Cohort profile: working age adults accessing secondary mental health services in South London (UK) and benefits – a data linkage of electronic mental health records and benefits data.

Ava Phillips [1], Ray Leal [1,2], Amelia Jewell [3], Ira Madan [5,6], Johnny Downs [1,3], Matthew Broadbent [3], Matthew Hotopf [1,3], Sarah Dorrington [1,3], *Nicola T. Fear [1,2,4], *Sharon A.M. Stevelink [1,2].

*joint last authors

1. Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK.
2. King's Centre for Military Health Research, Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK.
3. NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre, South London and Maudsley Mental Health NHS Trust, London, UK.
4. Academic Department of Military Mental Health, Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK.
5. Department of Occupational Health, Guy's and St Thomas' Hospitals NHS Trust, London, UK.
6. King's College London, London, UK.

Corresponding author: Ava Phillips, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, 16 De Crespigny Park, London, UK, SE5 9AF. Email: ava.1.phillips@kcl.ac.uk.

Abstract

This study describes a cohort of working age adults from a novel data linkage. The

data linkage is comprised of routine electronic mental health records from The South

London and Maudsley (SLaM) NHS Foundation Trust and benefits records from The

Department for Work and Pensions (DWP). The data linkage spans 15 years, with

SLaM data covering the period 2007-2019 and DWP data covering the period 2005-

2020. The linkage was established to investigate the interrelationships between

benefit receipt and mental health (including mental health service utilisation) in

patients accessing secondary mental health services in South London, UK. Clinical

data from SLaM includes information on sociodemographics, diagnoses,

assessments, treatments, interventions, and service interaction. Benefits data from

DWP provides information on benefit types received, spell dates and entitlement

amounts, work capability assessments and work programme access.

This cohort included all working age adults (aged 18-65 years) referred to/receiving

treatment from SLaM services between January 2007 and June 2019. We report the

sociodemographics and psychiatric diagnoses of n=150,348 working age adults, as

well as the types of benefits received. We showed that 78.3% of working age adults

received a benefit relating to unemployment or work-related income, sickness or

disability, or a housing benefit, and that 68.0% of this group had a recorded primary

psychiatric diagnosis. The most commonly received diagnoses were alcohol related

disorders and substance related disorders (17.2%), followed by mood (affective)

disorders (16.5%). We also observed that nearly 70% of claimants who had received

more than one benefit were residing in the two most deprived areas across the

South London boroughs covered by SLaM.

55 **Introduction**
56

In the UK, mental disorders are one of the most frequently reported working age

disabilities and/or health conditions and reasons for claiming government

unemployment, work-related income, sickness, and disability related benefits [1]. It

was reported in a health and disability green paper by the Department for Work and

Pensions (DWP) that spending on benefits for people with disabilities and people

with long-term health conditions is at its highest, with over £30 billion being spent in

2019/20 on working age health and disability benefits [2]. This is predicted to

increase to £31 - 40 billion by 2025/26.

In the UK, the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) provides social security for

those in need, known as "benefits". Different types of benefits are provided

depending on people's needs and circumstances e.g., unemployment benefits for

people who are out of work, benefits for those on a low income, or sickness and

disability benefits for people with a disability, long-term illness, or mental disorder

[3]. The standard entitlement amounts for each benefit differ and are also dependent

on people's circumstances such as their income, whom they live with, if they are

married and how many children they have [4]. There have been substantial changes

to the UK benefits system in the past two decades, specifically the introduction of

"Universal Credit" (UC) which is a benefit provided for people who are out of work as

well as for those who are in work but on a low income and require extra support. It

replaced 6 other benefits (Housing Benefit, Income Support, income-based

Jobseeker's Allowance, income-related Employment and Support Allowance, Child

Tax Credit and Working Tax Credit). Although these are being phased out, some

people still receive these benefits, known as "legacy benefits", as they have not yet

been transferred onto UC. UC was introduced as part of the *Welfare Reform Act*

2012, in order to reduce the complexity of claiming various different benefits [5].

Another key reform is the change over from Disability Living Allowance (DLA), a

benefit for people who need support with mobility or care costs, to Personal

Independence Payment (PIP). PIP is a non-means tested benefit, meaning a

person's income is not relevant to entitlement of receiving this benefit, and is

similarly provided to help with extra costs due to having ill health or a disability,

whether the claimant is in or out of work. Individuals are required to undergo a

points-based assessment to determine how their condition impacts their functional

ability [6].

Despite the notion that these reforms support the government's drive to help people

feel motivated to obtain and remain in employment [5], research indicates evidence

of concern for those with mental disorders in particular as a result of these changes.

Research has shown that people experience further distress and, therefore, a

reduced likelihood of employment [6,7].

No UK-wide dataset currently provides combined individual level, routinely collected

mental health service data and benefits data. It is vital that research into the

provision and ongoing needs of people with a disability, long-term illness or mental

disorder is carried out to inform policy on how best to support some of the most

vulnerable members of society. This paper aimed to establish and describe a

working age cohort within a record-level linkage which provides an opportunity to

better understand benefit receipt among those with mental disorders, the patterns of

engagement with both National Health Service (NHS) secondary mental health

services and the benefits system, and the impact of changes to the benefits system

over the past two decades. We present sociodemographic characteristics and data

on psychiatric diagnosis and benefit receipt among working age adults accessing

secondary mental health care services and benefits in Southeast London.

