Integration of Capnography and Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP) in the Prehospital Setting

Sahil Sethi[1], Gene Hobbs[1], Devin Hubbard[1], Kenneth Donnelly[1], Joseph M. Grover[2], Imran Rizvi[1,3,*]

[1]Joint Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27599, USA

[2]Department of Emergency Medicine, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27514, USA

[3]Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

*Corresponding author

Email: imran.rizvi@unc.edu (IR)

Author Contributions

S.S. formed the idea, conducted the literature search, and designed the study; G.H., D.H, K.D., J.G., and I.R. provided technical feedback; S.S. and G.H. collected data; S.S. and I.R. prepared the manuscript; all authors reviewed, edited, and approved the manuscript.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Capnography is one of the most important respiratory monitoring tools used in EMS because changes to end-tidal CO_2 ($ETCO_2$) generally precede blood oxygen desaturation, and waveform morphology can be used to assess bronchial patency. Many of capnography's indications overlap with those of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy. However, there are currently no convenient methods to administer CPAP while using capnography, and no peer-reviewed studies have examined combining the two technologies in the prehospital setting.

METHODS & FINDINGS: Two types of capnography sampler were used to investigate how $ETCO_2$, capnogram shape, and respiration rate (RR) are affected by applying a CPAP mask both with and without oxygen flowing. A traditional nasal-oral cannula (NC) sampler, as well as a novel sampler that does not break the seal between the CPAP mask and the patient's face (called the CPAP-Capnography Adapter, or CCA), were evaluated on a Human Patient Simulator (HPS). No significant differences between the NC and CCA were found for $ETCO_2$ and RR percent error. Placement of a CPAP mask without turning on O_2 increased $ETCO_2$. During CPAP therapy, the two samplers maintained their RR measurement accuracy, but $ETCO_2$ values were significantly reduced and the capnogram depicted an increased β-angle with severe blunting of the inspiratory downstroke.

CONCLUSIONS: The HPS had set-point $ETCO_2$ and $PaCO_2$ values, indicating that the local CO_2 concentration at the nares was diluted during CPAP and no longer accurately reflected the simulated subject's breathing. The compromise in waveform morphology could be overcome by further research into interpreting capnogram differences during CPAP between healthy patients and those with respiratory pathologies. However, at this time, our results show that $ETCO_2$ values and capnogram shape should be interpreted with caution during CPAP.

Key Words: End-tidal carbon dioxide, capnography, capnometry, oxygen therapy, noninvasive ventilation, continuous positive airway pressure, prehospital, emergency medical services

Abstract **2**
Introduction **4**
Materials and Methods **6**
CPAP-Capnography Adapter (CCA) Design Process & Manufacturing 6
Materials 7
Experimental Design 8
Statistical Analysis 9
Results **10**
Capnography Waveform 10
Effects on End-Tidal CO2 11
Effects on Respiration Rate 12
Discussion **13**
Capnography Waveform 13
Capnography Sampler Effect on ETCO2 and Respiration Rate 14
Mask Condition Effect on ETCO2 and Respiration Rate 15
Additional Modeled Vital Signs **16**
Relationship with Previous Studies 16
Limitations and Future Directions 18
Conclusions **20**
Acknowledgments **20**
References **21**
Supporting Information **24**

Abbreviations:

EMS - Emergency Medical Services

CO_2 - Carbon Dioxide

CPAP - Continuous Positive Airway Pressure

$ETCO_2$ - End-Tidal Carbon Dioxide (mmHg)

$PaCO_2$ - Partial Pressure of Arterial Carbon Dioxide (mmHg)

SpO_2 - Blood Oxygen Saturation

RR - Respiration Rate (breaths/min)

NC - Nasal-Oral Cannula

CCA - CPAP-Capnography Adapter

MAP - Mean Arterial Pressure

HPS - Human Patient Simulator

PEEP - Positive End Expiratory Pressure

Introduction

Respiratory issues are among the most common causes of 911 calls in the United States.(1) Once on scene, EMS personnel interview the patient and use vital signs—such as heart rate, blood pressure, respiration rate (RR), pulse oximetry, and capnography—in addition to their physical exam findings to determine possible causes for their breathing difficulties. Capnography is particularly beneficial as it can typically detect changes to a patient's breathing before pulse oximetry.(2–4) For example, one study found that, for acute respiratory events, abnormal $ETCO_2$ results were observed before SpO_2 changes or observed hypoventilation in 70% of cases.(2) Pulse oximetry is also known to overestimate oxygen saturation in patients with darker skin.(5–7) Capnography's physiological relevance stems from its correlation with $PaCO_2$, which regulates the respiratory drive. Directly measuring $PaCO_2$ requires an interventional test that is not practical in the prehospital setting, such as arterial blood gas analysis.(8) There is some debate surrounding the correlation of $ETCO_2$ to $PaCO_2$, but several studies have found good correlation in healthy patients and patients with compromised pulmonary function who are spontaneously breathing.(9–12) Capnography has been shown to be a valuable screening tool for mortality predictions in patients with sepsis.(13,14) Also, in penetrating trauma patients, capnography measurements correlate with serum lactate levels (an indicator of tissue hypoxia and shock) and can predict the odds of the patient requiring operative intervention.(15) See **Fig. 1B & 1D** for example capnograms.

Figure 1. Capnography and Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP). (A) Nasal cannula (NC) commonly used for prehospital sidestream capnography sampling. (B) Example capnogram for a healthy individual (i) and an individual with bronchospasm (ii); peaks indicate exhalations while troughs indicate inhalations, and the end of the exhalatory plateau yields the $PETCO_2$ measurement (labeled with a star). For the first waveform, phases I-IV are labeled, along with α- and β-angles. (C) Common prehospital CPAP

setup with NC placed below the mask for capnography sampling; CO_2 gas line is circled in purple. (D) Example EMS monitor depicting a capnography waveform; CO_2 gas line insertion is circled in purple; standard orange capnography port (not shown) is used for insertion.

