medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Risk and Protective Factors for Self-Harm and Suicide Behaviours among Serving and Ex-Serving Personnel of the UK Armed Forces, Canadian Armed Forces, Australian Defence Force and New Zealand Defence Force: A Systematic Review

Charlotte Williamson[1*], Bethany Croak[1], Amos Simms[2,3], Nicola T. Fear[1,2], Marie-Louise Sharp[1^] and Sharon A.M. Stevelink[1,4^]

[1] *King's Centre for Military Health Research, King's College London, London, UK*
[2] *Academic Department of Military Mental Health, King's College London, London, UK*
[3] *British Army, London, UK*
[4] *Department of Psychological Medicine, King's College London, London, UK*

***Corresponding Author:** Charlotte Williamson

King's Centre for Military Health Research, King's College London, Weston Education Centre, 10 Cutcombe Road, London, SE5 9RJ.

Email: charlotte.1.williamson@kcl.ac.uk

Twitter: @CF_Williamson

^Joint last authors

ORCiD:

CW: 0000-0003-2104-921X

BC: 0000-0002-6486-8154

AS: 0000-0002-1154-8085

NTF: 0000-0002-5792-2925

MLS: 0000-0001-8516-0166

SAMS: 0000-0002-7655-7986

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

Background

Self-harm and suicide behaviours are a major public health concern. Several factors are associated with these behaviours among military communities. Identifying these factors may have important implications for policy and clinical services.

Aims

To review the risk and protective factors associated with self-harm and suicide behaviours among serving and ex-serving personnel of the United Kingdom Armed Forces, Canadian Armed Forces, Australian Defence Force and New Zealand Defence Force.

Method

A systematic search of seven online databases (PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, Global Health, PsycINFO, PTSDpubs and CINAHL) was conducted alongside cross-referencing, in October 2022. Following an *a priori* PROSPERO approved protocol (CRD42022348867), papers were independently screened and assessed for quality. Data were synthesised using a narrative approach.

Results

Overall, 28 papers were included: 13 from Canada, 10 from the United Kingdom, five from Australia and none from New Zealand. Identified risk factors included being single/ex-relationship, early service leavers, shorter length of service, junior ranks, exposure to deployment-related traumatic events, physical and mental health diagnoses, and experience of childhood adversity. Protective included being married/in a relationship, higher educational attainment, employment, senior ranks, and higher levels of perceived social support.

Conclusions

Adequate care and support are a necessity for the military community. Prevention and intervention strategies for self-harm and suicide behaviours should promote social networks as a key source of support. More peer reviewed research is needed, particularly among the New Zealand Defence Force and regarding modifiable risk and protective factors.

Keywords: *self-harm and related behaviours; suicide; military personnel; veterans; systematic review; risk factors; protective factors*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

1. INTRODUCTION

Self-harm and suicide behaviours are a major public health concern, with over 700,000 people dying by suicide every year worldwide and an estimated 14.6 million people engage in self-harm each year globally.[1,2] The aetiology and onset of self-harm and suicide behaviours is complex and multifaceted; prevalence and risk appear to be influenced by several factors and vary by age, sex, ethnicity, geographical region and occupation.[3–7] Military populations are potentially an at-risk group as military service can impact on the health and wellbeing of personnel both during and after service.[8,9] Self-harm and death by suicide appear to be relatively rare among the military community, although rates of both behaviours have increased in recent years, they remain either lower or comparable to the general population.[10,11,20,21,12–19] Suicide behaviours can present as ideation (i.e. thoughts) and attempts which are also prevalent among serving and ex-serving personnel, with a reported 11% global prevalence of these behaviours in the entire military.[22]

Previous research has reported that serving and ex-serving personnel are at risk of self-harm and suicide behaviours if they have mental health diagnoses, for example post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).[18,19,23–26] Another specific at-risk group includes those separated from the military[18,27–30], especially if separation occurred involuntarily (e.g. medical discharge).[31,32] Additionally, younger age is a risk factor[16,31,33], with one recent study from the United Kingdom (UK) reporting that suicide risk was two to three times higher in men and women under 25 years old who had left the UK Armed Forces (compared to the general population).[16] The study also reported that ex-serving personnel with a shorter length of service (<10 years of service) were at increased risk.[16] Protective factors for self-harm and suicide behaviours among the military community have been less frequently explored than risk factors, but include social support[18,34–36], higher educational attainment[37], employment[38] and holding a more senior rank during service (e.g. officer)[18,19,39].

There remains a paucity of research exploring the risk and protective factors for self-harm and suicide behaviours among several military populations, with available literature focussing on a limited number of countries. This review focused on military populations from four nations from the Five Eyes Alliance: namely the UK, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. The Five Eyes nations (which also includes the United States (US)) have a combined military population estimated at 2.6 million, are all developed countries which share a common language, have similarities in society and culture, broadly similar military involvement, and well-resourced military healthcare systems.[40] Of the limited systematic

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

reviews in the field, studies on US military populations make up all or the majority of included papers.[35,41,42] To address this gap in the literature, the current review focuses on the remaining four Five Eyes nations where there has been limited attention in the literature previously. Additionally, the US was excluded due to the differences in firearms culture, including access, licensing and laws which affects access to means of suicide. For instance, a recent systematic review in the US highlighted that US veterans are at a substantially increased risk of firearm suicide and have higher rates of firearm ownership than the US general population.[43]

The current systematic review aimed to address these gaps in the literature by identifying risk and protective factors associated with self-harm and suicide behaviours among serving and ex-serving personnel of the UK Armed Forces, Canadian Armed Forces, Australian Defence Force and New Zealand Defence Force.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

2. METHOD

2.1. Design

This systematic review was conducted following Cochrane methodology and Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.[44] Prior to commencing the review, the review protocol was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42022348867), an online database of systematic review protocols submitted prospectively to maintain research integrity .

2.2. Search Strategy

Seven electronic databases were searched for relevant literature in October 2022: PubMed (including MEDLINE and PubMed Central), Web of Science, Embase (via OVID), Global Health (via OVID), PsycINFO (via OVID), PTSDpubs (formerly PILOTS) and CINAHL. All databases were searched using a combination of pre-defined terms related to: (1) self-harm and suicide behaviours, (2) the military, and (3) geographical locations. The syntax used for the searches is reported in **Supplementary 1**.

The search included all original, peer-reviewed work, published in English, that identified risk and/or protective factors associated with self-harm and/or suicide behaviours both during (serving personnel) and after (ex-serving personnel/veterans) military service. Restrictions were placed on publication dates from 1st January 2001 to 30th September 2022 to allow for the coverage of the start of the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts. Additionally, limits of the year 2001 onwards were chosen due to better availability and quality of literature in the field of military medicine.[45]

PROSPERO was searched to identify completed, ongoing or planned systematic reviews and meta-analyses of relevance. The reference lists of all included studies and other relevant studies were also searched (cross-referencing). At least one expert from each nation working in the field of military self-harm and suicide research was contacted to ensure the key papers from each nation had been identified. If the full text of a paper was not available online, authors were contacted to request access.

2.3. Study Selection Criteria

Eligibility was determined using the following inclusion criteria:

- Published in the English language
- Original, peer-reviewed work

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- Published between 1st January 2001 to 30th September 2022 (inclusive)
- Studies that reported risk and/or protective factors for outcomes of self-harm, suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and/or completed suicide
- Full or part of the reported population sample made up of serving and ex-serving personnel. If military only make up part of the sample, only included papers that reported on outcomes for serving/ex-serving personnel separate from the other population (e.g., general population). Various military populations were explored (if reported) including serving and ex-serving personnel (Regulars and Reservists) from the Navy, Army, Air Force, and Marines
- Population comprising of serving and/or ex-serving personnel from the UK Armed Forces, Canadian Armed Forces, Australian Defence Force or New Zealand Defence Force

Exclusion criteria included:

- Study design: qualitative, systematic reviews/meta-analyses, pilot studies, case-control studies, case reports and series, study protocols, grey literature, conference abstracts/papers, dissertations/theses, and editorials
- Outcomes of assisted suicide (i.e. the act of deliberately assisting another person to kill themselves[46]) and suicide bombing (i.e. a terrorist bomb attack in which the perpetrator expects to die whilst killing a number of other people)
- Studies that only included a population sample of conscripts (i.e., people compulsorily enlisted into the military), cadets or officer students

For the purpose of this review ex-serving personnel were defined as those who completed a minimum of one day paid employment in the military but no longer serve (following the UK definition).[47] Self-harm (also termed as non-suicidal self-injury, self-inflicted injury) was considered to mean intentional self-inflicted injury to the body with the expectation that the injury will lead only to minor physical harm.[48] Suicidal ideation was defined as thoughts, ideas, or ruminations about the possibility of ending one's own life.[49] A suicide attempt was considered as a specific episode of self-harming behaviour undertaken with the conscious intention of ending one's own life.[50] Completed suicide was defined as intentional self-harm leading to death.[51]

2.4. Screening and Data Extraction

After the initial search was conducted, all identified studies were imported into Endnote 20 and screened for duplicates. Where duplicates were found, they were removed. CW independently

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

reviewed the titles and abstracts of all papers. Subsequently, the full papers for the remaining studies identified as relevant were then reviewed. The reference lists of all included papers were manually searched for any additional papers of relevance (i.e., cross-referencing). BC independently performed second reviewer screening on a sample of studies (10% at title/abstract screening stage and 20% at full text screening stage). The reviewers (CW and BC) independently decided which studies met the eligibility criteria to be included in the review and, at full text screening stage, noted any reasons for exclusion. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion. Interrater reliability was calculated at each screening stage. At the title/abstract screening stage, agreement between reviewers was 99% and at full-text screening stage, agreement was 100%.

Data were extracted independently by CW for all papers deemed relevant for inclusion in the review. The following data were extracted for each included paper:

- **General information:** title, lead author, publication date, journal title, location/country of study
- **Study characteristics:** study aim, study design and methods, response rate (where relevant), sample size, data collection date
- **Sample characteristics**: age (in years), sex distribution, ethnicity, population type (e.g., clinical/non-clinical)
- **Military characteristics:** serving status (serving/ex-serving), engagement type (regular/reserve), service branch, rank, deployment, era of service
- **Outcomes:** type (self-harm, suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, completed suicide), definition and measures
- **Associated factors**
- **Study findings:** conclusions, limitations, future research

Statistical findings are reported in **Supplementary 2**. Where available, adjusted odds ratios or effect estimates have been presented. If this information was not available, unadjusted results have been reported and clearly identified.

2.5. Quality Assessment

The quality of each included paper was assessed independently by CW using the National Heart, Blood and Lung Institute (NHBLI) tailored quality assessment tools.[52] BC independently performed second

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

reviewer quality assessment on a sample of papers (20%). Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion. Papers were not excluded based on their quality, instead, results from the assessment provided additional insight into the quality of research in the field.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

3. RESULTS

Database searches returned a total of 4,576 papers, of which 497 were duplicates and were removed **(Supplementary 3)**. In total, the title and abstracts of 4,079 papers were screened, leaving 94 papers to be screened at full-text stage. Experts in the field identified no additional peer-reviewed papers and cross-referencing identified one additional paper of relevance to the review. Overall, 28 papers met the inclusion criteria **(Supplementary 4)**.

3.1. Study Characteristics

Included studies used a range of study designs; the majority were cohort studies (n=23)[18,19,32,36–38,53–69] and the remaining papers utilised a retrospective cohort study design (n=5)[15,28,70–72] **(Table 1)**. Of the 28 papers included in the review, the majority were from Canada (n=13)[55–58,61–63,66–68,71,72] and the UK (n=10)[15,18,19,28,53,54,64,65,69,70]. The rest of the papers were from Australia (n=5)[32,37,38,59,60], but none of the included papers were from New Zealand. Several papers explored samples of ex-serving personnel only (n=12)[56–59,61,66–68], followed by serving personnel only (n=8)[15,28,37,38,60,62–65,69,70,72] and mixed samples of serving and ex-serving personnel (n=8)[18,19,32,36,53,55,71,73]. Of the 28 included papers, 24 included mixed male and female samples, however the percentage of male participants typically ranged from around 85% to 99%.[15,18,19,28,32,38,53–58,61–72] Of the last four papers, three used all male samples[37,59,60] and one did not explicitly report on the sex of the sample directly within the paper.[36]

3.2. Quality Assessment

Of the 28 papers included in the review, most papers received a quality assessment score of 'fair' (n=21), and the remaining papers scored 'good' (n=7) (**Supplementary 2).** Some of the common reasons for scoring 'fair' in the quality assessment included lacking a sample size justification or power calculation, not assessing the exposure variables more than once over time, and not using outcome measures which were clearly defined, valid and reliable.

