The relationship between screen behaviors and adolescent mental health: a phenotypic and genetic analysis

Abbreviated Title: Screen behaviors and adolescent mental health

Authors: Evgeniia Frei[1,*], MD, Piotr Jaholkowski[1], MD, PhD, Oleksandr Frei[1,2,3], PhD, Pravesh Parekh[1], PhD, Alexey A. Shadrin[1], PhD, Espen Hagen, PhD[1], Nora R. Bakken[1], Viktoria Birkenæs[1], MSc, Helga Ask[4,5], PhD, Ole A. Andreassen[1,2], MD, PhD, Olav B. Smeland[1,2,*], MD, PhD

Affiliations: [1]Centre for Precision Psychiatry, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, [2]Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, [3]Centre for Bioinformatics, Department of Informatics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, [4]PsychGen Centre for Genetic Epidemiology and Mental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway, [5]PROMENTA Research Center, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

*Corresponding author

Conflict of interests

Professor Ole A. Andreassen has received speaker fees from Lundbeck, Janssen, Otsuka, and Sunovion, and is a consultant to Cortechs.ai, and Precision Health AS. Dr. Oleksandr Frei is a consultant to Precision Health AS. No potential conflict of interest was reported by other authors.

Abstract

Background: Technological advances have made screen devices readily available, with adolescents spending an increasing amount of time on screens. Despite rising concerns, evidence on the relationship between screen-based activities and adolescent mental health remains inconsistent.

Methods: Using self-reports from the Norwegian Mother, Father, and Child Cohort Study and ICD-10 codes for mental illness from health registries, we estimated the odds of psychiatric diagnoses among adolescents across different levels of screen usage (n = 25,079) performing logistic regression analysis. Additionally, we computed polygenic risk scores (PRS) for schizophrenia (SCZ), bipolar disorder (BP), major depressive disorder, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), autism spectrum disorder (ASD), and alcohol use disorder (AUD) and evaluated their association with time spent on screen-based activities using linear regression models.

Results: We observed higher odds of psychiatric diagnoses among individuals with most time spent across all screen behaviors. However, the lowest social media usage was also associated with mental illness (OR 2.46, 95% CI: 2.10, 2.88), particularly with increased ASD occurrence. Minimum time spent on gaming was associated with lower odds of psychiatric diagnoses (OR 0.74, 95% CI: 0.68, 0.80). PRS_{ADHD} was positively associated with time spent on social media and gaming, while PRS_{ASD} was positively associated with gaming, but negatively – with social media usage. Additionally, social media usage was significantly associated with lower PRS_{SCZ} and $PRS_{BP,}$ but higher PRS_{AUD}.

Conclusions: We show that screen behaviors are associated with mental illness among adolescents, which may partly reflect a shared genetic basis. However, to clarify the causality

of genetic associations between mental illness and screen behaviors, further studies are warranted.

Keywords: mental disorders, screen time, adolescent, genetics, MoBa

Background

The availability of screen devices continues to increase, and children and adolescents spend more time on screen-based activities than ever before (Bucksch et al., 2016; Rideout & Robb, 2018). Digital media provides various easily accessible stimuli, and the time youth spend on screen-related activities has been rising over the past decades (*2014 Global Summit on the Physical Activity of Children*, 2014). As the time devoted to digital devices has risen, so too have the concerns about the relationship of screen behaviors with youth's mental wellbeing.

Sound mental health is of particular importance during adolescence, as this is a critical and formative period when individuals begin their transition to adulthood. Adolescents with mental health problems have lower quality of life, and are vulnerable to social exclusion, educational difficulties, and stigma (Celebre et al., 2021). The prevalence of mental health problems among young people is increasing globally (Bor et al., 2014; Collishaw, 2015), and mental disorders are becoming major contributors to health-related disability in children and youth (Gore et al., 2011). Studies from the United States, the United Kingdom, and Norway have reported that around 1/10 to 1/5 of children and adolescents are diagnosed with a mental disorder (Ford et al., 2003; Heiervang et al., 2007; Kessler et al., 2012). The causes of the increase in mental illness are not fully known (Andreassen et al., 2023).

Several studies have suggested that the use of digital devices is associated with mental health problems. Excessive screen time may negatively affect young people's brains both from a neurobiological perspective (Pan et al., 2018; Weng et al., 2013), and from a lifestyle and social perspective such as reduced physical activity and outdoor time, inadequate sleep, or less in-person social interactions (LeBourgeois et al., 2017; Oswald et al., 2020; Twenge et al., 2019). However, study results are conflicting, and the link between mental illness and screen time use is yet to be clearly defined (Stiglic & Viner, 2019; Tang et al., 2021). For

example, more screen time was moderately associated with worse mental health in children aged 9 to 10 years in the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development[SM] Study (ABCD Study®), but the effect sizes were modest (Paulich et al., 2021). Studies that investigated the link between digital media use and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) support the connection between excessive screen time and ADHD symptoms (Thorell et al., 2022). However, the associations are more consistent for problematic use of digital media than for screen time in general, and the complex relationships between digital media use and ADHD symptom levels are still poorly understood (Beyens et al., 2018; Engelhard & Kollins, 2019).

Genetic factors contribute to psychiatric disorders in childhood and adolescence (Faraone & Larsson, 2019; Manoli & State, 2021), with multiple common genetic variants linked to psychiatric disorders (Andreassen et al., 2023). It has been demonstrated that screen behaviours, like gaming, TV watching, and problematic internet use, are heritable traits (Nilsson et al., 2023; van de Vegte et al., 2020; Vink et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2023). At the same time, the potential of shared genetic factors between screen time and mental health problems in children and adolescents is a relatively new subject in scientific exploration (Ayorech et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023). Many essential details, including the importance of different types of screen behaviours, correlation with clinical phenotypes, and differences across age groups, remain unexplored.