Prehospital capnography is most commonly used for validating endotracheal tube placement,(16) as well as helping identify asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), pulmonary embolism (PE), and congestive heart failure (CHF).(17–19) The morphology of the capnogram is crucial for assessing bronchial patency as bronchospasm tends to yield a "shark fin" waveform (prolonged phase II with increase or loss of α-angle),(20,21) which is depicted in **Fig 1B.ii**. Monitoring of the waveform is currently used to assess response to bronchodilators.(20,22) Prehospital indications for CPAP strongly align with those of capnography and include CHF, COPD, asthma, acute bronchitis, pneumonia, and near-drownings with signs of pulmonary edema.(23,24) Prehospital CPAP has been shown to improve patient outcomes by reducing the need for intubation (and thus decreasing the likelihood of developing ventilator-associated pneumonia),(25) improving vital signs, and reducing myocardial damage—its use is also associated with reduced mortality.(24,26) Using capnography to monitor the effectiveness of CPAP would allow for the rapid determination of ineffective therapy and a quick decision to be made regarding switching to an invasive option.(27,28) This would also align with the 2011 AARC Clinical Practice Guideline on capnography, which recommends its use for monitoring response to therapies that improve the ventilation-perfusion (V/Q) ratio.(23)

Despite these points, the two technologies are not currently easily compatible. Common EMS cardiac monitors with built-in sidestream capnography sensors, such as the Physio-Control LIFEPAK-15, are used with a nasal-oral cannula (NC) sampler for spontaneously breathing patients, and an in-line sampler for intubated patients.(27,29) Non-invasive ventilation (NIV) dilutes exhalations, so sampling as close to the nares/mouth as possible is best.(27) Thus, using an NC sampler is generally preferred during NIV.(30) However, placing a cannula beneath a

134 CPAP mask is not ideal as it allows gas to escape at the location where the sampling line exits
135 the mask;(27) significant leaks are problematic as they decrease CO_2 elimination and $ETCO_2$
136 values.(30) Despite this, due to anecdotal evidence, some EMS education sources recommend
137 using the NC sampler for capnography during CPAP,(31–33) and EMS systems have already
138 added this recommendation to their protocols.(34) Thus, in this article, the efficacy of monitoring
139 capnography during prehospital CPAP will be analyzed on three simulated patients using a
140 Human Patient Simulator (HPS). To overcome the issue of breaking the seal between the CPAP
141 mask and the patient's face, this study will introduce a novel sampler—called the CPAP-
142 Capnography Adapter (CCA)—and compare its performance to the NC. Overall, this article will
143 investigate if applying a CPAP mask while monitoring capnography with either an NC or the novel
144 CCA sampler alters the capnogram, $ETCO_2$ readings, or respiration rate.
145

146 # Materials and Methods

147 ## CPAP-Capnography Adapter (CCA) Design Process &
148 ## Manufacturing

149 A novel method for measuring capnography during CPAP was invented and tested
150 alongside the nasal-oral cannula method (see **Fig. 2**). The new method involved attaching an
151 elbow-shaped adapter to a prehospital CPAP setup between the mask and flow regulator. To
152 ensure an air-tight seal, flat ring seals were created out of duct tape and applied to each end of
153 the elbow (functioning similarly to square profile O-rings). A nasal sampling element was fed
154 through this adapter and into the mask, allowing for sidestream capnography sampling. To
155 prevent the nasal sampler's tubing from getting crimped by the elbow as it passed into it, a short
156 internal guide tube was added to provide greater support. The nasal sampler base slid onto
157 existing CapnoLine® tubing containing a standard orange capnography plug; the sampler fit

158 snugly via friction but was further secured via duct tape. Combined, this setup is referred to as
159 the CPAP-Capnography Adapter (CCA).
160
161 **Figure 2. CPAP-Capnography Adapter (CCA) manufacturing & experimental setup.** (A)
162 Stereolithography (SLA) 3D printing process used for the CCA. (B) Labeled diagram of CCA. (C) Labeled
163 diagram of CCA integrated into a prehospital CPAP setup. (D) Example of CAE Healthcare Human Patient
164 Simulator (HPS) output display, including $ETCO_2$ set-point, modeled respiration rate (RR), modeled SpO_2,
165 modeled heart rate (HR), and modeled blood pressure (BP). (E) CCA applied to the HPS without a CPAP
166 mask. (F) CCA integrated into a prehospital CPAP setup and applied to the HPS.
167
168 The CCA elbow and nasal sampler were designed using OnShape Computer-Aided
169 Design (CAD) software and printed with a Formlabs Form 2 stereolithography (SLA) 3D printer
170 (see **Fig. 2A**). Engineering sketches are provided in **Fig. S1**. Formlabs Clear V4 resin was
171 selected as the elbow material due to its translucency and rigidity.(35) Formlabs Elastic 50A resin
172 was selected as the nasal sampler material due to its translucency and flexibility,(36) the latter
173 property allowing for the accommodation of various nose sizes. After printing, an isopropyl alcohol
174 (IPA) bath was used to vigorously wash parts for 15 minutes. Then, an IPA-filled syringe was
175 used to clear excess resin from small channels, and the parts were soaked in a clean IPA bath
176 for an additional 15 minutes. Next, an ultraviolet (UV) light & heat cure was conducted according
177 to the manufacturer's guidelines (power density: 1.25 mW/cm^2; LED centered at 405 nm; 60 °C
178 for 20 minutes for Elastic 50A and 60 minutes for Clear V4).(35–37)
179

180 Materials

181 A stock CPAP kit containing a Pulmodyne O_2-MAX™ and Curaplex O_2 Max Trio BiTrac
182 ED Mask was used. For all conditions, CPAP tubing was fully contracted to standardize dead
183 space, and the PEEP valve was set to 10 cm H_2O. The following nasal-oral cannula was used:

184 Covidien Smart CapnoLine® Plus O_2, Adult/Intermediate CO_2 Oral/Nasal Sampling Set with O_2
185 Tubing. For their respective trials, the CCA and NC were plugged into the capnography port on a
186 Physio-Control LIFEPAK-15 monitor to obtain capnograms and $ETCO_2$ values. As the HPS is
187 meant to accurately model a variety of respiratory parameters, $ETCO_2$ and respiration rate could
188 not be directly manipulated to test the full range of expected values for each because this could
189 result in a biologically inaccurate combination of parameters. Therefore, pre-programmed patient
190 respiratory profiles were used for testing. For each condition, the HPS was kept in the supine
191 position, and CCA and NC positioning were kept constant.
192

