Title: Association Between Psychiatric Polygenic Scores, Healthcare Utilization and Comorbidity Burden

Authors: H. Lester Kirchner[1], Daniel Rocha[2], Richard K Linner[3], Drew Wilimitis[4], Colin G Walsh[4,5,6], Michael Ripperger[4], Hyunjoon Lee[7], Zhaowen Liu[7], Lea Davis[8], Yirui Hu[1], Christopher F Chabris[3], Jordan W Smoller[7,9]

[1]Department of Population Health Sciences, Geisinger, Danville PA

[2]Phenomic Analytics and Clinical Data Core, Geisinger, Danville PA

[3]Department of Bioethics and Decision Sciences, Geisinger, Danville PA

[4] Department of Biomedical Informatics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN

[5] Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medicine Center, Nashville, TN

[6] Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

[7] Center for Precision Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

[8] Division of Genetic Medicine, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

[9] Psychiatric and Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit, Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

Corresponding Author:
H. Lester Kirchner, PhD
Department of Population Health Sciences
Geisinger
100 N. Academy Ave
Danville, PA 17822-4400
hlkirchner@geisinger.edu
570-214-2177

Conflict of Interest:

JWS is a member of the Scientific Advisory Board of Sensorium Therapeutics (with equity), and

has received grant support from Biogen, Inc. He is PI of a collaborative study of the genetics of

depression and bipolar disorder sponsored by 23andMe for which 23andMe provides analysis

time as in-kind support but no payments.

**Abstract**

**Purpose** To estimate the association of psychiatric polygenic scores with healthcare utilization and comorbidity burden.

**Methods** Observational cohort study (N = 118,882) of adolescent and adult biobank participants with linked electronic health records (EHRs) from three diverse study sites; (Massachusetts General Brigham, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Geisinger). Polygenic scores (PGS) were derived from the largest available GWAS of major depressive depression, bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia at the time of analysis. Negative binomial regression models were used to estimate the association between each psychiatric PGS and healthcare utilization and comorbidity burden. Healthcare utilization was measured as frequency of emergency department (ED), inpatient (IP), and outpatient (OP) visits. Comorbidity burden was defined by the Elixhauser Comorbidity Index and the Charlson Comorbidity Index.

**Results** Participants had a median follow-up duration of 12 years in the EHR. Individuals in the top decile of polygenic score for major depressive disorder had significantly more ED visits (RR=1.22, 95% CI; 1.17, 1.29) compared to those the lowest decile. Increases were also observed with IP and comorbidity burden. Among those diagnosed with depression and in the highest decile of the PGS, there was an increase in all utilization types (ED: RR=1.56, 95% CI 1.41, 1.72; OP: RR=1.16, 95% CI 1.08,

1.24; IP: RR=1.23, 95% CI 1.12, 1.36) post-diagnosis. No clinically significant results

were observed with bipolar and schizophrenia polygenic scores.

**Conclusions**

Polygenic score for depression is modestly associated with increased healthcare

resource utilization and comorbidity burden, in the absence of diagnosis. Following a

diagnosis of depression, the PGS was associated with further increases in healthcare

utilization. These findings suggest that depression genetic risk is associated with

utilization and burden of chronic disease in real-world settings.

**INTRODUCTION**

It is widely recognized that mental health care is paramount in the management of chronic medical conditions when they co-occur with serious mental illness (SMI) including major depression, bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia. For example, in a Canadian cohort of adults with at least one of chronic medical condition (asthma, congestive heart failure, myocardial infarction, diabetes, epilepsy, hypertension, chronic pulmonary disease, and chronic kidney disease), those with comorbid depression (MDD) or schizophrenia (SCZ) were found to have an increase mean total 3-year cost of care compared to those without any mental health disorder[1]. Mental health and substance abuse treatment costs among individuals with bipolar (BP) and MDD were 20 and three times, respectively, as high as general medical outpatients[2]. In the same study, the authors observed similar costs of general medical care between individuals with bipolar or depression and diabetes. Several other published studies have found increased costs and utilization among patients with co-morbid disease and depression[3-6].

