- 1 **Tile:** A review on human gut microbial diversity in 21 tribal populations from India
- 2 **Authors:** Sayak Chakraborty[¶], Sahid Afrid Mollick[¶] and Bidyut Roy^{*}
- 3 Address: Physical Anthropology Section, Anthropological Survey of India, Sector V,
- 4 EN: 7-9, Salt Lake City, Kolkata 700091, India
- 5 *Corresponding Author: Bidyut Roy, E-mail: bidyutroy8933@gmail.com
- ⁶ [¶]These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 7 Short Title: Gut microbiome in Indian Tribal population
- 8
- 9 Key words: Gut microbiota, healthy tribal populations, India
- 10
- 11
- 12
- ...
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16

17 Abstract

18 From the earlier to modern times, the human populations passed through stages of 19 subsistence such as foraging, rural farming and industrialized urban life. Till date various tribal 20 people are living in geographically isolated areas depending on their traditional (gathering/rural 21 farming) food sources. The long term cultural practices and food habits shaped the gut microbiome composition in these populations and contributed to health. However, the 22 population-level study of the gut microbiota in Indian tribes with representative sampling across 23 its geography and subsistence are limited. So, it is important to understand the complexity and 24 25 diversity of the gut microbiome in healthy tribal populations, studied so far, to explore 26 relationship among food, gut microbiome and health. Here, we reviewed gut microbiome studies 27 which included 21 Indian tribal populations from different geographical regions to understand the role of food, ecology and cultural habits on variation of gut microbiota. 28

29 Introduction

The human gut microbiome consists of millions of distinct bacterial species [1] which 30 have given rise to one of the most complex ecosystems. Previous estimates of bacteria present 31 32 within an individual suggested that bacterial cells outnumber human cells by the ratio 150:1, but more recent estimates put the ratio at a more moderate level of 1:1 [2]. Human microbes 33 predominantly present in oral cavity and gut, where the majority of digestion and absorption of 34 35 nutrients takes place. They consist of bacteria, along with some archaea, eukaryotes, and viruses 36 [3] which co-evolved with their hosts to form intricate and mutually beneficial partners [4]. Over 37 the lifetime; diversity and abundance of gut microbiome vary depending on health, environment, 38 diet, diseases, age of individuals and other life style factors [5, 6, 7].

39 In India, human gut microbiota is fairly a recent domain of research which were conducted to 40 find diversity, variation with age [8], diet [9], obesity [10], and other diseases such as irritable (inflammatory) bowel syndrome [11]. Although few studies had been conducted in Indian tribes, 41 42 it was realized that India warrants vigorous and comprehensive research into the gut microbiome in diverse ethnic populations in relation to geographies, culture and customs and food and drink 43 habits [12]. Numerous pre-industrial and pre-agricultural tribal societies exist in India which has 44 been earmarked by the Government of India. Among total 705 Scheduled Tribes (ST); 75 are 45 identified as Particularly Vulnerable Tribal groups (PVTGs) [13]. These PVTGs, to an extent, 46 have maintained much of their traditional lifestyle and social practices, so, investigations of gut 47 microbiome in healthy PVTGs would be very useful data to understand health status in them. In 48 this review, we will enumerate gut microbial diversity in healthy Indian tribes, studied so far. 49

50 Materials and Methods

51 Previously published studies related to gut microbiome of healthy Indian tribal communities were searched. The entire process of exclusion and inclusion process of the research papers 52 53 reviewed in this study have been shown in a flowchart (Figure 1) prepared using PRISMA 2020 54 template. Different headings and keywords were used to search the articles; such as 'tribe', 55 'India', 'human gut microbiota', 'human gut microbiome', 'metagenomics', and 'human gut 56 microbial diversity'. A total number of 36 published articles were identified from electronic 57 databases such as Research Gate (n=1), and metadata services such as PubMed (n=25) and 58 Google Scholar (n=10). From these 36 articles, 14 records were removed before screening since they did not match the keywords perfectly, so a total of 22 papers were selected for scrutiny. 59 Again, one article was excluded due to the lack of proper description of quantitative data and 60

access to its supplementary files, so, 21 papers (8 from PubMed, 1 from Research Gate and 12 61 from Google Scholar) were considered for the scrutiny. Further, a total of 16 papers were 62 excluded for the reasons such as 4 articles overlapped with separate online sources, 8 articles had 63 insufficiency for the objective of the present review, and 4 articles did not have clear names of 64 the tribal populations. Finally, 5 articles fulfilled our inclusion criteria and, hence, considered for 65 the review and these publications reported 22 tribal populations and 1 non-tribal rural population 66 67 across 7 states of India (Figure 2; Table 1). But data from this non-tribal population was not considered in this review. 68 69

