Risky Sexual Behavior and its Associated Factors among undergraduate hostellers of Kathmandu Metropolitan City

Ram Kumar Chaudhary[1,#], Anisha Chalise[2], Shishir Paudel[1*#]

[1]Department of Public Health, CiST College, Pokhara University, Kathmandu, Nepal.

[2]Center for Research on Environment, Health and Population Activities (CREHPA), Lalitpur, Nepal

[#]Author have equal contribution

*Corresponding Author: Shishir Paudel, shishirpaudel11@gmai.com

ABSTRACT

Objective: This cross-sectional study aimed to explore the magnitude of this problem and the explored the psychosocial risk factors of RSB among Nepalese undergraduates.

Study Deign: Cross-sectional study

Study participants: 373 undergraduate hostellers residing in hostels of Kathmandu Metropolitan city were selected randomly

Data Analysis: Chi-square test and unadjusted odds ratio was calculated at 5% level of significance to identify the factors associated with risky sexual behaviour. The factors found to have statistical significance ($p<0.05$) in chi-square test were subjected to the final model of multivariable logistic regressions.

Result: One third (35.2%) of the participants were practicing towards risky sexual behaviours. The socio-demographic factors such as: age; gender; ethnicity; relationship status; education of father; education of mother and pocket money, Likewise lifestyle and psychological factors such as: peer pressure have any sexual relationship; smoking status; and alcohol consumption of the participants were found to be statistically significance with status of practice towards risky sexual behavior.

INTRODUCTION

Risky sexual behavior (RSB) is an act of getting engaged in unsafe sexual practices such as unprotected intercourse, sex with multiple partners, and sex under substance abuse making an individual vulnerable to reproductive health problems. The World Health Organization estimates suggest that globally 1 million people encounter sexually transmitted infections, which are mostly due to risky sexual behavior and some due to mother-to-child transmission.[1] It was estimated that globally 39 million people were living with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) in the year 2022 and 630 thousand died due to AIDS-related illnesses in the same year.[2]. AIDS-related illnesses have claimed 40.4 million lives so far since the start of the epidemic and unsafe sexual practice remains a major factor for HIV & AIDS.[1, 2]

Nepal is a signatory to the International Convention on Population and Development (ICPD) showing its commitment to adolescent health. It has included Adolescent Sexual and Reproductive Health (ASRH) in the National Reproductive Health Strategy since 1998.[3] However, the utilization of sexual and reproductive health services seems to be unsatisfactory among adolescents and youths as they lack proper information about reproductive health and have low service utilization.[4, 5] A past study executed among adolescents attending a tertiary-level hospital noted that 58.0% of the adolescents demonstrated a moderate level of knowledge of sexual and reproductive health.[6] Past studies from Nepal have highlighted that Nepalese adolescents and youth have poor knowledge about sexual and reproductive health. [7-9] Poor level of knowledge regarding sexual and reproductive health during adolescence can make them vulnerable to unsafe sex and develop risky sexual behavior in adulthood.

The National Demography Health Survey of 2022 revealed that only 16% of young women and 27% of young men have a thorough knowledge of HIV prevention methods.[10] Similarly, a study based on rural Nepal found that nearly two-third (73.2%) of school adolescent aged 15-24 years were engaged in any form of risky sexual behavior. [11] Most undergraduates students are

youngster typically at the age of 18-29 years, who are at a crucial phase of their life where they make many major life decisions and go through the transformation from adolescence to adulthood. This period can be considered as one of the vulnerable period for initiation of different lifestyle habits.[12] Hostel resident students are a distinctive group of students who are living away from their home for the purpose of their study. As they are away from home and under less parental guidance, hostel students are more vulnerable to adopt risky sexual behaviors. There is limited knowledge on the prevalence and nature of risky sexual behavior among Nepalese youths, so this cross-sectional study aimed to explore the magnitude of this problem and the explored the psychosocial risk factors of RSB among Nepalese undergraduates.

METHOD

Study design and setting

This was a cross-sectional study executed among undergraduate students residing in the hostels of Kathmandu metropolitan. Hostel from Kathmandu Metropolitan City registered in Nepal Hostel Association (NeHA) were selected.

