TITLE PAGE

TITLE: Exploring the perceptions and experiences of community rehabilitation for Long

COVID from the perspectives of Scottish General Practitioners' and people living with

Long COVID: a qualitative study.

AUTHORS

Kay Cooper[*A], School of Health Sciences, Robert Gordon University, k.cooper@rgu.ac.uk

Edward Duncan[*], University of Stirling, edward.duncan@stir.ac.uk

Erin Hart-Winks, School of Health Sciences, Robert Gordon University, e.hart-

winks@rgu.ac.uk

Julie Cowie, Glasgow Caledonian University, Julie.Cowie@gcu.ac.uk

Joanna Shim, Robert Gordon University, j.shim1@rgu.ac.uk

Emma Stage, Robert Gordon University, e.stage@rgu.ac.uk

Tricia Tooman, University of Stirling, t.r.tooman@stir.ac.uk

Lyndsay Alexander, Robert Gordon University, l.a.alexander@rgu.ac.uk

Alison Love, Long COVID Scotland, alison.despard@ymail.com

Jacqui Morris, University of Dundee J.Y.Morris@dundee.ac.uk

Jane Ormerod, Long COVID Scotland, longcovidscot@gmail.com

Jenny Preston, Douglas Grant Rehabilitation Centre, Ayrshire Central

Hospital, Jenny.Preston@aapct.scot.nhs.uk

Paul Alan Swinton, Robert Gordon University, p.swinton@rgu.ac.uk

*Joint lead authors

[A]Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To explore the experience of accessing Long COVID community

rehabilitation from the perspectives of people with Long COVID and General Practitioners

(GPs).

Design: Qualitative descriptive study employing one-to-one semi-structured virtual

interviews analysed using the framework method.

Setting: Four NHS Scotland territorial health boards.

Participants: Eleven people with Long COVID (1 male, 10 female; aged 40-65 [mean

53], and 13 GPs (5 male, 8 female).

Results: Four key themes were identified: i) The lived experience of Long COVID; ii) The

challenges of an emergent and complex chronic condition; iii) Systemic challenges for

Long COVID service delivery, and iv) Perceptions and experiences of Long COVID and its

management, including rehabilitation.

Conclusions: There are several patient, GP, and service-level barriers to accessing

community rehabilitation for Long COVID. There is a need for greater understanding by

the public, GPs, and other potential referrers of the role of community rehabilitation

professionals in the management of Long COVID. There is also a need for community

rehabilitation services to be well promoted and accessible to the people with Long COVID

for whom they may be appropriate. Service providers need to consider availability and

accessibility of Long COVID rehabilitation and ensure adequate interprofessional

communication and collaboration to enhance the experience for people with Long COVID.

Strengths and limitations of this study:

• This is the first study to explore the issue of accessing Long COVID community

rehabilitation from the perspectives of potential service users and referrers in the

Scottish context.

• One researcher conducted all interviews, ensuring consistency in their conduct

• Data were analysed and interpreted by multiple researchers, including people with

Long COVID

• The small sample size, largely drawn from health boards with a similar approach to

Long COVID rehabilitation, limits generalisability

Keywords

Long COVID; Allied Health Personnel, Rehabilitation, General Practice: Primary Health

Care; Health Services Research; Qualitative

Word count: 7,007

Funding statement

This work was supported by the Chief Scientist Office Scotland, grant number

COV/LTE/20/29.

MANUSCRIPT

INTRODUCTION

Long COVID in adults is a multisystemic condition described as signs and symptoms that

develop during or after an infection consistent with COVID-19, and continue for more

than 12-weeks, which cannot be explained by an alternative diagnosis [1]. While global

prevalence remains unclear, the World Health Organisation estimated that as many as 34

million people may have experienced Long COVID by 2022. [2] In the UK, the Office for

National Statistics estimated that 1.9 million people (2.9% of the UK population) had

self-reported Long COVID as of March 2023 [3]. The effect of Long COVID on individuals

varies. More than 200 symptoms have been identified affecting multiple organ systems

including thrombotic and cerebrovascular disease, chronic fatigue, and dysautonomia,

resulting in significant reduction in quality of life [4].

Healthcare professionals, and general practitioners (GPs) in particular, are faced with

complex challenges associated with the diagnosis and management of people with Long

COVID (PwLC) as they attempt to disentangle nonspecific symptomology associated with

the virus from those related to other conditions [5]. The National Institute for Health and

Care Excellence (NICE) rapid guideline on Long-term effects of COVID-19 recommends

that individuals have access to rehabilitation that is personalised and multi-disciplinary in

nature [1]. Subsequent studies have emphasised the importance of this approach [6-8].

However, uncertainty remains around the effectiveness of rehabilitation, with research

ongoing [9 10]. In England, service provision for Long COVID has centred around the

development of specialised clinics, usually comprising multidisciplinary teams that

include medical, allied health and psychology professionals[11]. In Scotland, Long

COVID rehabilitation is delivered in community (non-hospital) settings by generalist

allied health professionals, with local variation in models of service delivery [12].

PwLC have previously reported barriers to accessing healthcare and difficulties in

navigating interactions with disjointed healthcare services [12-15]. Kingstone et al. [16]

highlighted the importance of "finding the right GP" for PwLC accessing primary care.

GPs are the first point of contact for PwLC and have an important role in ensuring that

people receive appropriate treatment and are referred to specialist services, including

community rehabilitation, when needed. GPs, however, continue to face uncertaintly

around providing a Long COVID diagnosis and ongoing management and, furthermore,

experience challenges referring PwLC onto appropriate diagnostic and interventional

services [15 17].

There is a growing body of research on the lived experience of PwLC [18 19] including

their self-reported barriers to accessing healthcare [13 14]. Until recently, however [15],

none have focussed on community rehabilitation. There is also a growing body of

research on the role of the GP in providing care to PwLC, including the challenges of

diagnosis and medical management [17 20]. Brennan et al's scoping review [17]

included 19 studies on the management of Long COVID by GPs. They reported five

articles that described difficulties with accessing services, particularly those in the

communtiy such as follow-up services and Long COVID clinics [17]. Bachmeier et al's

qualitative study found that German GPs rarely referred their Long COVID patients to

specialist care or rehabilitation [20]. This was partly due to referral restrictions in the

German healthcare system and lengthy waiting times for rehabilitation services or post-

COVID clinics.

The current study explored PwLC and GPs' perspectives of accessing Long COVID

community rehabilitation in the context of four Scottish regional health boards.

