022.

3.3 Relationship between SARS-CoV-2 viral load and cases of Covid 19 in Tucumán

To have a global representation of the behaviour of the viral load and the number of cases, the relationship between SARS-CoV-2 viral loads was analysed based on the detection of the N1 marker with the COVID-19 cases registered during the 2nd and 3rd emergence of COVID-19 in each of the cities under study. We especially show those points that presented detectable viral load over time (Figure 3), those points were SP4, SP7, and SP8 belonging to San Miguel de Tucumán, in Yerba Buena were SP10 and SP13, and in Banda del Río Salí SP9. The viral load obtained for sampling points SP4, SP7, and SP8 were related to the positive cases of COVID-19 registered in San Miguel de Tucumán on the sampling day during the months of analysis. Figure 3.a allows us to observe a high viral load in SP4 at the beginning of sampling (250142.49 copies/mL). On the other hand, in June, when positive cases peaked, a viral load of 3229.37 copies/mL was detected. Then in September, the viral load recorded was very low, 5.6 copies/mL, and remained at low levels in December, when an increase in positive cases was recorded (10.48 copies/mL). In March, no viral load was detected. Figure 3.b shows the relationship between the SARS-CoV-2 viral load detected at the entrance to San Felipe wastewater treatment plant (SP7) with positive COVID-19 cases. In this scenario, we observed an initial viral load of 1451.06 copies/mL in April, which subsequently increased to 5334.32 copies/mL by June, coinciding with an increase in positive cases. In September it dropped to 12.54 copies/mL and remained very low during December 1.13 copies/mL, becoming undetectable in March 2022. Figure 3.c shows the relationship of the viral load with positive cases at The Health Center (Zenon J. Santillán). The

viral load in the April sample was 5222.14 copies/mL, experiencing a substantial surge to

32725.17 copies/mL in June. Subsequently, in September, the recorded viral load markedly

decreased to a mere 28.86 copies/mL, only to rise again to 12260.28 copies/mL in December. The

situation took a positive turn by March 2022, as no viral RNA was detected at that point. We

performed a correlation analysis between COVID-19 cases and the load of the SARS-CoV-2 N1

marker in the samples. The Spearman test showed values of rs = 0.9, p (2-tailed) = 0.03739,

evidencing a statistically significant association for both variables. Figure 3.d shows the

relationship between the SARS-CoV-2 viral load in SP9 wastewater, and the COVID-19 cases

recorded in Cruz Alta during the test months. In April, the viral load was 333.06 copies/mL, in

June a notable increase was observed to 6041.19 copies/mL, while in December a sharp decrease

was recorded at 1.78 copies/mL, becoming undetectable in March 2022. Figure 3.e enables us to

examine the correlation between viral load and positive cases at SP10 and SP13 points, which are

associated with manholes situated in the city of Yerba Buena. The patterns observed for both were

quite alike; consequently, the viral loads documented in April were 1066.21 copies/mL for SP10

and 1966.81 copies/mL for SP13, respectively. In June, at both points an increase was detected

to 2708.26 and 2228.73 copies/mL for SP10 and SP13 respectively, coinciding with an increase

in the number of confirmed positive cases for COVID-19. In September, a notable decrease was

recorded at both points 28.79 and 4.99 copies/mL for SP10 and SP13 respectively. Finally, in

March 2022, no N1 marker of SARS-CoV-2 was detected.

4. Discussion

In Argentina, from 3 January 2020 to 8:20 am CEST, 19 July 2023, there have been 10,044,957

confirmed cases of COVID-19 with 130,472 deaths, reported to WHO. As of 7 October 2022, a

total of 109,652,736 vaccine doses have been administered. The first wave lasted 12 weeks with

a peak in October 2020, while the second wave lasted about 24 weeks with an epidemic peak in

June 2021. In this work, sampling was carried out in April, June, September, December 2021, and

March 2022. It is important to note that in 2021, on April 8, a movement restriction was

established since the second surge of COVID-19. As of June 28, 2021, confirmed cases in the

province of Tucumán amounted to 155,345 from 591,339 studied samples. The cumulative index

per 10,000 inhabitants was 916.7. During the second wave, 65% of the cases in the entire province

correspond to San Miguel de Tucumán.

Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater has been reported worldwide (Ahmed et al.,

2020; La Rosa et al., 2020; Wani et al., 2023; Wu et al., 2020). These studies show the usefulness

of monitoring viral RNA in wastewater for surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 infection at the level of

the entire population. SARS-CoV-2 monitoring in the WBE is based on the excretion of the virus

through the gastrointestinal system. In this way, viral genetic material can be detected in drainage

as well as in wastewater treatment plants (Ahmed et al., 2021; Atoui et al., 2023), even before