Ethics approval
112

The South London and Maudsley (SLaM) NHS Foundation Trust and Department for

Work and Pensions (DWP) data linkage resulted in a linked data set of longitudinal

data from SLaM electronic mental health records and DWP benefits data. All data

used is de-identified. The use of SLaM mental health records data for research

purposes received full ethical approval from the NHS Research Ethics Committee

(Oxford South Central ref 18/SC/0372). Ethical approval for the data linkage was

granted from the Oxford South Central REC (Ref: 22/SC/0400), and the data linkage

was legally permitted by the Health Research Authority Confidentiality Group (CAG)

under Section 251 of the NHS Act 2006 (ref 17/CAG/0055).

The Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) data linkage service user and

carer advisory group is a Patient and Public Involvement and Engagement (PPIE)

group containing members with lived experience of mental disorders or caring for

someone with a mental disorder who has accessed mental health services.

Members were involved in early discussions around the data linkage and were

supportive of the linkage. In addition, two PPI members have joined our research

team and are regularly involved in discussions regarding projects using the linked

data. Consultation and discussion with all PPI members will continue as work

progresses [8].

133 Cohort description
134

Mental health data was extracted from the electronic health care records of the

SLaM NHS Foundation Trust; one of Europe's largest providers of secondary mental

health services, providing services to the South London boroughs of Lambeth,

Lewisham, Southwark, and Croydon, covering a catchment area of over 1.3 million

residents [9]. SLaM provides specialist secondary mental health services for a

number of disorders, including eating disorders and psychosis related disorders, as

well as outpatient services for low level common mental disorders (for example,

Improving Access to Psychological Therapy (IAPT) services). Patients who accessed

SLaM services vary in their level and method of contact with services, for example

patients may a have one-off presentation or referral from a general practitioner (GP),

or ongoing hospitalisations and treatment. Patients included in the current cohort are

those who had accessed either SLaM secondary mental health services (some of

whom will have also accessed IAPT services). Those who only accessed IAPT

services were excluded from this cohort, as the focus was on patients who were

likely to meet clinical thresholds for a mental disorder. The Maudsley Biomedical

Research Centre (BRC) Clinical Records Interactive Search (CRIS) system was

established to provide a service-user led governance framework, that could safely

and securely de-identify clinical data from SLaM's electronic health records for

research purposes [10].

Benefits data comes from the DWP. DWP are responsible for policy implementation

relating to most benefits in the United Kingdom. The linkage of the two datasets took

place in 2020 using an ad hoc deterministic approach, achieving a linkage rate of

92.3% [see Reference 11 for full details].

This profile paper reports on a cohort of patients of working age who accessed SLaM

services between 1st January 2007 and 30th June 2019. We defined working age as

those between the ages of 18-65 years, including those aged 18 years and above at

SLaM window start date (January 2007) and those aged 65 years and below at

SLaM window end date (June 2019) (Figure 1). This is because people in the UK

turning age 66 years were eligible for State Pension and this was generally

considered as the standard retirement age [12].

We report data on working age patients who had accessed SLaM (n=150,348) and

some of them had received a benefit relating specifically to unemployment or work-

related income, sickness or disability, or Housing Benefit, which are referred to as

benefits of interest.

Cohort timeline
171

The linkage provides data covering a 15-year window, with benefits data starting

from 1st January 2005 until 30th June 2020, and data from SLaM covering the period

1st January 2007 until 30th June 2019. Due to the longitudinal and administrative

nature of the linkage, data can exist for people, in relation to both mental health data

and benefits data at multiple timepoints. For some, data on mental health and

benefits exist together throughout the period under study. For others, there may be

only one instance for both mental health and benefits data. It should be noted that

the CRIS data is 'live', so for each new project specific data extraction using the

linked data, details can vary as the SLaM patient journey is ongoingly edited by

health professionals.

184 Cohort measures

Mental health electronic record data: All patient level information within the CRIS

system was pseudonymised, including structured fields and unstructured text from

clinical notes. Data included individual level socio-demographic characteristics (e.g.,

sex, month and year of birth, date of death, ethnicity, marital status, neighbourhood

deprivation) and time variant clinical data such as psychiatric diagnosis,

assessments, mental health treatment (medication and therapies), and engagement

with SLaM services including admissions, recorded attendance, and adherence to

treatments. Note that it is possible patients received different psychiatric diagnoses

over time; the psychiatric diagnosis reported in the tables refers to the first instance

of a psychiatric diagnosis received within the SLaM data window (January 2007-

June 2019).