In parallel, mounting evidence indicates that genetic factors can increase the risk of both SMI and chronic medical conditions[7,8]. For example, prior work from the PsycheMERGE consortium, a multi-center network of electronic health record- (EHR-) linked biobanks, demonstrated that polygenic scores (PGS) for MDD also increase the risk of cardiovascular disease even in patients without diagnosed depression[9]. Moreover, depression is a modifiable risk factor for cardiovascular disease[10]. Other findings from PsycheMERGE have shown that a PGS for SCZ is associated with a range of non-psychiatric medical conditions, including, hepatitis, neurologic disorders,

and disorders of the urinary system[11]. This raises the hypothesis that PGS for SMI may be associated with comorbidity burden or health care utilization, even in the absence of SMI. If so, this could have significant implications for selecting effective models of care that reduce comorbidity and health care costs. For example, the collaborative chronic care model (CCM) takes a team-based patient-centered approach and has demonstrated efficacy in reducing comorbidities for patients with SMI[12,13]. Recent genetic findings described above, raise the hypothesis that a CCM which integrates psychiatric and mental health care may be a viable *preventative* model of care for patients with high genetic risk of psychiatric illness, even in the absence of SMI.

Studies exploring the clinical utility use of PGS in psychiatry have been limited to date[14]. A large body of research has established that psychiatric PGS capture significant risk of psychiatric disorder, largely in cohorts ascertained for research. However, the prospect of implementing PGS as risk indices in real-world health settings has been under-studied. In addition, little is known about whether PGS are associated with disease burden and care utilization. The observation that genomic risk for psychiatric disorders is correlated with a range of medical outcomes suggests that psychiatric PGS may be associated with multimorbidity and consequent healthcare service use in the absence of a PGS's cognate disorder. In this report, we leverage the PsycheMERGE network to examine whether polygenic scores for major psychiatric disorders (SCZ, BD, MDD) are associated with important clinical outcomes: healthcare utilization and comorbidity burden.  We focused on the utilization outcomes related to inpatient, outpatient, and ED usage, and the number of comorbid conditions as index by

two widely used measures: the Elixhauser Comorbidity Index (ECI)[15] and the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI)[16].

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Participating Sites:*

Participating study sites included two major academic medical centers and a large rural healthcare system in the United States; Mass General Brigham (MGB), Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC), and Geisinger, representing diversity in race/ethnicity and rural/urban status. Each site includes a hospital-based biobank; the MGB Biobank[17], the VUMC biobank (BioVU)[18], and the Geisinger MyCode Community Health Initiative (MyCode)[19].  At each of these sites, participants were recruited from the general healthcare system population without systematic recruitment for any particular disease or diagnosis. Biobank procedures and patient recruitment were approved at each health system's institutional review board. For this study only participants aged 15 or older with at least three encounters over a period of at least five years in the EHR were included. Data were extracted from the beginning of the EHR to April 2021 at each site.

*Psychiatric Conditions:*

<u>Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) and Schizophrenia (SCZ)</u>: Cases of MDD and SCZ were identified by the presence of Phecodes for these conditions in their medical record. Phecodes were mapped from ICD-9/-10 diagnosis codes using Phecode Map 1.2[20]. A case was defined as positive if the corresponding phecodes were present on at

least two outpatient (OP) visits at least 30 days apart, or at least one emergency department (ED) visit, or at least one inpatient (IP) visit, or present on the Problem List (PL), where available.

Bipolar Disorder (BD): Cases of BD were defined using a rule-based phenotype algorithm developed at MGB as part of the International Cohort Consortium for Bipolar Disorder and referred to as "Bipolar Coded-Broad"[21]. This rule-based algorithm demonstrated high positive predictive value (0.80) against direct-interview diagnostic assessment based on the SCID-IV. To meet Bipolar Coded-Broad criteria, cases had at least two BD diagnostic (ICD) codes recorded at any time with a minimum of four weeks between each code and a history of two or more medications used to treat BD within one year of index BD diagnosis. To rule out patients with closely related disorders, we required the number of diagnostic codes for MDD, SCZ, Schizoaffective disorder, or Organic Affective Syndrome to total fewer than half the number of BD codes.

*Polygenic Scores*:

To generate PGS, we used publicly available summary statistics from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium's (PGC) genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for MDD[22], SCZ[23], and BD[24], respectively. VUMC used the latest available GWAS for BD by the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC)[25] as these results were available when VUMC ran their analyses.  To derive posterior GWAS coefficients adjusted for linkage disequilibrium (LD), we applied PRS-CS (September 10, 2020, software release), applying default settings[26]. For computational feasibility, PRS-CS is restricted to

1,120,697 quality-controlled HapMap 3 SNPs. PGS were computed for each participant

by summing genotypes weighted by the posterior coefficients using PLINK1.9, build

6.17[27].  In the **Supplement**, we provide details on the genotyping, imputation, and

quality-control procedures.

