medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Systematic review and meta-analysis of intraventricular antibiotics for neonatal meningitis and ventriculitis

Authors: *Doriam Alejandrino Perera Valdivia [1] MD; Edgar Abraham Herrera Pérez [1] MD; Luis Roberto Zapata Vega [1] MD;*

José Miguel Hurtado García [1] MD; Karen Vanessa Herrera [2] PhD

1 Department of Neurosurgery, Dr. Alejandro Dávila Bolaños Military School Hospital, Managua, Nicaragua

2 Quality Department, Dr. Alejandro Dávila Bolaños Military School Hospital, Managua, Nicaragua

**Corresponding author. Email: pereravaldivia@gmail.com*

Abstract

Background: Many pediatric and neurosurgical studies have been published regarding intraventricular antibiotics in neonatal meningitis and ventriculitis. We aimed to determine the safety and effectiveness of intraventricular antibiotics in neonates with meningitis and/or ventriculitis and analyze the quality of available evidence.

Methods: We systematically reviewed scientific literature from the PubMed, EMBASE, LILACS, and SCOPUS databases. Randomized experimental and observational studies were included. The Cochrane methodology was used for systematic reviews.

Results: Twenty six observational studies and one randomized clinical trial involving 272 patients were included. The risk of bias in both pediatric and neurosurgical studies was high, and the quality of evidence was low (evidence level C). In the pediatric studies, no significant differences in mortality were found between intraventricular antibiotics and only systemic antibiotic [25.4% vs 16.1%, OR=0.96 (0.42–2.24), *P*=0.93]. However, when analyzing the minimum administered doses, we found a lower mortality when a minimum duration of 3 days for intraventricular antibiotics was used compared to only systemic antibiotic [4.3% vs 17%, OR=0.22 (0.07–0.72), *P*=0.01]. In the neurosurgical studies, the use of intraventricular antibiotics in ventriculitis generally results in a mortality of 5% and a morbidity of 25%, which is lower than that in cases where intraventricular antibiotics were not used, with an average mortality of 37.3% and a morbidity of 50%.

Conclusion: Considering the low quality of evidence in pediatric and neurosurgical studies, we can conclude with a low level of certainty that intraventricular antibiotics may not significantly impact mortality in neonatal meningitis and ventriculitis. However, reduced mortality was observed in cases treated with a minimum duration of 3 days of intraventricular antibiotic, particularly the multidrug-

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

resistant or treatment-refractory infections. Higher-quality studies are needed to improve the quality of evidence and certainty regarding the use of intraventricular antibiotics for treating neonatal meningitis and ventriculitis.

INTRODUCTION

Neonatal meningitis (along with its severe forms such as ventriculitis) is a disease with one of the highest mortality and morbidity rates. It has a mortality rate of 40–58% in developing countries and 5–25% in developed countries.[1-5] Those who survive this condition present with significant morbidity in up to 50% of cases, regardless of geographic location.[6-8] Worldwide, the mortality from neonatal meningitis and sepsis is estimated to be between 248,000 and 402,000 children per year.[9]

In the 1970s and 1980s, intraventricular antibiotics were successfully used in some cases of neonatal meningitis.[10-14] In 1980, a randomized clinical trial of intraventricular gentamicin in neonatal meningitis [15] reported a very high mortality associated with the use of intraventricular antibiotics, which drastically reduced interest in the clinical use and research of intraventricular antibiotics in neonates, in contrast to the increased clinical use and research of intraventricular antibiotics in adults.

However, in recent years, many observational studies have reported the safe and effective use of intraventricular antibiotics in neonates in both pediatrics and neurosurgery. Therefore, this study aimed to systematically investigate the effectiveness of intraventricular antibiotics in reducing mortality and morbidity in neonatal meningitis and ventriculitis.

