**Investigation of the genetic aetiology of Lewy body diseases with and without dementia**

Lesley Wu (1,2,3), Raquel Real (1,2,3), Alejandro Martinez (1,2,3), Ruth Chia (4) , Michael A Lawton (5), Maryam Shoai (3,6,7), Catherine Bresner (8), Leon Hubbard (8), Cornelis Blauwendraat (9, 10), Andrew B Singleton (10), Mina Ryten (3,11,12), Sonja W. Scholz (13,14), Bryan J Traynor (4,14,18), Nigel Williams (8), Michele T M Hu (15,16) Yoav Ben-Shlomo (5), Donald G Grosset (17), John Hardy (3,6,7,18,19,20), Huw R Morris (1,2,3); International LBD Genomic Consortium

1 Department of Clinical and Movement Neurosciences, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, University College London, London WC1N 3BG, UK
2 UCL Movement Disorders Centre, University College London, London WC1N 3BG, UK
3 Aligning Science Across Parkinson's (ASAP) Collaborative Research Network, Chevy Chase, MD, 20815, USA
4 Neuromuscular Diseases Research Section, Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, MD, USA
5 Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 2PS, UK
6 Department of Neurodegenerative Diseases, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, University College London, London WC1N 3BG, UK
7 UK Dementia Research Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK
8 Institute of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 4HQ, UK
9 Integrative Neurogenomics Unit, National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, MD, USA
10 Center for Alzheimer's and Related Dementias, National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, MD, USA
11 Genetics and Genomic Medicine, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, London WC1N 1EH, UK
12 NIHR Great Ormond Street Hospital Biomedical Research Centre, University College London, London WC1N 1EH, UK
13 Neurodegenerative Diseases Research Unit, Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, Bethesda, MD, USA
14 Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University Medical Center, Baltimore, MD, USA
15 Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Division of Clinical Neurology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK
16 Oxford Parkinson's Disease Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QU, UK
17 School of Neuroscience and Psychology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G51 4TF, UK
18 Reta Lila Weston Institute, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London WC1N 1PJ, UK
19 National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre, London W1T 7DN, UK
20 Institute for Advanced Study, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR, China

## Abstract

Up to 80% of Parkinson's disease patients develop dementia, but time to dementia varies widely from motor symptom onset. Dementia with Lewy bodies presents with clinical features similar to Parkinson's disease dementia, but cognitive impairment precedes or coincides with motor onset. It remains controversial whether dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson's disease dementia are distinct conditions or represent part of a disease spectrum. The biological mechanisms underlying disease heterogeneity, in particular the development of dementia, remain poorly understood, but will

likely be key to understanding disease pathways and ultimately therapy development. Previous genome-wide association studies in Parkinson's disease and dementia with Lewy bodies/Parkinson's disease dementia have identified risk loci differentiating patients from controls. We collated data for 7,804 patients of European ancestry from Tracking Parkinson's (PRoBaND), The Oxford Discovery Cohort, and AMP-PD. We conducted a discrete phenotype genome-wide association studies comparing Lewy body diseases with and without dementia to decode disease heterogeneity by investigating the genetic drivers of dementia in Lewy body diseases. We found that risk alleles rs429358 tagging *APOEe4* and rs7668531 near the *MMRN1* and SNCA-AS1 genes, increase the odds of developing dementia and that an intronic variant rs17442721 tagging *LRRK2* G2019S, on chromosome 12 is protective against dementia. These results should be validated in autopsy confirmed cases in future studies.

Keywords: Lewy body diseases, dementia, genome-wide association studies

## Introduction

Parkinson's Disease (PD), PD dementia (PDD) and Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), which we describe here jointly as Lewy body diseases (LBD), are characterised pathologically by alpha-synuclein aggregates forming Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites [1]. PD is a common degenerative movement disorder presenting with tremor, rigidity and bradykinesia. Non-motor features, including cognitive impairment and dementia, develop with disease progression in PD. Approximately 24% of PD patients have mild cognitive impairment at the time of diagnosis [2], and up to 80% of PD patients eventually progress to dementia (PDD) [3], which is associated with worse functioning, poorer quality of life, care home admission, and significant morbidity [4]. However, the time to dementia from motor symptom onset varies widely between patients. DLB is a synucleinopathy presenting with symptoms similar to PDD, including dementia, cognitive fluctuations, visual hallucinations, REM sleep behaviour disorder in conjunction with existing or latent parkinsonism [5]. Clinically, PDD and DLB are distinguished by the "1-year rule", where PDD is diagnosed when dementia develops in the context of well-established PD more than one year after motor symptom onset, while a diagnosis of DLB is given when cognitive impairment precedes or coincides with motor impairment. PDD is distinguished from DLB by the temporal sequence of symptoms.

Neuropathologically, PD usually differs from PDD/DLB in the extent of Lewy body pathology in the brain, as inclusions are limited to the limbic system or brainstem in PD without dementia. However, the pathological delineation of PDD from DLB is extremely difficult as no hallmark features distinguish the two [6]. Both are characterised by Lewy bodies in cortical areas and a high frequency of Alzheimer's disease (AD) co-pathology. Indeed, about 50% of PDD patients have

beta-amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles at post-mortem, which may be a better predictor of dementia than the extent of cortical alpha-synuclein pathology [7]. The majority of DLB brains also fulfil criteria for a secondary diagnosis of AD [8]. The separation of PDD and DLB as discrete clinical and pathological entities is controversial.

LBDs are primarily sporadic. Case-control genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in the past decade have identified 90 common variant risk loci associated with PD [9] and 5 risk loci associated with DLB [10]. Variation in several genes, including *GBA1*, *TMEM175* and *SNCA,* confer risk for both diseases, suggesting overlapping pathogenesis and underlying biological dysfunction. Strikingly, *TMEM175* and *SNCA* also modulate age at onset in PD [11]. On the other hand, there are distinct loci for DLB compared to PD encompassing different genes (e.g., *APOE* and *BIN1* for DLB), and in some cases distinct association signals at the same locus. In a study using targeted high-throughput sequencing, two distinct regions of the *SNCA* gene, at the 3' and 5' end, were found to be differentially associated with PD and DLB risk, respectively [12]. While the consequences of these distinct signals remain to be clarified, it has been hypothesised that these distinct association signals could relate to the control of gene expression in different brain regions, leading to different phenotypes [13]. Genome-wide survival analysis of PD identified *RIMS2* [14] and *LRP1B* [15] as common risk loci for progression from PD to PDD; however, they do not seem to be relevant to DLB.