In the present study, we leveraged data from the Norwegian Mother, Father, and Child Cohort Study (MoBa) (Magnus et al., 2016), a prospective population-based pregnancy cohort, to investigate the phenotypic and genetic link between screen time and mental illness among adolescents (n = 25,079, 14-16 years of age). Specifically, we focused on activities in leisure time, including four screen behaviors: watching movies/series/TV; gaming; total time with a screen device; and communicating with friends on social media. First, we investigated whether the odds of having a psychiatric disorder among adolescents were associated with

time spent on different screen-based activities. Next, we investigated if the screen behaviors were associated with the genetic liability to major psychiatric disorders, as indicated by polygenic risk scores (PRS) derived from recent large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWASs) on schizophrenia (SCZ), bipolar disorder (BD), major depressive disorder (MDD), autism spectrum disorder (ASD), ADHD, and alcohol use disorder (AUD).

Methods

Study sample

MoBa is a population-based pregnancy cohort study conducted by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health (Magnus et al., 2016). Participants were recruited from all over Norway from 1999-2008. The women consented to participation in 41% of the pregnancies. The cohort includes approximately 114,500 children, 95,200 mothers, and 75,200 fathers. Blood samples obtained from the children's umbilical cord at birth were used for genotyping (Paltiel et al., 2014). Full details about the genotyping and QC procedure are provided elsewhere (Corfield et al., 2024). The current study is based on version 12 of the quality-assured data files released for research in January 2019. The study sample was restricted to adolescents (14-16 years of age) who answered the MoBa questionnaire Q-14year (response rate approximately 19.5%), with data linked to the Medical Birth Registry of Norway (MBRN) (n = 25,079; primary study sample).

The establishment of MoBa and initial data collection was based on a license from the Norwegian Data Protection Agency and approval from The Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics. The MoBa cohort is currently regulated by the Norwegian Health Registry Act. The current study was approved by The Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics (2016/1226/REK Sør-Øst C) in Norway.

Study variables

We used the questions about activities in leisure time from the MoBa Q-14year questionnaire (Table 1). For each variable, participants gave themselves a score from 1 to 6 depending on the time spent on the specific activity. Parental highest level of education retrieved from either baseline or Q-8yrs questionnaire was used as a proxy variable characterizing socioeconomic status (SES) (see Supplementary text 1, Table S1 for details).

Information about lifetime psychiatric diagnoses in the study sample was retrieved from the Norwegian Patient Registry (NPR), where International Classification of Diseases Tenth Revision (ICD-10) (ICD-10, 2019) diagnoses registered in specialist health care services between 2008-2022 were available.

Phenotypic Analysis

We applied logistic regression models to explore the association between the occurrence of psychiatric disorders in the adolescent sample and the time spent on each screen-based activity, with age, sex assigned at birth, and SES as covariates. Subsequently we conducted sex-specific analysis by applying identical regression models to the female and male subsamples, with age and SES as covariates.

The analysis was performed by combining all psychiatric diagnoses, as well as for F90 (hyperkinetic disorders) and F84 (autism spectrum disorders) diagnostic categories. Original *p*-values for statistical significance are reported and compared to the family-wise error rate ($\alpha = 0.05/N$, after Bonferroni correction for the number of tests).

Polygenic risk score (PRS) analyses

To estimate PRS of six psychiatric disorders we used summary statistics from recent large-scale GWASs of SCZ, BP, MD, ASD, ADHD, and AUD (Demontis et al., 2019; Grove et al., 2019; Howard et al., 2019; Mullins et al., 2021; Trubetskoy et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2020). PRS were calculated using the reference-standardized, reproducible GenoPred pipeline (Pain

et al., 2024). The sample for PRS analysis was restricted to unrelated participants of European ancestry. Moreover, subjects with ambiguous sex and subjects with chromosomal abnormalities were removed from analyses, which resulted in a sample of 17,214 individuals. To confirm that underlying associations were not driven by PRS of participants with psychiatric diagnoses, we performed a sensitivity PRS analysis for the subsample of individuals without a history of any mental disorder (see Supplementary Text 1 for details).

Linear regression models were used to test for association between time spent on each screen-based activity (Table 1) versus each PRS. We fit separate linear regression models for every questionnaire item and every PRS, resulting in 24 linear regression models in total (4 questionnaire items times 6 PRS). Within each linear regression model, the first 10 genetic PCs, genotyping batch, age, and genetic sex were included as covariates, and PRS were standardized to zero mean and unit variance. As before, original *p*-values for statistical significance are reported and compared to the family-wise error rate using Bonferroni correction.

Results

Sample characteristics

Descriptive information on key study variables and basic demographic characteristics of the primary study sample are presented in Table 1. A flow diagram illustrating the study sample at each stage of phenotypic analysis is presented in Figure S1. Information about specific MoBa questions and variable names, as well as distributions of scores for the screen activities are presented in Table S1, and Figures S2-S3.

Of the 25,079 individuals in the primary study sample, 5118 (20.41%) had at least one psychiatric diagnosis registered in the NPR, with a greater proportion of females than males

(22.37% vs. 18.15%, $p < 0.001$). Detailed information on the counts in each main diagnostic subgroup, as well as information stratified by sex, is presented in Tables S2-S3.

Association between mental illness and time spent on screen-based activities

We evaluated the odds of having a psychiatric disorder within specific subgroups (defined by their screen time use score) after stratifying the sample by time spent on each screen-based activity (Figure 1 and Table 2). Given significant associations with ADHD and ASD in PRS analyses, we also performed separate phenotypic analyses for hyperkinetic disorders and autism spectrum disorders (Tables S6-S7, Figures S6-S7).

For movie/TV watching, we observed slightly lower odds of having any mental illness among participants who spent less than 2 h/day on this activity (OR 0.86–0.88). On the other hand, the subgroups of adolescents who watched TV/movies for more than 2 h/day had a significantly higher odds of having a mental illness, up to 2.04 for the maximum time spent on this activity.

Association between time spent on gaming and mental illness occurrence had an upward-trending shape. The two groups of adolescents who spent the lowest amount of time gaming had significantly lower odds of having a psychiatric diagnosis (OR 0.74–0.85). Conversely, individuals within the groups who gamed 3-4 h/day, 5-6 h/day, and ≥ 7 h/day, had significantly higher odds of having a mental illness: OR 1.31, 1.66, and 2.51, respectively. We then evaluated differences across specific diagnostic codes. Participants who gamed never/rarely had significantly lower odds of having ADHD and ASD (OR 0.66 and 0.38, respectively). In comparison, the odds of having ADHD and ASD were significantly higher for individuals who spent ≥ 7 h/day gaming: OR 2.50 and 4.86, respectively.

