Risky Sexual Behavior and its Associated Factors among undergraduate hostellers of Kathmandu Metropolitan City

Ram Kumar Chaudhary[1,#], Anisha Chalise[2], Saloni Pandey[1], Shishir Paudel[1*#]

[1]Department of Public Health, CiST College, Pokhara University, Kathmandu, Nepal.

[2]Center for Research on Environment, Health and Population Activities (CREHPA), Lalitpur, Nepal

[#]Author have equal contribution

*Corresponding Author: Shishir Paudel, shishirpaudel11@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background

Risky sexual behavior includes practices of unprotected sex, sex with multiple partners, and/or sex under substance abuse, increasing vulnerability to reproductive health problems. This study explored the risky sexual behavior and its associated factors Nepalese undergraduates.

Methods

A cross-sectional study was executed among 361 undergraduates residing in hostels of Kathmandu Metropolitan. The data collected through a self-administered questionnaire. Pearson's $\chi 2$ test and multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to determine factors associated with risky sexual behavior at 5% level of significance.

Result

The prevalence of risky sexual behavior among undergraduates was found to be 64.3% (95% CI: 59.8-69.8%). Risky sexual behavior was found to be associated with higher age (AOR: 3.938; 95% CI: 1.707-8.673), male gender (AOR:3.233; 95% CI: 1.623-6.439), relationships status (AOR: 3.914, 95% CI: 2.099-7.012), lower maternal education (AOR:3.655; 95% CI:1.189-9.237), and peer pressure to have a sexual relationship (AOR:2.356; 95% CI:1.260-4.349), Notably, bivariate analysis illustrated problematic pornographic consumption have a statistical relation with risky sexual behavior. However, this association weakened and became non-

significant after accounting for other factors in the adjusted model (AOR:1.213, 95% CI: 0.331-4.442).

Conclusion

It has been observed that higher proportion of undergraduate practice risky sexual behavior which is significantly associated with factors such as age, gender, relationship status, parental education, and peer pressure. These findings suggest the need for comprehensive sex education programs empowering better sexual practices, healthy relationships, and safe decision-making.

Key Messages

What is already known on this topic

- Nepalese adolescents and youth have poor knowledge about sexual and reproductive health.
- Despite Nepal's commitments to adolescent health, a gap persisted in the utilization of sexual and reproductive health services among youths and not much is known about their sexual practice.

What this study adds

- Issues such as early sexual engagement, unprotected sex, multiple partners, and engagement in commercial sex work are prominent concerns among Nepalese youths.
- Despite existing laws and programs, risky behaviors persist, emphasizing the urgency for effective interventions tailored to Nepalese adolescents.

How this study might affect research, practice or policy

- The findings underscore urgent necessity for targeted interventions such as comprehensive sexual education to address the concerning prevalence of RSB among Nepalese undergraduates.

INTRODUCTION

Risky sexual behavior (RSB) is an act of getting engaged in unsafe sexual practices such as unprotected intercourse, sex with multiple partners, and sex under substance abuse making an individual vulnerable to reproductive health problems. Globally, an estimated one-million people encounter sexually transmitted infections, predominantly due to RSB and some from mother-to-child transmission.[1] In the year 2022, globally, 39 million people were living with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) while 630 thousand deaths were accounted for AIDS-related

illnesses.[2] Since the start of the HIV epidemic, AIDS-related illnesses have claimed 40.4 million lives, with unsafe sexual practices recognized as a significant contributor for HIV & AIDS. [1, 2]

Nepal is a signatory to the International Convention on Population and Development (ICPD), and has demonstrated its commitment to adolescent health by incorporating Adolescent Sexual and Reproductive Health (ASRH) into the National Reproductive Health Strategy since 1998.[3] Despite the commitments, the utilization of sexual and reproductive health services remain unsatisfactory among adolescents and youths, mostly due to lack of adequate information about reproductive health, leading to low service utilization.[4, 5] A past study executed among adolescents attending a tertiary-level hospital revealed that 58.0% of the adolescents demonstrated only a moderate level of knowledge about sexual and reproductive health (SRH).[6] Moreover, past studies consistently underscore the inadequate knowledge among Nepalese adolescents and youth regarding SRH practices.[7-9] Poor level of knowledge regarding SHR during adolescence can make them vulnerable to unsafe sex and develop RSB in adulthood.