Benefits data: Socio-demographic data included sex, date of death and truncated

postcode. Time variant data included dates of benefit receipt. The benefits data were

derived from various benefits sources, for example, the "National Benefits Database"

(NBD) contains certain benefit types, many of which were legacy benefits, whilst

"Universal Credit" (UC) and "Personal Independence Payment" (PIP) was extracted

from different administrative data sources. There were also separate benefit "flags"

for Pension Credit and Housing Benefit (HB) within the NBD database. NBD benefits

include Incapacity Benefit (IB), Carer's Allowance, Income Support (IS), Jobseeker's

Allowance (JSA), Attendance Allowance, Retirement/State Pension, Disability Living

Allowance (DLA), Severe Disablement Benefit (SDA), Widow's Benefit, Passported

Incapacity Benefit, Bereavement Benefit and Employment and Support Allowance

(ESA). Data contained benefit spell dates and payment entitlements. A benefit spell

indicated a period of time a person was receiving a benefit without a break. There

was also information on work-related interventions provided by DWP, as well as

work capability assessments (WCAs), which are used to determine someone's

capacity for work and entitlement to ESA. The WCA was introduced as part of a

wider benefit reform in 2008 [13].

For the purpose of this paper, we only examined benefits relating to unemployment

or work-related income, sickness or disability, or HB, all of which may be relevant for

working age adults who have accessed secondary care services for their mental

health. These benefits of interest include UC, PIP, HB, IB, IS, JSA, DLA, SDA, and

ESA. Although not an unemployment, work-related income, sickness or disability

related benefit, HB was included in the benefits of interest, as this is a key benefit

that is only received alongside another benefit and is crucial in providing a fuller

picture of someone's benefits situation.

Table 1. presents key variables. This is not an exhaustive list of every specific

benefit related variable in the benefits data, or all clinical variables held within the

CRIS data.

229 <u>Table 1. Key variables from SLaM mental health electronic record data and benefits</u>

230 <u>data from DWP.</u>

Summary of key variables			
Mental health electronic record data		**Benefits data**	
Socio-demographic data	*Mental health data*	*Socio-demographic data*	*Benefits data*
Month and year of birth	**a** Psychiatric diagnosis based on ICD-10 codes	Sex	**c** National Benefits Database
Sex	Dates of first and last contact with SLaM	Date of death	Universal Credit
Ethnicity	Medication – prescription, type, dosage)	Postcode truncated to district level	Personal Independence Payment
Date of death	**b** Interventions (therapies – type and whether or not received)		Benefit spells start and end date
Deprivation (Indices of Multiple Deprivation quintile)	Inpatient admissions		**d** Work Capability Assessment date and outcome
			Weekly monetary entitlement at start and end of benefit
			Date of payment
			Work programme interventions

This data enables us to look at the on and off flows of benefit receipt and mental

health utilisation among all patients. We can determine how long people are

receiving a benefit(s) for, entitlement amounts, as well assessment dates and

outcomes. Data on interventions from DWP provide information on a variety of

different intervention types that have been offered to patients, including but not

limited to "Skills for Work", "Job Search Support Centre" and "Job Club", as well as

the start and end dates of when these opportunities have been provided and the

"end reason" such as "Found Work".

Findings to date

We have reported initial findings on the linkage process between SLaM and DWP

records and described the linkage process and sensitivity analysis exploring factors

associated with linkage success between SLaM patients and their DWP records.

[10]. Of the characteristics studied, female sex, ethnic minority status, being aged

between 21-60 years relative to other ages below or above, and not having a

recorded primary psychiatric diagnosis were associated with non-successful linkage

[11].

For this cohort profile report, we explored the socio-demographic and clinical

characteristics of working age adults who were either receiving or not receiving

benefits of interest. Of the 150,348 working age adults who were successfully linked,

78.3% received a benefit of interest (n=117,683). Of this group, 68.0% had a

recorded primary psychiatric diagnosis. Of those who either did not receive a benefit

at all or received a benefit that was not of interest (e.g., State Pension) (n=32,665),

58.1% had a recorded primary psychiatric diagnosis (Table 2).

It should be noted that the group of patients who were of working age but did not

receive a benefit of interest (n=32,665) included: those who had engaged with DWP

as evidenced by an entry in benefits records but did not receive any benefits

(n=273), those who had no entry in benefit records (n=31,538), and those who

engaged with DWP and received benefits that were not of interest (e.g. State

Pension) (n=854). Sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess any key

differences between these groups and showed no notable differences.

Table 2: Socio-demographic characteristics of working age patients who have
received or not received a benefit related to unemployment, sickness,
disability, housing, or income support.

