medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Associations between natural sunlight exposure and brain structural markers: a prospective study in the UK Biobank

Huihui Li, MA [1]; Fusheng Cui, MA [2]; Tong Wang, MD [3]; Weijing Wang, MD [4*]; Dongfeng Zhang, MD [5 *]

Affiliations:

Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Public Health College, Qingdao University, Qingdao, 266071, Shandong Province, China;

Author contributions: D.Z. and H.L. designed research; H.L. performed research; H.L, F.C. analyzed data; H.L., F.C, T.W., W.W., and D.Z. wrote the first draft of the paper; H.L., F.C, T.W., W.W., and D.Z. edited the paper; H.L., F.C, T.W., W.W., and D.Z. wrote the paper.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82073641).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Preprints: This manuscript has been submitted as preprint at MedRxiv: 10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944.

Acknowledgements:

This study utilized data from the UK Biobank and was approved by the UK Biobank (proposal 95715). The authors gratefully thank all the participants and professionals

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

contributing to the UK Biobank.

*** Corresponding author:**

1.Prof. Dongfeng Zhang (primary corresponding author)

Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Public Health College, Qingdao University, No. 308 Ningxia Road, Qingdao, 266071, Shandong Province, China

Email address: zhangdf1961@126.com.

Tel: +8653282991712

Fax: +8653283801449

2.Dr. Weijing Wang

Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, Public Health College, Qingdao University, No. 308 Ningxia Road, Qingdao, 266071, Shandong Province, China

Email address: wangwj793@126.com.

Tel: +8653282991712

Fax: +8653283801449

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Objective: Sunlight is closely intertwined with daily life. It remains unclear whether there are associations between sunlight exposure and brain structural markers.

Methods: This longitudinal study utilized baseline data (2006-2010) and follow-up data (2014+) from the UK Biobank. General linear regression analysis was employed to compare the differences in brain structural markers among different sunlight exposure time groups. Stratification analyses were performed based on sex, age, and diseases (hypertension, stroke, diabetes). Limiting cubic splines were performed to examine the dose-response relationship between natural sunlight exposure and brain structural markers, with further stratification by season. To control environmental and genetic factor, we adjusted PM2.5 and PRS for Alzheimer's disease.

Results: A total of 27,474 participants were included in the final analyses. The association of sunlight exposure time with brain structural markers was found in the upper quartile compared to the lower quartile. Prolonged natural sunlight exposure was associated with the volumes of total brain (β: -0.051, $P < 0.001$), white matter (β: -0.031, $P = 0.023$), gray matter (β: -0.067, $P < 0.001$), and white matter hyperintensities (β: 0.059, $P < 0.001$). These associations were more pronounced in males and individuals under the age of 60. With daily sunlight exposure approximately exceeding 2 hours, we observed that total brain volume and gray matter volume decreased, while white matter high hyperintensity volume increased with prolonged sunlight exposure duration.

Conclusions: This study demonstrates that prolonged exposure to natural sunlight is

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

associated with brain structural markers change. These findings offer new insights into the mechanisms underlying the association between natural sunlight and brain health.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Introduction

Sunlight is closely associated with human health. Sunlight plays a crucial role in maintaining overall health by participating in multiple processes such as skin synthesis of vitamin D[1, 2] and regulating the circadian rhythm[3, 4]. However, inappropriate exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation from sunlight can result in both acute and chronic health consequences, including skin cancer,[5] sunburn (erythema),[6] immunosuppression,[7] DNA damage,[8] and more. The UV radiation has the potential to suppress cell-mediated immune function, leading to inflammatory responses,[7] while the inflammatory responses are recognized as one of the risk factors for dementia.[9] Additionally, worsening air pollution has contributed to the thinning of the ozone layer, reducing its capacity to absorb UV radiation, which may result in increased UV exposure for individuals.[10] Besides, it has been shown that individuals with lighter skin tones are more susceptible to the effects of UV radiation.[11]

The brain can also be affected by sunlight. The brain volume is primarily composed of white matter and gray matter. White matter occupies more than half of the total human brain volume and is primarily composed of myelinated axons.[12] White matter plays a crucial role in coordinating information transmission and integration among different brain regions.[13, 14] The central nervous system comprises another crucial component known as gray matter, which consists of neurons, glial cells, and microvasculature. These neurons are responsible for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

processing and transmitting information, while glial cells provide support and protection. The microvascular system supplies oxygen and nutrients to meet the needs of neurons. Brain function relies on the delivery of oxygen and nutrients through blood circulation and depends on the brain's ability to maintain thermal balance. When exposed to sunlight, more blood flows away from the brain to regulate brain temperature, resulting in a reduced blood flow to the brain, which may lead to brain damage.[15, 16] Experimental studies have found that direct exposure of the head and neck to sunlight radiation can result in a core temperature increase of 1°C, and may impair motor-cognitive functions.[17]

While previous researches have explored the association between sunlight and cognitive function, most studies have primarily focused on the relationship between sunlight and global cognitive function or the occurrence of dementia.[2, 18-21] There remains a gap in the investigation of the associations between natural sunlight exposure and brain structure. Research indicates that changes in brain morphology, such as white matter integrity, may precede and potentially lead to declines in cognitive function,[22] and individual differences in cognitive function are partially explained by variations in brain structure[23]. White matter hyperintensity, as one of the brain structural markers, is associated with pathologies of Alzheimer's disease.[24, 25] Therefore, natural sunlight exposure may be associated with brain structure.