COVID-19 patients are detected in the population and up to 21 days after the number of diagnosed
cases decreases to baseline (Amirian, 2020; Yeo et al., 2020). The present article is part of a
federal research program aimed at examining wastewater to evaluate the circulation of the SARS-
CoV-2 virus in Argentina during the pandemic. The investigations provided epidemiological data
concerning different provinces; Buenos Aires (Barrios et al., 2021; Iglesias et al., 2021), Santa Fé
(Reno et al., 2022), Mendoza (Giraud-Billoud, et al., 2021), Córdoba (Masachessi et al., 2022),
Salta (Cruz et al., 2023; Maidana-Kulesza, et al., 2022). It is the first surveillance report carried
out in the Province of Tucumán to develop a strategy for detecting SARS-CoV-2 at the city level
from wastewater. Viruses must be recovered from these samples. Different strategies are used,
including precipitation with (PEG) for viral concentration (Ahmed et al., 2020a; Wu et al., 2020),
of which there are variants. In this work, SARS-CoV-2 was concentrated from wastewater by
PEG precipitation. These approaches have already been used to recover SARS-CoV-2 from
environmental water samples (Barril et al., 2021; La Rosa et al., 2020; Pérez-Cataluña et al., 2021;
Randazzo et al., 2020) because they demonstrated adequate viral recoveries when wastewater
matrices were artificially seeded with SARS-CoV-2 (Ahmed et al., 2020a; Barril et al., 2021;
Lewis and Metcalf, 1988). In addition, it has been reported as a method that allows satisfactory
recovery at a low cost (Wani et al., 2023). We detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA by RT-qPCR using
N1 primer sets, already used and validated for clinical laboratory testing. In this work, the first
sample was taken on April 5, during the beginning of the second wave of COVID-19. Although
6 of the 16 spots were positive, in SP4 we detected a large increase in the N1 marker for SARS-
CoV-2 (copies/mL), a few days before COVID-19 cases increased (Table 2). At this time, in
Argentina, the movement restriction was established due to a sustained increase in the curve of
daily cases during the last four weeks in the city of Buenos Aires, and in nine provinces including
Tucumán (Directorate of Epidemiology of the Ministry of Health of the Nation, 2021). In a study
conducted in Santa Fé, Argentina, increases in genetic markers N1 and N2 in wastewater
treatment plants occurred 3 to 6 days before the weekly increase in COVID cases (Giraud-Billoud,
et al., 2021). In New Haven, Connecticut, USA, Peccia et al. (2020) demonstrated that SARS-
CoV-2 RNA concentrations from primary sewage sludge were 1 to 4 days earlier than local
hospital admissions and 6 to 8 days earlier than positive SARS-CoV-2 tests. Coinciding with the
increase in the number of cases in June, when the peak of the second wave occurred, we recorded
that a greater number of sampling points were positive compared to April (Table 2). Our study
describes low Ct values and high viral load (copies/mL) in both April and June 2021. A positive
correlation between SARS-CoV-2 viral load and its transmissibility has been reported (Dadras et
al., 2022). In Tucumán, a peak of positive cases of Covid-19 was registered in June. Furthermore,
at this time a mortality rate per million inhabitants of 10.0 was recorded. COVID-19 patients who
have been treated in the intensive care unit with severe illness have been shown to have a
relatively higher viral load (Abdulrahman et al., 2021). A study conducted in large hospitals

suggested that a high viral load is associated with an increased risk of death (El Zein et al., 2021).
Although there are no studies in our province that report the viral load of patients during the
second wave, our results could be associated with the fact that many COVID-19-positive people
have a high viral load. Indeed, people infected with SARS-CoV-2 have been reported to shed the
virus in feces for prolonged periods and with viral loads that can reach up to 1×10^6 genomic
copies (GC) per gram of fecal material (Amirian, 2020; Yeo et al., 2020). In September, positive
sampling points showed a low viral load, which may be related to the decrease in the number of
cases, as well as the increase in vaccination coverage. In Argentina, a decrease in the number of
positive cases of COVID-19 has been observed since the beginning of August (data repository of
the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University). A similar
pattern could be observed in Tucumán. In mid-August 2021, the percentage of vaccination
coverage in both Argentina and Tucumán was 30% and in mid-December, it was 70%, while as
of March 27, 2022, it reached 80% (Johns University Data Repository Hopkins Center for
Systems Sciences and Engineering (CSSE)). According to CSSE, data repository at Johns
Hopkins University, the increase in the percentage of the population vaccinated with full doses
was associated with a decrease in the number of cases as well as a lower mortality rate evidencing
the end of the second wave epidemic outbreak. Importantly, the protection of various vaccines
against hospitalization and death from severe COVID-19 disease slowly decreased after a two-
dose schedule of a global COVID-19 vaccine (Zhou et al., 2022). In December, 10 of the points
analyzed were positive but with a very low viral load except for point SP8 corresponding to the
Health Center Hospital (Table 2), possibly in relation to many people admitted to the COVID
Unit. A few weeks later, the number of cases increased, leading to the third wave, with a peak of
positive cases in January. During this period, a mortality rate per million inhabitants of 5.0 was
reported with a vaccination coverage percentage of 80% at both the national and provincial levels.
This increase in both the number of cases and mortality may be related to the circulation of the
new variant B.1.1.529 "Omicron" (WHO, 2021). A decrease in the number of cases was then
observed nationally (Johns Hopkins University Center for Systems Science and Engineering
(CSSE) data repository). In Tucumán, at the beginning of March 2022, between 30 and 45 cases
per day were recorded, while towards the end of this month, they did not exceed 5 positive cases
per day. Our analysis showed that all sampling points were undetectable for the SARS-CoV-2 N1
marker. The limit of detection (LOD) of 2019-nCoV plasmid (CDC, USA) by RT-qPCR for N1
and N2 is 25 copies/reaction.

