medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Artificial Intelligence in Cardiac Surgery: A Systematic Review

Ralf Martz Sulague, MD[1], Francis Joshua Beloy, MD, MBA[2], Jillian Reeze Medina, MD[3], Edward Daniel Mortalla, MD, MSN[4], Thea Danielle Cartojano, MD[4], Sharina Macapagal, MD[5], Jacques Kpodonu, MD[6*]

[1]Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C., United States of America
[2]Ateneo School of Medicine and Public Health, Pasig City, Philippines
[3]Manila Central University College of Medicine, Caloocan City, Philippines
[4]Cebu Institute of Medicine, Cebu City, Philippines
[5]Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, United States of America
[6]Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America

Acknowledgement: None

Conflicts of Interest: None

Funding: None

***Corresponding Author**: Jacques Kpodonu, MD
Address: Division of Cardiac Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America 02215
Contact Number:
Email: jkpodonu@bidmc.harvard.edu

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Artificial intelligence has emerged as a tool to potentially increase efficiency and efficacy of healthcare and improve clinical outcomes. The growing body of knowledge of artificial intelligence applications in cardiac surgery necessitates evaluation of past studies to gain insights to the future direction of artificial intelligence applications in cardiac surgery. This study aims to provide a systematic review of the applications of artificial intelligence in cardiac surgery.

METHODS

A systematic literature search on artificial intelligence applications in cardiac surgery from 2000 to 2022 was conducted in the following databases: PubMed, Embase, Europe PMC, Epistemonikos, CINAHL, Cochrane Central, Google Scholar, Web of Science, Scopus, Cambridge Core, clinicaltrials.gov, and science. Studies on the implementation of artificial intelligence applications in cardiac surgery and the provision of decision support by the application through simulating clinical decision-making processes of healthcare providers were included. Studies not in English, published only as abstracts, review papers, meta-analyses, clinical trials that were still in progress, and published study protocols were excluded. This study was registered on Prospero (CRD42022377530).

RESULTS

A total of 42 studies were found that reported on artificial intelligence applications in cardiac surgery, all of which are cohort studies. Nine (21.43%) of the studies measured different parameters regarding cardiac surgeries in general. Meanwhile, 6 (14.29%) studies focused on Heart Transplantation (HT), 4 (9.52%) on Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR), 3 (7.14%) anchored on Aortic Stenosis, and another 3 (7.14%) on Perioperative Complications. Three topics had 2 (4.76%) studies dedicated to them, namely Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG), Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation (POAF), and Acute Kidney Injury (AKI). The remaining eleven studies have their own unique disease topics, procedures or surgeries in focus (n=11, 1 (2.38%), namely Postoperative Major Bleeding, Early Coronary Revascularization, Heart Valve Surgery, Isolated Mitral Valve Replacement (IMVR), Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement (SAVR), Open-Chest Surgery, Infective endocarditis, Post-Operative Deterioration, Red Blood Cell Transfusion, AKI - related Hippocampal Damage, and Open-Heart Surgery. Regarding evaluation outcomes, 26 studies examined the performance, 32 studies examined clinician outcomes, and 2 studies examined patient outcomes. Of the 42 studies, only 13 were conducted in Lower- and Middle-Income Countries.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence was used to predict mortality, postoperative length of stay, and complications following cardiac surgeries. It can also improve clinicians' medical decisions by providing better preoperative risk assessment, stratification, and prognostication. While the application of artificial intelligence in cardiac surgery has greatly progressed in the last two decades, more highly powered studies need to be done to assess challenges and to ensure accuracy and safety for use in clinical practice.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; machine learning; deep learning; cardiac surgery; technology

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

AI and Cardiac surgery

With the advancement of modern technology, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a tool to potentially increase the efficiency and efficacy of healthcare and improve outcomes. It encompasses both machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL). In ML, certain computer algorithms are used to produce predictions or conclusions by recognizing patterns generated through the application of a mathematical algorithm model from sample data. One important example of the significance of machine learning in surgery would be predicting the probabilities of post-operative complications according to patient specific risk factors and characteristics. It would use the data to classify patients into risk strata, depending on their morbidity severity. It is able to do so with great accuracy, exceeding previous methods based on clinical standards to levels previously thought to be unachievable with conventional statistics. On the other hand, DL uses a multi-layered structure of algorithms called artificial neural networks to do tasks that machine learning cannot, making it more useful than machine learning (ML) (39,40).

There have already been a number of studies exploring real-life applications of AI in cardiac surgery including algorithms that function to aid in clinical decision making, especially in terms of cardiac function evaluation and risk stratification prior to operation. Other applications focus on aiding diagnostics and prognostication of certain complications of patients after cardiac surgery(3).

The growing body of knowledge of AI applications in cardiac surgery necessitates evaluation of past studies to gain insights to the future direction of artificial

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

intelligence application in cardiac surgery. This study aims to provide a systematic review on the applications of AI in cardiac surgery.

METHODS

Search Strategies

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were utilized in searching articles assessing and evaluating various applications of AI in cardiac surgery from 2000 to 2022. Using boolean search terms “Artificial Intelligence” OR “Machine Learning” AND “Cardiac Surgery”, a thorough review of studies was conducted using the following databases: PubMed, Embase, Europe PMC, Epistemonikos, CINAHL, Cochrane Central, Google Scholar, Web of Science, Scopus, Cambridge Core, clinicaltrials.gov, and science.gov. Duplicate articles from different databases were then excluded after a preliminary search. Other additional studies were identified by looking through the references of the articles that were already included. This systematic review was registered on Prospero (CRD42022377530).

Eligibility Criteria

Articles were incorporated into the review if it included the following conditions: 1) Implementation of an AI application with patient or health care providers in a real-life clinical setting, and 2) Provision of decision support by the AI application through emulating clinical decision-making processes of health care providers (eg, medical

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

image interpretation and clinical risk assessment). All cohorts and randomized control trials that satisfied the inclusion criteria were included. The studies that were included had to be in English. Studies that had only been published as abstracts, review papers, meta-analyses, clinical trials that were still in progress, and published study protocols were not included. Other exclusion criteria are detailed in Figure 1.