We used the data from the UK Biobank cohort to address these gaps. The aim of this study is to explore the relationships between sunlight exposure and brain structural markers. Furthermore, since the season, sex, and age differences in the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

association between sunlight exposure and cognition[2], we further conducted stratified analyses based on these factors to investigate the sunlight-brain structure associations separately. In addition, considering that hypertension,[26, 27] stroke,[28, 29] and diabetes[30, 31] are closely associated with brain structure as well as cognitive impairment, we also tried to analyze the relationships between sunlight exposure and brain structure in these diseases groups, respectively.

Methods

Data Sources and Study Design

The UK Biobank is a population-based, large-scale prospective cohort study that recruited over 500,000 participants nationwide from March 2006 to December 2010. After signing the written informed consent forms, all participants completed baseline assessments at one of the 22 assessment centers, which were in England, Scotland, or Wales. These assessments included touchscreen questionnaires, verbal interviews, physical examinations, and the collection of biological samples. Starting in 2014, a subset of participants was invited to four assessment centers for cognitive function questionnaires, imaging scans, and more. The UK Biobank has obtained approval from the Northwest Multi-Center Research Ethics Committee (reference 06/MRE08/65). The specific selection process flowchart is presented in Figure 1.

Natural Sunlight Exposure Time Measurements

The time spend in summer and winter is collected through touchscreen questionnaires during participants' visits to the assessment center. Responses of "Don't know" and "Prefer not to answer" are excluded, and "Less than 1 hour" was redefined as 0 hour.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Participants who reported the time exceeding 16 hours in summer and 8 hours in winter were removed based on the effective daylight hours in the UK. The exposure variable was the annual average sunlight exposure time, which was calculated by taking the average outdoor time during both the summer and winter.

Brain Structural Markers Measurements

The brain structural markers include the volumes of total brain, white matter, gray matter, and white matter hyperintensities. We performed Z-transformations on the brain structural markers based on the mean and standard deviation. T1-weighted data was acquired on a 3T Siemens Skyra scanner using a standard 32-channel head coil. The parameters for the magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo imaging sequence were set as follows: resolution: 1×1×1 mm, feld-of-view (FOV): 208×256×256 matrix, duration: 5 min. Subcortical structures were segmented using FIRST (version 5.0), an integrated registration and segmentation tool within FMRIB. Cortical tissue-type segmentation was completed using FAST, FMRIB's automated segmentation tool. The white matter hyperintensities were calculated based on T1 and T2 FLAIR. The UK Biobank team processed and quality-controlled the estimates of white matter volume, providing them as image-derived phenotypes to approved researchers. A comprehensive summary of the data acquisition protocols and preprocessing procedures is available at https://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/crystal/docs/brain_mri.pdf.

Covariates

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Based on prior studies on sunlight and cognitive function, the following factors were identified as potential confounding variables: age, sex(male or female), Townsend Deprivation Index (TDI), years of education(10-years, 13-years, 15-years, 19-years, or 20-years),[32] employment status(yes or no), physical activity(low, moderate, high), body mass index (BMI), smoking status(never, previous, or current), alcohol drinker status(never, previous, or current), skin color(very fair, fair, light olive, dark olive, brown, black), use of sun/UV protection(never/rarely, sometimes, most of the time, always, do not go out in sunshine), history of fractures in the past 5 years(yes or no), vitamin D supplementation(yes or no), sleep duration(7-8 hours or not), history of hypertension(yes or no), history of stroke(yes or no), history of coronary heart disease(yes or no), and history of diabetes(yes or no).

In addition, we adjusted for PM2.5 and PRS for Alzheimer's disease (AD-PRS) to control environmental pollution factor and genetic factor. The assessment centers were adjusted to control the impact of the brain scanning device. The detailed definitions of hypertension, stroke, coronary heart disease, and diabetes can be found in Table S1.

Statistical analyses

Normally distributed variables were presented as mean (standard deviation), non-normally distributed variables as median (interquartile range), and categorical variables as numbers (percentages).