Heterozygous mutations in *GBA1* are among the strongest genetic risk factors for PD and DLB [16,17]. *GBA1* encodes glucocerebrosidase, a lysosomal enzyme involved in the metabolism of glycosphingolipid. A meta-analysis of PD patients showed that *GBA1* mutations are associated with a 2.4 -fold increase in the incidence of cognitive impairment [18]. Moreover, mutation carriers tend to have earlier disease onset [11] and shorter survival [19]. In a large multicentre study of *GBA1* mutation carriers, *GBA1* was also found to be associated with PDD, with an odds ratio of 6.48 (95% CI, 2.53 – 15.37) as well as DLB, with an odds ratio of 8.28 (95% CI, 4.78– 14.88), providing evidence that *GBA1* mutations lead to impaired cognition in synucleinopathies [20]. However, as is the case for *SNCA*, the specific variants associated with PD and DLB differ [21]. Although the role of these *GBA1* variants in pathogenesis remains unclear, studies in postmortem tissue showed that reduced lysosomal GCase is associated with alpha-synuclein aggregation, inflammation and cellular damage [21], suggesting an important role for GCase in the propagation of alpha-synuclein pathology. This could explain the spread of Lewy bodies to limbic and neocortical areas of PD patients with *GBA1* mutations.

The apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) ε4 allele, a well-known risk locus for AD, has also been identified as a strong genetic risk factor for developing PDD/DLB [10]. *APOE4* promotes amyloid-beta oligomerization and its pathological accumulation [22]. The role of *APOE4* in DLB pathogenesis is

still unclear. It has been suggested that *APOE4* might be a driver of amyloid-beta deposition, which presents as a co-pathology in the majority of DLB brains [8]. However, there is some evidence showing *APOE* may contribute to cognitive decline independently of amyloid. In autopsy studies, *APOE4* was associated with dementia and diffuse LB pathology in "pure" DLB patients (i.e., with absent or low levels of amyloid) as well as PDD [23,24]. Mouse models of synucleinopathy have also demonstrated that *APOE4* exacerbated alpha-synuclein pathology in the absence of amyloid [25,26].

PD, PDD and DLB share common risk genes. However, specific risk loci within these genes may vary across the diseases, potentially leading to different phenotypes, which ultimately relate to the involvement of different cell types. Previous GWAS for PD and DLB have compared PD and DLB cases to controls. Here, in a study of almost 8000 cases, we aim to define the genetic determinants of dementia in LBD by taking a different approach. We have taken a disease classification agnostic approach by comparing all Lewy body diseases with dementia (LBD-D), including both PDD and DLB, to Parkinson's disease cases without dementia (LBD-ND). This "case-case" approach should help identify specific variants that are associated with more extensive LB and AD pathology that contribute to cognitive impairment, rather than variants that are related to the initiation of LB pathology as compared to unaffected controls.

## Methods

### Cohort description and study design

We analysed 3 large independent cohorts: Tracking Parkinson's (TDP, www.parkinsons.org.uk/ ) [27], Oxford Parkinson's Disease Centre Discovery (OPDC, www.dpag.ox.ac.uk/opdc/) [28] and Accelerating Medicine Partnership - Parkinson's Disease Initiative (AMP-PD v2.5, https://www.amp-pd.org/) (Supplementary Tables, Table 1). The AMP-PD dataset is enriched for patients with *LRRK2* p.G2019S. Participants were included in the present study based on their most recent clinical diagnosis or final pathological diagnosis of PD, PDD or DLB. A status of "case" for LBD-D was defined if the patient had a clinical diagnosis of DLB [5] or met the Movement Disorder Society task force PDD diagnostic criteria [29]. In detail, for PDD the criteria included: 1) scoring below the threshold for dementia on the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA score <21/30), 2) having cognitive deficits which are severe enough to interfere with activities of daily living (MDS-Unified Parkinson's disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) part I 1.1 ≥ 2 score), 3) and the absence of severe depression defined using the MDS-UPDRS (MDS-UPDRS part I 1.3 < 4). LBD-ND were given a status of "control". The patients did not have dementia based on the available clinical data we analysed. Patients with a change of diagnosis to a non-Lewy body disorder during the follow-up period were removed from analyses. AMP-PD is a unified cohort consisting of eight longitudinal

studies with similar sample collection protocols. All studies were approved by local and multi-centre ethics committees and are in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Appropriate data use agreements were approved.

**Genotyping and quality control**

DNA was extracted from whole blood or brain tissue as detailed in the protocols of each study. TPD used the Illumina HumanCoreExome array with custom content for genotyping. OPDC generated genotype data using the Illumina HumanCoreExome-12 v1.1 and Illumina Infinium HumanCoreExome-24 v1.1 SNP arrays. Whole genome sequencing for AMP-PD samples was performed using Illumina HiSeq X Ten sequencer and data was processed against Human Genome Reference Build 38 (https://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/technical/reference/GRCh38_reference_genome/). Data cleaning was performed using PLINK v1.9 (RRID:SCR_001757; https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink/1.9/ [30]) and PLINK v2.0 (https://www.cog-genomics.org/plink/2.0/). For quality control at sample-level, we excluded individuals from analysis if they had a low genotyping call rate (<= 95%), excessive heterozygosity rates ( > +/- 0.15 F-statistic), or mismatch between clinically reported and genetically determined sex by the X chromosome. We also excluded duplicate or related samples (kinship coefficient > 0.088). We removed individuals that were not of European ancestry by performing a principal component analysis from pruned genetic data of each cohort included in the analysis. We used Hapmap3 as the reference panel to derive ancestry groups. Individuals that deviated by more than 3 standard deviations from the mean of the first 2 principal components of the HapMap3 CEU group were removed from the analysis.