Data Extraction

After collating all the studies, information from the articles were extracted. These were primarily the characteristics of the studies, the features of the AI applications, and the key outcomes evaluated. The data was then organized in a table and trends or themes were analyzed.

RESULTS

Overview

Our initial search of the databases returned a total of 1124 journal articles (420 from PubMed, 190 from Google Scholar, 153 from Web of Science, 148 CINAHL, 130 from EMBASE, 69 from Europe PMC, 6 from Epistemonikos, 3 from science.gov, 2 from clinicaltrials.gov, 2 from Cambridge Core, and 1 from Cochrane Central). Duplicates were then identified and 336 studies were excluded. The titles, abstracts, and manuscripts were screened and filtered which excluded 755 studies. 9 relevant studies were identified via snowballing. Thus, 42 journal articles met the inclusion criteria and are included in this review (Figure 1).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Study Characteristics

The authors, year of publication, study design, number of involved patients, and database registry and involved hospitals are summarized in Table 1.

In regard to the study design, all 42 studies were Cohort Studies. A cohort study utilizes a comparison method for a particular outcome in 2 or more groups, with each cohort having similar characteristics but would differ in certain aspects. Of the 42 studies, Two (4.8%) studies have less than 50 patients analyzed. Ten (22.8%) studies have a population of 50-100 patients, while 18 (42.8%) studies have a wider range of 1000-5000 individuals included. Meanwhile, 11 (26.1%) studies had a larger scaled population of 5000-20,000 patients, and only a single (2.3%) study had more than 240,000 worth of data analyzed.

According to the New World Bank country classifications by income level: 2022-2023, for the current 2023 fiscal year, low-income economies are defined as those with a GNI per capita, calculated using the World Bank Atlas method, of $1,085 or less in 2021; lower middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita between $1,086 and $4,255; upper middle-income economies are those with a GNI per capita between $4,256 and $13,205; high-income economies are those with a GNI per capita of $13,205 or more. Of the 42 studies, only 1 study was conducted in a Lower-Middle Income Economies, namely Iran. For the countries classified Upper-Middle Income Economies, ten studies were conducted in China, while Colombia and Brazil had conducted 1 study each. While the rest were conducted in countries with High-Income

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Economies, with 22 conducted in the United States, two in Austria, and one each in Saudi Arabia, Germany, Italy, France, and New Zealand.

Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies.

Author & Year	Study Design	Sample Characteristics	Hospital (Country)	Evaluation Outcomes
Agasthi et al, 2020	Cohort Design	1055 patients	three major academic medical centers located in Rochester, MN, Phoenix, AZ, and Jacksonville, FL.USA	Patient Outcomes
Agasthi et al, 2020	Cohort Design	15,236 patients	ISHLT Registry,, USA	Patient Outcomes
Allyn et al, 2017	Cohort Design	6,520 patients	1200-bed university hospital, France	Performance of AI Applications
Alshakhs et al, 2020	Cohort Design	721 patients	Saud Al-Babtain Cardiac Center, Dammam, Saudi Arabia,	Patient Outcomes
Aranda-Michel et al, 2021	Cohort Design	3,872 individuals.	USA	Patient Outcomes
Ayers et al, 2021	Cohort Design	3872 patients.	University of Pittsburgh institutional database, USA	Clinician Outcomes
Bodenhofer et al, 2021	Cohort Design	2229 patients	Kepler University Clinic, Linz, Austria	Clinician Outcomes

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Chang et al, 2020	Cohort Design	2240 patients	ASSIST Registry, Brazil	Patient Outcomes
Evertz et al, 2022	Cohort Design	142 patients	Germany	Performance of AI applications
Fan et al, 2022	Cohort Design	5443 patients	First Medical Centre of Chinese PLA General Hospital, China	Performance of AI applications
Fernandes et al, 2020	Cohort Design	5015 patients	USA	Clinician Outcomes
Gao et al, 2022	Cohort Design	1045 patients	Fuwai Hospital, Beijing, China	Clinician Outcomes
Hasimbegovic et al, 2021	Cohort Design	88 patients	Hietzing Heart Centre (Vienna, Austria).	Clinician Outcomes
He et al, 2022	Cohort Design	100 patients	Cardiovascular, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, China	Patient Outcomes
Hernandez-Suarez et al, 2022	Cohort Design	10,883 patients	National Inpatient Sample (NIS) database, USA	Patient Outcomes
Hosseininezhad et al, 2021	Cohort Design	1200 patients	Rajaie Cardiovascular Medical and Research Center, Iran	Clinician Outcomes
Hu et al, 2020	Cohort Design	1980 patients	REgistry of Fast Myocardial Perfusion Imaging with NExt generation SPECT	Clinician Outcomes

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

			(REFINE SPECT), USA	
Jiang et al, 2021	Cohort Design	1488 patients	eight large tertiary hospitals, China	Clinician Outcomes
Kampaktsis et al, 2021	Cohort Design	18625 patients	United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) database, NY, USA	Performance of AI applications
Kampaktsis et al, 2022	Cohort Design	1033 patients	United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) database, NY, USA	Clinician Outcomes
Karri et al, 2021	Cohort Design	6349 patients	MIMIC-III database, New Zealand	Clinician Outcomes
Kilic et al, 2020	Cohort Design	11,190 patients	single academic institution, USA	Performance of AI applications
Kilic et al, 2021	Cohort Design	243,142 patients	The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) National Data-base, USA	Clinician Outcomes
Kim et al, 2022	Cohort Design	12,997 patients	Michigan Medicine data systems, USA	Performance of AI applications
Lee et al, 2013	Cohort Design	1426 patients.	Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) database, USA	Performance of AI applications
Li et al, 2020	Cohort Design	5533 patients	Tertiary hospital in Shanghai, China	Patient Outcomes

