medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The SHOW COVID-19 cohort: methods and rationale for examining the statewide impact of COVID-19 on the social determinants of health

Amy A. Schultz[1], Erin Nelson-Bakkum[1], Maria Nikodemova[2], Sarah Luongo[1], Jodi H Barnet[1], Matthew C. Walsh[1], Andrew Bersch[1], Lisa Cadmus-Bertram[3], Corinne D. Engelman[1], Julia Lubsen[4], Paul Peppard[1], Ajay Sethi[1], Kristen MC Malecki[5]

[1]University of Wisconsin Madison, Department of Population Health Sciences, Madison, WI, USA

[2]University of Florida, Public Health & Health Professions, Gainesville, FL, USA

[3]University of Wisconsin Madison, Department of Kinesiology, Madison, WI, USA

[4]University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Family Medicine, Madison, WI, USA

[5]University of Illinois Chicago, School of Public Health, Chicago, IL, USA

Corresponding Author:

Amy A. Schultz

aaschultz4@wisc.edu

1300 University Ave (room 1082)

Madison, WI 53706

Main text word count: 2998

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background National and large city mortality and morbidity data emerged during the early years of the COVID-19 pandemic, yet statewide data to assess the impact COVID-19 had across urban and rural landscapes on subpopulations was lacking. The SHOW COVID-19 cohort was established to provide descriptive and longitudinal data to examine the influence the social determinants of health had on COVID-19 related outcomes.

Methods Participants were recruited from the 5,742 adults in the Survey of the Health of Wisconsin (SHOW) cohort who were all residents of Wisconsin, United States when they joined the cohort between 2008-2019. Online surveys were administered at three timepoints during 2020-2021. Survey topics included COVID-19 exposure, testing and vaccination, COVID-19 impact on economic wellbeing, healthcare access, mental and emotional health, caregiving, diet, lifestyle behaviors, social cohesion, and resilience.

Results A total of 2,304 adults completed at least one COVID-19 online survey, with n=1,090 completing all three survey timepoints. Non-Whites were 2-3 times more likely to report having had COVID-19 compared to Whites, females were more likely than males to experience disruptions in their employment, and those with children in the home were more likely to report moderate to high levels of stress compared to adults without children.

Conclusion Longitudinal, statewide cohorts are important for investigating how the social determinants of health affect people's lives, health, and well-being during the first years of a pandemic and offer insight into future pandemic preparation. The data are available for researchers and cohort is active for continued and future follow-up.

Keywords

COVID-19, longitudinal cohort, social determinants of health, population-based

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Key Messages

- Mortality and morbidity data emerged during the early years of the COVID-19 pandemic at the national scale and in large cities, yet comprehensive social, cultural, and economic population-level data at the state level was lacking for identifying sub-population trends.
- COVID-19 disrupted lives and affected people differently based on socio-economic status, demographics, family dynamics, geography, health status, and employment.
- SHOW COVID-19 cohort is a unique non-clinical, non-hospital-based sample with pre-COVID-19 baseline survey data and biospecimen and three waves of COVID-19 data and specimen available to examine effects of COVID-19 on the social determinants of health.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) spread to a devastating pandemic, with the worldwide death toll exceeding 6 million.[1] In addition to the clinical disease, the pandemic also impacted numerous social and behavioral aspects of people's lives. Due to the lack of a vaccine or effective antiviral medications throughout 2020, governments and employers implemented non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) such as stay-at-home orders, closures of schools and non-essential businesses, physical distancing guidelines, and mask mandates to slow the spread and reduce the burden on the hospital systems.[2,3] The pandemic altered people's daily lives in a myriad of ways, including their employment, income, socialization, and family dynamic, which in turn impacted their physical, emotional, and mental health.[4–12] Exposure to the virus, and the degree to which individuals were able to cope with and follow stay-at-home orders, varied.[6,13–15] The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, state-level, and local community response, and adoption of NPIs varied across the United States. To understand the pandemic's social and behavioral impact in Wisconsin, in May 2020, a multidisciplinary team of investigators at the University of Wisconsin-Madison developed and launched the Survey of the Health of Wisconsin (SHOW) COVID-19 Survey (i.e., The COVID-19 Survey).

The COVID-19 Survey was developed to collect data on COVID-19 exposures and symptoms, healthcare access, employment, caregiving, and daily behaviors. The survey was administered at three timepoints over the first 18 months of the pandemic among an existing population-based, longitudinal cohort of adults, forming the SHOW COVID-19 Cohort. The SHOW cohort is unique in that it is a non-hospital-based sample and the only statewide representative cohort in the United States modeled after the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). It includes residents who may not have health insurance or a primary care provider, and those who may otherwise not be represented in biomedical research.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The goal of the SHOW COVID-19 Cohort was to use baseline and COVID-19 Survey information to examine the impact the social determinants of health had on the short and long-term effects the COVID-19 pandemic had on people's lives, their health, and their well-being. The purpose of this paper is to describe the theory and methods of all three waves of the COVID-19 Survey, as well as the challenges in conducting the survey, and highlight the strength and uniqueness of the cohort and data, which is available for researchers.