	Working age patients N=150,348 (100.0%)	*a* Received benefits of interest n=117,683 (78.3%)	Did not receive benefits of interest n=32,665 (21.7%)
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)

Sex			
Female	72,074 (48.0)	54,116 (46.0)	17,958 (55.0)
Male	78,171 (53.0)	63,490 (54.0)	14,681 (45.0)
b **Age (years)**			
18-24 years	12,443 (8.3)	8,491 (7.2)	3,952 (12.1)
25-34 years	36,735 (24.3)	28,556 (24.3)	8,179 (25.0)
35-44 years	37,480 (24.9)	28,202 (24.0)	9,278 (28.4)
45-54 years	35,432 (23.6)	28,701 (24.4)	6,731 (20.6)
55-65 years	28,258 (18.8)	23,733 (20.2)	4,525 (13.9)
Ethnicity			
White British / White Other	79,052 (52.6)	62,535 (53.1)	16,517 (50.6)
Black/African/Caribbean/Black British	21,022 (14.0)	18,614 (15.8)	2,408 (7.4)
Asian/Asian British	7,465 (5.0)	5,279 (4.5)	2,186 (6.7)
Mixed/Multiple racial and ethnic groups	3,347 (2.2)	2,844 (2.4)	503 (1.5)
Other racial and ethnic minority groups	9,539 (6.3)	6,934 (5.9)	2,605 (8.0)
Not stated	29,923 (19.9)	21,477 (18.2)	8,446 (25.9)
Recorded death between 2005 - 2020			
No	138,835 (92.3)	107,126 (91.0)	31,709 (97.1)
Yes	11,513 (7.7)	10,557 (9.0)	956 (2.9)
c **Deprivation (IMD quintile)**			
First (most deprived)	32,266 (22.6)	27,760 (25.0)	4,506 (14.4)
Second	55,016 (38.6)	43,985 (39.5)	11,031 (35.2)
Third	31,419 (22.0)	23,362 (21.0)	8,057 (25.7)
Fourth	14,988 (10.5)	10,284 (9.2)	4,704 (15.3)
Fifth (least deprived)	8,860 (6.2)	5,859 (5.3)	3,001 (9.6)
d **Resident within SLaM local catchment area**			
No	48,538 (34.0)	38,104 (34.2)	10,434 (33.3)
Yes	94,243 (66.0)	73,352 (65.8)	20,891 (66.7)
Age (years) at first presentation to SLaM			
<18 years	10,040 (6.9)	8,035 (7.0)	2,005 (6.3)
18-24 years	28,797 (19.6)	22,257 (19.4)	6,540 (20.6)
25-34 years	42,856 (29.2)	32,544 (28.3)	10,312 (32.5)
35-44 years	36,338 (24.8)	28,896 (25.2)	7,442 (23.5)
45-54 years	22,762 (15.5)	18,542 (16.1)	4,220 (13.3)
55-65 years	5,841 (4.0)	4,632 (4.0)	1,209 (3.8)
d **Psychiatric diagnosis received**			
Received primary psychiatric diagnosis	98,974 (65.8)	79,981 (68.0)	18,993 (58.1)
Did not receive primary psychiatric diagnosis	51,374 (34.2)	37,702 (32.0)	13,672 (41.9)

282 *Note:*

283 *a Benefits received: these include having received one or more of the following at*

284 *any point in the DWP data window (January 2005-June 2020): Universal Credit,*

285 *Personal Independence Payment, Housing Benefit, Incapacity Benefit, Income*

286 *Support, Jobseeker's Allowance, Disability Living Allowance, Severe Disablement*

Table 3 shows that a much higher percentage of patients aged over 24 received

more than one benefit compared to those between 18-24 years. This result is likely a

consequence of patients in older age brackets having a higher propensity to seek

housing benefits. Moreover, belonging to an older age group may suggest an

extended duration of benefit receipt, indicating a potential combination of receiving

legacy and newer benefits, alongside an elevated need that contributes to a higher

frequency of benefit claims.

A higher percentage of those within categories "White British" and "White Other"

received more than one benefit (53.6%), relative to other ethnic groups. The

distribution of ethnic groups corresponds to the demographic distribution in the

relevant boroughs. People living in the first and second most deprived areas made

up nearly 70% of claimants that had received more than one benefit. This indicates

patients residing in more disadvantaged areas need additional support, highlighting

the importance of further investigation into this particular group.

A much higher percentage of those with a primary psychiatric diagnosis received

more than one benefit (69.4%) compared to those who had not received a primary

psychiatric diagnosis (30.6%). This finding aligns with earlier reports, indicating that

a prevalent working-age disability and common reason for claiming unemployment

and sickness-related benefits is a mental health disorder. Consequently, individuals

with a formal psychiatric diagnosis within this group may be more likely to need and

receive increased benefits.

Table 3: Socio-demographics of working age patients receiving benefits related to unemployment, sickness, disability,

housing or income support.