The National Demography Health Survey of 2022 reported that only 16% of young women and 27% of young men possess thorough knowledge of HIV prevention methods [10]. Similarly, a study conducted in rural Nepal revealed that nearly two-third (73.2%) of school adolescents aged 15-24 years were engaged in various forms of RSB. [11] As undergraduates, individuals typically aged between 18 and 29 are at a crucial phase of life, making significant decisions and transitioning from adolescence to adulthood, this period is particularly vulnerable to the initiation of different lifestyle habits.[12] Hostel resident are a distinctive group of students, living away from their home for educational purpose, can experience reduced parental guidance, making them more susceptible to adopt risky behaviors including RSB. Given the limited knowledge on the prevalence and nature of RSB among Nepalese youths, this study aims to explore the magnitude of this issue and its associated factors among Nepalese undergraduates.

METHOD

Study design

This was a cross-sectional study executed among undergraduate students residing in the hostels of Kathmandu Metropolitan, Nepal, between June to October 2023.

Participants

Hostels registered with Nepal Hostel Association (NeHA) within Kathmandu Metropolitan City were chosen as study sites after obtaining their consent. All undergraduate residents occupying these hostels for at least 6 months before data collection were eligible. No exclusions were based on age, gender, ethnicity, academic discipline, or other characteristics.

Sample size determination and sampling technique

The sample size was determined using the Cochran's formula ($n=z^2pq/d^2$), where p referred to past prevalence of RSB observed among school going youth age 15 to 24 of rural Nepal at 73%,[11] $q=1-p$ and d is the allowable error. Considering a 95% CI and 5% allowable error, the sample size was initially estimated at 301 which optimized to 373 after adjusting for finite population of 6060 hostellers, and a 30% non-response rate, considering the sensitiveness of the research problem. Initially, a total of 272 registered hostels were contacted for the research permission, of which 196 hostels agreed their support. From these, 20 hostels were selected in random using STATS version 2.0 and all the undergraduate students accommodating in these hostels were chosen randomly using a lottery method. All the available courses inside metropolitan city were categorized into strata based on their stream such as management, allied sciences, health science, engineering, and others covering humanities, law, education, and arts. The participants were approached at the hostels based on the proportion of students enrolled at each academic discipline inside Kathmandu Metropolitan City.

Data collection

The data were collected using self-administered questionnaire, distributed and collected from participants in a close envelop in order to protect their anonymity. All the students were oriented about each sections and questions presented in the questionnaire for their clarification and their informed consent was acquired before handing them the questionnaire packed in an envelope. Male participants were approached by male researcher and female participants were approached by female researcher. The questionnaire consisted of four section. First section consisted questions related to participants socio-demographic characteristics, followed by second section focusing on their lifestyles related attributes. Third second consisted question regarding their sexual behavior followed by Problematic Pornography Consumption Scale (PPCS),[13] to assess their level of pornography exposure.

Outcome Variable

The outcome variable for this study was risky sexual behavior which was assessed using a pretested tool prepared by the research team after an extensive literature review and consultation with reproductive health experts. The tool, consisting of nine questions, explored risky sexual behaviors such as being sexually engaged before coming to a legal age, having vaginal sex with intimate partner without using contraceptives even with no intention of pregnancy , engaging in vaginal sex with strangers without condom, having oral sex with stranger or intimate partner even while feeling at risk of infection, not checking condoms expiry and/or damage, having multiple sex partners, having sex under the influence of alcohol, and having sex with commercial sex worker in past one year. A positive response to any of these questions was considered indicative of risky sexual behavior. The tool used for assessing risky sexual behavior is provided in Supplementary Document 1**(supp 1)**

Data processing, management and analysis

The collected data were carefully reviewed for accuracy and completeness. Data-entry masks were created, and entered in EpiData software V.3.1. A total of 10% of the randomly selected data were manually rechecked for accuracy in data entry and exported to Statistical Package for the Social Sciences V.20 for statistical analysis. The data were summarized in terms of frequency, percentage, mean and SD. The $\chi 2$ test and unadjusted odds ratio were calculated at 5% level of significance to identify the factors associated with risky sexual behavior. The factors found to have statistical significance ($p<0.05$) in chi-square test were subjected to the final model of multivariable logistic regressions for Adjusted odds ratio (AOR). Before going into the multivariable analysis, the multi-collinearity among selected independent variables was tested using Variance Inflation Factor (VIF), where a VIF greater than five was taken as an indication of multi-collinearity between the independent variables.