COVID-19 outbreak in the United States and Wisconsin

The first confirmed COVID-19 cases in the United States were recorded on January 20, 2020, in Washington State.[16] The first documented case in Wisconsin, a state in the upper Midwest of the U.S., was on March 9, 2020.[17] The Governor declared COVID-19 as a Health Emergency on March 12[18] prompting Executive Orders to close kindergarten through twelfth grade (K-12) schools[19]and non-essential businesses.[20] Statewide "Safer at Home" orders were implemented on March 24, 2020,[21] and a statewide indoor mask mandate was issued on July 30, 2020.[22] By mid-January 2021, the number of deaths from COVID-19 in the U.S. surpassed 400,000,[17] and in Wisconsin had risen to 5,211.[23] Figure 1A displays the statewide events and administration of



Figure 1. (A) Timeline of COVID-19 events and SHOW COVID-19 Survey waves of data collection and (B) COVID-19 case and death counts in Wisconsin

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

the SHOW COVID-19 surveys throughout the first 18 months of the pandemic. Figure 1B depicts the 7-day average of new COVID-19 cases and deaths in Wisconsin. Both daily new COVID-19 cases and deaths peaked in late fall and early winter of 2020 into early 2021, just prior to vaccines being available to high-risk groups (December 18, 2020) and the public (April 5, 2021).[24,25] By August 1, 2021, more than 3 million eligible Wisconsin residents had received at least one dose of the COVID-19 vaccine.[26]

As the COVID-19 pandemic progressed, disparities in population-level morbidity and mortality emerged throughout the United States.[27] However, state-level trends in COVID-19 were difficult to ascertain as many state and local health departments lacked infrastructure for tracking beyond the case and death ascertainment. Wisconsin is a geographically and economically diverse state with large metropolitan areas as well as an expansive rural population in both agricultural and forested landscapes. The statewide population-based SHOW cohort provides a unique opportunity to better understand the role the social determinants of health play in the health and well-being of individuals during and after a pandemic.

METHODS

Participant recruitment

Participants of the SHOW COVID-19 cohort were recruited from the Survey of the Health of Wisconsin (SHOW) cohort.[28] The SHOW began in 2008 and includes n=5,742 adults (18-94 years old) that encompass annual and tri-annual statewide representative samples of adults based on probability sampling, as well as an oversampling from traditionally underrepresented populations in biomedical research, including Black/African Americans and Hispanic/Latinos in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. SHOW gathers survey data on health outcomes, and numerous determinants of health including social, economic, current health status, mental wellbeing, diet,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and lifestyle factors, as well as objective physical measurements and biospecimen. Details on the SHOW sampling frame, recruitment, and methods are described elsewhere.[29]

Individuals (18+ years of age) of the SHOW cohort who met the following criteria were eligible to be recruited for the COVID-19 Survey: (1) consented to be contacted for future research, (2) have not rescinded their consent to be contacted for future studies, (3) were not deceased as of the first day of recruitment, and (4) had valid email address and/or phone number. Figure 2 depicts a flow chart of the study sample for the COVID-19 Survey. Among the n=5,742 adults in the SHOW cohort, n=767 SHOW adults were ineligible, resulting in n=4,975 eligible adults for recruitment. Eligible adults were invited to participate in all three waves. Participation in one wave had no bearing on being eligibility for future waves. Eligibility was the same for Wave I and II but changed for Wave III. Participants who were minors at the time of their baseline SHOW survey but were 18 years of age on June 1, 2021 (n=215) were additionally eligible for Wave III, increasing the total eligible sample to n=5,190.

As the first COVID-19 survey wave launched, COVID-19 lockdowns and safety precautions precluded SHOW study staff from sending mailed invite letters and surveys and recruiting in-person at their home. Consequently, eligible individuals were emailed invites with a unique online survey link. Those without a valid email address (n=2,420) were contacted by phone. An additional n=466 were sent the online survey link after acquiring a valid email address over the phone, which totaled n=3,021 adults who were recruited via email invite with the online survey link (n=3,236 for Wave III). A tailored, shorter phone survey was made available upon request for participants with limited internet access or literacy.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 2. Flow chart of the study sample, depicting eligibility, exclusion criteria, and participation in the SHOW COVID-19 Survey 2020-2021.

*For Wave III, n=215 who were minors at baseline but 18 years old by June 21, 2021 were eligible, increasing the total eligible sample to n=5,190 and n=3,236 who were emailed the invite.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The SHOW COVID-19 Survey

The COVID-19 Survey consists of 9 domains including (1) COVID-19 perceptions, beliefs, behaviors; (2) economic well-being; (3) food security, diet, and housing; (4) personal, social and community context; (5) health and healthcare access; (6) mental and emotional health; (7) information sources and literacy; (8) lifestyle behaviors; and (9) caregiving (Table 1). It was developed by SHOW scientists in collaboration with 27 faculty and stakeholders spanning different departments at the University of Wisconsin-Madison (See Acknowledgements) and the Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services.