Type of benefit	Universal Credit (UC) n(%)	Jobseeker's Allowance (JSA) n(%)	Employment and Support Allowance (ESA) n(%)	Incapacity Benefit (IB) n(%)	Severe Disablement Allowance (SDA) n(%)	Personal Independence Payment (PIP) n(%)	Disability Living Allowance (DLA) n(%)	Income Support (IS) n(%)	Housing benefit (HB) n(%)	Received more than one benefit of interest n(%)
Sociodemographics										
Sex										
Female	22,293 (53.8)	27,007 (41.5)	31,105 (43.3)	15,486 (39.8)	1,138 (44.2)	19,221 (47.6)	16,795 (43.4)	27,003 (54.2)	34,897 (46.4)	44,949 (45.3)
Male	19,168 (46.2)	38,036 (58.5)	40,737 (56.7)	23,461 (60.2)	1,435 (55.8)	21,126 (52.4)	21,888 (56.6)	22,815 (45.8)	40,292 (53.6)	54,383 (54.8)
Age (years)										
18-24 years	5,265 (12.7)	2,188 (3.4)	2,832 (3.9)	<10 (<0.1)	<10 (<0.1)	3,461 (8.6)	3,163 (8.2)	971 (2.0)	1,992 (2.7)	5,775 (5.8)
25-34 years	12,99 (31.3)	20,553 (31.6)	14,789 (20.6)	3,146 (8.1)	<10 (<0.1)	7,724 (19.1)	7,056 (18.2)	8,571 (17.2)	15,547 (20.7)	22,900 (23.0)
35-44 years	10,324 (24.9)	17,960 (27.6)	16,644 (23.2)	9,186 (23.6)	458 (17.)	8,414 (20.8)	7,142 (18.5)	12,631 (25.4)	19,597 (26.1)	23,884 (24.0)
45-54 years	8,261 (19.9)	14,691 (22.6)	19,974 (27.8)	13,529 (34.7)	963 (37.4)	10,706 (26.5)	10,359 (26.8)	15,174 (30.5)	21,275 (28.3)	25,575 (25.7)

55-65 years	4,656 (11.2)	9,696 (14.9)	17,642 (24.5)	13,087 (33.6)	1,153 (44.8)	10,064 (24.9)	10,979 (28.4)	12,482 (25.1)	16,815 (22.4)	21,258 (21.4)
Ethnicity										
White British/ White Other	19,935 (48.0)	33,992 (52.2)	40,422 (56.2)	23,396 (60.2)	1,479 (57.5)	21,769 (53.9)	21,717 (56.1)	27,261 (54.7)	39,953 (53.1)	53,227 (53.6)
Black/African/Ca ibbean/Black British	7,296 (17.6)	10,294 (15.8)	11,963 (16.6)	6,572 (16.9)	567 (22.0)	6,782 (16.8)	6,723 (17.4)	9,508 (19.1)	13,961 (18.6)	16,807 (16.9)
Asian/Asian British	1,926 (4.6)	2,682 (4.1)	3,040 (4.2)	1,577 (4.1)	116 (4.5)	1,746 (4.3)	1,702 (4.4)	2,011 (4.1)	3,059 (4.1)	4,253 (4.3)
Mixed/Multiple racial and ethnic groups	1,218 (2.9)	1,638 (2.5)	1,748 (2.4)	859 (2.2)	64 (2.5)	1,024 (2.5)	933 (2.4)	1,338 (2.7)	1,903 (2.5)	2,473 (2.5)
Other racial and ethnic minority groups	2,628 (6.3)	4,117 (6.3)	3,918 (5.5)	1,752 (4.5)	49 (1.9)	2,145 (5.3)	1,636 (4.2)	2,587 (5.2)	4,516 (6.0)	5,680 (5.7)
Not stated	8,499 (20.5)	12,365 (19.0)	10,790 (15.0)	4,794 (12.3)	299 (11.6)	6,903 (17.1)	5,988 (15.5)	7,124 (14.3)	11,834 (15.7)	16,952 (17.1)
Recorded death between 2005 - 2020										
No	40,365 (87.3)	60,925 (93.6)	64,638 (89.9)	32,823 (84.3)	2,065 (80.2)	37,047 (91.8)	33,294 (86.0)	43,795 (87.9)	67,512 (89.6)	89,711 (90.3)
Yes	1,137 (2.7)	4,163 (6.4)	7,243 (10.1)	6,127 (15.7)	509 (19.8)	3,322 (8.2)	5,405 (14.0)	6,034 (12.1)	7,714 (10.3)	9,681 (9.7)
Deprivation (IMD quintile)										
First (most deprived)	10,381 (26.6)	15,205 (24.9)	17,901 (26.5)	9,939 (27.3)	566 (23.2)	10,105 (26.5)	9,843 (26.7)	13,552 (28.9)	19,512 (27.7)	24,590 (26.3)
Second	15,775 (40.5)	24,775 (40.5)	27,002 (40.0)	15,083 (41.5)	979 (40.1)	14,848 (38.9)	14,238 (38.7)	19,767 (42.1)	29,790 (42.2)	37,764 (40.3)
Third	7,940 (20.4)	12,849 (21.0)	13,693 (20.3)	7,249 (19.9)	575 (23.5)	7,775 (20.4)	7,358 (20.0)	9,135 (19.5)	13,945 (19.8)	19,115 (20.4)
Fourth	3,266 (8.3)	5,418 (8.9)	5,741 (8.5)	2,694 (7.4)	221 (9.0)	3,434 (9.0)	3,356 (9.1)	3,113 (6.6)	4,966 (7.0)	7,907 (8.4)