3.3. Reported Self-Harm and Suicide Behaviour Outcomes

Included papers reported on a range of self-harm and suicide behaviour outcomes outlined in **Table 1**. Four papers reported on self-harm only[19,53,54,70], nine reported on suicidal ideation only[36,55,56,62–64,68,69,71], two on suicide attempts only[38,67] and three on completed suicides only[15,28,72]. The remaining

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

10 papers reported on a mix of these outcomes, typically referred to as 'suicidality' (often including outcomes of suicidal ideation, suicide plans and suicide attempts)[18,32,37,57–61,65,66].

[Table 1]

3.3.1. Definitions of Self-Harm and Suicide Behaviour Outcomes

Only six papers provided a definition for the outcomes of interest **(Table 1)**, with the remaining papers failing to report why these terms were not defined. O'Toole *et al* defined suicidality as "any self-initiated behaviour occurring on a continuum ranging from suicidal ideations, to making a suicide plan, through to a suicide attempts[74]".[37] Pinder *et al* defined self-harm in line with the National Clinical Practice Guidelines, "self-harm is self-poisoning or self-injury irrespective of the apparent purpose of the act[75]".[19] The four remaining papers that provided an outcome definition utilised International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes (versions 8, 9 and 10) to define and measure the outcome of interest.[15,28,70,72]

3.3.2. Measurement of Self-Harm and Suicide Behaviour Outcomes

The majority of papers used self-report surveys (n=17)[19,32,36,38,53–58,61,64,66–68,71]. Two papers collected data via structured clinical interviews[62,63] and four papers utilised a mix of self-report surveys and structured clinical interviews[18,37,59,60]. One study measured the outcomes of interest via a clinical assessment[69]. The remaining papers used ICD codes to define and measure the outcome of interest[15,28,70,72].

A variety of outcome measures were used to collect data on the outcomes of self-harm and suicidal behaviours **(Table 1)**. For instance, ICD codes[15,28,70,72], Patient Health Questionnaire-9[55,71], Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised[18,19] and Suicidal Behaviors Questionnaire-Revised[64].

Risk Factors

Numerous risk factors for self-harm and suicide behaviours among serving and ex-serving personnel were identified in the review, including factors relating to sociodemographic characteristics, military characteristics, and health **[Table 2].**

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

3.3.3. Risk Factors for Self-Harm

Pre-enlistment factors increased the risk of self-harm, including having experienced childhood adversity and abuse than those without these experiences (approximately doubling the risk).[19,53] Certain demographic groups were also found to be at more at risk including younger age groups[19,53,54] compared to middle/older age groups and those no longer in a relationship (i.e., separated/divorced)[53] compared to being in a relationship. There were discrepancies between studies regarding the impact of sex, with two studies reporting the risk of self-harm was increased for females[18,53] but one study stated increased risk for males[70]. Military characteristics associated with increased risk of self-harm included having left service, with ex-serving personnel reported to be as much as three times more at risk of self-harm than serving personnel or non-military population depending on sample explored.[18,19,53,70] Other military characteristics that increased the risk of self-harm included being an early service leaver, defined within the relevant papers as <4 years[65] and ≤2.5 years[70] of service (e.g., unadjusted odds of around 12[65]).

Several health-related factors also increased risk of self-harm among military serving and ex-serving personnel. The factors with some of the largest effect sizes (ranging from approximately two to eight times more likely) included clinical diagnosis or probable diagnosis of PTSD[18,19,70], depression[18,19], and anxiety[18,70], as well as history of suicidal ideation[18]. Further, other risk factors for self-harm included a lack of social support[53], mental health-related stigmatisation[18], perceived practical barriers to care[18], negative attitudes to mental illness[18], and formal medical help-seeking[18] (all approximately tripling risk).

3.3.4. Risk Factors for Suicide Behaviours

Similar risk factors were identified for suicide behaviours (i.e., suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and completed suicide). Pre-enlistment factors, such as experience of childhood adversity and abuse were found to increase the risk of suicide when compared to those without these experiences (around two to seven times more likely).[59–61,66,69] Certain demographic characteristics also increased the risk of suicide behaviours, including being single or being separated, divorced, or widowed[32,56,59,62], having lower educational attainment (less than university degree level)[59], and being unemployed[38,69]. Another risk factor for suicide behaviours included a lack of social support.[55] The influence of age remains unclear, as studies reported a variety of age groups to be at increased risk of suicide

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

behaviours[15,28,55,59,72]; for instance younger age groups (e.g., 16 to 24 years)[28], younger/middle age groups (e.g., <25 to 44 years)[72], and a mixture of age groups (i.e., 25 to 45+ years)[59].

Numerous military characteristics increased the risk of suicide behaviours, including being medically discharged from service[32], having a shorter length of service (i.e., one to four years[28])[28,55], holding a more junior rank during service[59,60,72], serving as a Reservist (vs Regular)[18], and shorter intervals since return from last Afghanistan deployment (i.e., <4 years)[68]. Being an early service leaver was another factor that increased risk of suicide behaviours, defined within the relevant papers as ≤3 years[15] and <4 years[65,69] of service (e.g., increased risk by as much as eight-fold[69]). Experience of deployment-related traumatic events[36,57,58,61,66–68] and exposure to trauma[36,59,60,64,67] were other factors associated with increased risk of suicide behaviours.

Several health-related factors were positively associated with suicide behaviours among military serving and ex-serving personnel. These included number of physical health disorders (small effect size of just over one)[62] and number of mental health disorders (odds ranging from around two to around 20 times more likely)[56,62,63]. More specifically, clinical diagnosis or probable diagnosis of PTSD[18,32,36–38,56,61], depression[18,32,36,37,56,59–62,71], anxiety[18,32,37,56,60,61], alcohol use disorder[32,37,56,61], cannabis use[37], mood disorder[62], insomnia[56], or panic attacks[61] (ranging from just over one to 15 times more likely). Further, other risk factors for suicide behaviours included a higher number of perceived practical barriers to care, negative attitudes to mental illness, and formal medical help-seeking.[18] Lifetime suicidal ideation was reported as a risk factor for suicide attempts (up to 12 times more likely).[18]

3.4. Protective Factors

Several protective factors were identified in the included studies **[Table 2]**.

3.4.1. Protective Factors for Self-Harm

Several factors were identified that decreased the risk of self-harm, including middle/older age groups[18,53,70] (e.g. 35 to 49+ years[18]) compared to other age groups, and experience of less adversity during childhood (i.e., less than three factors)[19]. Certain military characteristics reduced the likelihood of self-harm, for example holding a more senior rank compared to junior ranks[18,19], being a Reservist

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

compared to Regular[53], and having a longer length of service[19,70]. Further, a higher level of perceived social support was associated with a lower likelihood of self-harm.[18,53]

3.4.2. Protective Factors for Suicide Behaviours

When considering suicide behaviours (i.e., suicidal ideation, suicide attempts and completed suicide), there were several factors that decreased the risk of suicide behaviours. Certain demographics were significantly associated with reduced risk of suicide behaviours including being female[28], middle age groups (e.g. 35 to 54 years compared to those <35 years)[69], having a current significant relationship (i.e., being married or in a relationship approximately half as likely than those in ex-relationships)[28,59,60], higher educational attainment[59,60], and being employed[38].

Several military characteristics decreased the risk of suicide behaviours, including holding a more senior rank compared to junior ranks[18,28], service in the Royal Airt Force[18,28] or Naval Services[28] compared to service in the Army, and certain deployment-related factors such as higher cumulative duration of Afghanistan-related deployments (i.e., ≥361 days)[68], and less time away on deployment in the past three years (i.e., up to two years)[68] (all approximately half as likely). Higher levels of perceived social support[18,36] and taking longer than five years to seek support (compared to taking less than five years)[69] were also identified factors that reduced the likelihood of suicide behaviours.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

4. DISCUSSION

This review identified 28 papers reporting on a wide range of risk and protective factors for self-harm and suicide behaviours among serving and ex-serving personnel of the UK Armed Forces, Canadian Armed Forces, Australian Defence Force and New Zealand Defence Force.

A variety of definitions and measurements were used for the self-harm and suicide behaviour outcomes reported in the included papers of this review. Definition is an important aspect of academic research and clinical practice, yet precise definitions have been contested, and current terminology varies across nations.[76] For instance, there are several alternative terms that may be used for self-harm, including non-suicidal self-injury, deliberate self-harm and self-inflicted violence. In the UK, the term *deliberate* self-harm has been removed from use due to it appearing judgemental and placing blame on the individual.[77,78] In the US however, the term non-suicidal self-injury is commonly used. Definitions of mental health conditions and the use of consistent language are an important starting point within good quality research papers and are also important for reducing stigma and encouraging individuals to seek help, particularly relating to self-harm and suicide behaviours.[79]

Several of the identified risk factors reflected those found among the general population and other occupational groups with an increased risk of traumatic exposures in the line of duty including emergency responders[3,80,81], and were consistent across the included geographical regions (UK, Canada, and Australia). Although no relevant peer-reviewed papers from New Zealand were identified, suicide prevention work is being conducted.[82] In line with the findings of this review, risk factors for suicide among the New Zealand Defence Force have been reported to include current mental health concerns, acute life stressors and negative attitudes towards help-seeking, and protective factors include positive mental health and social support.[82] However, it is important to recognise that some risk and protective factors were not consistent across included studies in this review, and the influence of age, sex, certain military service characteristics, and certain health diagnoses remains unclear. For instance, having served as a Reservist increased the likelihood of suicide behaviours[18] but decreased the likelihood of self-harm[53], highlighting the need for a holistic approach when supporting the military community. It is important to develop an enhanced understanding about motivations for engaging in self-harm and suicide behaviours, as for some self-harm can be used as a coping mechanism to regulate internal emotions, whereas suicide behaviours may become more apparent when the individual feels they can no longer cope.[83]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

One key risk factor for self-harm was having left service. Potentially the transition from serving to ex-serving is a period of risk and support should be in place during this time. It might be that after leaving service, ex-serving personnel no longer feel like part of the military 'family', experience a shift in their sense of self and have difficulty connecting to civilian life[84]. Alternatively, it could potentially reflect the influence of time as ex-serving personnel are typically older, and therefore, if considering lifetime prevalence and risk, this would capture a longer period of time. Additionally, there may be an underrepresentation among serving personnel as they are potentially more hesitant to report these behaviours due to stigma and fear of negative consequences to their career[85]; personnel with ill health (physical or mental) may be forced to leave service (i.e. medical discharge) and those who involuntarily separate from the military are at high risk of self-harm and suicide behaviours.[31,32,86,87]

Aligning with previous international research[17,88], another key risk factor for self-harm and suicide behaviours was poor health, in particular probable or clinical diagnosis of PTSD, depression and anxiety. This highlights the importance of early detection of mental health problems, providing adequate care and support to military personnel throughout their military career, and providing continuity of care as they transition out of service. Interestingly, seeking help from formal medical sources was positively associated with lifetime suicide attempts[18] and taking more than five years to seek help was negatively associated with suicidal ideation[69]. It is unlikely that seeking help from formal medical sources has a causal relationship with suicide behaviours. Instead, UK research has found that ex-serving personnel are known to present to clinical services at times of crisis, which might involve an active episode of self-harm or suicide behaviours, therefore, placing them more at risk[89]. Additionally, those who delay seeking help for longer may have discovered ways to cope with their difficulties on their own, whereas those who seek help sooner may have been in crisis and at higher risk of suicidal ideation[69]. Clearly, a key challenge relating to self-harm and suicide behaviour risk is prevention. Most developed nations already have suicide prevention strategies in place, including for serving and ex-serving personnel, or as part of the wider mental health strategy[90–93]. The findings of this review suggest that prevention and intervention strategies should focus on the broader context of improving health and wellbeing (physical, mental, and social health).