Participants were stratified into three groups based on the tertiles of sunlight exposure time (Tertile 1, Tertile 2 Tertile 3), with the group having the lowest sunlight

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

exposure time considered as the reference group. Linear regression analysis was employed to compare the differences in brain structural markers among different sunlight exposure time groups. In the stratified analysis, the subjects were divided into subgroups based on sex, age (< 60, >= 60), and disease history (hypertension, stroke, and diabetes). Within each subgroup, we analyzed the relationships between sunlight exposure time and brain structural markers. Additionally, we treated sunlight exposure time as a continuous variable and employed the "plotRCS" package for restricted cubic splines to examine the dose-response relationship between sunlight exposure time and brain structural markers. Given variations in daylight duration between seasons, we also separately examined the dose-response relationships for summer and winter.

In sensitivity analyses, we separately excluded participants who developed dementia in the first 5 years of follow-up and 10 years of follow-up, to control for potential reverse causality. Participants with a history of hypertension, diabetes, or stroke at baseline were excluded, and then repeating the primary analysis in a relatively healthy population. The relationships between sunlight exposure time and different cognitive domains were also analyzed. (Table S2)[33]

The statistical analyses were conducted in R version 4.2.3, and statistical significance was set at the *p*-value < 0.05 for two-tailed tests.

Results

A total of 27,474 participants(mean age 55.01 ± 7.57years) who completed brain scan were included in baseline characterization analysis. (Table 1) Compared to the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

group with shorter sunlight exposure time, the group with longer time tends to be older, more likely to consist of males, engage in high level of physical activities, and have appropriate sleep duration.

Main analysis

The association between sunlight exposure time and brain structural markers was presented in Table 2. Comparing to Tertile 1, prolong natural sunlight exposure time (Tertile 3) was associated with the volumes of total brain (β: -0.051, P < 0.001), white matter (β: -0.031, P = 0.023), gray matter (β: -0.067, P < 0.001), and white matter hyperintensities (β: 0.059, P < 0.001). Longer sunlight exposure time was associated with smaller subcortical volumes of thalamus (β: -0.060, P < 0.001), caudate (β: -0.040, P = 0.012), putamen (β: -0.031, P = 0.032), hippocampus (β: -0.046, P = 0.003), and accumbens (β: -0.041, P = 0.006). Similarly, prolonged sunlight exposure was associated with reduced gray matter volumes in the putamen (β: -0.060, P < 0.001), hippocampus (β: -0.043, P = 0.004), and amygdala (β: -0.073, P < 0.001).

Stratified analysis

The male brain structure appears to be more susceptible to the effects of sunlight exposure compared to females. (Table S3) Among males, we found that prolong sunlight exposure was negatively associated with total brain volume, gray matter volume, subcortical volumes of the thalamus and caudate, gray matter volumes of the putamen, hippocampus, and amygdala. It is also associated with an increase in the volume of white matter hyperintensity. In females, it was only associated with total

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

brain volume, gray matter volume, subcortical volumes of the thalamus and hippocampus.

Compared to the group aged 60 and above, the group under 60 years old showed a broader range of correlations between sunlight exposure and brain structural markers. (Table S4) With longer sunlight exposure time, participants under 60 exhibited shrinkage in volumes of total brain, white matter, gray matter, and increase in volume of white matter hyperintensities. However, only a correlation with gray matter volume was found in the population aged 60 and above.

In the group of hypertension, prolong sunlight exposure time was associated with total brain volume, gray matter volume, white matter volume, subcortical volumes in thalamus and hippocampus, and the gray matter volumes in putamen, hippocampus and amygdala. However, no significant associations were observed in the stroke and diabetes individuals. (Table S5)

Restricted cubic spline

The restricted cubic spline illustrates a dose-response relationship between sunlight exposure duration and the volume of brain structural markers. With daily sunlight exposure approximately exceeding 2 hours, we observed that total brain volume, gray matter volume, and volumes of certain subcortical regions decreased with prolonged sunlight exposure duration. (Figure 2 and Figure S1) When stratified by season, as sunlight exposure duration increases, the total brain volume, white matter volume, and gray matter volume decreased more pronounced in the summer compared to winter.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

(Figure 3 and Figure S2) Regardless of the season, sunlight exposure time is associated with an increase in white matter hyperintensity volume.

Sensitivity analysis

The sensitivity analyses results showed that our findings were robust. After excluding participants who developed dementia within the first 5 years and the first 10 years of follow-up, the results still consistent with the main results. (Table S6 and Table S7) Similar results were found between prolonged natural sunlight exposure and brain structural markers when baseline hypertension, diabetes, or stroke individuals were further removed. (Table S8) Prolong sunlight exposure time was associated with cognitive function tests. (Table S9) In terms of cognitive function, as the duration of sunlight exposure increased, performance in visual declarative memory, working memory, verbal and numerical reasoning, processing speed, executive function, vocabulary, and non-verbal reasoning declined.