For quality control at the variant-level, we removed SNPs from analysis if they had a low genotyping rate (< 0.99%), deviated significantly from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P < 1E-8), had a minor allele frequency less than 1%, and were non-autosomal (X, Y, mitochondrial chromosomes). After quality control, genetic data for TDP and OPDC were imputed separately against the TOPMed (https://imputation.biodatacatalyst.nhlbi.nih.gov/33)[31] r2 panel with Eagle v2.4 phasing on the TOPMed Imputation Server using Minimac4 [56,57]. We used the Rsq info measure of imputation accuracy to exclude variants that were not confidently imputed. We filtered out variants with an Rsq lower than 0.8. We also removed SNPs if missingness was > 5% and minor allele frequency was < 1%. The two datasets were then merged, with only shared variants retained.

**Statistical analysis for single-variant associations**

Clinical data was cleaned and analysed using R v4.1.3 (RRID:SCR_001905;R Project for Statistical Computing, version 4.1.3; https://www.R-project.org/). We used logistic regression in PLINK to perform two separate genome-wide association studies for LBD-D (DLB and PDD) compared to

LBD-ND (PD cases without dementia), in AMP-PD and in the merged TDP/OPDC datasets, respectively. The following covariates were incorporated in our model: age at onset (for TDP/OPDC cohorts) or age at diagnosis (for AMP-PD), sex and the first five genetic principal components. We meta-analysed the summary results for TDP/OPDC and AMP-PD using METAL (RRID:SCR_002013;http://csg.sph.umich.edu//abecasis/Metal/) [32], under a random effects model, using genomic control correction. We only included variants present in all cohorts, and with minor allele frequency variability below 15% across studies. We used Cochran's Q to test for heterogeneity in the meta-analysis and excluded variants with p-value < 0.05 and $I^2$ statistic =< 80%. We considered p-values below $5 \times 10^{-8}$ to be genome-wide significant, and nominally significant below $5 \times 10^{-6}$. We used Locuszoom to generate the Manhattan plot and the regional association plots (RRID:SCR_021374; http://locuszoom.org/)[33].

**Conditional analysis**

In order to determine whether there were single or multiple independent signals at each genome-wide significant locus, we carried out a conditional and joint multiple-SNP analysis (COJO) on the GWAS summary statistics. We used the AMP-PD cohort as the reference panel to estimate the LD between SNPs and apply corrections to the models, as it is the largest participating cohort in the meta-analysis. COJO was performed using GCTA (v1.93.0,GCTA | Yang Lab)[34].

**Colocalization analysis**

We performed a colocalization analysis to investigate whether there is a shared causal variant between the risk of dementia in LBD cases and expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs). We used the *coloc* R package (version 5.1.0; https://cran.r project.org/web/packages/colocr/index.html)[35] and *colochelpR* as a wrapper (version 0.99.0)[36]. Coloc is based on Bayesian statistical approach to compute a posterior probability (PP) for the following hypotheses: there is no association with either trait (H0), there is an association with the Lewy body dementia trait but not the eQTL trait (H1), there is an association with the eQTL trait but not the Lewy body dementia trait (H2), there is association with a Lewy body dementia and an eQTL variant, but the causal variants are independent (H3), and there is a shared causal variant associated with Lewy body dementia and eQTL within the analysed region (H4). *Coloc* was run using default per SNP priors $p_1=10^{-4}$, $p_2=10^{-4}$, and p12=10−5. A PPH4 > 0.80 was considered statistically significant support for colocalization. We used Cis-eQTL data from eQTLGen, which includes 31,684 individuals (https://www.eqtlgen.org/cis-eqtls.html) and compares genetic variation with blood RNA, and PsychEncode. PsychEncode includes 1,387

individuals (http://resource.psychencode.org/) and compares genetic variation with brain RNA. We extracted all the genes from +/- 1Mb of the significant hits from the GWAS and performed a colocalization analysis on each gene. Since the cis-eQTL and the GWAS summary statistics were in different builds, we converted the summary statistics of the meta-analysis from hg38 to hg19 using the liftOver tool (RRID:SCR_018160;https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/LiftOver).

**Polygenic risk score**

To assess whether PDD is genetically more similar to PD or DLB, as well as the overlap with the AD risk profile, we computed a polygenic risk score (PRS) on 357 PDD patients identified across the cohorts included in the GWAS. We used previously published PD, DLB and AD GWAS [9,10,37] as the reference data. After performing QC on summary statistics of the base datasets, we used PRSice-2 (version 2.3.5; RRID:SCR_017057; https://choishingwan.github.io/PRSice/) [38] to calculate PRS with the C + T method, which involves clumping SNPs and performing p value thresholding. After clumping, 1,284,510 SNPs were included to generate the PD PRS, 380,274 SNPs for the DLB PRS and 11,931 for the AD PRS. We then conducted a general linear regression adjusted for age at onset, sex and PC1 - PC5 to test if the PRS predicted the development of dementia. Results from the regression were meta-analysed in R with the meta package (RRID:SCR_019055; https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/meta/index.html).

**Results**

After QC, a total of 7,804 individuals were selected, including 2,908 LBD-D (2552 DLB, 357 PDD) and 4,896 LBD-ND. Case selection is summarised in Supplementary Figure 1 Supplementary figures). Demographic characteristics are summarised in Table 1. LBD-D patients were significantly older than LBD-ND at diagnosis (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 2627, df = 1, P-value < $2.2e^{-16}$ .

**Identification of risk loci for dementia in LBD**

Using a case-case GWAS approach comparing patients with LBD-D and LBD-ND, we analysed 6 226 081 SNPs and identified three genome-wide significant loci (Figure 1, Table 2)

The lead SNP was rs429358 in the *APOE* gene on chromosome 19 (OR = 1.36, 95% CI = 1.31 - 1.41, P = 3.25e-57). *APOE* encodes apolipoprotein E, a known genetic factor for AD and DLB. It has also been identified as a risk factor for dementia in PD [39,40]. Conditional analysis on the lead SNP detected a secondary signal at the *APOE* locus, at 19:32848205.

