Full title: Maternal smoking DNA methylation risk score associated

with health outcomes in offspring of European and South Asian ancestry

Short title: Maternal smoking DNA methylation risk score and

offspring health

Wei Q. Deng[1,2,3*], Nathan Cawte[4], Natalie Campbell[3], Sandi M. Azab[3,5], Russell J de Souza[3,5],

Amel Lamri[3,4], Katherine M. Morrison[6], Stephanie A. Atkinson[6], Padmaja Subbarao[7], Stuart E.

Turvey[8], Theo J. Moraes[7,9], Koon K. Teo[3,4,5], Piush Mandhane[10], Meghan B. Azad[11], Elinor

Simons[12], Guillaume Pare[4,5,13,14], Sonia S. Anand [3,4,5*]

1. Peter Boris Centre for Addictions Research, St. Joseph's Healthcare Hamilton, Hamilton,

Canada.

2. Department of Psychiatry and Behavioural Neurosciences, McMaster University,

Hamilton, Canada.

3. Department of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton,

Canada.

4. Population Health Research Institute, David Braley Cardiac, Vascular and Stroke

Research Institute, Hamilton, Canada.

5. Department of Health Research Methods, Evidence, and Impact, McMaster University,

Hamilton, Canada.

6. Department of Pediatrics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada.

7. Department of Pediatrics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.

8. Department of Pediatrics, BC Children's Hospital, The University of British Columbia,

Vancouver, Canada

9. Program in Translational Medicine, SickKids Research Institute, Toronto, Canada

10. Department of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

11. Children's Hospital Research Institute of Manitoba, Department of Pediatrics and Child

Health, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada

12. Section of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Pediatrics and Child Health,

University of Manitoba, Canada

13. Thrombosis and Atherosclerosis Research Institute, David Braley Cardiac, Vascular and

Stroke Research Institute, Hamilton, Canada

14. Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Michael G.

DeGroote School of Medicine, Hamilton, Canada

*Correspondence: dengwq@mcmaster.ca; anands@mcmaster.ca

Abstract

Maternal smoking has been linked to adverse health outcomes in newborns but the extent to which it impacts newborn health has not been quantified through an aggregated cord blood DNA methylation (DNAm) score. Here we propose the first cord blood DNAm score to capture the epigenetic signature of maternal smoking. We first examined the association between individual CpGs and cigarette smoking during pregnancy in two White European birth cohorts (n = 763), and smoking exposure in the White European and the South Asian birth cohorts (n = 887). Several previously reported genes for maternal smoking were supported, with the strongest and most consistent signal from the *GFI1* gene ($ps < 5\times10^{-5}$). Leveraging previously reported CpGs for maternal smoking, we constructed a cord blood epigenetic score of maternal smoking that was internally validated in one of the European-origin cohorts. This score was then tested for association with smoking status, secondary smoking exposure during pregnancy, and health outcomes in offspring measured after birth. The epigenetic maternal smoking score was strongly associated with smoking status during pregnancy ($p=1.04\times10^{-23}$) and self-reported smoking exposure ($p=6.56\times10^{-8}$) in White Europeans, but not with self-reported exposure ($p > 0.05$) in South Asians. The same score was consistently associated with smaller birth size ($p =1.19\times10^{-6}$) and lower birth weight ($p =8.79\times10^{-7}$) in the combined South Asian and White European cohorts. This cord blood epigenetic score can help identify babies exposed to maternal smoking and assess its long-term impact on growth. Notably, even minimal smoking exposure in South Asian mothers who were not active smokers showed a DNAm signature of small body size and low birthweight in newborns.

Author Summary

Maternal smoking has been linked to health issues in newborns, including low birth weight, respiratory problems, developmental delays, and an increased risk for obesity. Research on DNA methylation (DNAm) response in cord blood has provided valuable insights into the potential adverse effects of cigarette smoking during pregnancy on the developing fetus. Specifically, a handful of differentially methylated sites have been identified in newborns exposed to maternal smoking during pregnancy. Building upon these findings, we created a cord blood DNAm score that amalgamates all maternal smoking-associated methylation changes, allowing us to quantify the impact of maternal smoking and smoking exposure on newborns. This score could serve as an objective tool to assess maternal smoking status and even secondary smoking exposure, and its potential effects on newborns. Our maternal smoking DNAm score validated in a White European birth cohort was significantly associated with smoking status and exposure to smoking during pregnancy, and again independently associated in a separate European cohort. Further, the same DNAm score translates to a South Asian cohort, even in the absence of active smoking, revealing a strong correlation with various newborn growth indicators, including small birth size and low birth weight. These findings highlight the potential universality and clinical relevance of our DNAm score in diverse populations.

Introduction

Maternal smoking has adverse effects on offspring health including pre-term delivery (1,2), stillbirth (3), and low birth weight (4), and is associated with pregnancy complications such as maternal higher blood pressure, and gestational diabetes (5). Consistent with the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) hypothesis, maternal smoking exposes the developing fetus to harmful chemicals in tobacco that negatively impact the health of newborns, resulting in early-onset metabolic diseases, such as childhood obesity (6–9). Yet self-reported smoking status is subject to underreporting among pregnant women (10–12). This could subsequently impact the effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing smoking during pregnancy and may skew data on the risks associated with maternal smoking.

Differential DNA methylation has been established as a reliable biochemical response to cigarette smoking and was shown to capture the long-lasting effects of persistent smoking in ex-smokers (13–15). Recent large epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) have robustly identified differentially methylated cytosine–phosphate–guanine (CpG) sites associated with adult smoking (14,16,17) and maternal smoking (18,19). Our recent systematic review of 17 cord blood epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) demonstrated that out of the 290 CpG sites reported, 19 sites were identified in more than one study; all of them associated with maternal smoking (20). Furthermore, these findings have led to a more thorough investigation of the epigenetic mechanisms underlying associations between well-established epidemiological exposures and outcomes, such as the relationship between maternal smoking and birth weight in Europeans (19,21–24) and the less studied African American populations (23) as well as between maternal diet and cardiovascular health (25).

The majority of cohort studies have focused on participants of European ancestry, but few were designed to assess the influence of maternal exposures on DNA methylation changes in non-Europeans (23,26). It has been suggested that ancestral background could influence both systematic patterns of methylation (27), such as cell composition and smoking behaviours (28). These systematic differences also contribute to different smoking-related methylation signals at individual CpGs (29). Thus, a comparative study of maternal smoking exposure is a first step towards generalizing existing EWAS results to other populations and a necessary step towards addressing health disparities that exist between populations due to societal privilege, including race or ethnicity and socioeconomic factors.

A promising direction in epigenetic studies of adult smoking is the application of a methylation score (30); this strategy can also be applied to disseminate current knowledge on differential DNA methylation studies of maternal smoking. A methylation score is usually tissue-specific and combines information from multiple CpGs using statistical models (31). Reducing the number of predictors and measurement noise in the data can lead to better statistical power and more interpretable effect sizes. It is also of interest to determine whether methylation scores demonstrate the capacity to predict outcomes in diverse human populations, given the presence of systematic differences in methylation patterns due to ancestral backgrounds (27).