Less research focussed on protective factors for self-harm and suicide behaviours among the included military populations. Despite this, one of the most common associations found was with higher levels

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

of perceived social support[18,36], indicating that this was likely a salient factor that could help prevent or mitigate the risk of self-harm and suicide behaviours, particularly due to its modifiable nature. This aligns with other international military populations, including the US[94], as well as in the general population where social support has been recommended for use in suicide prevention strategies.[95,96] One way to bolster social support among military populations is the use of peer support as a preventative strategy. For instance, a guide jointly developed by the UK Ministry of Defence and Samaritans (a suicide prevention charity in the UK) promoted the use of peer support among the UK Armed Forces[97], and peer support has also been implemented successfully for US ex-serving personnel using a community-based model[98].

Strengths and Limitations

A strength of this review was the search of seven literature databases using a broad search strategy outlined in an *a priori* PROSPERO approved review protocol. Generally, the protocol was followed but any changes made were reflected by updating the protocol (i.e., removing the limit around the age of the sample). Additionally, a proportion of the eligibility assessment and critical appraisal of papers was conducted by a second, independent reviewer with high inter-rater reliability which strengthened the review. Focus on the Five Eyes nations is also beneficial as this review collates evidence where attention has previously been limited.

However, there were some limitations to note. As with all systematic reviews, the findings of this review were subject to publication bias. Additionally, some potential risk and protective factors received less attention than others, however this review tried to provide the best synthesis of the evidence currently available. Due to the scope of the review, it was not appropriate to conduct a meta-analysis.

There were also several limitations relating to the included papers. First, papers each used different instruments and conceptualisations to define and measure self-harm and suicide behaviours which limited the possibility of comparing findings across studies. However, combining these papers in a review contributes to understanding. Second, there is no universally agreed definition of veterans (i.e., ex-serving personnel) which makes cross-cultural comparison difficult. For instance, in the UK a veteran is defined as someone who has served a minimum of one day paid employment in the UK Armed Forces but no longer serves.[47] In Canada, a veteran is regarded to be a person who was on

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

active service during World War I or II as a member of the naval, army or air force of Canada or of similar forces raised in Newfoundland[99].

Third, studies did not always report on some military characteristics (such as service branch, rank, deployment experience, era of service and time since leaving service) and demographic characteristics (such as ethnicity and sexuality) which would have been useful for contextualisation and interpretation of the findings. There remains limited understanding of the impact of sex, experiences of ethnic minority and LGBTQ+ personnel. Fourth, included studies used a variety of sample sizes (range n= 144 to 233,803), study procedures, and sample characteristics. For example, the majority of papers relied on self-reported data which may have been subject to recall bias and social desirability bias. Finally, those still serving in the military may have been concerned about their career prospects if they admitted to experience of self-harm and suicide behaviours which may have led to underreporting of experiences.

4.1. Implications

This review has several important implications for policy, clinical practice, and research. The identification of risk and protective factors can be useful to inform military health services and policies including where to target suicide prevention policy to reduce the incidence and impact of suicide. In the UK, this is one of nine key health priority themes laid out in the Defence People Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2022-2027.[100] In particular, one of the strategic objectives of the Armed Forces Suicide Prevention Strategy and Action Plan in the UK is to understand high risk groups within the Armed Forces.[101]

Identifying the risk and protective factors for self-harm and suicide behaviour outcomes is an important aspect of the development and implementation of effective prevention and intervention strategies to protect the mental health and wellbeing of military populations. Evidence on factors related to self-harm and suicide behaviours can inform healthcare practice and service provision for the Armed Forces community by highlighting several at-risk groups which may require additional support. This review suggests that additional support is required during the period of transition from military to civilian life but also highlights the importance of prevention early on in military service to reduce the impact on personnel as they transition out of service. The identified protective factors suggest that prevention and intervention strategies should focus on encouraging help-seeking for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

mental health problems early on before crisis events occur, as well as promoting social support networks and strengthening connections with family, friends and the community as a whole.

Stronger international research collaborations, such as the Five Eyes Mental Health Research and Innovation Collaborative[102], is required to fully understand risk for self-harm and suicide behaviours among serving and ex-serving personnel. Additionally, focus should be placed on modifiable factors (i.e., factors that could reasonably be altered, such as psychiatric symptoms and social support). Although non-modifiable factors can help to identify level of risk, they are of less use during prevention and intervention as they cannot be changed to alter the level of risk. Therefore, particular focus on modifiable risk and protective factors is warranted as they are amenable to therapeutic intervention and can be key to addressing long-term risk due to their adaptive nature. However, it is still important to understand the role of non-modifiable factors as there may be an indirect effect, for example when considering military rank, it might be that officers are at lower risk of self-harm and suicide behaviours because they typically hold higher socio-economic status and higher educational attainment[103] which are known protective factors.

This review highlighted a lack of original, peer-reviewed work conducted on this topic in New Zealand which warrants further investigation. Future studies should also focus on conducting longitudinal investigations which distinguish between pre-, peri- and post-service factors in order to identify pathways of self-harm and suicide behaviours, and to ensure support is in place at the right point in the military lifecycle.

4.2. Conclusions

This review highlighted several risk and protective factors for self-harm and suicide behaviours which warrant attention. Adequate care and support are necessary for serving and ex-serving personnel who may be at risk of experiencing self-harm or suicide behaviours. Particular focus should be placed on implementing prevention strategies early on in military service to reduce the impact on personnel as they transition out of service. The identified protective factors suggest that prevention and intervention strategies should promote social networks as a key source of support for military personnel. This review highlighted the lack of peer-reviewed research on self-harm and suicide behaviours among the New Zealand Defence Force. Research should continue to progress towards understanding and preventing self-harm and suicide behaviours among military populations.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

5. REFERENCES

1 Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019) results. , 2020 (https://www.healthdata.org/results/gbd_summaries/2019/self-harm-level-3-cause).

2 World Health Organisation (WHO). Suicide: Key Facts. 2019. (https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/suicide).

3 Knipe D, Padmanathan P, Newton-Howes G, Chan LF, Kapur N. Suicide and self-harm. *Lancet* 2022; **399**: 1903–16.

4 Office for National Statistics. Deaths from suicide that occurred in England and Wales: April to December 2020. 2022. (https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/articles/deathsfromsuicidethatoccurredinenglandandwales/apriltodecember2020).

5 Office for National Statistics. Suicides in England and Wales: 2020 registrations. 2021. (https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/suicidesintheunitedkingdom/2020registrations#suicide-patterns-by-age).

6 NCISH. Suicide by middle-aged men. , 2021 (https://sites.manchester.ac.uk/ncish/reports/suicide-by-middle-aged-men/).

7 Bresin K, Schoenleber M. Gender differences in the prevalence of nonsuicidal self-injury: A meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2015; **38**: 55–64.

8 Stevelink SAM, Jones M, Hull L, Pernet D, Maccrimmon S, Goodwin L, *et al.* Mental health outcomes at the end of the British involvement in the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts: A cohort study. *Br J Psychiatry* 2018; **213**: 690–7.

9 Williamson C, Baumann J, Murphy D. Exploring the health and well-being of a national sample of U.K. treatment-seeking veterans. *Psychol Trauma Theory, Res Pract Policy* 2022. doi:10.1037/tra0001356.

10 Ministry of Defence. Suicides in the UK regular armed forces: Annual summary and trends over time. 1 January 1984 to 31 December 2022. , 2023 (https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1145999/UK_armed_forces_suicides_1984_to_2022.pdf).

11 Eaton KM, Messer SC, Garvey Wilson AL, Hoge CW. Strengthening the validity of population-based suicide rate comparisons: an illustration using U.S. military and civilian data. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 2006; **36**: 182–91.

12 Department of Defense. Annual Suicide Report. Calendar Year 2020. 2020. (https://www.dspo.mil/Portals/113/Documents/CY20 Suicide Report/CY 2020 Annual Suicide Report.pdf?ver=0OwlvDd-PJuA-igow5fBFA%3D%3D).

13 Government of Canada. Suicide and suicide prevention in the Canadian Armed Forces. 2022. (https://www.canada.ca/en/department-national-defence/services/benefits-military/health-support/mental-health/suicide-and-suicide-prevention-in-the-caf.html).

14 Government of Canada. 2021 Report on Suicide Mortality in the Canadian Armed Forces (1995 to 2020). , 2021 (https://www.canada.ca/en/department-national-defence/corporate/reports-publications/health/2021-report-on-suicide-mortality-in-the-caf-1995-to-2020.html#toc3).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

15 Bergman BP, Mackay DF, Pell JP. Suicide among Scottish military veterans: follow-up and trends. *Occup Environ Med* 2022; **79**: 88–93.

16 Rodway C, Ibrahim S, Westhead J, Bojanić L, Turnbull P, Appleby L, *et al.* Suicide after leaving the UK Armed Forces 1996–2018: A cohort study. *PLOS Med* 2023; **20**: e1004273.

17 Stuart J, Bennett C. Mental Health in the NZDF – Insights for Suicide Prevention. , 2021.

18 Jones N, Sharp M-L, Phillips A, Stevelink SAM. Suicidal Ideation, Suicidal Attempts, and Self-Harm in the UK Armed Forces. 2019. doi:10.1111/sltb.12570.

19 Pinder RJ, Iversen AC, Kapur N, Wessely S, Fear NT. Self-harm and attempted suicide among UK Armed Forces personnel: Results of a cross-sectional survey. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2012; **58**: 433–9.

20 Bandel SL, Anestis MD. Non-suicidal self-injury among male service members: Descriptive information and a preliminary examination of external correlates. *Psychiatry Res* 2020; **285**: 112815.

21 Turner BJ, Kleiman EM, Nock MK. Non-suicidal self-injury prevalence, course, and association with suicidal thoughts and behaviors in two large, representative samples of US Army soldiers. *Psychol Med* 2019; **49**: 1470–80.

22 Moradi Y, Dowran B, Sepandi M. The global prevalence of depression, suicide ideation, and attempts in the military forces: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of cross sectional studies. *BMC Psychiatry* 2021; **21**. doi:10.1186/S12888-021-03526-2.

23 Bentley KH, Cassiello-Robbins CF, Vittorio L, Sauer-Zavala S, Barlow DH. The association between nonsuicidal self-injury and the emotional disorders: A meta-analytic review. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2015; **37**: 72–88.

24 Kimbrel NA, Johnson ME, Clancy C, Hertzberg M, Collie C, Van Voorhees EE, *et al.* Deliberate Self-Harm and Suicidal Ideation Among Male Iraq/Afghanistan-Era Veterans Seeking Treatment for PTSD. *J Trauma Stress* 2014; **27**: 474–7.

25 Ramchand R, Rudavsky R, Grant S, Tanielian T, Jaycox L. Prevalence of, Risk Factors for, and Consequences of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Other Mental Health Problems in Military Populations Deployed to Iraq and Afghanistan. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2015; **17**: 1–11.

26 Holliday R, Borges LM, Stearns-Yoder KA, Hoffberg AS, Brenner LA, Monteith LL. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, Suicidal Ideation, and Suicidal Self-Directed Violence Among U.S. Military Personnel and Veterans: A Systematic Review of the Literature From 2010 to 2018. *Front Psychol* 2020; **11**. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01998.

27 Reger MA, Smolenski DJ, Skopp NA, Metzger-Abamukang MJ, Kang HK, Bullman TA, *et al.* Risk of Suicide Among US Military Service Members Following Operation Enduring Freedom or Operation Iraqi Freedom Deployment and Separation From the US Military. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015; **72**: 561–9.

28 Kapur N, While D, Blatchley N, Bray I, Harrison K. Suicide after Leaving the UK Armed Forces —A Cohort Study. *PLoS Med* 2009; **6**: e1000026.

29 Ravindran C, Morley SW, Stephens BM, Stanley IH, Reger MA. Association of Suicide Risk With Transition to Civilian Life Among US Military Service Members. *JAMA Netw Open* 2020; **3**: e2016261–e2016261.