70

71

Table 1. Specifics of the studies reviewed here

Sl. No.	Publications used in this review	Studied Tribal Communities (21+1)	Sample Size	Region of the Study	Diet/Lifestyle/Mode of Subsistence	Differential Composition of bacteria (Phylum- level)	Proposed Influential Factors	Remarks, or Outcomes of the Study
1.	Dehingia et al. 2015 [14]	Korbi, Tai- Aiton, Bodo, Santal,Tai- Phake Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha	78 30 27	Assam Manipur Sikkim	Rice is the staple food for all the tribal groups, with variations in consumption of vegetables, fish, meat, legumes, whole grains, fruits, and tubers. The tribes from Manipur and	Firmicutes, Bacillota, Bacteroidetes, Actinomycetota, Proteobacteria	Geography and diet	Geography and diet had significant effect on the gut bacterial profile of these Indian tribes which were dominated by <i>Prevotella</i>

		Gond, Kolam, Navak, Kova	58	Telangana,	Sikkim consume a			
		Nayak, KOya			quantity of fermented			
		Total	193		foods, and dairy, along with dried and smoked fish and meat.			
2.	Ramadass et al. 2017 [15]	One Rural Population (Unknown Ethnic Group) One Rural Tribal Population (Malaiyali)	10	Tamil Nadu	Consume millets- based diet. Eat pork every day. Do not consume any dairy products.	Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria	Dietary habits	<i>Actinobacteria</i> were significantly low in this group.
		Total	20					
3.	Ganguli et al. 2019 [16]	Savar	3 (father, mother, and child)	West Bengal	Foragers, (essentially 'carnivores') and dependent on forest produce.	Verrucomicrobiota, Bacillota, Actinomycetota, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes	Geographic location and dietary habits	The propensity of transition of the gut microbial profiles from parents to son. Thus, revealing the parental contribution to the formation of the child's gut microbiome.
4.	Basu et al. 2022 [17]	Drukpa Bhutia	3 (father, mother, and child)	West Bengal	Intake of locally produced vegetables and fruits, along with liquor and fermented dairy products.	Bacteroidetes, Actinomycetota, Bacillota, Firmicutes, Pseudomonadota	Traditional dietary practice	Parental contribution in the formation of the child's gut microbiome.

		Adi			The Nyshi consume			
			10		cereals, millet,			
					leaves fish and			Higher gut
					icaves, fish, and			microbiome
		Anatani	10	-	meat. The Apatani			
		Apatani	10		tribe consume boiled	Verrucomicrobiota,		diversity with a
					unde consume boned	Firmicutes,	Dietary	high prevalence of
					vegetables, boiled			
	Hazarika et al	Nyshi	10	Arunachal	fish meat and dairy	Actinobacteria,	factors, and	<i>Prevotella</i> and
5.	Hazarika et al.			Arunachar	fish, fileat, and dairy	Proteobacteria,	the overall	Collinsella in the
	2022 [18]			Pradesh	products. All three			
					tribes also consume	Fusobacteria,	state of	Adi and the Nyshi.
						Elusimicrobia,	health	Bifidobacterium
					fermented bamboo			
		Total			shoots smoked pork	Bacteroidetes		and
			30		·····, ······ F ····,			Catenibacterium in
					and smoked fish.			the Anotoni
					Rice is their main			the Apatani.
					staple cereal.			

72

73

74

Table 2. Methods employed in the reported studies

Sl. No.	Publications	Sample size (Age ranges)	Study Objectives	Specimen Type	Techniques
1.	Dehingia et al. 2015 [14]	193 (20-35 years)	Gut bacterial diversity of the tribes	Faecal samples	DNA was extracted from the faecal samples using QIAGEN DNA Stool Mini-Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Sequencing of the V3- V4 regions of 16S rRNA was done on the Illumina MiSeq Platform.
2.	Ramadass et al. 2017 [15]	20 (18-60 years)	Comparison of faecal microbiota of healthy adults in south India among the tribal and non-tribal	Faecal samples	DNA was extracted from approximately faecal samples using QIAamp DNA stool mini kits. Sequencing the V3-V4 regions of 16s rRNA was done using Roche 454 GLX Titanium

			population		sequencer (Roche Diagnostics GmbH)
					DNA isolation was done following the THSTI
2	Ganguli et al. 2019	3 (7, 26, and	Gut microbiome of a foraging	Faecal	Method given by Bag et al. (2016) [19]. NGS
5.	[16]	30 years)	tribe.	samples	Sequencing was done in the Illumina Hiseq
					sequencing platform.
					DNA isolation was done following the THSTI
4	Basu et al. 2022	3 (5, 27, and	Gut microbiome of a tribal	Faecal	Method given by Bag et al. (2016) [19]. NGS
4.	[17]	29 years)	population	samples	Sequencing was done in the Illumina Hiseq
					sequencing platform.
					DNA was extracted from stool samples using
5	Hazarika et al.	30 (20-60	Gut microbiome of a tribal	Faecal	the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit. Sequencing
5.	2022 [18]	years)	community	samples	of the V3- V4 regions of 16S rRNA was done
					on the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform.