In this paper, we investigated the epigenetic signature of maternal smoking on cord blood DNA methylation in newborns, as well as its health consequences for newborn and later life outcomes in one South Asian which refers to people who originate from the Indian subcontinent,

and two predominantly European-origin birth cohorts. Similar to the Born in Bradford study (32),

we observed several differentiating epidemiological characteristics between South Asian and

European-origin mothers. Notably, almost none of the South Asian mothers were current

smokers and had low smoking rates pre-pregnancy as compared to European mothers, which is

consistent with the broader trends of lower smoking rates in South Asian females (33). Another

relevant observation is the small birth size and low birth weight in the South Asian newborns.

These differences in newborn size and weight may be influenced by various factors, including

maternal nutrition, genetics, and socioeconomic status. Keeping these differences in mind, we

first conducted cohort-specific epigenetic association studies between available CpGs and

maternal smoking in the predominantly European-origin cohorts, benchmarking with previously

identified CpGs for maternal smoking and adult smoking. Second, we leveraged the reported

summary statistics from existing large EWASs to construct a methylation risk score (MRS) for

maternal smoking. The MRS was first internally validated in one of the European-origin cohorts

and then tested in a second independent European-origin cohort. Third, we examined the

association between maternal smoking MRS and newborn health outcomes, including length,

weight, body mass index (BMI), ponderal index, and early-life anthropometrics in both European

and South Asian cohorts.

Results

Cohort Sample Characteristics

The analyses included 763 European mother-child pairs with cord blood DNAm data from the

Canadian Healthy Infant Longitudinal Development (CHILD; $n = 352$) study and The Family

Atherosclerosis Monitoring In earLY life (FAMILY; $n = 411$) study (34), and 880 South Asian

mother-child pairs from The SouTh Asian biRth cohorT (START) study (35). We observed

lower past smoking and missingness on smoking history among pregnant women in START as

compared to CHILD or FAMILY using the epigenetic subsample (Table 1) and the overall

sample (Supplementary Table 2). Pregnant women in START were significantly different from

CHILD or FAMILY in that they were on average younger at delivery, had a lower BMI, and a

higher rate of GDM, in line with other cohort studies in South Asian populations (36,37). As

compared to START, newborn infants from CHILD and FAMILY had a longer gestational

period, a higher birth weight, and a higher BMI at birth (Table 1; Supplementary Table 2). We

observed no difference between cohorts in terms of parity or newborn sex in the epigenetic

subsample (Table 1).

Within the European epigenetic subsample, of the 744 mother–newborn pairs with

complete smoking history data, 40 (5.3%) newborns were exposed to current maternal smoking,

which is on the lower end of the spectrum for the prevalence of smoking during pregnancy

(9.2%-32.5%) among Canadians (38). In addition, mothers who smoked during pregnancy were

on average younger, had fewer years of education, and had higher household exposure to

smoking (Supplementary Table 4). However, there was no statistically significant difference

between newborns exposed to current and none or previous smoking in terms of birth weight,

birth length, gestational age, or cell compositions.

Epigenetic Association of Maternal Smoking in White Europeans

The two predominantly White European cohorts, FAMILY (n = 397) and CHILD (n = 347),

contributed to the meta-analysis of maternal smoking for both the primary outcome of current

smoking (Figure 1-A) and the secondary outcome of ever smoking (Supplementary Figure 1).

The most significant associated CpGs with current maternal smoking were mapped to the growth

factor independent 1 (*GFI1*) gene on chromosome 1, with cg12876356 as the lead (meta-

analyzed $p = 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$; $q = 0.006$; Table 2). There were no CpGs associated with the ever-smoker

status at an FDR of 0.05, though the top signal also coincided with the *GFI1* gene

(Supplementary Figure 1). The meta-analysis of smoking exposure (hours per week) associations

in the European-origin cohorts (Figure 1-B) identified only one CpG on chromosome 17,

ccg01798813, that was also associated with maternal smoking and was consistent in the direction

of association (Table 2). However, the meta-analysis of the combined samples including the

South Asians from the START cohort did not yield any significant associations (Supplementary

Figure 2).

The EWAS in CHILD did not yield significant CpGs after FDR correction

(Supplementary Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 4-A). The South Asian EWAS (n=504)

identified 474 CpGs at FDR < 0.05, although some of these corresponded to previously

identified CpGs for maternal smoking in Europeans (Supplementary Figure 4-B; highlighted in

red).

Methylation Risk Score (MRS) Captures Maternal Smoking and Smoking Exposure

The final MRSs, validated using CHILD European samples, included 11 and 114 CpG markers

(Supplementary Tables 5-6) from the targeted array and the epigenome-wide HM450 array,

respectively. Both produced methylation scores that were significantly associated with maternal

smoking history (Supplementary Figure 5). There was no statistically significant difference

between the two scores in all samples ($p = 1.00$) or among non-smokers ($p = 0.24$). Thus, we

proceeded with the simpler MRS model constructed using the 11 CpGs in subsequent analyses

for compatibility across cohorts.

The MRS was significantly associated with maternal smoking history in CHILD and

FAMILY (Figure 2), but not in START – not surprisingly – due to the low number of ever-

smokers ($n = 5$). A weak dose-dependent relationship between the MRS and the four categories

of maternal smoking status in the severity of exposure ([0] = never smoked; [1] = quit before this

pregnancy; [2] = quit during this pregnancy; [3] = currently smoking) was present in CHILD but

was not replicated in FAMILY. The areas under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC)

curve (area under the curve or AUC) for detecting current smokers were 0.86 and 0.90 in CHILD

and FAMILY, respectively, while the AUCs for detecting ever-smokers were 0.61 and 0.60,

respectively. Meanwhile, the maternal smoking MRS was significantly associated with increased

smoking exposure in the two White European cohorts ($p = 6.91 \times 10^{-4}$ in CHILD and $p =$

1.35×10^{-5} in FAMILY; Supplementary Table 7), but not in the South Asian birth cohort.

Among individuals who had never smoked, no statistically significant mean difference

was observed in the distribution of the combined methylation score nor individual CpGs that

contributed to the score between South Asian and European cohorts (Supplementary Figure 6).

One CpG had a significant difference in variance (Supplementary Table 8), though it did not

change the conclusion that there was no difference in mean, variance, and overall distribution of

the combined methylation score. These results provided empirical support for the portability of a

European-derived maternal smoking methylation score to South Asian populations.

Association between MRS and Offspring Anthropometrics

A higher maternal smoking MRS was significantly associated with lower birth weight and

smaller birth size in both the European and South Asian cohorts (Table 3; Supplementary Table

7). The meta-analysis revealed no heterogeneity in the direction nor the effect size of

associations between populations. The association between the MRS and several health metrics,

including height or length, weight, and skinfolds, appeared to persist with similar estimated

effects throughout early developmental years (Supplementary Tables 7 and 9), albeit the most

significant effects were at birth, and the significance attenuated at later visits.