Discussion

We observed that prolonged exposure to natural sunlight may be associated with adverse brain structure. This association varies with age, gender, and season, with stronger negative correlations found in males, those under 60 years old, and during the summer. Additionally, prolonged exposure to sunlight is correlated with cognitive decline.

The mechanisms of sunlight-induced damage to brain structure are not fully understood and maybe the following two mechanisms: (1) Sunlight exposure causes an increase in core temperature, and then more blood flowing away from the brain,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

resulting in reduced cerebral blood flow, which in turn can cause damage to brain structure.[15-17] (2) The UV radiation in natural sunlight can damage immune cells in the body, triggering inflammatory responses that can lead to damage.[7, 9]

The relationship between natural sunlight exposure and change in brain structure, appear to be more extensive in the summer season, in individuals younger than 60 years old, and males. This can be attributed to higher temperature and stronger UV radiation during the summer in the United Kingdom.[34] Additionally, during the summer, people tend to expose more skin due to warmer weather and clothing choices, leading to increased UV exposure. Younger individuals tend to engage in outdoor activities, and research has found that among people above 20 years, the frequency of sunburn decreases with age.[35, 36] There are known structural and biological differences in the skin between sex.[37, 38] Compared to females, males tend to be more sensitive to UV radiation and may experience immune-suppression reactions more frequently.[39, 40] Conversely, the presence of estrogen in the female body may exert inhibitory effects on immune-suppression reactions.[41] Furthermore, males are generally less likely to use sun protection measures, resulting in greater sunlight exposure.[36]

The associations between sunlight exposure and brain structural markers are consistent with prospective studies in dementia populations. Ma, L.-Z., et al. found a "J-shaped" relationship between sunlight exposure and the development of dementia, and we observed that high-dose sunlight exposure may have a damaging effect on brain structural markers. [2] The finding regarding the association of natural sunlight

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

exposure with cognition align with previous comparative studies conducted on worker populations. Exposure to sunlight has been observed to decrease attention allocation and vigilance. Under both temperate and tropical climate conditions, sunlight exposure has been shown to result in cognitive impairment.[42] Dementia is a slowly progressive condition, and the cognition changes we focused on occur earlier than the diagnosis of dementia.[43-46] Besides, research indicates that the atrophy of white matter may lead to cognitive impairment such as vascular dementia and other related conditions.[12, 47-49] Additionally, the atrophy of gray matter volume is also associated with the decline in cognition, such as in Alzheimer's disease.[48, 50] Based on the association between natural sunlight and changes in brain structure, we hypothesize that brain structure may mediate the association between natural sunlight and cognition. However, further research is needed to confirm this hypothesis.

This study represents the first exploration of the associations and dose-response relationship between natural sunlight exposure and brain structure in the general population. We extensively adjusted for various potential confounding factors to control for influences from the environment, genetics, and other aspects. Furthermore, we conducted multi-level analyses stratified by season, age, sex, and four diseases to investigate variations among different subgroups. However, there are still some limitations. First, sunlight exposure time relied on self-reports from participants, which may introduce recall bias and subjective assessment. Second, the observational nature of this study prevents us from establishing causality. Third, the associations between sunlight exposure and brain structure were not observed in groups with

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

specific diseases due to the relatively small number of participants with those conditions. Fourth, the participants in this study were primarily white individuals from high-latitude regions, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other regions and ethnicities.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study reveals an association between prolonged exposure to natural sunlight and adverse changes in brain structure, providing novel insights into the potential impact of light exposure on human health. The findings highlight the need for further in-depth investigations to elucidate the specific mechanisms and physiological foundations underlying this relationship. Understanding the intricacies of how natural sunlight affects brain structure is crucial for advancing our knowledge of the broader implications for human well-being.

Abbreviations

UV: ultraviolet

TDI: Townsend Deprivation Index

BMI: body mass index

AD-PRS: PRS for Alzheimer's disease

Acknowledgements

Author Contributions: Prof. Dongfeng Zhang had full access to all of the data in the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Huihui Li, Fusheng Cui.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Huihui Li, Fusheng Cui.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Dongfeng Zhang, Weijing Wang.

Statistical analysis: Fusheng Cui, Tong Wang, Weijing Wang.

Obtained funding: Dongfeng Zhang, Weijing Wang.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Dongfeng Zhang, Weijing Wang, Tong Wang.

Supervision: Dongfeng Zhang, Weijing Wang, Tong Wang.

Acknowledgements: This study utilized data from the UK Biobank and was approved by the UK Biobank (proposal 95715). The authors gratefully thank all the participants and professionals contributing to the UK Biobank.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82073641).