When we analyze the positive sampling points in relation to the number of cases, we find a
positive correlation for points SP4, SP7, and SP8 in the months of May and June. However, at
other points, it was not possible. Many authors consider that the SARS-CoV-2 RNA content in
wastewater is not necessarily proportional to the changes in confirmed cases (Ai et al., 2021;
Faladori et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). Finally, the decrease in the detection of SARS-CoV-2

positive samples in wastewater coincides with the decrease in confirmed clinical cases of COVID-19 that marks the end of the third wave of the COVID-19 epidemic.

Recent research considers that wastewater surveillance is essential for tracking the spread of SARS-CoV-2 among populations (Jiang et al., 2023; Pipes et al., 2022). Considering that wastewater represents a composite biological sample of the community, continuous monitoring could be increasingly useful in areas where clinical trial rates decline or resources for clinical trials are limited (Hoar et al., 2022). Along these lines, this work could contribute to building a WBE network with the aim of monitoring SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater during the post-pandemic.

5. Conclusion

Wastewater surveillance represents a complementary approach to estimating the presence and even prevalence of COVID-19 in the community. Our results allowed us to analyse the behaviour of the SARS-CoV-2 viral load and its relationship with the health status of the population of the Metropolitan Region of Tucumán during the second and third waves of COVID-19. Our results allowed us to identify specific sampling points with a reliable representation of COVID-19 progression. This led to considering these key sampling sites (SP4, SP7, SP8) for monitoring in San Miguel de Tucumán and SP10 and SP13 for Yerba Buena. The analysis of wastewater for SARS-CoV-2 RNA represents an effective tool that can provide valuable data to be applied in public health. Our findings indicate that WBE is a useful epidemiological indicator to anticipate the increase in COVID-19 cases and monitor the progress of the pandemic and different waves of infections.

6. Credit authorship contribution statement

VHA, AB, MLS, EMH, and GG had the original research idea and designed the project. MCD, PES, AB, and MLS designed and performed the experiments. JM, SG and REC designed and performed the sampling and provided the epidemiological data. MCD, PES, WW and GG analysed the experimental and epidemiological data and produced the figures and tables. VHA, AB, MLS, EMH and GG obtained and executed funding for the original project idea. VHA, AB, MAM and REC provided instrumentation, consumables, logistics and infrastructure for the execution of the experiments. MCD, PES and VHA wrote the paper. AB, MLS, EMH, GG and MAM edited and critically analysed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

7. Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the generous financial support by the National Council of Research and Technology (CONICET) and Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation of Argentina

(MINCyT) through "PROGRAMA DE ARTICULACIÓN Y FORTALECIMIENTO FEDERAL
DE LAS CAPACIDADES EN CIENCIA Y TECNOLOGÍA COVID-19". This work was funding
by the project TUC-03-COVID19 "*COVID-U-MAP*", included in the Bank of Technological and
Social Development Projects of CONICET (PDTS). Our group was part of the "Federal Network
for COVID detection in the environment", Unidad Coronavirus, MINCyT. The project received
financial support of the Provincial Health Ministry in the frame of COVID19 Research Program,
processed by a specific agreement between the Superior Government of the Province of Tucumán,
the National University of Tucumán and CONICET. MCD had a postdoctoral research
scholarship from CONICET. VHA, AB, MLS, EMH, PES, and MAM are CONICET researchers.
397

8.   Declaration of competing interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

9.   References

Abdulrahman, A., Mallah, S.I., Alqahtani, M., 2021. COVID-19 viral load not associated with
disease severity: findings from a retrospective cohort study. BMC Infect Dis. 21(1):688.

Adhikari U.D., Eng, G., Farcasanu, M., Laura E Avena, L.E., Choudhary, M.C., Triant, V.A., et
al., 2022. Fecal Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-Cov-2) RNA Is
Associated with Decreased Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Survival. Clin Infect Dis.,
74(6):1081-1084.

Ahmed, W., Angel, N., Edson, J., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., O'Brien, J.W., et al., 2020b. First
confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: a proof of concept for
the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. Sci Total Environ. 1:728:138764.

Ahmed, W., Bivins, A., Bertsch, P.M., Bibby, K., Choi, P.M., Farkas, K., 2020a. Surveillance of
SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater: Methods optimisation and quality control are crucial for
generating reliable public health information. Curr Opin Environ Sci Health. 17:100209.

Ahmed, W., Tscharke, B., Bertsch, P.M., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., Choi, P., et al., 2021. SARS-
CoV-2 RNA monitoring in wastewater as a potential early warning system for COVID-19
transmission in the community: a temporal case study. Sci. Total Environ. 761, 144216.