The second genome-wide significant SNP was rs7668531 between the *MMRN1* gene and the *SNCA* gene (OR = 1.39, 95% CI = 1.267 - 1.525, P = 3.25E-12), located 170,323 kb downstream of the *SNCA* gene. This SNP is close to and in linkage disequilibrium with rs7680557 (D' = 0.9959, $r^2$ = 0.9196), which is associated with dementia as identified in the most recent LBD case-control GWAS [10]. The rs7668531 signal is no longer genome-wide significant when we condition on the top rs7680557 in our dataset, which suggests that rs7668531 is not independent and most likely tags *SNCA-AS1*.

The third genome-wide significant SNP was rs17442721 in the noncoding RNA *LINC02555*, which was protective against developing dementia (OR = 0.43, 95% CI = 0.32 - 0.57, P = 1.44e 08). *LINC02555* is potentially a regulatory locus for *LRRK2* expression in specific cell types [41] and may mediate PSP survival [42]. However, this SNP is in LD with *LRRK2 G2019S* (rs34637584, r2 = 0.54, D' = 0.97). To confirm whether rs17442721 is independent of *LRRK2 G2019S*, we performed a conditional analysis. Results show that rs17442721 is no longer genome-wide significant after conditioning on the G2019S variant, confirming that it tags *LRRK2* G2019S, and there is no difference in dementia related to this SNP when the data is stratified by G2019S status. In this dataset, the rate of dementia in *LRRK2* G2019S carriers is 5% as compared to 39% in the total dataset (Supplementary Tables). rs17442721 was not in linkage disequilibrium with PSP progression variant rs2242367 (r2<0.05).

Rs11233271 on chromosome 11 near the MIR4300HG gene approached genome-wide significance (OR = 1.48, 95% CI = 1.28 - 1.71, P = 6.78e-08), although this will need further evaluation in future work.

Common variant GBA E326K was nominally but not genome-wide significant (OR = 2.01, 95% CI = 1.44 - 2.83, P = 2.517e-06). The PD case-control GWAS LRRK2 rs76904798 variant was also not genome-wide significant (OR = 1.02, 95% CI = 0.88 - 1.18, P = 0.7759).

**Colocalization**

We performed colocalization analysis to assess the probability of a shared causal signal between dementia status and genetically determined gene expression regulation. eQTLs were obtained from eQTLGen and PsychENCODE. eQTLGen comprises gene expression derived from blood, and psychENCODE dataset comprises gene expression from bulk RNA sequencing from the frontal cortex. We found suggestive colocalization between the genome-wide significant signal on chromosome 12 and cis-eQTL data from eQTLGen (PPH4 = 0.7154) for LRRK2 and rs11233271 on chromosome 11 suggestively colocalized with FAM181B (PPH4 = 0.7009), a protein-coding gene

that is expressed in the brain (Figure 2).

### Polygenic risk score

We applied a PD, AD and DLB polygenic risk score (PRS) derived from the most recent GWAS to PDD patients identified in each of our cohorts, as well as to PD patients for comparison. We used a general linear regression model to assess if the normalised PRS predicted dementia and meta-analysed the regressions using a random effects model. Optimised p-values PRS, based on genome-wide significant and sub-genome significant SNPs, indicated that patients with a higher PD PRS score (based on 1,284,510 SNPs) were less likely to develop dementia (OR = 0.74, 95% CI = 0.56 -0.98, p = 0.03), and the AD risk profile (based on 31000 SNPs) was not significantly different between the 2 groups (OR = 0.99, 95% CI = 0.82 - 1.20, p = 0.93). PDD was significantly associated with higher (pure) DLB PRS (OR = 2.69, 95% CI = 0.69 - 10.42, p = 0.01); however, this needs to be interpreted with caution as the confidence interval is very large (Figure 3).

## Discussion

We have conducted a large-scale genome-wide case-case analysis to understand the genetic drivers of dementia in Lewy body diseases, by comparing LBD with and without dementia and identified three independent genome-wide significant signals in a novel case-case analysis by comparing LB cases with dementia with cases unaffected by dementia.

In line with previous studies, we showed that *APOE e4* is the strongest risk factor for dementia in LBD. Given the role of *APOE e4* in AD, this may modulate the risk of dementia via AD pathology in at least a subset of the LBD-D cases; however, previous work has been inconsistent. A substantial proportion (30-40%) of patients with PD and 50-80% of patients with DLB have co-occurring AD pathology [43]. However, it is unclear whether *APOE e4* drives dementia via AD pathology or independently. Our results indicate that the AD PRS does not drive PD dementia, suggesting that APOE e4 may drive dementia in PD cases by an AD pathology-independent mechanism. Consistent with our findings, post-mortem studies have found that *APOE e4* was associated with dementia in LBD in both “pure” LBD and those with AD co-pathology [24]. More recently, Kaivola et al. investigated in detail a subset of autopsied DLB patients which were included in the AMP-PD cohort [44]. In contrast to the previous studies, they found that APOE e4 was associated with DLB with AD pathology, but not pure DLB. Further research is needed to clarify the role of *APOE e4* in LBD. This is important as in the advent of availability of disease-modifying drugs such as lecanemab [45], some LBD-D patients might also benefit from these therapies.

We also found a SNP between MMRN1 and the 5’ end of *SNCA* at the *SNCA-AS1* locus but not at the 3’ end to be significantly associated with dementia, consistent with previous candidate gene

studies [12] and GWAS [10]. *SNCA-AS1* encodes a long non-coding RNA. Cell work has demonstrated that overexpression of *SNCA-AS1* increases expression of *SNCA* mRNA [46], and so this may increase dementia risk by a direct SNCA over-expression process. Post mortem studies have found that alpha-synuclein in cortical areas is a predictor of dementia in LBD. The finding that *SNCA-AS1* is specific to LBD-D makes it an interesting potential therapeutic target. Indeed, LBD-D tends to have a much more aggressive disease course, with faster progression to mortality. Targeting *SNCA-AS1* could therefore be a potential solution to reduce alpha-synuclein pathology in the cortex and the progression to dementia in LBD. Our study has separated the 3' signal in SNCA, which is associated with PD risk from the 5' signal associated with PDD. We hypothesise that the 3' signal is important for the level of *SNCA* expression and the initiation of the PD process, particularly in subcortical areas, whereas the 5' SNP is associated with the expression of *SNCA* in cortex [47]. Indirectly, this suggests that local *SNCA* expression is important, distinct from cell to cell spread from subcortical areas.