Rehabilitation in this study is defined as intervention/s aimed at optimising function and

reducing disability [21], delivered by any appropriate healthcare professional in a

community setting. Community rehabilitation typically takes place in clinics and people's

homes. This work was embedded within a larger realist evaluation study called LOCO-

RISE, investigating models of service delivery for Long COVID community rehabilitation

in Scotland. The initial phase of the realist evaluation (November 2021 – April 2022)

focussed on PwLC and service providers' perspectives, experiences, and outcomes of

Long COVID rehabilitation [15]. During this time period, we observed that in some

areas, there were low numbers of PwLC receiving community rehabilitation services [15].

We sought approval for an amendment to the study (Ref: 21/WA/0118 A03) to

understand potential reasons for these low numbers, in the context of the increasing

number of PwLC, recommendations for providing community rehabilitation to PwLC [6 8

22] and knowledge that services existed across Scotland [12]. The study reported here

aimed to address two questions: i) what are the perceptions and experiences of PwLC on

accessing rehabilitation for Long COVID? and ii) what are GPs' perceptions and

experiences of managing PwLC presenting with symptoms of Long COVID that may be

suitable for rehabilitation? PwLC were defined as adults (aged 18+) with Long COVID

(with or without a positive COVID test) living in the community (i.e., not hospitalised).

METHODS

Study Design

This was a qualitative descriptive study employing semi-structured virtual interviews

with a convenience sample of PwLC and GPs in four Scottish health boards. The study

followed an a priori protocol (Supplementary File 1) and is reported in keeping with the

consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ) [Supplementary File 2]

[23].

Participants

The four Scottish health boards were chosen for the larger realist evaluation study based

on variation in population and accessibility (using the Scottish Government Urban Rural

Classification 2020) [24], Long COVID prevalence, and Long COVID rehabilitation service

delivery models. We recruited from these same health boards for this study. At the time

of conducting this study, two health boards were offering an integrated Long COVID

rehabilitation service (i.e., integrated within existing community rehabilitation

pathways), one had recently launched a dedicated Long COVID community rehabilitation

service, and one pre-existing dedicated Long COVID service was closed to new referrals

due to an increased referral rate combined with reduction in funding and therefore

inability to staff the service.

A convenience sample of PwLC were recruited via social media accounts of the research

team and their institutions and by Long COVID Scotland, a volunteer-led charity run by

PwLC. Inclusion criteria for PwLC were the following: community-dwelling (i.e., not

currently hospitalised); aged 18 or over; experiencing symptoms of Long COVID (with or

without a positive COVID-19 test; and experience of accessing or attempting to access

healthcare services for possible rehabilitation. Those interested in the study contacted

the research team, were sent detailed study information, and provided informed consent

(audio recorded) prior to taking part. A second convenience sample of GPs were

recruited by email invitation circulated on behalf of the research team by the NHS

Research Scotland Primary Care Network. The network coordinator provided eligible GP

practices in the four health boards with an electronic letter of invitation and participant

information sheet to be shared with GPs. Those interested in taking part contacted the

research team and provided informed consent as described above. Inclusion criteria for

GPs were the following: GP in a practice within one of the four health boards taking part

in the study; and experience of patients with probable Long COVID who may be suitable

for rehabilitation. Several recruitment reminders were issued, and we aimed to recruit

12-20 PwLC and 8-20 GPs in total.

Data collection

Interview topic guides [Supplementary File 3] were developed. While not formally pilot

tested, the topic guides were refined by the research team, in consultation with people

with lived experience of Long COVID and informed by initial findings from our realist

evaluation study [15] and the wider literature in the field. During the period June 2022

to January 2023 semi-structured online interviews (Microsoft Teams) and one telephone

interview were conducted by one research assistant [EHW], who received training and

supervision from KC and ED. No repeat interviews were undertaken. GP participants

were either in their workplace or homes when interviewed, while PwLC participants were

all at home while interviewed. The research assistant, a female, was a qualified nurse

and had worked in critical care from the start of the coronavirus pandemic (March 2020)

until December 2021. She had no prior relationship with the GP practices or participants

who all understood that EHW was employed as a study research assistant. The

interviews lasted 17 to 47 minutes (mean 23 ±7.8 minutes) and were audio recorded.

No other individuals were present during the interviews. No field notes for analysis were

taken. Interviews with PwLC were transcribed by an external transcription service. Due

to the homogeneity of the GP interviews, these were not transcribed but instead were

listened to on multiple occasions by two researchers [25 26]. Neither transcripts nor

audio files were returned to participants for comment or correction.

Data analysis

Data were analysed using the framework method (Gale et al. 2013) [27], which

proposes a matrix-based format to facilitate the sharing and management of data as a

team. This approach is widely used in applied health research and recognised as

appropriate for multidisciplinary research teams as it provides a structure to consider

data within and across interviews. Familiarisation with the data began by reading and re-

reading the transcripts (PwLC) and listening/re-listening to the recordings (GPs), making

analytical notes that informed the 'working analytical framework' for each participant

group [27]. Although line-by-line coding is common in qualitative research, it is also

possible to develop a framework without engaging in explicit coding [28]; due to the

small scale and nature of the data we adopted the latter approach. The framework

method was used to construct matrices in Microsoft Excel, enabling the data to initially

be summarised into broad categories. This was led by EHW, in close consultation with

two experienced qualitative researchers (KC, ED). The charted data were then analysed

by interpreting within and between participants to identify concepts, which were

subsequently grouped into themes, and finally overarching themes consisting of data

from both participant groups. This was an iterative process involving multiple

researchers (KC, ED, JC, TT, JS, ES), the study team, and subsequent refinement until

there was consensus that the data had been comprehensively analysed. As with our

previous study we did not seek participant feedback directly on the findings. We did,

however, present the findings in a webinar attended by health professionals and PwLC.

Webinar attendees endorsed this study's findings and reflected that the analysis reflected

their personal experiences.

Patient and public involvement

205 Two members of the public with lived experience of Long COVID were core members of

206 the study team (AL, JO). Both contributed throughout the study and were integral to its

207 success, helping with design and identification of important issues to explore. They co-

208 developed study materials and interview topic guides and contributed to analysis and

209 interpretation of study findings.

