Moving together - Effect of a 12-week online dance training intervention on static and dynamic postural stability and gait speed in older adults – a pre-post intervention study

Rasmus Kopp Hansen[1], Elizabeth Jochum[2], Ditte Egholm[2], Morten Villumsen[3,4,5], Rogerio Pessoto Hirata[1]

[1]ExerciseTech, Department of Health Science and Technology, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark. [2]Department of Communication and Psychology, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark. [3]Head of Center for Orthopaedic Rehabilitation, Marselisborg Rehabilitation Center, Aarhus Municipality, Aarhus, Denmark. [4]Head of Center for Fall Prevention, Marselisborg Rehabilitation Center, Aarhus Municipality, Aarhus, Denmark. [5]Pain and Motor System Plasticity, Department of Health Science and Technology, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark.

Running title: Online dance training and postural and gait stability in older adults

Total number of words in the paper (excl. tables and figures): 3000

Total number of references: 40

Corresponding Author:

Rasmus Kopp Hansen, Ph.D.

Department of Health Science and Technology,

Aalborg University

Selma Lagerløfs Vej 249, DK-9260 Gistrup, Denmark

Telephone: +45 20892317

E-mail: rkopp@hst.aau.dk

Abstract (298/300)

Background Physical inactivity negatively affects gait performance and postural stability in older adults, which results in a higher risk of fall accidents. Previous research has shown that in-person dance training improves various aspects of balance and lower extremity function, however, the potential benefits of dance training delivered online on variables used for fall risk stratification in older adults have yet to be studied.

Research question What are the effects of a 12-week online dance training intervention on static and dynamic postural stability and gait speed in older adults?

Methods Forty-five older adults were included. The 12-week dance training intervention consisted of two weekly 60-min classes in improvisation and salsa delivered online through Zoom video calls. Static and dynamic postural stability was assessed using the center of pressure (CoP) area and velocity (force platform), and the Mini Balance Evaluation Systems Test (Mini-BESTest), respectively. 10-m gait speed was measured using photo gates. Before and after comparisons were performed using paired sample t-tests.

Results Thirty-two older adults completed the study. There were no significant changes for static postural stability assessed by CoP area or velocity ($P \geq 0.218$). The Mini-BESTest total score was significantly improved at post-intervention (23.88 ± 3.01) compared to baseline (22.56 ± 1.41, $P = 0.007$). 10-m gait speed was significantly faster at post-intervention (1.68 ± 0.25 m/s) compared to baseline (1.57 ± 0.22 m/s, $P < 0.001$).

Significance These results indicate that online dance training has limited effect on static postural stability but is beneficial for improvements in gait speed and in particular dynamic postural control among older adults. While the absolute increase in gait speed observed in the present study suggests limited clinical relevance, the improvement in Mini-BESTest score was above the minimal

clinically important difference, which suggests a clinically relevant enhancement of dynamic postural control.

Keywords

Online dance training; physical activity; postural stability; gait speed; older adults.

Highlights

- Gait speed and postural stability are important for fall risk stratification.
- Online dance training improves dynamic postural stability in older adults.
- Limited impact on static postural stability and gait speed were observed.
- The real effect on falls accidents from the intervention remains to be determined.
- Online instruction may be used for physical activity promotion among older adults.

Introduction

Medical costs related to falls in older adults were estimated to be approximately $50 billion per year in the USA alone [1]. This is a concerning public health problem, as around 30% of the older adult population is expected to experience an accidental fall within a one-year time period [2]. Common outcomes from fall accidents in older adults are: head injuries [3], fear of falling [4], loss of physical independence [5], increased healthcare utilization [6], and mortality [7], among others.