Fifth (least deprived)	1,634 (4.2)	2,862 (4.7)	3,168 (4.7)	1,393 (3.8)	103 (4.0)	1,994 (5.2)	2,026 (5.5)	1,408 (3.0)	2,320 (3.3)	4,306 (4.6)
Resident within local catchment area										
No	11,687 (29.9)	19,939 (32.6)	24,608 (36.4)	12,062 (33.1)	692 (28.1)	15,059 (39.4)	15,013 (40.6)	14,082 (29.9)	22,041 (31.2)	31,936 (34.0)
Yes	27,350 (70.1)	41,247 (67.1)	43,049 (63.6)	24,394 (66.9)	1,767 (71.9)	23,211 (60.7)	21,929 (59.4)	32,975 (70.1)	48,588 (68.8)	61,936 (66.0)
Age (years) at first presentation to SLaM										
≤18 years	4,101 (10.1)	3,337 (5.3)	3,670 (5.2)	387 (1.0)	13 (0.5)	3,104 (7.9)	3,526 (9.3)	1,951 (4.0)	3,196 (4.4)	6,380 (6.6)
18-24 years	9,994 (24.7)	13,349 (21.0)	11,699 (16.6)	3,943 (10.3)	101 (4.1)	6,816 (17.3)	7,001 (18.5)	7,575 (15.5)	11,725 (16.0)	17,788 (18.3)
25-34 years	12,144 (30.0)	20,913 (32.9)	18,839 (26.8)	10,213 (26.8)	657 (26.4)	9,548 (24.2)	8,660 (22.9)	13,898 (28.5)	21,679 (29.5)	27,208 (28.0)
35-44 years	8,555 (21.1)	14,918 (23.5)	19,458 (27.7)	13,117 (34.4)	988 (39.6)	10,127 (25.6)	10,037 (26.6)	14,770 (30.1)	21,068 (28.7)	25,447 (26.2)
45-54 years	4,548 (11.2)	8,937 (14.1)	13,305 (18.9)	8,601 (22.6)	602 (24.2)	7,581 (19.2)	6,993 (18.5)	8,795 (18.1)	12,949 (17.6)	16,335 (16.8)
55-65 years	1,153 (2.9)	2,162 (3.4)	3,348 (4.8)	1,861 (4.9)	132 (5.3)	2,300 (5.8)	1,538 (4.1)	1,744 (3.6)	2,913 (4.0)	3,932 (4.1)
Primary psychiatric diagnosis										
Received primary psychiatric diagnosis	27,314 (65.8)	42,680 (65.6)	52,201 (72.6)	28,975 (74.4)	2,046 (79.5)	29,615 (73.4)	28,465 (73.6)	35,822 (71.9)	52,648 (67.0)	68,989 (69.4)
Did not receive primary psychiatric diagnosis	14,188 (34.2)	22,408 (34.4)	19,680 (27.4)	9,975 (25.6)	528 (20.5)	10,754 (26.6)	10,234 (26.5)	14,007 (28.1)	22,57 (30.0)	30,403 (30.6)

Note:
a Age years represents the age at SLaM window end date (30th June 2019). All patients in this cohort are of working age between
the SLaM window start and end date (18-65 years). Not all n (%) will add to total (100%) due to missing data across variables. b
These measures are taken from the time point that is closest to the SLaM window start date (January 2007). c Indices of Multiple
Deprivation (IMD) is a summary measure of relative deprivation for fixed areas of the UK, informed by seven domains (income,
employment, education, crime, housing, health, and living environment), scores are grouped into quintiles based on relative
disadvantage. Cell sizes of less than <10 are shown as <10 (<0.1%).

Of patients receiving a benefit of interest with a recorded primary psychiatric

diagnosis, the most common diagnoses were alcohol related disorders (F10) and

substance related disorders (F11-F19) (17.2%), followed by mood (affective)

disorders (F30-F39) (16.5%), in particular a single episode of major depressive

disorder (F32), and those with anxiety, dissociative, stress-related, somatoform and

other nonpsychotic mental disorders (F40-F48) (12.6%) (Table 4).

Table 4: Diagnostic categories of working age patients who have received or
not received a benefit related to unemployment, sickness, disability, housing,
or income support.

Benefit receipt **Recorded first primary psychiatric diagnoses (ICD-10 codes and description)**	**Received benefits of interest** n=117,683 n (%)
Schizophrenia, schizotypal, delusional disorders, and other non-mood psychotic disorders (F20-F29) *Total n = 10,586/117,683 (9%)*	
Schizophrenia (F20)	5,752 (54.3)
All other psychosis (e.g., unspecified nonorganic psychosis) (F21-F29)	4,834 (45.7)
Mood (affective) disorders (F30-F39) *Total n = 19,401/117,683 (16.5%)*	
Major depressive disorder, single episode (F32)	12,058 (62.2)
All other mood affective disorders including bipolar (F30, F31, F33, F34, F38, F39)	7,343 (37.8)