Study Sample

The formula below was used to determine the sample size.

n= z^2*p*q/d^2

Where, n= the desired sample size; z= the standard normal deviate at the required confidence level in this case 1.96

p= 73.2%prevalence of practice of risky sexual behavior.[11]

q = 1-p = 1-0.732= 0.0.268

d = the level of accuracy of the statistics being measured = 0.05

Replacing the values in the formula,

$$n_0 = \left(\frac{1.96}{0.05}\right)^2 * p * q$$

n_0 = 1.96^2*0.732*0.268/0.05^2

n_0 = 301.45~301

$n_0 = \sim 301$

For finite population, N=6060

$$n = \frac{n_0}{1+\frac{n_0}{N}}$$

$$n = \frac{301}{1+\frac{301}{6060}} = 286.756 \sim 287$$

The sample size was optimized to 373, considering 30% non-response rate.

Data collection tools:

Self-administered technique was used to data collection. Questionnaire were distributed and collected from participants using a close envelop before and after data collection respectively, in order to maintain confidentiality of participant's information. The data was collected using a set of closed-ended structured questionnaire consisting of five sections.

Section A: Questions related to socio-demographic characteristics.

Section B: Questions related lifestyle and psychological factors.

Section C: Question related to knowledge of risky sexual behavior

Section D: Question related to practice of risky sexual behavior

Data Analysis

All the data were exported from EpiData to SPSS version 22 for further analysis. Chi-square test and unadjusted odds ratio was calculated at 5% level of significance to identify the factors associated with risky sexual behaviour. The factors found to have statistical significance ($p<0.05$) in chi-square test were subjected to the final model of multivariable logistic regressions. Before going into the multivariable analysis, the multi-collinearity among independent variables was tested using Variance Inflation Factor (VIF), where a VIF greater than five was taken as an indication of multi-collinearity between the independent variables.

Ethical Consideration

Ethical approval was taken from Institutional Review Committee (IRC-CiST). Formal letter for study approval in hostel was taken from Hostel Association of Nepal Office. Written inform consent was taken from each participants. Trained and oriented female enumerators for female participants were appointed

for counseling and explained objectives of the study. Questionnaire was distributed and collected from participants using a closed envelops before and after data collection respectively, in order to maintained confidentiality of participant's information.

RESULT

The socio-demographic profiles of the participants are illustrated in table 1. Among 361 participants, more than half (57.1%) were male. The participant's age ranged from 18 to 32 years, with a mean age of 21.7±2.72. Majority (90.9%) of the participants followed Hinduism. Similarly, more than half (59.0%) of the participants belong to nuclear family followed by joint and extended family at 34.3% and 6.6% respective. Nearly one third (29.9%) of the participants had been in current relationship. In terms of father education, nearly one third (29.4%) had education a bachelors or higher degree where as 4.7% were illiterate. About 26.3% of mother's education levels were secondary level, 19.7% higher secondary level and 10.5% mother still illiterate. Almost one-third (29.9%) of the participants were enrolled in management courses followed by health science and Education/Humanities at 28.8% and 21.1% respectively. Likewise, one third 35.5% of the participants were from first academic year.

Table 1: Socio-Demographic Characteristics

Variables	**Number (n)**	**Percent (%)**
Age		
< 20 years	133	36.8
20 to 24 years	178	49.3
> 24 years	50	13.9
Gender		
Male	206	57.1
Female	155	42.9
Religion		
Hindu	328	90.9
Buddhist	13	3.6
Muslim	11	3.0
Christian	5	1.4
Others (Kirat)	4	1.1
Ethnicity		
Brahmin/Chhetri	212	58.7
Janajatis (Relatively advantage/Disadvantage)	75	20.8
Disadvantage Non-Dalit Tarai Caste	44	12.2