The third genome-wide significant signal was located near *LINC02555*, which is potentially a regulator of *LRRK2*. However, we confirmed that this SNP is tagging *LRRK2* G2019S. In the present study, we did not exclude *LRRK2* mutation carriers in the main analysis. As previously described, we have shown in this study that *LRRK2* G2019S carriers are less likely to develop dementia [48]. Moreover, *LRRK2* likely does not play a major role in DLB [49]. Our results confirm that in LBD, *LRRK2* G2019S mutation status is associated with a decreased odds of progression towards dementia.

Rs11233271 on chromosome 11, close to MIR4300HG, was nominally significant in our GWAS. This SNP may regulate the expression of *FAM181B*, a protein-coding gene involved in the development of the nervous system [50]. *FAM181B* was also associated with working memory in a gene-based study on cognitive measures in adolescence [51]. Furthermore, this locus has been associated with variation in the microbiome. Further studies are needed to investigate the role of this locus in Lewy body dementia.

Interestingly, *GBA1, BIN1 and TMEM175*, which are associated with case-control LBD GWAS [10] did not appear significant when comparing LBD-D to LBD-ND. Since *GBA1* is a known risk gene for both PD and DLB, our analysis shows that variation in *GBA1* does not distinguish between LBD-D and LBD-ND, within a study of this size. Similarly, *TMEM175* is a risk factor in both LBD with and without dementia. Therefore, it is not surprising that the signal disappears when we make a head-to-head comparison. *BIN1* encodes bridging integrator 1 and is the second strongest signal associated with AD but was not genome-wide significantly associated with LBD-D in this study (p=2.276e-05) [52]. Increased *BIN1* expression is associated with higher load of tau in the AD brain, but not amyloid [53]. While some studies found tau load to be a correlate of dementia in PD and DLB, other studies

have not. Autopsy studies have found tau to colocalize with alpha-syn in Lewy bodies in both PD and DLB [54]. A small autopsy study in LRRK2 carriers found that 100% of the brains had tau pathology [55]. Therefore, it is possible that LBD risk genes associated with tau pathology are not good candidates to distinguish LBD-D from LBD-ND. *RIMS2* was identified as a progression locus in a genome-wide survival study of PDD (Liu et al. 2021), however this was not genome-wide significant in the present study (p=0.016).

We acknowledge several limitations to our study. First, the analysis only included patients of European ancestry and is therefore not generalisable to other populations. In addition, it is possible that some patients were censored as non-demented based on the clinical data we had, but who might have developed dementia if followed-up for a longer period of time. Finally, we grouped patients who developed dementia at any time point together in the design of our study. While our results suggest that the genetic architecture of PDD significantly overlaps with the risk profile of DLB, it is likely that genetic risk factors and associated neuropathology leading to dementia at onset are different from those associated with dementia later in the disease course. We hypothesise that PD patients developing dementia early in the disease course will be genetically closer to DLB, while those developing dementia much later on will present with a different genetic profile. Future studies should aim to identify risk factors leading to a more aggressive disease course in LBD to improve prognosis and care. Finally, further research is needed to clarify if *APOE* is an independent driver of dementia in LBD.

In conclusion, in a pooled analysis of DLB, PD and PDD we have shown that *APOE* and variation at the 5' end of the *SNCA* gene are the major determinants of LBD with dementia. We have also shown that *LRRK2* G2019S is associated with a dramatically reduced risk of dementia. Although ApoE is associated with dementia, other AD risk loci defined by PRS analysis were not associated with LBD-dementia. Increasing sample sizes in collaborative international studies will help to resolve the disease pathogenesis, the nosological overlap between PDD and DLB and ultimately help to define new treatments.

**Data availability**

TPD data is available upon access request from https://www.trackingparkinsons.org.uk/about1/data/. AMP-PD data is available upon registration at https://www.amp-pd.org/. OPDC data is available upon request from the Dementias Platform UK (https://portal.dementiasplatform.uk/Apply). HapMap phase 3 data (HapMap3) is available for download at ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/hapmap/. Cis-QTL eQTLGen data was downloaded from (https://www.eqtlgen.org/cis-eqtls.html). eQTL data from eQTL catalogue can be ftp-accessed (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/eqtl/Data_access/). Summary statistics from the PD GWAS (Nalls *et al.*)

used to perform the PRS analysis are available from https://pdgenetics.org/resources. The source code is available on GitHub( https://github.com/huw-morris-lab/LBD-case-case GWAS; https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8335404)

**Acknowledgment**

Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the AMP-PD Knowledge Platform (https://www.amp-pd.org). AMP-PD is a public-private partnership managed by the FNIH and funded by Celgene, GSK, Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research, the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS), Pfizer and Verily. TPD and OPDC cohorts are primarily funded and supported by Parkinson's UK (https://www.parkinsons.org.uk/) and supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Clinical Research Network (CRN). The TPD study is also supported by NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde. The OPDC cohort is also supported by the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, based at the Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust, and the University of Oxford. This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging and National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke.

**Funding**

This research was funded in whole or in part by Aligning Science Across Parkinson's [ASAP 000478] through the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research (MJFF) and Movement Disorders through integrated analysis of Genetics and neuroPathology (MD-GAP) through the Medical Research Council (MRC). For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to all Author Accepted Manuscripts arising from this submission.

This research was supported by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre. The UCL Movement Disorders Centre is supported by the Edmond J. Safra Philanthropic Foundation.