30 Pease JL, Billera M, Gerard G. Military Culture and the Transition to Civilian Life: Suicide Risk and Other Considerations. *Soc Work* 2016; **61**: 83–6.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

31 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Serving and ex-serving Australian Defence Force members who have served since 1985: suicide monitoring 1997 to 2020. , 2022 doi:10.25816/pg08-5a76.

32 Varker T, Cowlishaw S, Baur J, McFarlane AC, Lawrence-Wood E, Metcalf O, *et al.* Problem anger in veterans and military personnel: Prevalence, predictors, and associated harms of suicide and violence. *J Psychiatr Res* 2022; **151**: 57–64.

33 Ministry of Defence. Deliberate Self Harm (DSH) in the UK Armed Forces. 1 April 2010 – 31 March 2018. , 2019 (https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/919761/20181221_Adhoc_Statistical_Bulletin_DSH_in_the_UK_Armed_Forces_O.pdf).

34 Elbogen EB, Molloy K, Wagner HR, Kimbrel NA, Beckham JC, Van Male L, *et al.* Psychosocial protective factors and suicidal ideation: Results from a national longitudinal study of veterans. *J Affect Disord* 2020; **260**: 703–9.

35 Nock MK, Deming CA, Fullerton CS, Gilman SE, Goldenberg M, Kessler RC, *et al.* Suicide among soldiers: a review of psychosocial risk and protective factors. *Psychiatry* 2013; **76**: 97–125.

36 Nelson C, Cyr K St., Corbett B, Hurley E, Gifford S, Elhai JD, *et al.* Predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder, depression, and suicidal ideation among Canadian Forces personnel in a National Canadian Military Health Survey. *J Psychiatr Res* 2011; **45**: 1483–8.

37 O'Toole BI, Orreal-Scarborough T, Johnston D, Catts S V., Outram S. Suicidality in Australian Vietnam veterans and their partners. *J Psychiatr Res* 2015; **65**: 30–6.

38 Kerr K, Romaniuk M, McLeay S, Khoo A, Dent MT, Boshen M. Increased risk of attempted suicide in Australian veterans is associated with total and permanent incapacitation, unemployment and posttraumatic stress disorder severity. *Aust New Zeal J Psychiatry* 2018; **52**: 552–60.

39 Hunt IM, Kapur N, Webb R, Robinson J, Burns J, Shaw J, *et al.* Suicide in recently discharged psychiatric patients: a case-control study. *Psychol Med* 2009; **39**: 443–9.

40 International Institute for Strategic Studies. *The Military Balance 2019*. Routledge, 2019 (https://www.iiss.org/publications/the-military-balance/the-military-balance-2019).

41 Bryan CJ, Griffith JE, Pace BT, Hinkson K, Bryan AO, Clemans TA, *et al.* Combat Exposure and Risk for Suicidal Thoughts and Behaviors Among Military Personnel and Veterans: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Suicide Life-Threatening Behav* 2015; **45**: 633–49.

42 Haney EM, O'Neil ME, Carson S, Low A, Peterson K, Denneson LM, *et al.* Suicide Risk Factors and Risk Assessment Tools: A Systematic Review [Internet]. , 2012 (Department of Veterans Affairs (US)).

43 Theis J, Hoops K, Booty M, Nestadt P, Crifasi C. Firearm Suicide Among Veterans of the U.S. Military: A Systematic Review. *Mil Med* 2021; **186**: e525–36.

44 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman D. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009; **6**. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PMED.1000097.

45 Şenel E. Evolution of military medicine literature: a scientometric study of global publications on military medicine between 1978 and 2017. *BMJ Mil Heal* 2020; **166**: e25–33.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

46 NHS Digital. Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide. NHS Digit. 2020. (https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/euthanasia-and-assisted-suicide/).

47 Office for Veterans' Affairs. Veterans Factsheet 2020. UK Gov. 2020. (https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/874821/6.6409_CO_Armed-Forces_Veterans-Factsheet_v9_web.pdf).

48 World Health Organisation. MB23.E Non-suicidal self-injury. ICD-11 Mortal. Morb. Stat. 2022. (https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en#/http%3A%2F%2Fid.who.int%2Ficd%2Fentity%2F1430296724).

49 World Health Organisation. MB26.A Suicidal ideation. ICD-11 Mortal. Morb. Stat. 2022. (https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en#/http%3A%2F%2Fid.who.int%2Ficd%2Fentity%2F778734771).

50 World Health Organisation. MB23.R Suicide attempt. ICD-11 Mortal. Morb. Stat. 2022. (https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en#/http%3A%2F%2Fid.who.int%2Ficd%2Fentity%2F179322472).

51 World Health Organisation. XE97V Intentional self-harm, person intended to die. ICD-11 Mortal. Morb. Stat. 2022. (https://icd.who.int/browse11/l-m/en#/http%3A%2F%2Fid.who.int%2Ficd%2Fentity%2F219257631).

52 National Heart Lung and Blood Institute. Study Quality Assessment Tools. NHLBI Website. 2021. (https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools).

53 Hines LA, Jawahar K, Wessely S, Fear NT. Self-harm in the UK military. *Occup Med (Chic Ill)* 2013; **63**: 354–7.

54 Jones M, Jones N, Burdett H, Bergman BP, Fear NT, Wessely S, *et al.* Do Junior Entrants to the UK Armed Forces have worse outcomes than Standard Entrants? *BMJ Mil Heal* 2021; : bmjmilitary-2021-001787.

55 Ketcheson F, King L, Richardson JD. Association between social support and mental health conditions in treatment-seeking Veterans and Canadian Armed Forces personnel. *J Mil Veteran Fam Heal* 2018; **4**: 20–32.

56 Richardson JD, Thompson A, King L, Corbett B, Shnaider P, St. Cyr K, *et al.* Insomnia, psychiatric disorders and suicidal ideation in a National Representative Sample of active Canadian Forces members. *BMC Psychiatry* 2017; **17**: 211.

57 Sareen J, Cox BJ, Afifi TO, Stein MB, Belik S-L, Meadows G, *et al.* Combat and Peacekeeping Operations in Relation to Prevalence of Mental Disorders and Perceived Need for Mental Health Care. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2007; **64**: 843.

58 Sareen J, Afifi TO, Taillieu T, Cheung K, Turner S, Stein MB, *et al.* Deployment-Related Traumatic Events and Suicidal Behaviours in a Nationally Representative Sample of Canadian Armed Forces Personnel. *Can J Psychiatry* 2017; **62**: 795–804.

59 Syed Sheriff R, Van Hooff M, Malhi G, Grace B, McFarlane A. Childhood determinants of suicidality: comparing males in military and civilian employed populations. *Psychol Med* 2019; **49**: 2421–31.

60 Syed Sheriff R, Van Hooff M, Malhi GS, Grace B, McFarlane A. Childhood determinants of suicidality in men recently transitioned from regular military service. *Aust New Zeal J Psychiatry* 2020; **54**: 743–54.

61 Taillieu TL, Sareen J, Afifi TO. Associations among child abuse history, deployment-related

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

traumatic events, mental disorders, and suicidal behaviors in Canadian Regular Force personnel. *J Trauma Stress* 2022; **35**: 1060–71.

62 Thompson JM, Zamorski MA, Sweet J, VanTil L, Sareen J, Pietrzak RH, *et al.* Roles of physical and mental health in suicidal ideation in Canadian Armed Forces Regular Force veterans. *Can J Public Heal* 2014; **105**: e109–15.

63 Thompson JM, Dursun S, VanTil L, Heber A, Kitchen P, de Boer C, *et al.* Group identity, difficult adjustment to civilian life, and suicidal ideation in Canadian Armed Forces Veterans: Life After Service Studies 2016. *J Mil Veteran Fam Heal* 2019; **5**: 100–14.

64 Williamson V, Murphy D, Stevelink SAM, Allen S, Jones E, Greenberg N. The impact of moral injury on the wellbeing of UK military veterans. *BMC Psychol 2021 91* 2021; **9**: 1–7.

65 Woodhead C, Rona RJ, Iversen A, MacManus D, Hotopf M, Dean K, *et al.* Mental health and health service use among post-national service veterans: Results from the 2007 Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey of England. *Psychol Med* 2011; **41**: 363–72.

66 Afifi TO, Taillieu T, Zamorski MA, Turner S, Cheung K, Sareen J. Association of Child Abuse Exposure With Suicidal Ideation, Suicide Plans, and Suicide Attempts in Military Personnel and the General Population in Canada. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2016; **73**: 229.

67 Belik S-L, Stein MB, Asmundson GJ, Sareen J. Relation between Traumatic Events and Suicide Attempts in Canadian Military Personnel. *Can J Psychiatry* 2009; **54**: 93–104.

68 Boulos D, Fikretoglu D. Influence of military component and deployment-related experiences on mental disorders among Canadian military personnel who deployed to Afghanistan: a cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open* 2018; **8**: e018735.

69 Harden L, Murphy D. Risk factors of suicidal ideation in a population of UK military veterans seeking support for mental health difficulties. *J R Army Med Corps* 2018; **164**: 352–6.

70 Bergman BP, Mackay DF, Smith DJ, Pell JP. Non-fatal self-harm in Scottish military veterans: a retrospective cohort study of 57,000 veterans and 173,000 matched non-veterans. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2019; **54**: 81–7.

71 Richardson JD, King L, St. Cyr K, Shnaider P, Roth ML, Ketcheson F, *et al.* Depression and the relationship between sleep disturbances, nightmares, and suicidal ideation in treatment-seeking Canadian Armed Forces members and veterans. *BMC Psychiatry* 2018; **18**: 204.

72 VanTil LD, Simkus K, Rolland-Harris E, Heber A. Identifying release-related precursors to suicide among Canadian Veterans between 1976 and 2012. *J Mil Veteran Fam Heal* 2021; **7**: 13–20.

73 Irizar P, Leightley D, Stevelink S, Rona R, Jones N, Gouni K, *et al.* Drinking motivations in UK serving and ex-serving military personnel. *Occup Med (Chic Ill)* 2020; **70**: 259–67.

74 O'Carroll PW, Berman AL, Maris R, Moscicki E, Tanney B, Silverman M. Beyond the Tower of Babel. In *Suicide Prevention* (eds RJ Kosky, HS Eshkevari, RD Goldney, R Hassan): 23–39. Springer, 2002.

75 National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Self-harm: The short-term physical and psychological management and secondary prevention of self-harm in primary and secondary care. , 2004.

76 Wilson E, Ougrin D. Commentary: Defining self-harm: how inconsistencies in language persist – a commentary/reflection on Ward and Curran (2021). *Child Adolesc Ment Health* 2021; **26**: 372–4.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

77 Royal College of Psychiatrists. Self-Harm. 2020. (https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/mental-health/problems-disorders/self-harm).

78 Kapur N, Cooper J, O'Connor RC, Hawton K. Non-suicidal self-injury v. attempted suicide: new diagnosis or false dichotomy? *Br J Psychiatry* 2013; **202**: 326–8.

79 Perkins A, Ridler J, Browes D, Peryer G, Notley C, Hackmann C. Experiencing mental health diagnosis: a systematic review of service user, clinician, and carer perspectives across clinical settings. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 2018; **5**: 747–64.

80 Favril L, Yu R, Uyar A, Sharpe M, Fazel S. Risk factors for suicide in adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological autopsy studies. *Evid Based Ment Health* 2022; **25**: 148.

81 Stanley IH, Hom MA, Joiner TE. A systematic review of suicidal thoughts and behaviors among police officers, firefighters, EMTs, and paramedics. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2016; **44**: 25–44.

82 Stuart J, Bennett C. Mental Health in the NZDF – Insights for Suicide Prevention. , 2021.

83 Brereton A, McGlinchey E. Self-harm, Emotion Regulation, and Experiential Avoidance: A Systematic Review. *Arch Suicide Res* 2020; **24**: 1–24.

84 Guthrie-Gower S, Wilson-Menzfeld G. Ex-military personnel's experiences of loneliness and social isolation from discharge, through transition, to the present day. *PLoS One* 2022; **17**: e0269678.

85 Sharp M-L, Fear NT, Rona RJ, Wessely S, Greenberg N, Jones N, *et al.* Stigma as a Barrier to Seeking Health Care Among Military Personnel With Mental Health Problems. *Epidemiol Rev* 2015; **37**: 144–62.