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Li et al, 2022	Cohort Design	107 patients	Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, China	Patient Outcomes
Lo et al, 2021	Cohort Design	12 patients	Italy	Performance of AI applications
Luo et al, 2021	Cohort Design	476 patients	First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (FAH-SYSU) and Nanfang Hospital (NFH) of Southern Medical University, China	Clinician Outcomes
MAthis et al, 2022	Cohort Design	1555 patients	Anesthesiology Informatics and Systems Improvement Exchange, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA	Patient Outcomes
Miller et al, 2019	Cohort Design	3180 patients	UNOS Registry database, USA	Patient Outcomes
Molina et al, 2022	Cohort Design	2786 patients	Clinica Universitaria Colombia in Bogota, Colombia	Performance of AI applications,
Park et al, 2022	Cohort Design	8,947 patients	Yale University affiliated hospital, USA	Patient Outcomes
Raghu et al, 2022	Cohort Design	18344 patients	Massachusetts General Hospital	Clinician Outcomes

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

			(MGH),USA	
Shou et al, 2022	Cohort Design	1584 patients	United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) database, NY, USA	Clinician Outcomes
Thalappillil et al, 2020	Cohort Design	47 patients	USA	Performance of AI applications
Tuong et al, 2021	Cohort Design	557 patients	USA	Patient Outcomes
Wang et al, 2022	Cohort Design	2410 Cardiothoracic (CT) surgery patients	University of Utah Health's Enterprise Data Warehouse (EDW).Utah, USA	Clinician Outcomes
Wue et al, 2022	Cohort Design	320 patients	First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medi-cal University, China	Performance of AI applications
Zea-Vera et al, 2021	Cohort Design	2086 patients	Baylor College of Medicine STS Adult Cardiac Surgery Database, USA	Performance of AI applications
Zhong et al, 2020	Cohort Design	6844 patients	the Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database., China	Performance of AI Applications
Zhou et al, 2021	Cohort Design	381 patients	China	Performance of AI applications

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Quality Assessment

In order to evaluate the internal validity of the 42 included studies, the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) critical appraisal tool was utilized. Since all studies are cohorts, each article was evaluated using the appropriate checklist by two assessors. The total score for the cohorts ranged from 7 to 10 out of 11. Specifically, four studies reported that the source of their data did not come from the same population(4–7). Seven studies were unable to identify the confounding factors (5,8–13). Only three studies did not clearly state if exposures were measured in a valid and reliable way (4–6). Finally, all studies used proper statistical analysis, and measured their outcomes in a valid and reliable way.

AI Application Characteristics

Among the 42 studies, the most popular ML technique was Random Forests(RF) (n=21), followed by Logistic Regression (LR) (n=13), Support Vector Machine (n=12), Artificial Neural Network (ANN) (n=10), and Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) (n=7). These were followed by the others such as the Naïve Bayes Model (NB) (n=5), AdaBoost (n=5), Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGB) (n=5), Decision Tree (n=4), Gradient Boosting Machine(GBM) (n=3), K-nearest neighbours classifier (KNN) (n=3), Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) (n=3), Bagged Classification and Regression Tree (CART) (n=2), and Cox Regression Models (n=2). And the rest of the ML techniques were mentioned only in one study such as the Bag Decision Trees (BDT), Bayesian networks (BNs), Boosted Classification Trees, CatBoost ML Model, Conditional Inference Random Forest (CIRF), Deep Learning Model (CXR-CTSurgery), Dual-tree complex wavelet packet transform

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

(DTCWPT), Extra Trees (ET), Gaussian Process (GP) regression ML algorithm, GenAlgs, Imbalanced Random Forest Classifier, Multivariate logistic regression (MLR), Random Forest Survival Models,Singular Value Decomposition (SVD), Stochastic Gradient Boosting (SGB), Stochastic Gradient Boosting (SGBT), Sun Yat-sen University Prediction Model for Infective Endocarditis, an AI software provided by Neosoft and another AI software that uses 3D echocardiography to model the aortic annulus. Overall, only one study utilized Deep Learning while the rest utilized Machine Learning.

The results also show that almost all AI applications provided decision support in Risk Analysis (n=40) mainly predicting mortality outcomes, post-operative complications, or post-operative outcomes. Only two studies looked into disease screening and triage. None of the studies delved into disease diagnosis or treatment.

On another note, of the 42 studies, 9 (21.43%) of the studies measured different parameters regarding cardiac surgeries in general. Meanwhile, 6 (14.29%) studies focused on Heart Transplantation (HT), 4 (9.52%) on Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR), 3 (7.14%) anchored on Aortic Stenosis, and another 3 (7.14%) on Perioperative Complications. Three topics had 2 (4.76%) studies dedicated to them, namely Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG), Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation (POAF), and Acute Kidney Injury (AKI). The remaining eleven studies has their own unique disease topics, procedures or surgeries in focus (n=11), 1 (2.38%), namely Postoperative Major Bleeding, Early Coronary Revascularization, Heart Valve Surgery, Isolated Mitral Valve Replacement (IMVR), Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement (SAVR),

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Open-Chest Surgery, Infective endocarditis, Post-Operative Deterioration, Red Blood Cell Transfusion, AKI - related Hippocampal Damage, and Open-Heart Surgery.

Evaluation Outcomes

As shown in Table 1, the included studies were classified into their respective type of evaluation outcomes: performance of AI applications, clinician outcomes, and patient outcomes.

A. Performance of AI Applications

Twenty-six studies evaluated the performance of AI applications in real-life clinical settings. Commonly used performance metrics included accuracy, area under the curve (AUC) / area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC), specificity, sensitivity, True Positive Rate (TPR), False Negative Rate (FNR), positive-predictive value (PPV), and negative-predictive value (NPV), F1 score and Brier Score.