RESULTS

Participant characteristics

Twenty-five PwLC expressed an interest in taking part in the study. Of these 25, one did

not meet the inclusion criteria for this study, but they were invited to take part in the

larger realist evaluation. Thirteen PwLC did not pursue interest in participating in the

study. Sixteen GPs expressed an interest, of whom three did not proceed to interview

due to logistical challenges. Therefore, eleven PwLC (1 male, 10 female; aged 40-65

(mean 53)) and 13 GPs (5 male, 8 female) provided informed consent and took part in

an interview. Figure 1 displays the numbers of participants recruited from each of the

four health boards and Table 1 describes participants' demographics. No participants

who consented withdrew from the study.

Findings

Framework analysis resulted in four overarching themes: i) The lived experience of Long

COVID; ii) The challenges of an emergent and complex chronic condition; iii) Systemic

challenges for Long COVID service delivery, and iv) Perceptions and experiences of Long

COVID and its management, including rehabilitation.

Key: HB=Health Board; ILCS=Integrated Long COVID Service; DLCS=Dedicated Long COVID Service;

*=Dedicated Long COVID Service temporarily halted due to staffing & funding; PwLC=People with Long COVID;

GP=General Practitioners

Figure 1: Number of GPs and People with Long COVID recruited from each
health board

Table 1 Participant demographics

GPs	n (%)	People with Long COVID	n (%)
Sex		**Sex**	
Male	5 (38)	**Male**	1 (9)
Female	8 (62)	**Female**	10 (91)
Years experience primary care		**Employment status**	
		Employed	4 (36)
1-10	5 (38)	**Long-term sick leave**	4 (36)
11-20	4 (31)	**Left employment due to**	3 (27)
>20	2 (23)	**Long COVID**	
NR	1 (8)		
		Co-morbidities	
		Yes*	5 (45)
		No	6 (55)

Key: GP=General Practitioner; NR=Not reported;*co-morbidities included respiratory and thyroid conditions,
and ME (Myalgic Encephalomyelitis)

Table 2 identifies the data and participant groups that contributed to each of these

themes. Throughout the manuscript participants are referred to by a unique, anonymous

identifier (health board A-D, followed by PwLC or GP, followed by participant number).

I: The lived experience of Long COVID

PwLC spoke of how their symptoms negatively influenced their quality of life. These

included physical symptoms such as breathlessness and mobility issues, as well as

cognitive difficulties such as 'brain fog' and problems concentrating. Fatigue was most

frequently reported, with PwLC describing themselves as feeling physically and

emotionally exhausted, resulting in a reduced capacity to participate in activities. Some

PwLC were unable to engage in relationships or felt the need to reduce their level of

engagement to preserve energy.

I was desperate, I just had no quality of life at all. I couldn't speak to my friends

for coughing, couldn't look after my family because I had no energy, couldn't get

out the house, I was housebound for months. It was just rubbish. [APwLC05]

Living with Long COVID had a profound impact on participants, with many describing the

experience as significantly life changing. PwLC reported the deterioration in their physical

health as leading to a sense of frailty.

This often caused frustration around their inability to participate in activities that were

perceived as important, and a need to adapt and find new ways of managing daily life.

It is causing me to think now every time we decide to do something, 'Am I going

to be able? What are we going to have to do in order to facilitate that?' And I'm

not eighty-five. I wasn't ready to have to start thinking about this right now.

[DPwLC05]

The psychological impact was characterised by PwLC as a struggle to come to terms with

a new way of being, and a recognition that the internal expectations that one holds for

oneself were being challenged.

Table 2: Overview of thematic analysis

Higher order themes	Themes	Subthemes
I: The lived experience of Long COVID	**PwLC** Impact of Long COVID on daily life	**PwLC** Psychological impact on Long COVID Physical symptoms of Long COVID Impact of Long COVID on quality of life Impact of Long COVID on ability to work
II: The challenges of an emergent and complex chronic condition	**GP** Long COVID as a chronic condition	**GP** Challenges and uncertainty associated with diagnosis Complexities of Long COVID and similarities with chronic conditions (ME/FM/CFS/post-viral) System challenges associated with chronic conditions
	PwLC Long COVID as a new and unknown condition	**PwLC** Stigma associated with Long COVID Invisibility of Long COVID Lack of validation Complex symptoms and lack of diagnostic/confirmatory tests Lack of ownership by any given discipline & related difficulty getting referred to secondary care Need for self-advocacy among people with Long COVID
III: Systemic challenges for Long COVID service delivery	**GP** Long COVID management in primary care	**GP** Current pathways/lack of pathways Resource issues Training needs Safety netting: need for tests and investigations
	PwLC Barriers & facilitators to accessing healthcare support for Long COVID	**PwLC** Lack of referral pathways and access to GP and/or secondary care Lack of available resources with long waiting lists & limited support Need for joined up and person-centred care, especially follow-up on results of tests & investigations Apparent inequities across health boards
IV: Perceptions and experiences of Long COVID and its management, including rehabilitation	**GP** Perceptions of Long COVID	**GP** Knowledge & beliefs about Long COVID prevalence Perceptions of symptom presentation Knowledge & beliefs about risk factors

		Knowledge & beliefs about subgroups affected by Long COVID
		Work-related issues and need for vocational rehabilitation
		Psychological impact and need for peer support
		Beliefs and values relating to Long COVID
	PwLC	**PwLC**
	Healthcare professionals' knowledge & attitudes	Experiences of support available
		Importance of validation from primary care
		Perceptions of HCPs lack of knowledge and understanding of Long COVID
		Role of self-management in Long COVID
		Role of pharmacology in Long COVID
	GP	**GP**
	Long COVID Rehabilitation	Role of medical staff in Long COVID Rehabilitation
		Role of allied health professionals in Long COVID Rehabilitation
		Rehabilitation needs
	PwLC	**PwLC**
	Experience of Long COVID services	Experience of support from allied health professionals : education & symptom management
		Perceptions of usefulness of online resources (mixed views of social media)
		Views on private healthcare
		Perceptions and experience of 3rd sector support
		Perceptions of peer support
		Perceptions and experience of occupational health support

Key: PwLC=People with Long COVID; GP=General Practitioners; ME= Myalgic Encephalomyelitis/Encephalopathy; FM=Fibromyalgia; CFS=chronic fatigue syndrome

This was described as a sense of losing or reshaping of one's identity, with many

acknowledging that they no longer felt like the person they used to be.

I can't remember the last time when I had a day where I was thinking I feel like

my old self…I think that last bit is the longest lasting effect of it and kind of the

most debilitating, just feeling like a different and frankly lesser person.

[DPwLC06]

PwLC associated their physical health deterioration with a decline in mental health, with

some PwLC experiencing negative feelings such as anxiety and depression. Participants

also expressed a need to seek pharmacological and psychological support for their

emotional wellbeing.