86 Coleman SJ, Stevelink SAM, Hatch SL, Denny JA, Greenberg N. Stigma-related barriers and facilitators to help seeking for mental health issues in the armed forces: a systematic review and thematic synthesis of qualitative literature. *Psychol Med* 2017; **47**: 1880–92.

87 Ganzini L, Denneson LM, Press N, Bair MJ, Helmer DA, Poat J, *et al.* Trust is the Basis for Effective Suicide Risk Screening and Assessment in Veterans. *J Gen Intern Med* 2013; **28**: 1215–21.

88 Kaplan MS, Huguet N, McFarland BH, Newsom JT. Suicide among male veterans: a prospective population-based study. *J Epidemiol Community Heal* 2007; **61**: 619–24.

89 Mellotte H, Murphy D, Rafferty L, Greenberg N. Pathways into mental health care for UK veterans: a qualitative study. *Eur J Psychotraumatol* 2017; **8**: 1389207.

90 Government of Canada. Canadian Armed Forces and Veterans Affairs Canada Joint Suicide Prevention Strategy. 2017. (https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/dnd-mdn/documents/reports/2017/caf-vac-joint-suicide-prevention-strategy.pdf).

91 Veterans Affairs New Zealand. The Veteran, Family and Whānau Mental Health and Wellbeing Policy Framework. 2022. (https://www.veteransaffairs.mil.nz/about-veterans-affairs/our-programmes/mental-health-framework).

92 Department of Veterans Affairs. Veteran Mental Health and Wellbeing Strategy and National Action Plan 2020-2023. 2020. (https://www.dva.gov.au/documents-and-publications/veteran-mental-health-and-wellbeing-strategy-and-national-action-plan#download_docs).

93 Department of Defense. Preventing Suicide in the U.S. Military: Recommendations from the Suicide Prevention and Response Independent Review Committee. 2023.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

(https://media.defense.gov/2023/Feb/24/2003167430/-1/-1/0/SPRIRC-FINAL-REPORT.PDF).

94 Dempsey CL, Benedek DM, Nock MK, Zuromski KL, Brent DA, Ao J, *et al.* Social closeness and support are associated with lower risk of suicide among U.S. Army soldiers. *Suicide Life-Threatening Behav* 2021; **51**: 940–54.

95 Hou X, Wang J, Guo J, Zhang X, Liu J, Qi L, *et al.* Systematic review Methods and efficacy of social support interventions in preventing suicide: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Evid Based Ment Heal* 2022; **25**: 29–35.

96 Kleiman EM, Liu RT. Social support as a protective factor in suicide: findings from two nationally representative samples. *J Affect Disord* 2013; **150**: 540–5.

97 Ministry of Defence. Suicide prevention and peer support in the armed forces: A pocket guide by the Samaritans. 2018. (https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/919726/Samaritans_Armed_Forces_guide.pdf).

98 Beehler S, LoFaro C, Kreisel C, Dorsey Holliman B, Mohatt N V. Veteran peer suicide prevention: A community-based peer prevention model. *Suicide Life-Threatening Behav* 2021; **51**: 358–67.

99 Government of Canada. Definitions for Health Care Benefits, Veterans Independence Program, and Long Term Care. 2019. (https://www.veterans.gc.ca/eng/about-vac/legislation-policies/policies/definitions/992/).

100 UK Government. Defence People Health and Wellbeing Strategy - 2022 to 2027. 2022. (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/defence-people-health-and-wellbeing-strategy-2022-to-2027).

101 Ministry of Defence. Armed Forces Suicide Prevention Startegy and Action Plan. , 2023 (https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1152360/Armed_Forces_Suicide_Prevention_Strategy_And_Action_Plan.pdf).

102 Canadian Institute for Military Veteteran and Family Health Research. Five Eyes Mental Health Research and Innovation Collaborative (Five Eyes MHRIC). (https://annual-report.cimvhr.ca/impact/five-eyes).

103 The Sutton Trust, Social Mobility Commission. Elitist Britain 2019: The educational background of Britain's leading people. , 2019 (https://www.suttontrust.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/Elitist-Britain-2019-Summary-Report.pdf).

Table 1. Characteristics of Identified in Included Studies (N=28)

STUDY		SAMPLE				OUTCOME		
Study and Location	**Study Design**	**Military Sample Size**	**Demographic and Military Characteristics**	**Male (%)**	**Age**	**Outcome Type**	**Outcome Definitions**	**Outcome Measures**
Afifi et al (2016)[+1] *Canada*	Cohort study	8,161	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel -Regular (n=6,692) and Reserve (n=1,469) Various military ranks including junior non-commissioned member (NCM), senior NCM and officer Deployed (46% of Regulars and 100% of Reservists) and non-deployed (54% of Regulars and no Reservists)	**Regulars:** 86.1% **Reserves:** 90.6%	18 to 60 years	Suicidal ideation, suicide plans and suicide attempts	Not reported	**Suicidal Ideation:** Ever thought seriously about committing suicide or taking his or her own life (yes/no); in the past year (yes/no) **Suicide Plan:** Ever made a plan to commit suicide (yes/no); in the past year (yes/no) **Suicide Attempt:** Ever attempted suicide or tried to take own life (yes/no)
Belik et al (2009)[+2] *Canada*	Cohort study	8,441 **Regular:** 5,155 **Reserves:** 3,286	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel -Regular (n=5,155) and Reserve (n=3,286) Regions of Atlantic, Quebec, Ontario and Prairies Various military ranks including junior NCM, senior NCM and officer	Male and female included but % not reported	16 to 54 years	Suicide attempts	Not reported	Ever attempted suicide or tried to take own life (yes/no)

Bergman et al (2019)[+3] *UK*	Retrospective cohort study	56,205	Ex-serving UK (Scottish) Armed Forces personnel (Regular only) Born between 1945 and 1985, resident in Scotland and registered with NHS Scotland both before and after service	90.7%	*Mean age of first self-harm episode:* **Male:** 41.9 years **Female:** 38.9 years	Self-harm	First outcome of ICD-10 code X60-X84 *(intentional self-harm)*, Y87.0 *(sequelae of self-harm)*, Y10-Y34 *(event of undetermined intent)* or Y87.2 *(sequelae of events of undetermined intent)* or ICD-9 code E950-E959 (*suicide and self-inflicted injury)* or E980-E989 *(injury undetermined whether accidentally or purposely inflicted)* at any position in the record other than the cause of death, excluding any individual whose death was classified as suicide or whose date of death was the same as the recorded date of self-harm, whilst suicide was classified as the occurrence of any of the specified ICD codes in the cause of death field	ICD-10 code X60-X84 *(intentional self-harm)*, Y87.0 (sequelae of self-harm), Y10-Y34 *(event of undetermined intent)* or Y87.2 *(sequelae of events of undetermined intent)* or ICD-9 code E950-E959 (*suicide and self-inflicted injury)* or E980-E989 *(injury undetermined whether accidentally or purposely inflicted)* [first outcome]

Bergman et al (2022)[+3] *UK*	Retrospective cohort study	78,157	Ex-serving UK (Scottish) Armed Forces personnel (Regular only) Born between 1945 and 1995, resident in Scotland and registered with NHS Scotland both before and after service	90.3%	18 to 80 years	Completed suicide	A cause of death recorded as ICD-10 codes X60–X84 *(intentional self-harm)*, Y87.0 *(sequelae of self-harm)*, Y10-Y34 *(event of undetermined intent)* or Y87.2 *(sequelae of events of undetermined intent)* or ICD-9 codes E950–E959 (*suicide and self-inflicted injury)* or E980–E989 *(injury undetermined whether accidentally or purposely inflicted)* [any position on death certificate]. Encompasses death resulting from suicide, intentional self-harm or events of undetermined intent	ICD-10 codes X60–X84 *(intentional self-harm)*, Y87.0 *(sequelae of self-harm)*, Y10-Y34 *(event of undetermined intent)* or Y87.2 *(sequelae of events of undetermined intent)* or ICD-9 codes E950–E959 (*suicide and self-inflicted injury)* or E980–E989 *(injury undetermined whether accidentally or purposely inflicted)* [any position on death certificate]
Boulos & Fikretoglu (2018)[+1] *Canada*	Cohort study	4,854 **Regular:** 3,385 **Reserves:** 1,469	Serving Canadian personnel – Regular (n=3385) and Reserve (n=1469) All had deployed to Afghanistan	**Regular:** 89.0% **Reserves:** 91.0%	17 to 60 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	Have you thought about committing suicide in the past 12 months (yes/no)

			Various military ranks including junior NCM, senior NCM and officer					
Harden & Murphy (2018) *UK*	Cohort study	144	Ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel All were actively receiving treatment for mental health difficulties	97.2%	<35 years = 18.8% 35-44 years = 27.8% 45-54 years = 27.4% ≥55 years = 27.1%	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	Clinical Risk assessments by psychiatric nurses: **Green:** no concerns raised by clinical staff, no plans, stable in terms of mental health presentation, may have history of suicidal ideation or low mood but no current thoughts and manages with routine support **Amber:** experiencing suicidal ideation but no plans were in place, suicidal ideation present but without consistent thoughts and evidence of protective factors **Red:** evidence of recurring suicidal ideation, evidence of plan and talks about committing suicide
Hines et al (2013)[+4] *UK*	Cohort study	9,803	Serving (n=7,567) and ex-serving (2,213) UK Armed Forces personnel – Regular (n=8,127) and Reserves (n=1,676)	88.1%	*Mean age* **Self-harm:** 31.0 years	Self-harm	Not reported	Have you ever purposely harmed yourself (e.g. overdose)? (yes/no)

			Iraq and Afghanistan era Deployed (n=6,598) and non-deployed (n=3,205)					
Jones et al (2019)[+4] *UK*	Cohort study	**Phase 1:** 10,272 **Phase 2:** 9,990 **Phase 3:** 8,581 **Interview Study:** 1,448	Serving and ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel (Regular and Reserves) **Phase 1:** Serving (n=9,109) and ex-serving (n=1,140) **Phase 2:** Serving (n=7,141) and ex-serving (n=1,804) **Phase 3:** Serving (n=4,454) and ex-serving (3,319) **Interview Study:** Serving (n=809) and ex-serving (n=639) Iraq and Afghanistan era Deployed and non-deployed)	85%	<30 years = 18.8% 30-34 years = 14.9% 35-39 years = 18.6% 40-49 years = 35.1% >49 years = 16.6%	Self-harm, suicidal ideation and suicide attempts	Not reported	**Self-report survey (Phases 1-3)** Have you ever purposely harmed yourself (e.g. overdose)? (yes/no) **Interview Study** **Suicide Attempt:** Ever made an attempt to take your life by taking an overdose of tablets or in some other way? (yes/no) **Suicidal Ideation:** Ever thought of taking your own life, even though you might not actually do it? (yes/no) **Self-Harm:** Ever deliberately harmed yourself in any way but not with the intention of killing yourself? (yes/no) **[Clinical Interview Schedule – Revised (CIS-R)]**

Jones et al (2021)[+4] *UK*	Cohort study	4,447	Serving and ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel (Regular only) **Junior entrants:** Serving (n=644) and ex-serving (n=553) **Senior entrants:** Serving (n=2,171) and ex-serving (n=1,079) Iraq and Afghanistan era Deployed and non-deployed **Junior entrants:** Deployed to Iraq/Afghanistan (n=828); Deployed in combat role to Iraq/Afghanistan (n=298) **Senior entrants:** Deployed to Iraq/Afghanistan (n=2,238); Deployed in combat role to Iraq/Afghanistan (n=699) Junior entrants (joined services under 17.5 years; n=1,197) and senior entrants (joined services at 17.5 years or older; n=3,250)	*Junior entrants* 94.7%	*Median age* **Junior entrants:** 35 years	Self-harm	Not reported	Have you ever purposely harmed yourself (e.g. overdose)? (yes/no)
Kapur et al (2009) *UK*	Retrospective cohort study	233,803	Ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel (Regular only)	96%	*Median age of*	Completed suicide	Verdict of suicide (ICD-10 codes X60-X84 *[intentional self-*	Verdict of suicide (ICD-10 codes X60-X84 *[intentional self-*