For total screen time we observed a decrease in the occurrence of mental illness in the group that used screen devices for 1-2 h/day (OR 0.74). Individuals within the two subgroups who spent the most time using a screen device (i. e. 5-6 h/day and ≥ 7 h/day), had significantly higher odds of having a mental illness: OR 1.34 and 2.22, respectively. The higher occurrence of mental illness among participants who spent ≥ 7 h/day with a screen device was primarily due to larger proportions of ADHD and ASD cases. Odds ratios for having these disorders were 2.27 and 4.86, respectively.

For social media communication, we observed a U-shaped relationship between time spent on this activity and the odds of having a mental illness. Adolescents with both the least and the most time spent had significantly higher odds of having a psychiatric diagnosis: OR 2.46 and 1.42, respectively. Moreover, participants who never/rarely used social media had higher odds of having ADHD and ASD (OR 1.84 and 8.06, respectively). In comparison, individuals with medium time spent (1-2 h/day) had lower odds of having a mental illness in general (OR 0.78), as well as ADHD (OR 0.72) and ASD (OR 0.65) specifically.

Regression analyses conducted in the full sample suggested the significant effect of sex for all four screen behaviors. The outcomes of sex-specific analyses were highly consistent to the results obtained in the general sample, except a slightly different pattern of associations for gaming, which changed shape from an upward-trending (observed for the full sample, and for females) to a U-shape for males (Figures S4-S5). Specifically, gaming for 1-2 h/day for females was linked to higher odds of having a psychiatric diagnosis (OR 1.35), whereas for males it was associated with lower odds of having a mental illness (OR 0.77).

Further analysis also revealed dose-dependent associations between time spent on specific screen-based activities and mean PRS for psychiatric disorders (Figure S9).

Association between time spent on screen-based activities and PRS for major psychiatric disorders

We identified several statistically significant associations between screen time use behaviors and PRS for major psychiatric disorders, after correcting for multiple comparisons (Figure 2). The time spent watching movies/TV was positively associated with PRS_{MDD} (β = 0.035; 95% CI: 0.019, 0.050; p = 8.87e-06) and ADHD (β = 0.044; 95% CI: 0.028, 0.059; p = 3.29e-08). For time spent on gaming, we found a positive association with PRS for all mental disorders, except AUD. Most significant were negative association of gaming with SCZ (β = -0.044; 95% CI: -0.062, -0.027; p = 6.64e-07), and positive associations with ASD (β = 0.042; 95% CI: 0.026, 0.058; p = 1.97e-07) and ADHD (β = 0.068; 95% CI: 0.051, 0.086; p = 6.06e-15).

Total screen time was positively associated with PRS_{MDD} (β = 0.045; 95% CI: 0.029, 0.062; p = 1.12e-07) and PRS_{ADHD} (β = 0.047; 95% CI: 0.030, 0.064; p = 4.71e-08). Finally, time spent on social media communication was significantly associated with PRS for all psychiatric disorders, and most strongly with PRS_{ASD} and PRS_{ADHD}, but with inverse direction of effect (β = -0.043; 95% CI: -0.060, -0.026; p = 8.06e-07, and β = 0.10; 95% CI: 0.082, 0.12; p = 2.00e-16 respectively).

Sensitivity analysis performed for participants without a history of any psychiatric disorder produced highly concordant results (Figure S8), with a few associations became insignificant (possibly due to lower statistical power).

Discussion

In the present study, we provide new insights into the relationship between screen behaviors and mental illness among adolescents, a widely debated issue in society today. Leveraging one of the largest birth cohorts in the world, as well as large-scale psychiatric genetics summary data from international consortia, we investigated this relationship from both a

phenotypic and genetic perspective. Overall, we observe that the occurrence of mental illness, and ADHD and ASD in particular, was significantly higher among adolescents on both extremes of screen time use. We further performed genetic analyses, demonstrating that the polygenic risk of major psychiatric disorders, most strongly ADHD and ASD, was significantly associated with several screen behaviors. Altogether, the study demonstrates that screen behaviors are associated with mental illness among adolescents, which may partly reflect a shared genetic basis.

We found clear patterns of association between psychiatric diagnoses and time spent on screen-based activities (Figure 1, Table 2). Odds of having a psychiatric diagnosis were significantly higher within the groups who spent the most time on each of the four screen behaviors assessed. Taken together, the results imply that excessive screen time is associated with poorer mental health among adolescents. However, we also observed a higher occurrence of mental illness among those with minimum time spent on social media, who had around twice the odds of having a mental illness. This may not be unexpected given that social media represent a powerful arena for socialization and network building for young people (Armstrong-Carter & Telzer, 2021), where too much or too little interaction may reflect difficulties in social skills or communication preferences, which may be linked to mental illness. Described results can also be thought of in the context that adolescents with mental illness are left out of these social arenas, which are not mutually exclusive hypotheses. Overall, the findings indicate that better mental health among adolescents are linked to neither of the extremes for time spent on most screen-based activities, aligning with previous results (Przybylski et al., 2020).

For gaming, the odds of having a psychiatric diagnosis were almost twice as high among adolescents who spent more than 7 h/day on this activity. Importantly, we demonstrate that

the increased occurrence of psychiatric disorders appears to be driven by higher proportion of ADHD and ASD cases (Tables S6-S7, Figures S6-S7). Although some studies have linked mental health problems and problematic game use (González-Bueso et al., 2018), the causal relationships are not yet established. Moreover, the concept "problematic game use" has its own controversies (for example, lack of unified criteria) (Przybylski et al., 2017). The relationship between gaming, the consequences of its abuse, and associated mental health problems is complex, and might be influenced by many factors, warranting more studies. We also observed twofold odds of having a psychiatric diagnosis among participants who spent ≥ 7 h/day on unspecific screen-based activity (sitting/lying down with PC, mobile, or tablet). High levels of sedentary behavior are linked to poorer lifestyle choices and worse health outcomes, and it has also been shown that physical activity is associated with better mental health among children and adolescents (Sampasa-Kanyinga et al., 2020). Thus, excessive screen time in general may affect mental health indirectly, by limiting potential benefits of physical activity and reducing sleep duration and quality. However, the possibility of reverse causation is also likely, as excessive screen time may be a coping strategy for individuals who already suffer from mental illness.

