1 **Integrating metabolomics and proteomics to identify novel drug targets for**

2 **heart failure and atrial fibrillation**

4 Marion van Vugt[1,2,3], Chris Finan[1,2,4,5], Sandesh Chopade[2,4], Rui Providencia[5], Connie R.

5 Bezzina[6], Folkert W. Asselbergs[3,7,8], Jessica van Setten*[1], A. Floriaan Schmidt*[1,2,3,4]

6   1. Division Heart & Lungs, Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center

7   Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

8   2. Institute of Cardiovascular Science, Faculty of Population Health, University College

9   London, London, United Kingdom

3. Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, Amsterdam

University Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

4. UCL British Heart Foundation Research Accelerator, London, United Kingdom

5. Health Data Research UK and Institute of Health Informatics, University College

London, London, United Kingdom

6. Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Department of Experimental Cardiology,

University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

7. Institute of Health Informatics, University College London, London, United Kingdom

8. The National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals

Biomedical Research Centre, University College London, London, United Kingdom

Running title: Multi-omics in cardiac diseases

Word count text: 3,356

Word count abstract: 263

Number of references: 68

Number of tables and figures: 9

Number of (web) appendices: 3

Corresponding author:

Marion van Vugt

m.vanvugt-2@umcutrecht.nl

Abstract

Background. Altered metabolism plays a role in the pathophysiology of cardiac diseases,

such as atrial fibrillation (AF) and heart failure (HF). We aimed to identify novel plasma

metabolites and proteins associating with cardiac disease.

Methods. Mendelian randomisation (MR) was used to assess the association of 174

metabolites measured in up to 86,507 participants with AF, HF, dilated cardiomyopathy

(DCM), and non-ischemic cardiomyopathy. Subsequently, we sourced data on 1,567 plasma

proteins and performed *cis* MR to identify proteins affecting the identified metabolites as well

as the cardiac diseases. Proteins were prioritised on cardiac expression and druggability,

and mapped to biological pathways.

Results. We identified 35 metabolites associating with cardiac disease. AF was affected by

seventeen metabolites, HF by nineteen, DCM by four, and NCIM by taurine. HF was

particularly enriched for phosphatidylcholines (p=0.029) and DCM for acylcarnitines

(p=0.001). Metabolite involvement in AF was more uniform, spanning for example

phosphatidylcholines, amino acids, and acylcarnitines. We identified 38 druggable proteins

expressed in cardiac tissue, with a directionally concordant effect on metabolites and cardiac

disease. We recapitulated known associations, for example between the drug target of

digoxin (AT1B2), taurine and NICM risk. Additionally, we identified numerous novel findings,

such as higher RET values associating with phosphatidylcholines and decreasing AF and

HF, and RET is targeted by drugs such as regorafenib which has known cardiotoxic side-

effects. Pathway analysis implicated involvement of GDF15 signalling through RET, and

ghrelin regulation of energy homeostasis in cardiac pathogenesis.

Conclusion. This study identified 35 plasma metabolites involved with cardiac diseases and

linked these to 38 druggable proteins, providing actionable leads for drug development.

Keywords: heart failure, atrial fibrillation, cardiomyopathy, metabolomics, drug

development, Mendelian randomisation, proteomics

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) and heart failure (HF) are common types of cardiac disease, resulting in

substantial mortality and morbidity, and placing a major burden on healthcare and society[1,2].

Despite advances in management and understanding of disease pathophysiology, AF and

HF prevalence remain high[1,2]. HF is a particularly heterogeneous disease potentially caused

by cardiomyopathies, such as dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and non-ischemic

cardiomyopathy (NICM).

Aside from N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) used in HF,

troponin in ischemic cardiac disease, and D-dimers in pulmonary embolism, there are no

plasma measurements to inform management of cardiac disease. Analyses of diseased

hearts and cardiomyocytes have shown clear changes in cardiac metabolism, where

diseased cardiomyocytes switch to fatty acid oxidation[3–5]. Small sample sized and

predominantly cross-sectional studies of AF and HF patients have found elevated plasma

values of acylcarnitines (ACs), which are markers of impaired fatty acid oxidation[6–12].

Metabolic changes characterising cardiac diseases can inform drug development, which is

especially important for HF and AF, where currently available drugs do not necessarily

address underlying aetiology. For example, no novel AF drugs have been approved since

the 2011 introduction of the anticoagulants rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban, which are

indicated for stroke prevention in AF patient without addressing the underlying cause of AF.

Both metabolites and proteins, the main targets of most drugs[14], are increasingly analysed

by high-throughput assays measuring the plasma values of hundreds of analytes[15–17].

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have now identified genetic determinants of

protein and metabolite values, which allow for integrative analyses identifying potential

associations between the protein values, metabolite values, and cardiac disease in humans.

Specifically, through two-sample Mendelian randomisation (MR), one can anticipate the

effect an exposure (e.g. a metabolite) will have on the onset of disease by sourcing genetic

variants strongly associated with the exposure and determining whether these variants show

a dose-response association with disease[18,19]. This approach has been extensively validated

for cardiovascular disease[20–23], including a recent study on plasma proteins affecting cardiac

function and structure as well as cardiac disease[24].

In the current study we sourced data on 174 metabolites measured in up to 86,507

participants and performed genome-wide MR to identify metabolites associated with the

cardiac outcomes AF, HF, DCM, and NICM. Subsequently, we leveraged data on 1,567

proteins measured in up to 35,559 participants and used *cis* MR to identify proteins affecting

both the identified metabolites and the considered cardiac outcomes. We triangulated and

prioritised proteins with concordant effects on metabolite values and cardiac outcomes.

Through the integration of these omics data we identified 38 drugged and druggable proteins

expressed in cardiac tissue, which affected 35 metabolites and cardiac disease.

Methods

Data sources

Genetic associations with 174 metabolites measured in up to 86,507 participants

were available from Lotta *et al.* (**Supplementary Note**)[25]. Plasma protein data were

available from eight GWAS: deCODE (SomaLogic assay, n=35,559)[26], SCALLOP (OLINK

assay, n=30,931)[27], Ahola-Olli *et al.* (BioRad assay, n=8,293)[28], Framingham (Luminex

assay, n=6,861)[29], AGES-Reykjavik (SomaLogic assay, n=5,368)[30], INTERVAL (SomaLogic

assay, n=3,301)[31], Gilly *et al.* (Olink assay, n=1,328)[32], and Yang *et al.* (SomaLogic assay,

n=636)[33]. Genetic associations with AF were sourced from Nielsen *et al.* (60,620 cases)[34],

with HF from Shah *et al.* (47,309 cases)[35], with DCM from Garnier *et al.* (2,719 cases)[36], and

with NICM from Aragam *et al.* (2,038 cases)[37].

Mendelian randomisation analyses

Genome-wide MR, considering genetic variants from across the genome, was used to

establish associations between metabolite values and cardiac outcomes (step 1 in **Figure**

1A, **Appendix Table S1-2**). Subsequently *cis* MR, sourcing genetic variants from a 200

kilobase pair window around the protein encoding gene[18], was used to identify plasma

protein effects on metabolite values and cardiac outcomes (step 2-3 in **Figure 1A**).