I also had - although it is something I suffer from, but I take Citalopram for

anxiety- a very bad anxiety period as a result of COVID because to be honest I

thought I was going to die, so that triggered a lot of other problems [DPwLC05]

Living with Long COVID impacted some participants' ability to engage in employment.

Many attempted to return to work following the acute infection, with some returning to

employment on a phased return. There was a perceived lack of support for return to

work however, with some expressing a need for vocational rehabilitation to support

return to work after a prolonged absence. Others spoke of the challenges associated with

presenting at work whilst not able to fully function, which they attributed to a worsening

of their physical symptoms.

Fortunately, I've had it, been off, but then went back to work. I've not stayed off.

Maybe that's a problem as well, I've not stayed off long term and listened to my

body. I've went back and really threw myself back into my workplace and then

suffered in my days off. [CPwLC01]

The inability to work due to Long COVID created feelings of anxiety associated with the

financial implications of losing income, and participants reported a lack of support and

practical resources that could address their financial anxiety.

It is a worry for the future. I'm [age] *and I've got a bit to go until retirement. I*

feel like I'm in limbo, it's like Groundhog Day, and it's kind of scary what's going

to happen with my work. I'm going to have to address that at some point

because I can't see there there's an end in sight with everything. Yeah, it's

another hurdle I'm going to have to address next year at some point. [BPwLC07]

II: The challenges of an emergent and complex chronic condition

Due to the symptoms experienced by PwLC in this study, many had presented to

primary care seeking confirmatory tests and onward referral and had felt frustrated

when they encountered GPs who were reluctant to provide a diagnosis. GPs however

spoke of the challenges associated with providing a diagnosis in the absence of a specific

diagnostic test, and the limited treatment options that were available.

I don't know if we will move away from handing out the diagnosis of Long COVID.

Because to be honest, I don't often suggest it to the patient as a diagnosis

because our options for management are so minimal. So, I tend to, if the patient

thinks they've got it, work through that with them. [AGP01]

GPs also drew similarities between Long COVID and existing chronic conditions, such as

chronic fatigue syndrome/ME and Fibromyalgia, and a sense of familiarity around the

uncertainties associated with diagnosis and management of these conditions, conveying

a need for a service for long term conditions inclusive of Long COVID.

I think we are talking about Long COVID now because everyone is looking at

COVID, which is great. But I think it's not the only kind of post-[illness*] treatment*

type problem that we have nowhere to send people. And I think it's a problem

with the health system. [BGP01]

People with Long COVID recognised the uncertainties associated with Long COVID. Many

felt they were not heard or believed by healthcare professionals and the wider public

however and expressed a desire for their symptoms to be validated, listened to, and

supported. There was a sense of social stigma associated with living with an invisible

illness, which was often attributed to a lack of understanding about how Long COVID

affects a person, and a feeling that they were being treated like a malingerer, and

'making up their suffering.'

There's definitely been a lot more media which is very helpful…there was a middle

part where people were quite scathing because in the first part I remember

friends saying 'I don't know anybody else that's got Long COVID', and that in

itself felt a judgement, but then we hit a middle part where loads of folk were

getting COVID but they'd been vaccinated or their bodies just dealt with it

differently and they weren't ill, so then there was a huge period of judgement

came out, 'well, so-and-so had it and they're fine'. [BPwLC06]

Many PwLC felt dissatisfied that symptoms were not being taken seriously enough to

gain access to secondary care and had an awareness of inconsistencies across health

boards in relation to Long COVID services, suggesting a need for a single person to take

ownership of Long COVID in their area.

"There needs to be at least one thing for each trust. Even if there's only one place

in the whole of [Health Board], *and obviously I know that I'm from* [place] *and*

that would mean it would be here, I just think there should be at least one that

people aren't having to travel that long a distance to get to. Just somebody that

has studied Long COVID, understands the complexities of it…[BPwLC05]

The disconnection between patients' expectations and their experiences led some to the

realisation that they needed to take control of their own health. One participant stated,

"You're almost left to fend for yourself" [CDA57], whilst others highlighted the

importance of advocating for themselves through researching symptoms, obtaining

information from online resources, and using exercise to self-manage.

Oh, I've turned to Twitter…there is kind of a few people on Twitter that I follow

that have been really good and kind of published research papers…I go back to

the GP and ask about stuff, so I'm just having to kind of search for it myself…So

you're not only having to deal with the illness you have to kind of then navigate

kind of like, where am I going to get help from? [DPwLC02]

III: Systemic challenges for Long COVID service delivery

Healthcare system-related challenges included the lack of clear pathways to access,

siloed specialised services, limited resources (long waiting times for appointments in

primary and secondary care), and the lack of holistic care. PwLC described these

challenges as contributing to communication breakdowns, a lack of accountability over

chronic conditions, and the need for self-advocacy amongst people with Long COVID.

Every NHS trust should have at least one Long Covid clinic. It needs to have

specialist people. All the therapists and all the scans and everything I've had have

been done as standalone things, whereas at least if it was something centralised

in a COVID clinic you would feel like you were having every part of your body

tested and checked with Long COVID in mind....... It's the piecemeal part of it

that's not looking at it in a holistic way. [BPwLC05]

GPs reported a lack of pathways and processes for managing patients with Long COVID,

including identifying and tracking patients along the care trajectory and establishing

consistent approaches to management. The nature of the emergent condition made it

challenging to identify appropriate services to refer patients on to.

It's less easy to identify one individual you could refer them to so without an

over-arching service it's hard to know where to direct the patients. [AGP02]

GPs reported that patients seldom met the criteria for existing rehabilitation programmes

(e.g., cardiac/respiratory). They also reported that they often carried out tests and

investigations to exclude other differential diagnoses as a means of 'safety-netting', but

some were mindful that this could delay rehabilitation and recovery.

There is a risk that we hold up rehabilitative inputs until we fully investigated

things and we are entirely assured that there's nothing going on. So, we should

perhaps be blending things a little better. [BGP02]

Both participant groups felt that limited resource was one of the main barriers to Long

COVID management. People with Long COVID experienced difficulty accessing both

primary and secondary care.

I didn't have the energy to argue with the receptionist at the GP surgery, and

that's the honest truth, I just didn't have it in me to phone and try and explain it

all again. So, I lost nineteen pounds in four weeks because I just couldn't eat

because I felt sick. But even that wasn't worth the battle I would have to get past

a GP receptionist. [APwLC05]

GPs were aware of the significant pressure secondary care were facing which often

resulted in long waiting times for specialties. As a result, patients often re-presented to

primary care for ongoing issues leaving GPs with limited options for referrals and

treatments.