Ai, Y., Davis, A., Jones, D., Lemeshow, S., Tu, H., He, F., et al., 2021. Wastewater SARS-CoV-2 monitoring as a community-level COVID-19 trend tracker and variants in Ohio, United States. Sci Total Environ 801:149757.

Alleman, M.M., Coulliette-Salmond, A.D., Wilnique, P., Belgasmi-Wright, H., Sayyad, L., Wong, K., et al., 2021. Environmental surveillance for polioviruses in haïti (2017–2019): the dynamic process for the establishment and monitoring of sampling sites. Viruses 13:505.

Alexandersen, S., Chamings, A. and Bhatta, T.R. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 genomic and subgenomic RNAs in diagnostic samples are not an indicator of active replication. Nat Commun., 11, 6059.

Amirian, S., 2020. Potential fecal transmission of SARS-CoV-2: Current evidence and implications for public health. Int J Infect Dis., 95:363-370.

Atoui, A., Cordevant, C., Chesnot, T., Gassilloud, B., 2023. SARS-CoV-2 in the environment: Contamination routes, detection methods, persistence and removal in wastewater treatment plants. Sci Total Environ., 881:163453.

Barril, P., Giordano, M., Isa, M., Masachessi, G., Ferreyra, L., Castello, A., et al., 2010. Correlation between rotavirus a genotypes detected in hospitalized children and sewage samples in 2006, Córdoba, Argentina. J. Med. Virol., 82, 1277–1281.

Barrios, M.E., Sofía Micaela Díaz, S.M., Torres, C., [3], Costamagna, D.M., Blanco Fernández, M.D., Mbayed, V.A., 2021. Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater in three districts of the Buenos Aires metropolitan region, Argentina, throughout nine months of surveillance: A pilot study. Sci Total Environ., 800:149578.

CDC, 2020. CDC 2019-novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) real-time RT-PCR diagnostic panel [WWW Document]. URL. https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download.

Cruz, M.C., Sanguino-Jorquera, D., Aparicio González, M., Irazusta, V.P., Poma, H.R., Cristóbal H.A., Rajal, V.B., 2023. Sewershed surveillance as a tool for smart management of a pandemic in threshold countries. Case study: Tracking SARS-CoV-2 during COVID-19 pandemic in a major urban metropolis in northwestern Argentina. Sci Total Environ., 862:160573.

Dadras, O., Afsahi, A.M., Pashaei, Z., Mojdeganlou, H., Karimi, A., Habibi, P., 2022. The relationship between COVID-19 viral load and disease severity: A systematic review. Immun Inflamm Dis. 2022 Mar;10(3): e580.

El Zein, S., Chehab, O., Kanj, A., Akrawe, S., Alkassis, S., Mishra, T., et al., 2021. SARS-CoV-
2 infection: initial viral load (iVL) predicts severity of illness/outcome, and declining trend of
iVL in hospitalized patients corresponds with slowing of the pandemic. PLoS One. 16(9):
e0255981.

Foladori, P., Cutrupi, F., Segata, N., Manara, S., Pinto, F., Malpei, F., et al., 2020. SARS-CoV-2
from faeces to wastewater treatment: what do we know? A review. Sci Total Environ,
743:140444.

Guan, W.-J., Ni, Z.-Y., Hu, Y., Liang, W.-H., Ou, C.-Q., He, J.-X., et al., 2020. Clinical
characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N. Engl. J. Med. 382(18):1708-1720.

Hamming, I., Timens, W., Bulthuis, M., Lely, A., Navis, G., van Goor, H., 2004. Tissue
distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in
understanding SARS pathogenesis. J Pathol., 203:631e7.

Hasan, S.W., Ibrahim, Y., Daou, M., Kannout, H., Jan, N., Lopes, A., et al., 2021. Detection and
quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater and treated effluents: surveillance of COVID-
19 epidemic in the United Arab Emirates. Sci Total Environ. 764, 142929.

Hirayama, T., Mizuno, Y., Takeshita, N., Kotaki, A., Tajima, S., Omatsu, T., Sano, K., Kurane,
I., Takasaki, T., 2012. Detection of Dengue virus genome in urine by real-time reverse
transcriptase PCR: a laboratory diagnostic method useful after disappearance of the genome in
serum. J Clin Microbiol., 50.

Hoar, C., Chauvin, F., Clare, A., McGibbon, H., Castro, E., Patinella, S., et al., 2022. Monitoring
SARSCoV-2 in wastewater during New York City's second wave of COVID-19: Sewershed-
level trends and relationships to publicly available clinical testing data. Environ. Sci.: Water Res.
Technol., 8, 1021.

Honda, R., Murakami, M., Hata, A., Ihara, M., 2021. Public health benefits and ethical aspects in
the collection and open sharing of wastewater-based epidemic data on COVID-19. Data Sci J 20.

Iglesias, N.G., Gebhard, L.G., Carballeda, J.M., Aiello, I., Recalde, E., Terny, G., et al., 2021.
SARS-CoV-2 surveillance in untreated wastewater: detection of viral RNA in a low-resource
community in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 45: e137.