B. Clinician Outcomes

AI applications also affect clinician outcomes, specifically, clinician decision making, clinician workflow and efficiency, and clinician evaluations and acceptance of AI applications. In this review, thirty-two studies reported clinician outcomes of AI in cardiac surgery (4,5,7,8,10,11,13–38).

Clinicians could potentially be guided by AI applications in making better medical decisions. Eighteen studies reported that AI applications can support clinician decision making (4,7,10,13,16,17,19,21,23,24,26,28,30–33,35,38). Machine learning

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

models improve clinician's medical decisions by providing better preoperative risk assessment, stratification and prognostication (10,17,21,24,30–32,35,38). AI applications could also guide clinicians on how aggressive prophylactic measures are given such as increased patient monitoring or giving additional therapies (4,13,33).

Twelve studies discussed clinician efficiency (5,10,11,16,18,20,22–24,29,36,37). Machine learning was used to predict survival after heart transplantation allowing better patient selection and reducing organ wastage (18,37). AI applications could also prompt clinicians to provide timely protective strategies which will improve patient's prognosis (29,36,39). AI applications save time significantly by optimizing risk stratification and clinical management. Alshakhs et al. (16) took advantage of machine learning to predict patients who are likely to have a longer postoperative length of stay (PLoS) to provide early psychosocial preparation to the patient and to their family. There were no studies that explored outcomes on clinician workflow.

Seven studies reported clinician evaluations and acceptance of AI applications (5,15,17,19,20,22–24,26). All of the studies stated overall positive perceptions on AI applications. Machine learning showed equal risk prediction compared to manual approaches (20,24). Two studies revealed superiority of AI applications than existing scoring tools (15,17,19,22,26). Finally, recommendations were provided on utilizing both machine learning and manual approach in combination to provide significant leaps in diagnostic and predictive capabilities of clinicians in the future (5,23).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

C. Patient Outcomes

Only two studies reported patient outcomes. Fernandez et al. (21) incorporated intraoperative risk factors in predicting mortality following cardiac surgery and revealed results on patient mortality which revealed the following findings: (1) all deaths, regardless of cause, occurring during the hospitalization in which the operation was performed, even if after 30 days (including patients transferred to other acute care facilities); and (2) all deaths, regardless of cause, occurring after discharge from the hospital, but before the end of the thirtieth postoperative day.

Zea-Vera et al. (6) developed and validated a dynamic machine learning model to predict CABG outcomes at clinically relevant pre-and postoperative time points. Their ML predicted 30-day readmission and high cost, 2 outcomes for which no standardized regression model exists. With reduction in mortality, resource utilization is becoming an increasingly important outcome.

DISCUSSION

Principal Findings

Over the past decade, the rise of AI has grown dramatically in transforming the way people learn and complete tasks especially in the field of medicine and surgery. The rapid technological advances in AI particularly in ML algorithms have impacted surgical care by assisting the surgeons to make better clinical decisions in the preoperative and intraoperative phases of surgical procedures. These AI applications aim to enhance patient safety by optimizing patient outcomes and surgical

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

decision-making. In this review, we discuss the significant advancements and promising applications of AI in cardiac surgery. The growing interest in its application to surgical practice produced the following findings.

To provide accurate analysis, we only included English–written articles discussing the actual implementation of AI in real-life clinical settings. The majority of the included papers were published between 2020 and 2022 in order to give the most recent information on the use of AI in cardiac surgery. Most of the reviewed studies utilizes a cohort study design with a database registry composed of 1000-5000 participants per study.

It is worth noting that half of the included studies were from the United States, which suggests that developed countries are in the forefront of AI application in health care. Recently, the AI algorithms were being used in analyzing factors contributing to COVID-19 mortality and detection of pathological findings (40,41). In cardiac surgery, ML algorithms were used to predict mortality, survival, postoperative length of stay, and outcomes in following cardiac surgeries such as valve replacement, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, and heart transplantation (10,12,16,18,38,42). Half of the studies utilized RF as ML technique to predict mortality and outcomes after cardiac surgery. Aside from the United States, China has been making substantial use of AI in healthcare. In the review, ten studies were conducted in China, largely in specified hospitals. Due to their capacity to generate customized risk profiles, ML models have the potential to show better predictive power for risk stratification compared to clinical scores like EuroSCORE (24))

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Limitations

The quality of research in AI implementation in cardiac surgery needs to be improved. Our review lacks randomized clinical trials (RCTs) and only included cohort studies. In addition, most of the studies acquired clinical data through database registry. Consequently, additional prospective RCTs are necessary to improve the generalizability of results.

The application of AI is dependent on robust data, availability of computational ML techniques appropriate for the complex data, and validation of its clinical application. Because the availability of resources is crucial in its implementation to real-life settings, the vast majority of the included studies were done in developed countries.

Lack of funds

Insufficient funding poses a significant obstacle to the integration of AI in clinical practice, particularly in the field of cardiac surgery. The successful development and deployment of AI systems necessitate substantial financial resources. These include investments in infrastructure, data acquisition and management, algorithm development, and training. Unfortunately, numerous healthcare institutions encounter difficulties in allocating the required funds to support AI initiatives, given competing priorities and limited budgets(43). Insufficient financial support hampers the seamless integration of AI technologies into cardiovascular surgery practices, impeding progress and undermining the realization of their potential benefits(44).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Data heterogeneity and its challenges

The lack of uniformity in data collection, storage formats, and protocols across different healthcare systems poses a considerable challenge to the widespread adoption of AI in clinical practice. Data standardization is crucial for AI algorithms to effectively analyze and interpret medical information. However, healthcare institutions often employ diverse electronic health record (EHR) systems that vary in their data structures and terminologies. This lack of standardization impedes interoperability and hampers the integration of AI solutions seamlessly. Efforts are needed to establish standardized data formats and protocols, allowing AI systems to operate efficiently across different healthcare settings(45).