Physical training has been shown to improve risk factors and prevent fall accidents among older adults by focusing on improving gait and balance stability deficits [8]. In the current world guidelines for fall prevention, dynamic gait evaluations are used for stratification of older adults in relation to fall risk [9]. The Mini Balance Evaluation Systems Test (Mini-BESTest) has been extensively used in clinical settings to evaluate anticipatory and reactive postural responses and gait dynamics [10] due to its high construct validity and ability to discriminate between groups with respect to fall risk in older adults [11]. Furthermore, low gait speed has previously been correlated with increased risk for accidental fall in community-dwelling older adults [12], a risk that is specifically amplified when gait speed is lower than 1 m/s [13]. Jointly, these studies indicate the importance of physically active aging in preventing fall accidents.

Physical inactivity results in physiological deficits that affect gait performance in older adults [14]. Furthermore, the degree of physical inactivity in older adults can predict slow gait speed in older adults [15]. This is concerning, especially following the COVID-19 pandemic when levels of physical activity reduced dramatically worldwide [16]. As a result, numerous initiatives have been undertaken to enhance the physical fitness of older adults through home-based and digital solutions, which have proven to be effective for combating physical inactivity among this

population [17]. More specifically, home-based training may improve postural stability, lower limb strength, and function in older adults [18].

According to the systematic review of 18 studies by Hwang et al. [19], dance training is a valuable health promotion intervention for supporting healthy aging and addressing risk factors with declining health, including fall prevention. Specifically, dancing is an enjoyable physical activity that can be tailored to different cultural and aging backgrounds, and physical needs of the older adults; can improve physical health outcomes; and has the potential to enhance emotional, psychological, and social well-being. Previous studies for in-person dance training have reported improved medial-lateral balance [20], reduced dependency of visual input for balance maintenance [21], reduced attention demands during postural tasks [20], and improved lower extremity function in older adults after as little as one weekly dance class for 12 weeks [22]. While the benefits of in-person dance training are well established, less is known about the potential benefits of dance training delivered digitally (online) [23], as virtually no studies exploring whether this type of training may improve variables used for fall risk stratification in older adults, such as gait speed and postural stability.

Therefore, the aim of the study was to evaluate the effect of a 12-week online dance training intervention the, *Moving Together* training program (MT), on static and dynamic postural stability and gait speed. Static postural stability is here defined as the ability to hold an up-right position as still as possible over a stable base of support, while dynamic postural stability is the ability to maintain balance, i.e., keeping the body's center of mass vertical projection within the base of support, when changes in the base of support occur [24]. Throughout the evaluation of the program's effects on these specific physical aspects, the study also seeks to contribute with valuable insights into the literature of potential benefits of online dance training in functional performance of

older adults. The hypothesis was that static postural stability, dynamic postural stability, and gait speed would improve after 12 weeks of the online MT intervention.

Methods

Participants

Forty-five older adults were included in the pre-post study (44 females; 74 ± 5.3 years; 69.7 ± 13.0 kg; 1.62 ± 0.58 m). The participants were recruited through advertisements posted at activity centers for older adults in [redacted] Municipality, through information meetings, by advertisement in the press, and through flyers handled out in public spaces. To ensure inclusivity, only two inclusion criteria were used: I) being 65 yrs or older, and II) being able to speak and understand Danish. Due to the wide inclusion criteria, there was a broad age range for participants (65 to 87 yrs) as well as physical capacity of the participants. However, all were able to stand and walk independently. The study was approved by the [redacted] Committee on Health Research Ethics (N-20220045) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Dance training intervention

The study builds upon a previous randomized controlled trial from our group [25] demonstrating that regular fitness circuit training and a combination of in-person and online dance training significantly reduced the number of fall accidents in older adults [26]. In this study, we sought to extend this work by focusing on implementation of online dance training in practice (in the municipality). Specifically, the MT consisted of two weekly dance classes lasting 60 min each, consisting of improvisation (contemporary dance) (Day 1) and salsa (Day 2) performed for 12 weeks. The movement language of Laban's choreological practice [27] was used to inspire and create variations of movement during the contemporary dance classes, and the classes progressed in intensity. Each class started and concluded with a focus on breathing exercises. The salsa classes