Discussion

We examined the epigenetic signature of maternal smoking and smoking exposure using

newborn cord blood samples from predominately European-origin and South Asian cohorts via

two strategies: an individual CpG-level EWAS approach, and a multivariate approach in the

form of a methylation score. The EWAS results replicated the association between maternal

smoking and CpGs in the *GFI1* gene that is well described in the literature with respect to

smoking (14,16), maternal smoking (18,19,39,40), and birth weight (22). In the latter case, we

observed a significant association with maternal smoking history and smoking exposure in

European-origin newborns. Further, we noted a weak dose-dependent relationship between

maternal smoking history and the methylation score in one European cohort (CHILD) but this

was not replicated in the other (FAMILY). Since the timing and duration of maternal smoking

during pregnancy were not directly available, these differences could play a role in the

magnitude and specificity of DNA methylation changes in cord blood. Finally, the significant

association of the MRS with the newborn health metrics in START, in the absence of mothers'

active smoking, could be the result of underreporting of smoking, poor recall of the time of

quitting, and/or due to air pollution exposure (41), leading to oxidative stress. This suggests that

our cord blood DNAm signature of maternal smoking is perhaps not unique to cigarette smoking,

but captures similar biochemical responses, for example, via the aryl hydrocarbon receptor

(42,43). Our observation that a higher MRS was associated with lower birth weight and smaller

birth length in both ethnic populations is thus consistent with the established link between

oxidative stress and metabolic syndrome (44).

Contrary to DNA methylation studies of smoking in adults, where whole blood is often

used as a proxy tissue, there are multiple relevant tissues for maternal smoking during pregnancy,

including the placenta of the mother, newborn cord blood, and children's whole blood. However,

methylation changes measured in whole blood or placenta of the mother, or cord blood of infants

showed substantially different patterns of association signals (45). Since methylation signals are

known to be tissue-specific, it would be of interest for future research to combine differential

methylation patterns from all relevant tissue to assess the immediate and long-term effects of

maternal smoking. Another direction to further this line of research is to explore postnatal factors

that mitigate prenatal exposures, for example, breastfeeding, which has been shown to have a

protective effect against maternal tobacco smoking (46). Indeed, more research is necessary to

understand the critical periods of exposure and the dose-response relationship between maternal

smoking and cord blood DNA methylation changes. Ongoing efforts to monitor the offspring and

collect data in the next decade are in progress to establish the long-term association between

maternal smoking and cardio-metabolic health (34,35). As such, the constructed MRS can

facilitate future research in child health and will be included as part of the generated data for

others to access.

The strengths of this report include ethnic diversity, and fine phenotyping in a

prospective and harmonized way with follow-up at multiple early childhood stages. This work is

the first major multi-ancestry study that utilizes methylation scores to study maternal smoking

and examines their portability from European-origin populations to South Asians. The use of

MRS, as compared to individual CpGs, is a powerful tool to systematically investigate the

influence of DNA methylation changes and whether it has lasting functional consequences on

health outcomes. Our results converge with previous findings that epigenetic associations of

maternal smoking are associated with newborn health, and add to the small body of evidence that

these relationships extend to non-European populations and that different ancestral populations

can experience the early developmental periods differently.

A few limitations should be mentioned. In the context of existing epigenetic studies of

maternal smoking, we were not able to replicate signals in other well-reported genes such as

AHRR, *CYP1A1*, and *MYO1G*, however, the MRS was able to pick up signals from these genes

(Supplementary Table 5). This could be due to several reasons. First, the customized array was

designed in 2016 and many large EWASs on smoking and maternal smoking conducted more

recently had not been included. However, we have shown that from a multivariate perspective,

the MRS constructed using a targeted approach that was carefully designed can be equally

powerful with the advantage of being cost-effective. Second, contrary to existing EWASs where

the methylation values are typically treated as the outcome, and the exposure, such as smoking,

as the predictor; we reversed the regression such that the methylation levels were the predictors

and smoking exposure as the outcome. This reverse regression approach is robust and our choice

to reverse the regression was motivated by the goal of constructing a smoking score that

combines the additive effects at multiple CpGs, which would otherwise be unfeasible. Fourth,

systematic ancestral differences in DNA methylation patterns had been shown to vary at

individual CpGs in terms of their association with smoking (29). Converging with this

conclusion, we also found the association with *GFI1* to be most consistent after adjusting for cell

composition. Finally, maternal smoking is often associated with other confounding factors, such

as socioeconomic status, other lifestyle behaviours, and environmental exposures. While we have

done our best to control for well-known confounders that were available by study design, as in

all observational studies, we could not account for unknown confounding effects.

In conclusion, the epigenetic maternal smoking score we constructed was strongly

associated with smoking status during pregnancy and self-reported smoking exposure in White

Europeans, and with smaller birth size and lower birth weight in the combined South Asian and

White European cohorts. The proposed cord blood epigenetic signature of maternal smoking has

the potential to identify newborns who were exposed to maternal smoking *in utero* and to assess

the long-term impact of smoking exposure on offspring health. In South Asian mothers with

minimal smoking behaviour, the relationship between the methylation score and negative health

outcomes in newborns is still apparent, indicating that DNA methylation response is sensitive to

smoking exposure, even in the absence of active smoking.

Material and Methods

Study population

The NutriGen Alliance is a consortium consisting of four prospective, population-based birth

cohorts that enrolled birthing mother and newborn pairs in Canada. Details of these cohorts have

been described elsewhere (47). The current investigation focused on i). European-origin

offspring from the population-based CHILD study who were selected for methylation analysis,

ii). The Family Atherosclerosis Monitoring In early life (FAMILY) study that is predominately

European-origin, and iii). The SouTh Asian biRth cohorT (START) study that is exclusively

comprised of people who originated from the Indian subcontinent known as South Asians. The

ethnicity of the parents was self-reported and recorded at baseline in all three cohorts. Biological

samples, clinical assessments, and questionnaires were used to derive health phenotypes and an

array of genetic, epigenetic, and metabolomic data. The superordinate goal of the NutriGen study

is to understand how nutrition, environmental exposures, and physical health of mothers impact

the health and early development of their offspring using a multi-omics approach.

Methylation data processing and quality controls

Newborn cord blood samples were processed using two methylation array technologies. About

half of the START samples and selected samples from CHILD were hybridized to the Illumina

Human-Methylation450K BeadChip (HM450K) array, which covers CpGs in the entire genome

(48) The raw methylation data were generated by the Illumina iScan software and separately pre-

processed for START and CHILD using the "*sesame*" R package following pipelines designed

for HM450K BeadChip (49). The remaining START and all FAMILY samples were profiled

using a targeted array based on the Infinium Methylation EPIC designed by the Genetic and

Molecular Epidemiology Laboratory (GMEL; Hamilton, Canada). The GMEL customized array

includes ~3000 CpG sites that were previously reported to associate with complex traits or

exposures and was designed to maximize discovery while keeping the costs of profiling

epigenome-wide DNA methylation down. The targeted methylation data were pre-processed

using a customized quality control pipeline and functions from the "*sesame*" R package

recommended for EPIC.

Pre-processed data were then used to derive the β-value matrix, where each column gives

the methylation level at a CpG site as a ratio of the probe intensity to the overall probe intensity.

Additional quality control filters were applied to the final beta-value matrices to remove samples

with > 10% missing probes and CpG probes with >10% samples missing. Cross-reactive probes

and SNP probes were removed as recommended for HM450 (50) and EPIC arrays (51,52). For

CpG probes with a missing rate <10%, mean imputation was used to fill in the missing values.

We further excluded samples that were either mismatches between reported sex and methylation-

inferred sex or were duplicates. Finally, considering the low prevalence of smokers, we sought to

reduce spurious associations by removing non-informative probes that were either all

hypomethylated (β-value < 0.1) or hypermethylated (β-value > 0.9), which have been shown to

have less optimal performance (53). A summary of the sample and probe inclusion/exclusion is

shown in Supplementary Table 1. A detailed description of pre-processing and quality control

steps is included in Supplementary Material.