**Outcomes**:

*Healthcare utilization*

The number of ED, IP, and OP visits were enumerated for each participant across the

span of their EHR from the age of 15 to date of the data extraction.  An ED visit was

defined as only those that did not result in an admission. OP visits included ambulatory

and telehealth encounters.

*Comorbidity burden*

Comorbidity burden was measured using the ECI[15] and the CCI[16] as indicators of how ill

the participant was at the end of their EHR span. The ECI consists of 31 conditions and

the CCI consists of 17 conditions (**see Supplement Table S1**).  A given condition was

coded as positive following the same rules used to define MDD and SCZ. The ECI

includes psychosis and depression as two of the conditions which may induce a

correlation with the PGS.  Results were compared when including and excluding these

two conditions and found to be similar. Therefore, results are shown for the full ECI.

*Statistical Analysis:*

Descriptive statistics are presented as median and inter-quartile range for continuous

variables, and frequency and percentages for categorial variables for each participating

site. To estimate the effect of psychiatric PGS on healthcare utilization and comorbidity

burden the negative binomial (NB) regression model was used. The NB distribution fit

better than the Poisson as visually assessed by a rootogram[28] and compared using a

likelihood ratio test. To account for the varying lengths of EHR follow up time, an offset

term, the natural logarithm of the EHR duration in years, was included in the models to

allow for the standardized interpretation as the average number of visits per year.  The

models also controlled for participant age at the end of the observation period, the first

ten ancestry principal components derived from GWAS data, and genotyping batch.

Analyses were performed separately at each site and results combined using an

inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis. PGS were standardized at each site and

treated as a continuous predictor as well as categorized into deciles.  For the latter, the

primary comparison was between the highest and lowest decile. Lastly, in a subgroup

analysis, we assessed the association between MDD polygenic score and utilization

after the diagnosis of depression. Results are presented as rate ratios (RR) and 95%

confidence intervals (CIs). Analyses were preformed using R v4.0.3[29] with the

countreg[30] and MASS[31] packages.

Participants meeting the definition of MDD, SCZ, or BD had their EHR record truncated

at the day prior to the first indication of a diagnosis.  That is, the calculation of

healthcare utilization and comorbidity burden only used EHR data up until the day

before diagnosis while still requiring a minimum of 5 years in the health system.  For

participants that did not receive a diagnosis of these conditions, all data in the EHR were used to calculate the outcomes.

**RESULTS**

A total of 111,991 biobank participants were included in the MDD PGS analysis, and 118,882 in the SCZ and BD PGS analyses. The majority were female (53%-58%) and median duration in the EHR was at least 12 years in all sites (see Table 1). Additional summary information is presented in Table 1 and Supplement Table S2.

Table 2 reports the meta-analysis results for the association between the MDD PGS and healthcare utilization and comorbidity burden.  The yearly average number of ED visits was increased by 22.4% among those in the highest decile of MDD PRS compared to the lowest decile (RR=1.22, 95% CI: 1.17, 1.29). There was a 6.6% increase in yearly average number of ED visits for each standard deviation (SD) increase in the MDD PGS. Increases were observed in IP visits, ECI and CCI, however these effects were small and clinically not significant (see Figures 1 and 2).  The SCZ and BD PGS were not significantly associated with comorbidity burden, however there was a very modest though significant association with the number of ED visits for both BD (RR = 1.02 (1.01, 1.03) and SCZ (RR = 1.04 (1.02, 1.04) per SD increase in PGS. (see **Supplement Tables S3-S4)**. Additionally, we examined the association between MDD PGS and healthcare utilization following a diagnosis of depression.  In this subgroup analysis, there was a total of 53,595 participants with depression across sites. Those in the highest PGS decile had a 55% increase in the average number of ED visits/year compared to the lowest decile (RR=1.56, 95% CI: 1.41, 1.72).  Similarly,

there was a 13% increase per standard deviation increase in the standardized PGS

(RR=1.13, 95% CI: 1.10, 1.15). Statistically significant associations were also found with

OP and IP visits post-diagnosis. (see Table 3).