Irrespective of the type of MR analysis, instruments were selected based on an exposure F-

statistic of at least 24 and a minor allele frequency of at least 0.01. Additionally, variants

were clumped to a linkage disequilibrium r-squared of 0.3, based on a random sample of

5,000 unrelated UK biobank participants of European ancestry.

Analyses were conducted using the generalised least squares implementation of the

inverse-variance weighted (IVW) estimator, as well as with an Egger correction protecting

against horizontal pleiotropy[38]. Influence of horizontal pleiotropy was minimised by excluding

variants with a leverage statistic larger than three times the mean, and by excluding variants

with an outlier (i.e. chi-square) statistic larger than 10.83[39]. To ensure we had sufficient data

to accurately model the exposure effects, we discarded analyses with fewer than 6 variants.

Additionally, a model selection strategy was used to select the most appropriate estimator

(IVW or MR-Egger)[39,40], where the MR-Egger is unbiased in the presence of directional

horizontal pleiotropy. The model selection framework, originally developed by Rücker *et al.*[41],

uses the difference in heterogeneity between the IVW Q-statistic and the Egger Q-statistic to

decide which method provides the best model to describe the available data. Furthermore,

due to the two-sample nature of our analyses any potential weak-instrument bias would act

toward a null effect, reducing power, rather than increasing type 1 errors[24].

Effect estimates, multiple testing, and nomenclature

MR results are presented as mean difference (MD) for continuous outcomes, or odds ratios

(ORs) for binary outcomes, accompanied by 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and p-values.

Metabolite effects on disease were filtered for a multiplicity corrected p-value threshold of

7×10^{-5} based on the 174 available metabolites and four outcomes, which is conservative

considering many metabolites are correlated. Similarly, protein MR effect estimates were

evaluated against a multiplicity corrected p-value of 9×10^{-7} for the metabolites and 2×10^{-5} for

the cardiac outcomes, based on the number of tested proteins (1,567 in the metabolite MR

and 686 in the cardiac MR) and outcomes (36 in the metabolite MR and four in the cardiac

MR). Proteins are referred to using their Uniprot label and presented in normal font to

differentiate from gene names in italic font.

Prioritisation and annotation of proteins

Proteins were prioritised based on the association with a plasma metabolite and a

directionally concordant effect on cardiac outcome. For example, if an increase in a

metabolite value decreased the risk of AF, a protein that increased the value of this

metabolite should decrease the risk of AF to be deemed concordant. In this way, robust

triangles of associations between the metabolites, proteins, and cardiac outcomes are

created (**Figure 1B**). Given that this triangulation considers evidence from three separate

MR analyses, with distinct underlying assumptions on horizontal pleiotropy this approach

identifies a robust set of results highly supported by the available data. For example, *cis* MR

analyses assume the absence of pre-translational pleiotropy, which may be more robust

compared to more distal assumptions on horizontal pleiotropy employed in genome-wide

MR.

The identified proteins were further annotated and prioritised on the presence of cardiac

mRNA expression sourcing information from the human protein atlas (HPA)[42]. Subsequently,

we identified genes which were overexpressed in cardiac tissue through comparisons

against the average mRNA expression across the other 60 available tissues

(**Supplementary Note**). Proteins are referred to as "druggable" if they are targeted by a

developmental drug compound, and "drugged" if they are targeted by an approved

compounds. For this we used data from ChEMBL v.33 and the British National Formulary

(BNF), which additionally provided information on indications and side-effects. Finally, the

Reactome[43] knowledgebase was queried to map all 1,567 proteins to biological pathways.

Pathway involvement of the prioritised proteins was compared against the full set of 1,567

proteins using Wald tests.

Replicating protein associations with metabolites and cardiac disease

The aforementioned *cis* MR analyses sourced data from eight proteomic GWAS, allowing for

replication of the subset of identified proteins that were available in multiple studies. Of the

82 prioritised proteins (see results), 49 where available in multiple studies. For these 49

proteins the largest sample size GWAS was used for discovery and the remaining studies

used for replication. Replication was sought by identifying associations with the same effect

direction as the discovery association, and a p-value smaller than 0.05 (nominal replication)

and 0.05/49=0.001 (conservative replication). Please see the **Supplementary Note** for

additional details.

Results

Metabolite effects on cardiac outcomes

Genome-wide MR identified 35 metabolites associating with one or more cardiac disease

(**Figure 2**, **Appendix Table S2-3**). Acylcarnitines (ACs) were enriched for DCM (p=0.001;

Appendix Table S4), with higher values of hexadecanoylcarnitine (OR 1.51, 95%CI 1.28;

1.79), octadecanoylcarnitine (OR 1.47, 95%CI 1.25; 1.73), and octadecadienoylcarnitine

(OR 1.38, 95%CI 1.22; 1.55) increasing DCM risk, while higher values of butyrylcarnitine

decreased DCM risk (OR 0.87, 95%CI 0.82; 0.93). Phosphatidylcholines (PCs) were

enriched for HF (p=0.029; **Appendix Table S4**) and all thirteen PCs associated with HF

elicited a risk increasing effect. We observed more uniform effects for AF, which was

affected by seventeen plasma metabolites. Finally, we observed that higher values of

plasma taurine decreased NICM risk (OR 0.48, 95%CI 0.38; 0.60; **Figure 2**).

Protein effects on metabolite values and cardiac outcomes

We evaluated 1,567 unique plasma proteins for associations with the 35 metabolites

implicated in cardiac disease onset. This resulted in 686 proteins associated with one or

more metabolite (step 2 in **Figure 1A**, **Appendix Figure S1**). Prioritising proteins on an

association with cardiac outcomes identified 241 proteins (step 3 in **Figure 1A**, **Appendix**

Figure S2), which were pruned down to 87 proteins by identifying the proteins with a

directionally concordant effect on metabolites and cardiac outcomes (**Table 1-4**, **Appendix**

Table S5). This triangulated subset of 87 proteins included 82 that were expressed in

cardiac tissue, of which six (ANX11, DNJA4, NAR3, PLXA1, RF1ML, and TIG1) proteins

were significantly overexpressed in the heart compared to other tissues (**Figure 3**,

Appendix Table S6).

ChEMBL and BNF were consulted to identify seventeen proteins that were druggable (i.e.,

targeted by a developmental compound) and 21 that were drugged (i.e., targeted by an

approved compound; **Figure 4, Appendix Table S7-8**). Eleven of the 21 drugged proteins

were targeted by drugs with a cardiac indication, side-effect, or both. For example, higher

values of AT1B2 (targeted by HF drug digoxin) increased NICM risk and decreased plasma

taurine values. Furthermore, higher values of DPEP1 (associated with acetylornithine

values) and KPCA (associated with tetradecanoylcarnitine and PC ae C38:4 values)

decreased AF risk, while higher values of FA10 (associated with PC ae C42:2 and PC ae

C38:4 values) increased AF risk (**Figure 4**). DPEP1 is inhibited by cilastatin, which is

indicated for endocarditis and is implicated with tachycardia, KPCA is inhibited by

midostaurin, which is known to elicit QT-interval prolongation, and FA10 is inhibited by drugs

like apixaban, edoxaban, and rivaroxaban, which are commonly used to prevent stroke in

AF. The remaining ten drugged proteins were targeted by compounds indicated for

treatment of cancers (CAN2, EPHB1, ERAP1, GSTM3, NQO1, and PVRL4), mitochondrial

and muscular disease (NQO1), and metabolic syndrome (CEL; **Appendix Table S8-9**).