Dalit	17	4.7
Religious minorities	13	3.6
Family Type		
Nuclear	213	59.0
Joint/Extended	148	41.0
Relationship Status		
Never been in relationship	160	44.3
Currently in relationship	108	29.9
Been in relationship in past	93	25.8
Educational level of Father		
Illiterate	17	4.7
Literate with formal education	20	5.5
Primary level (1-8)	50	13.9
Secondary level (9-10)	84	23.3
Higher Secondary level (11-12)	84	23.3
Bachelor and above	106	29.4
Educational level of Mother		
Illiterate	38	10.5
Literate with formal education	52	14.4
Primary level (1-8)	61	16.9
Secondary level (9-10)	95	26.3
Higher Secondary level (11-12)	71	19.7
Bachelor and above	44	12.2
Current academic discipline of participants		
Management	108	29.9
Health science	104	28.8
Education/Humanity	76	21.1
Engineering	42	11.6
BSc/Life science	31	8.6
Academic year participants currently studied		
First year	128	35.5
Second year	93	25.8
Fourth year	75	20.8
Third year	65	18.0

Lifestyle and Psychological factor

The information on lifestyles and psychological related factors of the participant's is shown in table 2. Majority (80.6%) of the participants reported that their hometown lies in an urban (suburban/urban) area. Nearly one third (29.7%) of the participants were reported that they had engaged any form of sexual relationship by the peer pressure. Likewise 11.1% of the participants were current status of smoking and 18.3% of the participants were current alcohol consumption. Almost one fifth (20.0%) of the female participants faced any kind of ever been victim of sexual abuse in her life time. The pornography consumption score ranges from 18-114. The study shows that 4.4% of the participants were pornography problematic consumptions.

Table 2: Lifestyle and Psychological Factors **(n=136)**

Variable	Number (n)	Percent (%)
Participant's hometown located		
Suburban	193	53.5
Urban	98	27.1
Rural	70	19.4
Peer pressure for sexual relationship		
Never	254	70.4
Sometime	80	22.2
Often	27	7.5
Smoking status of the participant		
Never	299	82.8
Current smoker	40	11.1
Former	22	6.1
Alcohol consumption status of the participant		
Never	256	70.9
Current consumer	66	18.3
Former	39	10.8
Have you ever been victim of sexual abuse in your life time		
No	330	91.4
Yes	31	8.6
Status of pornography problematic consumption		
Non problematic	345	95.6
Problematic	16	4.4

Knowledge towards Risky Sexual Behavior

Table 3 presents knowledge related attributes towards risky sexual behaviours of participants. These are multiple responses for each of the provided variables. Two third (76.7%) of participant responses unprotected sex with multiple partners is considered risky in terms of sexual health. Almost half (51.8%) of the participants respond condoms are highly effective but there is still chance of contacting STIs or pregnancy. Majority (67.6%) of the participants respond that vaginal sexual activity can transmit STIs followed by anal sex 32.1% and oral sex 31.0%. Only a quarter (24.4%) of the participants responds that we should test STIs two times i.e. three weeks and three months after exposure. Similarly nearly two third (63.3%) of the participants respond, legal age of 18 year or more that allows sexual relationship. And all most (72.3%) of the participants respond that they can receive sexual and reproductive health information from internet followed by 70.6% school/college and 63.4% from health facility.

(n=361)

Table 3: Multiple Responses Knowledge on Sexual Behavior

Variable	Number (n)	Percent (%)
Following behaviours is considered risky in terms of sexual health (n=413)		
Unprotected sex with multiple partners	277	76.7
Sexual activity with long term partners	34	9.4
Limiting sexual activity to oral sex	35	9.7
Using condom during sexual intercourse	18	5.0
Don't know	49	13.6
The negative health outcomes of unprotected sex (n=646)		
Unintended pregnancy	232	64.3
Joint pain	27	7.5
STIs	234	64.8
Hepatitis infection	105	29.1
Don't know	48	13.3
Use of condom during sexual intercourse (n=645)		
Prevent STIs	187	51.8
Prevent unintended pregnancy	205	56.8
Getting more pleasure	14	3.9
Prevent both unintended pregnancy and STIs	209	57.9
Don't know	30	8.3
Statement is true regarding effectiveness of condoms (n=395)		
100 % effectiveness	115	31.9
Highly effective but still chances to contacting	187	51.8