In general, the positive association between excessive screen time and poorer mental health is in line with previous research (Paulich et al., 2021), though in many preceding studies associations were weak, and effect sizes were small (Tang et al., 2021). The slight discrepancies could be partially explained by participant's age: gaming and online social activity is steadily increasing over ages 9-12 (Bagot et al., 2022). Accordingly, data for various age groups could be difficult to compare. Moreover, methods for screen time assessment are limited, with no standardized instruments available to estimate how and when different modern devices are used along with traditional screen-based devices, as well as the variety of ways that different types of screens could be used, all of which might be associated

with different types of screen behaviors and health outcomes. Nevertheless, the questions about screen time in MoBa are formulated broadly enough to include most types of screen-based devices, and to cover the majority of screen behaviors. The six different levels of screen time usage analyzed here, with the maximum label ≥ 7 h/day, allows for examining both the high and low extremes in screen time, which are evidently the most strongly associated with mental health problems. It is also important to mention that the ICD-10 diagnostic codes, obtained from the NPR, represent more valid and reliable outcome measures than self-reports and parent evaluations, which can be considered as another strength of our study.

Furthermore, we show that genetic risk of major psychiatric disorders, as indexed by PRS, was differently associated with screen behaviors (Figure 2). Importantly, most associations were independent of a history of mental illness, according to the sensitivity analysis that was restricted to individuals without any psychiatric diagnoses (although we cannot exclude the possibility that any of those participants would develop mental illness later on). In particular, genetic risk for ADHD was associated with more time spent on watching TV/movies, gaming and social media. These findings are in line with phenotypic analysis results: the occurrence of ADHD was significantly higher among participants who spent ≥ 3 h/day on gaming, and ≥ 7 h/day on social media (Table S6, Figure S6). Overall, our results indicate that adolescents with attention deficits are more prone to spend more time on their screens, and that children and adolescents with ADHD may be particularly susceptible to problematic media use. Many studies have reported associations between exposure to screen-based devices and attention in children and adolescents (Santos et al., 2022), although the underlying mechanisms linking attention skills and screen time are not well established. It has been suggested that ADHD and problematic gaming may share a common psychobiological mechanism of reward and sensitization, mainly mediated by dopamine activity (Weinstein & Weizman, 2012). Other

neurotransmitters like serotonin, glutamate, or GABA, might play an important role too – further studies are needed to illuminate their potentially shared biological mechanisms.

Conversely to PRS_{ADHD}, PRS_{ASD} was positively associated with the gaming score, but negatively with social media use. These findings are also in line with phenotypic analysis results, where we observed almost five times higher odds of having an ASD diagnosis among participants who spent most time on gaming (OR 4.86), and about eight times higher odds of having an ASD diagnosis among adolescents who used social media never/rarely (OR 8.06) (Table S7, Figure S7). The present findings are in compliance with the social difficulties associated with ASD. Moreover, individuals with ASD may be more vulnerable to addictive behaviors, including internet or gaming addiction (Kervin et al., 2021). The positive association between PRS_{AUD} and social media use aligns with the hypothesis on the addictive potential of social media (Cheng et al., 2021), which is especially important for the target population as adolescence is known as a vulnerable stage for development of addictive behaviors. It has been suggested that social media usage is involved in the control of brain inhibitory mechanisms (Cataldo et al., 2021), though an effect of prolonged social media usage on neural structures and activity have yet to be investigated.

A few studies have so far explored the potential of shared genetic factors between screen utilization and mental health problems in children (Ayorech et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023). Nevertheless, these works are not without limitations. All studies used self-reports and/or parental evaluations, which are less reliable outcome measures compared to diagnostic codes. Moreover, previous studies did not differentiate between different types of screen behaviors, but mostly assessed general media use. However, due to technological innovation, modern screen devices can be used in a variety of ways, which might be differently associated with health outcomes, as demonstrated by the present study. Zhang et

al. (Zhang et al., 2023) specifically used PRS to show that the association between screen time and attention problems was partly confounded by genetic factors. Yet, clinical phenotypes (like ICD-10/DSM-V diagnoses) were not included, and the results were limited to children aged 9–11, which is known to be a period of less screen use compared to middle adolescence (Zhu et al., 2023). Our study overcomes these limitations. Moreover, we utilize PRS for six major psychiatric disorders, revealing associations with diagnoses that are otherwise difficult to study in mid-adolescents due to their later onset.

Generally, in our study, the results of phenotypic and genetic analyses were consistent with each other, indicating that PRS can be used as a proxy of an actual diagnosis in studying associations with psychiatric disorders. However, due to the young study population, we did not have enough cases of SCZ and BP to perform statistically valid phenotypic analysis. Nevertheless, the PRS analyses results indicate significant negative associations with social media use for both the PRS_{SCZ} and PRS_{BP}. Therefore, as the study population becomes older, it is important to perform a follow-up analysis for these diagnoses.

Our study is not without limitations. Self-reported data are vulnerable to response bias; moreover, the MoBa questions do not capture different dimensions of the screen-based activities such as active vs. passive engagement or the social aspects of gaming, etc. Ideally, future studies should integrate device- or platform-level trace data to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the relationships involved. Finally, selection bias is a major challenge in cohort studies, and MoBa participants were found to not be representative of the entire Norwegian population (Nilsen et al., 2009). Although the contributions of MoBa are still highly valid, these issues should be taken into account when interpreting the results of the present study.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that screen behaviors and mental illness are both phenotypically and genetically linked among adolescents in a large population cohort. For three of the screen-based activities, better mental health was linked to medium time usage, while for gaming, minimum time spent was associated with the lowest occurrence of mental illness. The results were diagnosis-specific, with ADHD driving the associations between higher occurrence of psychiatric diagnoses and maximum time spent on gaming, unspecific screen-based activities, and social media communication. Conversely, the occurrence of ASD was significantly higher among individuals who spent the least time on social media. Although we cannot conclude on the causal relationship underlying these associations, the PRS analyses indicate a shared genetic basis between screen behaviors and mental illness. Accordingly, adolescents with increased genetic risk of a given mental disorder may be more susceptible to display more extreme screen behaviors. Overall, our results provide new insights into the relationship between screen time use and mental health problems during adolescence.