75

In these studies, fecal samples were collected aseptically from all individuals, kept at -20° or -80 ^o as reported and used for bacterial DNA isolation using different methods (Table 2). Segment of bacterial ribosomal 16S DNA were PCR amplified and sequenced in different NGS platforms to find the diversity of gut bacteria in individuals of these populations. Analyzed data of the phyla and genera of the bacteria were taken from the reported papers. Heat maps of the bacterial phyla and genera of the samples were tabulated in MS Excel 2010 (Figure 3, Figure 4) using PAST 4.04.

83

84

85 Analysis and Results

All reported articles mainly attempted to address the gut microbial diversity in these tribal populations based on three major factors such as dietary and cultural habits, ethnicity, and

geographic location (Table 1). Diet and social drinks has been found to be different in different tribes based on their geography and ethnicity. The North-East Indian tribes, including Sikkim, were found to have similar food habits, though they belongs to different geo-political boundaries and shared ethnic affiliation. Sample sizes in the studies were different ranging from 193 [14] to merely 3 [16, 17].

Thirty-four bacterial genera, belonging to 10 phyla, have been reported in these studies (Figure 3 93 and Figure 4). Except Hazarika et al. (2022) [18], remaining studies reported presence of 3 94 bacterial phyla *Bacteroidetes* (generally known by its more popular synonym *Bacteroidota*), 95 96 Firmicutes, and Bacillota. However, Bacillota is presently considered to be a synonym of 97 Firmicutes [20]. So, only 2 phyla (Bacteroidota and Bacillota) were found across all the studied 98 populations. Apart from the above-mentioned 2 phyla, 8 other bacterial phyla (Actinomycetota, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Spirochaetes, 99 Elusimicrobia, Pseudomonadota, 100 *Verrucomicrobiota*, and *Fusobacteria*) have been reported in these tribal populations, irrespective of ethnicity, geography and dietary habits. Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and 101 102 *Bacteroidetes* were the most abundant bacterial phyla reported in these populations. 103 Additionally, few North-East Indian tribes (Adi, Apatani, Nyshi) and one South Indian tribal 104 group (Malaiyali) had Actinobacteria. One tribe (Drukpa Bhutia) from West Bengal had Pseudomonadota. The phylum Fusobacteria were only found in North-East Indian tribes (Adi, 105 Apatani, and Nyshi) from Arunachal Pradesh (Figure 3). 106

107 At the genus-level, the most abundant bacteria were *Faecalibacterium* and *Roseburia* (both from 108 phylum *Bacillota*) which have been reported in all the tribal populations mentioned here. The 109 bacterial genera of *Ruminococcus* (phylum *Bacillota*), *Prevotella* (phylum *Bacteroidetes*), 110 *Blautia* (phylum *Bacillota*) were present in nearly all studied populations, followed by Bifidobacterium (phylum Actinomycetota) and Eubacterium (phylum Bacillota). The bacterial
genera with minimal presence, (i.e. occurring in only one tribal community) were: Akkermansia
(in Savar), Odoribacter (in Savar), Parabacteroides (in Savar), Veillonella (in Drukpa Bhutia),
Subduligranulim (in Malaiyali), Proteus (in Drukpa Bhutia) and Enterococcus (in Drukpa
Bhutia) (Figure 4).

The core gut bacteria in the tribes of Telangana and Assam consisted of Prevotella, 116 Faecalibacterium, Eubacterium, Clostridium, Blautia, Collinsella, Ruminococcus 117 and Roseburia. Additionally, the bacterial genera Bacteroides, Dialister and Veillonella were also 118 119 found to be core bacteria in the tribes of Manipur. Bacteroides, Dialister, Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus were the genera found in the tribes of Sikkim. The Adi and Nyshi tribes of 120 121 Arunachal Pradesh had high prevalence of *Prevotella* and *Collinsella*, but Apatani tribe had high prevalence of *Bifidobacterium* and *Catenibacterium*, even though these tribes were living in the 122 123 same geographical region and had similar dietary practices. In Savar and Drukpa Bhutia tribes Bengal: 124 from West Bacteroides, Bifidobacterium, Streptococcus, Faecalibactenum, Ruminococcus, Prevotella, Roseburia, Collinsella were the most common bacterial genus found 125 126 in both the communities despite they were living in separate geographic regions of the state and had different dietary practices (Figure 2 and Figure 4). 127

128 Discussion

India has become a melting pot where the different lifestyle factors and food choices are being infiltrated in many indigenous and traditional lifestyles even in tribal communities [14] with the alteration of the gut microbiome profile [16, 18]. Although some communities still remained isolated so there are some chances to study gut bacterial diversity in these isolated

133 tribal populations to compare the future changes in gut bacterial diversity due to food and life 134 styles factors. Striking disparities between the gut microbial taxa of urban populations and rural tribal populations have also been reported [21] which indicate such shifts may have been caused 135 136 by different dietary and lifestyle practices. Dehingia et al. (2015) [14] claimed that geographical locations, culture and diet had significant influence on gut bacterial profile of the Indian tribes 137 which were dominated by the genus *Prevotella*. The Drukpa Bhutia tribe in north of West Bengal 138 139 followed traditional agriculture based diet along with some foraging and livestock rearing products [17] and the Savar tribe in south of West Bengal led a relatively more foraging lifestyle 140 141 [16], but both of them retained their ancestral gut microbiome profile since they were not impacted much by external influences such as present-day life style factors and food. These two 142 studies, on Drukpa Bhutia and Savar, also claimed parental contribution to the composition of 143 144 the child's gut microbiota.