Familiarity and Trust

Familiarity and trust in AI technologies also represent potential barriers to their application in clinical practice. Healthcare professionals may exhibit reluctance or skepticism toward AI, fearing that these technologies may replace their expertise or compromise patient safety. Building trust and familiarity among healthcare providers is crucial for the successful integration of AI in cardiovascular surgery. Transparency in AI algorithms, robust validation studies, and demonstrating tangible benefits can help alleviate concerns and foster acceptance among clinicians(46).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

CONCLUSION

While the application of artificial intelligence in cardiac surgery has greatly progressed in the last two decades, more highly powered studies need to be done to assess challenges and to ensure accuracy and safety for use in clinical practice. AI may be leveraged for screening and diagnosis to facilitate timely treatment of cardiovascular diseases.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES:

1. Khalsa RK, Khashkhusha A, Zaidi S, Harky A, Bashir M. Artificial intelligence and cardiac surgery during COVID-19 era. J Card Surg. 2021 May;36(5):1729–33.
2. Mumtaz H, Saqib M, Ansar F, Zargar D, Hameed M, Hasan M, et al. The future of Cardiothoracic surgery in Artificial intelligence. Ann Med Surg 2012. 2022 Aug;80:104251.
3. Orfanoudaki A, Dearani JA, Shahian DM, Badhwar V, Fernandez F, Habib R, et al. Improving Quality in Cardiothoracic Surgery: Exploiting the Untapped Potential of Machine Learning. Ann Thorac Surg. 2022 Dec;114(6):1995–2000.
4. Karri R, Kawai A, Thong YJ, Ramson DM, Perry LA, Segal R, et al. Machine Learning Outperforms Existing Clinical Scoring Tools in the Prediction of Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation During Intensive Care Unit Admission After Cardiac Surgery. Heart Lung Circ. 2021 Dec;30(12):1929–37.
5. Allyn J, Allou N, Augustin P, Philip I, Martinet O, Belghiti M, et al. A Comparison of a Machine Learning Model with EuroSCORE II in Predicting Mortality after Elective Cardiac Surgery: A Decision Curve Analysis. Parolari A, editor. PLOS ONE. 2017 Jan 6;12(1):e0169772.
6. Zea-Vera R, Ryan CT, Havelka J, Corr SJ, Nguyen TC, Chatterjee S, et al. Machine Learning to Predict Outcomes and Cost by Phase of Care After Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting. Ann Thorac Surg. 2022 Sep;114(3):711–9.
7. Kim RB, Alge OP, Liu G, Biesterveld BE, Wakam G, Williams AM, et al. Prediction of postoperative cardiac events in multiple surgical cohorts using a multimodal and integrative decision support system. Sci Rep. 2022 Jul 5;12(1):11347.
8. Park J, Bonde PN. Machine Learning in Cardiac Surgery: Predicting Mortality and Readmission. ASAIO J. 2022 Dec;68(12):1490–500.
9. Kampaktsis PN, Siouras A, Doulamis IP, Moustakidis S, Emfietzoglou M, Van den Eynde J, et al. Machine learning-based prediction of mortality after heart transplantation in adults with congenital heart disease: A UNOS database analysis. Clin Transplant [Internet]. 2022 Nov 9 [cited 2023 Jan 5]; Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ctr.14845
10. HosseiniNezhad M, Langarizadeh M, Hosseini S. Mortality prediction of mitral valve replacement surgery by machine learning. Res Cardiovasc Med. 2021;10(4):106.
11. Li T, Yang Y, Huang J, Chen R, Wu Y, Li Z, et al. Machine learning to predict post-operative acute kidney injury stage 3 after heart transplantation. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2022 Dec;22(1):288.
12. Hernandez-Suarez DF, Kim Y, Villablanca P, Gupta T, Wiley J, Nieves-Rodriguez BG, et al. Machine Learning Prediction Models for In-Hospital Mortality After Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2019 Jul;12(14):1328–38.
13. Chang Junior J, Binuesa F, Caneo LF, Turquetto ALR, Arita ECTC, Barbosa AC, et al. Improving preoperative risk-of-death prediction in surgery congenital heart defects using artificial intelligence model: A pilot study. Raman J, editor. PLOS ONE. 2020 Sep 4;15(9):e0238199.
14. Kampaktsis PN, Siouras A, Doulamis IP, Moustakidis S, Emfietzoglou M, Van den Eynde J, et al. Machine learning-based prediction of mortality after heart transplantation in adults with congenital heart disease: A UNOS database analysis. Clin Transplant [Internet]. 2022 Nov 9 [cited 2023 Jan 5]; Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ctr.14845
15. Agasthi P, Ashraf H, Pujari SH, Girardo ME, Tseng A, Mookadam F, et al. Artificial Intelligence Trumps TAVI2-SCORE and CoreValve Score in Predicting 1-Year Mortality Post-Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. Cardiovasc Revasc Med. 2021 Mar;24:33–41.
16. Alshakhs F, Alharthi H, Aslam N, Khan IU, Elasheri M. Predicting Postoperative Length of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Stay for Isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Patients Using Machine Learning. Int J Gen Med. 2020 Oct;Volume 13:751–62.