Irrespective of the indication or reported side-effect, drugged or druggable proteins

associating with HF frequently affected phosphatidylcholines values. Higher values of ADH4

(targeted by nitrefazole), EDAR, EPHB1 (targeted by cancer drug vandetanib), ERAP1

(targeted by cancer drug tosedostat), and FCG2A decreased HF risk, while higher values of

APOC3, CAN2 (targeted by amyloidosis drugs bortezomib and carfilzomib), and GRAB

increased HF risk (**Figure 4**, **Appendix Table S7**). DCM was affected by six drugged or

druggable proteins, all affecting one or more acylcarnitines. Higher values of SAT2 (targeted

by trientine, which is in phase 2 clinical development for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy) and

NUDT9 decreased DCM risk, while FCG3A (the target of imgatuzumab) and CACP (targeted

by levocarnitine, which is being tested for AF and HF) increased DCM risk. Higher values of

CEL (targeted by obesity medicine orlistat), ENTP5 (targeted by the psoriasis drug

anthralin), GMPR2, GFRA1 (targeted by liatermin, a phase 1 compound for Parkinson's

disease), IBP3, and TEC (targeted by Pf-06651600, which is in clinical testing for arthritis,

kidney and Crohn's disease) decreased AF risk, while ENASE (targeted by AF drug

edoxaban), GSTM3 (targeted by carmustine), IGFR1, TDGF1 (targeted by the antibody biib-

015 used to treat cancer), and TRYB1 (targeted by arginine, which is being tested for HF)

increased AF risk, affecting metabolites of various classes (**Figure 4**, **Appendix Table S7-**

8). Four drugs target more than one prioritised protein: Cep-2563 targets KPCA and RET,

edoxaban targets ENASE and FA10, nitrefazole targets ADH1B and ADH4, and vandetanib

targets EPHB1 and RET (**Appendix Table S8**).

Ten pleiotropic proteins affected multiple cardiac outcomes (**Figure 5**). For example, higher

values of ACES (inhibited by pyridostigmine bromide, which is in phase 2 testing for HF),

DNJA4, and PLXB2 increased AF and HF risk, whereas higher values of IL6RA (targeted by

tocilizumab), NEC1, and RET (targeted by regorafenib, which has cardiac side-effects)

decreased AF and HF risk. Higher values of APOC3 and FCG3A increased the risk of HF

and DCM. ENOB increased the risk of HF (OR 1.05, 95%CI 1.03; 1.08), DCM (OR 1.39,

95%CI 1.28; 1.50), and NICM (OR 1.24, 95%CI 1.13; 1.35; **Figure 5**, **Appendix Table S7**).

Identifying enriched biological pathways

We used the Reactome knowledgebase[43] to identify enriched pathways among the

prioritised proteins which identified three enriched pathways involving seven of the prioritised

proteins. Specifically, GFRA1, KPCA, and RET were involved in the "RET signalling"

pathway, TPSN and ERAP1 in "antigen presentation: folding, assembly and peptide loading

of class I MHC", and ACES and NEC1 in the "synthesis, secretion, and deacylation of

ghrelin" pathway (**Appendix Table S10**).

Replicating protein associations with cardiac disease

Replication data from independent plasma protein data were available for 49 out of 82

prioritised proteins. Applying a nominal replication p-value of 0.05, we were able to replicate

the cardiac association of 38 proteins (77.6%; **Appendix Table S11**). The effects of 24

proteins were replicated more than once, with the IL6RA effects on AF and HF replicated in

up to five studies. Applying a multiple testing adjusted p-value cut-off, resulted in 30

replicated proteins (61.2%), also including IL6RA. Please see the **Supplementary Note** for

replication of the protein association with plasma metabolite values.

Discussion

In the current study we integrated genetic associations with 174 metabolites and 1,567

proteins to identify determinants of cardiac disease relevant for de novo drug development

and drug repurposing. Analyses were prioritised on concordant effect direction and cardiac

tissue expression, identifying 82 triangulated proteins and 35 metabolites associating with

AF, HF, DCM, and NICM. Plasma acylcarnitine (AC) values were strongly related with DCM,

while phosphatidylcholine (PC) values were important determinants of HF. Metabolites

affecting AF spanned six metabolite classes, potentially reflecting the heterogeneous

aetiology of AF. We identified ten pleiotropic proteins affecting multiple cardiac traits: ACES,

APOC3, DNJA4, ENOB, FCG3A, IL6RA, NEC1, PLA2R, PLXB2, and RET. The 38 drugged

and druggable proteins included eleven proteins with a known cardiac indication, side-effect,

or both: ACES (donepezil), AT1B2 (digoxin), CACP (levocarnitine), DPEP1 (cilastatin),

ENASE (edoxaban), FA10 (apixaban), IL6RA (tocilizumab), KPCA (midostaurin), RET

(regorafenib), SAT2 (trientine), and TRYB1 (arginine). Pathway enrichment identified

proteins involved in GDF15-dependent RET signalling, ghrelin homeostasis, and MHC I

antigen presentation.

ACs were strongly associated with DCM onset, with prioritised proteins affecting DCM also

associating with long-chain (hexadecanoylcarnitine, octadecanoylcarnitine,

octadecadienoylcarnitine) and short-chain (butyrylcarnitine) AC values (**Table 3**, **Appendix**

Table S7). Higher AC values additionally increased AF (propionylcarnitine and

tetradecanoylcarnitine), and HF risk (acetylcarnitine). AC values are indicators of fatty acid

oxidation, the main energy substrate of the healthy heart[4], with particularly long-chain ACs

the results of fatty acid metabolism[44], while short-chain ACs are more generally synthesised

during glucose, AA and fatty acids metabolism[44]. Fourteen drugged and druggable proteins

associated with AC plasma values (**Appendix Table S7**). We showed that ACES affects the

plasma values of several Acs and was associated with an risk increasing effect on AF and

HF. ACES is targeted by several drugs with cardiac side-effects and inhibited by

pyridostigmine bromide, which is being tested for HF (**Appendix Table S8**) and increases

heart rate variability, and reduces ventricular arrhythmias in chronic HF patients[46],

supporting the identified associations. NEC1 affects several PCs, AF, and HF, is a druggable

protein that processes hormones such as insulin and its encoding gene *PCSK1* associated

with HF risk factors such as obesity[47] and abnormal glucose homeostasis[48]. NEC1 and

ACES are involved in the enriched ghrelin pathway, which regulates energy homeostasis

which plays a role in myocardial function[49–52]. Ghrelin was therefore proposed as a novel

target in HF management[53].