**Competing interests** Dr Morris is employed by UCL. In the last 12 months he reports paid consultancy from Roche, Aprinoia, AI Therapeutics and Amylyx ; lecture fees/honoraria - BMJ, Kyowa Kirin, Movement Disorders Society. Research Grants from Parkinson's UK, Cure Parkinson's Trust, PSP Association, Medical Research Council, Michael J Fox Foundation. Dr Morris is a co-applicant on a patent application related to C9ORF72 - Method for diagnosing a neurodegenerative disease (PCT/GB2012/052140)

**References**


1. Goedert M, Spillantini MG, Del Tredici K, Braak H. 100 years of Lewy pathology. Nat Rev Neurol. 2013 Jan;9(1):13–24.

2. Muslimovic D, Post B, Speelman JD, Schmand B. Cognitive profile of patients with newly diagnosed Parkinson disease [Internet]. Vol. 65, Neurology. 2005. p. 1239–45. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000180516.69442.95

3. Hely MA, Reid WGJ, Adena MA, Halliday GM, Morris JGL. The Sydney multicenter study of Parkinson's disease: the inevitability of dementia at 20 years. Mov Disord. 2008 Apr 30;23(6):837–44.

4. Lo RY, Tanner CM, Albers KB, Leimpeter AD, Fross RD, Bernstein AL, et al. Clinical features in early Parkinson disease and survival. Arch Neurol. 2009 Nov;66(11):1353–8.

5. McKeith IG, Boeve BF, Dickson DW, Halliday G, Taylor JP, Weintraub D, et al. Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: Fourth consensus report of the DLB Consortium. Neurology. 2017 Jul 4;89(1):88–100.

6. Weil RS, Lashley TL, Bras J, Schrag AE, Schott JM. Current concepts and controversies in the pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease dementia and Dementia with Lewy Bodies. F1000Res. 2017 Aug 30;6:1604.

7. Compta Y, Parkkinen L, O'Sullivan SS, Vandrovcova J, Holton JL, Collins C, et al. Lewy and Alzheimer-type pathologies in Parkinson's disease dementia: which is more important? Brain. 2011 May;134(Pt 5):1493–505.

8. Robinson JL, Lee EB, Xie SX, Rennert L, Suh E, Bredenberg C, et al. Neurodegenerative disease concomitant proteinopathies are prevalent, age-related and APOE4-associated. Brain. 2018 Jul 1;141(7):2181–93.

9. Nalls MA, Blauwendraat C, Vallerga CL, Heilbron K, Bandres-Ciga S, Chang D, et al. Identification of novel risk loci, causal insights, and heritable risk for Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. Lancet Neurol. 2019 Dec;18(12):1091–102.

10. Chia R, Sabir MS, Bandres-Ciga S, Saez-Atienzar S, Reynolds RH, Gustavsson E, et al. Genome sequencing analysis identifies new loci associated with Lewy body dementia and provides insights into its genetic architecture. Nat Genet. 2021 Mar;53(3):294–303.

11. Blauwendraat C, Heilbron K, Vallerga CL, Bandres-Ciga S, von Coelln R, Pihlstrøm L, et al.

Parkinson's disease age at onset genome-wide association study: Defining heritability, genetic loci, and α-synuclein mechanisms. Mov Disord. 2019 Jun;34(6):866–75.

12. Guella I, Evans DM, Szu-Tu C, Nosova E, Bortnick SF, SNCA Cognition Study Group, et al. α-synuclein genetic variability: A biomarker for dementia in Parkinson disease. Ann Neurol. 2016 Jun;79(6):991–9.

13. Menšíková K, Matěj R, Colosimo C, Rosales R, Tučková L, Ehrmann J, et al. Lewy body disease or diseases with Lewy bodies? NPJ Parkinsons Dis. 2022 Jan 10;8(1):3.

14. Liu G, Peng J, Liao Z, Locascio JJ, Corvol JC, Zhu F, et al. Genome-wide survival study identifies a novel synaptic locus and polygenic score for cognitive progression in Parkinson's disease. Nat Genet. 2021 Jun;53(6):787–93.

15. Real R, Martinez-Carrasco A, Reynolds RH, Lawton MA, Tan MMX, Shoai M, et al. Association between the LRP1B and APOE loci in the development of Parkinson's disease dementia. Brain [Internet]. 2022 Nov 9; Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/brain/awac414

16. Malek N, Weil RS, Bresner C, Lawton MA, Grosset KA, Tan M, et al. Features of GBA associated Parkinson's disease at presentation in the UK Tracking Parkinson's study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2018 Jul;89(7):702–9.

17. Vergouw LJM, van Steenoven I, van de Berg WDJ, Teunissen CE, van Swieten JC, Bonifati V, et al. An update on the genetics of dementia with Lewy bodies. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2017 Oct;43:1–8.

18. Creese B, Bell E, Johar I, Francis P, Ballard C, Aarsland D. Glucocerebrosidase mutations and neuropsychiatric phenotypes in Parkinson's disease and Lewy body dementias: Review and meta-analyses. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2018 Mar;177(2):232–41.

19. Stoker TB, Camacho M, Winder-Rhodes S, Liu G, Scherzer CR, Foltynie T, et al. Impact of GBA1 variants on long-term clinical progression and mortality in incident Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2020 Jul;91(7):695–702.

20. Nalls MA, Duran R, Lopez G, Kurzawa-Akanbi M, McKeith IG, Chinnery PF, et al. A multicenter study of glucocerebrosidase mutations in dementia with Lewy bodies. JAMA Neurol. 2013 Jun;70(6):727–35.

21. Chouliaras L, Kumar GS, Thomas AJ, Lunnon K, Chinnery PF, O'Brien JT. Epigenetic regulation in the pathophysiology of Lewy body dementia. Prog Neurobiol. 2020 Sep;192:101822.

22. Wisniewski T, Drummond E. APOE-amyloid interaction: Therapeutic targets. Neurobiol Dis. 2020 May;138:104784.

23. Dickson DW. Neuropathology of Parkinson disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2018 Jan;46 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S30–3.

24. Tsuang D, Leverenz JB, Lopez OL, Hamilton RL, Bennett DA, Schneider JA, et al. APOE ε4 increases risk for dementia in pure synucleinopathies. JAMA Neurol. 2013 Feb;70(2):223–8.