were built upon technique from Cuban salsa; participants had a progressive introduction to the technique and the expressive aesthetics of the dance style. Over time, the class included dancing with faster pace and more improvised salsa. The classes were delivered by experienced professional dance instructors online through video calls using the Zoom meeting application (Zoom Video Communications, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). For flexibility purposes, subjects could choose to attend the online classes either from home, or at one of four activity centers in the municipality. Each online class was recorded and uploaded to a designated YouTube channel connected to the project after each class.[1] The YouTube channel resource made available for all participants and the public, where participants could review and replay the classes if they were unable to attend on the day. Participants were instructed to dance at least once per week but were encouraged to attend twice weekly. To promote engagement and social connection between the participants, a 60-min in-person salsa dance class was conducted once every month with physical presence by both the instructor and the participants (up to three sessions in total per subject).

Measurements

All measurements were performed immediately prior to (baseline) and following 12 weeks of dance training and were carried out at local activity centers in [redacted] Municipality.

Static Postural Stability

Static postural stability during a quiet standing task was assessed for 30 s both with and without a dual-task. A high-resolution three dimensional, four-channel, force platform (PLUX Wireless Biosignals S.A., Lisboa, Portugal) sampled at 1000Hz was placed 1.5 m from a wall. A circle (d = 5 cm) was placed on the wall at approximately eye height straight out of the participants. At baseline, participants were instructed to stand on the force platform in a self-determined, comfortable

[1] https://www.youtube.com/@movingtogether8461

position with the distance between the feet measured with a non-elastic measuring tape. The distance between the feet was then replicated at the post-test. Three single- and three dual-task recordings were performed, and participants were instructed not to move during the 30-s recording period. Measurements were calibrated prior to each participant, by recording a 10-s period with zero load on the force platform. The center of pressure (CoP) data from the force platform was extracted from the horizontal forces and filtered digitally with a low-pass filter and a 20 Hz cut-off frequency. The static postural stability was then quantified from the CoP data via the 95% prediction ellipse CoP area and resultant mean CoP velocity [28]. A mathematic task was used as supra-postural task during the dual-task conditions, when participants were instructed to count backwards of 'minus 7', starting from a random number between 0 and 500 (e.g., 40, 33, 26 etc.). The starting number for the three dual-tasks remained constant between visits. The mean of the three single-tasks and the three dual-tasks for both variables were used for analyses.

10-meter gait speed

Time to complete 10 m was determined using photo gates (Brower Timing Systems, Draper, UT, USA). Pparticipants were instructed to walk as fast as possible on a 10-m walking path, with an approximately 2-m lead-in (flying start) and 2-m walk-out at the beginning and end of the 10-m path, respectively. A total of six walks were performed (6 x10 m), with a self-determined break in between walks. For the last three 10-m walks, participants were instructed to perform the same mathematical dual-task as for the assessment of postural control, yet with other starting numbers. For these dual-tasks, participants were instructed to walk as fast as possible while counting as correctly as possible. The mean of the three single-tasks and the three dual-tasks, respectively, were used for analyses. For comparison with previous studies, the time to complete the 10 m was converted to speed (m/s).

Dynamic postural stability (Mini-BESTest)

Dynamic postural stability was determined using The Mini-BESTest [10]. The Mini-BESTest consists of 14 items related to domains of anticipatory transitions; reactive postural control; sensory orientation; and dynamic balance during gait. The procedures for administration and scoring of the Mini-BESTest were standardized as described in [29]. For each item, a score from 0 (severe) to 2 (normal) was provided. Individual item scores were then summated and reported as the Mini-BESTest total score (out of the maximum attainable 28 points) [29].

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 27; IBM, Armonk, New York) with data reported as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was set *a priori* at $P < 0.05$. Before and after comparisons on outcomes were performed using student paired t tests (two-tailed), with Bonferonni adjustment for multiple comparisons (n = 2; gait speed with and without dual-task) by dividing 0.05 with the number of comparisons such that significance was accepted at $P < 0.025$ for these two outcomes. Standardized effect sizes (Cohen d) were calculated to determine the magnitude of change from baseline to post-intervention, with the following thresholds used for interpretation: small (>0.2), moderate (>0.5), and large (>0.8).