17. Aranda-Michel E, Sultan I, Kilic A, Bianco V, Brown JA, Serna-Gallegos D. A machine learning approach to model for end-stage liver disease score in cardiac surgery. J Card Surg. 2022 Jan;37(1):29–38.
18. Ayers B, Sandholm T, Gosev I, Prasad S, Kilic A. Using machine learning to improve survival prediction after heart transplantation. J Card Surg. 2021 Nov;36(11):4113–20.
19. Bodenhofer U, Haslinger-Eisterer B, Minichmayer A, Hermanutz G, Meier J. Machine learning-based risk profile classification of patients undergoing elective heart valve surgery. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2021 Dec 1;60(6):1378–85.
20. Evertz R, Lange T, Backhaus SJ, Schulz A, Beuthner BE, Topci R, et al. Artificial Intelligence Enabled Fully Automated CMR Function Quantification for Optimized Risk Stratification in Patients Undergoing Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement. Kim MC, editor. J Intervent Cardiol. 2022 Apr 20;2022:1–9.
21. Fernandes MPB, Armengol de la Hoz M, Rangasamy V, Subramaniam B. Machine Learning Models with Preoperative Risk Factors and Intraoperative Hypotension Parameters Predict Mortality After Cardiac Surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2021 Mar;35(3):857–65.
22. Gao Y, Liu X, Wang L, Wang S, Yu Y, Ding Y, et al. Machine learning algorithms to predict major bleeding after isolated coronary artery bypass grafting. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022 Jul 28;9:881881.
23. Hasimbegovic E, Papp L, Grahovac M, Krajnc D, Poschner T, Hasan W, et al. A Sneak-Peek into the Physician's Brain: A Retrospective Machine Learning-Driven Investigation of Decision-Making in TAVR versus SAVR for Young High-Risk Patients with Severe Symptomatic Aortic Stenosis. J Pers Med. 2021 Oct 22;11(11):1062.
24. Fan Y, Dong J, Wu Y, Shen M, Zhu S, He X, et al. Development of machine learning models for mortality risk prediction after cardiac surgery. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther. 2022 Feb;12(1):12–23.
25. He K, Liang W, Liu S, Bian L, Xu Y, Luo C, et al. Long-term single-lead electrocardiogram monitoring to detect new-onset postoperative atrial fibrillation in patients after cardiac surgery. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2022 Sep 23;9:1001883.
26. Hu LH, Betancur J, Sharir T, Einstein AJ, Bokhari S, Fish MB, et al. Machine learning predicts per-vessel early coronary revascularization after fast myocardial perfusion SPECT: results from multicentre REFINE SPECT registry. Eur Heart J - Cardiovasc Imaging. 2020 May 1;21(5):549–59.
27. Kampaktsis PN, Tzani A, Doulamis IP, Moustakidis S, Drosou A, Diakos N, et al. State-of-the-art machine learning algorithms for the prediction of outcomes after contemporary heart transplantation: Results from the UNOS database. Clin Transplant [Internet]. 2021 Aug [cited 2023 Jan 5];35(8). Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/ctr.14388
28. Jiang H, Liu L, Wang Y, Ji H, Ma X, Wu J, et al. Machine Learning for the Prediction of Complications in Patients After Mitral Valve Surgery. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021 Dec 16;8:771246.
29. Li Y, Xu J, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Jiang W, Shen B, et al. A novel machine learning algorithm, Bayesian networks model, to predict the high-risk patients with cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury. Clin Cardiol. 2020 Jul;43(7):752–61.
30. Lo Muzio FP, Rozzi G, Rossi S, Luciani GB, Foresti R, Cabassi A, et al. Artificial Intelligence Supports Decision Making during Open-Chest Surgery of Rare Congenital Heart Defects. J Clin Med. 2021 Nov 16;10(22):5330.
31. Luo L, Huang S, Liu C, Liu Q, Dong S, Yue Y, et al. Machine Learning–Based Risk Model for Predicting Early Mortality After Surgery for Infective Endocarditis. J Am Heart Assoc. 2022 Jun 7;11(11):e025433.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

32. Molina RS, Molina-Rodríguez MA, Rincón FM, Maldonado JD. Cardiac Operative Risk in Latin America: A Comparison of Machine Learning Models vs EuroSCORE-II. Ann Thorac Surg. 2022 Jan;113(1):92–9.
33. Shou BL, Chatterjee D, Russel JW, Zhou AL, Florissi IS, Lewis T, et al. Pre-operative Machine Learning for Heart Transplant Patients Bridged with Temporary Mechanical Circulatory Support. J Cardiovasc Dev Dis. 2022 Sep 19;9(9):311.
34. Thalappillil R, Datta P, Datta S, Zhan Y, Wells S, Mahmood F, et al. Artificial Intelligence for the Measurement of the Aortic Valve Annulus. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2020 Jan;34(1):65–71.
35. Truong VT, Beyerbach D, Mazur W, Wigle M, Bateman E, Pallerla A, et al. Machine learning method for predicting pacemaker implantation following transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. 2021 Feb;44(2):334–40.
36. Xue X, Chen W, Chen X. A Novel Radiomics-Based Machine Learning Framework for Prediction of Acute Kidney Injury-Related Delirium in Patients Who Underwent Cardiovascular Surgery. Wong K, editor. Comput Math Methods Med. 2022 Mar 18;2022:1–16.
37. Zhou Y, Chen S, Rao Z, Yang D, Liu X, Dong N, et al. Prediction of 1-year mortality after heart transplantation using machine learning approaches: A single-center study from China. Int J Cardiol. 2021 Sep;339:21–7.
38. Agasthi P, Buras MR, Smith SD, Golafshar MA, Mookadam F, Anand S, et al. Machine learning helps predict long-term mortality and graft failure in patients undergoing heart transplant. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2020 Dec;68(12):1369–76.
39. Li T, Yang Y, Huang J, Chen R, Wu Y, Li Z, et al. Machine learning to predict post-operative acute kidney injury stage 3 after heart transplantation. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2022 Dec;22(1):288.
40. Milam ME, Koo CW. The current status and future of FDA-approved artificial intelligence tools in chest radiology in the United States. Clin Radiol. 2022 Sep 27;S0009-9260(22)00514-1.
41. Tanhaeean M, Nazari N, Iranmanesh SH, Abdollahzade M. Analyzing factors contributing to COVID-19 mortality in the United States using artificial intelligence techniques. Risk Anal Off Publ Soc Risk Anal. 2022 Dec 4;
42. Kilic A, Goyal A, Miller JK, Gleason TG, Dubrawksi A. Performance of a Machine Learning Algorithm in Predicting Outcomes of Aortic Valve Replacement. Ann Thorac Surg. 2021 Feb;111(2):503–10.
43. Salna M. The Promise of Artificial Intelligence in Cardiothoracic Surgery. J Chest Surg. 2022 Dec 5;55(6):429–34.
44. Reddy CL, Mitra S, Meara JG, Atun R, Afshar S. Artificial Intelligence and its role in surgical care in low-income and middle-income countries. Lancet Digit Health. 2019 Dec;1(8):e384–6.
45. Krajcer Z. Artificial Intelligence in Cardiovascular Medicine: Historical Overview, Current Status, and Future Directions. Tex Heart Inst J. 2022 Apr 28;49(2):e207527.
46. Hallowell N, Badger S, Sauerbrei A, Nellåker C, Kerasidou A. “I don’t think people are ready to trust these algorithms at face value”: trust and the use of machine learning algorithms in the diagnosis of rare disease. BMC Med Ethics. 2022 Nov 16;23(1):112.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Supplement. AI Application Characteristics