Key points and relevance

- There is an association between screen behaviors and mental disorders among adolescents on a phenotypic level.
- The occurrence of mental illness, particularly ADHD and ASD, is significantly higher among adolescents on both extremes of screen time use, and slightly lower for medium time spent on most screen-based activities.
- Genetic liability for psychiatric disorders, especially ADHD and ASD, is significantly associated with screen use, which may indicate a shared genetic basis between screen behaviors and mental illness.

- The fact that adolescents with increased genetic risk of a given mental disorder may be more susceptible to display more extreme screen behaviors indicate potential opportunities for targeted intervention efforts, as well as evidence-based health policymaking.

Acknowledgements

The Norwegian Mother, Father and Child Cohort Study is supported by the Norwegian Ministry of Health and Care Services and the Ministry of Education and Research. We are grateful to all the participating families in Norway who take part in this on-going cohort study. We also thank deCODE genetics for genotyping of the main part of the MoBa sample.

This work was performed on Services for sensitive data (TSD), University of Oslo, Norway, with resources provided by UNINETT Sigma2 - the National Infrastructure for High Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway.

Correspondence to

Postal address: Centre for Precision Psychiatry, Oslo University Hospital HF, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Psychosis Research Unit/TOP, Ullevål Hospital, building 49, P.O. Box 4956 Nydalen, N-0424 Oslo, Norway

Email: evgeniia.frei@medisin.uio.no, phone: +47 97404375

References

2014 Global Summit on the Physical Activity of Children. (2014). Journal of Physical Activity and Health. https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/jpah/11/s1/jpah.11.issue-s1.xml

Andreassen, O. A., Hindley, G. F. L., Frei, O., & Smeland, O. B. (2023). New insights from the last decade of research in psychiatric genetics: discoveries, challenges and clinical implications. *World Psychiatry*, *22*(1), 4–24. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21034

Armstrong-Carter, E., & Telzer, E. H. (2021). Advancing Measurement and Research on Youths' Prosocial Behavior in the Digital Age. *Child Development Perspectives*, *15*(1), 31–36. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12396

Ayorech, Z., Baldwin, J. R., Pingault, J. B., Rimfeld, K., & Plomin, R. (2023). Gene-environment correlations and genetic confounding underlying the association between media use and mental health. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1), 1030. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-25374-0

Bagot, K. S., Tomko, R. L., Marshall, A. T., Hermann, J., Cummins, K., Ksinan, A., Kakalis, M., Breslin, F., Lisdahl, K. M., Mason, M., Redhead, J. N., Squeglia, L. M., Thompson, W. K., Wade, T., Tapert, S. F., Fuemmeler, B. F., & Baker, F. C. (2022). Youth screen use in the ABCD® study. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, *57*, 101150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2022.101150

Beyens, I., Valkenburg, P. M., & Piotrowski, J. T. (2018). Screen media use and ADHD-related behaviors: Four decades of research. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *115*(40), 9875–9881. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611611114

Bor, W., Dean, A. J., Najman, J., & Hayatbakhsh, R. (2014). Are child and adolescent mental health problems increasing in the 21st century? A systematic review. In *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* (Vol. 48, Issue 7, pp. 606–616). Aust N Z J Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867414533834

Bucksch, J., Sigmundova, D., Hamrik, Z., Troped, P. J., Melkevik, O., Ahluwalia, N., Borraccino, A., Tynjälä, J., Kalman, M., & Inchley, J. (2016). International Trends in Adolescent Screen-Time Behaviors from 2002 to 2010. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *58*(4), 417–425. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2015.11.014

Cataldo, I., Lepri, B., Neoh, M. J. Y., & Esposito, G. (2021). Social Media Usage and Development of Psychiatric Disorders in Childhood and Adolescence: A Review. In *Frontiers in Psychiatry* (Vol. 11, p. 508595). Frontiers Media SA. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.508595

Celebre, A., Stewart, S. L., Theall, L., & Lapshina, N. (2021). An Examination of Correlates of Quality of Life in Children and Youth With Mental Health Issues. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *12*, 1518. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.709516

Cheng, C., Lau, Y.-C., Chan, L., & Luk, J. W. (2021). Prevalence of social media addiction across 32 nations: Meta-analysis with subgroup analysis of classification schemes and cultural values. In *Addictive Behaviors* (Vol. 117, p. 106845). Pergamon. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2021.106845

Collishaw, S. (2015). Annual research review: Secular trends in child and adolescent mental health. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *56*(3), 370–393. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12372

Corfield, E. C., Shadrin, A. A., Frei, O., Rahman, Z., Lin, A., Athanasiu, L., Cevdet Akdeniz, B., Tekin Filiz, T., Hannigan, L., Wootton, R. E., Austerberry, C., Hughes, A., Tesli, M.,

Westlye, L. T., Stefánsson, H., Stefánsson, K., Njølstad, P. R., Davies, N. M., Appadurai, V., … Affiliations, E. C. (2024). The Norwegian Mother, Father, and Child cohort study (MoBa) genotyping data resource: MoBaPsychGen pipeline v.1. *BioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.23.496289

Demontis, D., Walters, R. K., Martin, J., Mattheisen, M., Als, T. D., Agerbo, E., Baldursson, G., Belliveau, R., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Bækvad-Hansen, M., Cerrato, F., Chambert, K., Churchhouse, C., Dumont, A., Eriksson, N., Gandal, M., Goldstein, J. I., Grasby, K. L., Grove, J., … Neale, B. M. (2019). Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nature Genetics*, *51*(1), 63–75. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7