193 # Experimental Design

194 The following six configurations were tested: (1) NC with no CPAP mask nor O_2 flowing,
195 (2) CCA with no CPAP mask nor O_2 flowing, (3) NC with CPAP mask but no O_2 flowing, (4) CCA
196 with CPAP mask but no O_2 flowing, (5) NC with CPAP mask and O_2 flowing, and (6) CCA with
197 CPAP mask and O_2 flowing. Groups 1 and 2 are controls for evaluating the effects of CPAP mask
198 dead space (Groups 3 & 4) and CPAP therapy (Groups 5 & 6) on capnography monitored via NC
199 and CCA, respectively. These groups are summarized in **Table 1**. Images of the setup for Groups
200 1 & 3 are provided in **Fig. 1A** and **1C**, respectively. Images for Groups 2 & 4 are provided in **Fig.**
201 **2E** and **2F**, respectively. Groups 3 & 5 share the same setup as each other, as do Groups 4 & 6.
202
203

204 **Table 1. Experimental groups used for testing on HPS.**

	No CPAP mask, no O2 ("no mask")	CPAP mask, no O2 ("mask with no O2")	CPAP mask with O2 ("mask with CPAP")
NC	Group 1	Group 3	Group 5
CCA	Group 2	Group 4	Group 6

205
206 Groups 1-6 were each tested on three HPS standardized simulated patients: Stan D. Ardman II (Healthy
207 Adult Male), Grandma Smokey (COPD Patient), & Kenton Parkers (CHF & COPD Patient); these profiles
208 have set-point $ETCO_2$ values of 33 mmHg, 22 mmHg, and 34 mmHg, respectively.

209

210 For conditions 1-6, data were obtained from three standardized simulated patients from
211 the HPS system: Stan D. Ardman II (Healthy Adult Male), Grandma Smokey (COPD Patient), &
212 Kenton Parkers (CHF & COPD Patient). From their pre-programmed respiratory profiles, their
213 $ETCO_2$ targets were 33 mmHg, 22 mmHg, and 34 mmHg, respectively. The HPS continuously
214 adjusts modeled vital signs to remain consistent with this $ETCO_2$, as well as the rest of its pre-
215 programmed patient profile. As conditions 1-6 were tested for all three patients, 18 total trials were
216 conducted. For each trial, the $ETCO_2$ value and respiration rate were recorded from the LIFEPAK-
217 15 monitor's screen for 20 consecutive breaths. Simultaneously, the following modeled vital signs
218 were recorded from the HPS display at the exact timestamps of the same 20 breaths: respiration
219 rate, SpO_2, heart rate, and blood pressure. Results for the latter three vital signs are presented in
220 the Supplementary Information (**Fig. S4-5)**. To facilitate data collection, videos were taken of both
221 displays, timestamps were lined up, and values were entered into a spreadsheet. Trials were
222 spaced one minute apart to allow for the modeled vital signs from the HPS to stabilize in response
223 to changes.

Statistical Analysis

For all tests, α was chosen to be 0.05. As each simulated patient had a different set-point $ETCO_2$, measured $ETCO_2$ values at each breath were normalized by dividing by their respective target $ETCO_2$. The same normalization process was done for the modeled vital signs except RR. Unlike $ETCO_2$, the HPS displayed the true RR value for each breath, so the true and measured values were used to calculate RR percent error. The average normalized $ETCO_2$, RR percent error, and modeled vital signs for breaths 1-20 in each condition were used for analysis.

Normalized $ETCO_2$ and RR percent error were associated with the following nominal independent variables: capnography monitor (NC or CCA), mask condition (no mask, mask with no O_2, and mask with CPAP), and patient (Stan D. Ardman II, Grandma Smokey, & Kenton Parkers). In accordance with the current literature,(38–40) a mixed effects model was constructed with “patient” as a random effect. Using the mixed model (multilevel linear model) feature within JMP Pro 17, the full factorial of capnography monitor, mask condition, and patient were selected as model effects; all terms containing “patient” were marked as random effects. The t-statistics were then used to obtain specific p-values.

Results

For all three patients during the experiment, it was noted that a leak was audible and could be felt by the experimenter’s hands around the sides of the CPAP mask for the NC conditions when oxygen was administered (Group 5); no such leaks were noticed for the corresponding CCA condition (Group 6).

Capnography Waveform

Representative capnography waveforms are depicted in **Fig. 3**. Within each trial, waveform shape remained consistent across the 20 recorded breaths. It was noted that the waveforms obtained via the CCA appeared to consistently show more dead space compared to the NC capnograms, indicated by the waveform not fully returning to 0 mmHg during each inhalation. This was most noticeable in the "no mask" and "mask with no O_2" conditions. These same capnograms appeared to have increased α- and β-angles compared to those from the NC, with bilateral blunting of the waveforms.

Figure 3. Representative capnography waveforms across all experimental conditions. Capnography monitor and mask condition are labeled. Waveforms remained consistent within each trial for all trials. A small increase in baseline $ETCO_2$ (indicating dead space) is present for "mask with no O_2"; "mask with CPAP" waveforms have diminished magnitudes and increased β-angles. Images presented are from the "Grandma Smokey" (COPD Patient) simulated patient profile.

Across both the NC and CCA trials, waveform shape remained similar when transitioning from the "no mask" to the "mask with no O_2" condition, but a small increase in dead space was noted. Note that the CPAP setup allowed for exhaled gas to escape through a valve near the PEEP regulator when oxygen was not flowing, reducing CO_2 rebreathing; the high flow rate during CPAP forces this valve closed to prevent leaks while administering the therapy. The "mask with CPAP" waveform consistently had a lower magnitude and sharper peak compared to the "no mask" and "mask with no O_2" conditions. Specifically, the expiratory upstroke (phase II) appeared relatively unaffected, but the alveolar plateau (phase III) and inspiratory downstroke (phase IV/0) were severely blunted, with β-angles appearing to be around 180 degrees. These morphological changes were present when administering CPAP in all three patients. There were no noticeable consistent differences between NC and CCA waveforms when CPAP was being administered.