We identified thirteen PCs that increased HF risk, of which five also increased AF risk,

suggesting that PCs play an important role in cardiac function, which is supported by the

findings that PCs are implicated in aberrant calcium handling[54,55]. We identified sixteen

drugged and druggable proteins affecting PC values as well as AF or HF, or both (**Appendix**

Table S7). Higher ERAP1 values (targeted by tosedostat) were shown to decrease plasma

PC values and HF risk, is targeted by cancer drug tosedostat, and plays a role in blood

pressure regulation[56]. Together with the not yet druggable protein TPSN, ERAP1 is involved

in the enriched MHC I antigen presentation pathway which is linked to CD8+ T-cell mediated

cardiac remodelling leading to HF. We additionally found that higher KPCA values

decreased AC and PC values and protected against AF. KPCA is targeted by HF drug

midostaurin, and is involved in the significantly enriched RET signalling pathway, together

with GFRA1 and RET (**Appendix Table S9**). The RET receptor is activated by GFRA1 and

is needed for GDF15 signalling[57], where GDF15 concentrations are predictive of HF

outcome[58,59]. GDF15 has also been shown to associate with stroke, bleeding, and mortality

in AF patients. Furthermore, our observation that increased RET values are protective

against AF and HF may indicate that the adverse effects of RET-inhibiting cancer drug

regorafenib, such as hypertension and myocardial infarction, are on-target (**Table 1-2**,

Figure 4-5).

We wish to discuss the following potential limitations. Firstly, our analyses focussed on

plasma metabolites and proteins, which may not necessarily reflect concentrations in cardiac

tissue [61]. Evidence suggests that plasma values may serve as biomarkers of intracellular

energy metabolism[8,62] and correlate with metabolite values in cardiac tissues, for example

cardiac profiles of medium- and long-chain ACs have been found to reflect plasma

concentrations[44]. This is further supported by our observation that 82 of the 87 triangulated

plasma proteins were expressed in cardiac tissue. It is important to note that proteins without

cardiac expression can affect cardiac function, thereby contributing to disease development.

PCSK9, for example, was one of five proteins not expressed in cardiac tissue but is

anticipated to decrease HF risk through prevention of progressive CHD[21,63–65]. Additionally,

animal studies suggest that PCSK9 inhibition may induce an LDL receptor-mediated

improvement in cardiac lipid metabolism[66], providing illustrations of plasma protein

involvement with cardiac metabolism. A second potential limitation is our reliance on high

throughput assays, which differ in terms of accuracy and coverage[67], and additionally

measure protein or metabolite relative values rather than concentrations. This therefore

implies that the reported effect estimates and their magnitudes need not directly translate to

anticipated clinical effects, hence small effects may nevertheless mark potentially clinically

impactful targets. Rather we suggest a focus on effect direction, providing information on the

type of perturbation (activating versus inhibiting), might be more informative. Third, while MR

studies are susceptible to bias through horizontal pleiotropy, we mitigate this source of bias

by removing potentially pleiotropic variants, employing a model selection framework, and

triangulating results across analysis with distinct pleiotropy assumptions. Specifically, our

triangulation approach minimized the potential for horizontal pleiotropy, by looking for

agreement across three distinct MR analyses which also made distinct assumptions on

horizontal pleiotropy. The robustness of this approach is highlighted by the rediscovery of

multiple cardiac drug targets such as edoxaban, apixaban, and rivaroxaban for AF and

digoxin for HF. Were the triangulation of AT1B2 with taurine and NICM is particularly

illustrative, because taurine supplementation may be used to replace or reduce the dosage

of digoxin prescription in HF[68]. Finally, we emphasise that we were able to replicate

associations for up to 77.6% of the proteins available in multiple studies.

In conclusion, we identify 35 metabolites and 38 drugged or druggable proteins associating

with AF, HF, DCM, and NICM. We were able to relate these findings to biological pathways

1   relating to energy homeostasis through ghrelin and GDF15 signalling. Our findings provide

2   insight into pathogenesis of cardiac disease and leads for cardiac drug development.

Data availability

All scripts and aggregated data are available online at <URL available upon publication>.

Non-standard abbreviations and acronyms

AA = amino acid

AC = acylcarnitine

AF = atrial fibrillation

BNF = British National Formulary

CI = confidence interval

DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy

EGFR = epidermal growth factor receptor

GWAS = genome-wide association studies

HF = heart failure

HPA = human protein atlas

IQR = interquartile range

IVW = inverse-variance weighted

LPC = lysophosphatidylcholine

MD = mean difference

MR = mendelian randomisation

NICM = non-ischemic cardiomyopathy

nTPM = normalised transcripts per million

NT-proBNP = N-terminal prohormone of brain natriuretic peptide

OR = odds ratio

PC = phosphatidylcholine

SM = sphingomyelin

References

1. Elliott, A. D., Middeldorp, M. E., Van Gelder, I. C., Albert, C. M. & Sanders, P.

Epidemiology and modifiable risk factors for atrial fibrillation. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 1–14

(2023).

2. Ziaeian, B. & Fonarow, G. C. Epidemiology and aetiology of heart failure. *Nat. Rev.*

Cardiol. **13**, 368–378 (2016).

3. Lopaschuk, G. D., Karwi, Q. G., Tian, R., Wende, A. R. & Abel, E. D. Cardiac energy

metabolism in heart failure. *Circ. Res.* **128**, 1487–1513 (2021).

4. Dambrova, M. *et al.* Acylcarnitines: nomenclature, biomarkers, therapeutic potential,

drug targets, and clinical trials. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **74**, 506–551 (2022).

5. Wiersma, M. *et al.* Mitochondrial dysfunction underlies cardiomyocyte remodeling in

experimental and clinical atrial fibrillation. *Cells* **8**, 1202 (2019).

6. Ueland, T. *et al.* Disturbed carnitine regulation in chronic heart failure—increased plasma

levels of palmitoyl-carnitine are associated with poor prognosis. *Int. J. Cardiol.* **167**,

1892–1899 (2013).

7. Ahmad, T. *et al.* Prognostic implications of long-chain acylcarnitines in heart failure and

reversibility with mechanical circulatory support. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **67**, 291–299

(2016).

8. Hunter, W. G. *et al.* Metabolomic profiling identifies novel circulating biomarkers of

mitochondrial dysfunction differentially elevated in heart failure with preserved versus

reduced ejection fraction: evidence for shared metabolic impairments in clinical heart

failure. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **5**, e003190 (2016).

9. Ruiz, M. *et al.* Circulating acylcarnitine profile in human heart failure: a surrogate of fatty

acid metabolic dysregulation in mitochondria and beyond. *Am. J. Physiol.-Heart Circ.*

Physiol. **313**, H768–H781 (2017).

10. Zordoky, B. N. *et al.* Metabolomic fingerprint of heart failure with preserved ejection

fraction. *PloS One* **10**, e0124844 (2015).

11. Ruiz-Canela, M. *et al.* Plasma acylcarnitines and risk of incident heart failure and atrial fibrillation: the Prevención con dieta mediterránea study. *Rev. Esp. Cardiol. Engl. Ed.* **75**, 649–658 (2022).

12. Smith, E., Fernandez, C., Melander, O. & Ottosson, F. Altered acylcarnitine metabolism is associated with an increased risk of atrial fibrillation. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **9**, e016737 (2020).