145 The Adi, Apatani, and Nyshi communities seemed to have same bacterial phyla (Supplementary Table 1, Figure 3) and genera (Supplementary Table 1, Figure 4) in their gut. This might be due 146 147 to similar dietary patterns supported by agricultural subsistence economy (Table 2) and shared 148 highland ecology of Arunachal Pradesh. In addition, all of them share a common ancestral cultural identity given that they are all speakers of the Tani sub-group of languages in the Sino-149 Tibetan language family [22]. These three indigenous groups from Arunachal Pradesh are also 150 151 unique in the context that they had the highest diversity of phyla among all indigenous studied 152 tribes (Figure 3). An interesting observation was that apart from the three aforementioned tribes of Arunachal Pradesh; the Drukpa Bhutia and the Savar from West Bengal; the Malaiyalis 153 154 from Tamil Nadu and other indigenous communities, spread across the states of Manipur, Assam, Telangana, and Tamil Nadu contained the same five bacterial phyla (Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, 155

Bacteroidetes, Actinomyctetota, and Bacillota) (Figure 3) in their gut samples. So, similarities of phyla were observed in tribal populations living over such a large ecological range and unrelated linguistic communities (such as Tibeto-Burmese, Dravidian, and Austro-Asiatic groups) but there were differences at the level of the bacterial genera in these populations (Figure 4).

160 Faecalibacterium and Roseburia (both from phylum Bacillota) have been reported to be present 161 in the gut of all the tribal groups. Faecalibacterium (its sole species, F. prausnutzii) is known to be a beneficial bacterium in the human gut as it produces butyrate (a small chain fatty acid) in 162 the intestine for the metabolism of sugar. Further, it helps in protecting diseases of the bowel 163 164 such as Crohn's Disease [23] and irritable bowel disease [24]. Roseburia has similar functions 165 like Faecalibacterium. For optimum performance, Faecalibacterium requires presence of some 166 other bacteria such as *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* in gut [25] in order to make more butyrate production by Faecalibacterium. Among the populations mentioned in the review, 14 out of 21 167 168 tribes had Bacteroides alongside Faecalibacterium in gut.

169 The tribes in Telangana [14] seem to have the same 5 bacterial phyla (Firmicutes, 170 Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Actinomycetota, and Bacillota) in their guts and share 18 similar 171 bacterial genera. Among them; Gond, Kolam, and Koya are Dravidian-speaking communities 172 which are enlisted as Scheduled Tribes of India, however the identity of the fourth tribe, Nayak, is not clear. Nayak or Naik in Telangana is a surname adopted by various communities [26] and 173 may not be a single tribal identity. The gut microbial composition of three Tibeto-Burmese tribes 174 (i.e. Nepali, Bhutia, and Lepcha) of Sikkim showed presence of 5 common bacterial phyla 175 176 (Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Actinomycetota, and Bacillota) (Figure 4) and 20 177 common genera. It may be noted that bacterial phyla present in the tribes of Telangana and Sikkim are similar, despite their geographic, culture, dietary and ethnic differences. 178

179 The Savars of West Bengal happened to have three genera of bacteria unique to them: 180 Akkermansia (phylum Verrucomicrobia), Odoribacter and Parabacteroides (both from phylum 181 Bacteroidetes). Akkermansia has been reported to have several benefits towards human health 182 such as reduction of body weight, resistance towards bowel diseases, and even cancer [27]. A decreased level of Odoribacter in the human gut has been related to various microbiota-183 associated diseases such as non-alcoholic fatty liver, cystic fibrosis, and inflammatory bowel 184 disease [28]. Abundance of *Parabacteroides* in the gut is known to be useful in the fight against 185 obesity and other gut-associated diseases [29, 30, 31]. On the other hand, the Drukpa Bhutia 186 187 from the northern part of West Bengal had unique genera: Veillonella, Enterococcus (phylum 188 Bacillota), and Proteus (phylum Pseudomonadota). But Veillonella helps in enhancement of performance of individuals via lactic acid metabolism and production of sugar [32]. The Drukpa 189 190 Bhutia inhabits at remote regions of semi-forested land. The occurrence of a bacterium associated with work performance enhancement may be related to the dairy consumption which 191 192 is a key source of sugar for energy. *Enterococcus* is infamous for its virulence and association 193 with various diseases such as sepsis, urinary tract infections, and meningitis within the human body [33]. Its occurrence in the gut of Drukpa Bhutia did not reveal any associated disease and 194 may require further detailed investigation with more samples and involvement of clinicians. 195 Similarly, *Proteus* is another pathogenic bacterium and causes urinary tract infections, so further 196 investigation is very urgent. 197