Effects on End-Tidal CO2

Box plots of “$ETCO_2$ normalized to patient” compared against “capnography monitor” and “mask condition," as well as against each of these variables individually, are depicted in **Fig. 4.** The same plots for respiration rate percent error are shown in **Fig. 5.** Capnography monitor was not found to significantly affect normalized $ETCO_2$ (NC: 1.005, CCA: 0.897; $p = 0.25$) nor RR error (NC: 9.423%, CCA: 0.690%; $p = 0.40$), indicating no difference between $ETCO_2$ and RR values obtained from a conventional NC versus the CCA device proposed here. The interaction between mask condition and capnography monitor was also found to have no significant effect on normalized $ETCO_2$ ($p = 0.69$), but mask condition alone did have a significant effect ($p = 0.0308$). Specific model parameter t-statistics revealed that the “mask with CPAP” normalized $ETCO_2$ was significantly less than that of the “no mask” condition (0.810 vs. 0.983, respectively; $p = 0.0145$); moreover, the “mask with no O_2” normalized $ETCO_2$ was significantly greater than that of the “no mask” condition (1.060 vs. 0.983, respectively; $p = 0.0328$).

Figure 4. End-tidal CO_2 ($ETCO_2$) normalized to target among all conditions (A), by capnography monitor (B), and by mask condition (C). No differences were found for $ETCO_2$ measured via NC versus CCA. Application of CPAP mask without O_2 increased $ETCO_2$, and CPAP decreased $ETCO_2$. * indicates $p < 0.05$ (p-values obtained from t-statistic from mixed/multilevel-linear model). Boxplots reflect data prior to taking 20-breath averages.

Effects on Respiration Rate

RR error was 0.955% for “no mask”, 0.537% for “mask with no O_2, and 13.677% for “mask with CPAP”; mask condition and the interaction between mask condition and capnography monitor were both found to have no significant effect on RR percent error ($p = 0.37$ & 0.44,

respectively). A single outlier caused the high RR error for “mask with CPAP” and “NC” (13.677% and 9.423%, respectively); for the Group 5 (NC; mask with CPAP) condition for the patient “Kenton Parkers” (CHF & COPD Patient), it was noted that an artifact on the capnography waveform caused the LIFEPAK-15 monitor to double the measured respiration rate. This greatly increased the mean and variance in the Group 5 data, but none of the fixed effects were found to significantly influence RR percent error—likely as this artifact was not observed in the other simulated patients or trials.

Figure 5. Normalized respiration rate (RR) percent error among all conditions (A), by capnography monitor (B), and by mask condition (C). No significant differences were found in RR percent error between any of the conditions; a single trial (Group in “Kenton Parkers”) caused the extreme outliers visible for NC and CCA. * indicates $p < 0.05$ (p-values obtained from t-statistic from mixed/multilevel-linear model). Boxplots reflect data prior to taking 20-breath averages.

Discussion

Recall that this study was primarily concerned with elucidating any effects caused by mask condition (no mask, mask with no O_2, and mask with CPAP) or capnography monitor (NC or CCA) on capnogram shape, $ETCO_2$ values, and respiration rate.

Capnography Waveform

The main difference between the NC and CCA waveforms was the observed increase in dead space—indicated by the capnogram not returning to baseline during inhalation—and altered morphology when using the CCA. This could be due to CO_2 accumulating in the sampling line and not being fully able to escape; however, the measured $ETCO_2$ value did not trend upward and was stable throughout all trials, meaning exhalations did escape to some extent. Further

testing will be needed to determine which component of the CCA's design caused this artifact. A Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) model could be helpful in analyzing differences in oxygen flow through the NC versus the CCA during CPAP. However, the CCA is only meant to be used during CPAP, and it produced nearly identical waveforms to the NC in the CPAP trials. Thus, the altered morphology of the CCA waveforms in the "no mask" and "mask with no O_2" conditions compared to the corresponding NC waveforms has little impact in context. Similarly, the slight increase in dead space and $ETCO_2$ value noted upon mask placement did not significantly alter waveform shape and is consistent with a 2017 study by Phillips et al. that showed that using an NC sampler placed beneath a standard oxygen mask causes an increase in $ETCO_2$ due to rebreathing.(41) The fact that CPAP consistently blunted the capnograms from both the NC and CCA, though, is more concerning.

In addition to $ETCO_2$ value, capnogram morphology is critical for identifying possible causes of breathing difficulties as well as response to therapy. Typically, the slope of the phase III alveolar plateau is an indirect indicator of V/Q status in the lungs.(21) A prolonged phase II with an increase in α-angle suggests bronchospasm or airway obstruction; an increased β-angle might indicate rebreathing.(21) Conclusions such as these based on capnogram morphology cannot be made during CPAP, as it is unknown if they will still be visible on the waveform. Thus, prehospital medical providers cannot rely on their current understanding of waveform morphology to assess a patient's breathing during CPAP. Additional research will be needed to investigate ways of interpreting differences in waveform shape during CPAP between healthy patients and those with various respiratory conditions.

Capnography Sampler Effect on $ETCO_2$ and Respiration Rate

Overall, no differences were found between $ETCO_2$ and RR percent error when measuring capnography via a traditional nasal-oral cannula (NC) or the novel CPAP-Capnography Adapter

348 (CCA). The observation that a leak between the face and mask was present during CPAP in all
349 NC trials and absent in all CCA trials indicates that the CCA met its design objectives. While the
350 NC did have a higher RR error than the CCA (9.423% vs. 0.690%), this can be attributed to a
351 single trial (Group 5 for the patient "Kenton Parkers") where an artifact caused the LIFEPAK-15
352 to record a doubled respiration rate. This artifact was not noted in any other trials, so its effect
353 was not statistically significant. Additional experiments would be needed to determine if the artifact
354 is truly associated with the use of the NC or if it originates from some other source. Note that RR
355 error was consistently 0% for the vast majority of trials, so values that deviated from this were
356 marked as outliers in many of the conditions, resulting in several of the boxplots in **Fig. 5** showing
357 horizontal lines instead of a traditional box.
358