			Attended the Military Service Trauma Recovery Day Program		*completed suicide:* 22 years		*harm]* and Y87.0 *[sequelae of intentional self-harm]*) or undetermined death/open verdict (ICD-10 codes Y10-Y34 *[event of undetermined intent]*, excluding Y33.9 *[verdict pending]* and Y87.2 *[sequelae of events of undetermined intent]*) at inquest	*harm]* and Y87.0 *[sequelae of intentional self-harm]*) or undetermined death/open verdict (ICD-10 codes Y10-Y34 *[event of undetermined intent]*, excluding Y33.9 *[verdict pending]* and Y87.2 *[sequelae of events of undetermined intent]*) at inquest
Kerr et al (2018) *Australia*	Cohort study	229	Ex-serving Australian Defence Force personnel (Regular only) Deployed and non-deployed Attending PTSD Recovery Program 2007-2014	99.1%	24 to 86 years	Suicide attempts	Not reported	Have you made a previous attempt on your own life? (yes/no)
Ketcheson et al (2018) *Canada*	Cohort study	666	Serving and ex-serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel Deployed and non-deployed Treatment-seeking at Operational Stress Injury Clinic	90.2%	18 to 64 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	In the last 2 weeks have you been bothered by thoughts that you would be better off dead, or hurting yourself in some way? (not at all to nearly every day) **[Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)]**

Nelson et al (2011)[+2] *Canada*	Cohort study	8,441	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel – Regular (n=5,155) and Reserves (n=3,286)	Not reported	16 to 84 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	In the past 12 months, did you seriously think about committing suicide or taking your own life? **[World Mental Health – Composite International Diagnostic Interview (WMH-CIDI)]**
O'Toole et al (2015) *Australia*	Cohort study	448	Ex-serving Australian Defence Force personnel Ageing Australian Vietnam ex-serving Army personnel	100%	*Mean age* 60.4 years	Lifetime suicidality	Suicidality is any self-initiated behaviour occurring on a continuum ranging from suicidal ideations, to making a suicide plan, through to a suicide attempt [O'Carroll et al, 1996]	**Depression screener questions** *History of two weeks or more feeling sad, empty or depressed *Two weeks of loss interest in most things like work, hobbies, things usually enjoyed *If yes:* *Thoughts of death and thoughts of suicide (yes/no) *If yes, questions on suicide plans and suicide attempts
Pinder et al (2012)[+4] *UK*	Cohort study	821	Serving and ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel (Regular and Reserves) Deployed and non-deployed – Iraq	*Intentional self-harm:* 5.6% *No intentional self-harm:*	*Mean age* **Intentional self-harm:** 34.40 years	Self-harm [Suicide attempts only prevalence]	Self-harm is 'self-poisoning or self-injury irrespective of the apparent purpose of the act' [National Clinical Practice Guideline]	**Self-Harm:** Ever deliberately harmed yourself but not with the intention of killing yourself? (yes/no)

			Intentional self-harm Serving (n=58) and ex-serving (n=42); Deployed to Iraq (n=65) and not deployed to Iraq (n=35) **No intentional self-harm:** Serving (n=545) and ex-serving (n=176); Deployed to Iraq (n=498) and not deployed to Iraq (n=223)	94.4%				**Suicide Attempt:** Ever made an attempt to take your own life, by taking an overdose of tablets or in some other way (yes/no) **[Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey (APMS) 2000]**
Richardson et al (2017)[1] *Canada*	Cohort study	6,700	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel (Regular only) Deployed to Afghanistan at least once (45.1%) and non-deployed	86.1%	*Mean age* 35 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	In the past 12 months, did you seriously think about committing suicide or taking your own life?
Richardson et al (2018) *Canada*	Retrospective cohort study	663	Serving (117) and ex-serving (n=546) Canadian Armed Forces personnel Treatment-seeking, presented at specialised outpatient mental health clinic for military service related psychiatric conditions (April 2004 to September 2014) Deployed (n=378) and non-deployed (n=285)	91.0%	*Mean age* 44.6 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	In the last 2 weeks have you been bothered by thoughts that you would be better off dead, or hurting yourself in some way? (not at all to nearly every day) **[PHQ-9]**
Sareen et al (2007)[+2] *Canada*	Cohort study	8,441	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel – Regular (70.9%) and Reserves (29.1%)	85.3%	16 to 54 years	Suicidality (suicidal ideation and suicide attempts)	Not reported	**Suicidal Ideation:** In the past 12 months, did you seriously think about

			Various military ranks including junior NCM (59.8%), senior NCM (20.1%) and officer (20.1%)					committing suicide or taking your own life? **Suicide Attempt:** In the past 12 months, did you attempt suicide or try to take your own life?
Sareen et al (2017)[+1] *Canada*	Cohort study	8,161	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel – Regular (n=6,692) and Reserves (n=1,469) Various military ranks including junior NCM, senior NCM and officer Deployed (46% of Regulars and 100% of Reservists) and non-deployed Afghanistan era	86%	18 to 60 years	Suicidal ideation, suicide plan and suicide attempts [past-year and lifetime]	Not reported	**Suicidal Ideation:** Have you seriously thought about committing suicide or taking your own life? **Suicide Plan:** Have you made a plan for committing suicide? **Suicide Attempt:** Have you attempted suicide or tried to take your own life
Syed Sherriff et al (2019) *Australia*	Cohort study	**Phase 1:** 24,481 **Phase 2:** 1,798	Serving Australian Defence Force personnel (Regular only) Various military ranks including other ranks (31.64%), non-commissioned officer (NCO; 45.17%) and commissioned officer (21.99%)	100%	18 to 60 years	Suicidality (thoughts, plans and attempts)	Not reported	**Phase 1:** **Suicidal Ideation:** In the last 12 months, have you ever felt so low that you thought about committing suicide? **Suicide Plan:** In the last 12 months, have you made a suicide plan? **Suicide Attempt:** In the last 12 months,

			Combat exposure (31.74%) and deployment experience (63.33%)					have you attempted suicide? **Phase 2 [CIDI]:** *Consider each of the following experiences:* **Suicidal Ideation:** Experience A – seriously thought about committing suicide **Suicide Plan:** Experience B – made a plan for committing suicide **Suicide Plan:** Experience C – attempted suicide *If yes, how old were you the first time it happened and has it happened in past 12 months* *If no to Experience A, B and C were not asked*
Syed Sherriff et al (2020) *Australia*	Cohort study	**Phase 1:** 3,646 **Phase 2:** 901	Ex-serving Australian Defence Force personnel (Regular only) Left service between 2010 and 2014	100%	*With suicidality* <25 years = 10.8% 26-35 years = 40.3%	Suicidality (thoughts, plans and attempts)	Not reported	**Phase 1:** **Suicidal Ideation:** In the last 12 months, have you ever felt so low that you thought about committing suicide?

			Various military ranks including other ranks (52.7%), NCO (31.8%) and commissioned officer (15.6%) Previously deployed (78.9%)		36-45 years = 21.9% 46-55 years = 15.8% 56+ years = 11.2%			**Suicide Plan:** In the last 12 months, have you made a suicide plan? **Suicide Attempt:** In the last 12 months, have you attempted suicide?
Taillieu et al (2022)[+1] *Canada*	Cohort study	6,692	Serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel (Regular only) Various military ranks including junior NCM (55.1%), senior NCM (24.1%) and officer (20.9%) Deployed (61.5%) and non-deployed (38.5%)	86.1%	18 to 60 years	Suicidal ideation and suicide plans (past-year)	Not reported	**Suicidal Ideation:** In the past 12 months, have you seriously thought about committing suicide or taking your own life? **Suicide Plan:** In the past 12 months, have you made a plan for committing suicide?
Thompson et al (2014) *Canada*	Cohort study	2,658	Ex-serving Canadian Armed Forces personnel (Regular only) Released from service between January 1998 and December 2007 Various military ranks including recruits (n=249), junior NCM (1,049), senior NCM (n=880) and officer/cadets (n=480)	89%	*Mean age* 43.5 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	Have you seriously thought about committing suicide or taking your own life? *If yes:* Has this happened in the past 12 months? **[Canadian Community Health Survey]**

			Deployed and non-deployed					
Thompson et al (2019) *Canada*	Cohort study	2,755 **Recently released:** 1,180 **Earlier released:** 1,575	Ex-serving Canadian Armed Forces (Regular only) 'Recently released' between September 2012 to 2015 'Earlier released' between 1998 to August 2012	87.2%	*Mean age* **Recently released:** 41 years **Earlier released:** 50 years	Suicidal ideation (past-year)	Not reported	Have you seriously thought about committing suicide or taking your own life? *If yes:* Has this happened in the past 12 months? **[Canadian Community Health Survey]**
VanTil et al (2021) *Canada*	Retrospective cohort study	220,734	Ex-serving Canadian Armed Forces - Regular (95%) and Reserves (5%) Released from service between 1976 and 2012 Various military ranks including junior/senior NCM (82%) and officer (18%)	89%	*Age at release* <25 to >45 years	Completed suicide	ICD-8 and ICD-9 codes E950-E959 (*suicide and self-inflicted injury)* and ICD-10 codes X60-X84 *(intentional self-harm)* and Y87.0 *(sequelae of intentional self-harm)*	ICD-8 and ICD-9 codes E950-E959 (*suicide and self-inflicted injury)* and ICD-10 codes X60-X84 *(intentional self-harm)* and Y87.0 *(sequelae of intentional self-harm)*
Varker et al (2022) *Australia*	Cohort study	12,806 **Serving:** 8,480 **Ex-serving:** 4,326	Serving and ex-serving Australian Defence Force personnel (Regular and Reserves) If ex-serving, left Australian Defence Force in last 5 years	Male and female included but % not reported	18 to 58+ years	Suicidality (suicidal ideation and plans, and suicide attempts)	Not reported	In the last 12-months, have you: *Felt that life was not worth living *Felt so low that you thought about committing suicide *Made a suicide plan *Attempted suicide

								[National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing]
Williamson et al (2021) *UK*	Cohort study	402	Ex-serving UK Armed Forces Personnel Various military ranks including junior rank, NCO and officer Deployed and non-deployed	88.6%	*Mean age* **Moral injury:** 50.0 years **Trauma:** 50.4 years **Mixed:** 51.3 years **No event:** 52.1 years	Suicidal ideation	Not reported	Suicidal behaviors questionnaire-revised **[SBQ-R]**
Woodhead et al (2011) *UK*	Cohort study	257	Ex-serving UK Armed Forces personnel Post-national service era	85.2%	16 to 64 years *Median age* **Post-national service:** Male: 49 years Female: 45 years	Self-harm (suicidal thoughts, suicide attempts and self-harm)	Not reported	**Suicidal Ideation:** Have you ever thought of taking your own life **Suicide Attempt:** Have you ever made an attempt to take your life **Self-Harm:** Have you ever deliberately harmed yourself in any way but without the intention of killing yourself

Note. [+1] use data from the Canadian Forces Mental Health Survey; [+2] use data from the Canadian Community Health Survey: Mental Health and Well-Being Canadian Forces Supplement (CCHS-CFS); [+3] use data from the Scottish Veterans Health Study; [+4] use data from various phases of the King's Centre for Military Health Research (KCMHR) Health and Wellbeing Study; NCO: non-commissioned officer; NCM: non-commissioned member; ICD: International Classification of Diseases; NHS: National Health Service (UK)

Table 2. Risk and Protective Factors Identified in Included Studies (N=28)

Study and Location	Outcome	Risk factors identified		Protective factors identified	
		Significant	Non-Significant	Significant	Non-Significant
Afifi et al (2016) *Canada*	Suicidal ideation, suicide plans and suicide attempts	**Suicidal Ideation:** - Child abuse exposure - Deployment-related trauma *[non-significant after full adjustment]* - Child abuse exposure with/without deployment-related trauma *[Regulars]* - Experiencing child abuse exposure only, relative to deployment-related trauma only *[Regulars]* **Suicide Plans:** - Child abuse exposure - Deployment-related trauma - Child abuse exposure with/without deployment-related trauma *[Regulars]* **Suicide Attempts:** - Child abuse exposure	**Suicidal Ideation:** - Deployment-related trauma without history of child abuse exposure *[Regulars]* **Suicide Plans:** - Deployment-related trauma without history of child abuse exposure *[Regulars]*	-	-
Belik et al (2009) *Canada*	Suicide attempts	**Men:** - Sexual and other interpersonal traumas - Increasing number of traumatic events - Deployment-related traumatic events: *Purposely injured, tortured, or killed someone associated *Witnessing atrocities *[non-significant after full adjustment]*	**Men:** - Exposure to combat operations - Accident or other unexpected trauma: *Auto accident *Man-made disaster *Natural disaster *Unexpected death *Child illness or injury *Witness death - Civilian in war zone	**Women:** - Civilian in religious terror	**Men:** - Exposure to peacekeeping operations