Anxiety, dissociative, stress-related, somatoform and other nonpsychotic mental disorders (F40-F48) *Total n = 14,838/117,683 (12.6%)*	
Reaction to severe stress, and adjustment disorders (F43)	6,110 (41.2)
Phobic anxiety disorders (F40), obsessive compulsive disorders (F42), dissociative and conversion disorders (F44), somatoform disorders (F45), Other non-psychotic mental disorders (F48)	4,808 (32.4)
Other anxiety disorders (F41) (e.g., panic disorders, generalised anxiety disorders, mixed anxiety, and depressive disorders)	3,920 (26.4)
Mental and behavioural disorders due to psychoactive substance use (F10-F19) *Total n = 20,095 /117,683 (17.1%)*	
Alcohol related disorders (F10)	8,991 (44.4)
Substance related disorders (F11-F19)	11,104 (55.6)
Behavioural syndromes associated with physiological disturbances and physical factors F50-F59 *Total n = 2,482 /117,683 (2.1%)*	
Eating disorders (F50) and all other behavioural syndromes (F51, F53, F54, F55, F59)	2,482 (100.0)
Disorders of adult personality and behaviour (F60-F63) *Total n = 2,856 /117,683 (2.4%)*	
Personality disorders and adult behavioural disorders (F60-F63)	2,856 (100.0)
Other disorders - intellectual disabilities (F70-F79), pervasive and specific developmental disorders (F80-F89), and behavioural and emotional disorders with onset usually occurring in childhood and adolescence (F90-F98) *Total n = 9723/117,683 (8.3%)*	
Intellectual disabilities (F70-F79)	1,851 (19.0)

Autism and Asperger's related development disorders (F84)	2,385 (24.5)
ADHD related disorders (F90)	4,089 (42.1)
Other disorders (F80, F81, F83, F88, F89, F91, F92, F93, F94, F95, F98)	1,398 (14.4)
No recorded primary psychiatric diagnosis *Total n = 37,702 /117,683 (32.0%)*	37,702 (100.0)

342
343
Note:
Benefits of interest include having received one or more of the following at any point
in the DWP data window (January 2005-June 2020): Universal Credit, Personal
Independence Payment, Housing Benefit, Incapacity Benefit, Income Support,
Jobseeker's Allowance, Disability Living Allowance, Severe Disablement Benefit,
Employment and Support Allowance. All patients in this cohort are of working age
between the SLaM window start and end date (18-65 years). The following
diagnostic categories were excluded as they were not of interest for the purpose of
this study and/or had very small numbers: F01 to F09: Mental disorders due to
known physiological conditions, F52 Sexual dysfunction not due to a substance or
known physiological condition, F64 Gender identity disorders, F65 Paraphilias, F66
Other sexual disorders, F68 Other disorders of adult personality and behaviour, F69
Unspecified disorder of adult personality and behaviour. If a patient received a first
psychiatric diagnosis of FXX or F99, the next F* code diagnosis they received
closest to window start date of the SLaM data window is considered their first
psychiatric diagnosis.

360
361

Future research using the linked data will explore both the level of interaction with

secondary mental health services and the duration of benefits received over time

across different groups (e.g., across diagnostic groups and by socio-demographic

factors), as well as assessing temporality between receiving a mental health

diagnosis or accessing secondary mental health services and receiving a benefit.

The data linkage also presents opportunities to look at the impact of the benefit

reforms on mental health outcomes (e.g., mental health severity and diagnosis over

time).

Strengths and limitations

This data linkage is the first of its kind to link electronic mental health records with

benefits data in the UK, providing in depth data spanning a 15-year window and

opportunity to explore the complex longitudinal relationships between benefit receipt

among a substantial number of adults accessing secondary mental healthacross

areas of Southeast London. The mental health data provides rich clinical information

on psychiatric diagnoses, illness severity, assessment, history of appointments and

treatments and interventions received. Benefits data provides substantial data such

as type, level, dates of and entitlement amounts of benefits received, WCAs and

work-related interventions offered.

Previous research reporting on mental health and benefit receipt has been limited in

detail, as well as the methods used. The Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (APMS)

(2014) [14] reported that mental disorders are more common among those who were

unemployed and receiving an out of work benefit, namely ESA, due to poor health

and disability. The current data linkage presents extensive data recorded by health

service professionals, as opposed to self-report, and provides more detailed benefits

related information. Unlike cross-sectional studies, the longitudinal nature of the

linkage presents an opportunity to understand ongoing changes and potential

challenges in the provision of mental health services and benefits, as well as capture

individuals using mental health services more sporadically.

Although we reported a high linkage rate (92.3%), we previously described a linkage

bias showing certain characteristics such as age, gender, and ethnicity were

associated with an increased risk of not being successfully linked [11]. Another

limitation is that our sample only contains patients who have been referred to SLaM,

meaning we cannot compare our findings to a population with mental health

disorders who have not accessed secondary mental health services. Further, we do

not hold reliable data across the cohort specifically indicating whether a patient is

currently in or out of work, though there are indicators among those receiving UC as

to whether someone is currently in, out of, or looking for work.

This data linkage provides an opportunity to gain extensive knowledge around

complex relationships between benefit receipt and mental health as well as provide

evidence to support and answer areas of research interest stated by the DWP

relating to health and disability and welfare provision [2,15], and around mental

health provision. Future work will initially look at temporality of benefit receipt and

mental health diagnosis, as well as the amount and type of interaction patients have

with mental health services and the benefits system.