We were getting a bit frustrated referring patients to secondary care for help.

There wasn't much coming through. They were already dealing with backlog

enough and they're getting piled up with these other things happening. I

understand their limitations, entirely…I don't think that any I have referred [to

respiratory or cardiovascular] *have been seen yet. …* [CGP03]

Variation in models of access for rehabilitation led PwLC to question the equity of care

across health boards as they were aware of Long COVID services provided in other parts

of Scotland and in England. Some PwLC who were able to afford it sought private health

care and experienced improvements in their condition.

I've taken it upon myself to see a physio privately who is helping me because

that's the hardest thing is this pacing business…Because I do too much one day,

then I can't do anything the following day, maybe even two days…It's been very

helpful because she, she's guiding me because what I would I think is

manageable and doable is very different to what she says is manageable and

doable. [DPwLC01]

People with Long COVID emphasised the need for a more joined-up service to improve

communication and coordination of care.

I should have had the results in six weeks, but I've not had them, I don't know

who to contact to get them, because it was through the neurologist rather than

my GP. [APwLC03]

They also felt that specialists focused only on specific aspects of their health but not the

condition as a whole.

I just feel sometimes having that one person, like I know a lot of people have a

consultant that they go to and that's the person that they speak to, or the centre

that they go to, for support. There's a group of people perhaps that they deal

with, but they get it. They're a familiar face and that makes sense. But you feel a

bit of a pariah, to be honest, with Long COVID. [BPwLC06]

GPs acknowledged the importance of a more holistic approach to Long COVID care

including psychological as well as physical support. They suggested the need for

integrated multidisciplinary management that provides support for a complex range of

symptoms.

IV: Perceptions and experiences of Long COVID and its management, including

rehabilitation

This theme comprised data on GPs knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions of Long

COVID, as well as participants' perceptions and experiences of Long COVID services.

GPs knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions of Long COVID

In health boards where Long COVID management was integrated into existing

community services with less clear pathways to rehabilitation (health boards A & B),

there was a perceived lack of demand by GPs for Long COVID-specific services. GPs in

this study reported low numbers (1-6 per week) of people presenting to their practices

for support with symptoms of Long COVID.

Maybe 10-12 [Long COVID patients have presented] *in total. But I don't know*

whether they're all coming to us. They might be just suffering in silence. [CGP03]

Patients were commonly reported to be of working age and were perceived to be fit and

healthy prior to coronavirus infection. Some GPs also reported that females were more

commonly presenting with symptoms associated with Long COVID than males.

Some GPs attributed the low patient numbers to natural resolution and the ability to self-

manage on the basis that patients were not reconsulting or requesting further sick lines.

*I think with time most of their symptoms do seem to ease and pas*s. [AGP01]

However, some GPs and most PwLC believed that people with Long COVID were not

presenting to primary care because they believed there was no support available for

Long COVID.

A lot of patients don't necessarily consult because probably they are seeing things

in the media and things, you know aware that there aren't particular treatments.

So, they just think it's par for the course that they feel like that. [AGP02]

"I stopped contacting the GP because I just feel I'm wasting their time"

[APwLC03]

PwLC expressed some concerns associated with GPs being under pressure and attributed

their reduced attendance at GP practices to feeling like they were an additional burden

on the healthcare system. They spoke of withholding information related to their

symptoms due to an awareness that GPs were time pressured, and expressed concerns

associated with ensuring appointments were productive.

I know there are things that I haven't raised with a GP because I'm aware that

they're time pressured, I've raised about ten symptoms already in my

consultation with them and I know I've got another three sitting on my list, but I

can't bring that into the situation…and I'm potentially sitting on stuff that I should

have discussed [BPwLC06]

PwLC also noted their concerns over the lack of specialist knowledge and expressed a

sense of apprehension when GPs were unable to demonstrate an appropriate level of

knowledge concerning the condition.

But the last time I went to the GP they said, 'we find people with Long COVID

know more about it than we do.' And I thought that doesn't really fill you with

great enthusiasm. [DPwLC04]

Most GPs acknowledged that Long COVID has impacted people living with the condition

and conveyed a need for education associated with recovery and returning to work. They

spoke of patients feeling pressured to return to work without appropriate support in

place to assist their workplace integration. The need for psychological support was also

expressed by both GPs and PwLC. Specifically, peer support was identified as a useful

resource for people with Long COVID, where they can be supported by others

experiencing similar symptoms.

It's just reassuring to know that you're not alone in this. Misery loves company,

and it's good to know that there are other people who have this, because

otherwise it would become kind of depressing. And it helps put things in

perspective, that you know that as bad as you feel someone else is probably

feeling worse. [DPwLC06]

A Minority of GPs did not perceive the need for a specialised Long COVID service. Some

GPs referred to a scepticism among their colleagues about Long COVID in general:

It's not only for people, it's also for the medical professionals to believe as well

that this is a problem. I think there is still some scepticism among medical

professionals as well, still, about this being accepted and treated. [CGP03]

One GP participant described themselves as being slightly cynical about Long COVID and

wary of the need for rehabilitation, suggesting that patients should *"wait it out"* and they

will get better, likening Long COVID and its impact to 'flu.

"I think it's exactly like flu. The same applies in flu. You get lots of people that get

it. Most people are not terribly well with it, few people get flu without knowing

they've had it. Some people recover quickly, some take a longer time to recover

and some die" [AGP04]

Perceptions and experiences of rehabilitation and other Long COVID services

A range of perceptions regarding Long COVID rehabilitation were held by both

participant groups. Some PwLC lacked knowledge of the potential role of rehabilitation

professionals in supporting people with Long COVID. This view was shared by some GPs

who reported a limited understanding of the role of rehabilitation in the management of

Long COVID.

Physio, I can't really see much of a role. But that could be my lack of knowledge

about it because with the patients I have spoken to it's not really so much of a

physical thing, it's not like a particular joint pain as such that they would benefit

from a physio. It's more the kind of cognitive aspect, maybe an OT, but I don't

know what they would add. [BGP05]

Some PwLC had enough knowledge of rehabilitation to request referral or refer

themselves to rehabilitation services where this was an option. In most cases these

participants were on waiting lists and had been for some time. Although we did not

record participants' job titles (for those in employment), several participants in this

category disclosed during the interviews that they were healthcare professionals, with

prior knowledge of, or colleagues working in, rehabilitation services. A third group of

PwLC reported a need for Long COVID rehabilitation services in their health board area,

suggesting a lack of services and/or their promotion.