Patient and public involvement

None.

RESULT

A total of 373 undergraduate hostel resident students were approached for this study, of which 361 provided their complete responses to all questions. Thus, a response rate of 96.78% for all

questions was acquired, and 361 samples were analyzed. The overall prevalence of risky sexual behavior was 64.3% (95% CI: 59.8-69.8). Out of 361 students, nearly one-third (37.7%) of the participants reported having sexually engaged in past one year prior to data collection. Of those who were sexually engaged, more than a quarter (28.7%) reported engaging in vaginal sex without contraceptives, even with no pregnancy plans. Similarly, nearly one-third (33.1%) of the participants reported practicing oral sex with strangers without protection. Nearly a quarter (28%) reported having multiple sex partner, while nearly one-third (36%) reported engaging with commercial sex workers in one-year period. (Table 1)

Table 1: Practices towards Sexual Behavior

Characteristics	**n**	**% (95% CI)**
Sexual Engagement		
No	225	62.3 (57.6-67.3)
Yes	136	37.7 (32.7-42.4)
Age at first sexual intercourse (n=136)		
≥18 years	113	83.1 (76.5-89.7)
≤17 years	23	16.9 (10.3-23.5)
Vaginal sex without contraceptives (n=136)		
Yes	97	71.3 (62.8-78.3)
No	39	28.7 (21.7-37.2)
Oral sex without protection (n=136)		
Yes	45	33.1 (25.3-40.8)
No	91	66.9 (59.2-74.7)
Vaginal sex with stranger without condom (n=136)		
Never	59	43.4 (36.0-53.7)
Sometimes	66	48.5 (39.7-57.8)
Often	11	8.1 (4.0-13.2)
Check dates and damage of condom (n=136)		
Never	60	44.1 (35.6-52.9)
Sometimes	27	19.9 (14.7-26.5)
Often	49	36.0 (27.9-43.4)
Number of sex partner (n=136)		
Single partner	98	72.0 (63.9-78.7)
Two partner	19	14.0 (8.8-21.3)
More than three partner	19	14.0 (8.8-20.6)
Engaged in sex under influence of alcohol (n=136)		
Never	79	58.1 (50.3-65.8)
Sometimes	49	36.0 (27.9-45.3)
Often	8	5.9 (2.2-9.6)
Sex with Commercial Sex workers (n=136)		
Never	87	64.0 (55.5-72.5)
Once	21	15.4 (8.4-21.3)
Twice	17	12.5 (8.1-18.8)
Three or more times	11	8.1 (3.7-12.5)

Overall Sexual Practice		
Risky	232	64.3 (59.8-69.8)
Non Risky	129	35.7 (30.2-40.2)

The participant's age range from 18 to 32 years, with a mean age of 21.7±2.72 years. Among the 361 participants, the largest group of participants (29.9%) were enrolled in the management stream, followed by health sciences (28.8%), education/humanities (21.1%), engineering (11.6%) and basic sciences (8.6%). Slightly more than half (57.1%) of the participants were male. Nearly half (55.7%) reported being in a current or past relationship within the year preceding data collection. In bivariate analysis, socio-demographic factors such as participants age, gender, relationship status and educational level of both parents were found to be associated with RSB at 5% level of significance. (Table 2)

Table 2: Association of Risky sexual behavior with socio-demographic Characteristics