359 Mask Condition Effect on $ETCO_2$ and Respiration Rate

360 Administering CPAP significantly reduced the measured $ETCO_2$ (0.810) when compared
361 to "no mask" (0.983), which is consistent with the waveform observations. While a reduction in
362 $ETCO_2$ could indicate reduced $PaCO_2$, the fact that the HPS did not model $ETCO_2$ and instead
363 had a set target value indicates that the measured $ETCO_2$ did not accurately reflect the
364 mannequin's breathing profile during CPAP. Both capnography monitors recorded $ETCO_2$ values
365 within five percent of the HPS's target $ETCO_2$ in the control "no mask" condition (NC: 1.4% higher;
366 CCA: 4.89% lower), so their measurement of the partial pressure of CO_2 at the HPS's nares was
367 relatively accurate. Consequently, the notable decrease in $ETCO_2$ during CPAP indicates that the
368 CO_2 at the nares was diluted by the high oxygen flow rate (which can exceed 100 L/min).(42)
369 Thus, the reduction in measured $ETCO_2$ is not an artifact of the capnography samplers
370 themselves. As a result, this $ETCO_2$ likely would no longer correlate with $PaCO_2$ in a human
371 subject. Further evidence that the functionality of the capnography samplers is not compromised
372 by CPAP is the fact that no differences in RR percent error were found between the "no mask",

373 “mask with no O_2”, and “mask with CPAP” conditions—indicating that the capnography samplers
374 obtain sufficient gas samples to record measurements during all mask conditions. Therefore,
375 healthcare professionals should use $ETCO_2$ values obtained during CPAP with caution, as a
376 decreased $ETCO_2$ value might not indicate a true decrease in a patient’s $PaCO_2$.
377

378 Additional Modeled Vital Signs

379 Besides RR, several additional modeled vital signs were recorded from the HPS’s display
380 during the experiment: SpO_2, heart rate (HR), and blood pressure—the latter of which was
381 converted to an estimated mean arterial pressure (MAP) using: $MAP = P_{systolic}/3 + 2*P_{diastolic}/2$.(43)
382 See **Fig. S4** for SpO_2 results, and **Fig. S5** for HR and MAP results. The magnitude of the HR and
383 MAP differences within each simulated subject prior to normalization was very low (+/-1 bpm, and
384 +/- 2 mmHg, respectively), so no meaningful conclusions were drawn from them. Statistical
385 standardization was performed on the 20-breath average SpO_2 from each trial. Only mask
386 condition was found to influence SpO_2, with SpO_2 increasing during CPAP compared to “no mask”
387 and “mask with no O_2” ($p = 0.0110$). This change aligns with the expected physiological response,
388 but the magnitude of the differences was very low within each subject prior to
389 normalization/standardization (+/- 1%). The overall lack of variation in the HPS’s vital signs
390 throughout the experiments is due to the mannequin’s adherence to the pre-programmed
391 simulated patient profiles. Note that the aforementioned findings regarding capnogram shape,
392 $ETCO_2$, and RR percent error do not depend on the HPS’s response to the therapy.
393

394 Relationship with Previous Studies

395 In 2012, Razi et al. showed good correlation between $PaCO_2$ and $ETCO_2$ obtained
396 during mechanical ventilation (including CPAP) in a hospital setting. However, these patients
397 were intubated and monitored in a mainstream manner.(44) In 2015, Piquilloud et al. used an

398 NC sampler to monitor capnography in ICU patients receiving non-invasive ventilation (NIV),
399 and found that $ETCO_2$ was inadequate to predict both $PaCO_2$ value and variations over time.
400 However, they only included 11 patients in their study, and used bi-level positive airway
401 pressure (BiPAP) instead of CPAP.(45) A much larger study of 154 patients was published in
402 2021 by Uzunay et al. that showed a significant difference between $ETCO_2$ and $PaCO_2$ during
403 both non-invasive BiPAP and CPAP in the emergency department. However, a positive
404 moderate correlation was present, so the authors stated that capnography monitoring during
405 NIV may still be beneficial for predicting $PaCO_2$ changes in response to therapy—allowing for a
406 quicker decision to be made regarding switching to intubation.(28) The mainstream
407 capnography monitoring method they used is not compatible with prehospital CPAP nor existing
408 EMS cardiac monitors, though. Most recently, Sakuraya et al. showed in 2022 that $PaCO_2$ and
409 $ETCO_2$ correlate well during CPAP when measured via an NC in a sidestream manner, and
410 correlate even better when measured in a mainstream manner. Their study was conducted on
411 60 recently extubated ICU patients. The authors concluded that the results of previous studies
412 monitoring $ETCO_2$ during NIV were mixed likely due to physiological issues and shortcomings of
413 exhalation sample collection.(12)
414 None of the existing studies described changes to waveform morphology nor used a
415 prehospital CPAP system. Further, Sakuraya et al. is the only one that used a capnography
416 sampler compatible with common EMS cardiac monitors during non-invasive CPAP. All the
417 studies presented here assessed the correlation of $PaCO_2$ to $ETCO_2$ in human subjects, and
418 they achieved mixed results likely due to physiological factors and variations in methodology.
419 Our study complements this work by showing that—with physiological factors and variation
420 removed through the use of an HPS—$ETCO_2$ value and capnogram morphology are directly
421 compromised by CPAP itself. We did not assess $PaCO_2$; however, we show that the $ETCO_2$
422 value and capnogram shape should not be interpreted with current protocols. Additional
423 research into interpreting the value and waveform when taken during CPAP is needed.

Limitations and Future Directions

The primary limitation of this study is that it was conducted on simulated patient profiles on an HPS. The CAE Healthcare HPS is extremely accurate at mixing respiratory gasses and mimicking human breathing, so it is commonly used in anesthesia training.(46–49) Using an HPS rather than human subjects allows for greater control of confounding variables, facilitates rapid data collection, and relieves the ethical concerns (and IRB approval) of testing a novel capnography sampler on patients in respiratory distress who are receiving CPAP. However, it is not a perfect substitute for testing on human subjects. For example, it omits important factors such as emotions, which are known to significantly affect respiration rate.(50) Due to this, future controlled clinical trials might analyze $ETCO_2$, respiration rate, and other vital signs on healthy voluntary subjects under the same six conditions used here. It would be beneficial for $PaCO_2$ to be monitored during these trials so that the correlation between $ETCO_2$ and $PaCO_2$ could be analyzed under the “no mask”, “mask with no O2”, and “mask with CPAP” conditions. A controlled trial like this would provide far greater clarity than the existing observational studies, which have yielded largely mixed results regarding $ETCO_2$’s correlation with $PaCO_2$ during NIV. Such experiments are outside the scope of this article but could strengthen the results presented here.