While considering the details of the research papers reviewed, it was noticed that these studies had several limitations. Ramadass et al. (2017) [15] used two broad sample groups, one consisting of individuals from the Malaiyali community (termed as a 'rural tribal population') and a 'rural population'. The "rural population" hints a generic term used to designate

202 individuals of a non-homogeneous non-tribal group consisted of individuals from different 203 religion, caste, etc. Using such a sample of non-homogeneous rural inhabitants to compare with 204 one single homogeneous tribal community, inhabiting the same region/district in Tamil Nadu, 205 may yield the spurious results. So, data of this "rural population" was excluded from this review. After all; abundance, composition, richness and evenness of human gut microbiota depends on 206 lifestyle and dietary pattern specific to communities. Dehingia et al. (2015) [14] used a large 207 208 sample size (n = 193) from 15 tribal communities. But they mentioned that these tribal 209 communities belonged to different states with low sample size in each state: Assam (5 communities, n = 78), Manipur (3 communities, n = 30), Sikkim (3 communities, n = 30), and 210 Telangana (4 communities, n = 58). The lack of availability of sample size of each community 211 and non-uniformity of sample size in each community limited the study for comparing tribal 212 213 specific gut microbiome. Those studies seem to be preliminary reports since none of them 214 mentioned the sample size required for this type of study with sufficient power. In case of the studies on the Savar [16] and Drukpa Bhutia [17], from West Bengal, the sample sizes were very 215 216 low (n = 3) and constituted a single nuclear family unit (mother, father and a child). These two papers aimed at visualizing the diversity of bacterial phyla and genera in the guts of these 217 families and discussing the passage of the bacterial genera/taxa from parents to child in the same 218 219 family. The researchers should have considered several nuclear family units of mother, father, 220 and child to get proper statistical power and similarity index (Czekanowski Cofficient and Squared Euclidean Distance) to know the passing of bacterial taxa and genera from parents to 221 child. 222

223 Conclusion

224 Healthy tribal groups of India are important populations to find bacterial diversity in gut 225 microbiota since most of them living in isolated places without much mixing with urban 226 populations. Relative similarity in the core gut microbiota of tribes was noted, considering their 227 close geographical proximity, similar dietary practices and common ethno-linguistic group. Although, Chaudhari et al. (2020) [34] reported association of age with the frequencies of 228 different gut bacteria, but we could not study changes of bacterial genus as the age changes since 229 230 in these populations since sample sizes were low and detailed demographic details were not 231 available. Further studies on gut microbiota of isolated tribal groups can help to reveal the role of gut microbiota towards the health and predisposition towards gastrointestinal diseases and 232 discovery of probiotic markers for therapeutic purpose. 233

234

Author Contribution: SC: Wrote draft of paper, Figures and Tables, SAM: Initial draft,
searching of related review articles, Initial Figures and Tables; BR: Final paper, Figures and
Tables

238

Acknowledgement: SC and SAM received SRF from Anthropological Survey of India during
this study. BR: Received R N Tagore Scholarship from Ministry of Culture, Government of India
to work at Anthropological Survey of India, Kolkata.

242

243

244 **References**

245	1.	Sankar, S.A., Lagier, J.C., Pontarotti, P., Raoult, D. and Fournier, P.E., 2015. The human
246		gut microbiome, a taxonomic conundrum. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 38(4),
247		p.276-286.
248	2.	Sender, R., Fuchs, S. and Milo, R., 2016. Are we really vastly outnumbered? Revisiting
249		the ratio of bacterial to host cells in humans. Cell, 164(3), p.337-340.
250	3.	Matijašić, M., Meštrović, T., Čipčić Paljetak, H., Perić, M., Barešić, A. and Verbanac, D.,
251		2020. Gut microbiota beyond bacteria-mycobiome, virome, archaeome, and eukaryotic
252		parasites in IBD. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 21(8), p.2668.
253	4.	Thursby, E. and Juge, N., 2017. Introduction to the human gut microbiota. Biochemical
254		Journal, 474(11), p.1823-1836.
255	5.	Yatsunenko, T., Rey, F.E., Manary, M.J., Trehan, I., Dominguez-Bello, M.G., Contreras,
256		M., Magris, M., Hidalgo, G., Baldassano, R.N., Anokhin, A.P. and Heath, A.C., 2012.
257		Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature, 486(7402), p.222-
258		227.
259	6.	Karlsson, F., Tremaroli, V., Nielsen, J. and Bäckhed, F., 2013. Assessing the human gut
260		microbiota in metabolic diseases. Diabetes, 62(10), p.3341-3349.
261	7.	David, L.A., Maurice, C.F., Carmody, R.N., Gootenberg, D.B., Button, J.E., Wolfe, B.E.,
262		Ling, A.V., Devlin, A.S., Varma, Y., Fischbach, M.A. and Biddinger, S.B., 2014. Diet
263		rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. Nature, 505(7484), p.559-
264		563.
265	8.	Marathe, N., Shetty, S., Lanjekar, V., Ranade, D. and Shouche, Y., 2012. Changes in
266		human gut flora with age: an Indian familial study. BMC Microbiology, 12, p.1-10.