Data access

The linked data is not freely available due to ethical and legal restrictions that exist

for the current data linkage to ensure the privacy of patients. The team welcomes

research enquiries for project proposals and collaboration. Those who are interested

should contact the study lead, Dr Sharon Stevelink (Sharon.stevelink@kcl.ac.uk)

who will advise on the practicality, processes and required permissions for using the

data linkage.

Author contributions

AP, SAMS and NTF conceptualised the design of this cohort profile study. MH, JD,

NTF, SD and SAMS were part of initial discussions, the various processes and then

finalization of the data linkage itself. MB, RL and AJ took lead in data curation. AP

led on project administration and analysis, wrote initial draft of the paper including all

sections and revised the paper. SAMS, NTF, MH and SD provided supervision and

supported on drafts of the paper. RL provided data cleaning and statistical support.

AP and SAMS acquired funding for the study with support from NTF and MH. All

authors commented on final draft of the paper. NTF and SAMS are joint last authors.

Conflict of interest

MH is principal investigator of the RADAR-CNS consortium, a public private pre-

competitive consortium on mobile health, and as such received research funding to

his university from five pharmaceutical companies (Janssen, UCB, MSD, Lundbeck

and Biogen).

Patient and public involvement statement

This project was informed by discussions with the NIHR Maudsley BRC Data

Linkage Service User and Carer Advisory Group.

Patient consent for publication

Not required.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Megan Pritchard at the National Institute for Health and Care

Research (NIHR) Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) for their support

with this study. We would also like to thank the members of the NIHR Maudsley BRC

Data Linkage Service User and Carer Advisory Group for their input. We are very

grateful to the DWP/Department of Health and Social Care joint Work and Health

Directorate staff, who supported us in creating this linked dataset and for all the

advice provided.

References

1. Department for Work and Pensions. Work, Health and Disability Green Paper Data Pack. 2016. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/644090/work-health-and-disability-green-paper-data-pack.pdf (March 2023, date last accessed).

2. Department for Work and Pensions. Sharping future support: the health and disability green paper. August 2021. https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/shaping-future-support-the-health-and-disability-green-paper (March 2023, date last accessed).

3. Department for Work and Pensions. Benefits and financial support if you're temporarily unable to work. https://www.gov.uk/browse/benefits/unable-to-work (March 2023, date last accessed).

4. Department for Work and Pensions. Universal Credit. https://www.gov.uk/universal-credit/what-youll-get. (March 2023, date last accessed).

5. Department for Work and Pensions. From Welfare state to welfare system. November 2016. https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/from-welfare-state-to-welfare-system (March 2023, date last accessed).

6. Dwyer P, Scullion L, Jones K, McNeill J, Stewart AB. Work, welfare, and wellbeing: The impacts of welfare conditionality on people with mental health impairments in the UK. Social Policy & Administration. 2020 Mar;54(2):311-26.

7. Wickham S, Bentley L, Rose T, Whitehead M, Taylor-Robinson D, Barr B. Effects on mental health of a UK welfare reform, Universal Credit: a

longitudinal controlled study. The Lancet Public Health. 2020 Mar 1;5(3):e157-64.

8. Jewell A, Pritchard M, Barrett K, Green P, Markham S, McKenzie S, Oliver R, Wan M, Downs J, Stewart R. The Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) data linkage service user and carer advisory group: creating and sustaining a successful patient and public involvement group to guide research in a complex area. Research involvement and engagement. 2019 Dec;5(1):1-0.

9. NHS South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust. Who we are. https://slam.nhs.uk/about (March 2023, date last accessed).

10. Stewart R, Soremekun M, Perera G, Broadbent M, Callard F, Denis M, Hotopf M, Thornicroft G, Lovestone S. The South London and Maudsley NHS foundation trust biomedical research centre (SLAM BRC) case register: development and descriptive data. BMC psychiatry. 2009 Dec;9(1):1-2.

11. Stevelink SA, Phillips A, Broadbent M, Boyd A, Dorrington S, Jewell A, Leal R, Bakolis I, Madan I, Hotopf M, Fear NT. Linking electronic mental health and benefits records in South London: design, procedure and descriptive outcomes. BMJ Open. 2023 Feb 1;13(2):e067136.

12. Department for Work and Pensions. State Pension age timetable. May 2014. ttps://www.gov.uk/government/publications/state-pension-age-timetable/state-pension-age-timetable (April 2023, date last accessed).

13. Kennedy S. The Work Capablity Assessment for Employment and Support Allowance. November 2012. https://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN05850/SN05850.pdf (March 2023, date last accessed).

14. McManus S, Bebbington PE, Jenkins R, Brugha T. Mental health and

wellbeing in England: the adult psychiatric morbidity survey 2014. NHS

Digital; 2016.

15. Department for Work and Pensions. DWP Areas of Research Interest. March

2019. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/dwp-areas-of-research-

interest-2019 (March 2023, date last accessed).