Characteristics	**n (%)**	**Risky Sexual Behaviour**		χ^2	**p-value**
		Presence n (%)	**Absence n (%)**		
Age					
<20 years	133 (36.8)	34 (25.6)	99 (74.4)	18.489	<0.001*
20 to 25 years	178 (49.3)	65 (36.5)	113 (63.5)		
≥25 years	50 (13.9)	30 (60.0)	20 (40.0)		
Gender					
Male	206 (57.1)	104 (50.5)	102 (49.5)	45.462	<0.001*
Female	155 (42.9)	25 (16.1)	130 (83.9)		
Family type					
Nuclear	213 (59.0)	69 (32.4)	144 (67.6)	2.523	0.112
Joint/extended	148 (41.0)	60 (40.5)	88 (59.5)		
Relationship status					
Never been in relationship	160 (44.3)	30 (18.8)	130 (81.3)	36.095	<0.001*
Been in relationship (Past/Current)	201 (55.7)	99 (49.3)	102 (50.7)		
Education status of father					
Illiterate	17 (4.7)	11 (64.7)	6 (35.3)	9.659	0.022*
Literate with formal education	20 (5.5)	8 (40.0)	12 (60.0)		
Up to secondary level (1-10 grade)	134 (37.1)	53 (39.6)	81 (60.4)		
Higher education	190 (52.7)	57 (30.0)	133 (70.0)		
Education Status of mother					
Illiterate	38 (10.5)	21 (55.3)	17 (44.7)	8.652	0.034*
Literate with formal education	52 (14.4)	21 (40.4)	31 (59.6)		
Up to secondary level (1-10 grade)	156 (43.2)	53 (34.0)	103 (66.0)		
Higher education	115 (31.9)	34 (29.6)	81 (70.4)		
Current academic status					
Health science	104 (28.8)	30 (28.8)	74 (71.2)	6.435	0.169
BSc science	31 (8.6)	16 (51.6)	15 (48.4)		
Management	108 (29.9)	40 (37.0)	68 (63.0)		
Engineering	42 (11.6)	13 (31.0)	29 (69.0)		
Education/Humanity	76 (21.1)	30 (39.5)	46 (60.5)		
Academic year					

First year	128 (35.5)	38 (29.7)	90 (70.3)	6.023	0.110
Second year	93 (25.8)	38 (40.9)	55 (59.1)		
Third year	65 (18.0)	20 (30.8)	45 (69.2)		
Fourth year	75 (20.8)	33 (44.0)	42 (56.0)		

** Significance at p<0.05*

Out of 361 participants, nearly one-fifth (19.4%) reported belonging to a rural community. Approximately one-third (29.6%) experienced peer pressure or influence to engage in a sexual relationship. Assessment using the Problematic Pornography Consumption Scale revealed that 12.7% exhibited behaviors suggesting a risk of problematic pornography consumption, scoring between 50-74 in PPCS, while 4.4% met the criteria for problematic consumption with a PPCS score>75. Bivariate analysis indicated statistically significant relationships between lifestyles and psychological factors, such as peer pressure for sexual relationships, smoking, and pornography consumption, with RSB. (Table 3)

Table 3: Association of Risky Sexual Behavior and lifestyle and psychological factors

Variables	**n (%)**	**Risky Sexual Behaviour**		χ^2	**p-value**
		Presence n (%)	**Absence n (%)**		
Home town					
Urban	291 (80.6)	104 (35.7)	187 (64.3)	0.000	0.997
Rural	70 (19.4)	25 (35.7)	45 (64.3)		
Peer pressure for sexual relationship					
Absence	254 (70.4)	61 (24.0)	193 (76.0)	51.242	<0.001*
Presence	107 (29.6)	68 (63.6)	39 (36.4)		
Smoking status					
No smoking	299 (82.8)	88 (29.4)	211 (70.6)	30.114	<0.001*
Smoking	62 (17.2)	41 (66.1)	21 (33.9)		
Victim of sexual abuse					
No	330 (91.4)	123 (37.3)	207 (62.7)	3.962	0.050
Yes	31 (8.6)	6 (19.4)	25 (80.6)		
Status of pornography consumption					
Non-problematic	299 (82.8)	97 (32.4)	202 (67.6)	8.968	0.011*
At risk	46 (12.7)	22 (47.8)	24 (52.2)		
Problematic	16 (4.4)	10 (62.5)	6 (37.5)		