25. Davis AA, Inman CE, Wargel ZM, Dube U, Freeberg BM, Galluppi A, et al. APOE genotype regulates pathology and disease progression in synucleinopathy. Sci Transl Med [Internet]. 2020 Feb 5;12(529). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aay3069

26. Zhao N, Attrebi ON, Ren Y, Qiao W, Sonustun B, Martens YA, et al. APOE4 exacerbates α synuclein pathology and related toxicity independent of amyloid. Sci Transl Med [Internet]. 2020 Feb 5;12(529). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aay1809

27. Malek N, Swallow DMA, Grosset KA, Lawton MA, Marrinan SL, Lehn AC, et al. Tracking Parkinson's: Study Design and Baseline Patient Data [Internet]. Vol. 5, Journal of Parkinson's Disease. 2015. p. 947–59. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/jpd-150662

28. Szewczyk-Krolikowski K, Tomlinson P, Nithi K, Wade-Martins R, Talbot K, Ben-Shlomo Y, et al. The influence of age and gender on motor and non-motor features of early Parkinson's disease: Initial findings from the Oxford Parkinson Disease Center (OPDC) discovery cohort [Internet]. Vol. 20, Parkinsonism & Related Disorders. 2014. p. 99–105. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2013.09.025

29. Dubois B, Burn D, Goetz C, Aarsland D, Brown RG, Broe GA, et al. Diagnostic procedures for Parkinson's disease dementia: recommendations from the movement disorder society task force. Mov Disord. 2007 Dec;22(16):2314–24.

30. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. Gigascience. 2015 Feb 25;4:7.

31. Das S, Forer L, Schönherr S, Sidore C, Locke AE, Kwong A, et al. Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods. Nat Genet. 2016;48(10):1284–7.

32. Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. Bioinformatics. 2010 Sep 1;26(17):2190–1.

33. Boughton AP, Welch RP, Flickinger M, VandeHaar P, Taliun D, Abecasis GR, et al. LocusZoom.js: interactive and embeddable visualization of genetic association study

results. Bioinformatics. 2021 Sep 29;37(18):3017–8.

34. Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. Am J Hum Genet. 2011 Jan 7;88(1):76–82.

35. Giambartolomei C, Vukcevic D, Schadt EE, Franke L, Hingorani AD, Wallace C, et al. Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. PLoS Genet. 2014 May;10(5):e1004383.

36. Reynolds RH. RHReynolds/colochelpR: v0.99.1 [Internet]. 2021. Available from: https://zenodo.org/record/5011869

37. Kunkle BW, Grenier-Boley B, Sims R, Bis JC, Damotte V, Naj AC, et al. Genetic meta-analysis of diagnosed Alzheimer's disease identifies new risk loci and implicates Aβ, tau, immunity and lipid processing. Nat Genet. 2019 Mar;51(3):414–30.

38. Choi SW, O'Reilly PF. PRSice-2: Polygenic Risk Score software for biobank-scale data. Gigascience [Internet]. 2019 Jul 1;8(7). Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giz082

39. Jo S, Kim SO, Park KW, Lee SH, Hwang YS, Chung SJ. The role of APOE in cognitive trajectories and motor decline in Parkinson's disease. Sci Rep. 2021 Apr 9;11(1):7819.

40. Real R, Martinez-Carrasco A, Reynolds RH, Lawton MA, Tan MMX, Shoai M, et al. Association between the *LRP1B* and *APOE loci* and the development of Parkinson's disease dementia [Internet]. medRxiv. 2022. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.05.23.22275465.abstract

41. Herbst S, Lewis PA, Morris HR. The emerging role of LRRK2 in tauopathies. Clin Sci . 2022 Jul 15;136(13):1071–9.

42. Jabbari E, Koga S, Valentino RR, Reynolds RH, Ferrari R, Tan MMX, et al. Genetic determinants of survival in progressive supranuclear palsy: a genome-wide association study. Lancet Neurol. 2021 Feb;20(2):107–16.

43. Jellinger KA, Wenning GK, Seppi K. Predictors of survival in dementia with lewy bodies and Parkinson dementia. Neurodegener Dis. 2007 Oct 9;4(6):428–30.

44. Kaivola K, Shah Z, Chia R, International LBD Genomics Consortium, Scholz SW. Genetic evaluation of dementia with Lewy bodies implicates distinct disease subgroups. Brain. 2022 Jun 3;145(5):1757–62.

45. van Dyck CH, Swanson CJ, Aisen P, Bateman RJ, Chen C, Gee M, et al. Lecanemab in Early Alzheimer's Disease. N Engl J Med. 2023 Jan 5;388(1):9–21.

46. Rey F, Pandini C, Messa L, Launi R, Barzaghini B, Zangaglia R, et al. α-Synuclein antisense transcript SNCA-AS1 regulates synapses- and aging-related genes suggesting its implication in Parkinson's disease. Aging Cell. 2021 Dec;20(12):e13504.

47. Krohn L, Wu RYJ, Heilbron K, Ruskey JA, Laurent SB, Blauwendraat C, et al. Fine Mapping of SNCA in Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder and Overt Synucleinopathies. Ann Neurol. 2020 Apr;87(4):584–98.

48. Srivatsal S, Cholerton B, Leverenz JB, Wszolek ZK, Uitti RJ, Dickson DW, et al. Cognitive profile of LRRK2-related Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2015 Apr 15;30(5):728–33.

49. Heckman MG, Soto-Ortolaza AI, Contreras MYS, Murray ME, Pedraza O, Diehl NN, et al. LRRK2 variation and dementia with Lewy bodies. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2016 Oct;31:98–103.

50. Bokhovchuk F, Mesrouze Y, Delaunay C, Martin T, Villard F, Meyerhofer M, et al. Identification of FAM181A and FAM181B as new interactors with the TEAD transcription factors. Protein Sci. 2020 Feb;29(2):509–20.

51. Donati G, Dumontheil I, Meaburn EL. Genome-Wide Association Study of Latent Cognitive Measures in Adolescence: Genetic Overlap With Intelligence and Education. Mind Brain Educ. 2019 Aug;13(3):224–33.