Results

Of the 45 participants included at baseline, 13 dropped out, so that n = 32 in total were included for analyses. All 32 participants were included for analyses irrespective of where and how they attended. Reasons for dropout included non-study related health issues (n = 5), lack of motivation (n = 4), COVID-19 infection (n = 1), finding it too physically demanding to complete the dance sessions (n = 2), and finding it uncomfortable being the only male (n = 1).

Static Postural Stability

Results for static postural stability are shown in Table 1. Due to corrupted data for three participants, analyses are based on n = 29. There were no significant changes from baseline to post-intervention for either single- or dual-task CoP area or velocity ($P \geq 0.218$). The mathematical performance during the dual-task is presented in Table 2. There were no significant changes for the mathematical performance during the dual-task, either when expressed as the total number of counts, or as the number of correct counting's ($P \geq 0.169$).

10-m gait speed

One participant was unable to complete the walking test at post-intervention, so for 10-m gait speed analyses is based on n = 31. 10-m gait speed was significantly faster after the 12 weeks of dance training (1.68 ± 0.25 m/s) compared to baseline (1.57 ± 0.22 m/s, $P < 0.001$, d = 0.737, Figure 1A). After Bonferroni adjustment, there was no significant change in 10-m gait speed with dual-task from baseline (1.41 ± 0.21 m/s) to post-intervention (1.48 ± 0.25 m/s, $P = 0.045$, d = 0.376, Figure 1B).

Dynamic Postural Stability

Dynamic postural stability evaluated by the Mini-BESTest total score was significantly improved at post-intervention (23.88 ± 3.01) compared to baseline (22.56 ± 1.41, $P = 0.007$, d = 0.52, Figure 1C).

Discussion

When comparing the results after 12 weeks of the MT with baseline values, our initial hypotheses were only partially confirmed: i) it is not clear that static postural stability improved after the online dancing intervention, as both the CoP area and velocity variables where not significantly different

between before and after intervention, ii) the intervention only improved gait speed during the conditions without dual-task, however iii) dynamic postural control, determined via the Mini-BESTest, improved following the intervention.

Static Postural Stability

Assuming that static postural stability during a quiet standing task is achieved via controlling the body posture as if it behaves as an inverted pendulum swinging around the ankle joints [30], the optimal neuromuscular strategy to mitigate the effect of possible external perturbation is to increase the stiffness around the ankles by co-contracting the antagonistic and agonistic muscles around this joint [31]. This strategy usually results in smaller and quicker displacements of the body after a perturbation, which, in laboratory settings, are usually represented by smaller areas and increased velocities of the CoP [32]. Adding a supra-postural task, older adults would exhibit a decreased (i) static postural stability [33] or (ii) performance of the supra-postural task when the postural task is highly demanding [34]. In older adults, the capacity to carry out concurrent postural tasks is paramount for maintaining balance in daily life activities, since its deficit may increase the risk for accidental falls in this population [35]. This capacity may also involve the prioritization of one of the tasks over the other, which in postural control studies is often referred to as the “postural first” principle, when the focus on maintaining the static posture stability overcomes the performance of the supra-postural task [34]. Regardless of the addition or not of a supra-postural task, the present results did not show any clear change in CoP area or velocity nor on the performance of the dual-task intervention before/after. This could indicate: (i) a plateau effect, where the older adults that participated in this study already presented good static postural stability prior to the intervention, (ii) a sensitivity problem, where the force platform measurement used here where not able to detect the possible variations due to training, or (iii) ineffective intervention for static postural stability

since the dance training focused primarily on dynamic movements which relate to dynamic postural stability.