Table 1. SHOW's COVID-19 Survey Domains

COVID-19 – Perceptions, Beliefs, Behaviors	**Health & Healthcare Access**
History of exposure & testing	*Chronic health conditions*
Symptoms & hospitalization	*Flu vaccine history*
Mitigation perceptions & behaviors	*Medication / treatment access*
Perceived threat	*Missed appointments*
Coping strategies	*Delayed procedures/surgeries*
*Vaccine willingness**	*Advance care planning**
*Lasting symptoms**	*Reproductive health**
Economic Well Being	**Mental & Emotional health**
Employment status & job type	*Anxiety and Stress screener*
Changes in employment	*Previous Mental Health Diagnosis*
Insurance status & type	*PTSD screener*
Change in insurance	*Emotional support*
Retirement funds loss	*COVID-19 specific stressors*
Food security, diet and housing	**Information Sources and Literacy**
Use of benefit programs before/after	*Trusted news sources*
Worried about food lasting	*Internet access*
*Changes in eating habits / meals**	*Know how to find info on internet**
*Foods consumed more/less**	**Lifestyle behaviors**
Unable to pay rent/mortgage	*Sleep quality*
Had to relocate	*Physical activity*
Personal, social & community context	*Alcohol consumption*
Resilience	*Smoking habits*
Social cohesion	**Caregiving**
Risk Taking, trust, altruism	*Children /Adults*
Discrimination	*Stress, strain & coping*
Political Empowerment	*Family activities*

**Topic was added in Wave II and/or Wave III*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Where possible, the COVID-19 Survey matched SHOW baseline survey questions to allow for longitudinal comparisons.[29] Additional survey items were identified using validated instruments and the NIH COVID-19 questions bank[30] or adapted from other established surveys for comparability across population-based cohorts (Table 2). Ethnicity and race were asked separately and self-identified by the participant at baseline via self-administered questionnaire. SHOW scientists and UW professors developed survey items for topics for which a validated instrument did not exist. Additional survey items were added in Wave II and Wave III, including questions about the impacts of at-home schooling, vaccine hesitancy, long COVID-19 symptoms, and advanced care planning. Surveys and a comparison of survey domains across waves (Supplementary Table 1) are in supplemental materials.

Table 2. SHOW COVID-19 survey items and their sources

SHOW COVID-19 Survey Items	Source of survey questions
COVID-19 testing and symptoms	MESA COVID-19 questionnaire[31]
General health status	SF-12[32]
Mental Health	Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)-4[33]
Demographics, smoking, alcohol use, current and past medical conditions	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)[34] or Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)[35]
Healthcare Access	2018 National Health Interview Survey[36]
Reproductive Health	Desire to Avoid Pregnancy[37]
Vision and Hearing Impairment	Health and Retirement Survey[38]
Food security	USDA food security module and USAR2[39]

The survey was administered online via Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap), a secure, web-based software platform for research data capture, hosted at the University of Wisconsin-Madison.[40,41] Staff entered phone survey data into participant's unique REDcap survey link. The phone survey was tailored to reduce length and ease administration (response options were removed, collapsed, or made open text for field interview to capture response). Figure 1A depicts the data collection timeline: Wave I occurred May 18, 2020 - July 5, 2020; Wave II February 1 - March 11, 2021; and Wave III from July 8 - August 14, 2021.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Participants received a $25 gift-card for each completed survey wave. The study was approved by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Health Sciences Institutional Review Board. All survey data was downloaded from REDCap and analyzed using SASv.4. Participants were considered rural if their residence was in census block group defined by the U.S. Census Bureau as having fewer than 2500 people[42] and participant reported household income was used to determine poverty status using the U.S. Federal Poverty Level (FPL) via the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' annual poverty guidelines.[43] Quantitative frequencies and percents were reported as asked in the survey. T-tests and pooled variance were used to compare response rates by group. If cell sizes were less than 10, response options were collapsed and notated in results table.

RESULTS

Response rates and characteristics of participants vs. non-participants

A total of n=1,403, n=1,889 and n=1,854 completed the online COVID-19 Survey for waves I, II and III, resulting in response rates of 46%, 63%, and 57%, respectively (Figure 2). Supplementary Table 2 summarizes demographic characteristics of non-participants (n = 3,266) and participants (n = 2,463), using baseline information from individuals most recent (pre-COVID-19) participation in SHOW. Those who participated in at least one wave of the online survey were more likely to be older (mean age 56 years vs. 52 years), female (62% vs. 52%) and non-Hispanic white (89% vs. 81%), have at least a Bachelor's degree (44% vs. 24%), and live above 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (76% vs. 62%) when compared to those who were invited and did not participate in any wave (Supplementary Table 2). Response rate did not vary significantly by urbanicity or chronic medical condition.