267	9. Kabeerdoss, J., Jayakanthan, P., Pugazhendhi, S. and Ramakrishna, B.S., 2015.
268	Alterations of mucosal microbiota in the colon of patients with inflammatory bowel
269	disease revealed by real time polymerase chain reaction amplification of 16S ribosomal
270	ribonucleic acid. The Indian Journal of Medical Research, 142(1), p.23.
271	10. Patil, D.P., Dhotre, D.P., Chavan, S.G., Sultan, A., Jain, D.S., Lanjekar, V.B.,
272	Gangawani, J., Shah, P.S., Todkar, J.S., Shah, S. and Ranade, D.R., 2012. Molecular
273	analysis of gut microbiota in obesity among Indian individuals. Journal of Biosciences,
274	37, p.647-657.
275	11. Verma, R., Verma, A.K., Ahuja, V. and Paul, J., 2010. Real-time analysis of mucosal
276	flora in patients with inflammatory bowel disease in India. Journal of Clinical
277	Microbiology, 48(11), p.4279-4282.
278	12. Shetty, S.A., Marathe, N.P. and Shouche, Y.S., 2013. Opportunities and challenges for
279	gut microbiome studies in the Indian population. Microbiome, 1(1), p.1-12.
280	13. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India. 2001, 2011. Census of India.
281	14. Dehingia, M., Thangjam Devi, K., Talukdar, N.C., Talukdar, R., Reddy, N., Mande, S.S.,
282	Deka, M. and Khan, M.R., 2015. Gut bacterial diversity of the tribes of India and
283	comparison with the worldwide data. Scientific Reports, 5(1), p.18563.
284	15. Ramadass, B., Rani, B.S., Pugazhendhi, S., John, K.R. and Ramakrishna, B.S., 2017.
285	Faecal microbiota of healthy adults in south India: comparison of a tribal & a rural
286	population. The Indian Journal of Medical Research, 145(2), p.237.
287	16. Ganguli, S., Pal, S., Das, K., Banerjee, R. and Bagchi, S.S., 2019. Gut microbial dataset
288	of a foraging tribe from rural West Bengal-insights into unadulterated and transitional
289	microbial abundance. Data in Brief, 25, p.103963.

290	17. Basu, S., Das, K., Ghosh, M.M., Banerjee, R., Bagchi, S.S. and Ganguli, S., 2022. First
291	report of gut bacterial dataset of a tribal Bhutia family from West Bengal, India. Data in
292	<i>Brief</i> , 41, p.107859.
293	18. Hazarika, P., Chattopadhyay, I., Umpo, M., Choudhury, Y. and Sharma, I., 2022.
294	Elucidating the gut microbiome alterations of tribal community of Arunachal Pradesh:
295	perspectives on their lifestyle or food habits. Scientific Reports, 12(1), p.18296.
296	19. Bag, S., Saha, B., Mehta, O., Anbumani, D., Kumar, N., Dayal, M., Pant, A., Kumar, P.,
297	Saxena, S., et al. 2016. An Improved Method for High Quality Metagenomics DNA
298	Extraction from Human and Environmental Samples. Scientific Reports, 6, p.26775.
299	20. Oren, A. and Garrity, G.M., 2021. Valid publication of new names and new combinations
300	effectively published outside the IJSEM. International Journal of Systematic and
301	Evolutionary Microbiology, 71(11), p.005096.
302	21. Singh, R., Haque, M.M. and Mande, S.S., 2019. Lifestyle-induced microbial gradients: an
303	Indian perspective. Frontiers in Microbiology, 10, p.2874.
304	22. Sun, T. J. (1993). A Historical-Comparative Study of the Tani (Mirish) Branch in Tibeto-
305	Burman. University of California, Berkeley.
306	23. Wright, E.K., Kamm, M.A., Teo, S.M., Inouye, M., Wagner, J. and Kirkwood, C.D.,
307	2015. Recent advances in characterizing the gastrointestinal microbiome in Crohn's
308	disease: a systematic review. Inflammatory Bowel Diseases, 21(6), p.1219-1228.
309	24. Lopez-Siles, M., Duncan, S.H., Garcia-Gil, L.J. and Martinez-Medina, M., 2017.
310	Faecalibacterium prausnitzii: from microbiology to diagnostics and prognostics. The
311	<i>ISME Journal</i> , 11(4), p.841-852.