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funding organizations had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

References

1. Afzal S, Bojesen SE, Nordestgaard BG: **Reduced 25-hydroxyvitamin D and risk of Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia**. *Alzheimers Dement* 2014, **10**(3):296-302.
2. Ma L-Z, Ma Y-H, Ou Y-N, Chen S-D, Yang L, Dong Q, Cheng W, Tan L, Yu J-T: **Time spent in outdoor light is associated with the risk of dementia: a prospective cohort study of 362094 participants**. *BMC Med* 2022, **20**(1):132.
3. Chen S-J, Deng Y-T, Li Y-Z, Zhang Y-R, Zhang W, Chen S-D, Wu B-S, Yang L, Dong Q, Feng J *et al*: **Association of circadian rhythms with brain disorder incidents: a prospective cohort study of 72242 participants**. *Transl Psychiatry* 2022, **12**(1):514.
4. Hjetland GJ, Pallesen S, Thun E, Kolberg E, Nordhus IH, Flo E: **Light interventions and sleep, circadian, behavioral, and psychological disturbances in dementia: A systematic review of methods and outcomes**. *Sleep Med Rev* 2020, **52**:101310.
5. Osterlind A: **Cancer and UV-radiation**. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 1993, **72 Suppl 1**:67-68.
6. Camponogara C, Oliveira SM: **Are TRPA1 and TRPV1 channel-mediated signalling cascades involved in UVB radiation-induced sunburn?** *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 2022, **92**:103836.
7. Murphy GM: **Ultraviolet radiation and immunosuppression**. *Br J Dermatol* 2009, **161 Suppl 3**:90-95.
8. Mullenders LHF: **Solar UV damage to cellular DNA: from mechanisms to biological effects**. *Photochem Photobiol Sci* 2018, **17**(12):1842-1852.
9. Morrens M, Overloop C, Coppens V, Loots E, Van Den Noortgate M, Vandenameele S, Leboyer M, De Picker L: **The relationship between immune and cognitive dysfunction in mood and psychotic disorder: a systematic review and a meta-analysis**. *Mol Psychiatry* 2022, **27**(8):3237-3246.
10. Umar SA, Tasduq SA: **Ozone Layer Depletion and Emerging Public Health Concerns - An Update on Epidemiological Perspective of the Ambivalent Effects of Ultraviolet Radiation Exposure**. *Front Oncol* 2022, **12**:866733.
11. Kammeyer A, Luiten RM: **Oxidation events and skin aging**. *Ageing Res Rev* 2015, **21**:16-29.
12. Filley CM, Fields RD: **White matter and cognition: making the connection**. *J Neurophysiol* 2016, **116**(5):2093-2104.
13. Mesulam MM: **Large-scale neurocognitive networks and distributed processing for attention, language, and memory**. *Ann Neurol* 1990, **28**(5):597-613.
14. Catani M, Dell'acqua F, Bizzi A, Forkel SJ, Williams SC, Simmons A, Murphy DG, Thiebaut de Schotten M: **Beyond cortical localization in clinico-anatomical correlation**. *Cortex* 2012, **48**(10):1262-1287.
15. Nybo L, Secher NH, Nielsen B: **Inadequate heat release from the human brain during prolonged exercise with hyperthermia**. *J Physiol* 2002, **545**(2):697-704.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

16. Bain AR, Nybo L, Ainslie PN: **Cerebral Vascular Control and Metabolism in Heat Stress**. *Compr Physiol* 2015, **5**(3):1345-1380.

17. Piil JF, Christiansen L, Morris NB, Mikkelsen CJ, Ioannou LG, Flouris AD, Lundbye-Jensen J, Nybo L: **Direct exposure of the head to solar heat radiation impairs motor-cognitive performance**. *Sci Rep* 2020, **10**(1):7812.

18. Gao Q, Luan D, Wang X, Xin S, Liu Y, Li J: **Effect of sun exposure on cognitive function among elderly individuals in Northeast China**. *Clin Interv Aging* 2018, **13**:2075-2082.

19. Kent ST, McClure LA, Crosson WL, Arnett DK, Wadley VG, Sathiakumar N: **Effect of sunlight exposure on cognitive function among depressed and non-depressed participants: a REGARDS cross-sectional study**. *Environ Health* 2009, **8**:34.

20. Xin S, Luan D, Wang X, Wang F, Liu Y, Gao Q: **Relationship between cumulative ultraviolet exposure and cognitive function in a rural elderly Chinese population**. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2018, **33**(8):1121-1126.

21. Knippenberg S, Damoiseaux J, Bol Y, Hupperts R, Taylor BV, Ponsonby AL, Dwyer T, Simpson S, van der Mei IAF: **Higher levels of reported sun exposure, and not vitamin D status, are associated with less depressive symptoms and fatigue in multiple sclerosis**. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2014, **129**(2):123-131.

22. Marsland AL, Gianaros PJ, Kuan DCH, Sheu LK, Krajina K, Manuck SB: **Brain morphology links systemic inflammation to cognitive function in midlife adults**. *Brain Behav Immun* 2015, **48**:195-204.