STIs		
Effective if used with other contraceptive	19	5.3
Double condom is more effective	22	6.1
Don't know	52	14.4
The risks of having multiple sex partner (n=551)		
Infertility	55	15.2
STIs	272	75.3
Cervical cancer	79	21.9
Hepatitis	93	25.8
Don't know	52	14.4
STIs primarily transmitted by (n=407)		
By sharing beds and cloths	18	5.0
By sharing food and drink	18	5.0
By exposure public restroom	31	8.6
By unprotected sex	314	87.0
Don't know	26	7.2
Types of sexual activity can transmit STIs (n=564)		
Vaginal sex	244	67.6
Oral sex	112	31.0
Anal sex	116	32.1
Foreplay	15	4.2
Don't know	77	21.3
Given infection is considered to be STIs (n=532)		
HIV	303	83.9
Typhoid	8	2.2
Gonorrhoea	184	51.0
Leprosy	10	2.8
Don't know	27	7.5
The common sign and symptoms of STIs (n=576)		
Unusual discharge from vagina/penis	219	60.7
Joint pain	40	11.1
Blister and sores around genital/anus	186	51.5
Conjunctivitis	44	12.2
Don't know	87	24.1
When should we test STIs (n=393)		
Three weeks after exposure	116	32.1
Three months after exposure	29	8.0

Both	88	24.4
One year after exposure	18	5.0
Don't know	142	39.3
The right time to check for HIV infection (n=387)		
As soon as possible after exposure	161	44.6
Within 72 hours of exposure	40	11.1
18 to 90 days of exposure	80	22.2
One year of exposure	12	3.3
Don't know	94	26.0
We can reduce the risk of contracting STIs (n=438)		
Oral sex	24	6.6
Use emergency pills	40	11.1
Use condom	298	82.5
Absenteeism of sex	41	11.4
Don't know	35	9.7
Effective method to preventing both unintended pregnancy and STIs (n=524)		
Use of contraceptive pill	96	26.6
Withdrawal method	54	15.0
Use condom	283	78.4
Avoiding sex during menstruation	53	14.7
Don't know	38	10.5
Effect of having sex under substance abuse (n=392)		
It has no impact	22	6.1
Provides more pleasure	40	11.1
Work as disinfectant preventing STIs	58	16.1
Lead poor decision making	171	47.4
Don't know	101	28.0
The legal age that allows sexual relationship (n=386)		
Less than 18 year	15	4.2
More than or equal to 18 year	228	63.3
More than or equal to 20 year	119	33.1
Don't know	24	6.7
We can receive sexual and reproductive health information from (n=958)		
Health facility	229	63.4
Family/friend	195	54.0
Internet	261	72.3
School/college	255	70.6

Don't know	18	5.0

Status of Knowledge regarding Risky Sexual behaviours

Table 4 illustrates the Status of knowledge regarding risky sexual behaviours. Almost two third (66.8%) of the participants were responses as good knowledge regarding risky sexual behaviours.

Table 4: Status of Knowledge regarding Risky Sexual behaviours **(n=361)**

Variables	Number (n)	Percent (%)
Status of knowledge regarding risky sexual behaviours		
Good knowledge	241	66.8
Poor knowledge	120	33.2

Practices towards risky sexual behaviours of the participant's

The table 5 illustrates the risky sexual behaviour practices. More than one third (37.7%) of the participants reported that they had practices sexual intercourse prior to the date of data collection. Out of those who were engaged in sexual relationship, two third (79.41%) were male. Out of those 136 participants, majority (71.3%) of the participants had first sexual intercourse during age ranges 15-19 years of age while 23.5% reported to have engaged in sexual intercourse before coming to an age of 18 years. Likewise, among sexually active participants minimum age of first sexual contact for boys 15 years and 16 years for girl.

Similarly more than two third (71.3%) of the participants had vaginal sex without condom even if there were risk of STIs and don't want to child. More than half (56.6%) of the participants have engaged sexual intercourse without condom with someone just met. Along with nearly one third (28.0%) of the participants have two or more current number of sex partners, whereas one third (36.0%) of the participants were reported that once or more times engaged to having sex with commercial sex workers.