	312	25. Wrzosek.	L. Mi	auel. S.	. Noordine.	M.L	Bouet. S	S., Chevali	er-Curt.	M.J.,	Robert.	1
--	-----	--------------	-------	----------	-------------	-----	----------	-------------	----------	-------	---------	---

- Philippe, C., Bridonneau, C., Cherbuy, C., Robbe-Masselot, C. and Langella, P., 2013.
- 314 Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii influence the production
- of mucus glycans and the development of goblet cells in the colonic epithelium of a
- 316 gnotobiotic model rodent. *BMC Biology*, 11, p.1-13.
- 26. Singh, K. S., 1993. *Ethnography, Customary Law, and Change*. Concept Publishing
 Company. p.249.
- 27. Jayachandran, M., Chung, S.S.M. and Xu, B., 2020. A critical review of the relationship
 between dietary components, the gut microbe Akkermansia muciniphila, and human
 health. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 60(13), p.2265-2276.
- 28. Hiippala, K., Barreto, G., Burrello, C., Diaz-Basabe, A., Suutarinen, M., Kainulainen, V.,
 Bowers, J.R., Lemmer, D., Engelthaler, D.M., Eklund, K.K. and Facciotti, F., 2020.
 Novel Odoribacter splanchnicus strain and its outer membrane vesicles exert
 immunoregulatory effects in vitro. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11, p.575455.
- 326 **29.** Wang, K., Liao, M., Zhou, N., Bao, L., Ma, K., Zheng, Z., Wang, Y., Liu, C., Wang, W.,
- Wang, J., Liu, S. J., Liu, H., 2019. *Parabacteroides distasonis* Alleviates Obesity and Metabolic Dysfunctions via Production of Succinate and Secondary Bile Acids. *Cell Reports*, 26(1), p.222–235.
- 330 **30.** Wu, T.R., Lin, C.S., Chang, C.J., Lin, T.L., Martel, J., Ko, Y.F., Ojcius, D.M., Lu, C.C.,
- 331 Young, J.D. and Lai, H.C., 2019. Gut commensal *Parabacteroides goldsteinii* plays a
- predominant role in the anti-obesity effects of polysaccharides isolated from *Hirsutella*
- *sinensis. Gut*, 68(2), p.248-262.

334	31. Zeng, Q., Li, D., He, Y., Li, Y., Yang, Z., Zhao, X., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Sun, J., Feng, X.
335	et al., 2019.Discrepant gut microbiota markers for the classification of obesity-related
336	metabolic abnormalities. Sci Rep 9, 13424.
337	32. Scheiman, J., Luber, J.M., Chavkin, T.A., MacDonald, T., Tung, A., Pham, L.D.,
338	Wibowo, M.C., Wurth, R.C., Punthambaker, S., Tierney, B.T. and Yang, Z., 2019. Meta-
339	omics analysis of elite athletes identifies a performance-enhancing microbe that functions
340	via lactate metabolism. Nature Medicine, 25(7), p.1104-1109.
341	33. Murray, B E., 1990. The life and times of the Enterococcus. Clinical Microbiology
342	<i>Reviews</i> , 3(1), p.46-65.
343	34. Chaudhari, D. S., Dhotre, D. P., Agarwal, D. M., Gaike, A. H., Bhalerao, D., Jadhav, P.
344	et al. (2020). Gut, oral and skin microbiome of Indian patrilineal families reveal
345	perceptible association with age. Scientific Reports, 10(1), p.5685.



Arunachal Pradesh (Adi, Apatani & Nyishi)
Tamil Nadu (Malaiyali)
Sikkim (Nepali, Lepcha & Bhutia)
Assam (Korbi, Tai-Aiton, Bodo, Santal & Tai-Phake)
Telangana (Gond, Kolam, Nayak & Koya)
West Bengal (Savar & Drukpa Bhutia)
Manipur (Meitei, Kuki & Tangkhul)

N



Phascolarctobacterium Faecalibacterium Catenibacterium Parabacteroides Subdoligranulim Bifidobacterium ^rlavobacterium Staphylococcus Lactobacillus Ruminococcus Streptococcus Enterococcus Megasphaera Akkermansia Bacteroides Coprococcus Enterobacter Eubacterium Lachnospira Butyrivibrio Clostridium Odoribacter Eggerthella Citrobacter Collinsella Prevotella Roseburia Veillonella Bulleidia Dialister Alistipes Proteus Blautia Dorea