Another factor that could be analyzed in a future publication is capnography sampling location. This study monitored nasally with the CCA, and oro-nasally with the NC. Patients who are candidates for CPAP often breathe through their mouths, then through their noses as their condition improves.(32) A comparison of sampling nasally vs. orally vs. both was not conducted due to the limitations of the HPS (the nares and mouth are connected and are not able to model differences in breathing nasally vs. orally).

Finally, while it is evident that $ETCO_2$ and capnogram shape are significantly altered by CPAP, this does not mean that capnography is a useless tool for patients receiving the therapy.

449 It would be beneficial for future studies to examine if there are consistent changes that occur to
450 the capnogram and $ETCO_2$ value when a patient's condition is improving. If so, then healthcare
451 providers could still use capnography to monitor the effectiveness of CPAP but might require
452 additional training on interpreting the altered waveform morphology and $ETCO_2$ value. This type
453 of analysis was not possible here as the HPS had an internal $PaCO_2$ set-point for each patient
454 profile, but investigating this in human subjects would be very informative.

455 # Conclusions

456 CPAP and capnography have many overlapping indications, but combining their use in
457 the prehospital setting has not been well studied. Despite this, some EMS education sources
458 recommend using an NC for capnography during CPAP. Previous studies have investigated the
459 correlation of $ETCO_2$ to $PaCO_2$ during NIV in the hospital setting with conflicting results, likely due
460 to mixed methodologies and physiological factors. Using an HPS to standardize confounding
461 variables, we assessed CPAP's effect on capnogram morphology, $ETCO_2$, and respiration rate
462 accuracy. In addition to using a conventional NC, we introduced a novel capnography sampler
463 (the CCA) that overcame the NC's issue of breaking CPAP's mask-face seal. The NC and CCA
464 were equally accurate for measuring respiration rate, and both maintained this accuracy with and
465 without CPAP; the two capnography samplers also did not produce differences in $ETCO_2$
466 measurements. However, placing a mask without O_2 flowing on the HPS increased $ETCO_2$, and
467 administering CPAP decreased $ETCO_2$. As this occurred while the HPS was running a pre-
468 programmed respiratory profile with set-point $ETCO_2$ and $PaCO_2$, the results indicate that
469 measuring exhaled CO_2 is not an accurate way to reflect patient breathing during CPAP. The
470 capnography waveform was also compromised by CPAP, limiting its potential as a diagnostic tool.
471 Therefore, healthcare professionals should interpret $ETCO_2$ values and capnogram shape with
472 caution while administering CPAP.
473

474 # Acknowledgments

475 The authors thank Steve Wilson for generously providing equipment that was vital for data
476 collection. This work was funded by the Abrams Scholarship from the UNC/NCSU Joint
477 Department of Biomedical Engineering, as well as significant in-kind support from the UNC School
478 of Nursing Simulation Center, UNC/NCSU Joint Department of Biomedical Engineering, and
479 Durham Technical Community College EMS Education program.

References

1. NEMSIS [Internet]. [cited 2022 Jun 14]. 911 Call Complaint vs. EMS Provider Findings Dashboard. Available from: https://nemsis.org/view-reports/public-reports/version-2-public-dashboards/v2-911-call-complaint-vs-ems-provider-findings-dashboard/
2. Burton JH, Harrah JD, Germann CA, Dillon DC. Does End-tidal Carbon Dioxide Monitoring Detect Respiratory Events Prior to Current Sedation Monitoring Practices? Acad Emerg Med. 2006;13(5):500–4.
3. Lightdale JR, Goldmann DA, Feldman HA, Newburg AR, DiNardo JA, Fox VL. Microstream Capnography Improves Patient Monitoring During Moderate Sedation: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. Pediatrics. 2006 Jun 1;117(6):e1170–8.
4. Arakawa H, Kaise M, Sumiyama K, Saito S, Suzuki T, Tajiri H. Does pulse oximetry accurately monitor a patient's ventilation during sedated endoscopy under oxygen supplementation? Singapore Med J. 2013 Apr;54(4):212–5.
5. Bickler PE, Feiner JR, Severinghaus JW. Effects of Skin Pigmentation on Pulse Oximeter Accuracy at Low Saturation. Anesthesiology. 2005 Apr 1;102(4):715–9.
6. Pulse Oximetry May Be Inaccurate in Patients with Darker Skin. AJN Am J Nurs. 2021 Apr;121(4):16.
7. Sjoding MW, Dickson RP, Iwashyna TJ, Gay SE, Valley TS. Racial Bias in Pulse Oximetry Measurement. N Engl J Med. 2020 Dec 17;383(25):2477–8.
8. Castro D, Patil SM, Keenaghan M. Arterial Blood Gas [Internet]. StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing; 2021 [cited 2021 Nov 23]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK536919/
9. Barten CW, Wang ESJ. Correlation of end-tidal CO2 measurements to arterial Paco2 in nonintubated patients. Ann Emerg Med. 1994 Mar 1;23(3):560–3.
10. Takano Y, Sakamoto O, Kiyofuji C, Ito K. A comparison of the end-tidal CO2 measured by portable capnometer and the arterial P CO2 in spontaneously breathing patients. Respir Med. 2003 May 1;97(5):476–81.
11. Corbo J, Bijur P, Lahn M, Gallagher EJ. Concordance Between Capnography and Arterial Blood Gas Measurements of Carbon Dioxide in Acute Asthma. Ann Emerg Med. 2005 Oct 1;46(4):323–7.
12. Sakuraya M, Douno E, Iwata W, Takaba A, Hadama K, Kawamura N, et al. Accuracy evaluation of mainstream and sidestream end-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring during noninvasive ventilation: a randomized crossover trial (MASCAT-NIV trial). J Intensive Care [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Jun 13];10. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8932153/
13. Hunter CL, Silvestri S, Dean M, Falk JL, Papa L. End-tidal carbon dioxide is associated with mortality and lactate in patients with suspected sepsis. Am J Emerg Med. 2013 Jan;31(1):64–71.
14. Hunter CL, Silvestri S, Ralls G, Stone A, Walker A, Mangalat N, et al. Comparing Quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Scores to End-tidal Carbon Dioxide as Mortality Predictors in Prehospital Patients with Suspected Sepsis. West J Emerg Med. 2018 May;19(3):446–51.
15. Caputo ND, Fraser RM, Paliga A, Matarlo J, Kanter M, Hosford K, et al. Nasal cannula end-tidal CO2 correlates with serum lactate levels and odds of operative intervention in penetrating trauma patients: a prospective cohort study. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012 Nov;73(5):1202–7.
16. Donald MJ, Paterson B. End tidal carbon dioxide monitoring in prehospital and retrieval medicine: a review | Emergency Medicine Journal. Emerg Med J EMJ. 2006;23(9):728–30.