There was a total of n=2,304 unique participants who completed one or more online waves (response rate 71%). A total of n=1,090 participants completed all three waves, whereas n=552

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

completed only one of the three survey waves. See Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 3 for participation by wave. An additional n=190 completed at least one of the three phone survey waves; n=55, n=122, and n=139 completed waves I, II, and III, respectively.

Table 3 depicts demographics and characteristics of online COVID-19 Survey participants by wave. The distribution of demographics and characteristics were similar across waves. Participants had a mean age of 56 years (SD 15) with majority age 35-79 years (over 85%), just over 60% female, and about 90% identified as White. Approximately 50% had a bachelor's degree or higher education, and 80% reported a household income greater than 200% of the FPL. One-third of participants lived in a rural area. And 10-30% of participants had at least one medical condition unrelated to COVID-19 (10% reported having cancer, and 30% having high blood pressure).



Figure 3. Venn Diagram of participation by online survey waves completed

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Compared to online survey participants, phone interview participants were older (mean age 71 years compared to 56 years), non-White (30% vs. 10%), high school or lower education (50-60% compared to 16%) and at or below 200% of the FPL (50% vs. 20%) (Supplementary table 4). Phone survey participants were more likely to report having other medical conditions compared to the online participants; 58% vs 29% reported high blood pressure, and 20% vs. 10% reported having cancer, for phone vs. online participants.

Table 3. Demographic and general characteristics of participants in the online COVID-19 Survey, by wave of data collection, reported as n (%)[a,b]

Sample Characteristic	**Wave I** May-June 2020 n = 1403	**Wave II** Jan-Feb 2021 n = 1889	**Wave III** June-Aug 2021 n = 1854
Age (years), mean (SD)	56 (15)	57 (15)	57 (15)
Age (years)			
18 to 34	152 (11)	152 (8)	173 (9)
35 to 64	783 (56)	1043 (55)	979 (53)
65 to 79	419 (30)	613 (32)	626 (34)
80 and older	49 (3)	81 (4)	76 (4)
Hispanic or Latino ethnicity[c]			
No	1369 (98)	1839 (97)	1810 (98)
Yes	34 (2)	49 (3)	43 (2)
Race, all races identified (not mutually exclusive)[c,d]			
White	1275 (91)	1729 (92)	1702 (92)
Black or African American	110 (8)	134 (7)	124 (7)
Asian	18 (1)	26 (1)	28 (2)
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	5 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)
American Indian or Alaska Native	33 (2)	51 (3)	43 (2)
Other (written response)	23 (2)	29 (2)	24 (1)
Gender[e]			
Female	875 (64)	1135 (61)	1134 (62)
Male	500 (36)	725 (39)	688 (38)
Sexual identity			
Straight	1311 (96)	1784 (96)	1723 (96)
Lesbian, gay, bisexual, or other	50 (4)	69 (4)	81 (4)
Education			
HS degree or lower	230 (17)	301 (16)	291 (16)
Some college or Associate's degree	448 (33)	651 (35)	642 (35)
Bachelor's degree or higher	698 (51)	915 (49)	888 (49)
Poverty[f]			

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

≤ 200% FPL	260 (21)	330 (20)	296 (18)
> 200% FPL	999 (79)	1352 (80)	1348 (82)
Census 2010 urban / rural classification[c,g]			
Urban	962 (69)	1246 (66)	1238 (67)
Rural	441 (31)	643 (34)	616 (33)
Current medical conditions unrelated to COVID-19[h]			
High blood pressure or hypertension	397 (29)	600 (32)	572 (31)
High cholesterol or hyperlipidemia	333 (24)	471 (25)	463 (25)
Anxiety	269 (20)	371 (20)	358 (20)
Depression	250 (18)	367 (20)	346 (19)
Asthma	182 (13)	212 (11)	217 (12)
Diabetes	137 (10)	213 (11)	210 (11)
Cancer	131 (10)	179 (10)	165 (9)

Abbreviations: COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COVID-19=coronavirus disease 2019; FPL=federal poverty level; HS=high school; SD=standard deviation; SHOW=Survey of the Health of Wisconsin.
[a]Variable distributions are reported as n (%), unless otherwise specified.
[b]Frequencies may not add to the total sample size due to missing responses.
[c]Ethnicity, race, and urban / rural classification are calculated using the most recent core SHOW data for each individual.

[d]Each race category includes the total number of participants who selected that race in a multiple response question, regardless of other races identified. Participants who wrote in responses to the question on race are included in "Other (written response)", however, their written responses were not recoded into existing categories.
[e]Due to sample size, "trans male" was recoded as "male", "trans-female" was recoded as "female", and "gender non-conforming" was recoded as missing.
[f]Calculations use the annual poverty guidelines from Health and Human Services (HHS) from the year in which the data were collected. Household Income was collected categorically, so the midpoints for the income categories were used in the calculation. The number of people supported by the family income was capped, so responses of "over 7 people" were recoded as 8.
[g]Urban / rural classification is based on residential address. For adult participants who were minors when they most recently participated in core SHOW, the address of the adult who consented to their participation is used.