13. Carubelli, V. *et al.* Amino acids and derivatives, a new treatment of chronic heart failure? *Heart Fail. Rev.* **20**, 39–51 (2015).

14. Finan, C. *et al.* The druggable genome and support for target identification and validation in drug development. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **9**, eaag1166 (2017).

15. Soininen, P. *et al.* High-throughput serum NMR metabonomics for cost-effective holistic studies on systemic metabolism. *Analyst* **134**, 1781–1785 (2009).

16. Würtz, P. *et al.* Quantitative serum nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomics in large-scale epidemiology: a primer on-omic technologies. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **186**, 1084–1096 (2017).

17. Gold, L. *et al.* Aptamer-based multiplexed proteomic technology for biomarker discovery. *Nat. Preced.* 1–1 (2010).

18. Schmidt, A. F. *et al.* Genetic drug target validation using Mendelian randomisation. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3255 (2020).

19. Schmidt, A. F., Hingorani, A. D. & Finan, C. Human genomics and drug development. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **12**, (2022).

20. Consortium, I.-6 R. M. R. A. (IL6R M. & others. The interleukin-6 receptor as a target for prevention of coronary heart disease: a mendelian randomisation analysis. *The Lancet* **379**, 1214–1224 (2012).

21. Schmidt, A. F. *et al.* Cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) as a drug target for cardiovascular disease. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 5640 (2021).

22. Zheng, J. *et al.* Phenome-wide Mendelian randomization mapping the influence of the plasma proteome on complex diseases. *Nat. Genet.* **52**, 1122–1131 (2020).

23. Cupido, A. J. *et al.* Joint genetic inhibition of PCSK9 and CETP and the association with coronary artery disease: a factorial Mendelian randomization study. *JAMA Cardiol.* **7**, 955–964 (2022).

24. Schmidt, A. F. *et al.* Druggable proteins influencing cardiac structure and function: Implications for heart failure therapies and cancer cardiotoxicity. *Sci. Adv.* **9**, eadd4984 (2023).

25. Lotta, L. A. *et al.* A cross-platform approach identifies genetic regulators of human metabolism and health. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 54–64 (2021).

26. Ferkingstad, E. *et al.* Large-scale integration of the plasma proteome with genetics and disease. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1712–1721 (2021).

27. Folkersen, L. *et al.* Genomic and drug target evaluation of 90 cardiovascular proteins in 30,931 individuals. *Nat. Metab.* **2**, 1135–1148 (2020).

28. Ahola-Olli, A. V. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies 27 loci influencing concentrations of circulating cytokines and growth factors. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **100**, 40–50 (2017).

29. Yao, C. *et al.* Genome-wide mapping of plasma protein QTLs identifies putatively causal genes and pathways for cardiovascular disease. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 3268 (2018).

30. Gudjonsson, A. *et al.* A genome-wide association study of serum proteins reveals shared loci with common diseases. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 480 (2022).

31. Sun, B. B. *et al.* Genomic atlas of the human plasma proteome. *Nature* **558**, 73–79 (2018).

32. Gilly, A. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing analysis of the cardiometabolic proteome. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 6336 (2020).

33. Yang, C. *et al.* Genomic atlas of the proteome from brain, CSF and plasma prioritizes proteins implicated in neurological disorders. *Nat. Neurosci.* **24**, 1302–1312 (2021).

34. Nielsen, J. B. *et al.* Biobank-driven genomic discovery yields new insight into atrial fibrillation biology. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1234–1239 (2018).

35. Shah, S. *et al.* Genome-wide association and Mendelian randomisation analysis provide insights into the pathogenesis of heart failure. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1–12 (2020).

36. Garnier, S. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis in dilated cardiomyopathy reveals two new players in systolic heart failure on chromosomes 3p25. 1 and 22q11. 23. *Eur. Heart J.* **42**, 2000–2011 (2021).

37. Aragam, K. G. *et al.* Phenotypic refinement of heart failure in a national biobank facilitates genetic discovery. *Circulation* **139**, 489–501 (2019).

38. Bowden, J., Davey Smith, G. & Burgess, S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **44**, 512–525 (2015).

39. Bowden, J. *et al.* A framework for the investigation of pleiotropy in two-sample summary data Mendelian randomization. *Stat. Med.* **36**, 1783–1802 (2017).

40. Bowden, J. *et al.* Improving the visualization, interpretation and analysis of two-sample summary data Mendelian randomization via the Radial plot and Radial regression. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **47**, 1264–1278 (2018).

41. Rücker, G., Schwarzer, G., Carpenter, J. R., Binder, H. & Schumacher, M. Treatment-effect estimates adjusted for small-study effects via a limit meta-analysis. *Biostatistics* **12**, 122–142 (2011).

42. Uhlen, M. *et al.* Towards a knowledge-based human protein atlas. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **28**, 1248–1250 (2010).

43. Jassal, B. *et al.* The reactome pathway knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, D498–D503 (2020).

44. Makrecka-Kuka, M. *et al.* Plasma acylcarnitine concentrations reflect the acylcarnitine profile in cardiac tissues. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 17528 (2017).

45. Rasooly, D. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis and Mendelian randomization proteomics identify drug targets for heart failure. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 3826 (2023).

46. Behling, A. *et al.* Cholinergic stimulation with pyridostigmine reduces ventricular arrhythmia and enhances heart rate variability in heart failure. *Am. Heart J.* **146**, 494–500 (2003).

47. Benzinou, M. *et al.* Common nonsynonymous variants in PCSK1 confer risk of obesity. *Nat. Genet.* **40**, 943–945 (2008).

48. Heni, M. *et al.* Association of obesity risk SNPs in PCSK1 with insulin sensitivity and proinsulin conversion. (2010).

49. Nagaya, N. *et al.* Effects of ghrelin administration on left ventricular function, exercise capacity, and muscle wasting in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation* **110**, 3674–3679 (2004).

50. Beiras-Fernandez, A. *et al.* Altered myocardial expression of ghrelin and its receptor (GHSR-1a) in patients with severe heart failure. *Peptides* **31**, 2222–2228 (2010).

51. Ma, T. *et al.* Ghrelin expression and significance in 92 patients with atrial fibrillation. *Anatol. J. Cardiol.* **18**, 99 (2017).

52. Yuan, M.-J., Li, W. & Zhong, P. Research progress of ghrelin on cardiovascular disease. *Biosci. Rep.* **41**, BSR20203387 (2021).

53. Nagaya, N. & Kangawa, K. Therapeutic potential of ghrelin in the treatment of heart failure. *Drugs* **66**, 439–448 (2006).

54. Li, Y. *et al.* Enrichment of endoplasmic reticulum with cholesterol inhibits sarcoplasmic-endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase-2b activity in parallel with increased order of membrane lipids: implications for depletion of endoplasmic reticulum calcium stores and apoptosis in cholesterol-loaded macrophages. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 37030–37039 (2004).

55. Fu, S. *et al.* Aberrant lipid metabolism disrupts calcium homeostasis causing liver endoplasmic reticulum stress in obesity. *Nature* **473**, 528–531 (2011).