17. You B, Peslin R, Duvivier C, Dang Vu V, Grilliat JP. Expiratory capnography in asthma: Eur Respir J. 1994 Feb 1;7(2):318–23.
18. Chopin C, Fesard P, Mangalaboyi J, Lestavel P, Chambrin MC, Fourrier F, et al. Use of capnography in diagnosis of pulmonary embolism during acute respiratory failure of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Crit Care Med. 1990 Apr;18(4):353–7.
19. Hunter CL, Silvestri S, Ralls G, Papa L. Prehospital end-tidal carbon dioxide differentiates between cardiac and obstructive causes of dyspnoea. Emerg Med J EMJ. 2015 Jun;32(6):453–6.
20. Nik Hisamuddin NAR, Rashidi A, Chew KS, Kamaruddin J, Idzwan Z, Teo AH. Correlations between capnographic waveforms and peak flow meter measurement in emergency department management of asthma. Int J Emerg Med. 2009 Feb 24;2(2):83–9.
21. Kodali BS. Capnography Outside the Operating Rooms. Anesthesiology. 2013 Jan 1;118(1):192–201.
22. Cappiello JL, Hocker MB. Noninvasive Ventilation in Severe Acute Asthma. Respir Care. 2014 Oct 1;59(10):e149–52.
23. Pinto VL, Sharma S. Continuous Positive Airway Pressure [Internet]. StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing; 2021 [cited 2021 Nov 23]. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK482178/
24. Schwerin DL, Goldstein S. EMS Prehospital CPAP Devices. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021 [cited 2021 Nov 23]. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470429/
25. Padilla ACH, Trampont T, Lafon T, Daix T, Cailloce D, Barraud O, et al. Is prehospital endobronchial intubation a risk factor for subsequent ventilator associated pneumonia? A retrospective analysis. PLOS ONE. 2019 May 23;14(5):e0217466.
26. Williams B, Boyle M, Robertson N, Giddings C. When Pressure is Positive: A Literature Review of the Prehospital Use of Continuous Positive Airway Pressure. Prehospital Disaster Med. 2013 Feb;28(1):52–60.
27. Gravenstein JS, Jaffe MB, Gravenstein N, Paulus DA, editors. Capnography [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2011 [cited 2021 Nov 23]. Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/capnography/ACB43C8143D05B1E8011A39676E839BE
28. Uzunay H, Selvi F, Bedel C, Karakoyun OF. Comparison of ETCO2 Value and Blood Gas PCO2 Value of Patients Receiving Non-invasive Mechanical Ventilation Treatment in Emergency Department. SN Compr Clin Med. 2021 Aug 1;3(8):1717–21.
29. Stryker [Internet]. LIFEPAK 15 monitor/defibrillator - Operating Instructions. Available from: https://www.stryker.com/content/dam/stryker/ems/resources/operating-instructions/international/3314911-030_int-eng_lifepak_15_operating_instructions.pdf
30. Akcil EF, Dilmen OK, Tunali Y. Capnography as a Tool to Improve Noninvasive Ventilation: Technical Key Topics and Clinical Implications. In: Esquinas AM, editor. Noninvasive Mechanical Ventilation: Theory, Equipment, and Clinical Applications [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2016. p. 179–81. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-21653-9_19
31. Murphy G. How to Use EtCO2 During CPAP [Internet]. JEMS: EMS, Emergency Medical Services - Training, Paramedic, EMT News. 2021 [cited 2023 Mar 20]. Available from: https://www.jems.com/patient-care/how-to-use-etco2-during-cpap/
32. EMS1 [Internet]. [cited 2023 Mar 20]. Capnography and CPAP: Making the best better. Available from: https://www.ems1.com/ems-products/medical-equipment/airway-management/articles/capnography-and-cpap-making-the-best-better-D4VNbrgNjXgEndqN/
33. McLendon K, Edemekong PF. EMS Field Identification Of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD). In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 [cited 2023 Jun 13]. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK493230/