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Major Depressive Disorder Analysis Cohort

| Variable | GHS (N=51,505) | MGB (N=24,826) | VUMC (N=35,660) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Age, median (IQR)[a] | 58.94 (17.17)[a] | 61.77 (15.40) | 59.22 (16.59) |
| Female Sex, n (%)[b] | 30,072 (58.4%) | 9,804 (53.1%) | 20,686 (58.0%) |
| EHR Duration (years), median (IQR) | 14 (10, 19)[b] | 15 (9, 20) | 12 (8, 16) |
| Emergency Department Visits, median (IQR) | 1 (0, 3) | 0 (0, 2) | 0 (0, 1) |
| Inpatient Visits, median (IQR) | 0 (0, 1) | 5 (0, 16) | 1 (0, 2) |
| Outpatient Visits, median (IQR) | 52 (27, 88) | 160 (79, 286) | 63 (33, 116) |
| ECI, median (IQR) | 4 (2, 6) | 5 (3, 8) | 4 (2, 8) |
| CCI, median (IQR) | 1 (0, 2) | 2 (1, 3) | 2 (0, 3) |

[a] categorial variables reported as n (%)
[b] continuous variables reported as median (interquartile range)

Table 2: Meta-Analysis Results for Depression Polygenic Score,

Utilization and Comorbidity Burden

| Outcome | Standardized PRS | 10th vs. 1st Decile PRS |
|---|---|---|
| Emergency Department Visits | 1.07 (1.05, 1.08)[a] | 1.22 (1.17, 1.29) |
| Inpatient Visits | 1.02 (1.01, 1.02) | 1.07 (1.03, 1.11) |
| Outpatient Visits | 1.00 (1.00, 1.00) | 1.00 (0.99, 1.01) |
| ECI | 1.01 (1.01, 1.01) | 1.03 (1.02, 1.05) |
| CCI | 1.02 (1.01, 1.02) | 1.06 (1.04, 1.08) |

[a] Adjusted Risk Ratio (95% CI) from a Negative Binomial regression model

Table 3: Meta-Analysis Results for Depression Polygenic Score Post-Diagnosis of

Depression

| Outcome | Standardized PRS | 10th vs. 1st Decile PRS |
|---|---|---|
| Emergency Department Visits | 1.13 (1.10, 1.15)[a] | 1.56 (1.41, 1.72) |
| Inpatient Visits | 1.04 (1.02, 1.06) | 1.23 (1.12, 1.36) |
| Outpatient Visits | 1.03 (1.02, 1.05) | 1.16 (1.08, 1.24) |

[a] Adjusted Risk Ratio (95% CI) per SD increase in PGS from a Negative Binomial

regression model

Figure 1 – Meta-Analysis Results of the Association between 10<sup>th</sup> and 1<sup>st</sup> Decile of MDD Polygenic Score and Healthcare Utilization

Figure 2 – Meta-Analysis Results of the Association between 10th and 1st Decile of MDD

Polygenic Score and Comorbidity Burden

**DISCUSSION**

It is well-established that psychiatric PGS are robustly associated with psychiatric disorders and that they also exhibit cross-disorder associations (including with a range of non-psychiatric disorders). However, less is known about their role as indices of healthcare burden more generally. Here, we examined this issue by meta-analyzing data from EHR-linked biobanks across three large and geographically diverse healthcare systems in the PsycheMERGE network. Several notable findings emerged from these analyses. First, we found that a PGS for MDD was significantly (though modestly) associated with ED and inpatient visits as well as two widely used indices of comorbidity burden (ECI and CCI). These findings suggest that, in real-world settings, polygenic contributions to depression may be biomarkers for healthcare resource utilization and comorbidity burden, in the absence of a diagnose of depression. Following a depression diagnosis, the MDD PGS was more strongly associated with ED visit frequency and was also associated with outpatient and inpatient visit frequency, suggesting that increased genetic liability to depression may be a marker of adverse prognosis among affected individuals. In contrast, both the SCZ and BD PGS showed smaller associations with utilization and co-morbidity burden. The largest associations were with ED visits.

The link between MDD PGS and both healthcare visits and chronic disease comorbidity may reflect pleiotropic effects of genomic contributions to depression. Prior reports have linked genetic risk for depression with a range of medical conditions, including cardiovascular, metabolic, and immune-mediated disease[32-35]. Thus, individuals with greater genetic liability for depression might be expected to exhibit

comorbidity with genetically correlated disorders for which they might also be more likely to seek care. Elevated healthcare resource utilization might also reflect a greater burden of subthreshold psychiatric and somatic symptoms that precede a formal depression diagnosis.  We also find that utilization is even greater among those at higher levels of genetic risk after they receive a diagnosis of depression, suggesting that depression PGS may also be a marker of worsened course among these patients. It is unclear why similar associations were not observed with PGS for SCZ or BD since these disorders have also been found to be genetically correlated with a range of psychiatric and medical syndromes.  Future studies in larger samples may help clarify whether this represented Type II error.