Cell-type proportions (CD8T, CD4T, Natural Killer cells, B cells, monocytes,

granulocytes, and nucleated red blood cells) were estimated following a reference-based

approach developed for cord blood (54) and using R packages

"*FlowSorted.CordBloodCombined.450k*" and "*FlowSorted.Blood.EPIC*". All data processing

and subsequent analyses were conducted in R v.4.1.0 (55).

Phenotype data processing and quality controls

At the time of enrollment, all pregnant women completed a comprehensive questionnaire that

collected information on prenatal diet, smoking, education, socioeconomic factors, physical

activities and health as detailed previously (34,35). Maternal smoking history (0=never smoked,

1=quit before this pregnancy, 2=quit during this pregnancy, or 3=current smoker) was assessed

during the second trimester (at baseline). Smoke exposure was measured as "number of hours

exposed per week". Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) was determined based on a

combination of oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), self-report, and reported diabetic treatments

(insulin, pills, or restricted diet). For South Asian mothers in START, the same OGTT threshold

as Born in Bradford (26,32) was used, while the International Association of the Diabetes and

Pregnancy Study Groups (IASDPSG) criteria (56) for OGTT were used in CHILD and FAMILY

cohorts.

All newborn anthropometric measurements, including length, weight, waist, waist-hip-

ratio (WHR), BMI, were collected at the time of birth. The newborns were then followed up at 1,

2, 3, and 5 years of age and provided basic anthropometric measurements, including height,

weight, BMI, sum of the skinfolds (triceps skinfold and subscapular skinfold), WHR, as well as

additional environmental exposures.

Phenotype and Methylation Data Consolidation

The current investigation examines the impact of maternal smoking or smoke exposure on DNA

methylation derived from newborn cord blood in START and the two predominately European

cohorts (CHILD and FAMILY). To maximize sample size in FAMILY and CHILD, we retained

either self-identified or genetically confirmed Europeans (Supplementary Table 2). The cohorts

consist of representative population samples without enrichment for any clinical conditions,

though only singleton mothers were invited to participate.

The final analytical datasets, after combining the quality-controlled methylation data and

phenotypic data, included 352, 411, and 890 mother-newborn pairs from CHILD, FAMILY, and

START, respectively. Demographic characteristics and relevant covariates of the epigenetic

subsample and the overall sample are summarized in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 3,

respectively.

Epigenome-Wide Association of Maternal Smoking in European Cohorts

Since there were no current smokers in START (Table 1), we tested the association between

maternal smoking and differential methylated sites in FAMILY (# of CpG = 2,544) and CHILD

(# of CpG = 358,113). The primary outcome variable was "current smoker", defined by mothers

self-identified as currently smoking during the pregnancy vs. those who never smoked or quit

either before or during pregnancy. As a sensitive analysis, we included a secondary outcome

variable "ever smoker", defined by mothers who are current smokers or have quit smoking vs.

those who never smoked. A tertiary outcome was smoking exposure, measured by the number of

hours a week reported by the expectant mothers, and was available in all cohorts. We

summarized the type of analyses for different outcomes in Supplementary Table 3.

We first conducted a separate epigenetic association study in each cohort, testing the

association between methylation β-values at individual CpGs and the smoking phenotype using

either a logistic regression model for smoking status or a linear regression for smoking exposure

as the outcome. The model adjusted for additional covariates including the estimated cell

compositions, maternal age, social disadvantage index, which is a continuous composite measure

of social and economic exposures (57), mother's years of education, GDM, and parity. The

smoking exposure variable was skewed, and a rank-based transformation was applied to mimic a

standard normal distribution.

We then meta-analyzed association results for maternal smoking status in the European

cohorts using an inverse variance-weighted fixed-effect model. The meta-analysis was conducted

for 2,112 CpGs that were available in both CHILD (HM450K) and FAMILY (GMEL-EPIC).

For the tertiary outcome, we conducted a meta-analysis including START using both a fixed-

effect and a random-effect model to account for the potential heterogeneity. For each EWAS or

meta-analysis, false discovery rate (FDR) adjustment was used to control multiple testing and we

considered an FDR-adjusted p-value < 0.05 to be statistically significant.

Using DNA Methylation to Construct Predictive Models for Maternal Smoking

We sought to construct a predictive model in the form of a methylation risk score (MRS) using

reported associations of maternal smoking. The proposed solution adapted the existing lassosum

method (58) that was originally designed for polygenic risk scores, where the matrix of SNP

genotypes (X) can be conveniently replaced by the β-value matrix. For more details, see the

Supplementary Material. Briefly, an objective function under elastic-net constraint was

minimized to obtain the elastic-net solution γ, where only summary statistics (b) and a scalar of

the covariance between the β-values of the CpGs ($X'X$) are needed. The tuning parameters λ_1

and λ_2 were chosen by validating on the observed smoking history (as a continuous outcome) in

CHILD that produced the most significant model. The optimized λ_1 and λ_2 were then used to

create a final model that entails a list of CpGs and their corresponding weights, which were then

used to calculate a MRS for maternal smoking in the FAMILY and START samples.

The summary statistics of the discovery EWAS were obtained from EWAS catalog

(http://www.ewascatalog.org/) reported under "PubMed ID 27040690" by Joubert and

colleagues (18). The summary statistics were first screened to retain CpGs with sample size

above 5000, and then restricted to analysis that examined "sustained maternal smoking in

pregnancy effect on newborns adjusted for cell composition". Of the 2620 maternal smoking

CpGs that passed the initial screening, 1,902 were available in CHILD but only 125 were

common to CHILD, FAMILY, and START. To evaluate whether the targeted GMEL-EPIC

array design has comparable performance as the epigenome-wide array to evaluate the epigenetic

signature of maternal smoking, two MRSs were constructed, one using the 125 CpGs available in

all cohorts – across the HM450K and targeted GMEL-EPIC arrays – and another using 1,902

CpGs that were only available in CHILD and a subset of START samples.

For the MRS constructed using the 125 common CpGs, we empirically assessed whether

the resulting score was portable to the START cohort by examining the distribution of the final

score as well as individual CpGs that contributed to the score among never smokers in terms of

mean difference using an analysis of variance *F*-test, the variance difference using a Levene's

test, and the overall distribution using a non-parametric Anderson-Darling test.

Finally, we tested the association between each maternal smoking MRS and smoking

phenotypes in mothers, as well as offspring phenotypes, when applicable, adjusting for the

child's age at each visit. The association results were meta-analyzed for phenotypes with

homogeneous effects across the cohorts using a fixed-effect model.

Acknowledgements

We express our sincere gratitude to all the participating families and the START, FAMILY, and

CHILD study teams, including interviewers, nurses, computer and laboratory technicians,

clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, and receptionists.

We would like to acknowledge the Genetic and Molecular Epidemiology Laboratory (GMEL),

an associate of Hamilton Health Sciences and McMaster University, for their indispensable

contributions to this work. The technical staff of GMEL conducted all epigenetic profiling,

including sample processing and other technical operations.

We thank the members of the Nutrigen Alliance for providing the data: Sonia S. Anand;

Stephanie A. Atkinson; Meghan Azad; Allan B. Becker; Jeffrey Brook; Judah A Denburg;

Dipika Desai; Russell J. de Souza; Milan K. Gupta; Michael Kobor; Diana L. Lefebvre; Wendy

Lou; Piushkumar J. Mandhane; Sarah McDonald; Andrew Mente; David Meyre; Theo J. Moraes;

Katherine M. Morrison; Guillaume Paré; Malcolm R. Sears; Padmaja Subbarao; Koon K. Teo;

Stuart E. Turvey; Julie Wilson; Salim Yusuf; Gita Wahi; Michael A. Zulyniak.