34. Orange County North Carolina [Internet]. 2020. Emergency Medical Services Medical Practice Protocols. Available from: https://orangecountync.gov/DocumentCenter/View/4414
35. Formlabs [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2023 Mar 7]. General Purpose Resins: Material Properties Data. Available from: https://formlabs-media.formlabs.com/datasheets/1801089-TDS-ENUS-0P.pdf
36. Formlabs [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 Mar 7]. Material Data Sheet: Elastic 50A. Available from: https://formlabs-media.formlabs.com/datasheets/2001420-TDS-ENUS-0.pdf
37. Formlabs [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Mar 7]. FormCurePost-CureSettings.pdf. Available from: https://support.formlabs.com/s/article/Form-Cure-Time-and-Temperature-Settings?language=en_US
38. Twisk JWR, editor. Multivariate Mixed Model Analysis. In: Applied Mixed Model Analysis: A Practical Guide [Internet]. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2019 [cited 2023 Mar 20]. p. 151–65. (Practical Guides to Biostatistics and Epidemiology). Available from: https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/applied-mixed-model-analysis/multivariate-mixed-model-analysis/24F30835C3D1A00EA835A8AA9251F27D
39. Huang FL. MANOVA: A Procedure Whose Time Has Passed? Gift Child Q. 2020 Jan 1;64(1):56–60.
40. Tabachnick BG, Fidell LS, Ullman JB. Using multivariate statistics. Vol. 6. pearson Boston, MA; 2013.
41. Phillips JS, Pangilinan LP, Mangalindan ERE, Booze JL, Kallet RH. A Comparison of Different Techniques for Interfacing Capnography With Adult and Pediatric Supplemental Oxygen Masks. Respir Care. 2017 Jan 1;62(1):78–85.
42. Kempley S, Reeves J, Wilkins A. High oxygen flow rates with the UCL Ventura CPAP device. Lancet Respir Med. 2021 Apr 1;9(4):e35.
43. DeMers D, Wachs D. Physiology, Mean Arterial Pressure. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022 [cited 2023 Mar 20]. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK538226/
44. Razi E, Moosavi GA, Omidi K, Khakpour Saebi A, Razi A. Correlation of End-Tidal Carbon Dioxide with Arterial Carbon Dioxide in Mechanically Ventilated Patients. Arch Trauma Res. 2012;1(2):58–62.
45. Piquilloud L, Thevoz D, Jolliet P, Revelly JP. End-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring using a naso-buccal sensor is not appropriate to monitor capnia during non-invasive ventilation. Ann Intensive Care. 2015 Feb 12;5:2.
46. HPS Anesthesia Human Patient Simulator | CAE Healthcare [Internet]. [cited 2023 Mar 20]. Available from: https://www.caehealthcare.com/patient-simulation/hps/
47. Antošová T, Rožánek M. Scenario of short anesthesia administration prepared for human patient simulator. In: 2015 E-Health and Bioengineering Conference (EHB). 2015. p. 1–4.
48. Tripathy DK, Dhar M, Bhardwaj BB, Hemanthkumar K, Talawar P, Rao S. Use of a human patient simulator for apnea studies: a preliminary in vitro trial. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2022 Jul 13;75(5):437–44.
49. Gaba D. Simulator training in anesthesia growing rapidly:CAE Model Born at Stanford. J Clin Monit. 1996 Mar 1;12(2):195–8.
50. Nicolò A, Massaroni C, Schena E, Sacchetti M. The Importance of Respiratory Rate Monitoring: From Healthcare to Sport and Exercise. Sensors. 2020 Nov 9;20(21):6396.

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Engineering sketches of Capnography-CPAP Adapter (CCA). Multiple isometric, side, top, and bottom views are depicted for both the elbow adapter and nasal sampler portions. All necessary dimensions for replication are labeled (in mm and degrees); sharp edges were filleted.

Figure S2. SpO_2 standardized to patient among all conditions (A), by capnography monitor (B), and by mask condition (C). Capnography monitor did not affect SpO_2; applying CPAP significantly increased SpO_2 compared to "no mask". Mixed model approach did not converge, so SpO_2 was standardized to remove the random effect of "patient". Then, MANOVA was run; no significant interactions were found, so p-values were obtained from unpaired two-tailed t-tests. * indicates $p < 0.05$. Boxplots reflect data prior to taking 20-breath averages.

Figure S3. Normalized heart rate (HR) and mean arterial pulse (MAP) among all conditions (HR: A; MAP: D), by capnography monitor (HR: B; MAP: E), and by mask condition (HR: C; MAP: F). HR was higher for CCA trials compared to the NC; MAP was reduced in the CPAP trials compared to "no mask". Note that the observed differences, prior to normalization, were +/- 1 bpm and +/- 2 mmHg for HR and MAP, respectively. * indicates $p < 0.05$ (p-values obtained from t-statistic from mixed/multilevel-linear model). Boxplots reflect data prior to taking 20-breath averages.


A.
B.
i.
ii.
PCO2 (mmHg)
I
II
III
IV/0
α
β
*
*
Time
C.
D.
LIFEPAK 15 MONITOR/DEFIBRILLATOR
CPR
ANALYZE
LEAD
SIZE
SYNC
NIBP
ALARMS
OPTIONS
EVENT
PACER
RATE
CURRENT
PAUSE
12-LEAD
TRANSMIT
CODE SUMMARY
PRINT
20


A.
B.
CapnoLine® CO2 tubing
Elbow adapter
Capnography port plug
Nasal sampler
C.
PEEP control valve
CPAP flow regulator
CPAP mask
Oxygen input port
D.
Healthy Adult Male
Stan D. Ardman II
38
13
70
98
118 / 77
E.
F.

NC	CCA

No mask





Mask with no O2





Mask with CPAP






A.
No mask
Mask with no O2
Mask with CPAP
ETCO2 Normalized to Target
1.1
1.0
0.9
0.8
0.7
0.6
0.5
CCA
NC
CCA
B.
ns
ETCO2 Normalized to Target
NC
CCA
Capnography Monitor
C.
*
*
ETCO2 Normalized to Target
No mask
Mask with no O2
Mask with CPAP
Mask Condition


A.
No mask
Mask with no O2
Mask with CPAP
RR Error (%)
0
20
40
60
80
100
NC
CCA
B.
ns
Capnography Monitor
C.
ns
ns
Mask Condition

Elbow Adapter:

*Dimensions are in mm and degrees













Nasal Sampler:








A.
No mask
Mask with no O2
Mask with CPAP
Standardized SpO2
3
2
1
0
−1
−2
NC
CCA
B.
ns
Capnography Monitor
C.
*
Mask Condition
4


A.
No mask
Mask with no O2
Mask with CPAP
Normalized Heart Rate
1.06
1.04
1.02
1.00
0.98
0.96
NC
CCA
B.
*
Normalized Heart Rate
Capnography Monitor
C.
ns
ns
Normalized Heart Rate
No mask
Mask with no O2
Mask with CPAP
Mask Condition
D.
Normalized MAP
1.02
1.01
1.00
0.99
0.98
0.97
0.96
0.95
E.
ns
Normalized MAP
Capnography Monitor
F.
ns
*
Normalized MAP
Mask Condition