Jiang, G., Liu, Y., Tang, S., Kitajima, M., Haramoto, E., Arora, S., et al., 2023. Moving forward
with COVID-19: Future research prospects of wastewater-based epidemiology methodologies
and applications. Curr Opin Environ Sci Health., 33:100458.

Jiang, M.D., Zu, Z.Y., Schoepf, U.J., Savage, R.H., Zhang, X.L., Lu, G.M., Zhang, L.J., 2020
Current Status of Etiology, Epidemiology, Clinical Manifestations and Imagings for COVID-19.
Korean J Radiol., 10:1138-1149.

Jones, D.L., Baluja, M.Q., Graham, D.W., Corbishley, A., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., et al.,
2020. Shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in feces and urine and its potential role in person-to-person
transmission and the environment-based spread of COVID-19. Sci. Total Environ. 749, 141364.

Kadam, S.B., Sukhramani, G.S., Bishnoi, P., Pable, A.A., Vitthal T Barvkar, V.T., 2021. SARS-
CoV-2, the pandemic coronavirus: Molecular and structural insights. J Basic Microbiol.,
61(3):180-202.

Kariyawasam, J.C., Jayarajah U., Riza, R., Abeysuriya, V., Seneviratne, S.L., 2021.
Gastrointestinal manifestations in COVID-19. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg., 115(12):1362-1388.

Kawasuji, H., Takegoshi, Y., Kaneda, M., Ueno, A., Miyajima, Y., Kawago, K., et al., 2020.
Transmissibility of COVID-19 depends on the viral load around onset in adult and symptomatic
patients. *PLoS ONE* 15, e0243597.

La Rosa, G., Iaconelli, M., Mancini, P., Bonanno Ferraro, G., Veneri, C., Bonadonna, L., et al.,
2020. First detection OF SARS-COV-2 in untreated wastewaters in Italy. Sci Total Environ
20:736:139652.

Lamers, M.M., Beumer, J., van der Vaart, J., Knoops, K., Puschhof, J., Breugem, T.I, 2020.
SARS-CoV-2 productively infects human gut enterocytes. Science, 369(6499):50-54.

Larsen, D.A., Wigginton, K.R., 2020. Tracking COVID-19 with wastewater. Nat Biotechnol.,
38(10):1151-1153.

Lednicky, J.A., Lauzard, M., Fan, Z.H., Antarpreet Jutla, A., Trevor B Tilly, T.B., Gangwar, M.,
et al., 2020. Viable SARS-CoV-2 in the air of a hospital room with COVID-19 patients. Int J
Infect Dis., 100:476-482.

Lee, J.J., Kopetz, S., Vilar, E., Shen, J.P., Chen, K., Maitra, A., 2020. .Relative Abundance of
SARS-CoV-2 Entry Genes in the Enterocytes of the Lower Gastrointestinal Tract. Genes (Basel).
11(6):645.

Lewandowski, K., Kaniewska, M., Rosołowski, M., Rydzewska, G., 2023. Gastrointestinal
symptoms in COVID-19. .Prz Gastroenterol., 18(1):61-66.

Lewis, D., Metcalf, T.G., 1988. Removal of viruses in sewage treatment: assessment of
feasibility. Microbiol Sci., 5(9):260-4.

Li, X., Zhang, S., Sherchan, S., Orive, G., Lertxundi, U., Haramoto E., et al., 2023. Correlation
between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration in wastewater and COVID-19 cases in community: A
systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hazard Mater., 441:129848.

Ling, Y., Xu, S.-B., Lin, Y.-X., Tian, D., Zhu, Z.-Q., Dai, F.-H., et al., 2020. Persistence and
clearance of viral RNA in 2019 novel coronavirus disease rehabilitation patients. Chin.Med. J.
133, 1039–1043.

Lodder, W., de Roda Husman, A.M., 2020. SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater: potential health risk, but
also data source. Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol. (6):533-534.

Maidana-Kulesza, M.N., Poma, H.R., Sanguino-Jorquera, D.G., Reyes, S.I, Del Milagro Said-
Adamo, M, et al., 2022. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 in rivers as a tool for epidemiological
surveillance. Sci Total Environ., 848:157707.

Maréchal, V., Maday, Y., Wallet, C., Cluzel, N., Borde, C., 2023. Wastewater-based
epidemiology: Retrospective, current status, and future prospects. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med,
42(5):101251.

Masachessi, G., Castro, G., Cachi, A.M., Marinzalda, M.L.Á, Liendo, M., Pisano, M.B., et al.,
2022. Wastewater based epidemiology as a silent sentinel of the trend of SARS-CoV-
2 circulation in the community in central Argentina. Water Res., 219:118541.

Medema, G., Been, F., Heijnen, L., Petterson, S., 2020. Implementation of environmental
surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 virus to support public health decisions: opportunities and
challenges. Curr Opin Environ Sci Health, 17:49–71.