This study was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research Metabolomics Team Grant:

MWG-146332.

Dr. Anand is supported by a Tier 1 Canada Research Chair in Ethnicity and CVD and Heart,

Stroke Foundation Chair in Population Health, a grant from the Canadian Partnership Against

Cancer, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada and Canadian Institutes of Health Research. Dr.

Azad is supported by a Tier 2 Canada Research Chair in the Developmental Origins of Chronic

Disease.

Data availability statement

The summary statistics used to construct methylation risk scores are available from EWAS

catalog at http://www.ewascatalog.org/?trait=maternal%20smoking%20in%20pregnancy with

additional filters of PubMID 27040690 and analysis on "Sustained maternal smoking in

pregnancy effect on newborns adjusted for cell composition".

Summary statistics generated in the current study, including a total of 8 primary association

studies (three smoking phenotypes in three cohorts) and 3 sets of meta-analyzed results in

Europeans are available upon request. All scripts to reproduce and validate the predictive model

can   be   found   at

https://github.com/WeiAkaneDeng/EpigeneticResearch/tree/main/MaternalSmoking.

Conflicts of interest

No conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions

WQD conceptualized the study, designed the methodology, performed data analyses and

visualization, and prepared the first draft and subsequent revisions. NCawte contributed to

methylation data curation, pre-processing, quality control methodology, and preparation of the

first draft. NC was responsible for phenotypic data curation and pre-processing. AL and KS

contributed to data curation and analysis. SMA contributed to project administration. GP and

SAA contributed to study conceptualization and data curation. SAA was responsible for funding

acquisition and resources. All authors edited and critically reviewed the manuscript.

Reference

1.   Stock SJ, Bauld L. Maternal smoking and preterm birth: An unresolved health challenge.
Vol. 17, PLoS Medicine. 2020.
2.   Liu B, Xu G, Sun Y, Qiu X, Ryckman KK, Yu Y, et al. Maternal cigarette smoking before
and during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth: A dose–response analysis of 25
million mother–infant pairs. PLoS Med. 2020;17(8).
3.   Marufu TC, Ahankari A, Coleman T, Lewis S. Maternal smoking and the risk of still birth:
systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health. 2015;15(1).
4.   Ventura SJ, Hamilton BE, Mathews TJ, Chandra A. Trends and variations in smoking
during pregnancy and low birth weight: Evidence from the birth certificate, 1990-2000.
Pediatrics. 2003;111(5 II).
5.   U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The Health Consequences of Smoking—
50 Years of Progress A Report of the Surgeon General. A Report of the Surgeon General.
2014.
6.   Montgomery SM, Ekbom A. Smoking during pregnancy and diabetes mellitus in a British
longitudinal birth cohort. Br Med J. 2002;324(7328).
7.   Toschke A, Koletzko B, Slikker W, Hermann M, von Kries R. Childhood obesity is
associated with maternal smoking in pregnancy. Eur J Pediatr. 2002;161(8).
8.   Oken E, Levitan EB, Gillman MW. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and child
overweight: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Vol. 32, International Journal of
Obesity. 2008.

9.   Philips EM, Santos S, Trasande L, Aurrekoetxea JJ, Barros H, von Berg A, et al. Changes
in parental smoking during pregnancy and risks of adverse birth outcomes and childhood
overweight in Europe and North America: An individual participant data meta-analysis of
229,000 singleton births. PLoS Med. 2020;17(8).
10.   England LJ, Grauman A, Qian C, Wilkins DG, Schisterman EF, Yu KF, et al.
Misclassification of maternal smoking status and its effects on an epidemiologic study of
pregnancy outcomes. Nicotine and Tobacco Research. 2007;9(10).
11.   Shipton D, Tappin DM, Vadiveloo T, Crossley JA, Aitken DA, Chalmers J. Reliability of
self reported smoking status by pregnant women for estimating smoking prevalence: A
retrospective, cross sectional study. BMJ (Online). 2009;339(7732).
12.   Salmasi G, Grady R, Jones J, McDonald SD. Environmental tobacco smoke exposure and
perinatal outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analyses. Vol. 89, Acta obstetricia et
gynecologica Scandinavica. 2010.
13.   Shenker NS, Ueland PM, Polidoro S, Van Veldhoven K, Ricceri F, Brown R, et al. DNA
methylation as a long-term biomarker of exposure to tobacco smoke. Epidemiology.
2013;24(5).
14.   Joehanes R, Just AC, Marioni RE, Pilling LC, Reynolds LM, Mandaviya PR, et al.
Epigenetic Signatures of Cigarette Smoking. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2016;9(5).
15.   Guida F, Sandanger TM, Castagné R, Campanella G, Polidoro S, Palli D, et al. Dynamics
of smoking-induced genome-wide methylation changes with time since smoking cessation.
Hum Mol Genet. 2015;24(8).
16.   Sikdar S, Joehanes R, Joubert BR, Xu CJ, Vives-Usano M, Rezwan FI, et al. Comparison
of smoking-related DNA methylation between newborns from prenatal exposure and
adults from personal smoking. Epigenomics. 2019;11(13).
17.   Zeilinger S, Kühnel B, Klopp N, Baurecht H, Kleinschmidt A, Gieger C, et al. Tobacco
Smoking Leads to Extensive Genome-Wide Changes in DNA Methylation. PLoS One.
2013 May 17;8(5).
18.   Joubert BR, Felix JF, Yousefi P, Bakulski KM, Just AC, Breton C, et al. DNA
Methylation in Newborns and Maternal Smoking in Pregnancy: Genome-wide
Consortium Meta-analysis. Am J Hum Genet. 2016;98(4).
19.   Hannon E, Schendel D, Ladd-Acosta C, Grove J, Hansen CS, Hougaard DM, et al.
Variable DNA methylation in neonates mediates the association between prenatal
smoking and birth weight. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
Sciences. 2019;374(1770).
20.   Akhabir L, Stringer R, Desai D, Mandhane PJ, Azad MB, Moraes TJ, et al. DNA
methylation changes in cord blood and the developmental origins of health and disease – a
systematic review and replication study. BMC Genomics. 2022;23(1).
21.   Witt SH, Frank J, Gilles M, Lang M, Treutlein J, Streit F, et al. Impact on birth weight of
maternal smoking throughout pregnancy mediated by DNA methylation. BMC Genomics.
2018;19(1).
22.   Küpers LK, Xu X, Jankipersadsing SA, Vaez A, La Bastide-van Gemert S, Scholtens S, et
al. DNA methylation mediates the effect of maternal smoking during pregnancy on
birthweight of the offspring. Int J Epidemiol. 2015;44(4).
23.   Xu R, Hong X, Zhang B, Huang W, Hou W, Wang G, et al. DNA methylation mediates
the effect of maternal smoking on offspring birthweight: a birth cohort study of multi-
ethnic US mother–newborn pairs. Clin Epigenetics. 2021;13(1).