Our study has several strengths, including a large sample size of over 110,000 individuals with both EHR and genomic data, and a median of 12 years of longitudinal EHR data. To avoid identifying patients that were screened for mental health we used the rule of the presence of a code on two or more OP visits or a code on an IP or ED visit to define the diagnosis of SMI. The three participating institutions also represent diverse patient populations in terms of both race/ethnicity as well as urban/rural representation.

The study also has several limitations.  We cannot accurately ascertain onset of mental health conditions as patients might have had these conditions but not have been diagnosed, thus some healthcare visits may have occurred after the development of conditions of interest.

PGS for MDD was found to be modestly associated with increased healthcare utilization and comorbidity burden, in the absence of diagnosis. Our study also identified

associations between MDD PGS and utilization following a diagnosis of depression. These findings suggest that depression genetic risk is associated with utilization and burden of chronic disease in real-world settings.

Acknowledgements

Supported by NIMH grant R01MH118233 (to Drs. Smoller and Davis).

# REFERENCES

1.      Sporinova B, Manns B, Tonelli M, et al. Association of Mental Health Disorders With Health Care Utilization and Costs Among Adults With Chronic Disease. *JAMA Netw Open*. Aug 2 2019;2(8):e199910. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.9910

2.      Simon GE, Unutzer J. Health care utilization and costs among patients treated for bipolar disorder in an insured population. *Psychiatr Serv*. Oct 1999;50(10):1303-8. doi:10.1176/ps.50.10.1303

3.      Egede LE, Zheng D, Simpson K. Comorbid depression is associated with increased health care use and expenditures in individuals with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. Mar 2002;25(3):464-70. doi:10.2337/diacare.25.3.464

4.      Bock JO, Luppa M, Brettschneider C, et al. Impact of depression on health care utilization and costs among multimorbid patients--from the MultiCare Cohort Study. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(3):e91973. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091973

5.      Tusa N, Koponen H, Kautiainen H, et al. The profiles of health care utilization among a non-depressed population and patients with depressive symptoms with and without clinical depression. *Scand J Prim Health Care*. Sep 2019;37(3):312-318. doi:10.1080/02813432.2019.1639904

6.      Sakharkar P, Mai T. Co-Occurring Depression and Associated Healthcare Utilization and Expenditure in Individuals with Respiratory Condition: A Population-Based Study. *Pharmacy (Basel)*. Sep 25 2021;9(4)doi:10.3390/pharmacy9040157

7.      Lee PH, Feng YA, Smoller JW. Pleiotropy and Cross-Disorder Genetics Among Psychiatric Disorders. *Biol Psychiatry*. Jan 1 2021;89(1):20-31. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.09.026

8.      Wehby GL, Domingue BW, Wolinsky FD. Genetic Risks for Chronic Conditions: Implications for Long-term Wellbeing. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. Mar 14 2018;73(4):477-483. doi:10.1093/gerona/glx154

9.      Dennis J, Sealock J, Levinson RT, et al. Genetic risk for major depressive disorder and loneliness in sex-specific associations with coronary artery disease. *Mol Psychiatry*. Aug 2021;26(8):4254-4264. doi:10.1038/s41380-019-0614-y

10.     Dhar AK, Barton DA. Depression and the Link with Cardiovascular Disease. *Front Psychiatry*. 2016;7:33. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00033

11.     Zheutlin AB, Dennis J, Karlsson Linner R, et al. Penetrance and Pleiotropy of Polygenic Risk Scores for Schizophrenia in 106,160 Patients Across Four Health Care Systems. *Am J Psychiatry*. Oct 1 2019;176(10):846-855. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.18091085

12.     Bauer MS, Miller CJ, Kim B, et al. Effectiveness of Implementing a Collaborative Chronic Care Model for Clinician Teams on Patient Outcomes and Health Status in Mental Health: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. Mar 1 2019;2(3):e190230. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.0230

13.     Bauer MS, Weaver K, Kim B, et al. The Collaborative Chronic Care Model for Mental Health Conditions: From Evidence Synthesis to Policy Impact to Scale-up and Spread. *Med Care*. Oct 2019;57 Suppl 10 Suppl 3(10 Suppl 3):S221-S227. doi:10.1097/MLR.0000000000001145