23. Patel R, Mackay CE, Jansen MG, Devenyi GA, O'Donoghue MC, Kivimäki M, Singh-Manoux A, Zsoldos E, Ebmeier KP, Chakravarty MM *et al*: **Inter- and intra-individual variation in brain structural-cognition relationships in aging**. *Neuroimage* 2022, **257**:119254.

24. Gurol ME, Viswanathan A, Gidicsin C, Hedden T, Martinez-Ramirez S, Dumas A, Vashkevich A, Ayres AM, Auriel E, van Etten E *et al*: **Cerebral amyloid angiopathy burden associated with leukoaraiosis: a positron emission tomography/magnetic resonance imaging study**. *Ann Neurol* 2013, **73**(4):529-536.

25. Graff-Radford J, Arenaza-Urquijo EM, Knopman DS, Schwarz CG, Brown RD, Rabinstein AA, Gunter JL, Senjem ML, Przybelski SA, Lesnick T *et al*: **White matter hyperintensities: relationship to amyloid and tau burden**. *Brain* 2019, **142**(8):2483-2491.

26. Zhou TL, Kroon AA, van Sloten TT, van Boxtel MPJ, Verhey FRJ, Schram MT, Köhler S, Stehouwer CDA, Henry RMA: **Greater Blood Pressure Variability Is Associated With Lower Cognitive Performance**. *Hypertension* 2019, **73**(4):803-811.

27. Shang X, Hill E, Zhu Z, Liu J, Ge BZ, Wang W, He M: **The Association of Age at Diagnosis of Hypertension With Brain Structure and Incident Dementia in the UK Biobank**. *Hypertension* 2021, **78**(5):1463-1474.

28. Kokmen E, Whisnant JP, O'Fallon WM, Chu CP, Beard CM: **Dementia after ischemic stroke: a population-based study in Rochester, Minnesota (1960-1984)**. *Neurology* 1996, **46**(1):154-159.

29. Marin MA, Carmichael ST: **Mechanisms of demyelination and remyelination in the young and aged brain following white matter stroke**. *Neurobiol Dis* 2019, **126**.

30. Kodl CT, Seaquist ER: **Cognitive dysfunction and diabetes mellitus**. *Endocr Rev* 2008, **29**(4):494-511.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

31. Moheet A, Mangia S, Seaquist ER: **Impact of diabetes on cognitive function and brain structure**. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2015, **1353**:60-71.
32. Cullen B, Newby D, Lee D, Lyall DM, Nevado-Holgado AJ, Evans JJ, Pell JP, Lovestone S, Cavanagh J: **Cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses of outdoor air pollution exposure and cognitive function in UK Biobank**. *Sci Rep* 2018, **8**(1):12089.
33. Fawns-Ritchie C, Deary IJ: **Reliability and validity of the UK Biobank cognitive tests**. *PLoS One* 2020, **15**(4):e0231627.
34. Seckmeyer G, Pissulla D, Glandorf M, Henriques D, Johnsen B, Webb A, Siani A-M, Bais A, Kjeldstad B, Brogniez C *et al*: **Variability of UV irradiance in Europe**. *Photochem Photobiol* 2008, **84**(1):172-179.
35. Thieden E, Philipsen PA, Sandby-Møller J, Wulf HC: **Sunburn related to UV radiation exposure, age, sex, occupation, and sun bed use based on time-stamped personal dosimetry and sun behavior diaries**. *Arch Dermatol* 2005, **141**(4):482-488.
36. Holman DM, Ding H, Guy GP, Watson M, Hartman AM, Perna FM: **Prevalence of Sun Protection Use and Sunburn and Association of Demographic and Behaviorial Characteristics With Sunburn Among US Adults**. *JAMA Dermatol* 2018, **154**(5):561-568.
37. Giacomoni PU, Mammone T, Teri M: **Gender-linked differences in human skin**. *J Dermatol Sci* 2009, **55**(3):144-149.
38. Oblong JE: **Comparison of the impact of environmental stress on male and female skin**. *Br J Dermatol* 2012, **166 Suppl 2**:41-44.
39. Broekmans WMR, Vink AA, Boelsma E, Klöpping-Ketelaars WAA, Tijburg LBM, van't Veer P, van Poppel G, Kardinaal AFM: **Determinants of skin sensitivity to solar irradiation**. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2003, **57**(10):1222-1229.
40. Liu-Smith F, Farhat AM, Arce A, Ziogas A, Taylor T, Wang Z, Yourk V, Liu J, Wu J, McEligot AJ *et al*: **Sex differences in the association of cutaneous melanoma incidence rates and geographic ultraviolet light exposure**. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 2017, **76**(3).
41. Hiramoto K, Tanaka H, Yanagihara N, Sato EF, Inoue M: **Effect of 17beta-estradiol on immunosuppression induced by ultraviolet B irradiation**. *Arch Dermatol Res* 2004, **295**(8-9):307-311.
42. Ioannou LG, Tsoutsoubi L, Mantzios K, Gkikas G, Piil JF, Dinas PC, Notley SR, Kenny GP, Nybo L, Flouris AD: **The Impacts of Sun Exposure on Worker Physiology and Cognition: Multi-Country Evidence and Interventions**. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021, **18**(14).
43. Dubois B, Hampel H, Feldman HH, Scheltens P, Aisen P, Andrieu S, Bakardjian H, Benali H, Bertram L, Blennow K *et al*: **Preclinical Alzheimer's disease: Definition, natural history, and diagnostic criteria**. *Alzheimers Dement* 2016, **12**(3):292-323.
44. Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, Dubois B, Feldman HH, Fox NC, Gamst A, Holtzman DM, Jagust WJ, Petersen RC *et al*: **The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease**. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011, **7**(3):270-279.
45. Jack CR, Knopman DS, Jagust WJ, Petersen RC, Weiner MW, Aisen PS, Shaw LM, Vemuri P, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD *et al*: **Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers**. *Lancet Neurol* 2013, **12**(2):207-216.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