Owing to the differences between the studies, we divided the review as follows: a) intraventricular antibiotics in neurosurgery and b) intraventricular antibiotics in pediatric patients. Neurosurgery research included studies on ventriculitis associated with structural disorders (hydrocephalus, myelomeningocele, empyema, etc.) typically related to ventricular medical devices. Research in pediatrics included studies in the pediatric field, primarily focused on treating patients with meningitis and ventriculitis, which were unrelated to structural alterations.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

METHODS

The recommendations of the PRISMA 2020 guidelines and the Cochrane Manual of Systematic Reviews for interventions version 6.3 were followed.[16-17] Only experimental and observational studies in which management was standard treatment for meningitis or ventriculitis were included.[18] Regarding the management of ventriculitis, only studies that removed the infected ventricular system and repositioned a new ventricular system upon resolution of ventriculitis after antibiotic therapy were included. Neurosurgery studies included those on the treatment of neonatal meningitis or ventriculitis associated with the use of intraventricular devices (ventriculoperitoneal shunts or external ventricular drains) or associated with neurosurgical resolution of diseases such as intraventricular hemorrhage, hydrocephalus, and myelomeningocele. The electronic search included the following keywords: "ventriculitis," "intraventricular antibiotic," "meningitis," "neonates," "newborn," "intrathecal," "amikacin," "vancomycin" and "colistin."

We reviewed the PubMed, EMBASE, LILACS, and SCOPUS, included studies published in any language. The inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis studies included: 1. comparative studies (randomized clinical trials or cohort studies) in which the treatment groups were clearly differentiated (systemic antibiotics only vs. intraventricular antibiotics with systemic antibiotics); 2. the results of the study variables were reported; 3. adequate and current management of meningitis and ventriculitis were used; 4. not greater than 20% of patients were lost to follow-up of the variables of interest; 5. more than 10 participants.

The primary response variable was the mortality rate, while the secondary response variables included infection cure, morbidity, complications, neurological sequelae, and adverse reactions.

The methodological quality and risk of bias for observational and experimental studies were reviewed using the ROBINS-I and ROBINS-II tools, respectively. The search, review, and analysis of the risk of bias of each study were carried out by two authors (DP and KH). In case of any differences in the analyses, a consensus was attempted; if the consensus was not reached, the opinion of a third reviewer (LZ) was requested.

The Review manager 5.4 program was used for the meta-analysis. Relative risks were used for dichotomous variables, each with its confidence interval. We visually reviewed the heterogeneity of the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

studies and used the Chi-square test for heterogeneity. If significant heterogeneity was found, the possible methodological and clinical causes that could explain it were reviewed and subgroup analysis was performed.

All meta-analysis models were reviewed to obtain the effects of the intervention (fixed effects model, random effects model, and Peto) and to estimate the global effect we used the most conservative model, which is the one that achieved the value closest to zero or had a P-value closest to 1 (least significant). We intended to see the risk of publication bias using the funnel plot if the number of studies for meta-analysis was equal to or greater than 10. These number of studies were not achieved in the meta-analysis; thus, this graph was not produced. A forest plot was used for graphical representation of the meta-analysis.

The GRADE approach recommended by the Cochrane Manual was used to evaluate the certainty of evidence for the most important variables in this review.[17]

In the case of neurosurgery research, as no adequate analytical (comparative) studies were found, the results of the individual studies were combined to obtain a descriptive synthesis.

RESULTS

Our search yielded a total of 617 studies. After reviewing the titles, abstracts, and some full-text articles, we selected 23 studies for the descriptive analysis and 4 studies for the meta-analysis that include a total of 272 patients (Figure 1).

A) Intraventricular antibiotics in neurosurgery

Our analysis included 19 studies using intraventricular antibiotics in neonates undergoing neurosurgery, comprising 59 patients (Supplement 1). Most of these studies are case reports[19-31] or small case series[32-35], with very few small comparative studies (cohorts).[36,10] Another 26 studies on neonates also used intraventricular antibiotics to treat neuroinfections in neurosurgery, but they were not included in our analysis because the outcomes of interest were not specified in sufficient detail.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

A meta-analysis could not be performed as none of the analytical studies (comparative studies) of neurosurgery research reached the appropriate size that we had anticipated in the inclusion criteria.