56. Cifaldi, L., Romania, P., Lorenzi, S., Locatelli, F. & Fruci, D. Role of endoplasmic reticulum aminopeptidases in health and disease: from infection to cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **13**, 8338–8352 (2012).

57. Buchholz, K. *et al.* Expression of the Body-Weight Signaling Players: GDF15, GFRAL and RET and their clinical relevance in Gastric Cancer. *J. Cancer* **12**, 4698 (2021).

58. Rochette, L., Zeller, M., Cottin, Y. & Vergely, C. Insights into mechanisms of GDF15 and receptor GFRAL: therapeutic targets. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **31**, 939–951 (2020).

59. Xu, J. *et al.* GDF15/MIC-1 functions as a protective and antihypertrophic factor released from the myocardium in association with SMAD protein activation. *Circ. Res.* **98**, 342–350 (2006).

60. Bouchot, O. *et al.* Low circulating levels of growth differentiation factor-15 before coronary artery bypass surgery may predict postoperative atrial fibrillation. *J. Cardiothorac. Vasc. Anesth.* **29**, 1131–1139 (2015).

61. Flam, E. *et al.* Integrated landscape of cardiac metabolism in end-stage human nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Nat. Cardiovasc. Res.* **1**, 817–829 (2022).

62. Rinaldo, P., Cowan, T. M. & Matern, D. Acylcarnitine profile analysis. *Genet. Med.* **10**, 151–156 (2008).

63. Cohen, J. C., Boerwinkle, E., Mosley Jr, T. H. & Hobbs, H. H. Sequence variations in PCSK9, low LDL, and protection against coronary heart disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **354**, 1264–1272 (2006).

64. Sabatine, M. S. *et al.* Evolocumab and clinical outcomes in patients with cardiovascular disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **376**, 1713–1722 (2017).

65. Schmidt, A. F. *et al.* PCSK9 monoclonal antibodies for the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* (2017).

66. Da Dalt, L. *et al.* PCSK9 deficiency rewires heart metabolism and drives heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur. Heart J.* **42**, 3078–3090 (2021).

67. Katz, D. H. *et al.* Proteomic profiling platforms head to head: leveraging genetics and clinical traits to compare aptamer-and antibody-based methods. *Sci. Adv.* **8**, eabm5164 (2022).

68. Schaffer, S. & Kim, H. W. Effects and mechanisms of taurine as a therapeutic agent. *Biomol. Ther.* **26**, 225 (2018).

Tables

Table 1. Overview and characteristics of prioritised proteins and metabolites associated with AF

Uniprot ID	Protein	Druggability*	Metabolite class(es)*	No. metabolites*	Metabolite effect*	Cardiac effect*	No. drugs*	Cardiac drug effect*
P22303	ACES	Drugged	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	1.09 (1.05; 1.13)	23	Yes
P14621	ACYP2	Not yet druggable	Amino acids	1	Increasing	0.84 (0.80; 0.88)	0	
O75882	ATRN	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	0.94 (0.93; 0.96)	0	
O75973	C1QRF	Not yet druggable	Sphingomyelins, Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	1.05 (1.04; 1.07)	0	
P80075	CCL8	Not yet druggable	Lysophosphatidylcholines	1	Increasing	1.02 (1.01; 1.03)	0	
P19835	CEL	Drugged	Biogenic amines	1	Decreasing	0.95 (0.93; 0.97)	1	
Q8WW22	DNJA4	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Decreasing	1.34 (1.26; 1.44)	0	
P16444	DPEP1	Drugged	Biogenic amines	1	Decreasing	0.97 (0.96; 0.98)	2	Yes
Q8NFI3	ENASE	Drugged	Lysophosphatidylcholines	1	Decreasing	1.06 (1.03; 1.08)	12	Yes
O75356	ENTP5	Drugged	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	0.89 (0.86; 0.93)	0	
P00742	FA10	Drugged	Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	1.11 (1.06; 1.15)	13	Yes
P16930	FAAA	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Decreasing	0.90 (0.88; 0.92)	0	
Q6BAA4	FCRLB	Not yet druggable	Amino acids	1	Increasing	1.13 (1.08; 1.18)	0	
O95479	G6PE	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Decreasing	0.96 (0.94; 0.98)	0	
P56159	GFRA1	Druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Increasing	0.91 (0.89; 0.94)	1	
O94923	GLCE	Not yet druggable	Sphingomyelins, Phosphatidylcholines	2	Mixed	0.94 (0.93; 0.95)	0	
Q9P2T1	GMPR2	Druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	0.93 (0.90; 0.96)	0	
Q9UJJ9	GNPTG	Not yet druggable	Sphingomyelins	1	Increasing	0.94 (0.92; 0.96)	0	
P21266	GSTM3	Drugged	Sphingomyelins, Biogenic amines	2	Mixed	1.04 (1.02; 1.05)	1	
P17936	IBP3	Druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	0.93 (0.91; 0.96)	0	
Q9H665	IGFR1	Druggable	Biogenic amines	1	Decreasing	1.05 (1.03; 1.08)	0	
Q13478	IL18R	Druggable	Acylcarnitines, Lysophosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	0.95 (0.94; 0.97)	1	
P08887	IL6RA	Drugged	Sphingomyelins	1	Increasing	0.96 (0.96; 0.97)	7	Yes
P20155	ISK2	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Increasing	1.10 (1.07; 1.14)	0	
P17252	KPCA	Drugged	Acylcarnitines, Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	0.81 (0.74; 0.89)	5	Yes
O75023	LIRB5	Not yet druggable	Amino acids	1	Increasing	1.02 (1.01; 1.03)	0	
O15232	MATN3	Not yet druggable	Sphingomyelins, Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	0.97 (0.96; 0.98)	0	
P61244	MAX	Druggable	Lysophosphatidylcholines	1	Decreasing	1.09 (1.05; 1.14)	0	
Q29983	MICA	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines, Amino acids	2	Increasing	1.02 (1.02; 1.03)	0	
Q13508	NAR3	Not yet druggable	Amino acids, Lysophosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	1.07 (1.04; 1.11)	0	
P29120	NEC1	Druggable	Phosphatidylcholines, Sphingomyelins	3	Increasing	0.96 (0.94; 0.97)	0	
Q14554	PDIA5	Not yet druggable	Amino acids, Phosphatidylcholines, Biogenic amines	3	Mixed	1.03 (1.02; 1.05)	0	
O15031	PLXB2	Not yet druggable	Amino acids, Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	1.05 (1.04; 1.06)	0	
Q6UW15	REG3G	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Increasing	0.97 (0.96; 0.98)	0	
P07949	RET	Drugged	Acylcarnitines, Amino acids	2	Increasing	0.94 (0.93; 0.95)	14	Yes
P13385	TDGF1	Druggable	Sphingomyelins, Phosphatidylcholines	2	Mixed	1.03 (1.02; 1.04)	1	
P42680	TEC	Drugged	Sphingomyelins	1	Increasing	0.87 (0.83; 0.90)	1	
Q92563	TICN2	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines, Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	0.91 (0.89; 0.94)	0	
P35625	TIMP3	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Decreasing	1.03 (1.02; 1.04)	0	
O15533	TPSN	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	4	Increasing	0.96 (0.95; 0.98)	0	
Q15661	TRYB1	Drugged	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	1.02 (1.01; 1.03)	1	Yes
P49746	TSP3	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	0.55 (0.44; 0.69)	0	