Megyeri, K., Dernovics, Á., Al-Luhaibi, Z.II., Rosztóczy, A., 2021. COVID-19-associated

diarrhea.World J Gastroenterol., 27(23):3208-3222.

Pastorino, B., Touret, F., Gilles, M., de Lamballerie, X., Charrel, R.N., 2020. Heat Inactivation

of Different Types of SARS-CoV-2 Samples: What Protocols for Biosafety, Molecular Detection

and Serological Diagnostics? 12(7):735**.**

Peccia, J., Zulli, A., Brackney, D.E., Grubaugh, N.D., Kaplan, E.H., Casanovas-Massana, A., et

al., 2020. Measurement of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater tracks community infection

dynamics. Nat. Biotechnol. 38, 1164–1167.

Pérez-Cataluña, A., Cuevas-Ferrando, E., Randazzo, W., Falcó, I., Allende, A., & Sánchez, G.

2021. Comparing analytical methods to detect SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater. Science of the Total

Environment., 758, 143870.

Pipes, L., Chen, Z., Afanaseva, S., Nielsen, R., 2022. Estimating the relative proportions of

SARS-CoV-2 strains from wastewater samples. medRxiv. 01.13.22269236.

Prado, T., Fumian, T.M., Mannarino, C.F., Resende, P.C., Motta, F.C., Eppinghaus, A.L.F., et al.,

2021. Wastewater-based epidemiology as a useful tool to track SARS-CoV-2 and support public

health policies at municipal level in Brazil. Water Res. 191, 116810.

Randazzo, W., Truchado, P., Cuevas-Ferrando, E., Simón, P., Allende, A., Sánchez, G., 2020.

SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater anticipated COVID-19 occurrence in a low prevalence area.

Water Res. 181, 115942.

Reno, U., Regaldo, L., Ojeda, G., Schmuck, J., Romero, N., Polla, W., et al., 2022. Wastewater-

Based Epidemiology: Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Different Stages of

Domestic Wastewater Treatment in Santa Fe, Argentina. Water Air Soil Pollut.

2022;233(9):372.

Rothe, C., Schunk, M., Sothmann, P., Bretzel, G., Froeschl, F., Wallrauch, C., et al.,

2020. Transmission of 2019-nCoV Infection from an Asymptomatic Contact in Germany. N Engl

J Med., 382(10):970-971.

Sharma, V.K., Jinadatha, C., Lichtfouse, E., Decroly, E., van Helden, J., Choi, H., et al., 2021.
COVID-19 epidemiologic surveillance using wastewater. Environ. Chem. Lett. 19, 1911–1915.
609

610 Shereen, M.A., Khan, S., Kazmi, A., Bashir, N., Siddique, R. 2020. COVID-19 infection: origin,
611 transmission, and characteristics of human coronaviruses. J Adv Res., 24:91-8.
612

613 Thompson, J.R., Nancharaiah, Y.V., Gu, X., Lee, W.L., Rajal, V.B., Haines, M.B., et al., 2020.
614 Making waves: wastewater surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 for population-based health
615 management. Water Res. 184, 116181.
616

617 Thongprachum, A., Fujimoto, T., Takanashi, S., Saito, H., Okitsu, S., Shimizu, H., et al., 2018.
618 Detection of nineteen enteric viruses in raw sewage in Japan. H.Infect Genet Evol., 63:17-23.
619

620 Wang, C., Horby, P.W., Hayden, F.G., Gao, G.F., 2020. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global
621 health concern. Lancet 395, 470–473.
622

623 Wang, X., Zheng, J., Guo, L., Yao, H., Wang, L., Xia, X., et al., 2020. Fecal viral shedding in
624 COVID-19 patients: clinical significance, viral load dynamics and survival analysis. Virus Res,
625 289:198147.
626

627 Wani, H., Menon, S., Desai, D., D'Souza, N., Bhathena, Z., Desai, N., 2023. Wastewater-Based
628 Epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2: Assessing Prevalence and Correlation with Clinical Cases. ood
629 Environ Virol., 15(2):131-143.
630

631 Weidhaas, J., Aanderud, Z.T., Roper, DK., VanDerslice, J., Gaddis, E.B., Ostermiller, J., et al.,
632 2021. Correlation of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater with COVID-19 disease burden in
633 sewersheds. Sci Total Environ, 775:145790.
634

635 WHO. Classification of Omicron (B.1.1.529): SARS-CoV-2 Variant of Concern. Accessed 20
636 October   2023.   https://www.who.int/news/item/26-11-2021-classification-of-omicron-
637 (b.1.1.529)-sars-cov-2-variant-of-concern.
638

639 World   Health   Organization.   WHO   Coronavirus   (COVID-19)   Dashboard.
640 https://covid19.who.int/. Accessed 26th Oct 2023.
641