** Significance at p<0.05*

For multivariate analysis, the VIF test was performed among the independent variables which were found to have statistically significant relationship with risky sexual behavior in bivariate analysis. The highest reported VIF was 1.749, confirming no issue of multi-collinearity. It was observed that compared to undergraduates under 20 years, those above 24 were thrice more at odds (AOR: 3.938; 95% CI: 1.707-8.673) of practicing risky sexual behaviors. Similarly, males were observed to have three times higher odds (AOR:3.233; 95% CI: 1.623-6.439) of risky sexual behavior as compared to females. Individuals in

past or current relationships had a three-fold increased odds (AOR: 3.914, 95% CI: 2.099-7.012) as compared to those never in a relationship. Participants with mothers with lower educational attainment had higher odds of risky sexual behavior (AOR:3.655; 95% CI:1.189-9.237). It was observed that experiencing peer pressure to have a sexual relationship could double the odds of risky sexual behavior (AOR:2.356; 95% CI:1.260-4.349). Notably, bivariate analysis illustrated that participants with problematic pornographic consumption were three times more at odds (UOR:3.471; 95% CI:1.226-9.826) of practicing risky sexual behavior. However, this association weakened and became non-significant after accounting for other factors in the adjusted model (AOR:1.213, 95% CI: 0.331-4.442).

Table 4: Factors associated with Practice towards Sexual Behavior

Variables	**Risky Sexual Behavior**			
	uOR (95% CI)	**p-value**	**aOR (95% CI)**	**p-value**
Age				
<20 years	Ref		Ref	
20 to 25 years	1.675 (1.021-2.747)	0.041*	1.561 (0.824-2.956)	0.172
≥25 years	4.368 (2.197-8.681)	<0.001*	3.938 (1.707-8.673)	0.001*
Gender				
Female	Ref		Ref	
Male	5.302 (3.191-8.809)	<0.001*	3.233 (1.623-6.439)	0.001*
Relationship status				
Never been in relationship	Ref		Ref	
Been in a relationship (Past/Current)	4.206 (2.593-6.823)	<0.001*	3.914 (2.099-7.012)	<0.001*
Education status of father				
Illiterate	4.278 (1.509-12.127)	0.006*	2.381 (0.601-10.043)	0.206
Literate with formal education	1.556 (0.603-4.010)	0.360	1.291 (0.344-5.013)	0.688
Up to secondary level (1-10)	1.527 (0.959-2.430)	0.074	1.544 (0.824-2.937)	0.171
Higher education	Ref		Ref	
Education status of mother				
Illiterate	2.943 (1.384-6.258)	0.005*	3.655 (1.189-9.237)	0.024*
Literate with formal education	1.614 (0.815-3.197)	0.170	0.994 (0.356-2.447)	0.825
Up to secondary level (1-10)	1.226 (0.729-2.062)	0.443	0.776 (0.382-1.576)	0.435
Higher education	Ref		Ref	
Peer pressure for sexual relationship				
Absence	Ref		Ref	
Presence	5.517 (3.387-8.984)	<0.001*	2.356 (1.260-4.349)	0.008*
Smoking Status				
No smoking	Ref		Ref	
Smoking	4.681 (2.616-8.376)	<0.001*	0.923 (0.408-2.087)	0.847
Status of pornography consumption				
Non-problematic	Ref		Ref	
At risk	1.909 (1.020-3.574)	0.043*	1.409 (0.609-3.175)	0.423
Problematic	3.471 (1.226-9.826)	0.019*	1.213 (0.331-4.442)	0.771

** Significance at p<0.05*

DISCUSSION

This study assessed the practice of risky sexual behavior along with its associated factors among undergraduate hostel students of Kathmandu Metropolitan city. It was noted that nearly three out of five (64.3%) participants were engaged in risky sexual behavior. This proportion of risky sexual behavior is slightly lower than the past study from rural Nepal where 73.2% of the sexually active participants were found practicing RSB.[11] However, another study focusing on RSB among young men in Nepal reported 20% of sexually active single men and 9% married men to be engaged in RSB.[14] The practice of RSB has been reported among undergraduates throughout the world from different countries such as Sri Lanka, Ethiopia, and Rwanda where the risky sexual behavior among undergraduates was observed to be between 12.1% and 64.0%.[15-17] Similarly, a meta-analysis based on eighteen studies from Ethiopia estimated the pooled prevalence of RSB among college and university students to be at 41.62%.[18] The variation in prevalence of RSB might be attributable to the difference in sample size and study setting as well as the variation in cases definition of RSB in these studies. Despite the variations, these observed rates of RSB indicates poor sexual practice among youths is a concerning public health issue.