46. Sperling RA, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, Bennett DA, Craft S, Fagan AM, Iwatsubo T, Jack CR, Kaye J, Montine TJ *et al*: **Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease**. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011, **7**(3):280-292.

47. Nave K-A, Werner HB: **Myelination of the nervous system: mechanisms and functions**. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2014, **30**:503-533.

48. Navale SS, Mulugeta A, Zhou A, Llewellyn DJ, Hyppönen E: **Vitamin D and brain health: an observational and Mendelian randomization study**. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2022, **116**(2):531-540.

49. Fields RD: **White matter in learning, cognition and psychiatric disorders**. *Trends Neurosci* 2008, **31**(7):361-370.

50. Dicks E, Vermunt L, van der Flier WM, Visser PJ, Barkhof F, Scheltens P, Tijms BM: **Modeling grey matter atrophy as a function of time, aging or cognitive decline show different anatomical patterns in Alzheimer's disease**. *Neuroimage Clin* 2019, **22**:101786.

Figure 1. Flowchart illustrating criteria for selection of samples as well as the four analyses performed in the current study.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table1. Participant Characteristics

	Overall	**Tertile 1**	**Tertile 2**	**Tertile 3**
	(N = 27474)	**(N = 11043)**	**(N = 9847)**	**(N = 6584)**
Age (mean (SD))	55.01 (7.57)	53.32 (7.15)	55.37 (7.57)	57.29 (7.60)
Sex (%)				
Female	13694 (49.8)	5890 (53.3)	5064 (51.4)	2740 (41.6)
Male	13780 (50.2)	5153 (46.7)	4783 (48.6)	3844 (58.4)
Sleep duration (%)				
7-8 hours	7590 (27.6)	2965 (26.8)	2699 (27.4)	1926 (29.3)
<7 or >8 hours	19884 (72.4)	8078 (73.2)	7148 (72.6)	4658 (70.7)
Skin color (%)				
Very fair	2149 (7.8)	1067 (9.7)	675 (6.9)	407 (6.2)
Fair	18957 (69.0)	7665 (69.4)	6846 (69.5)	4446 (67.5)
Light olive	5462 (19.9)	1995 (18.1)	1995 (20.3)	1472 (22.4)
Dark olive	419 (1.5)	132 (1.2)	147 (1.5)	140 (2.1)
Brown	431 (1.6)	166 (1.5)	157 (1.6)	108 (1.6)
Black	56 (0.2)	18 (0.2)	27 (0.3)	11 (0.2)
Use of sun/UV protection (%)				
Never/rarely	2060 (7.5)	803 (7.3)	696 (7.1)	561 (8.5)
Sometimes	9399 (34.2)	3728 (33.8)	3369 (34.2)	2302 (35.0)
Most of the time	10720 (39.0)	4485 (40.6)	3874 (39.3)	2361 (35.9)
Always	5223 (19.0)	1976 (17.9)	1892 (19.2)	1355 (20.6)
Do not go out in sunshine	72 (0.3)	51 (0.5)	16 (0.2)	5 (0.1)
History of fractures in the past 5 years (%)				
No	25291 (92.1)	10232 (92.7)	9045 (91.9)	6014 (91.3)
Yes	2183 (7.9)	811 (7.3)	802 (8.1)	570 (8.7)
Smoke status (%)				