642 Wu, F., Xiao, A., Zhang, J., Gu, X., Lee, W.L., Kauffman, K., et al., 2020a. SARS-CoV-2 titers
643 in wastewater foreshadow dynamics and clinical presentation of new COVID-19 cases. medRxiv.
644 06.15.20117747.
645
646 Wu, F., Zhang, J., Xiao, A., Gu, X., Lee, W.L., Armas, F., et al., 2020b. SARS-CoV-2 titers in
647 wastewater are higher than expected from clinically confirmed cases. mSystems. 5(4): e00614-
648 20.
649
650 Xiao, F., Tang, M., Zheng, X., Liu, Y., Li, X., Shan, H., 2020. Evidence for gastrointestinal
651 infection of SARS-CoV-2. Gastroenterology 158, 1831–1833.
652
653 Yeo, C., Kaushal, S., Yeo, D., 2020. Enteric involvement of coronaviruses: is faecal–oral
654 transmission of SARS-CoV-2 possible? Lancet, Gastroenterol hepatol., 5(4) 335-7.
655
656 Zhou, P., Yang, X.L., Wang, X.G., Hu, B., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., et al., 2020.
657 A pneumonia outbreak associated with   a new coronavirus of probable bat origin.   Nature.
658 579(7798):270-273.
659
660 Zhou, Z., Zhu, Y., Chu, M., 2022. Role of COVID-19 Vaccines in SARS-CoV-2 Variants. Front
661 Immunol., 13:898192.
662
663 Zhu, N., Zhang, D.Y., Wang, W.L., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., et al., 2020. A novel coronavirus
664 from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med, 382(8):727-733.
665

Graphical abstract

Figure 1: Epidemiological data of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in Tucumán. a, The curve of confirmed cases of Covid-19 in Greater San Miguel de Tucumán (light blue) and the interior of the province (dark blue) from June 2020 to March 2022. b, Temporal evolution of the weekly number of COVID-19 cases during the second and third waves in San Miguel de Tucumán, Yerba Buena, and Cruz Alta.

Figure 2: Sampling areas of the province of Tucumán, Argentina. The dotted line (a) shows the location of Tucumán. In b the markers indicate the cities in which sampling was carried out: San Miguel de Tucumán, Yerba Buena, and Banda del Río Salí. c the visualization of the different sampling points. The light blue circles show the location of each of the sites from which the samples were obtained.

Figure 3: Relationship between Sars-CoV-2 viral load and positive COVID-19 cases. a, in samples obtained from sewer SP4 in San Miguel de Tucumán; b, in samples taken from the San Felipe sewage treatment plant entrance (SP7); c, samples collected from inspection chamber of the Hospital Centro de Salud (Zenón J. Santillán) (SP8). a, b, and c correspond to points located in San Miguel de Tucumán sampled from April 5, 2021, to March 25, 2022. d, Viral load of Sars-CoV-2 in wastewater obtained from Eva Peron Hospital wastewater inspection chamber (SP9), this point is in Banda del Río Salí, Cruz Alta and was sampled from April 5, 2021, to March 25, 2022. e, Viral load of Sars-CoV-2 in wastewater obtained from sewers SP10 and SP13, both located in Yerba Buena. Samples were collected from April 5, 2021, to March 25, 2022.

ID	City	Coordinates	Source
SP01	SM. Tucumán	-26.8388842-65.2148118	Manhole
SP02	SM. Tucumán	-26.8383123-65.2220305	Manhole
SP03	SM. Tucumán	-26.8309718-65.2309513	Manhole
SP04	SM. Tucumán	-26.8321377-65.2140929	Manhole
SP05	SM. Tucumán	-26.8404344-65.2007152	Manhole
SP06	SM. Tucumán	-26.828233-65.1961796	Manhole
SP10	SM. Tucumán	-26.8082848-65.2175903	Manhole
SP11	Yerba Buena	-26.8155347-65.3011424	Manhole
SP12	Yerba Buena	-26.8138829-65.2905533	Manhole
SP13	Yerba Buena	-26.8139626-65.2735795	Manhole
SP14	Yerba Buena	-26.8024385-65.2704578	Manhole
SP15	SM. Tucumán	-26.8132221-65.2005151	Manhole
SP16	SM. Tucumán	-26.8208967-65.2053334	Manhole
SP07	SM. Tucumán	-26.87476172-65.2122031	San Felipe sewage treatment plant entrance
SP08	SM. Tucumán	-26.82204-65.1955586	Health Center Zenon J. Santillan wastewater inspection chamber
SP09	Banda del R. Salí	-26.8382557-65.1768163	Eva Peron Hospital wastewater inspection chamber

Table 1: Location and origin of the sampling points. Coordinates of each of the points, and the origin of the samples is also indicated. SP (Sample Point). SP 1, SP2, SP3, SP4, SP5, SP6, SP10, SP15, SP16 correspond to manholes in San Miguel de Tucumán. SP11, SP12, SP13, and SP14 correspond to Yerba Buena manholes. SP7 corresponds to the San Felipe sewage treatment plant entrance. SP 8 corresponds to the Health Center Zenon J. Santillan wastewater inspection chamber in San Miguel de Tucumán, and SP9 Eva Peron Hospital wastewater inspection chamber in the city of Banda del Rio Salí (Cruz Alta).