Table 5: Practices towards risky sexual behaviours

Variables	Number (n)	Percent (%) **(n=361)**
Sexual practices of the participant's		
No	225	62.3
Yes	136	37.7
Participant's age at first sex (n=136)		
18 through highest	113	83.1
Lowest through 17	23	16.9
Participant ever had vaginal sex without condom (even if there were risk of STI and not wanted to child)		

(n=136)		
Yes	97	71.3
No	39	28.7
Sex without condom with someone just met (n=136)		
Sometime	66	48.5
Often	11	8.1
Never	59	43.4
Check expiry date and damage of condom (n=136)		
Never	60	44.1
Often	49	36.0
Sometimes	27	19.9
Current number of sex partner (n=136)		
Single partner	98	72.0
Two partner	19	14.0
More than three partner	19	14.0
How often have you/your partner sex under influence of alcohol (n=136)		
Never	79	58.1
Sometimes	49	36.0
Often	8	5.9
How many times have you had sex with CSW (n=136)		
Never	87	64.0
Once	21	15.4
Twice	17	12.5
Three or more times	11	8.1

Status of the practice towards risky sexual behaviours

Table 6 presents the status of the practice towards risky sexual behaviours. One third (35.2%) of the participants were practicing towards risky sexual behaviours.

(n=361)

Table6: Status of the practice towards risky sexual behaviours

Variables	Number (n)	Percent (%)
Status of the practice towards risky sexual behaviour		
Absent	234	64.8
Present	127	35.2

Association of practicing towards RSB and Socio-Demographic characteristic

In the context of participant's socio-demographic characteristic, age were found to be statistical significance with the practicing towards RSB with (χ^2 =19; p<0.001; Φ=0.234; strong association).Significance were established between genders and practicing towards RSB with (χ^2 =43.232; p<0.001; Φ=0.361; very strong association). However, statistical significance were established between ethnicity and practicing towards RSB with (χ^2 =13.926; p=0.008; Φ=0.200; strong association). Rrelationship were established between relationship status and practicing towards RSB with (χ^2 =34.018; p<0.001; Φ=0.307; very strong association). As well as relationship were established between practicing towards RSB with education status of father and mother where(χ^2 =11.063; p<0.011; Φ=0.175; strong association) and (χ^2 =9.693; p=0.021; Φ=0.164; strong association) respective. And the statistical significance were established between pocket money and practicing towards RSB with (χ^2 =10.734; p<0.001; Φ=0.172; strong association).

Table7: Association with Socio-Demographic with Practice towards risky sexual behavior **(n=136)**

Variable	**Practice towards Risky sexual behaviour**		**χ^2(d.f.)**	**p-value**	**Cramer's V**
	Absent n(%)	**Present n(%)**			
Age					
Lowest through 20 year	100 (75.2)	33 (24.8)	19.824	<0.001*	0.234
20 to 24 year	114 (64.0)	64 (36.0)			
More than or equal to 25 year	20 (40.0)	30 (60.0)			
Gender					
Male	104 (50.5)	102 (49.5)	43.232	<0.001*	0.361
Female	130 (83.9)	25 (16.1)			
Religion					
Hindu	216 (65.9)	112 (34.1)	1.681	0.195	0.068
Non Hindu	18 (54.5)	15 (45.5)			
Ethnicity					
Dalit	10 (58.8)	7 (41.2)	13.926#	0.008*	0.200
Janjati (Relatively advantage /Disadvantage)	48 (64.0)	27 (36.0)			
Disadvantage non-dalit tarai caste	22 (50.0)	22 (50.0)			
Religious minorities	4 (30.8)	9 (69.2)			
Brahaman, Chhetri	150 (70.8)	62 (29.2)			