24. Cardenas A, Lutz SM, Everson TM, Perron P, Bouchard L, Hivert MF. Mediation by
Placental DNA Methylation of the Association of Prenatal Maternal Smoking and Birth
Weight. Am J Epidemiol. 2019;188(11).
25. Murray R, Kitaba N, Antoun E, Titcombe P, Barton S, Cooper C, et al. Influence of
maternal lifestyle and diet on perinatal DNA methylation signatures associated with
childhood arterial stiffness at 8 to 9 years. Hypertension. 2021;78(3).
26. Raynor P, Duley L, Small N, Tuffnell D, Wild C, Wright J, et al. Born in Bradford, a
cohort study of babies born in Bradford, and their parents: Protocol for the recruitment
phase. BMC Public Health. 2008;8.
27. Elliott HR, Burrows K, Min JL, Tillin T, Mason D, Wright J, et al. Characterisation of
ethnic differences in DNA methylation between UK-resident South Asians and Europeans.
Clin Epigenetics [Internet]. 2022 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Mar 9];14(1). Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36243740/
28. Choquet H, Yin J, Jorgenson E. Cigarette smoking behaviors and the importance of
ethnicity and genetic ancestry. Transl Psychiatry. 2021;11(1).
29. Elliott HR, Tillin T, McArdle WL, Ho K, Duggirala A, Frayling TM, et al. Differences in
smoking associated DNA methylation patterns in South Asians and Europeans. Clin
Epigenetics. 2014;6(1).
30. Bollepalli S, Korhonen T, Kaprio J, Anders S, Ollikainen M. EpiSmokEr: A robust
classifier to determine smoking status from DNA methylation data. Epigenomics.
2019;11(13).
31. Yousefi PD, Suderman M, Langdon R, Whitehurst O, Davey Smith G, Relton CL. DNA
methylation-based predictors of health: applications and statistical considerations. Vol. 23,
Nature Reviews Genetics. 2022.
32. Wright J, Small N, Raynor P, Tuffnell D, Bhopal R, Cameron N, et al. Cohort profile: The
born in bradford multi-ethnic family cohort study. Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42(4).
33. Reitsma MB, Flor LS, Mullany EC, Gupta V, Hay SI, Gakidou E. Spatial, temporal, and
demographic patterns in prevalence of smoking tobacco use and initiation among young
people in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019. Lancet Public Health. 2021;6(7).
34. Morrison KM, Atkinson SA, Yusuf S, Bourgeois J, McDonald S, McQueen MJ, et al. The
Family Atherosclerosis Monitoring In earLY life (FAMILY) study. Rationale, design, and
baseline data of a study examining the early determinants of atherosclerosis. Am Heart J.
2009;158(4).
35. Anand SS, Vasudevan A, Gupta M, Morrison K, Kurpad A, Teo KK, et al. Rationale and
design of South Asian Birth Cohort (START): A Canada-India collaborative study. BMC
Public Health. 2013;13(1).
36. Brydon P, Smith T, Proffitt M, Gee H, Holder R, Dunne F. Pregnancy outcome in women
with type 2 diabetes mellitus needs to be addressed. Int J Clin Pract. 2000;54(7).
37. Farrar D, Fairley L, Santorelli G, Tuffnell D, Sheldon TA, Wright J, et al. Association
between hyperglycaemia and adverse perinatal outcomes in south Asian and white British
women: analysis of data from the Born in Bradford cohort. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol
[Internet]. 2015 Oct 1 [cited 2023 Jun 8];3(10):795. Available from:
/pmc/articles/PMC4673084/
38. Lange S, Probst C, Rehm J, Popova S. National, regional, and global prevalence of
smoking during pregnancy in the general population: a systematic review and meta-
analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2018;6(7).

39.   Markunas CA, Xu Z, Harlid S, Wade PA, Lie RT, Taylor JA, et al. Identification of DNA
673   Methylation Changes in Newborns Related to Maternal Smoking during Pregnancy.
674   Environ Health Perspect. 2014;122(10).
675   40.   Richmond RC, Simpkin AJ, Woodward G, Gaunt TR, Lyttleton O, McArdle WL, et al.
676   Prenatal exposure to maternal smoking and offspring DNA methylation across the
677   lifecourse: Findings from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children
678   (ALSPAC). Hum Mol Genet. 2015;24(8).
679   41.   Rider CF, Carlsten C. Air pollution and DNA methylation: Effects of exposure in humans.
680   Vol. 11, Clinical Epigenetics. 2019.
681   42.   Vogel CFA, Van Winkle LS, Esser C, Haarmann-Stemmann T. The aryl hydrocarbon
682   receptor as a target of environmental stressors – Implications for pollution mediated stress
683   and inflammatory responses. Vol. 34, Redox Biology. 2020.
684   43.   Reynolds LM, Wan M, Ding J, Taylor JR, Lohman K, Su D, et al. DNA Methylation of
685   the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Repressor Associations with Cigarette Smoking and
686   Subclinical Atherosclerosis. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2015;8(5).
687   44.   Roberts CK, Sindhu KK. Oxidative stress and metabolic syndrome. Vol. 84, Life Sciences.
688   2009.
689   45.   Everson TM, Vives-Usano M, Seyve E, Cardenas A, Lacasaña M, Craig JM, et al.
690   Placental DNA methylation signatures of maternal smoking during pregnancy and
691   potential impacts on fetal growth. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1).
692   46.   Moshammer H, Hutter HP. Breast-feeding protects children from adverse effects of
693   environmental Tobacco smoke. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(3).
694   47.   de Souza RJ, Zulyniak MA, Desai D, Shaikh MR, Campbell NC, Lefebvre DL, et al.
695   Harmonization of food-frequency questionnaires and dietary pattern analysis in 4
696   ethnically diverse birth cohorts. Journal of Nutrition. 2016;146(11).
697   48.   Bibikova M, Barnes B, Tsan C, Ho V, Klotzle B, Le JM, et al. High density DNA
698   methylation array with single CpG site resolution. Genomics. 2011;98(4).
699   49.   Zhou W, Triche TJ, Laird PW, Shen H. SeSAMe: Reducing artifactual detection of DNA
700   methylation by Infinium BeadChips in genomic deletions. Nucleic Acids Res.
701   2018;46(20).
702   50.   Chen YA, Lemire M, Choufani S, Butcher DT, Grafodatskaya D, Zanke BW, et al.
703   Discovery of cross-reactive probes and polymorhic CpGs in the Illumina Infinium
704   HumanMethylation450 microarray. Epigenetics. 2013;8(2).
705   51.   Zhou W, Laird PW, Shen H. Comprehensive characterization, annotation and innovative
706   use of Infinium DNA methylation BeadChip probes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(4).
707   52.   Pidsley R, Zotenko E, Peters TJ, Lawrence MG, Risbridger GP, Molloy P, et al. Critical
708   evaluation of the Illumina MethylationEPIC BeadChip microarray for whole-genome
709   DNA methylation profiling. Genome Biol. 2016;17(1).
710   53.   Hillary RF, McCartney DL, McRae AF, Campbell A, Walker RM, Hayward C, et al.
711   Identification of influential probe types in epigenetic predictions of human traits:
712   implications for microarray design. Clin Epigenetics. 2022;14(1).
713   54.   Gervin K, Salas LA, Bakulski KM, Van Zelm MC, Koestler DC, Wiencke JK, et al.
714   Systematic evaluation and validation of reference and library selection methods for
715   deconvolution of cord blood DNA methylation data. Clin Epigenetics. 2019;11(1).