N.B. *Druggability* – whether the protein is targeted by a developmental ("druggable") or approved ("drugged") compound, *Metabolite class(es)* – metabolite class(es) of the metabolites with which the indicated protein is associated, *No. metabolites* – number of metabolites the protein is associated with, *Metabolite effect* – effect of protein on metabolites, defined as increasing if higher values of the protein increase the plasma metabolite values, decreasing if higher values of the protein decrease the metabolite values, and mixed if higher values of the protein increase values of some and decrease values of other metabolites, *Cardiac effect* – odds ratio (95% confidence interval) of the association between the protein and atrial fibrillation (AF), *No. drugs* – number of drugs that target the indicated protein, *Cardiac drug effect* – whether at least one drug has a cardiac indication or side-effect as indicated by BNF or ChEMBL.

Table 2. Overview and characteristics of prioritised proteins and metabolites associated with HF

Uniprot ID	Protein	Druggability*	Metabolite class(es)*	No. metabolites*	Metabolite effect*	Cardiac effect*	No. drugs*	Cardiac drug effect*
P22303	ACES	Drugged	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	1.06 (1.04; 1.08)	23	Yes
P00326	ADH1B	Druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	1.14 (1.08; 1.20)	2	
P08319	ADH4	Druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	5	Increasing	0.70 (0.61; 0.80)	1	
P50995	ANX11	Not yet druggable	Amino acids	1	Increasing	0.86 (0.82; 0.90)	0	
P02656	APOC3	Druggable	Phosphatidylcholines, Acylcarnitines	5	Increasing	1.29 (1.18; 1.41)	0	
P17655	CAN2	Drugged	Amino acids, Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	1.21 (1.13; 1.29)	2	
Q9UBX1	CATF	Drugged	Amino acids	1	Increasing	0.92 (0.90; 0.94)	2	
Q96EE4	CC126	Not yet druggable	Amino acids	1	Increasing	1.07 (1.04; 1.09)	0	
Q5QGZ9	CL12A	Not yet druggable	Amino acids	1	Increasing	0.99 (0.98; 0.99)	0	
Q8WW22	DNJA4	Not yet druggable	Amino acids	1	Increasing	1.23 (1.14; 1.33)	0	
Q9UNE0	EDAR	Druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	6	Increasing	0.92 (0.88; 0.95)	0	
P13929	ENOB	Not yet druggable	Amino acids, Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	1.05 (1.03; 1.08)	0	
P54762	EPHB1	Drugged	Phosphatidylcholines, Amino acids	2	Mixed	0.95 (0.93; 0.97)	1	
Q9NZ08	ERAP1	Druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	0.97 (0.96; 0.98)	1	
P12318	FCG2A	Druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Increasing	0.99 (0.98; 0.99)	0	
P08637	FCG3A	Druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Increasing	1.01 (1.01; 1.02)	1	
P10144	GRAB	Drugged	Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	1.21 (1.11; 1.32)	0	
Q96D42	HAVR1	Not yet druggable	Amino acids	1	Increasing	1.06 (1.04; 1.08)	0	
P08887	IL6RA	Drugged	Sphingomyelins	1	Increasing	0.98 (0.97; 0.99)	7	Yes
Q07866	KLC1	Not yet druggable	Amino acids, Sphingomyelins	2	Increasing	1.28 (1.20; 1.38)	0	
Q29980	MICB	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	3	Increasing	1.05 (1.04; 1.07)	0	
Q9UNW1	MINP1	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Increasing	0.80 (0.73; 0.88)	0	
O14931	NCTR3	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	1	Increasing	1.03 (1.02; 1.05)	0	
P29120	NEC1	Druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	3	Increasing	0.97 (0.96; 0.99)	0	
P15559	NQO1	Drugged	Sphingomyelins	1	Increasing	0.97 (0.96; 0.98)	4	
Q13018	PLA2R	Druggable	Amino acids	2	Increasing	1.01 (1.01; 1.02)	0	
Q9UIW2	PLXA1	Not yet druggable	Sphingomyelins	1	Increasing	0.90 (0.87; 0.92)	0	
O15031	PLXB2	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	1.03 (1.02; 1.04)	0	
P07949	RET	Drugged	Acylcarnitines, Phosphatidylcholines	5	Increasing	0.97 (0.96; 0.98)	14	Yes
Q96DX8	RTP4	Not yet druggable	Amino acids	1	Increasing	1.08 (1.06; 1.11)	0	
Q9UH65	SWP70	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	3	Increasing	0.96 (0.94; 0.97)	0	
P22105	TENX	Not yet druggable	Amino acids	1	Increasing	1.03 (1.02; 1.04)	0	
P49788	TIG1	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines, Sphingomyelins	3	Increasing	1.03 (1.02; 1.03)	0	
P25311	ZA2G	Not yet druggable	Phosphatidylcholines	2	Increasing	0.92 (0.90; 0.95)	0	

N.B. *Druggability* – whether the protein is targeted by a developmental ("druggable") or approved ("drugged") compound, *Metabolite class(es)* – metabolite class(es) of the metabolites with which the indicated protein is associated, *No. metabolites* – number of metabolites the protein is associated with, *Metabolite effect* – effect of protein on metabolites, defined as increasing if higher values of the protein increase the plasma metabolite values, decreasing if higher values of the protein decrease the metabolite values, and mixed if higher values of the protein increase values of some and decrease values of other metabolites, *Cardiac effect* – odds ratio (95% confidence interval) of the association between the

protein and atrial fibrillation (AF), *No. drugs* – number of drugs that target the indicated protein, *Cardiac drug effect* – whether at least one drug

has a cardiac indication or side-effect as indicated by BNF or ChEMBL.

Table 3. Overview and characteristics of prioritised proteins and metabolites associated with DCM

Uniprot ID	Protein	Druggability*	Metabolite class(es)*	No. metabolites*	Metabolite effect*	Cardiac effect*	No. drugs*	Cardiac drug effect*
P02654	APOC1	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	1.49 (1.26; 1.77)	0	
P02656	APOC3	Druggable	Acylcarnitines	2	Increasing	2.15 (1.62; 2.85)	0	
P43155	CACP	Drugged	Acylcarnitines	1	Decreasing	3.15 (2.03; 4.90)	1	Yes
Q9NZK5	CECR1	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Decreasing	0.82 (0.76; 0.90)	0	
P09543	CN37	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines	2	Increasing	0.50 (0.43; 0.57)	0	
P13929	ENOB	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Decreasing	1.39 (1.28; 1.50)	0	
P08637	FCG3A	Druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Decreasing	1.07 (1.05; 1.10)	1	
Q4G148	GXLT1	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	1.68 (1.34; 2.10)	0	
Q8NFM7	I17RD	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	0.76 (0.68; 0.86)	0	
Q9BXS1	IDI2	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	1.94 (1.57; 2.41)	0	
Q9BW91	NUDT9	Druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	0.27 (0.15; 0.49)	0	
Q13018	PLA2R	Druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	0.92 (0.91; 0.94)	0	
Q9UGC7	RF1ML	Not yet druggable	Acylcarnitines	1	Increasing	2.35 (1.93; 2.86)	0	
Q96F10	SAT2	Drugged	Acylcarnitines	3	Mixed	0.73 (0.64; 0.84)	1	Yes