Family type					
Nuclear	146 (68.5)	67 (31.5)	3.161	0.075	0.094
Joint/extended	88 (59.5)	60 (40.5)			
Relationship status					
Never been in relationship	130 (81.3)	30 (18.8)	34.018	<0.001*	0.307
Been in relationship (Past/Current	104 (51.7)	97 (48.3)			
Education status of father					
Illiterate	6 (35.3)	11 (64.7)	11.063	0.011*	0.175
Literate with formal education	12 (60.0)	8 (40.0)			
Up to secondary level (1-10)	81 (60.4)	53 (39.6)			
Higher education	135 (71.1)	55 (28.9)			
Education Status of mother					
Illiterate	17 (44.7)	21 (55.3)	9.693	0.021*	0.164
Literate with formal education	31 (59.6)	21 (40.4)			
Up to secondary level (1-10)	104 (66.7)	52 (33.3)			
Higher education	82 (71.3)	33 (28.7)			
Pocket money					
Less than or equal to 5000	138 (72.6)	52 (27.4)	10.734	<0.001*	0.172
More than 5000	96 (56.1)	75 (43.9)			
Sufficient pocket money					
Insufficient	74 (58.3)	53 (41.7)	3.689	0.055	0.101
sufficient	160 (68.4)	74 (31.6)			
Part time job					
No	212 (66.5)	107 (53.5)	3.225	0.073	0.095
Yes	22 (52.4)	20 (47.6)			
Current academic status					
Health science	74 (71.2)	30 (28.8)	4.989	0.288	0.118
BSc science	16 (51.6)	15 (48.4)			
Management	68 (63.0)	40 (37.0)			
Engineering	29 (69.0)	13 (31.0)			
Education/Humanity	47 (61.8)	29 (38.2)			
Academic year					
First year	91 (71.1)	37 (28.9)	6.174	0.103	0.131
Second year	56 (60.2)	37 (39.8)			
Third year	45 (69.2)	20 (30.8)			
Fourth year	42 (56.0)	33 (44.0)			

Likelihood, * significance at $p<0.05$

Association of practicing towards RSB and lifestyle and psychological factors

Table 4.2.4 illustrate that lifestyle and psychological factor, smoking status; alcohol consumption and practicing towards RSB were found to be statistical significant with (χ^2 =28.251; p<0.001; Φ=0.373) and (χ^2 =34.096; p<0.001; Φ=0.280) respectively.Likewise statistical significance were found to be peer pressures to have any form of sexual relationship and practicing towards RSB with (χ^2 =50.202; p<0.001; Φ=0.307). As well the statistical significance were found to be problematic consumption and practice towards RSB with (χ^2 =5.480; p=0.019; Φ=0.123)

Table 8: Association of Practice towards RSB and lifestyle and psychological factors **(n=136)**

Variables	**Practices towards RSB**		**χ^2(d.f.)**	**p-value**	**Cramer's V**
	Absent (n(%))	**Present (n(%))**			
Home town					
Urban	189 (64.9)	102 (35.1)	0.011	0.917	0.005
Rural	45 (64.3)	25 (35.7)			
Living away from parents					
3 or less year	130 (65.3)	69 (34.7)	0.050	0.823	0.012
More than 3 years	104 (64.2)	58 (35.8)			
Peer pressure for sexual relationship					
Not influence	194 (76.4)	60 (23.6)	50.202	<0.001*	0.373
influenced	40 (37.4)	67 (62.6)			
Smoking status					
No smoking	212 (70.9)	87 (29.1)	28.251	0.001*	0.280
smoking	22 (35.5)	40 (64.5)			
Alcohol consumption					
Non consumption	190 (74.2)	66 (25.8)	34.096	<0.001*	0.307
consumption	44 (41.9)	61 (58.1)			
Victim of sexual abuse					
No	209 (63.3)	121 (36.7)	3.724	0.054	0.102
Yes	25 (80.6)	6 (19.4)			
Status of pornography problematic consumption					
Non-problematic	228 (66.1)	117 (33.9)	5.480	0.019*	0.123
problematic	6 (37.5)	10 (62.5)			

* Significance at p<0.05

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to assess the practice of risky sexual behavior along with its associated factors among undergraduate students. In this study 361 randomly selected undergraduate students involved residing in the hostel at Kathmandu metropolitan city of Kathmandu districts that had been staying hostels during the time of data collection.

In the context of status of practice towards risky sexual behavior almost one third (35.2%) of the participants were overall practicing risky sexual behaviors. This is in line with the findings shared by a study executed among school youth in rural of Nepal where 73.2% of the active sexual participants were reported practicing risky sexual behavior.[11] Similar findings were shared by a studies executed among undergraduate students from Sri Lanka and from Ethiopia where 12.4% and 44.0% of the sexual active participants were practicing risky sexual behavior.[13, 14] This might be due to different characteristic of population study, study setting as well cut off point.