ID	Load viral (copies/mL)				
	Apr-21	Jun-21	Sep-21	Dic-21	Mar-22
SP1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SP2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SP3	ND	ND	0,64 ± 0,42	ND	ND
SP4	250142.49 ± 66554.29	3229.37 ± 974.32	5.60 ± 1.34	10.48 ± 2.79	ND
SP5	ND	ND	ND	3096.75 ± 201.14	ND
SP6	ND	ND	5.12 ± 4.11	64.26 ± 17.67	ND
SP7	1451.06 ± 486.40	5334.32 ± 1703.69	12.54 ± 5.46	1.13 ± 0.51	ND
SP8	5222.14 ± 777.78	32725.17 ± 13010.01	28.86 ± 3.34	12260.28 ± 966.64	ND
SP9	333.06 ± 0.77	6041.19 ± 2141.08	…	1.78 ± 0.57	ND
SP10	1066.21 ± 375.09	2708.26 ± 720.57	…	28.79 ± 3.73	ND
SP11	ND	ND	…	2.50 ± 1.14	ND
SP12	ND	441.00 ± 95.47	…	ND	ND
SP13	1966.81 ± 722.67	2228.73 ± 999.08	…	4.99 ± 5.14	ND
SP14	ND	196.11 ± 43.35	…	ND	ND
SP15	ND	1178.28 ± 376.32	…	ND	ND
SP16	ND	ND	…	1.17 ± 0.85	ND

Table 2: Sars-CoV-2 viral load. Viral load calculated for each sampling point during the months of April, June, September, and December 2021 and March 2022.

ID	City	Coordinates	Source
SP01	SM. Tucumán	-26.8388842-65.2148118	Manhole
SP02	SM. Tucumán	-26.8383123-65.2220305	Manhole
SP03	SM. Tucumán	-26.8309718-65.2309513	Manhole
SP04	SM. Tucumán	-26.8321377-65.2140929	Manhole
SP05	SM. Tucumán	-26.8404344-65.2007152	Manhole
SP06	SM. Tucumán	-26.828233-65.1961796	Manhole
SP10	SM. Tucumán	-26.8082848-65.2175903	Manhole
SP11	Yerba Buena	-26.8155347-65.3011424	Manhole
SP12	Yerba Buena	-26.8138829-65.2905533	Manhole
SP13	Yerba Buena	-26.8139626-65.2735795	Manhole
SP14	Yerba Buena	-26.8024385-65.2704578	Manhole
SP15	SM. Tucumán	-26.8132221-65.2005151	Manhole
SP16	SM. Tucumán	-26.8208967-65.2053334	Manhole
SP07	SM. Tucumán	-26.87476172-65.2122031	San Felipe sewage treatment plant entrance
SP08	SM. Tucumán	-26.82204-65.1955586	Health Center Zenon J. Santillan wastewater inspection chamber
SP09	Banda del R. Salí	-26.8382557-65.1768163	Eva Peron Hospital wastewater inspection chamber

Table 1: Location and origin of the sampling points. Coordinates of each of the points, and the origin of the samples is also indicated. SP (Sample Point). SP 1, SP2, SP3, SP4, SP5, SP6, SP10, SP15, SP16 correspond to manholes in San Miguel de Tucumán. SP11, SP12, SP13, and SP14 correspond to Yerba Buena manholes. SP7 corresponds to the San Felipe sewage treatment plant entrance. SP 8 corresponds to the Health Center Zenon J. Santillan wastewater inspection chamber in San Miguel de Tucumán, and SP9 Eva Peron Hospital wastewater inspection chamber in the city of Banda del Rio Salí (Cruz Alta).

ID	Load viral (copies/mL)				
	Apr-21	Jun-21	Sep-21	Dic-21	Mar-22
SP1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SP2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
SP3	ND	ND	0,64 ± 0,42	ND	ND
SP4	250142.49 ± 66554.29	3229.37 ± 974.32	5.60 ± 1.34	10.48 ± 2.79	ND
SP5	ND	ND	ND	3096.75 ± 201.14	ND
SP6	ND	ND	5.12 ± 4.11	64.26 ± 17.67	ND
SP7	1451.06 ± 486.40	5334.32 ± 1703.69	12.54 ± 5.46	1.13 ± 0.51	ND
SP8	5222.14 ± 777.78	32725.17 ± 13010.01	28.86 ± 3.34	12260.28 ± 966.64	ND
SP9	333.06 ± 0.77	6041.19 ± 2141.08	…	1.78 ± 0.57	ND
SP10	1066.21 ± 375.09	2708.26 ± 720.57	…	28.79 ± 3.73	ND
SP11	ND	ND	…	2.50 ± 1.14	ND
SP12	ND	441.00 ± 95.47	…	ND	ND
SP13	1966.81 ± 722.67	2228.73 ± 999.08	…	4.99 ± 5.14	ND
SP14	ND	196.11 ± 43.35	…	ND	ND
SP15	ND	1178.28 ± 376.32	…	ND	ND
SP16	ND	ND	…	1.17 ± 0.85	ND

Table 2: Sars-CoV-2 viral load. Viral load calculated for each sampling point during the months of April, June, September, and December 2021 and March 2022.