Dynamic Postural Stability

The ability of controlling the body position in space so its center of mass is within the base of support when the body suffers perturbation (dynamic balance) relies in the available sensory information (usually vision, proprioceptive, and vestibular) to generate accurate motor responses to counter-react such perturbation and realign the vertical projection of the bodies' center of mass within the new base of support. Such scenario is often encountered when performing daily life activities such as walking, running, dancing, carrying objects, using transportation, doing house chores etc. The level of performance of such activities are usually associated with accidental falls in older adults, where lower independence performing these activities is associated with higher risk of fall accidents in older adults [36]. After 12 weeks of an online dance training intervention, the older adults improved their dynamic postural stability compared to baseline values with a moderate effect size. It is noteworthy that the baseline values for Mini-BESTest (average of 22.5) were below the cutoff value of 23 points for the average age (74 years) reported in the literature [37], which indicates that on average, participants were in a higher risk for fall accidents when enrolled in the study compared to their peers. After the intervention, the average score for this test increased to approximately 24 (with an average increase of 1.5 points), which is not only above the cutoff values for fall risk in that age group, but also above the minimal clinically important difference when evaluating special groups such as individuals after total knee replacement [38]. However, it is important to highlight that the number of fall accidents where not monitored in this study, so the real effect on fall accidents from this intervention is yet to be investigated.

Gait Speed

Gait is also an effective way to quantify dynamic postural stability in older adults [39]. In fact, increasing gait speed after training has been correlated with lower fall risks in older adults. In average, the gait speed increased 0.1m/s after the present intervention, which even though representing a moderate change (d = 0.737) might not be clinically relevant. The lack of relevance of this result might be related to a ceiling effect. The maximum walking speed in older populations is expected to range from 1.7 m/s (females) to 2.1 m/s (males) [40], while the average gait speed during the baseline test was 1.5 m/s (all females). It is noteworthy that according to the latest recommendations [9], gait speed should be used for fall risk stratification among older adults. Indeed, gait speeds below 1 m/s are related to higher risk of accidental falls in older adults [12], indicating that for our participants, gait speed would not be an efficient measure for fall risk stratification. Nevertheless, the online dance intervention has helped these participants to reach values close to the maximum (1.6 m/s), which indicates that the intervention provided enough stimuli for motor improvement even though the participants already exhibited high performance values at baseline. Further studies should investigate whether an online training approach is also effective for less capable participants.

Conclusion

In summary, the MT online dance training intervention had a limited impact on static postural stability and gait speed but led to important improvements in dynamic postural control among older adults. Further research is needed to investigate the intervention's effects on number of fall accidents and to explore its effectiveness with different participant groups.

Declarations of interest

None

Funding

This work was supported by TrygFonden (grant number: ID: 153597). The funding sources had no role in study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, writing the report, or in the decision to submit the article for publication.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Monica Tøsti Christensen and Mads Præstegaard Sørensen for their help with data collection; Frederikke Spedsbjerg for maintenance of the YouTube channel; The Association of Integrated Dance in Denmark for their input to the dance classes; and all the participants for their involvement in the study.

References

[1] C.S. Florence, G. Bergen, A. Atherly, E. Burns, J. Stevens, C. Drake, The Medical Costs of Fatal Falls and Fall Injuries among Older Adults, J Am Geriatr Soc Am Geriatr Soc. 66 (2018) 693–698. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15304.The.

[2] G. Bergen, M.R. Stevens, E.R. Burns, Falls and Fall Injuries Among Adults Ages over 65 Years, Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 65 (2016) 993–998.

[3] W. Beedham, G. Peck, S.E. Richardson, K. Tsang, M. Fertleman, D.J.H. Shipway, Head injury in the elderly – an overview for the physician, Clin. Med. J. R. Coll. Physicians London. 19 (2019) 177–184. https://doi.org/10.7861/CLINMEDICINE.19-2-177.