[h]Participants were asked, "We would like to know about any current medical conditions, not related to COVID-19. Please indicate if you have EVER been told by a doctor or health care professional that you had any of the following. Select all that apply." The wording of response options in the table is true to that of the original question. Participants who selected "Don't know", or "Other medical condition. Please describe:" are also included in the denominator, however, written responses were not recoded into existing categories.

COVID-19 experiences and perceptions during 2020-2021

Changes in COVID-19 experiences and perceptions across COVID-19 Survey domains are summarized in Table 4. Eleven percent (in wave I) thought they may have had COVID-19 since COVID-19 started, which jumped to 22% in wave II and remained at 20% in wave III. Delays in healthcare due to COVID-19 increased from 43% (wave I) to 53% (wave III). Less than 10% of participants reported rationing medication due to the pandemic, which saw a slight increase across the survey waves. Eleven percent and 15% of participants scored as having depression

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and anxiety, respectively, which stayed consistent in wave I-II and decreased slightly in wave III for both conditions.

Half the participants agreed or strongly agreed COVID-19 was a threat to their household, which increased to 56% (wave II) and then dropped to 35% (wave III). Six percent reported experiencing stigma or discrimination due to COVID-19, which jumped to 11 percent (waves II-III). Majority reported trusting local public health officials, the state health department, and federal agencies, like the U.S. Center for Disease Control (CDC) (69-73% across all three waves) as sources for information about the pandemic.

A quarter of participants reported caring for at least one child in their household and 5% reported caring for an adult in the home with illness or disability, consistent across survey waves. Thirteen percent reported being unable to pay rent or mortgage due to COVID-19 in wave I, which dropped to 6% and 5% in wave II and wave III; a similar trend was seen with concerns about losing their job in the next 3 months. Yet, food insecurity remained stable throughout the survey waves with 11-13% reporting food insecurity.

Table 4: Experiences of participants in the online COVID-19 Survey, by wave of data collection, reported as n (%)[a,b]

Sample Characteristic	**Wave I** May-June 2020 n = 1403	**Wave II** Jan-Feb 2021 n = 1889	**Wave III** June-Aug 2021 n = 1854
Health and Healthcare Access			
Thought may have had COVID-19 since COVID-19 started	133 (11)	364 (22)	337 (20)
Delayed care due to COVID-19[c]	607 (43)	934 (49)	983 (53)
Rationing of medication[c,d]	63 (4)	120 (6)	135 (7)
Mental and Emotional Health			
Depression (PHQ-2)	145 (11)	202 (11)	159 (9)
Anxiety (GAD-2)	207 (15)	276 (15)	226 (12)
Anxiety and Depression (PHQ-4)			
Normal (0-2)	884 (64)	1181 (64)	1261 (70)
Mild (3-5)	327 (24)	430 (23)	369 (20)
Moderate (6-8)	106 (8)	154 (8)	108 (6)
Severe (9-12)	53 (4)	68 (4)	63 (4)
Information Sources and Literacy			
Trust local public health officials or WI DHS	1029 (73)	1303 (69)	1297 (70)
Trust HHS or CDC	1014 (72)	1329 (70)	1281 (69)
Lifestyle Behaviors			
Change in alcohol consumption			
A little to a lot more	299 (23)	352 (19)	293 (16)
About the same	793 (61)	1203 (64)	1254 (69)
A little lower to much lower	198 (15)	313 (17)	280 (15)
Change in physical or usual activities due to COVID-19			
A little less to much less active	605 (44)	898 (48)	625 (34)
About the same	458 (33)	692 (37)	882 (49)
A bit more to much more active	310 (13)	271 (15)	308 (17)
Caregiving			
Care for ≥ 1 child in household	353 (26)	453 (24)	356 (23)
Care for ≥ 1 adult with illness or disability in household	71 (5)	89 (5)	107 (6)
COVID-19 Beliefs			

Believe COVID-19 is a threat in my household			
Agree to Strongly Agree	691 (50)	1053 (56)	648 (35)
Neutral	230 (16)	257 (14)	233 (13)
Disagree to Strongly Disagree	473 (34)	560 (30)	953 (52)
Experienced stigma or discrimination due to COVID-19			
Yes	81 (6)	194 (11)	199 (11)
Financial			
Unable to pay rent/mortgage due to COVID-19			
Yes	185 (13)	107 (6)	85 (5)
No	1040 (75)	1620 (86)	1634 (89)
Not Applicable	160 (12)	152 (8)	116 (6)
Concerned about losing job in next 3 month[e]			
Somewhat to very worried	82 (12)	78 (8)	47 (5)
Unsure	64 (9)	73 (7)	48 (5)
Not worried or not very worried	535 (79)	851 (85)	854 (90)

[a]Variable distributions are reported as n (%), unless otherwise specified.
[b]Frequencies may not add to the total sample size due to missing responses.
[c]Time frame for the response changed across waves from Wave 1 (ever) to Wave 2 (since July 1,2020) to Wave 3 (since February 1, 2021).
[d]Rationing of medication included: taking less medication because you could not get it or could not afford it or delayed filling a prescription due to COVID-19.
[e]Demoninator includes only participants who reported working.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

across all three waves) as sources for information about the pandemic, and a similar percent of participants trusted federal agencies, like the U.S. Center for Disease Control (CDC).