		- Accident or other unexpected trauma: *Toxic chemical exposure *Other accident *[non-significant after full adjustment]* *Life threatening illness *Caused death accidently *[non-significant after full adjustment]* - Civilian in religious terror - Event happened to other - Other trauma **Women:** - Sexual and other interpersonal traumas *[Being mugged/kidnapped non-significant after full adjustment]* - Accident or other unexpected trauma: *Other accident *[non-significant after full adjustment]* *Man-made disaster *Unexpected death *[non-significant after full adjustment]* *Witness death *[non-significant after adjustment]* - Event happened to other	**Women:** - Exposure to combat or peacekeeping operations - Accident or other unexpected trauma: *Auto accident *Toxic chemical exposure *Child illness or injury *Life threatening illness - Civilian in war zone - Other trauma		
Bergman et al (2019)* *UK*	Self-harm	- Veterans *[vs non-veterans]* - Sex: Male - Age: Oldest (1945-1949) and youngest (1980-1985) cohorts	- Sex: Female - Comorbid disorders: Any cancer, alcoholic liver disease,	- Length of service: 23+ years of service - Age: Middle-aged (born 1960 onwards)	- Length of service: Longer 10-12 years, 13-16 years, 17-22 years

		- Early service leavers (ESLs; ≤2.5 years service), especially those who did not complete initial training - Older veterans with 4-6 years and 7-9 years service - Comorbid disorders: PTSD, anxiety, lung cancer, diabetes *[veterans vs non-veterans]*	mood disorder *[veterans vs non-veterans]*		
Bergman et al (2022)* *UK*	Completed suicide	- Older women veterans (>40 years) - Age: 1950-1954 cohort - ESLs (≤3 years service)	- Sex: Female - Age: Most recent birth cohort (1985-1995) - Length of service: 17-22 years - Health: History of mood disorder, PTSD, history of cardiovascular disease	-	- Male veterans vs male non-veterans - Length of service: >22 years *[remained non-significant after adjustment]* - Health: Diagnosis of alcoholic liver disease
Boulos & Fikretoglu (2018) *Canada*	Suicidal ideation	- Reserves vs Regulars *[non-significant after adjustment]* - Deployment: Shorter intervals (<4 years) since return from Afghanistan deployment - Deployment-related experiences: *Ever known someone seriously injured or killed *Ever found yourself in life-threatening situation where you were unable to respond because of the rules of engagement *Ever been injured *Ever felt responsible for death of Canadian or ally personnel	- Deployment: Higher total number of Afghanistan deployments (i.e., 2+ deployments)	- Deployment: *Higher cumulative duration of Afghanistan-related deployments [≥361 days) *Less time away on deployment in past 3 years (<6 months, 7-12 months, 13-24 months)	- Deployment: *Afghanistan deployment location (Kabul, Kandahar, Multiple) *Other non-Afghanistan deployments *Moderate cumulative duration of Afghanistan-related deployments [121-140 days, 241-360 days] - Deployment-related experiences: *Ever seen ill or injured women or children who you were unable to help *Ever received incoming artillery, rocket or mortar fire

					*Ever had a close call for example shot or hit but protective gear saved you
Harden & Murphy (2018) *UK*	Suicidal ideation	- ESLs (<4 years service) - Employment status: Unemployed - Childhood adversity: High pre-service childhood adversity	- Sex: Female - Relationship status: Not in a relationship - Combat role - Non-voluntary discharge from service	- Age: Middle age groups (35-44 years, 45-54 years) - Time to seek help: Longer than 5 years	- Age: Older age groups (55+ years) - Financial difficulties
Hines et al (2013) *UK*	Self-harm	- Age: Younger age - Sex: Female - Marital status: Separated/divorced - Ex-serving *[vs serving]* - Social support: *Less number of close family/friends (None, 1-2 close) *More family relationship adversity (2+ items) - Childhood adversity: *Spent time in local authority care *Childhood anti-social behaviour *[only presented at unadjusted level]*	-	- Reserves *[vs Regulars]* - Age: Older age - Higher number of social activities	- Higher number of close family/friends (6-10 close, 11-15 close) - Deployment experience
Jones et al (2019) *UK*	Self-harm, suicidal ideation and suicide attempts	**Self-Harm:** - Ex-serving *[vs serving]* - Sex: Female - Health: Probable diagnosis of depression, probable diagnosis of anxiety disorder, probable diagnosis of PTSD, lifetime suicidal ideation	**Self-Harm:** - Health: Probable alcohol misuse **Suicide Attempts:** - Ex-serving *[vs serving]* - Mental health stigmatisation: Moderate score (11-17), higher score (18-24) - Help-seeking: Informal	**Self-Harm:** - Age: Middle and older age groups (e.g. 35-39 years, 40-49 years, >49 years) *[significant at varying levels of adjustment]* - Rank: More senior rank (Junior NCO to warrant officer, commissioned officer) *[significant at*	**Self-Harm:** - Reserves *[vs Regulars]* - Service Branch: RAF **Suicide Attempts:** - Rank: NCO to warrant officer - Health: Probable alcohol misuse

		- Mental health stigmatisation (Moderate score 11-17, Higher score 18-24) - Perceived practical barriers to care (Higher score 6-11) - Negative attitudes to mental illness (Moderate score 11-12, Higher score 13-23) *[Higher score non-significant after full adjustment]* - Help-seeking: Formal medical *[non-significant after full adjustment]* **Suicide Attempts:** - Reserves *[vs Regulars]* - Health: Probable diagnosis of depression, probable diagnosis of anxiety disorder, probable diagnosis of PTSD, lifetime suicidal ideation - Perceived practical barriers to care: Higher score (6-11) - Negative attitudes to mental illness: Moderate score (11-12), higher score (13-23) - Help-seeking: Formal medical		*varying levels of adjustment]* - Perceived social support (Higher score 33-36) **Suicide Attempts:** - Rank: More senior rank (Commissioned officer) - Service branch: RAF - Perceived social support: Higher score (33-36)	- Help-seeking: Formal non-medical
Jones et al (2021) *UK*	Self-harm	- Junior entrants enlisted between April 2003 and March 2013	- Junior entrants <17.5 years	-	-
Kapur et al (2009) *UK*	Completed suicide	- Age: Younger age at discharge (16-19 years, 20-24 years) - Training status: Untrained *[non-significant after adjustment]*	- Type of discharge: Medical - Length of service: Moderate (5 to <10 years)	- Sex: Female - Marital status: Married, unknown - Service branch: Naval Service, RAF	- Age: Older age at discharge (40-44 years) - Length of service: Moderate (4 to <5 years), longer (10 to <15 years)

		- Length of service: Shorter (<1 year, 2 to <3 years, 3 to <4 years)		- Rank: More senior rank (Officer)	
Kerr et al (2018)* *Australia*	Suicide attempts	- Employment status: Not working/totally or permanently incapacitated - Health: PTSD symptom severity	- Employment status: Retired, working part-time - Conflicts served: Both periods (pre-East Timor and from East Timor onwards) - Health: Anxiety, depression	- Employment status: Employed	- Deployment *[vs no deployment]* - Conflicts served: Pre-East Timor, from East Timor onwards
Ketcheson et al (2018) *Canada*	Suicidal ideation	- Age: Older age - Length of service: Shorter - Lower levels of perceived social support (Low, medium)	-	-	-
Nelson et al (2011) *Canada*	Suicidal ideation	- Higher number of lifetime traumatic experiences (including exposure to combat) - Health: Past year diagnosis of PTSD or major depressive disorder (MDD) - Past-year MDD mediates relationship between number of lifetime traumatic events and past-year SI	-	- Higher levels of perceived social support	-
O'Toole et al (2015)* *Australia*	Lifetime suicidality	**Suicidal ideation:** - Health: PTSD, alcohol dependence, cannabis abuse, depression single (moderate and severe), depression recurrent (mild, moderate, severe), depression lifetime, generalised anxiety disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, panic without agoraphobia, social phobia, situational phobia	**Suicidal ideation:** - Health: Depression single (mild), dysthymia, agoraphobia without panic, panic with agoraphobia **Suicide plan:** - Health: Depression single (mild), depression recurrent (mild), obsessive compulsive disorder, agoraphobia	-	**Suicidal ideation:** - Health: Alcohol abuse **Suicide plan** - Health: Alcohol abuse, dysthymia **Suicide attempt:** - Health: Alcohol abuse, cannabis abuse

		Suicide plan: - Health: PTSD, alcohol dependence, cannabis abuse, depression single (moderate and severe), depression recurrent (moderate, severe), depression lifetime, generalised anxiety disorder, panic without agoraphobia, situational phobia **Suicide attempt:** - Health: PTSD, alcohol dependence, depression recurrent (mild, moderate) depression lifetime, agoraphobia without panic, panic with agoraphobia, social phobia, situational phobia	without panic, panic with agoraphobia, social phobia **Suicide attempt:** - Health: Depression single (mild, moderate, severe), depression recurrent (moderate), dysthymia, generalised anxiety disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder		
Pinder et al (2012) *UK*	Self-harm	- Age: Younger age - Ex-serving *[vs serving]* - Childhood adversity: Higher - Health: PHQ or PTSD diagnosis, any PHQ diagnosis, any depressive syndrome, somatization disorder, PTSD	- Education: Low educational attainment (No qualifications) - Marital status: Single/Not in a relationship, divorced/separated/widowed *[non-significant at unadjusted level]* - Service branch: Army, RAF - Rank: More junior rank - Length of service: Shorter *[significant at unadjusted level]* - Deployment: Deployment to Iraq *[non-significant at unadjusted level]*	- Rank: More senior rank (Officer) *[non-significant after adjustment]* - Length of service: Longer term - Childhood adversity: Less (0-1 factors, 2-3 factors)	- Age: Older age - Sex: Female *[non-significant at unadjusted level]* - Education: Higher educational attainment (A-Levels, degree) *[Degree significant at unadjusted level]* - Reserve status *[significant at unadjusted level]* - Rank: More senior rank (Officer) *[significant at unadjusted level]*

			- Heath: Any anxiety syndrome, alcohol abuse		- Service branch: Navy (including Marines) *[significant at unadjusted level]* - Childhood adversity: Less (4-5 factors)
Richardson et al (2017)* *Canada*	Suicidal ideation	- Health: PTSD, MDD, generalised anxiety disorder, panic disorder, alcohol abuse and dependency, insomnia (incremental continuous change) - Past-year mental health status (1 disorder, 2+ disorders) - Marital status: Divorced/separated/widowed	- Age: Younger age groups (30-39 years) - Marital status: Single/never married - No deployments to Afghanistan	-	- Age: Middle/older age groups (40-49 years, 50-60 years) - Language: French
Richardson et al (2018) *Canada*	Suicidal ideation	- Health: Depressive symptom severity - Depressive symptom severity mediates relationship between both sleep disturbances and nightmares, with suicidal ideation	- Sleep disturbances - Nightmares - Health: PTSD symptom severity, anxiety symptom severity	-	-
Sareen (2007) *Canada*	Suicidality (suicidal ideation and suicide attempts)	- Deployment experiences: *Witnessing atrocities or massacres - Perceived need for mental healthcare and no help seeking or DSM diagnosis *[significant at unadjusted level]*	- Deployment experiences: Exposure to combat	-	- Deployment experiences: Exposure to peacekeeping operations
Sareen et al (2017) *Canada*	Suicidal ideation, suicide plan and suicide	**Suicidal Ideation (past-year):** - Deployment experiences: *[significant at varying levels of adjustment]*	**Suicidal Ideation (past-year):** - Deployment experiences: *Military sexual trauma during deployment	-	-

	attempts [past-year and lifetime]	*Combat exposure (lifetime) *Peacekeeping (lifetime) *Witnessed atrocities (lifetime) *Known someone injured or killed (CF deployment) *Ever in life-threatening situation and unable to respond due to rules of engagement (CF deployment) *Ever been injured (CF deployment) *Seen ill or injured women or children (CF deployment) *Received incoming artillery, rocket, or mortar fire (CF deployment) *Felt responsible for death of Canadian or ally personnel (CF deployment) *Had a close call but protective gear saved you (CF deployment) *Had difficulty distinguishing combatants from non-combatants (CF deployment) - Total number deployment-related traumatic events (DRTEs) **Suicide Plan (past-year):** - Deployment experiences: *[significant at varying levels of adjustment]* *Peacekeeping (lifetime)	- Lifetime deployment **Suicide Plan (past-year):** - Deployment experiences: *Combat exposure, (lifetime) - Lifetime deployment **Suicide Attempt (past-year):** - Deployment experiences: *Known someone injured or killed *Seen ill or injured women or children (CF deployment) *Received incoming artillery, rocket, or mortar fire (CF deployment) - Lifetime deployment		