716   55.   R Core Team. R core team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical
717   computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www. R-
718   project. org. 2021.
719   56.   Metzger BE. International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups
720   recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. Vol.
721   33, Diabetes Care. 2010.
722   57.   Anand SS, Razak F, Davis AD, Jacobs R, Vuksan V, Teo K, et al. Social disadvantage
723   and cardiovascular disease: Development of an index and analysis of age, sex, and
724   ethnicity effects. Int J Epidemiol. 2006;35(5).
725   58.   Mak TSH, Porsch RM, Choi SW, Zhou X, Sham PC. Polygenic scores via penalized
726   regression on summary statistics. Genet Epidemiol. 2017;(February):469–80.

Tables and Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Manhattan plots of the meta-analyzed association between cord blood DNAm and

maternal smoking in Europeans.

Manhattan plots summarized the meta-analyzed association *p*-values between cord blood DNA

methylation levels and current maternal smoking (A) or smoking exposure (B) at a common set

of 2,114 CpG sites. The red line denotes the smallest -log10(*p*-value) that is below the FDR

correction threshold of 0.05. The red dots represent established associations with maternal

smoking reported in Joubert and colleagues (18).

Figure 2. Relationships between maternal smoking MRS and maternal smoking history categories for each of the studies.

Maternal smoking methylation score (y-axis) was shown as a function of maternal smoking history (x-axis) in levels of severity for prenatal exposure for each study. Each severity level was compared to the never-smoking group and the corresponding two sample *t*-test *p*-value was reported. An omnibus test *p*-value to test whether a mean difference in methylation score was present among all smoking history categories.

Table 1. Characteristics of the epigenetic subsample (1,650 mother–newborn pairs) from the CHILD, FAMILY, START cohorts.

	CHILD-HM450K* (N=352)	FAMILY-GMEL** (N=411)	START-HM450* (N=504)	START-GMEL** (N=376)	P-value
Smoking History					
never smoked	247 (70.2%)	253 (61.6%)	501 (99.4%)	371 (98.7%)	<0.001
quit before this pregnancy	72 (20.5%)	58 (14.1%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.5%)	
quit during this pregnancy	17 (4.8%)	57 (13.9%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.3%)	
currently smoking	11 (3.1%)	29 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Missing	5 (1.4%)	14 (3.4%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.5%)	
Smoking Exposure (hr/week)					
Mean (SD)	0.97 (± 7.6)	0.36 (± 1.8)	0.33 (± 2.7)	0.33 (± 3.1)	<0.001
Missing	12 (3.4%)	5 (1.2%)	42 (8.3%)	7 (1.9%)	
GDM					
YES	16 (4.5%)	345 (83.9%)	183 (36.3%)	142 (37.8%)	<0.001
NO	336 (95.5%)	66 (16.1%)	320 (63.5%)	231 (61.4%)	
Missing	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	3 (0.8%)	
Years of Education					
Mean (SD)	16 (± 2.9)	17 (± 3.4)	16 (± 2.4)	16 (± 2.2)	<0.00

					1
Missing	7 (2.0%)	3 (0.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Mother's Age					
Mean (SD)	33 (± 4.5)	32 (± 5.3)	30 (± 3.9)	30 (± 3.8)	<0.001
Missing	4 (1.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Parity					
Mean (SD)	0.72 (± 0.88)	0.80 (± 1.0)	0.80 (± 0.81)	0.74 (± 0.83)	0.186
Missing	2 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	13 (2.6%)	7 (1.9%)	
Pre-pregnancy BMI					
Mean (SD)	25 (± 5.4)	26 (± 6.4)	24 (± 4.4)	24 (± 4.6)	<0.001
Missing	132 (37.5%)	16 (3.9%)	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.5%)	
Newborn Sex					
Male	194 (55.1%)	211 (51.3%)	239 (47.4%)	188 (50.0%)	0.168
Female	158 (44.9%)	200 (48.7%)	265 (52.6%)	188 (50.0%)	
Plant Based Diet					
Mean (SD)	-0.48 (± 0.46)	0.19 (± 0.67)	1.6 (± 1.1)	1.4 (± 1.1)	<0.001
Missing	23 (6.5%)	36 (8.8%)	16 (3.2%)	13 (3.5%)	
Health Conscious Diet					
Mean (SD)	0.21 (± 0.81)	-0.73 (± 0.73)	-0.42 (± 0.79)	-0.47 (± 0.70)	<0.001
Missing	23 (6.5%)	36 (8.8%)	16 (3.2%)	13 (3.5%)	
Western Diet					
Mean (SD)	-0.15 (± 0.63)	1.1 (± 1.2)	-0.51 (± 0.65)	-0.51 (± 0.64)	<0.001
Missing	23 (6.5%)	36 (8.8%)	16 (3.2%)	13 (3.5%)	
Gestational Age (weeks)					
Mean (SD)	40 (± 1.4)	39 (± 1.5)	39 (± 1.3)	39 (± 1.3)	<0.001
Missing	4 (1.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Birth Length (cm)					
Mean (SD)	52 (± 2.5)	50 (± 2.2)	51 (± 2.7)	51 (± 2.4)	<0.001
Missing	71 (20.2%)	10 (2.4%)	7 (1.4%)	8 (2.1%)	
Birth Weight (kg)					
Mean (SD)	3.5 (± 0.49)	3.5 (± 0.50)	3.3 (± 0.46)	3.2 (± 0.45)	<0.001
Missing	6 (1.7%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.3%)	
Newborn BMI (kg/m2)					
Mean (SD)	13 (± 1.4)	14 (± 1.3)	12 (± 1.4)	12 (± 1.4)	<0.001
Missing	72 (20.5%)	10 (2.4%)	7 (1.4%)	8 (2.1%)	

Newborn Ponderal Index					
Mean (SD)	25 (± 3.1)	28 (± 2.5)	24 (± 3.2)	25 (± 3.2)	<0.001
Missing	2 (0.6%)	10 (2.4%)	7 (1.4%)	8 (2.1%)	
CD8T					
Mean (SD)	0.0094 (± 0.014)	0.040 (± 0.031)	0.019 (± 0.024)	0.056 (± 0.028)	<0.001
CD4T					
Mean (SD)	0.11 (± 0.056)	0.13 (± 0.060)	0.16 (± 0.069)	0.15 (± 0.054)	<0.001
NK					
Mean (SD)	0.021 (± 0.024)	0.031 (± 0.028)	0.019 (± 0.026)	0.028 (± 0.031)	<0.001
Bcell					
Mean (SD)	0.024 (± 0.021)	0.042 (± 0.030)	0.043 (± 0.033)	0.055 (± 0.028)	<0.001
Mono					
Mean (SD)	0.013 (± 0.019)	0.045 (± 0.029)	0.027 (± 0.031)	0.074 (± 0.035)	<0.001
Gran					
Mean (SD)	0.80 (± 0.096)	0.60 (± 0.13)	0.72 (± 0.14)	0.49 (± 0.13)	<0.001
nRBC					
Mean (SD)	0.078 (± 0.084)	0.12 (± 0.11)	0.069 (± 0.11)	0.14 (± 0.11)	<0.001
MNLR					
Mean (SD)	6.6 (± 6.0)	3.3 (± 3.1)	4.0 (± 3.1)	1.9 (± 0.98)	<0.001
Missing	6 (1.7%)	0 (0%)	3 (0.6%)	0 (0%)	

* HM450 array

** EPIC customized array from GMEL

Table 2. Meta-analysis results of maternal smoking and smoking exposure that were significant after false discovery rate correction in European cohorts.