N.B. *Druggability* – whether the protein is targeted by a developmental ("druggable") or approved ("drugged") compound, *Metabolite class(es)* – metabolite class(es) of the metabolites with which the indicated protein is associated, *No. metabolites* – number of metabolites the protein is associated with, *Metabolite effect* – effect of protein on metabolites, defined as increasing if higher values of the protein increase the plasma metabolite values, decreasing if higher values of the protein decrease the metabolite values, and mixed if higher values of the protein increase values of some and decrease values of other metabolites, *Cardiac effect* – odds ratio (95% confidence interval) of the association between the protein and atrial fibrillation (AF), *No. drugs* – number of drugs that target the indicated protein, *Cardiac drug effect* – whether at least one drug has a cardiac indication or side-effect as indicated by BNF or ChEMBL.

Table 4. Overview and characteristics of prioritised proteins and metabolites associated with NICM

Uniprot ID	Protein	Druggability*	Metabolite class(es)*	No. metabolites*	Metabolite effect*	Cardiac effect*	No. drugs*	Cardiac drug effect*
P13929	ENOB	Not yet druggable	Biogenic amines	1	Decreasing	1.24 (1.13; 1.35)	0	
P14415	AT1B2	Drugged	Biogenic amines	1	Decreasing	1.33 (1.19; 1.49)	6	Yes
Q96NY8	PVRL4	Drugged	Biogenic amines	1	Decreasing	0.32 (0.20; 0.51)	1	

N.B. *Druggability* – whether the protein is targeted by a developmental ("druggable") or approved ("drugged") compound, *Metabolite class(es)* – metabolite class(es) of the metabolites with which the indicated protein is associated, *No. metabolites* – number of metabolites the protein is associated with, *Metabolite effect* – effect of protein on metabolites, defined as increasing if higher values of the protein increase the plasma metabolite values, decreasing if higher values of the protein decrease the metabolite values, and mixed if higher values of the protein increase values of some and decrease values of other metabolites, *Cardiac effect* – odds ratio (95% confidence interval) of the association between the protein and atrial fibrillation (AF), *No. drugs* – number of drugs that target the indicated protein, *Cardiac drug effect* – whether at least one drug has a cardiac indication or side-effect as indicated by BNF or ChEMBL.

Figures

Figure 1. Study to identify plasma proteins and metabolites affecting cardiac disease

A) Flowchart of the analysis steps; B) A triangulation diagram, illustrating how a robust set of directionally concordant proteins was identified affecting plasma metabolite levels as well as cardiac disease. The red plus indicates a risk-increasing effect, while the blue minus indicates a risk-decreasing effect; C) Annotated network of prioritised metabolites, proteins, and outcomes for which the metabolites have at least 20% associated common proteins and belong to the metabolite class acylcarnitine. Prioritised directionally concordant proteins are represented by circles, metabolites by diamonds, outcomes by triangles. Circle colours represent protein druggability. Increasing effects are displayed by a red arrow, decreasing effects by a blue arrow. See **Supplementary Note** for more details.

Abbreviations: AF = atrial fibrillation, DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy, HF = heart failure, MR = mendelian randomisation, NICM = non-ischemic cardiomyopathy.

Figure 2. Plasma metabolites associating with at least one cardiac outcome

N.B. For visualisation purposes, the p-values were truncated to a -log(10) of 10. Multiplicity corrected significant associations are depicted by a star, non-significant associations by a dot. The top row indicates the number of druggable proteins reflecting information retrieved from BNF and ChEMBL. Genetic associations with the cardiac outcomes were obtained from Nielsen *et al.* (60,620 AF cases)[34], Shah *et al.* (47,309 HF cases)[35], Garnier *et al.* (2,719 DCM cases)[36], and Aragam *et al.* (2,038 NICM cases)[37]. See the Methods section additional details, and Supplementary Table S2 for the underlying numerical data.

Abbreviations: a = acyl residue, aa = diacyl residue, ae = acyl-alkyl residue, AF = atrial fibrillation, DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy, HF = heart failure, LPC = lysophosphatidylcholine, NICM = non-ischemic cardiomyopathy, PC = phosphatidylcholine, SM = sphingomyelin.

Figure 3. Cardiac mRNA expression of the subset of directionally concordant proteins affecting cardiac disease

N.B. Proteins are referred to using their Uniprot label and proteins in bold font are overexpressed in cardiac tissue relative to non-cardiac tissue. Data were sourced from the human protein atlas; see Methods section.

Figure 4. Forest plots of cardiac outcome effect of prioritised drugged or druggable proteins

N.B. Metabolite class of the metabolites affected by the protein are indicated on the right y-axis. Genetic associations with the cardiac outcomes were obtained from Nielsen *et al.* (60,620 AF cases)[34], Shah *et al.* (47,309 HF cases)[35], Garnier *et al.* (2,719 DCM cases)[36], and Aragam *et al.* (2,038 NICM cases)[37]. See the Methods section for a more detailed description and **Appendix Table S7-8** for the full numerical results.

Abbreviations: AF = atrial fibrillation, CI = confidence interval, DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy, HF = heart failure, NICM = non-ischemic cardiomyopathy, OR = odds ratio.

Figure 5. Forest plot of prioritised proteins associating with more than one cardiac outcome

N.B. . Metabolite class of the metabolites affected by the protein are indicated on the right y-axis. Genetic associations with the cardiac outcomes were obtained from Nielsen *et al.* (60,620 AF cases)[34], Shah *et al.* (47,309 HF cases)[35], Garnier *et al.* (2,719 DCM cases)[36], and Aragam *et al.* (2,038 NICM cases)[37]. See the Methods section for a more detailed description and **Appendix Table S7** for the full numerical results.

Abbreviations: AF = atrial fibrillation, CI = confidence interval, DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy, HF = heart failure, NICM = non-ischemic cardiomyopathy, OR = odds ratio.

A

Step 1 genome-wide MR

| Metabolites (n = 174) | Cardiac outcomes: AF, HF, DCM, and NICM |

Step 2 cis-MR on metabolites

Unique metabolites associated with a cardiac outcome (n = 35)

Proteins (n = 1,567 unique proteins; 8 studies)

Step 3 cis-MR on cardiac outcomes

Cardiac outcomes: AF, HF, DCM, and NICM

Proteins associated with a cardiac metabolite (n = 686)

Step 4 prioritisation using druggability

Unique proteins associated with cardiac metabolite and cardiac outcome (n = 241 proteins)

Unique proteins with directionally concordant association with metabolite and cardiac outcome and cardiac expression (n = 82 proteins)

Drugged proteins (n = 21)

Druggable proteins (n = 17)

B

C