The small number of participants who had received rehabilitation for Long COVID (n=3)

were generally positive about their experience, reporting benefits from specific

professions (e.g., physiotherapy, speech and language therapy) and interventions (e.g.,

breathing exercises), with information and advice on Long COVID and symptom

management, particularly the use of pacing, being highly valued.

The biggest help was speaking to Speech and Language... she gave me lots of

information that was very interesting, and lots about the biology of what's going

on with my [laryngeal] *spasms.* [DPwLC04]

Just having somebody to help you manage what that should look like, what is too

much, because you can read about pacing, you can chat about it online with other

people with Long COVID, but trying to get a model that fits for you as an

individual is actually really hard without support. [DPwLC06]

This contrasted with the views of PwLC of generic self-management booklets, which were

commonly reported as lacking person-centredness.

When I did refer myself to the [specialist] *team, I got a booklet, a massive*

booklet through the post, that says this that and the other. But it's such an

individual, highly differentiated set of symptoms that any one person can have,

just none of it was particularly relevant to me. [DPwLC01]

One participant had sought informal rehabilitation from a personal trainer but felt that

was not helpful as it was too intense, and the trainer did not have the requisite Long

COVID knowledge to support patients effectively to avoid rehabilitation being 'too

intense.'

"Just with having this discussion it's like a wee light bulb moment that I'm

having, that I'm thinking I've tried the PT [personal training]*, it was too intense,*

threw the towel in. See all that weights and lunges and dah, dah, dah, I thought I

haven't got the energy for it. So maybe physio, as you're saying, if they know all

about the disease, they know about what they would recommend and maybe be a

bit more gentle" [DPwLC01]

Despite the lack of knowledge of the potential role of rehabilitation demonstrated by

some GPs, most reported that they would engage with a dedicated Long COVID

rehabilitation service, as it would provide an onward referral route for patients,

particularly as they commonly reported being limited by secondary care referral criteria.

Several GPs felt that a multidisciplinary team approach could be beneficial for their

patients and could provide the validation that patients needed.

I think they feel quite isolated actually and I think it would be useful even if

objectively…there's not a huge improvement. I think psychologically it would be

really important for them. Someone to believe them, to see what's happening,

and just thinking someone's looking out for them. [BGP01]

Some also believed that earlier pulmonary rehabilitation could contribute to better

functional recovery.

PwLC reported accessing a range of other Long COVID services and sources of support.

For some, a helpful source of advice and support came from occupational health services

when they were returning to work after a period of Long COVID-related absence. Some

PwLC had accessed support from a charity, with some finding it useful and others finding

it too generic for their needs. Some participants found support from peers with similar

experience of Long COVID was helpful and provided validation. For some this positive

peer support was accessed via social media. Others reported negative experiences of

social media including finding social media platforms unsafe and unhelpful for sharing

lived experiences. The lack of monitoring in online forums contributed to PwLC feeling

vulnerable to receiving incorrect information and negativity from others. Many PwLC

reported that they resorted to online information, with some taking this information to

their GP consultation. Finally, as reported above, some turned to private healthcare in

response to access issues and long waiting times, including psychological therapy, GP,

medical specialties, and physiotherapy.

DISCUSSION

We explored the issue of access to community rehabilitation for Long COVID from the

perspectives of PwLC and GPs in four Scottish health boards. PwLC described a range of

symptoms affecting their physical and mental health and having a profoundly negative

impact on their daily life, employment, and relationships. We found a tension between

PwLC seeking diagnosis and onward referral and GPs lacking diagnostic tests and limited

options for managing Long COVID. This tension could manifest itself in PwLC perceiving

themselves as socially stigmatised by their 'invisible' condition. We also identified several

systemic challenges for Long COVID service delivery which related to access, siloed

services, limited resources, and a perceived lack of holistic care, causing frustration for

both GPs and PwLC. We found that although a minority of GPs expressed scepticism

about Long COVID and the need for rehabilitation and other services for this patient

group, there was general agreement between PwLC and GPs on the need for accessible,

person-centred services and support. Regarding community rehabilitation, we found

that: i) some PwLC and some GPs lacked knowledge on the potential role of community

rehabilitation in the management of Long COVID; ii) having prior knowledge of

rehabilitation or being a healthcare professional appeared to facilitate access to

community rehabilitation, and iii) PwLC who had received rehabilitation generally found it

beneficial. Due to the lack of knowledge and difficulty accessing rehabilitation however,

PwLC had accessed a range of other services and sources of support, with varying

success.

The relationship between Long COVID and reduction in physical and mental health

outcomes and quality of life is becoming increasingly known [29 30]. A large Scottish

population cohort study found that almost 50% of PwLC had not recovered 6-18 months

after symptomatic infection, and that PwLC experienced a wide range of symptoms

affecting daily activities and quality of life [31]. This study adds to that growing

evidence-base and demonstrates the influence of the condition on a sample of PwLC

living in Scotland almost 3-years on from the start of the pandemic. The persisting

prevalence and impact of Long COVID on people's lives further emphasises the need for

support and services such as community rehabilitation to be available, in keeping with

recommendations [1 6 8].

These recommendations are that PwLC should have access to personalised and

multidisciplinary rehabilitation; such rehabilitation is reportedly available throughout

Scotland [12] delivered by a variety of service models. Our previous research, however,

observed low numbers of PwLC receiving community rehabilitation in some areas of

Scotland [15]. This study has shed some light on the potential reasons for the mismatch

between recommendations, reported service availability and numbers of PwLC accessing

community rehabilitation.

Lack of GP knowledge regarding community rehabilitation and its potential role in Long

COVID may, in part, be attributed to the nature of Long COVID as a new condition that

health professionals are still learning how to manage. Previous research has reported a

lack of GP understanding of the role of rehabilitation professionals in the management of

conditions commonly encountered in primary care; for example, the role of

physiotherapists in osteoarthritis management [32]. Furthermore, international public

understanding of the role of occupational therapy has been reported as limited [33].