Table 1 shows the obtained grouped results. Mortality with the use of intraventricular antibiotics in neurosurgery is 5%, and an infection cure is achieved in 83% of cases. In general, the studies have reported limited information on the morbidity or adverse effects associated with intraventricular antibiotics. The main reasons for their use were refractory central nervous system and multidrug-resistant infections. The main difference between these was that in multidrug-resistant infections, intraventricular antibiotics were used close to the start of treatment, and in refractory infections, intraventricular antibiotics were used when systemic antibiotic therapy had already failed.



Figure 1. Flowchart of this systematic review. Flowchart generated using PRISMA online software. (37)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

The certainty of this estimate was low (downgraded owing to the high risk of bias, imprecision, and publication bias; evidence was upgraded to one level for consistency of results, as most studies reported similar results).

Table 1. Description of neurosurgical studies using intraventricular antibiotics in neonates.

Number of studies	19
Number of patients	59
Etiological agents	gram-positive: 46% gram-negative: 54%
Antibiotic dosages used	amikacin (2 mg/day), gentamicin (2–5 mg/day), vancomycin (5–20 mg/day), colistin (5–10 mg/day), tigecycline (3 mg/day), polymyxin B (40,000 UI units every other day for 7 doses)
Mortality	3/59 (5%)
Infection cure	49/59 (83%)
Mild to severe morbidity	2/8 (25%) (variable rarely reported, only in 13.5% of patients)
Presence of adverse effects	0/19 (0%) (variable rarely reported, only in 32.2% of patients)
Reason for use	• Refractory infection: 12/59 (20%) Mortality: 2/12 (16%) • Multidrug-resistant infection (adjuvant treatment): 30/59 (51%) Mortality: 3/30 (10%) • Did not report reason: 17/59 (29%)
Administration route	External ventricular drain (all cases)

B) Intraventricular antibiotics in pediatrics

We included four comparative research studies in a pediatric setting that met our inclusion criteria to perform a meta-analysis.[15,38-41] These four studies comprise a total of 115 patients.

For the descriptive synthesis analysis, we included 4 observational studies (Supplement 2).[10-12,42] Table 2 presents a synthesis of these descriptive studies in pediatric research. Table 3 presents the characteristics of the studies included in this meta-analysis. The only two outcome variables amenable to meta-analyzed were mortality and neurological morbidity (Table 4).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Description of observational pediatric studies using intraventricular antibiotics in neonates. *dose not specified

Number of studies	4
Number of patients	26
Etiological agents	gram-positive: 3.8% gram-negative: 96.1%
Antibiotic dosages used	colistin*, ryfamicin (5 mg/day), gentamicin (1- 2 mg/day) , chloramphenicol*
Mortality	2/26 (7.7%)
Infection cure	24/26 (92.3%)
Mild to severe morbidity	5/18 (27.7%)
Presence of adverse effects	5/16 (31.2%)
Reason for use	• Refractory infection: 15/26 (57.7%) Mortality: 2/15 (13%) • As ventriculitis management protocol: 11/26 (42.3%) Mortality: 0/11 (0%)
Administration route	• Not specified: 10/26 (38%) • Rickman reservoir: 14/26 (54%) • Omaya reservoir: 1/26 (4%) • Direct needle puncture: 1/26 (4%)

Regarding mortality, we included four studies and obtained an estimated effect in the meta-analysis, yielding an OR of 0.96 (0.42–2.24) and test for general effect of Z=0.09 (*P*=0.93) (Figure 4); therefore, no significant difference in mortality was observed with the use of intraventricular antibiotics in neonates. The certainty of this estimate was low (downgraded due to a high risk of bias, imprecision, and publication bias; the evidence was improved by one level due to the observed dose-response gradient, indicating a protective effect in studies where the minimum dose used was 3 days (Figures 2 and 3).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 3. Description of the studies included in the meta-analysis of intraventricular antibiotics in pediatrics. ITV: intraventricular antibiotic; IV: systemic intravenous antibiotic.