About a quarter of participants reported caring for at least one child in their household and 5% reported caring for an adult in the home with illness or disability, which stayed consistent across survey waves. Thirteen percent reported being unable to pay rent or mortgage due to COVID-19 in wave I, which dropped to 6% and 5% in wave II and wave II; a similar trend was seen with concerns about losing their job in the next 3 months. Yet, food insecurity remained stable throughout the survey waves with 11-13% reporting being food insecure.

Impact of COVID-19 on the lived experience

Data from this study have been used to identify differences in the lived experience seen across multiple determinants of health. Wave 1 revealed Non-whites were 2-3 times more likely to think they had COVID-19 or have told they have COVID-19 compared to Whites, females were more likely than males to experience a partial or full deduction of paid wages or hours, adults with children in home were more likely to report moderate to high levels of stress compared to adults without children in the home.[28]This study's data also highlight how mental health problems may be exacerbated by COVID-19 lockdowns and affect those with sensory impairments more severely due to lack of in-person contacts.[44,45]

About a quarter of participants reported caring for at least one child in their household and 5% reported caring for an adult in the home with illness or disability, which stayed consistent across survey waves. Thirteen percent reported being unable to pay rent or mortgage due to COVID-19 in wave I, which dropped to 6% and 5% in wave II and wave II; a similar trend was seen with concerns about losing their job in the next 3 months. Yet, food insecurity remained stable throughout the survey waves with 11-13% reporting being food insecure.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Impact of COVID-19 on the lived experience

Data from this study have been used to identify differences in the lived experience seen across multiple determinants of health. Wave 1 revealed Non-whites were 2-3 times more likely to think they had COVID-19 or have told they have COVID-19 compared to Whites, females were more likely than males to experience a partial or full deduction of paid wages or hours, adults with children in home were more likely to report moderate to high levels of stress compared to adults without children in the home.[28]This study's data also highlight how mental health problems may be exacerbated by COVID-19 lockdowns and affect those with sensory impairments more severely due to lack of in-person contacts.[44,45]

Strengths and Limitations

A primary strength of the SHOW COVID-19 cohort is its extension from a longstanding, well characterized, cohort of Wisconsin residents with pre-COVID-19 longitudinal survey data, physical measurements and stored biological specimens. The SHOW includes over 2,000 health indicators, outcomes, and social determinants of health; residential locations allow for geospatial linkages to contextual and environmental data; and bio-banked specimens (stool and blood derivatives) are available for microbiome, genetic, and epigenetic analyses. The highly engaged cohort enabled a high response rate in this study. The three longitudinal waves of data collection allow for causal analysis. Furthermore, the cohort consented to linkage to public data (vitals, vaccinations, cancer registries, etc.) and consented to be contacted for follow-up studies, enabling continued growth of the infrastructure, data collection, and impactful scientific findings. The COVID-19 Survey was an interdisciplinary effort of a diverse group of expert investigators who came together to develop and launch the survey quickly in May 2020, resulting in data spanning a broad array of health factors and indicators relating to COVID-19.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The pandemic also posed limitations for recruitment and participation. In-person and mail-based recruitment methods were not possible during the early stages of the pandemic. This may have resulted in a lower response rate, especially among older and rural populations. Pre-pandemic recruitment methods included in-person or mailed invites in addition to e-invites or phone recruitment. Yet, we were able to modify the web-based survey for a phone-based interview. This is an important feature of this study, as the phone-survey participants differed significantly from the online survey participants (they were much older, less educated, poorer, and more likely to be non-White). While two different modes of survey administration pose a limitation for data users, ensuring greater representation in the data outweighs the bias and measurement error due to mode and question text changes.