52. Wightman DP, Jansen IE, Savage JE, Shadrin AA, Bahrami S, Holland D, et al. A genome wide association study with 1,126,563 individuals identifies new risk loci for Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet. 2021 Sep;53(9):1276–82.

53. Crotti A, Sait HR, McAvoy KM, Estrada K, Ergun A, Szak S, et al. BIN1 favors the spreading of Tau via extracellular vesicles. Sci Rep. 2019 Jul 1;9(1):9477.

54. Arima K, Hirai S, Sunohara N, Aoto K, Izumiyama Y, Uéda K, et al. Cellular co-localization of phosphorylated tau- and NACP/alpha-synuclein-epitopes in lewy bodies in sporadic Parkinson's disease and in dementia with Lewy bodies. Brain Res. 1999 Oct 2;843(1- 2):53–61.

55. Henderson MX, Sengupta M, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VMY. Alzheimer's disease tau is a prominent pathology in LRRK2 Parkinson's disease. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2019 Nov 16;7(1):183.

56. Loh PR, Danecek P, Palamara PF, Fuchsberger C, A Reshef Y, K Finucane H, et al. Reference-

based phasing using the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel. Nat Genet. 2016 Nov;48(11):1443–8.

57. Fuchsberger C, Abecasis GR, Hinds DA. minimac2: faster genotype imputation. Bioinformatics. 2015 Mar 1;31(5):782–4.

## Appendix

**ORCIDs - Inves□ga□on of the gene□c ae□ology of Lewy body diseases with and without demen□a (Wu et al.)**

Lesley Wu 0000-0001-5464-5603

Raquel Real 0000-0001-8117-742X

Alejandro Mar□nez 0000-0001-6191-1703

Ruth Chia 0000-0002-4709-7423

Michael A Lawton 0000-0002-3419-0354

Maryam Shoai: 0000-0003-2499-8533

Catherine Bresner 0000-0003-2673-9762

Leon Hubbard 0000-0002-0064-6755

Cornelis Blauwendraat 0000-0001-9358-8111

Andrew B Singleton 0000-0001-5606-700X

Mina Ryten 0000-0001-9520-6957

Sonja W. Scholz 0000-0002-6623-0429

Bryan J Traynor 0000-0003-0527-2446

Nigel Williams 0000-0003-1177-6931

Michele TM Hu 0000-0001-6382-5841

Yoav Ben-Shlomo 0000-0001-6648-3007

Donald G Grosset 0000-0002-2757-8203

John Hardy: 0000-0002-3122-0423

Huw R. Morris: 0000-0002-5473-3774

**Table 1. Cohort demographics**

| Cohort | Tracking Parkinson's | Oxford Discovery | AMP-PD | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **N LBD - D** | 159 | 93 | 2656 | 2908 |
| **N LBD - ND** | 1377 | 737 | 2782 | 4896 |
| **N male (%)** | 991 (65%) | 532 (64%) | 3388 (62%) | 4911 |
| **Age diagnosis, years** | 64.8 (9.81) | 64.62 (9.58) | 68.24 (12.62) | - |
| **Array/Sequencing** | Illumina HumanCore Exome array with custom content | Illumina HumanCore Exome-12 v1.1 or Illumina InfiniumCoreExome-24 v1.1 | Illumina HiSeq X Ten | - |

Means (SD) are shown unless otherwise indicated. Data shown are only in individuals who had both clinical and genetic data, after quality control filters have been applied within each cohort.
Abbreviation: LBD - D : Lewy body disease with dementia, LBD - ND : Lewy body disease without dementia

**Table 2. Top SNPs from meta-analysis**

| CHR | BP | SNP ID | Effect allele | Nearest gene | Effect allele frequency | | | | OR | CI | P-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Tracking Parkinson's and Oxford Discovery | | AMP-PD | | | | |
| | | | | | LBD - D | LBD - ND | LBD - D | LBD - ND | | | |
| 19 | 44908684 | rs429358 | C | APOE | 0.2 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 1.358 | 1.308-1.410 | 3.25E-57 |
| 4 | 89870668 | rs7668531 | G | MMRN1 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.49 | 1.39 | 1.267 - 1.525 | 3.25E-12 |
| 12 | 40141971 | rs17442721 | G | LINC02555 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.427 | 0.32 - 0.57 | 1.44E-08 |
| 11 | 82697450 | rs11233271 | G | MIR4300HG | 0.1 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 1.482 | 1.284 - 1.709 | 6.78E-08 |
| 8 | 52583054 | | G | ALKAL1 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 1.388 | 1.217 - 1.582 | 8.78E-07 |

Independent lead SNPs identified by LOCUSZOOM
Genome coordinates are in build GRCh38
Abbreviations: BP = base pair, chr = chromosome, CI = Confidence Interval, OR = Odds Ratio, SNP = Single Nucleotide Polymorphism




**Figure 1. Manhattan plot of LBD - D vs LBD - ND**

A Manhattan plot representing the results of the GWAS comparing LBD with dementia (LBD-D) to LBD without dementia (LBD-ND), highlight genome-wide significant SNPs on chromosome 4, 12 and 19. Negative logarithm p-value is represented on the Y axis while chromosome position is represented on the X axis. The dotted line indicates genome-wide significant threshold (5x10-8)

1)



2)




**Figure 2. Regional association plot for eQTL and GWAS signals**

Regional association plot for eQTL and 1) GWAS signal in the region close to LRRK2 (PPH4 = 0.72) and 2) in the region close to FAM181B (PPH4 = 0.70). Negative logarithm p-value is represented on the Y axis while chromosome position is represented on the X axis.

1)


5.0
2.5
0.0
-2.5
PD PRS
PD
PDD
Diagnoses

2)


6
3
0
-3
AD PRS
PD
PDD
Diagnoses

3)




**Figure 3. Polygenic risk score from PD, AD and DLB GWAS**

Violin plot comparing z-transformed 1) Parkinson's disease, 2) Alzheimer's disease and 3) Dementia with Lewy body polygenic risk score distributions in PD and PDD. The centreline of the box plot represents the median and the box limits are the interquartile range. Dots correspond to outliers.