Engelhard, M. M., & Kollins, S. H. (2019). The Many Channels of Screen Media Technology in ADHD: a Paradigm for Quantifying Distinct Risks and Potential Benefits. In *Current Psychiatry Reports* (Vol. 21, Issue 9). Curr Psychiatry Rep. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-019-1077-1

Faraone, S. V., & Larsson, H. (2019). Genetics of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. In *Molecular Psychiatry* (Vol. 24, Issue 4, pp. 562–575). Mol Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-018-0070-0

Ford, T., Goodman, R., & Meltzer, H. (2003). The British child and adolescent mental health survey 1999: The prevalence of DSM-IV disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *42*(10), 1203–1211. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-200310000-00011

González-Bueso, V., Santamaría, J. J., Fernández, D., Merino, L., Montero, E., & Ribas, J. (2018). Association between internet gaming disorder or pathological video-game use and comorbid psychopathology: A comprehensive review. In *International Journal of*

Environmental Research and Public Health (Vol. 15, Issue 4). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15040668

Gore, F. M., Bloem, P. J. N., Patton, G. C., Ferguson, J., Joseph, V., Coffey, C., Sawyer, S. M., & Mathers, C. D. (2011). Global burden of disease in young people aged 10-24 years: A systematic analysis. *The Lancet*, *377*(9783), 2093–2102. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60512-6

Grove, J., Ripke, S., Als, T. D., Mattheisen, M., Walters, R. K., Won, H., Pallesen, J., Agerbo, E., Andreassen, O. A., Anney, R., Awashti, S., Belliveau, R., Bettella, F., Buxbaum, J. D., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Bækvad-Hansen, M., Cerrato, F., Chambert, K., Christensen, J. H., … Børglum, A. D. (2019). Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. *Nature Genetics*, *51*(3), 431–444. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0344-8

Heiervang, E., Stormark, K. M., Lundervold, A. J., Heimann, M., Goodman, R., Posserud, M. B., Ullebø, A. K., Plessen, K. J., Bjelland, I., Lie, S. A., & Gillberg, C. (2007). Psychiatric disorders in Norwegian 8- to 10-year-olds: An epidemiological survey of prevalence, risk factors, and service use. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *46*(4), 438–447. https://doi.org/10.1097/chi.0b013e31803062bf

Howard, D. M., Adams, M. J., Clarke, T. K., Hafferty, J. D., Gibson, J., Shirali, M., Coleman, J. R. I., Hagenaars, S. P., Ward, J., Wigmore, E. M., Alloza, C., Shen, X., Barbu, M. C., Xu, E. Y., Whalley, H. C., Marioni, R. E., Porteous, D. J., Davies, G., Deary, I. J., … McIntosh, A. M. (2019). Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. *Nature Neuroscience*, *22*(3), 343–352. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0326-7

ICD-10. (2019). *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision*. www.who.int

Kervin, R., Berger, C., Moon, S. J., Hill, H., Park, D., & Kim, J. W. (2021). Behavioral addiction and autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, *117*, 104033. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2021.104033

Kessler, R. C., Avenevoli, S., Costello, E. J., Georgiades, K., Green, J. G., Gruber, M. J., He, J. P., Koretz, D., McLaughlin, K. A., Petukhova, M., Sampson, N. A., Zaslavsky, A. M., & Merikangas, K. R. (2012). Prevalence, persistence, and sociodemographic correlates of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *69*(4), 372–380. https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.160

LeBourgeois, M. K., Hale, L., Chang, A. M., Akacem, L. D., Montgomery-Downs, H. E., & Buxton, O. M. (2017). Digital media and sleep in childhood and adolescence. *Pediatrics*, *140*(Suppl 2), S92–S96. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-1758J

Magnus, P., Birke, C., Vejrup, K., Haugan, A., Alsaker, E., Daltveit, A. K., Handal, M., Haugen, M., Høiseth, G., Knudsen, G. P., Paltiel, L., Schreuder, P., Tambs, K., Vold, L., & Stoltenberg, C. (2016). Cohort Profile Update: The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). *International Journal of Epidemiology*, *45*(2), 382–388. https://doi.org/10.1093/IJE/DYW029

Manoli, D. S., & State, M. W. (2021). Autism spectrum disorder genetics and the search for pathological mechanisms. In *American Journal of Psychiatry* (Vol. 178, Issue 1, pp. 30–38). Am J Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.20111608

Mullins, N., Forstner, A. J., O'Connell, K. S., Coombes, B., Coleman, J. R. I., Qiao, Z., Als, T. D., Bigdeli, T. B., Børte, S., Bryois, J., Charney, A. W., Drange, O. K., Gandal, M. J.,

Hagenaars, S. P., Ikeda, M., Kamitaki, N., Kim, M., Krebs, K., Panagiotaropoulou, G., … Andreassen, O. A. (2021). Genome-wide association study of over 40,000 bipolar disorder cases provides new insights into the underlying biology. *Nature Genetics*, *53*(6), 817–829. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41588-021-00857-4

Nilsen, R. M., Vollset, S. E., Gjessing, H. K., Skjærven, R., Melve, K. K., Schreuder, P., Alsaker, E. R., Haug, K., Daltveit, A. K., & Magnus, P. (2009). Self-selection and bias in a large prospective pregnancy cohort in Norway. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*, *23*(6), 597–608. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-3016.2009.01062.X

Nilsson, A., Kuja-Halkola, R., Lichtenstein, P., Larsson, H., Lundström, S., Fatouros-Bergman, H., Jayaram-Lindström, N., & Molero, Y. (2023). The genetics of gaming: A longitudinal twin study. *JCPP Advances*, *3*(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/jcv2.12179

Oswald, T. K., Rumbold, A. R., Kedzior, S. G. E., & Moore, V. M. (2020). Psychological impacts of “screen time” and “green time” for children and adolescents: A systematic scoping review. In *PLoS ONE* (Vol. 15, Issue 9 september). PLOS. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237725

Pain, O., Al-Chalabi, A., & Lewis, C. M. (2024). The GenoPred Pipeline: A Comprehensive and Scalable Pipeline for Polygenic Scoring. *Bioinformatics*, *40*(10). https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTAE551

Paltiel, L., Haugan, A., Skjerden, T., Harbak, K., Bækken, S., Stensrud, N. K., Knudsen, G. P., & Magnus, P. (2014). The biobank of the Norwegian mother and child cohort study – Present status. In *Norsk Epidemiologi* (Vol. 24, Issues 1–2, pp. 29–35). Norwegian Epidemiological Society. https://doi.org/10.5324/nje.v24i1-2.1755

Pan, N., Yang, Y., Du, X., Qi, X., Du, G., Zhang, Y., Li, X., & Zhang, Q. (2018). Brain structures associated with internet addiction tendency in adolescent online game players.