[4] L.C. and P.M.D. Minhui Liu, Tianxue Hou, Yuxiao Li, Xiaocao Sun, Sarah L. Szanton, Fear of Falling is More Important Than Previous Falls in Terms of Limiting Activities□: A Longitudinal Study, BMC Geriatr. (2021) 1–17.

[5] J. Magaziner, L. Fredman, W. Hawkes, J.R. Hebel, S. Zimmerman, D.L. Orwig, L. Wehren, Changes in functional status attributable to hip fracture: A comparison of hip fracture patients to community-dwelling aged, Am. J. Epidemiol. 157 (2003) 1023–1031. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwg081.

[6] N.G. Choi, B.Y. Choi, D.M. Dinitto, C.N. Marti, M.E. Kunik, Fall-related emergency department visits and hospitalizations among community-dwelling older adults: Examination of health problems and injury characteristics, BMC Geriatr. 19 (2019) 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-019-1329-2.

[7] K. Hartholt, R. Lee, E. Burns, van B. EF, Mortality From Falls AmongUSAdults Aged 75

Years or Older, 2000-2016, Jama. 321 (2019) 2131–2133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2015.11.002.

[8] E. Thomas, G. Battaglia, A. Patti, J. Brusa, V. Leonardi, A. Palma, M. Bellafiore, Physical activity programs for balance and fall prevention in elderly, Med. (United States). 98 (2019) 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000016218.

[9] M. Montero-odasso, N. Van Der Velde, F.C. Martin, M. Petrovic, M.P. Tan, J. Ryg, S. Aguilar-navarro, N.B. Alexander, C. Becker, S.E. Lamb, N.K. Latham, L.A. Lipsitz, T. Liu-ambrose, P. Logan, World guidelines for falls prevention and management for older adults : a global initiative, Age Ageing. 51 (2022) 1–36.

[10] F. Franchignoni, F. Horak, M. Godi, A. Nardone, A. Giordano, Using psychometric techniques to improve the balance evaluation systems test: The mini-bestest, J. Rehabil. Med. 42 (2010) 323–331. https://doi.org/10.2340/16501977-0537.

[11] S. O'Hoski, K.M. Sibley, D. Brooks, M.K. Beauchamp, Construct validity of the BESTest, mini-BESTest and briefBESTest in adults aged 50 years and older, Gait Posture. 42 (2015) 301–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2015.06.006.

[12] I.L. Kyrdalen, P. Thingstad, L. Sandvik, H. Ormstad, Associations between gait speed and well-known fall risk factors among community-dwelling older adults, Physiother. Res. Int. 24 (2019) e1743. https://doi.org/10.1002/pri.1743.

[13] A. Middleton, S.L. Fritz, M. Lusardi, Walking speed: The functional vital sign, J. Aging Phys. Act. 23 (2015) 314–322. https://doi.org/10.1123/japa.2013-0236.

[14] T.D.A. Busch, Y.A. Duarte, D. Pires Nunes, M.L. Lebrão, M. Satya Naslavsky, A. Dos Santos Rodrigues, E. Amaro, Factors associated with lower gait speed among the elderly

living in a developing country: A cross-sectional population-based study, BMC Geriatr. 15 (2015) 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-015-0031-2.

[15] J.Z. Willey, Y.P. Moon, E.R. Kulick, Y.K. Cheung, C.B. Wright, R.L. Sacco, M.S. Elkind, Physical inactivity predicts slow gait speed in an elderly multi- ethnic cohort study: the Northern Manhattan Study (NOMAS), Neuroepidemiology. 49 (2017) 24–30. https://doi.org/10.1159/000479695.Physical.

[16] A.H. Park, S. Zhong, H. Yang, J. Jeong, C. Lee, Impact of COVID-19 on physical activity: A rapid review, J. Glob. Health. 12 (2022) 05003. https://doi.org/10.7189/JOGH.12.05003.