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Never	16637 (60.6)	7118 (64.5)	5900 (59.9)	3619 (55.0)
Previous	9125 (33.2)	3345 (30.3)	3306 (33.6)	2474 (37.6)
Current	1712 (6.2)	580 (5.3)	641 (6.5)	491 (7.5)
Alcohol status (%)				
Never	590 (2.1)	269 (2.4)	191 (1.9)	130 (2.0)
Previous	561 (2.0)	219 (2.0)	201 (2.0)	141 (2.1)
Current	26323 (95.8)	10555 (95.6)	9455 (96.0)	6313 (95.9)
BMI (mean (SD))	26.58 (4.18)	26.51 (4.35)	26.51 (4.10)	26.79 (3.98)
Physical activity (%)				
Low	5114 (18.6)	3113 (28.2)	1414 (14.4)	587 (8.9)
Moderate	11606 (42.2)	4989 (45.2)	4361 (44.3)	2256 (34.3)
High	10754 (39.1)	2941 (26.6)	4072 (41.4)	3741 (56.8)
PM2.5 (median [IQR])	9.86 [9.17, 10.53]	9.89 [9.23, 10.57]	9.84 [9.17, 10.52]	9.81 [9.10, 10.50]
TDI (median [IQR])	-2.63 [-3.88, -0.55]	-2.60 [-3.90, -0.49]	-2.63 [-3.88, -0.54]	-2.66 [-3.84, -0.68]
Years of education (%)				
10-years	5216 (19.0)	1503 (13.6)	1908 (19.4)	1805 (27.4)
13-years	1593 (5.8)	717 (6.5)	534 (5.4)	342 (5.2)
15-years	3388 (12.3)	1146 (10.4)	1311 (13.3)	931 (14.1)
19-years	4246 (15.5)	1426 (12.9)	1547 (15.7)	1273 (19.3)
20-years	13031 (47.4)	6251 (56.6)	4547 (46.2)	2233 (33.9)
Employment status (%)				
No	8624 (31.4)	2010 (18.2)	3444 (35.0)	3170 (48.1)
Yes	18850 (68.6)	9033 (81.8)	6403 (65.0)	3414 (51.9)
Vitamin D supplementation (%)				
No	26292 (95.7)	10554 (95.6)	9417 (95.6)	6321 (96.0)
Yes	1182 (4.3)	489 (4.4)	430 (4.4)	263 (4.0)
History of diabetes (%)				
No	26608 (96.8)	10716 (97.0)	9560 (97.1)	6332 (96.2)
Yes	866 (3.2)	327 (3.0)	287 (2.9)	252 (3.8)
History of hypertension (%)				
No	20850 (75.9)	8650 (78.3)	7435 (75.5)	4765 (72.4)
Yes	6624 (24.1)	2393 (21.7)	2412 (24.5)	1819 (27.6)
History of stroke (%)				
No	27112 (98.7)	10939 (99.1)	9701 (98.5)	6472 (98.3)
Yes	362 (1.3)	104 (0.9)	146 (1.5)	112 (1.7)
PRS for Alzheimer's disease (mean (SD))	0.03 (0.98)	0.03 (0.98)	0.04 (0.98)	0.04 (0.99)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 2. Table Association between sunlight exposure time and brain structural markers

	Tertile 2			Tertile 3		
	β	**SE**	**P**	***β***	**SE**	**P**
Global measures						
Total brain volume	-0.025	0.011	0.026	-0.051	0.013	<0.001
Volume of white matter	-0.014	0.012	0.222	-0.031	0.014	0.023
Volume of gray matter	-0.035	0.011	0.002	-0.067	0.014	<0.001
Volume of white matter hyperintensities	0.013	0.013	0.314	0.059	0.016	<0.001
Subcortical regions						
Volume of thalamus	-0.029	0.012	0.014	-0.060	0.014	<0.001
Volume of caudate	-0.030	0.013	0.025	-0.040	0.016	0.012
Volume of putamen	-0.022	0.012	0.065	-0.031	0.014	0.032
Volume of pallidum	-0.027	0.013	0.044	-0.026	0.016	0.106
Volume of hippocampus	-0.010	0.013	0.450	-0.046	0.016	0.003
Volume of amygdala	-0.003	0.014	0.819	-0.031	0.016	0.054
Volume of accumbens	-0.004	0.013	0.748	-0.041	0.015	0.006
Regional gray matter volumes						
Volume of thalamus	-0.007	0.014	0.613	-0.022	0.016	0.179
Volume of caudate	-0.010	0.014	0.461	0.009	0.016	0.566
Volume of putamen	-0.052	0.014	0.000	-0.060	0.017	<0.001
Volume of pallidum	0.001	0.014	0.960	0.018	0.017	0.276
Volume of hippocampus	-0.018	0.013	0.154	-0.043	0.015	0.004
Volume of amygdala	-0.038	0.012	0.002	-0.070	0.015	<0.001

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.12.23296944; this version posted December 10, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 2 The restricted cubic spline of natural sunlight exposure with brain structure markers



Figure 2 The restricted cubic spline of natural sunlight exposure with brain structure markers stratified by season.



a: natural sunlight exposure in summer.
b: natural sunlight exposure in winter.