Therefore, in the context of a new condition with an evolving evidence-base, it is

perhaps not surprising that GPs and PwLC may have limited understanding of what

rehabilitation professionals can offer to this patient population. Furthermore, the

reluctance to promote the availability of services in some areas, due to pre-existing

resourcing and anticipated demand-capacity issues may have further limited access to

Long COVID rehabilitation [15]. Issues with promotion of services, clarity of pathways

and interdisciplinary communication between GPs and rehabilitation professionals have

been reported previously[32 34]. The findings of this study suggest that further

improvements in communication and collaborative working may be required to enhance

access to community rehabilitation for PwLC [32]. Indeed, the finding that prior

knowledge of rehabilitation, and being a healthcare professional facilitated access to

community rehabilitation for PwLC, is further evidence that successfully navigating the

referral system is challenging.

The findings of PwLC who had managed to access community rehabilitation being

satisfied with it, and GPs wanting a Long COVID service to refer patients to are in

keeping with previous research [17]. The challenge not only lies in availability of such

services, but clearly in PwLC and GPs awareness of the benefits of these services, their

active promotion, and clear and timely accessibility of rehabilitation services. There is

therefore a need to overcome the systemic challenges to accessing timely rehabilitation

reported in this study. Considering the ongoing nature of living with Long COVID, these

challenges are likely to continue beyond the time and resource constraints of

Government funding provision for existing Long COVID rehabilitation within rehabilitation

services that were already historically underfunded and considered 'Cinderella services'

[15].

Strengths and limitations

This was the first study to explore the issue of accessing community rehabilitation in the

Scottish context and included the perspectives of those referring and potentially being

referred to community rehabilitation. One researcher conducted all interviews to ensure

consistency and multiple researchers were involved in analysing and interpreting the

data, including people with lived experience of Long COVID. There are, however, some

limitations. As this study was an extension of our original study, we had insufficient

resources to enable larger participant samples. We realised from the outset that we

would be unable to describe achieving data saturation. Although we recruited to target

for the GP sample, there was under-representation from the two health boards with

dedicated Long COVID services (one newly launched and one halted). Therefore, the

data largely represents the views of GPs from health boards where Long COVID services

were integrated into existing community rehabilitation services; it is possible that GPs

views of dedicated Long COVID services may be different. We recruited a small, mostly

female sample of PwLC, and mostly from health boards with integrated or a halted

dedicated Long COVID service. Their views on accessing Long COVID services may

therefore have been biased. Recruitment of PwLC was likely limited by our reliance on

social media and one Long COVID charity; however, both mechanisms had the potential

to reach many people. Despite these challenges, both the PwLC and GP participant

groups provided consistent understandings of the challenges they faced when dealing

with Long COVID. Therefore, while data saturation is not claimed, it does appear that we

achieved adequate data sufficiency to be confident that the findings reflect key issues

within each participant group.

Implications for practice and research

There is a need for greater understanding by the public, GPs, and other potential

referrers of the role of community rehabilitation professionals in the management of

Long COVID. There is an equally important need for community rehabilitation services to

be well promoted and accessible to the PwLC for whom they may be appropriate. Long

COVID is still a prevalent condition whose impact on individuals can be profound. The

need for community rehabilitation for PwLC is likely to persist. Service providers should

therefore consider availability and accessibility of Long COVID rehabilitation and ensure

adequate interprofessional communication and collaboration to enhance the experience

for PwLC.

CONCLUSION

We have provided further understanding of the barriers to accessing Long COVID

community rehabilitation by exploring the perceptions and experiences of key

stakeholders in the referral process. These findings can be used by those (re)designing

community rehabilitation services for people with Long COVID and potentially for other

conditions. There remains a need for greater public and GP awareness of the role of

rehabilitation professionals in Long COVID.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KC, ED, JM, LA, JP, JO, AL, PS contributed to the study's conception and design. EHW undertook data collection. ED, KC, EHW, TT, JC, JS, ES undertook analyses and drafted the first version of the manuscript. KC led future iterations of the manuscript. All authors read and commented on the manuscript and approved the final version of it. The corresponding author attests that all authors meet authorship criteria and that nobody meeting the criteria have been omitted. ED and KC have joint responsibility for the research conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish.

COMPETING INTERESTS

All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare: all authors had financial support from the Chief Scientist Office Scotland (grant number COV/LTE/20/29) for the submitted work; ED has received research grants from NIHR and Scottish Government for the following studies: Caring for Long COVID in primary care and DBI COVID study, respectively; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work are declared by any of the authors.

FUNDING STATEMENT

This work was supported by the Chief Scientist Office Scotland, grant number COV/LTE/20/29

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data produced in the present study are available upon reasonable request. Robert Gordon University holds the copyright for the full interview transcripts and may grant data sharing permission on request.

ETHICS APPROVAL

Ethical approval was granted from the Wales Research Ethics Committee 6 [21/WA/0118

A03], and each of the four Health Boards granted R&D management approval.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to all our participants who gave of their time to take part in interviews.

We would like to thank Amanda Cardy, Northeast coordinator NRS Primary Care Network

and local principal investigators Lynne Frew, Lynn Morrison, and Gail Thomson-Patel for

support with participant recruitment.