	Adi (Bazarika et al., 2022)
Armachal Pradech	Apatini (Hazarika et al., 2022)
	Nyshi (Hazarika et al., 2022)
	Korbi (Dekingia et al., 2015)
	Tai-Aiton (Dehingia et al., 2015)
Assam	Bodo (Dekingia et al., 2015)
	Santal (Dehingia et al., 2015)
	Tai-Phake (Dekingia et al., 2015)
	Meitei (Dehingia et al., 2015)
Manipur	Kuki (Dehingia et al., 2015)
	Tangkhul (Dehingia et al., 2015)
	Nepali (Dekingin et al., 2013)
-	Bhutin (Debingin et al., 2015)
	Lepena (Dehingin et al., 2015)
West Bengel	Savar (Ganguli et al., 2019)
	Drukpa Bhutia (Basu et al., 2022)
Tamil Nada	Malayali(Ramadass et al., 2017)
Telangana	Gond (Dehingia et al., 2015)
	Kolam (Dehingia et al., 2015)
	Nayak (Dekingia et al., 2015)
	Koya (Dehingia et al., 2015)

Bacterial genera absent

Bacterial genera present

Nil

Figure 1. Flowchart for the selection of the research papers considered in the present review

Figure 2. Geographical locations/states of the tribal communities whose gut microbiome has been studied

Figure 3. Phylum of the bacteria present in the studied tribes

Figure 4. Genus of the bacteria present in the studied tribes

Supplementary Table: 1

Sl. No.	Phylum	Genera	Tribal Population
1	Actinobacteria	-	Malaiyali, Adi, Apatani, Nyshi
		Bifidobacterium	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Drukpa Bhutia, Savar, Korbi, Tai- Aiton, Bodo, Santal, Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya
2	Actinomycetota	Collinsella	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Drukpa Bhutia, Savar, Korbi, Tai- Aiton, Bodo, Santal,Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya, Gond
		Egerthella	Drukpa Bhutia, Adi, Apatani, Nyshi
		Faecalibacterium	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Drukpa Bhutia, Savar, Korbi, Tai- Aiton, Bodo, Santal,Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya,Gond, Malaiyali
3		Roseburia	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Drukpa Bhutia, Savar, Korbi, Tai- Aiton, Bodo, Santal, Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya,Gond, Malaiyali
		Ruminococcus	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Drukpa Bhutia, Savar, Korbi, Tai- Aiton, Bodo, Santal, Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya,Gond
	Bacillota	Blautia	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Drukpa Bhutia, Korbi, Tai-Aiton, Bodo, Santal,Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya,Gond, Malaiyali
		Eubacterium	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Savar, Korbi, Tai-Aiton, Bodo, Santal,Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya,Gond
		Enterococcus	Drukpa Bhutia
		Clostridium	Savar, Korbi, Tai-Aiton, Bodo, Santal, Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya,Gond, Malaiyali
		Dialister	Savar, Korbi, Tai-Aiton, Bodo, Santal, Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak,

Phylum and genera of the various gut microbes present in the studied tribal populations

			Koya,Gond
		Veillonella	Drukpa Bhutia
		Lactobacillus	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Drukpa Bhutia, Korbi, Tai-Aiton, Bodo, Santal, Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya
		Catenibacterium	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Savar
		Streptococcus	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Savar, Drukpa Bhutia, Malaiyali
		Bulleidia	Savar, Drukpa Bhutia
		Coprococcus	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Drukpa Bhutia
		Dorea	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi
		Lachnospira	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Savar, Drukpa Bhutia
		Staphylococcus	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi
		Subdoligranulum	Malaiyali
		Phascolarctobacterium	Drukpa Bhutia, Korbi, Kolam, Gond, Nayak, Koya
		Butyrivibrio	Korbi, Tai-Aiton, Bodo, Santal, Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Bhutia, Kolam, Gond, Nayak, Koya
		Megasphaera	Korbi, Santal, Gond, Kolam
	Bacteroidetes	Prevotella	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Savar, Korbi, Tai-Aiton, Bodo, Santal,Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya,Gond, Malaiyali
		Odoribacter	Savar
4		Parabacteroides	Savar
		Bacteroides	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Tai-Aiton, Bodo, Tai-Phake, Meitei, Bhutia, Drukpa Bhutia, Kolam, Gond, Nayak, Koya
		Flavobacterium	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi
		Alistipes	Korbi, Bodo, Santal, Tai-Phake, Meitei, Nepali, Nayak
5	Elusimicrobia	-	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi
6	Firmicutes	-	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Drukpa Bhutia, Savar, Korbi, Tai- Aiton, Bodo, Santal, Tai-Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya, Gond, Malaiyali

7	Fusobacteria	-	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi
8	Proteobacteria	_	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Korbi, Tai-Aiton, Bodo, Santal, Tai- Phake, Meitei, Kuki, Tangkhul, Nepali, Bhutia, Lepcha, Kolam, Nayak, Koya,Gond, Malaiyali
		Enterobacter	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi, Malaiyali
9	Pseudomonadota	Proteus	Drukpa Bhutia
		Citrobacter	Adi, Apatani, Nyshi
10	Verrucomicrobia	Akkermansia	Savar