Conclusions

The SHOW COVID-19 cohort and COVID-19 Survey offers a unique resource for advancing understanding the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic across a diverse population-based sample. Few studies have such a diverse sample that builds on an existing real-world cohort. Data are extensive and offer researchers numerous ongoing opportunities to explore COVID-19's impact on population health equity, and insight for managing future pandemics. Data from the COVID-19 Survey are currently being linked with COVID-19 serology data to assess objectively how social and behavioral impacts of the pandemic were associated with exposure and contraction of COVID-19. Additional ongoing analyses include examining how COVID-19 impacted longitudinal changes in sleep quantity and quality, and access to healthcare services, treatment, and screenings, and assessing the factors associated with long COVID symptoms. Limited data are free and publicly available, and complete data are available upon request. The cohort is on-going and available for continued follow-up studies.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard.
2. Ebrahim S, Ashworth H, Noah C, Kadambi A, Toumi A, Chhatwal J. Reduction of COVID-19 incidence and nonpharmacologic interventions: Analysis using a US county–level policy data set. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;**22**(12).
3. Mohammadi Z, Cojocaru MG, Thommes EW. Human behaviour, NPI and mobility reduction effects on COVID-19 transmission in different countries of the world. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;**22**(1).
4. Russell BS, Hutchison M, Tambling R, Tomkunas AJ, Horton AL. Initial Challenges of Caregiving During COVID-19: Caregiver Burden, Mental Health, and the Parent–Child Relationship. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev*. 2020;**51**(5).
5. Isley C, Low SA. Broadband adoption and availability: Impacts on rural employment during COVID-19. *Telecomm Policy*. 2022;**46**(7).
6. Park CL, Finkelstein-Fox L, Russell BS, Fendrich M, Hutchison M, Becker J. Americans' distress early in the COVID-19 pandemic: Protective resources and coping strategies. *Psychol Trauma*. 2021;**13**(4).
7. Khubchandani J, Sharma S, Webb FJ, Wiblishauser MJ, Sharma M. COVID-19 Infection among Family and Friends: The Psychological Impact on Non-Infected Persons. *Brain Sci*. 2022;**12**(9).
8. Walmsley TL, Rose A, Wei D. Impacts on the U.S. macroeconomy of mandatory business closures in response to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Appl Econ Lett*. 2021;**28**(15).
9. Reichelt M, Makovi K, Sargsyan A. The impact of COVID-19 on gender inequality in the labor market and gender-role attitudes. *European Societies*. 2021;**23**(S1).
10. Felt D, Xu J, Floresca YB, et al. Instability in Housing and Medical Care Access: The Inequitable Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic on U.S. Transgender Populations. *Transgend Health*. 2023;**8**(1).
11. Elbogen EB, Lanier M, Griffin SC, et al. A National Study of Zoom Fatigue and Mental Health during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Implications for Future Remote Work. *Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw*. 2022;**25**(7).
12. Hughes MC, Liu Y, Baumbach A. Impact of COVID-19 on the Health and Well-being of Informal Caregivers of People with Dementia: A Rapid Systematic Review. *Gerontol Geriatr Med* 2021.
13. Waselewski EA, Waselewski ME, Chang T. Needs and Coping Behaviors of Youth in the U.S. During COVID-19. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2020;**67**(5).
14. Coiro MJ, Watson KH, Ciriegio A, et al. Coping with COVID-19 stress: Associations with depression and anxiety in a diverse sample of U.S. adults. *Current Psychology*. 2023;**42**(14).
15. Wootton AR, Rice DR, McKowen ALW, Veldhuis C. A Mixed-Methods and Prospective Approach to Understanding Coping Behaviors, Depression, Hopelessness, and Acute Stress in a U.S. Convenience Sample During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Health Education and Behavior*. 2022;**49**(2).
16. First Travel-related Case of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Detected in United States.
17. Radcliffe J, Caughey E. A timeline of how the COVID-19 pandemic has played out in Wisconsin. *Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*. 2021 Mar 11;
18. Evers T, Follette D La. Relating to a Proclamation Declaring a Health Emergency in Response to the COVID-19 Coronavirus. 2020 Mar.
19. Evers T, Palm A. Order for Statewide School Closure. 2020 Feb.
20. Evers T, Palm A. Order Prohibiting Mass Gatherings of 50 People or More. 2020 Mar.
21. Evers T, Palm A. Safer at Home Order. 2020 Mar.
22. Evers T, Follette D La. Relating to Declaring a Public Health Emergency. 2020 Jul.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