Author & Year	AI applications	AI techniques	Clinical Tasks	Disease domains and conditions
Agasthi et al, 2020	The Gradient Boosting Machine learning (GBM) prediction model	to create a gradient boosting machine learning (GBM) model predicting 1-year mortality in patients with AS following TAVR	RA	Aortic Stenosis
Agasthi et al, 2020	Gradient Boosting Machine learning (GBM)	to apply machine learning to determine predictors of one year mortality in patients undergoing TAVR.	RA	Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR)
Allyn et al, 2017	Random Forests Gradient Boosting Machine Support Vector Machine Naïve Bayes Model	compare a machine learning-based model with EuroSCORE II to predict mortality after elective cardiac surgery, using ROC and decision curve analysis.	RA	Cardiac Surgery
Alshakhs et al, 2020	Naïve Bayes Decision Tree Logistic Regression K Nearest Neighbor Random Forest.	develop and evaluate a model to predict postoperative length of stay (PLoS) for iCABG patients	RA	Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG)
Aranda-Michel et al, 2021	Logistic regression models imbalanced random forest classifier Cox regression	learning to evaluate the relationship between MELD score and outcomes of cardiac surgery	RA	Cardiac Surgery

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	models, random forest survival models			
Ayers et al, 2021	deep neural network logistic regression, AdaBoost, and random forest.	to improve prediction of survival after orthotopic heart transplantation (OHT)	RA	Heart Transplantation (HT)
Bodenhofer et al, 2021	random forest neural network support vector machine	Predict outcome of heart valve surgery with high precision	RA	Heart Valve Surgery
Chang et al, 2020	Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) Random Forest (RF) Extra Trees (ET) Stochastic Gradient Boosting (SGB) Ada Boost Classification (ABC) Bag Decision Trees (BDT)	generate a predictive model calculator adapted to the regional reality focused on individual mortality prediction among patients with congenital heart disease undergoing cardiac surgery.	RA	Cardiac Surgery
Evertz et al, 2022	AI software pro-vided by Neosoft (suiteHEART, Version 5.0.0, Neosoft, Pewaukee, Wisconsin, USA)	and to study their accuracy in terms of volumetric assessment and prognostic implications in patients with severe AS being scheduled for transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR)	RA	Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR)
Fan et al, 2022	random forest	to predict postoperative	RA	Cardiac Surgery

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	neural network support vector machine gradient boosting machine	mortality in patients following cardiac surgery		
Fernandes et al, 2020	Logistic Regression Random Forests Neural Networks Support Vector Machines Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGB)	incorporating intraoperative risk factors in predicting mortality following cardiac surgery.	RA	Cardiac Surgery
Gao et al, 2022	support vector machines (SVM) stochastic gradient boosting (SGBT) extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost) random forest (RF) conditional inference random forest (CIRF) boosted classification trees, Naïve Bayes (NB) bagged	evaluated the performance of machine learning (ML) methods to predict postoperative major bleeding.	RA	Postoperative Major Bleeding

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	classification and regression tree (CART)			
Hasimbegovic et al, 2021	AutoML	to model the complex decision-making process of Heart Teams when treating young patients with severe symptomatic aortic stenosis with either TAVR or iSAVR and to identify the relevant considerations.	RA	Aortic Stenosis
He et al, 2022	Support vector machine (SVM)	to build a statistical model and machine learning model to predict Postoperative atrial fibrillation (POAF) in patients with preoperative sinus rhythm after cardiac surgery using portable long term ECG monitoring.	RA	Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation (POAF)
Hernandez-Suarez et al, 2022	Logistic regression (LR) Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Naive Bayes (NB) Random Forest (RF) Support Vector Machine (SVN)	develop and compare an array of machine learning methods to predict in-hospital mortality after transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) in the United States.	RA	Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR)
Hosseininezhad et al, 2021	Logistic Regression (LR), linear discriminant analysis (LDA),	generate a machine learning (ML)-based model to predict in-hospital mortality after isolated mitral	RA	Isolated Mitral Valve Replacement (IMVR)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	support-vector machine (SVM), K-nearest neighbors(KNN) , and multilayer perceptron (MLP).	valve replacement(IMVR).		
Hu et al, 2020	LogitBoost	per-vessel prediction of early coronary revascularization (ECR) within 90 days after fast single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) using machine learning (ML)	RA	Early Coronary Revascularization
Jiang et al, 2021	eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGBOOST) CatBoost, LightGBM, MLP, SVM, LR, Random Forest, Gradiant Boosting, KNN, AdaBoost, and Naive Bayes	identify critical preoperative and intraoperative variables and predict the risk of several severe complications (myocardial infarction, stroke, renal failure, and hospital mortality) after cardiac valvular surgery.	RA	Perioperative Complications
Kampaktsis et al, 2021	Adaboost Logistic Regression Decision Tree Support Vector Machine K-nearest neighbor models	to develop and validate machine learning (ML) models to increase the predictive accuracy of mortality after heart transplantation (HT).	RA	Heart Transplantation (HT)
Kampaktsis et	CatBoost ML	develop and validate an	RA	Heart