REFERENCES

1. NICE. COVID-19 rapid guideline: managing the longterm effects of COVID-19, 2022.
2. World Health Organization. With the international public health emergency ending, WHO/Europe
launches its transition plan for COVID-19. 2023 [Available from:
https://www.who.int/europe/news/item/12-06-2023-with-the-international-public-health-
emergency-ending--who-europe-launches-its-transition-plan-for-covid-19 accessed 5
October 2023.
3. Office for National Statistics (ONS). Prevalence of ongoing symptoms following coronavirus
(COVID-19) infection in the UK: 30 March 2023. 2023 [Available from:
https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/conditionsan
ddiseases/bulletins/prevalenceofongoingsymptomsfollowingcoronaviruscovid19infectioninth
euk/30march2023 accessed 5 October 2023.
4. Davis HE, McCorkell L, Vogel JM, et al. Long COVID: major findings, mechanisms and
recommendations. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2023;21(3):133-46. doi: 10.1038/s41579-022-00846-2
[published Online First: 20230113]
5. O'Hare AM, Vig EK, Iwashyna TJ, et al. Complexity and Challenges of the Clinical Diagnosis and
Management of Long COVID. *JAMA Netw Open* 2022;5(11):e2240332. doi:
10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.40332 [published Online First: 20221101]
6. Heeney A, Connolly SP, Dillon R, et al. Post-COVID care delivery: The experience from an Irish
tertiary centre's post-COVID clinic. *PLoS One* 2023;18(8):e0289245. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0289245 [published Online First: 20230811]
7. DeMars J, Brown DA, Angelidis I, et al. What is Safe Long COVID Rehabilitation? *J Occup Rehabil*
2023;33(2):227-30. doi: 10.1007/s10926-022-10075-2
8. Decary S, De Groote W, Arienti C, et al. Scoping review of rehabilitation care models for post
COVID-19 condition. *Bull World Health Organ* 2022;100(11):676-88. doi:
10.2471/BLT.22.288105 [published Online First: 20221003]
9. Daynes E, Baldwin M, Greening NJ, et al. The effect of COVID rehabilitation for ongoing symptoms
Post HOSPitalisation with COVID-19 (PHOSP-R): protocol for a randomised parallel group
controlled trial on behalf of the PHOSP consortium. *Trials* 2023;24(1):61. doi:
10.1186/s13063-023-07093-7 [published Online First: 20230126]
10. Murray E, Goodfellow H, Bindman J, et al. Development, deployment and evaluation of digitally
enabled, remote, supported rehabilitation for people with long COVID-19 (Living With
COVID-19 Recovery): protocol for a mixed-methods study. *BMJ Open* 2022;12(2):e057408.
doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057408 [published Online First: 20220207]
11. Dean E. What happens inside a long covid clinic? *BMJ* 2023;382:p1791. doi: 10.1136/bmj.p1791
12. Duncan E, Cooper K, Cowie J, et al. A national survey of community rehabilitation service
provision for people with long Covid in Scotland. *F1000Res* 2020;9:1416. doi:
10.12688/f1000research.27894.2 [published Online First: 20201207]
13. Baz SA, Fang C, Carpentieri JD, et al. 'I don't know what to do or where to go'. Experiences of
accessing healthcare support from the perspectives of people living with Long Covid and
healthcare professionals: A qualitative study in Bradford, UK. *Health Expect* 2023;26(1):542-
54. doi: 10.1111/hex.13687 [published Online First: 20221213]
14. Ladds E, Rushforth A, Wieringa S, et al. Persistent symptoms after Covid-19: qualitative study of
114 "long Covid" patients and draft quality principles for services. *BMC Health Serv Res*
2020;20(1):1144. doi: 10.1186/s12913-020-06001-y [published Online First: 20201220]
15. Duncan E, Alexander L, Cowie J, et al. Perceptions and experiences of different Long COVID
community rehabilitation service models from the perspectives of people living with Long
COVID and healthcare professionals. MedRxiv 20230930 [Pre-Print] September 28 2023.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.23.23293876.

16. Kingstone T, Taylor AK, O'Donnell CA, et al. Finding the 'right' GP: a qualitative study of the
experiences of people with long-COVID. *BJGP Open* 2020;4(5) doi:
10.3399/bjgpopen20X101143 [published Online First: 20201215]
17. Brennan A, Broughan J, McCombe G, et al. Enhancing the management of long COVID in general
practice: a scoping review. *BJGP Open* 2022;6(3) doi: 10.3399/BJGPO.2021.0178 [published
Online First: 20220928]
18. Thomas C, Faghy MA, Owen R, et al. Lived experience of patients with Long COVID: a qualitative
study in the UK. *BMJ Open* 2023;13(4):e068481. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-068481
[published Online First: 20230426]
19. Skilbeck L, Spanton C, Paton M. Patients' lived experience and reflections on long COVID: an
interpretive phenomenological analysis within an integrated adult primary care psychology
NHS service. *J Patient Rep Outcomes* 2023;7(1):30. doi: 10.1186/s41687-023-00570-2
[published Online First: 20230320]
20. Bachmeier BE, Holzle S, Gasser M, et al. How do German General Practitioners Manage Long-
/Post-COVID? A Qualitative Study in Primary Care. *Viruses* 2023;15(4) doi:
10.3390/v15041016 [published Online First: 20230420]
21. World Health Organization. Rehabilitation. 2023 [Available from: https://www.who.int/news-
room/fact-sheets/detail/rehabilitation accessed 28 September 2023.
22. NICE. COVID-19 rapid guideline: managing the longterm effects of COVID-19., 2022.
23. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a
32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2007;19(6):349-57.
doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzm042 [published Online First: 20070914]
24. Scottish Government. Scottish Government Urban Rural Classification 2020. 2022 [Available from:
https://www.gov.scot/publications/scottish-government-urban-rural-classification-2020/
accessed 05 October 2023.
25. Halcomb EJ, Davidson PM. Is verbatim transcription of interview data always necessary? *Appl*
Nurs Res 2006;19(1):38-42. doi: 10.1016/j.apnr.2005.06.001
26. Wellard S, McKenna L. Turning tapes into text: issues surrounding the transcription of interviews.
Contemp Nurse 2001;11(2-3):180-6. doi: 10.5172/conu.11.2-3.180
27. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, et al. Using the framework method for the analysis of qualitative
data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2013;13:117. doi:
10.1186/1471-2288-13-117 [published Online First: 20130918]
28. Goldsmith LJ. Using Framework Analysis in Applied Qualitative Research. 2021
29. Kennelly CE, Nguyen ATP, Sheikhan NY, et al. The lived experience of long COVID: A qualitative
study of mental health, quality of life, and coping. *PLoS One* 2023;18(10):e0292630. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0292630 [published Online First: 20231013]
30. Owen R, Ashton RE, Skipper L, et al. Long COVID quality of life and healthcare experiences in the
UK: a mixed method online survey. *Qual Life Res* 2023 doi: 10.1007/s11136-023-03513-y
[published Online First: 20230922]
31. Hastie CE, Lowe DJ, McAuley A, et al. Outcomes among confirmed cases and a matched
comparison group in the Long-COVID in Scotland study. *Nat Commun* 2022;13(1):5663. doi:
10.1038/s41467-022-33415-5 [published Online First: 20221012]
32. Okwera A BscPt M, May S Ma GDPFMP. Views of general practitioners toward physiotherapy
management of osteoarthritis-a qualitative study. *Physiother Theory Pract* 2019;35(10):940-
46. doi: 10.1080/09593985.2018.1459987 [published Online First: 20180416]
33. Rahja M, Laver K. What does the Australian public know about occupational therapy for older
people? A population survey. *Aust Occup Ther J* 2019;66(4):511-18. doi: 10.1111/1440-
1630.12578 [published Online First: 20190410]
34. Igwesi-Chidobe CN, Bishop A, Humphreys K, et al. Implementing patient direct access to
musculoskeletal physiotherapy in primary care: views of patients, general practitioners,

physiotherapists and clinical commissioners in England. *Physiotherapy* 2021;111:31-39. doi:
10.1016/j.physio.2020.07.002 [published Online First: 20200711]

800