In case of status of practice towards RSB, more than one third of the participants were sexually active. Most of the participants had their first sexual intercourse when they were teenagers (≤19 years). Similar comparable observations were also shared among school youth in rural far west of Nepal where 29.6% of the participants were sexually active; and 87.5% of the participants had their first sexual intercourse when they were teenagers (≤19 years).[11] In contrast to the findings, a study done in rural district of Bhutan where 56.6% of the participants had first sexual intercourse between 15-20 years of age.[15] This variation might be due to the study setting such as our study and study from Nepal was institutional based whereas study from Bhutan was focuses on households. As well different characteristics of study population such as study from Nepal study population were age ranges between 15-24 and Bhutan age ranges from 15-49 years.

As per the study, result shows that almost one fifth of the sexually active participants use condom during sexual intercourse. However, a study executed among schools youth from rural Nepal where67.8% of the sexually active participants had ever used condoms at least one.[11] In contrast to the findings shared by a study done among adolescents in Nepal where 48.4% of the participants used condom consistently during the sexual intercourse.[16] This might be due to the unavailability of family planning methods as well as this might be due to the younger age group and might be unmarried.

In this study, revealed that almost one fifth of the sexually active participants were engaged multiple sexual partners. Similar findings were shared by a study conducted among School Youths in a rural Nepal where 28.2% of the sexually active participants were engaged in multiple sexual partners.[11] In contrast to the findings shared by study done among school students in Kathmandu Metropolitan city where 48.9% of the sexually active participants were engaged in multiple sex partners.[16] Furthermore similar

findings shared by a multiple studies based on different country, from Bhutan where 58.5% and from China 27.8% of the sexually active participants were engaged in multiple sex partners.[15, 17]This variation might be due to the different characteristics of population study, and study setting such as our study focused on institutional based undergraduate student whereas study from Bhutan focused on rural resident household and from China focused on male migrant population study.

The results revealed that almost one third of the sexual active participants were sexually engaged with commercial sex workers. This is in line with the findings shared by a study executed among school youth in rural of Nepal where only 3.4% of the sexual active participants were engaged in commercial sex worker.[11] In contrast to the findings shared by study done among school students in Kathmandu Metropolitan city where 8.5% of the sexual active participants were engaged in commercial sex worker.[16] Similar findings were shared by a study among male migrant in China where 72.3% of the sexually active participants were they had commercial sexual intercourse.[17] This might be due to availability of commercial sex worker in urban region rather than rural.

In the context of practice of RSB, the socio demographic factors such as religion; family type; part time job; current academic status; academic year, lifestyle and psychological factors such as home town; duration of living away from parents; and victim of sexual abuse etc. were not found to have any statistically significance with the participant's risky sexual behavior. This is in line with the findings shared by a study executed among school youths in a rural of Nepal where the ethnicity, marital status, relationship with sexual partner and age of the sexual partner had no statistically significant relationship with practice towards RSB.[11] Similarly finding were also shared by studies from Sri Lanka where age, residence, religion, academic year and current academic status of the participants had no relationship with their status of practice risky sexual behavior.[13]

In the study, results revealed that the socio-demographic factors such as: age; gender; ethnicity; relationship status; education of father; education of mother and pocket money, Likewise lifestyle and psychological factors such as: peer pressure have any sexual relationship; smoking status; and alcohol consumption of the participants were found to be statistically significance with status of practice risky sexual behavior. Similar findings were shared by the study executed among school youths in rural of Nepal where the age, gender, education, family structure, age at first sexual contact had found to be statistically significance.[11] In compatible with these findings, practice of risky sexual behavior showed statistical significance with age; sex; living arrangements; mother occupation and education; smoking and alcohol consumption of the participants in a studies executed among youths; secondary school adolescents; and young men in Nepal.[18-20] Similar the association of practice towards risky sexual behavior, the multiple other findings were shared by a studies executed from different countries such as:

from Sri Lanka where association with sex; ethnicity; religious; and substance abuse like alcohol consumption; smoking; had taken marijuana, etc, from China where association of multiple sex partner with age; marital status and monthly income, from Ethiopia where age; residence; living arrangement; religion and alcohol consumption, from Indonesia where age of the participants were reported statistically significance.[13, 14, 17, 21]

Conclusion

The study reveals two third of the participants had overall good knowledge on risky sexual behaviours. Whereas one third of the participants were found to be practice risky sexual behaviours. The socio-demographic factors such as: age; gender; ethnicity; relationship status; education of father; education of mother and pocket money, Likewise lifestyle and psychological factors such as: peer pressure have any sexual relationship; smoking status; and alcohol consumption of the participants were found to be statistically significance with status of practice towards risky sexual behavior. There is immediate need to increase the awareness of risky sexual behavior and its consequences.