		*Witnessed atrocities (lifetime) *Known someone injured or killed (CF deployment) *Ever in life-threatening situation and unable to respond due to rules of engagement (CF deployment) *Ever been injured (CF deployment) *Seen ill or injured women or children (CF deployment) *Received incoming artillery, rocket, or mortar fire (CF deployment) *Felt responsible for death of Canadian or ally personnel (CF deployment) *Had a close call but protective gear saved you (CF deployment) *Had difficulty distinguishing combatants from non-combatants (CF deployment) - Total number DRTEs *[none remained significant after full adjustment]* **Suicide Attempt (past-year):** - Deployment experiences: *[significant at varying levels of adjustment]* *Combat exposure (lifetime) *Peacekeeping (lifetime) *Witnessed atrocities (lifetime)			

		*Ever in life-threatening situation and unable to respond due to rules of engagement (CF deployment) *Ever been injured (CF deployment) *Had a close call but protective gear saved you (CF deployment) *Had difficulty distinguishing combatants from non-combatants (CF deployment) - Total number DRTEs			
Syed Sherriff et al (2019) *Australia*	Suicidality (thoughts, plans and attempts)	- Education: Lower educational attainment (Year 10 or below) - Age: Several age groups (e.g. 25-34 years, 35-44 years, 45+ years) - Relationship status: Single - Childhood trauma: High counts (3+), interpersonal (without non-interpersonal), both interpersonal and non-interpersonal - Childhood disorder: Anxiety - Adult trauma: High counts (3+), non-interpersonal - Adult-onset disorder: Depression - Rank: More junior ranks (NCOs, other ranks)	- Childhood trauma: Low counts (1-2), unclassified, non-interpersonal (without interpersonal) - Childhood disorder: Depression, alcohol - Adult trauma: Low counts (1-2), non-intimate interpersonal - Adult-onset disorder: Anxiety, alcohol - Combat exposure	- Education: Higher educational attainment (Certificate or diploma, Year 11/12, university degree) - Relationship status: Current significant relationship - Service branch: RAF	- Adult trauma: Intimate interpersonal - Service branch: Army - Deployment: Previously deployed
Syed Sherriff et al (2020) *Australia*	Suicidality (thoughts, plans and attempts)	- Rank: More junior ranks (NCO, other) - Deployment: Previously deployed	- Childhood-onset trauma: Non-interpersonal, 1-2 traumas, 3+ traumas, mean number of types	- Relationship status: Current relationship	- Educational attainment: Higher (Certificate or diploma)

		- Childhood-onset trauma: Interpersonal *[remains significant after full adjustment]* - Adult-onset trauma: Mean number of types - Childhood-onset disorder: Anxiety, any disorder *[Anxiety remains significant after full adjustment]* - Adult-onset disorder: Anxiety, depression, single, multiple *[Anxiety and depression remain significant after full adjustment]* **Previously Deployed:** - Rank: More junior ranks (NCO, other) - Childhood-onset trauma: Interpersonal *[remains significant after full adjustment]* - Childhood-onset disorder: Anxiety *[remains significant after full adjustment]* - Adult-onset disorder: Anxiety, depression, alcohol, single, multiple - Deployment trauma exposure types *[remains significant after full adjustment]* - Non-deployment adult trauma: Multiple, mean	- Adult-onset trauma: Combat - Childhood-onset disorder: Depression, alcohol - Adult-onset disorder: Alcohol **Previously Deployed:** - Childhood-onset trauma: Non-interpersonal, 1-2 traumas, 3+ traumas) - Childhood-onset disorder: Alcohol, any disorder - Combat exposure - Non-deployment adult trauma: Non-interpersonal, interpersonal	- Educational attainment: Higher (Year 11/12, university degree) **Previously Deployed:** - Relationship status: Current relationship	- Service branch: Navy, Air Force - Childhood-onset trauma: Other **Previously Deployed:** - Age: Several age groups (e.g. 26-35 years, 36-45 years, 46-55 years, 56+ years) - Educational attainment: Higher (Year 11/12, certificate or diploma, university degree) - Service branch (Navy, Airforce) - Childhood-onset trauma: Other - Childhood-onset disorder: Depression - Non-deployment adult trauma: Single trauma
Taillieu et al (2022) *Canada*	Suicidal ideation and	**Suicidal Ideation:** - History of child abuse	**Suicidal Ideation:** - Exposure to DRTEs	-	**Suicidal Ideation:** - Health: Panic disorder

	suicide plans (past-year)	- Health: MDD, generalised anxiety disorder, panic attacks, PTSD **Suicide Plans:** - History of child abuse *[non-significant after full adjustment]* - Exposure to DRTEs *[non-significant after full adjustment]* - Health: MDD, PTSD, alcohol abuse or dependence	- Health: Alcohol abuse or dependence **Suicide Plans:** - Health: Generalised anxiety disorder, panic disorder, panic attacks		
Thompson et al (2014) *Canada*	Suicidal ideation	- Physical health conditions: Gastrointestinal condition - Mental health conditions: Depression or anxiety, mood disorders - Number of physical health conditions (0-8) - Number of mental health conditions (0-4) - Marital status: Single never married, separated/divorced/widowed	- Physical health conditions: Chronic pain or discomfort, diabetes, respiratory condition, cardiovascular condition, obesity, hearing problem *[all significant at unadjusted level]* - Mental health conditions: Anxiety disorder, PTSD *[both significant at unadjusted level]* - Age: Younger and middle age groups (20-34 years, 35-49 years) *[35-49 years group significant at unadjusted level]* - Sex: Female *[significant at unadjusted level]* - Educational attainment: High school graduation, some post-secondary education *[both significant at unadjusted level]*	- SF-12 physical health - SF-12 mental health	-

			- Income: Below low income measure *[significant at unadjusted level]* - Rank: Junior ranks (Recruits, junior NCM, senior NCM) *[Junior and senior NCMs significant at unadjusted level]*		
Thompson et al (2019) *Canada*	Suicidal ideation (past-year)	- Perceived adjustment: Neither, difficult *[significant at unadjusted level]* - Group identity: *Strong sense of local/community belonging but not part of a group *Weak sense of local/community belonging but part of a group *Weak sense of local/community belonging but not part of a group - Mental health problem: Mild/moderate, Severe	- Age: Middle and older age groups (30-39 years, 40-49 years, 50-59 years, 60+ years) *[40-49 years, 50-59 years age groups significant at unadjusted level]* - Marital status: Single/never married, widowed/separated/divorced *[latter significant at unadjusted level]* - Main employment-related activity year prior: Looked for work, school or training, retired/not looking, disabled *[looked for work, school or training, disabled significant at unadjusted level]* - Household income: Lower/Moderate (Quintiles 1-4) *[Quintiles 1-3 significant at unadjusted level]* - Physical conditions: More (1 condition, 2 conditions, 3+ conditions) *[2 conditions, 3+ conditions significant at unadjusted level]*	-	- Age: Younger age groups (<30 years)

VanTil et al (2021) *Canada*	Completed suicide	**Male:** - Age at release: Younger and middle-age groups (<25 years, 25-34 years, 35-44 years) - Rank at release: More junior rank (Junior NCM) **Female:** - Rank at release: More junior rank (NCM)	**Female:** - Age at release: Younger age groups (<25 years) - Reserve status	-	**Male:** - Rank at release: More senior rank (Senior officer) *[all significant at unadjusted level]* - Reserve status *[significant risk factor at unadjusted level]*
Varker et al (2022) *Australia*	Suicidality (suicidal ideation and plans, and suicide attempts) (past-year)	**Ex-serving:** - Health: *DAR-5 anger total score *PCL-5 PTSD total score *PHQ-9 depression total score *AUDIT alcohol use total score - Relationship status: Not in a relationship, In a relationship but not living together - Type of discharge: Medical discharge	**Ex-serving:** - Service branch: Navy, Air Force	-	**Ex-serving:** - Sex: Male - Ex-serving status
Williamson et al (2021) *UK*	Suicidal ideation	- Exposure to morally injurious events - Exposure to traumatic events - Exposure to mixed events	-	-	-
Woodhead et al (2011) *UK*	Self-harm (suicidal thoughts, suicide attempts and self-harm)	**Self-Harm (ever):** - ESLs (<4 years service) **Suicidal Thoughts (ever):** - ESLs (<4 years service) - Ex-serving female *[vs non-veterans]*	**Self-Harm (ever):** - Ex-serving female *[vs non-veterans]* **Suicidal Thoughts (ever):** - Ex-serving male *[vs non-veterans]*	-	**Self-harm (ever):** - Ex-serving male *[vs non-veterans]*

			Suicide Attempts (ever): - ESLs (<4 years service) - Ex-serving female and ex-serving male *[vs non-veterans]*		

Note. Significance refers to adjusted effect sizes where available. If only unadjusted effect sizes are presented, studies have been marked by an asterisk (*)
ESL: early service leaver; DRTE: deployment-related traumatic event; RAF: Royal Air Force; CF: Canadian Forces; NCO: non-commissioned officer; NCM: non-commissioned member; DSM: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders; PTSD: post-traumatic stress disorder; MDD: major depressive disorder; DAR-5: Dimensions of Anger Reactions 5-item; PCL-5: PTSD Checklist for DSM-5; PHQ-9; Patient Health Questionnaire; AUDIT: Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.08.23295121; this version posted September 8, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

DECLARATIONS

Ethical approval and consent to participate: N/A

Consent for publication: N/A

Availability of data and materials: N/A.

Competing interests: CW is currently in receipt of a funded PhD studentship via Phase 4 of the King's Centre for Military Health Research Health and Wellbeing Cohort Study funded by the Office for Veterans' Affairs (OVA), Cabinet Office, UK Government. BC is currently in receipt of a funded PhD studentship from The Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC), UK Government. AS is a full-time member of the British Army seconded to King's College London. NTF is partly funded by the United Kingdom's Ministry of Defence (MOD). MLS is fully funded by a grant from the OVA. SAMS is supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and the National Institute for Health and Care Research, NIHR Advanced Fellowship, Dr Sharon Stevelink, NIHR300592. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the OVA, the ESRC, the British Army, the MOD, the NHS, or the NIHR.

Funding: This work is part of a PhD nested within Phase 4 of the King's Centre for Military Health Research Health and Wellbeing Cohort Study and funded by the OVA. For the purposes of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Accepted Author Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Authors' Contributions: CW proposed the review. NTF and SAMS secured the funding for Phase 4 of the King's Centre for Military Health Research Health and Wellbeing Cohort Study. CW, MLS and SAMS contributed to the design of the review. CW completed all stages of screening and data extraction. BC was the second rater for 10% of results at title/abstract screening and 20% at full text screening. CW completed the quality assessment for all included papers and BC performed second rater assessment for 20% of included papers. CW wrote the first draft, and all other authors (BC, AS, NTF, MLS, SAMS) contributed to each revision and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Colonel Clare Bennett, Chief Mental Health Officer New Zealand Defence Force, for sharing relevant work being conducted in New Zealand.