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

al, 2022	model	ML model for the prediction of mortality after heart transplantation (HT) in adults with congenital heart disease (ACHD).		Transplantation (HT)
Karri et al, 2021	Random Forest Classifier (RF) Decision Tree Classifier (DT) Logistic Regression (LR) K neighbours classifier (KNN) Support Vector Machine (SVM) Gradient Boosted Machine (GBM)	compared the performance of machine learning (ML) to the to the established gold standard scoring tool (POAF Score) in predicting postoperative atrial fibrillation (POAF) during intensive care unit (ICU) admission after cardiac surgery.	RA	Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation (POAF)
Kilic et al, 2020	Extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost)	estimating operative mortality risk in cardiac surgery	RA	Cardiac Surgery
Kilic et al, 2021	extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost)	performance of a machine learning (ML) algorithm in predicting outcomes of surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR).	RA	Surgical Aortic Valve Replacement (SAVR)
Kim et al, 2022	Dual-tree complex wavelet packet transform (DTCWPT)18	that predict the occurrence of several life-threatening complications up to 4 hours prior to the event.	RA	Perioperative Complications
Lee et al, 2013	GenAlgs	To develop a customized short LOS (<6 days) prediction model for geriatric	RA	Cardiac Surgery

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

		patients receiving cardiac surgery,		
Li et al, 2020	Bayesian networks (BNs)	To predict the individual risk of CSA-AKI occurrence.	RA	Acute Kidney Injury
Li et al, 2022	Logistic regression with L2 regularization	to predict post-transplant AKI stage 3 based on preoperative and perioperative features.	RA	Acute Kidney Injury
Lo et al, 2021	Optimizable KNN (k-nearest neighbor classifier), Optimizable SVM (support vector machine classifier)	to develop Vi.Ki.E.-based SML models to provide an important decision-making tool supporting the medical team during open-chest surgery.	RA	Open-Chest Surgery
Luo et al, 2021	Sun Yat-sen University Prediction Model for Infective Endocarditis	construct an accurate and easy-to-use prediction model to identify patients at high risk of early mortality after surgery for infective endocarditis.	RA	Infective Endocarditis
MAthis et al, 2022	random forest model	early detection of post-operative deterioration among patients undergoing cardiac surgical procedures.	DST	Post-Operative Deterioration
Miller et al, 2019	Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Classification and Regression Tree (CART) Random Forest (RF)	evaluated the utility of 3 ML algorithms for predicting mortality after pediatric HTx	RA	Heart Transplantation (HT)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Molina et al, 2022	Logistic regression models Naive Bayes, Multilayer perceptron Support vector machine (SVM) Random forest Gradient boosting (boosted trees)	to predict cardiac surgical operative mortality.	RA	Cardiac Surgery
Park et al, 2022	mean imputation statistical imputation singular value decomposition (SVD) random-forest-based imputation method (i.e., MissForest)	to analyze and predict outcomes after open-heart surgery.	RA	Open-Heart Surgery
Raghu et al, 2022	deep learning model (CXR-CTSurgery)	develop a model that estimate postoperative mortality risk based on a preoperative chest radiograph for cardiac surgeries	RA	Cardiac Surgery
Shou et al, 2022	extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost)	prediction of post-transplant mortality in patients bridged to heart transplantation with temporary mechanical circulatory support (tMCS)	RA	Heart Transplantation (HT)
Thalappillil et al, 2020	AI software that uses 3D	to evaluate an automated	RA	Aortic Stenosis

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	echocardiography to model the aortic annulus.	echocardiography software as compared with computed tomography in measurement of the aortic valve annulus in patients with aortic stenosis.		
Tuong et al, 2021	Random Forest (RF) algorithm and logistic regression	To predict pacemaker risk following TAVR.	RA	Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement (TAVR)
Wang et al, 2022	**Gaussian Process (GP) regression ML algorithm** <u>hybrid machine learning (ML) framework:</u> <u>first:</u> random forest (RF) algorithm to flter out unimportant features <u>second:</u> a Gaussian process (GP) regression model to capture the complex relationships between the clinical data and intraoperative RBC transfusion amount. <u>last:</u> compared the performance	predicting red blood cell (RBC) transfusion requirements in cardiothoracic (CT) surgery	RA	Red Blood Cell Transfusion

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	of GP classifcation to other well-known machine learning classifcation algorithms, including neural networks, XGBoost, random forest, and decision tree.			
Wue et al, 2022	Multivariate logistic regression (MLR), Support vector machinelearning (SVM), Random forest model (RFM), Multi-layerperce ption (MLP)	establishing and validating a machine learning-based quantitative method for noninvasive hippocampal assessment through preoperative cranial computed tomography (CT) instead of magnetic resonance imaging for the early detection of AKI-related hippocampal damage and prompt clinical intervention.	DST	AKI - related Hippocampal Damage
Zea-Vera et al, 2021	Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost)	develop and validate a dynamic machine learning model to predict CABG outcomes at clinically relevant pre- and postoperative time points.	RA	Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG)
Zhong et al, 2020	Logistic Regression (LR)	build up multiple machine learning	RA	Perioperative Complications

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.18.23297244; this version posted October 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	Artificial Neural Network (ANN) Random Forest (RF) Extreme Gradient boosting (XGBoost)	models to predict 30-days mortality, and 3 complications including septic shock, thrombocytopenia, and liver dysfunction after open-heart surgery.		
Zhou et al, 2021	Logistic regression (LR), Support Vector Machines (SVM) Random Forest (RF) Extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost), Adaptive boosting (AdaBoost), Gradient boosting machine (GBM) Artificial neural network (ANN)	establish a risk-prediction model for assessing prognosis of HTx using machine-learning approach.	RA	Heart Transplantation (HT)

**RA - Risk Analysis*
**DST - Disease Screening or Triage*