Among the RSB, prominent issues include engaging in sexual activity before reaching a legal age, practicing unprotect vaginal and oral sex, having multiple sexual partners, neglecting condom quality checks, and sexual engagement with commercial sex workers. In line to this observation, a study based on Pokhara Metropolitan observed that 85.09% of the students had their sexual experience between the age of 15-19 years, while 10.56% were sexually active before the age of 15 and 13.33% adolescents had unprotected sex.[19] Similarly, the initiation of sexual engagement before the age of 18 and unprotected sex has been reported by multiple studies from Nepal.[20, 21] Likewise, study from rural Nepal also reported that 28.2% of the sexually active youths were engaged with multiple sexual partners.[11] Practice of multiple sexual partners has been reported by other past studies as well.[19, 20] Similarly, it was also observed that nearly two-third (36%) of the undergraduates had sex with commercial sex work, despite the fact that commercial sex work has been considered as an act of trafficking in Nepal and is punishable under Human Trafficking and Transportation (Control) Act 2008, penalizing those involved directly or indirectly in prostitution, which is also endorsed by National Penal Code, 2074.[22] The sexual engagement of students with commercial sex works has also been reported by numerous studies from Nepal where it is expected to range between 8.5% and 48.9%.[11, 19-21, 23] Despite presence of laws and programs aimed to prevent minors' engagement in sexual activities to

protect them from sexual abuse, as well as regulations controlling commercial sex work and various initiatives focusing on adolescent sexual and reproductive health, these risky behaviors persist. This underscores the urgent necessity for more effective and comprehensive sexual education interventions among Nepalese adolescents and youth. It is crucial to enhance their understanding of reproductive health and reduce the risks of sexually transmitted infections within this vulnerable group.

It was observed that higher aged participants were more likely to get engaged in RSB. This in line with a study from Ethiopia where students above the age of 24 years were found to be twice more likely to engaged in RSB as compared to younger students.[16] This finding aligns with several past cross-sectional studies that have explored the complex relationship between age and sexual behavior and observed that age may play a crucial role in influencing individuals' likelihood of engaging in RSB.[18, 20, 24, 25] A plausible explanation for this association could be that the students of higher age may be more inclined to be involved in relationships, exhibit greater confidence in making independent decisions, and enjoy improved financial options compared to their younger students.

It was observed that males were thrice more likely to be engaged in risky sexual behavior. This in line with past studies from Nepal, where males were reported to express more sexual engagements and higher risk of sexual behavior.[11, 20] Globally, studies have reported higher proportion of RSB among males as compared to females.[17, 18, 25] It has been noted that males tend to report higher sexual exposure than females,[15] similar observation was also made in this study. These difference of risky sexual behavior across gender could be attributed to many factors such as social desirability biases and sexual double standards such as women are expected to be virgin till marriage, and male's masculinity is associated with sex. This might be the reasons that male are more likely to engage with commercial sex workers, have multiple partners and express their sexual escapades in comparison to females.[20] Similarly, students with poor maternal education were found to have three fold increase in odds of RSB. It has been stated that family environment and parental education could play a significant role in influencing sexual behavior in later life.[20, 25] These observations suggest that addressing gender-specific social norms and enhancing parental education may prove effective in mitigating RSB among youths of both gender.

It was observed that those who were in relationships were three times more likely to engage in RSB. Past studies have shared that the first sexual partner in most cases are often a boyfriend or girlfriend.[15, 23] Additionally, it has also been observed that students who are in relationship are more likely to get engaged in premarital sexual relationship,[20] thereby increasing their risk of RSB. A study based on African college students partially supported the hypothesis that students in long-term relationships were more likely to engage in RSB.[26] Likewise, it was also observed that students experiencing peer pressure to have sexual relationship were twice more likely to experience RSB. This in line with past study based on Kathmandu, which suggests that peers play an important role in influencing the views, attitudes, and sexual behavior of individuals.[23] Peer pressure has been observed to be one of the important factor for RSB among students in many counties.[17] Thus, enhancing comprehensive sex education programs is crucial to promoting safer sexual practices among this vulnerable group and addressing the influence of peers and peer pressure on risky sexual behavior.