[17] H. Chaabene, O. Prieske, M. Herz, J. Moran, J. Höhne, R. Kliegl, R. Ramirez-Campillo, D.G. Behm, T. Hortobágyi, U. Granacher, Home-based exercise programmes improve physical fitness of healthy older adults: A PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis with relevance for COVID-19, Ageing Res. Rev. 67 (2021) 101265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2021.101265.

[18] K.D. Hill, S.W. Hunter, F.A. Batchelor, V. Cavalheri, E. Burton, Individualized home-based exercise programs for older people to reduce falls and improve physical performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis, Maturitas. 82 (2015) 72–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2015.04.005.

[19] P.W.-N. Hwang, K.L. Braun, The Effectiveness of Dance Interventions to Improve Older Adults' Health: A Systematic Literature Review, Altern Ther Heal. Med. 21 (2015) 64–70. file:///C:/Users/Carla Carolina/Desktop/Artigos para acrescentar na qualificação/The impact of birth weight on cardiovascular disease risk in the.pdf.

[20] G. Sirois-Leclerc, A. Remaud, M. Bilodeau, Dynamic postural control and associated attentional demands in contemporary dancers versus non-dancers, PLoS One. 12 (2017)

e0173795. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173795.

[21] E. Golomer, P. Dupui, H. Monod, The effects of maturation on self-induced dynamic body sway frequencies of girls performing acrobatics or classical dance, Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 76 (1997) 140–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004210050226.

[22] J.W.L. Keogh, A. Kilding, P. Pidgeon, L. Ashley, D. Gillis, Effects of different weekly frequencies of dance on older adults ' functional performance and physical activity patterns, Eur. J. Sport. Exerc. Sci. 1 (2012) 14–23.

[23] C. Coelho, S. Menon, Online Dance Training in a Social Distancing Environment: Examining Preferences of Latin and Ballroom Dancers, Danc. Educ. Pract. 6 (2020) 23–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/23734833.2020.1831856.

[24] D.A. Winter, A.E. Patla, J.S. Frank, Assessment of balance control in humans, Med. Prog. Technol. 16 (1990) 31–51.

[25] M.J. Thomsen, M. Liston, M.G. Christensen, P. Vestergaard, R.P. Hirata, Dancing Against falls iN Community-dwElling older adults (DANCE): a study protocol of a stratified, block-randomised trial, Inj. Prev. 28 (2022) 93–101. https://doi.org/10.1136/injuryprev-2021-044224.

[26] M.J. Thomsen, M. Liston, M.G. Christensen, P. Vestergaard, R. Hirata, A Combination of Web-based and In-Person Training Reduced Fall Accidents in Older Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic, Iproceedings. 8 (2022) e41105. https://doi.org/10.2196/41105.

[27] J. Newlove, J. Dalby, Laban for All, Routledge, New York, 2004. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315060002.

[28] M. Duarte, Comments on "ellipse area calculations and their applicability in posturography"

(schubert and kirchner, vol.39, pages 518-522, 2014), Gait Posture. 41 (2015) 44–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2014.08.008.

[29] L. King, F. Horak, On the Mini-BESTest: Scoring and the Reporting of Total Scores, Phys. Ther. 93 (2013) 571–575. https://doi.org/10.2959/logo.2002.13.2.109.

[30] O. Caron, B. Faure, Y. Brenière, Estimating the centre of gravity of the body on the basis of the centre of pressure in standing posture, J. Biomech. 30 (1997) 1169–1171. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9290(97)00094-8.

[31] R.C. Fitzpatrick, R.B. Gorman, D. Burke, S.C. Gandevia, Postural proprioceptive reflexes in standing human subjects: bandwidth of response and transmission characteristics., J. Physiol. 458 (1992) 69–83. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1992.sp019406.

[32] D.A. Winter, A.E. Patla, F. Prince, M. Ishac, K. Gielo-perczak, Stiffness control of balance in quiet standing, J. Neurophysiol. 80 (1998) 1211–1221. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1998.80.3.1211.