14.     Murray GK, Lin T, Austin J, McGrath JJ, Hickie IB, Wray NR. Could Polygenic Risk Scores Be Useful in Psychiatry?: A Review. *JAMA Psychiatry*. Feb 1 2021;78(2):210-219. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.3042

15.     Elixhauser A, Steiner C, Harris DR, Coffey RM. Comorbidity measures for use with administrative data. *Med Care*. Jan 1998;36(1):8-27. doi:10.1097/00005650-199801000-00004

16.     Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, et al. Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. *Med Care*. Nov 2005;43(11):1130-9. doi:10.1097/01.mlr.0000182534.19832.83

17.     Karlson EW, Boutin NT, Hoffnagle AG, Allen NL. Building the Partners HealthCare Biobank at Partners Personalized Medicine: Informed Consent, Return of Research Results, Recruitment Lessons and Operational Considerations. *J Pers Med*. Jan 14 2016;6(1)doi:10.3390/jpm6010002

18.     Danciu I, Cowan JD, Basford M, et al. Secondary use of clinical data: the Vanderbilt approach. *J Biomed Inform*. Dec 2014;52:28-35. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2014.02.003

19.     Carey DJ, Fetterolf SN, Davis FD, et al. The Geisinger MyCode community health initiative: an electronic health record-linked biobank for precision medicine research. *Genet Med*. Sep 2016;18(9):906-13. doi:10.1038/gim.2015.187

20.     Wu P, Gifford A, Meng X, et al. Mapping ICD-10 and ICD-10-CM Codes to Phecodes: Workflow Development and Initial Evaluation. *JMIR Med Inform*. Nov 29 2019;7(4):e14325. doi:10.2196/14325

21.     Castro VM, Minnier J, Murphy SN, et al. Validation of electronic health record phenotyping of bipolar disorder cases and controls. *Am J Psychiatry*. Apr 2015;172(4):363-72. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14030423

22.     Howard DM, Adams MJ, Clarke TK, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. *Nat Neurosci*. Mar 2019;22(3):343-352. doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0326-7

23.     Ripke SW, J. T. R.; Donovan, M. C. Mapping genomic loci prioritises genes and implicates synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *MedRxiv*. 2020;2020.09.12.20192922doi:10.1101/2020.09.12.20192922

24.     Stahl EA, Breen G, Forstner AJ, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies 30 loci associated with bipolar disorder. *Nat Genet*. May 2019;51(5):793-803. doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0397-8

25.     Mullins N, Forstner AJ, O'Connell KS, et al. Genome-wide association study of more than 40,000 bipolar disorder cases provides new insights into the underlying biology. *Nat Genet*. Jun 2021;53(6):817-829. doi:10.1038/s41588-021-00857-4

26.     Ge T, Chen CY, Ni Y, Feng YA, Smoller JW. Polygenic prediction via Bayesian regression and continuous shrinkage priors. *Nat Commun*. Apr 16 2019;10(1):1776. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09718-5

27.     Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience*. 2015;4:7. doi:10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8

28.     Kleiber CZ, A. Visualizing count data regressions using rootograms. *The American Statistician*. 2016;70(3):296-303. doi:10.1080/00031305.2016.1173590

29.     Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austra: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020.

30.     *countreg: Count Data Regression.* 2020. http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/countreg/

31.     Venables; WN RB. *Modern Applied Statistics with S*. Fourth ed. Springer; 2022.

32.     Wong BC, Chau CK, Ao FK, et al. Differential associations of depression-related phenotypes with cardiometabolic risks: Polygenic analyses and exploring shared genetic variants and pathways. *Depress Anxiety*. Apr 2019;36(4):330-344. doi:10.1002/da.22861

33.     Lu Y, Wang Z, Georgakis MK, Lin H, Zheng L. Genetic Liability to Depression and Risk of Coronary Artery Disease, Myocardial Infarction, and Other Cardiovascular Outcomes. *J Am Heart Assoc*. Jan 5 2021;10(1):e017986. doi:10.1161/JAHA.120.017986

34.     Wassertheil-Smoller S, Qi Q, Dave T, et al. Polygenic Risk for Depression Increases Risk of Ischemic Stroke: From the Stroke Genetics Network Study. *Stroke*. Mar 2018;49(3):543-548. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.018857

35.     Glanville KP, Coleman JRI, O'Reilly PF, Galloway J, Lewis CM. Investigating Pleiotropy Between Depression and Autoimmune Diseases Using the UK Biobank. *Biol Psychiatry Glob Open Sci*. Jun 2021;1(1):48-58. doi:10.1016/j.bpsgos.2021.03.002