In bivariate analysis, it was observed that participants with problematic pornographic consumption were three times more likely to engage in RSB, which is in line with past observations,[18] where pornographic exposure has been thought to increase the motivation of sexual desire. It has been highlighted that exposure to sexually explicit media contents including pornography in early adolescents can be a predictor for RSB such as early sexual debut, unsafe sex, and multiple sexual partners.[27] However, in this study, this association weakened and became non-significant after accounting for other factors in the adjusted model. This in line with another observation which suggest that early exposure to sexually explicit material could be additive risk factor for sexual risk taking but pornography might not completely contribute to sexual risk taking among young adults.[24] This suggests that while problematic pornography consumption might be linked to risky sexual behavior, other factors play a more crucial role once accounted for.

Despite being one of the few studies exploring the risky sexual behavior among undergraduates of Nepal along with its risk factors, it is crucial to acknowledge its inherent limitations, and the findings should be interpreted based on these limitations. Although we tried to make the data collection session as private and confidential as possible, due to the sensitive nature of the research topic and self-reported approach, there may be slight possibility of social desirability

bias as some students could have deliberately hid some information in relation to unacceptable behavior. Despite Kathmandu being a metropolitan and national capital, housing diverse Nepalese citizens from all demographic backgrounds and our effort to include students from various faculties for improved generalizability, it's important to note that Nepal, being a small yet culturally rich and diverse nation, the study area may not fully encompass all aspects of the nation's cultural, racial, and ethnic diversity. Thus, a larger community-based survey covering these factors might provide additional insights.

Conclusion

The study underscores a significant prevalence of risky sexual behaviour among Kathmandu's undergraduate hostel students, revealing concerning trends such as early sexual engagement, unprotected sex, multiple partners, and engagement in commercial sex work. The findings highlight the urgent need of comprehensive sexual education interventions tailored to the specific needs of Nepalese adolescents and youth, focusing on social norms, gender-specific education, and parental involvement, emphasizing the importance for reducing risks associated with sexually transmitted infections within this vulnerable group.

Data availability statement

The dataset generated and analyzed during the current study is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics statements

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval

This study involves human participants and ethical approval for this study was obtained from the institutional review committee of CiST College (Ref no. 47/080/081). Formal approval was acquired from the Hostel Association and selected hostels. Written inform consent was taken from each participants prior to data collection. Questionnaire was distributed and collected from participants using a closed envelops before and after data collection, in order to maintained confidentiality of participant's information. To ensure privacy, the participants were requested to fill the questionnaire in an empty room of their hostel.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the undergraduate students who participated in this study and provided their valuable time and information. Without their support, this study would not have been possible. The authors would also like to extend their gratitude towards Nepal Hostel Association and selected hostels for their approval and constant support during data collection.