[33] L. Petrigna, A. Gentile, D. Mani, S. Pajaujiene, T. Zanotto, E. Thomas, A. Paoli, A. Palma, A. Bianco, Dual-Task Conditions on Static Postural Control in Older Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis, J. Aging Phys. Act. 29 (2020) 162–177.

[34] A. Lion, R.S. Spada, G. Bosser, G.C. Gauchard, G. Anello, P. Bosco, S. Calabrese, A. Iero, G. Stella, M. Elia, P.P. Perrin, “Postural First” Principle When Balance Is Challenged in Elderly People, Int. J. Neurosci. 124 (2014) 558–566. https://doi.org/10.3109/00207454.2013.864288.

[35] N.M. Nachreiner, M.J. Findorff, J.F. Wyman, T.C. McCarthy, Circumstances and consequences of falls in community-dwelling older women, J. Women’s Heal. 16 (2007)

1437–1446. https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2006.0245.

[36] T. Çinarli, Z. Koç, Fear and Risk of Falling, Activities of Daily Living, and Quality of Life: Assessment When Older Adults Receive Emergency Department Care, Nurs. Res. 66 (2017) 330–335. https://doi.org/10.1097/NNR.0000000000000227.

[37] P.E. Magnani, M.B. Genovez, J.M. Porto, N.F.G. Zanellato, I.C. Alvarenga, R.C. Freire, D.C.C. De Abreu, Use of the BESTest and the Mini-BESTest for fall risk prediction in community-dwelling older adults between 60 and 102 years of age, J. Geriatr. Phys. Ther. 43 (2020) 179–184. https://doi.org/10.1519/JPT.0000000000000236.

[38] A.C.M. Chan, M.Y.C. Pang, H. Ouyang, D.A.M. Jehu, Minimal Clinically Important Difference of Four Commonly Used Balance Assessment Tools in Individuals after Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective Cohort Study, PM R. 12 (2020) 238–245. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmrj.12226.

[39] D.A. Winter, Human balance and posture control during standing and walking, Gait. 3 (1995) 193–214.

[40] R.W. Bohannon, Comfortable and maximum walking speed of adults aged 20-79 years: Reference values and determinants, Age Ageing. 26 (1997) 15–19. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/26.1.15.

Table 1. Static postural stability assessed via area and velocity of center of pressure (CoP) during 30s of quiet standing with and without a dual mathematical load at baseline and after 12 weeks of online dance training (n = 29*)

	Baseline	**Post-intervention**	**P-value**	**Effect size (d)**
CoP Area (cm^2)				
Single task	2.50 ± 2.43	2.08 ± 1.33	0.351	0.176
Dual task	3.83 ± 3.26	3.47 ± 3.43	0.430	0.149
CoP Velocity (cm/s)				
Single task	1.29 ± 0.42	1.28 ± 0.50	0.889	0.026
Dual task	1.70 ± 0.69	1.90 ± 1.06	0.218	0.234

* Due to corrupted data for three participants, n = 29.

Table 2. Performance during the dual-task consisting of 30s of quite standing with a dual mathematical load (counting backwards of minus 7) at baseline and after 12 weeks of online dance training (n = 29*)

	Baseline	**Post-intervention**	**P-value**	**Effect size (d)**
Mathematical performance				
Total # counted (n)	8.76 ± 3.78	9.26 ± 3.34	0.169	0.249
Correctly # counted (n)	7.81 ± 3.50	8.33 ± 3.32	0.174	0.246
Correctly # counted (%)	0.89 ± 0.10	0.90 ± 0.10	0.699	0.069

* Due to corrupted data for three participants, n = 29.



Figure 1. Mean gait speed and dynamic postural stability at baseline (black bars) and following 12 weeks of dance training (white bars). A) 10-m gait speed. B) 10-m gait speed with a mathematical dual-task. C) Mini-BESTest Total Score**.** Mini-BESTest Total Score was calculated as the sum of the 14 test items. Error bars represent SD. Significantly different between baseline and post-intervention at *P <0.01.