	CHR	Probe_ID	Position	Estimate	Std..Error	Pvalue	HetPval	CHILD	FAMILY	UCSC Ref Gene	FDR	Reported Association EWAS catalog
Maternal Smoking												
	1	cg12876356	92481269	-1.11	0.22	7.33E-07	0.51	0.02	9.45E-06	*GFI1*	0.0019	MS;S; AC; BW
	1	cg09935388	92482032	-1.15	0.24	2.26E-06	0.52	0.02	2.71E-05	*GFI1*	0.0029	MS;GA; S; AC; BMI; BW
	1	cg14179389	92482405	-1.48	0.32	5.03E-06	0.73	0.01	1.12E-04	*GFI1*	0.0035	MS;S
	1	cg18146737	92481144	-0.92	0.20	5.58E-06	0.50	0.04	3.95E-05	*GFI1*	0.0035	MS;S; AC; BW
	1	cg09662411	92480576	-0.94	0.22	1.64E-05	0.29	0.10	3.85E-05	*GFI1*	0.0083	MS;S
	1	cg18316974	92481479	-0.74	0.18	3.58E-05	0.33	0.13	7.34E-05	*GFI1*	0.0152	MS;S; AC; BW
	17	cg01798813	2494783943	-0.83	0.21	1.09E-04	0.34	0.02	1.59E-03	*NA*	0.0395	A; GA; BMI
Smoking Exposure												
	1	cg09935388	92482032	-0.18	0.04	1.39E-05	0.23	0.15	2.45E-05	*GFI1*	0.04	MS;GA; S; AC MI; BW
	17	cg01798813	2494783943	-0.18	0.04	3.30E-05	0.13	0.00035	0.013	*NA*	0.04	A; GA; BMI

776
777
778
MS: maternal smoking
GA: gestational age

AC: alcohol consumption
BMI: body mass index
T2D: type 2 diabetes
A: age
BW: birth weight
786

793 **Table 3. Significant associations between maternal smoking methylation risk score and**

794 **phenotypes in CHILD, FAMILY and START.**

	Meta-analyzed Effect	Std. Error	Pvalue	HetPval	q-value	START HM450*	START GMEL**	CHILD HM450*	FAMIL GMEL*
Smoking Exposure (hr/week)	0.12	0.02	5.68E-07	0.03	3.69E-06	0.37	1.93E-01	6.91E-04	1.35E-
Birth Length (cm)	-0.31	0.06	4.46E-07	0.31	3.69E-06	4.25E-03	1.83E-04	0.050	0.10!
Birth Weight (kg)	-0.06	0.01	5.53E-07	0.80	3.69E-06	0.029	0.023	0.006	3.98E-
1-year Length (cm)	-0.44	0.08	3.53E-08	0.45	9.18E-07	0.017	1.48E-04	0.021	7.76E-
1-year Weight (kg)	-0.10	0.03	2.16E-03	0.92	9.38E-03	0.31	0.14	0.057	0.08:
2-year Length (cm)	-0.48	0.11	2.02E-05	0.33	4.75E-05	0.010	0.00094	0.32	0.05
3-year Height (cm)	-0.27	0.11	0.014	0.92	0.047	0.43	0.32	0.20	0.07
3-year Skinfoldness	0.45	0.12	2.25E-04	0.57	9.74E-04	0.37	0.41	0.012	0.005

* HM450 array, ** EPIC customized array from GMEL

Supporting Information captions

Supplementary Methods.

Suppl. Table 1. Quality controls for the inclusion/exclusion of samples and methylation

probes.

Suppl. Table 2. Characteristics of the overall sample include 5176 mother–newborn pairs

from the CHILD, FAMILY, and START cohorts.

Suppl. Table 3. A summary of available analyses and outcome variables in each cohort.

Suppl. Table 4. Characteristics of the epigenetic subsample from CHILD and FAMILY

cohorts stratified by smoking status.

Suppl. Table 5. A summary of 11 CpGs available on the targeted array that contribute to

the final methylation risk score.

Suppl. Table 6. A summary of 114 CpGs available on the HM450 array that contribute to

the epigenome-wide methylation risk score.

Suppl. Table 7. Association between maternal smoking methylation risk score and

phenotypes in CHILD, FAMILY and START.

Suppl. Table 8. Association between maternal smoking methylation risk score and

phenotypes in CHILD and FAMILY.

Suppl. Table 9. A summary of statistical tests to evaluate the difference in distribution of methylation score and relevant CpGs between South Asian and European cohorts.

Supplementary Figure 1. Manhattan plots of the meta-analyzed association between cord blood DNA methylation and ever maternal smoking in Europeans.

The meta-analyzed association p-values for ever maternal smoking and methylation levels at 2,114 CpG sites were summarized in the Manhattan plot. Ever maternal smoking was defined to compare those who were currently smoking or quitted before or during this pregnancy vs. those never smoked. The red line denotes the smallest -log10(p-value) that is below the FDR correction threshold of 0.05. The red dots represent established associations with maternal smoking reported in Joubert and colleagues (18).

Supplementary Figure 2. Manhattan plots of the meta-analyzed association between cord blood DNA methylation and smoking exposure in the combined European and South Asian cohorts.

The meta-analyzed association p-values for smoking exposure and methylation levels at 2,114 CpG sites were summarized in the Manhattan plot. The red dots represent established associations with maternal smoking reported in Joubert and colleagues (18).

Supplementary Figure 3. Manhattan plots of the Epigenome-wide associations between

cord blood DNAm and maternal smoking in CHILD.

Manhattan plots summarized the association p-values between cord blood DNA methylation

levels and current maternal smoking (A) or ever maternal smoking (B) at 200,050 CpG sites. The

red line denotes the smallest -log10(p-value) that is below the FDR correction threshold of 0.05.

The red dots represent established associations with maternal smoking reported in Joubert and

colleagues (18).

Supplementary Figure 4. Manhattan plots of the Epigenome-wide associations between

cord blood DNAm and smoking exposure in CHILD and START.

Manhattan plots summarized the association p-values between cord blood DNA methylation

levels and smoking exposure in CHILD (A) or START (B) at 200,050 and 218,982 CpG sites,

respectively. The red line denotes the smallest -log10(p-value) that is below the FDR correction

threshold of 0.05. The red dots represent established associations with maternal smoking

reported in Joubert and colleagues (18).

Supplementary Figure 5. A comparison of results for maternal smoking MRS constructed

using the targeted array and an epigenome-wide arrays in CHILD.

Maternal smoking methylation score (y-axis) was shown as a function of maternal smoking

history (x-axis) in levels of severity ([0] = never smoked; [1] = quit before this pregnancy; [2] =

quit during this pregnancy; [3] = currently smoking) for prenatal exposure for each study. Each

severity level was compared to the never smoking group and the corresponding two sample *t*-test

p-value was reported. An omnibus test *p*-value to test whether a mean difference in methylation

score was present among all smoking history categories.

Supplementary Figure 6. Boxplots of methylation score and contributing CpGs stratified

by study.

The boxplots captured the standardized maternal smoking methylation score and CpGs values (y-

axis) stratified by study. The top panels included CpGs that were positively correlated with

maternal smoking, or more methylated when exposed to prenatal smoking, while the bottom

panels included CpGs and the score that were negatively associated with maternal smoking.