Study	Design	Participants	Intervention	Results
Hussain 2021 (39) and Ambreen 2020 (40)* *These publications used the same group of patients. Data on the mortality of patients with meningitis treated with systemic antibiotics only were obtained from Ambreen 2020. Data on the mortality of patients with meningitis treated with intraventricular antibiotics were obtained from Hussain 2021.	Retrospective cohort study Etiological agents: gram-negative bacteria only	34 (7 ITV + 27 IV)	Reason for antibiotic use: multidrug-resistant meningitis ITV antibiotic: colistin Minimum duration of ITV antibiotic: 3 days ITV antibiotic dosage: 0.16–0.24 mg/kg daily	Mortality: 14% Morbidity: 50% Deaths, IV: 8/27 Survival, IV: 19/27 Deaths, ITV: 1/7 Survival, ITV: 6/7 Average time to sterilize CSF: 4.3 days 18-month follow-up: 100% Adverse effects: 1/7 (14%), adverse reaction not specified
Wright 1981 (38)	Retrospective cohort series Etiological agents: gram-negative bacteria only	29 (10 ITV + 19 IV)	Reason for antibiotic use: ventricular concentration, culture results, clinical evolution ITV antibiotic: amikacin Minimum duration of ITV antibiotic: 7 days ITV antibiotic dosage: 2–5 mg daily	Deaths, IV: 9/19 Survival, IV: 10/19 Deaths, ITV: 0/10 Survival, ITV: 10/10 Morbidity: no information Adverse effects: none

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

McCracken 1980 (15)	Randomized clinical trial (experimental) Etiological agents: gram-negative bacteria only	52 (28 ITV + 24 IV)	Reason for antibiotic use: ITV antibiotics were randomized among neonates with meningitis and ventriculitis. ITV antibiotic: gentamicin Minimum duration of ITV antibiotic: 1 day (36% of patients received <3 days of ITV treatment) ITV antibiotic dose: 2.5 mg daily	Deaths, IV: 3/24 Survival, IV: 21/24 Deaths, ITV: 12/28 Survival, ITV: 16/28 Morbidity: 33% Morbidity, IV: 11 No morbidity, IV: 8 Morbidity, ITV: 4 No morbidity, ITV: 8 Morbidity follow-up: 31/37 alive (84%)
Dellagrammaticas 2000 (41)	Retrospective cohort series Etiological agents: gram-negative bacteria only	72 (6 ITV + 66 IV)	Reason for antibiotic use: treatment-refractory meningitis (4 days with positive cultures with systemic antibiotic treatment) ITV antibiotic: amikacin Minimum duration of ITV antibiotic: 5–8 days ITV antibiotic dose: No information	Deaths, IV: 2/66 Survival, IV: 64/66 Deaths, ITV: 0/6 Survival, ITV: 6/6 Morbidity: 75%

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

The overall mortality estimate showed a high heterogeneity (I^2=91.6). The heterogeneity could be explained by performing a subgroup analysis according to the minimum dose of intraventricular antibiotic used in the studies (studies with doses ≥ 3 doses of intraventricular antibiotic without heterogeneity: I^2=0%) or by the type of study (experimental and non-experimental). Given the low quality of all included studies, our analysis suggests that the latter option is less likely ("Risk of bias assessment").

In the subgroup of studies where the minimum duration of intraventricular antibiotics was ≥ 3 days, pooled mortality was significantly lower [4.3% vs 17%, OR=0.22 (0.07–0.72, *P*=0.01)].

Only one study was included for the analysis of neurological morbidity, with an estimated RR of 0.58 (0.24–1.4). The certainty of this estimate was very low (degraded due to the high risk of bias, imprecision, and publication bias) (Figure 2).

Table 4. Summary of meta-analysis results. ITV: intraventricular antibiotics (plus systemic antibiotics); IV: systemic intravenous antibiotics only; RCT: Randomized clinical trial.