REFERENCE

1. World Health Organization. Sexually transmitted infections (STIs), Newsroom 2023. Available from: https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sexually-transmitted-infections-(stis).
2. UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS statistics — Fact sheet 2023. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet.
3. World Health Organization. Adolescent Sexual and Reproductive Health Programme to Address Equity, Social Determinants, Gender and Human Rights in Nepal, Report of the Pilot Project. 2017.
4. Bam K, Haseen F, BC RK, Newman MS, Chaudhary AH, Thapa R, et al. Perceived Sexual and Reproductive Health Needs and Service Utilization among Higher Secondary School Students in Urban Nepal. American Journal of Public Health Research. 2015;3(2):36-45.
5. Regmi PR, van Teijlingen E, Simkhada P, Acharya DR. Barriers to sexual health services for young people in Nepal. Journal of health, population, and nutrition. 2010;28(6):619-27.
6. Singh S, Sharma S, Silwal P, Tandukar B, Sharma MR. Knowledge and Perception Regarding Adolescent Sexual and Reproductive Health Services among Adolescents Attending a Tertiary Level Hospital. Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society. 2022;42(1).
7. Regmi PR, van Teijlingen E, Simkhada P, Acharya DR. Barriers to sexual health services for young people in Nepal. Journal of health, population, and nutrition. 2010;28(6):619.
8. Jha SM, Chaurasia R, Jha B. Knowledge about Condoms among Adolescents in Kathmandu Valley. Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society. 2010;30(1).
9. Sah RB, Ghimire A, Parajuli P. Knowledge and attitude on sexual behaviour among school adolescents. Health Renaissance. 2012;10(3):224-8.
10. Ministry of Health and Population. Nepal Demographic and Health survey Ministry of Health and Population, Kathmandu, Nepal: 2022.
11. Paudel M, Mehata S, Subedi N, Paudel BA, Paudel S. Sexual Behaviour among School Youths in a Rural Far-western District of Nepal. Health Prospect. 2013;12(2):37-41.
12. Paudel S, Gautam H, Adhikari C, Yadav DK. Depression, anxiety and stress among the undergraduate students of Pokhara Metropolitan, Nepal. 2020.
13. Bőthe B, Tóth-Király I, Zsila Á, Griffiths MD, Demetrovics Z, Orosz G. The development of the problematic pornography consumption scale (PPCS). The Journal of Sex Research. 2018;55(3):395-406.
14. Dahal GP, Hennink M, Hinde A. Risky sexual behaviour among young men in Nepal. 2005.
15. Perera UAP, Abeysena C. Prevalence and associated factors of risky sexual behaviors among undergraduate students in state universities of Western Province in Sri Lanka: a descriptive cross sectional study. Reproductive health. 2018;15:1-10.

16. Tekletsadik EA, Ayisa AA, Mekonen EG, Workneh BS, Ali MS. Determinants of risky sexual behaviour among undergraduate students at the University of Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia. Epidemiology & Infection. 2022;150:e2.
17. Ndagijimana E, Biracyaza E, Nzayirambaho M. Risky sexual behaviors and their associated factors within high school students from Collège Saint André in Kigali, Rwanda: An institution-based cross-sectional study. Frontiers in Reproductive Health. 2023;5.
18. Amare T, Yeneabat T, Amare Y. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Epidemiology of Risky Sexual Behaviors in College and University Students in Ethiopia, 2018. Journal of Environmental and Public Health. 2019;2019:4852130.
19. Niranjan S, Damaru PP, Kalpana J. Sexual health behaviors of adolescents in Pokhara, Nepal. Indian Journal of Community Health. 2012;24(2):73-9.
20. Paudel A, Neupane A, Khadka S, Adhikari L, Paudel S, Kaphle M. Factors affecting Premarital Sex among Nepalese Undergraduates.
21. Khanal P. Sexual behaviour among higher secondary school students of Kathmandu metropolitan city. Health Prospect. 2012;11:15-8.
22. Government of Nepal. Human Trafficking and Transportation (Control) Act, 2064 2007. Available from: https://wwwex.ilo.org/dyn/natlex2/natlex2/files/download/87492/NPL87492.pdf.
23. Adhikari R, Tamang J. Premarital sexual behavior among male college students of Kathmandu, Nepal. BMC public health. 2009;9(1):1-9.
24. Sinković M, Stulhofer A, Božić J. Revisiting the association between pornography use and risky sexual behaviors: the role of early exposure to pornography and sexual sensation seeking. Journal of sex research. 2013;50(7):633-41.
25. Kaggwa MM, Muwanguzi M, Najjuka SM, Nduhuura E, Kajjimu J, Mamun MA, et al. Risky sexual behaviours among Ugandan university students: A pilot study exploring the role of adverse childhood experiences, substance use history, and family environment. PLOS ONE. 2022;17(11):e0277129.
26. Winfield EB, Whaley AL. Relationship status, psychological orientation, and sexual risk taking in a heterosexual African American college sample. Journal of Black Psychology. 2005;31(2):189-204.
27. Lin W-H, Liu C-H, Yi C-C. Exposure to sexually explicit media in early adolescence is related to risky sexual behavior in emerging adulthood. PLOS ONE. 2020;15(4):e0230242.