Frontiers in Psychiatry, *9*(MAR), 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00067

Paulich, K. N., Ross, J. M., Lessem, J. M., & Hewitt, J. K. (2021). Screen time and early adolescent mental health, academic, and social outcomes in 9- And 10-year old children: Utilizing the Adolescent Brain Cognitive DevelopmentSM (ABCD) Study. *PLoS ONE*, *16*(9 September), e0256591. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256591

Przybylski, A. K., Orben, A., & Weinstein, N. (2020). How Much Is Too Much? Examining the Relationship Between Digital Screen Engagement and Psychosocial Functioning in a Confirmatory Cohort Study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *59*(9), 1080–1088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2019.06.017

Przybylski, A. K., Weinstein, N., & Murayama, K. (2017). Internet gaming disorder: Investigating the clinical relevance of a new phenomenon. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *174*(3), 230–235. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2016.16020224

Rideout, V., & Robb, M. B. (2018). *Social Media, Social Life: Teens reveal their experiences.*

Sampasa-Kanyinga, H., Sampasa-Kanyinga, H., Colman, I., Colman, I., Goldfield, G. S., Goldfield, G. S., Janssen, I., Wang, J., Wang, J., Podinic, I., Podinic, I., Tremblay, M. S., Saunders, T. J., Sampson, M., Chaput, J. P., & Chaput, J. P. (2020). Combinations of physical activity, sedentary time, and sleep duration and their associations with depressive symptoms and other mental health problems in children and adolescents: A systematic review. In *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* (Vol. 17, Issue 1, pp. 1–16). BioMed Central Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-020-00976-x

Santos, R. M. S., Mendes, C. G., Marques Miranda, D., & Romano-Silva, M. A. (2022). The Association between Screen Time and Attention in Children: A Systematic Review. In

Developmental Neuropsychology (Vol. 47, Issue 4, pp. 175–192). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.1080/87565641.2022.2064863

Stiglic, N., & Viner, R. M. (2019). Effects of screentime on the health and well-being of children and adolescents: A systematic review of reviews. In *BMJ Open* (Vol. 9, Issue 1, p. e023191). British Medical Journal Publishing Group. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023191

Tang, S., Werner-Seidler, A., Torok, M., Mackinnon, A. J., & Christensen, H. (2021). The relationship between screen time and mental health in young people: A systematic review of longitudinal studies. In *Clinical Psychology Review* (Vol. 86). Clin Psychol Rev. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102021

Thorell, L. B., Burén, J., Ström Wiman, J., Sandberg, D., & Nutley, S. B. (2022). Longitudinal associations between digital media use and ADHD symptoms in children and adolescents: a systematic literature review. In *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* (Vol. 1, pp. 1–24). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-022-02130-3

Trubetskoy, V., Pardiñas, A. F., Qi, T., Panagiotaropoulou, G., Awasthi, S., Bigdeli, T. B., Bryois, J., Chen, C. Y., Dennison, C. A., Hall, L. S., Lam, M., Watanabe, K., Frei, O., Ge, T., Harwood, J. C., Koopmans, F., Magnusson, S., Richards, A. L., Sidorenko, J., … van Os, J. (2022). Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *Nature*, *604*(7906), 502–508. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04434-5

Twenge, J. M., Spitzberg, B. H., & Campbell, W. K. (2019). Less in-person social interaction with peers among U.S. adolescents in the 21st century and links to loneliness. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, *36*(6), 1892–1913.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407519836170

van de Vegte, Y. J., Said, M. A., Rienstra, M., van der Harst, P., & Verweij, N. (2020). Genome-wide association studies and Mendelian randomization analyses for leisure sedentary behaviours. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15553-w

Vink, J. M., Van Beijsterveldt, T. C. E. M., Huppertz, C., Bartels, M., & Boomsma, D. I. (2016). Heritability of compulsive Internet use in adolescents. *Addiction Biology*, *21*(2), 460–468. https://doi.org/10.1111/adb.12218

Weinstein, A., & Weizman, A. (2012). Emerging association between addictive gaming and attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. In *Current Psychiatry Reports* (Vol. 14, Issue 5, pp. 590–597). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-012-0311-x

Weng, C. B., Qian, R. B., Fu, X. M., Lin, B., Han, X. P., Niu, C. S., & Wang, Y. H. (2013). Gray matter and white matter abnormalities in online game addiction. *European Journal of Radiology*, *82*(8), 1308–1312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2013.01.031

Yang, A., Rolls, E. T., Dong, G., Du, J., Li, Y., Feng, J., Cheng, W., & Zhao, X. M. (2022). Longer screen time utilization is associated with the polygenic risk for Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder with mediation by brain white matter microstructure. *EBioMedicine*, *80*, 104039. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104039

Zhang, Y., Choi, K. W., Delaney, S. W., Ge, T., Pingault, J. B., & Tiemeier, H. (2023). Shared Genetic Risk in the Association of Screen Time With Psychiatric Problems in Children. *JAMA Network Open*, *6*(11). https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.41502

Zhou, H., Sealock, J. M., Sanchez-Roige, S., Clarke, T. K., Levey, D. F., Cheng, Z., Li, B.,

Polimanti, R., Kember, R. L., Smith, R. V., Thygesen, J. H., Morgan, M. Y., Atkinson, S. R., Thursz, M. R., Nyegaard, M., Mattheisen, M., Børglum, A. D., Johnson, E. C., Justice, A. C., … Gelernter, J. (2020). Genome-wide meta-analysis of problematic alcohol use in 435,563 individuals yields insights into biology and relationships with other traits. *Nature Neuroscience*, *23*(7), 809–818. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-0643-5

Zhu, X., Griffiths, H., Xiao, Z., Ribeaud, D., Eisner, M., Yang, Y., & Murray, A. L. (2023). Trajectories of Screen Time across Adolescence and Their Associations with Adulthood Mental Health and Behavioral Outcomes. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *52*(7), 1433–1447. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-023-01782-x

Tables

Table 1. Basic sociodemographic characteristics of the primary study sample (*n* = 25,079), and descriptive information on key study variables.