REFERENCE

1. World Health Organization. Sexually transmitted infections (STIs), Newsroom 2023. Available from: https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/sexually-transmitted-infections-(stis).
2. UNAIDS. Global HIV & AIDS statistics — Fact sheet 2023. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet.
3. World Health Organization. Adolescent Sexual and Reproductive Health Programme to Address Equity, Social Determinants, Gender and Human Rights in Nepal, Report of the Pilot Project. 2017.
4. Bam K, Haseen F, BC RK, Newman MS, Chaudhary AH, Thapa R, et al. Perceived Sexual and Reproductive Health Needs and Service Utilization among Higher Secondary School Students in Urban Nepal. American Journal of Public Health Research. 2015;3(2):36-45.
5. Regmi PR, van Teijlingen E, Simkhada P, Acharya DR. Barriers to sexual health services for young people in Nepal. Journal of health, population, and nutrition. 2010;28(6):619-27.
6. Singh S, Sharma S, Silwal P, Tandukar B, Sharma MR. Knowledge and Perception Regarding Adolescent Sexual and Reproductive Health Services among Adolescents Attending a Tertiary Level Hospital. Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society. 2022;42(1).
7. Regmi PR, van Teijlingen E, Simkhada P, Acharya DR. Barriers to sexual health services for young people in Nepal. Journal of health, population, and nutrition. 2010;28(6):619.

8. Jha SM, Chaurasia R, Jha B. Knowledge about Condoms among Adolescents in Kathmandu Valley. Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society. 2010;30(1).
9. Sah RB, Ghimire A, Parajuli P. Knowledge and attitude on sexual behaviour among school adolescents. Health Renaissance. 2012;10(3):224-8.
10. Ministry of Health and Population. Nepal Demographic and Health survey Ministry of Health and Population, Kathmandu, Nepal: 2022.
11. Paudel M, Mehata S, Subedi N, Paudel BA, Paudel S. Sexual Behaviour among School Youths in a Rural Far-western District of Nepal. Health Prospect. 2013;12(2):37-41.
12. Paudel S, Gautam H, Adhikari C, Yadav DK. Depression, anxiety and stress among the undergraduate students of Pokhara Metropolitan, Nepal. 2020.
13. Perera UAP, Abeysena C. Prevalence and associated factors of risky sexual behaviors among undergraduate students in state universities of Western Province in Sri Lanka: a descriptive cross sectional study. Reproductive health. 2018;15:1-10.
14. Tekletsadik EA, Ayisa AA, Mekonen EG, Workneh BS, Ali MS. Determinants of risky sexual behaviour among undergraduate students at the University of Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia. Epidemiology & Infection. 2022;150:e2.
15. Norbu K, Mukhia S, Tshokey. Assessment of knowledge on sexually transmitted infections and sexual risk behaviour in two rural districts of Bhutan. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:1-6.
16. Khanal P. Sexual behaviour among higher secondary school students of Kathmandu metropolitan city. Health Prospect. 2012;11:15-8.
17. Wu J-Q, Wang K-W, Zhao R, Li Y-Y, Zhou Y, Li Y-R, et al. Male rural-to-urban migrants and risky sexual behavior: a cross-sectional study in Shanghai, China. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2014;11(3):2846-64.
18. Oli S, Bhandari D, Kakchapati S. Sexual Risk Behavior Among Youths of Nepal: Further analysis of Nepal Demographic Health Survey 2016.
19. Upreti YR, Acharya D. Premarital Sexual Behaviours among Secondary School Adolescents: A Cross-sectional Study in Kathmandu. Journal of Health Promotion. 2020;8:39-52.
20. Dahal GP, Hennink M, Hinde A. Risky sexual behaviour among young men in Nepal. 2005.
21. Yunengsih W, Setiawan A. Contribution of pornographic exposure and addiction to risky sexual behavior in adolescents. Journal of Public Health Research. 2021;10(1_suppl):jphr. 2021.333.