23. Coronavirus Resource Center: Wisconsin. Johns Hopkins University & Medicine.
24. Trends in Number of COVID-19 Vaccinations in the US. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)*.
25. Radcliffe J, Caughey E. A timeline of how the COVID-19 pandemic has played out in Wisconsin. *Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*. 2021 Mar 11;
26. Trends in Number of COVID-19 Vaccinations in the US. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)*.
27. Dixon BE, Grannis SJ, Lembcke LR, Valvi N, Roberts AR, Embi PJ. The synchronicity of COVID-19 disparities: Statewide epidemiologic trends in SARS-CoV-2 morbidity, hospitalization, and mortality among racial minorities and in rural America. *PLoS One*. 2021;**16**(July).
28. Malecki KM, Schultz AA, Nikodemova M, et al. Statewide Impact of COVID-19 on Social Determinants of Health - A First Look: Findings from the Survey of the Health of Wisconsin. *Front Public Health*. 2022 Mar 31;**10**.
29. Malecki KMC, Nikodemova M, Schultz AA, et al. The Survey of the Health of Wisconsin (SHOW) Program: An Infrastructure for Advancing Population Health. *Front Public Health*. 2022 Mar 31;**10**.
30. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC COVID-19 Community Survey Question Bank (DRAFT). NIH CDE Repository. National Library of Medicine. 2020.
31. Collaborative Cohort of Cohorts for COVID-19 Research. C4R Questionnaire. 2020.
32. Turner-Bowker D, Hogue SJ. Short Form 12 Health Survey (SF-12). *Encyclopedia of Quality of Life and Well-Being Research*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2014. p. 5954–5957.
33. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Lowe B. An Ultra-Brief Screening Scale for Anxiety and Depression: The PHQ-4. *Psychosomatics*. 2009 Nov 1;**50**(6):613–621.
34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Questionnaire. Hyattsville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2021.
35. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2021.
36. National Center for Health Statistics. Survey Description, National Health Interview Survey, 2018. Hyattsville, MD; 2019.
37. Rocca CH, Ralph LJ, Wilson M, Gould H, Foster DG. Psychometric Evaluation of an Instrument to Measure Prospective Pregnancy Preferences. *Med Care*. 2019 Feb;**57**(2):152–158.
38. Juster FT, Suzman R. An Overview of the Health and Retirement Study. *J Hum Resour*. 1995;**30**:S7.
39. United States Department of Agriculture. U.S. Household food security survey module: six-item short form. Economic Research Service. 2012.
40. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. 2009 Apr;**42**(2):377–381.
41. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. *J Biomed Inform*. 2019 Jul;**95**:103208.
42. U.S. Census Bureau. Census urban and rural classification and urban area criteria [Internet]. *Commerce UDo, editor* 2010. Available from: https://www2.census.gov/geo/pdfs/reference/ua/2010ua_faqs.pdf

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

43. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Poverty Guidelines 2020-2021 [Internet]. *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation* 2021. Available from: https://aspe.hhs.gov/topics/poverty-economic-mobility/poverty-guidelines
44. Merten N, Schultz A, Walsh M, et al. Mental Health and Well-Being Among Individuals With a Sensory Loss During COVID-19 Lockdown Measures. *Innov Aging*. 2021 Dec 17;**5**(Supplement_1):407–408.
45. Dillard LK, Nelson-Bakkum ER, Walsh MC, Schultz A. Self-reported hearing loss is associated with poorer perceived health care access, timeliness, satisfaction, and quality: Findings from the Survey of the Health of Wisconsin. *Disabil Health J*. 2023 Jan;**16**(1):101394.

ETHICS APPROVAL

The study was approved by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Health Sciences Institutional Review Board [2013-0251-CP132].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank for the SHOW participants for the continued engagement and participation in studies, as well as the University of Wisconsin Survey Center, SHOW administrative, field, and scientific staff for their assistance in study implementation, data collection and data cleaning. Funding for the Survey of the Health of Wisconsin (SHOW) was provided by the Wisconsin Partnership Program PERC Award (233 AAG9971). Survey development was made possible due to the generous contributions from several investigators at the University of Wisconsin: Janean Dilworth-Bart, Kristin Litzelman, Heather Kirkorian, Margaret Kerr, Tiffany Green, Natasha Merten, Marietou Ouayogode, Deborah Ehrenthal, Rebecca Myerson, Lydia Ashton, Thomas Oliver, Maureen Smith, Amy Trentham-Dietz, Maureen Durkin, Jane Mahoney, Marguerite Burns, and Jonathan Patz.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AAS managed data collection, directed data curation and analysis, and was the primary writer. ENB contributed to the literature review, writing and analysis. SL and JB curated and processed

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

the data and generated tables and figures and analyses used. MN assisted with study design and implementation. MCW and AB assisted to sampling, data, collection and early data curation. LCB, CE, JL, PP, and AS contributed to survey design and survey content. KMCM directed and oversaw and study, led the conceptualization, design, and manuscript objectives.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Wisconsin Partnership Program (WPP) PERC Award [233 PRJ 25DJ and WPP4444]. WPP supports efforts to improve the health of the people of Wisconsin by supporting partnerships between the University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health and local, regional, and statewide groups to address the most important health issues in Wisconsin.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY & COLLABORATION

The SHOW COVID-19 study investigators encourage use of COVID-19 Survey data and stored biospecimen for future grants and publications. Limited use data from all waves of the COVID-19 Survey is free and publicly available. Access to complete survey data from COVID-19 Survey, and access to baseline SHOW survey data, are subject to fee-for-service charges available for use via a data request process. The SHOW study investigators encourage collaboration and use of the SHOW COVID-19 cohort, data, and stored biospecimen for future grants and publications. Participants in the SHOW COVID-19 cohort have consented to be contacted for future follow-up studies and SHOW study investigators are available to assist researchers interested in following up the cohort and expanding data collection. For those

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.17.23297146; this version posted October 18, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

interested in using the data, or leveraging SHOW COVID-19 cohort or SHOW cohort for ancillary studies, contact the corresponding author or visit the SHOW website: https://show.wisc.edu/