Basic demographic characteristics of the primary study sample		
Age when questionnaire was answered, years, mean (SD)		14.41 (0.51)
Sex assigned at birth, *n* (%)		
Male		11,653 (46.47%)
Female		13,426 (53.53%)
Gender identity, *n* (%)		
Boy		11,295 (45.04%)
Girl		12,943 (51.61%)
Trans person		63 (0.25%)
Do not know / Do not wish to answer		360 (1.44%)
NA		418 (1.67%)
Descriptive information on key study variables		
Questions	**Response options**	***n* (%)**
How much time do you usually spend during one weekday on the following activities?		
Watch movies/series/TV	**1 -** Never/ rarely	2314 (9.23%)
	2 - Less than 1 hour	6565 (26.18%)
	3 - 1-2 hours	10,924 (43.56%)
	4 - 3-4 hours	3979 (15.87%)
	5 - 5-6 hours	785 (3.13%)
	6 - 7 hours or more	299 (1.19%)
	NA	213 (0.85%)
Playing games (on PC, TV, tablet, mobile etc.)	**1 -** Never/ rarely	6105 (24.34%)
	2 - Less than 1 hour	6338 (25.27%)
	3 - 1-2 hours	6274 (25.02%)
	4 - 3-4 hours	4211 (16.79%)
	5 - 5-6 hours	1348 (5.38%)
	6 - 7 hours or more	552 (2.20%)
	NA	251 (1.00%)
Sitting/lying down with PC, mobile or tablet (irrespective of activity)	**1 -** Never/ rarely	491 (1.96%)
	2 - Less than 1 hour	2818 (11.24%)
	3 - 1-2 hours	8707 (34.72%)
	4 - 3-4 hours	8358 (33.33%)
	5 - 5-6 hours	3148 (12.55%)
	6 - 7 hours or more	1291 (5.15%)
	NA	266 (1.06%)
Communicating with friends on social media	**1 -** Never/ rarely	813 (3.23%)
	2 - Less than 1 hour	5440 (21.69%)
	3 - 1-2 hours	8505 (33.91%)
	4 - 3-4 hours	6228 (24.83%)
	5 - 5-6 hours	2532 (10.10%)
	6 - 7 hours or more	1376 (5.49%)
	NA	185 (0.74%)

NA indicates missing values.

Table 2. Odds ratios of having any psychiatric diagnosis among participants with different levels of screen-based activities.

	Odds ratio	**95% CI**	***p*-value**
TV watching			
Never/rarely	1.03	0.92–1.16	0.59
Less than 1 hour	0.86	0.79–0.92	7.25e-05*
1-2 hours	0.88	0.83–0.94	0.00019*
3-4 hours	1.26	1.16–1.37	7.32e-08*
5-6 hours	1.46	1.24–1.73	9.55e-06*
7 hours or more	2.04	1.59–2.63	2.51e-08*
Gaming			
Never/rarely	0.74	0.68–0.80	2.00e-12*
Less than 1 hour	0.85	0.78–0.91	2.12e-05*
1-2 hours	0.95	0.88–1.03	0.203
3-4 hours	1.31	1.20–1.44	3.14e-09*
5-6 hours	1.66	1.45–1.89	1.75e-13*
7 hours or more	2.51	2.09–3.02	<2.00e-16*
Total screen time			
Never/rarely	1.01	0.80–1.28	0.92
Less than 1 hour	0.85	0.76–0.94	0.0028
1-2 hours	0.74	0.69–0.80	3.46e-16*
3-4 hours	0.98	0.92–1.06	0.66
5-6 hours	1.34	1.22–1.47	3.08e-10*
7 hours or more	2.22	1.96–2.51	<2.00e-16*
Social media use			
Never/rarely	2.46	2.10–2.88	<2.00e-16*
Less than 1 hour	1.03	0.95–1.11	0.50
1-2 hours	0.78	0.72–0.83	2.06e-12*
3-4 hours	0.88	0.82–0.96	0.0019*
5-6 hours	1.21	1.09–1.34	0.00022*
7 hours or more	1.42	1.25–1.62	9.94e-08*

Odds ratios of having any psychiatric diagnosis among participants with different levels of screen-based activities were estimated in the primary study sample (n = 25,079) using logistic regression models with age, SES, and sex assigned at birth as covariates. Original p-values are reported. Asterisks indicate statistically significant results after comparison to family-wise error rate (α = 0.002, after Bonferroni correction for the number of tests).

SES: socioeconomic status, CI: confidence interval.

Figures

Figure 1. Odds ratios of having any psychiatric diagnosis among participants with different levels of screen-based activities in the primary study sample (*n* = 25,079).



Odds ratios of having any psychiatric diagnosis among participants with different levels of screen-based activities were estimated using logistic regression models with age and sex as covariates. Error bars indicate the 95% CIs for the estimated values. Asterisks indicate statistically significant results after comparison to the family-wise error rate (α = 0.002, after Bonferroni correction for the number of tests). The horizontal line indicates no association (OR = 1). CI: confidence interval

Figure 2. Association between time spent on screen-based activities and polygenic risk scores for major psychiatric disorders (*n* = 17,214).



Association between time spent on screen-based activities and polygenic risk scores for schizophrenia (SCZ), bipolar disorder (BP), major depressive disorder (MDD), autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and alcohol use disorder (AUD), derived from linear regression models. Error bars indicate the 95% CIs of the estimated regression coefficients. The vertical lines indicate an effect estimate equal to zero.