Outcomes	Studies (Participants)	Effect estimates (Presented as pooled OR or RR; 95% CI)	Certainty of effect estimates (GRADE Approach)	Summary
Mortality	RCT: 1 (15) Observational: 3 (38) (39) (40) (41)	**Overall Mortality:** OR: 0.96 (0.42–2.24), *P*=0.93 ITV mortality: 13/51 (25.4%) IV mortality: 22/136 (16.1%) **Mortality when minimum dose of ITV < 3 days:** ITV mortality: 43% IV mortality: 12.5% OR: 4.27 (1.30–14.05), *P*=0.02 **Mortality when minimum dose of ITV ≥ 3 days:** ITV mortality: 4.3% IV Mortality: 17% OR: 0.22 (0.07–0.72), *P*=0.01	Low certainty	The evidence is uncertain on the effect of ITV antibiotics on mortality from meningitis and ventriculitis. The evidence is improved one level by the observed dose response gradient

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Infection cure	RCT: 0 Observational: 0	No information	No information	No evidence was found regarding an infection cure.
Morbidity	RCT: 1 (15) Observational: 0	RR: 0.58 (0.24 – 1.4), P: 0.22 ITV morbidity: 36.3% IV morbidity: 57.8%	Very low certainty	ITV antibiotics appear to reduce neurological morbidity in meningitis and ventriculitis but the evidence is very uncertain
Adverse effects	RCT: 0 Observational: 0	No information	No information	No evidence found

Risk of bias assessment

Experimental study

Regarding the only randomized clinical trial (RCT) evaluated[15], the overall risk of bias was high and was analyzed using the Cochrane ROBINS 2 tool (RoB 2).[43] The methodological aspects in which we found serious weaknesses were randomization, deviation from the planned intervention, and selective reporting (Figure 2).

Randomization of the RCT[15] could have been severely compromised because significant differences in clinical characteristics between the study groups were observed (Table 5).

However, the risk of bias that could have most significantly influenced the results of this study is the deviation from the planned intervention. This is because, in the control group (receiving only systemic antibiotics), 10 of the 24 patients had their treatment changed (with the addition of intraventricular antibiotics) due to a lack of improvement in the characteristics of the cerebrospinal fluid, as confirmed by the same author in another publication months after the experimental clinical trial was published (in a response from the author of the study, to a letter to the editor sent by several researchers concerned about inconsistencies in this study).[13]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 5. Difference in the distribution of clinical characteristics between the study groups. These are differences in the absolute and relative number between each group obtained from the first table of the randomized clinical trial.[15]

Clinical features	Group A (systemic antibiotics only) (n)	Group B (systemic + intraventricular gentamicin) (n)	Distribution difference between treatment groups
Female	14	10	28.5%
Male	10	18	44%%
Birth weight > 2500 g	6	14	57%
Onset to therapy (hours) MEAN ± 2 SEM	26 ± 12	41± 24	15 hours

Deviation from the planned intervention in the RCT [15] could be the cause of the inconsistencies observed, since it reported a much higher mortality with the use of intraventricular antibiotics (Tables 4 and 6), but used suboptimal doses (< 3 days of intraventricular treatment) in 36% of patients (10 of 28) in the intraventricular antibiotic group. The RCT [15] stipulated the administration of a minimum dose of intraventricular antibiotic for three days, as it had previously been shown to be more effective.[35]

Table 6: Results of the mortality stratified and dichotomized by antibiotic doses. Relative Risk = 0.27 (0.11–0.69), P = 0.003; absolute risk difference = 57.7%; relative risk difference = 72.5 %

Group B (Intraventricular antibiotic)	Death (n)		Death (%)
	Yes	No	
≥3 doses intraventricular gentamicin	4	14	22 %
<3 doses intraventricular gentamicin	8	2	80 %
Total	12	16	

Table 6 presents the results of our analysis of the experimental study [15] based on the administered doses. According to the study:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

"Of the 12 infants in group B (intraventricular antibiotic plus systemic antibiotics) who died, 6 received only 1 intraventricular dose of gentamicin, 2 received two doses, and 4 received 3 or more doses (mean 2.3 doses). In contrast, of the 16 survivors assigned to group B, only 2 received fewer than 3 doses and the average number of intraventricular doses administered was 4.7."

Thus, we rearranged (dichotomized) the results according to the minimum planned dose of intraventricular antibiotics (3 doses daily). [15] The results of our analysis are shown in Table 6. The difference in mortality between the minimum dose (3 doses of intraventricular antibiotics) was apparent.

Another risk of bias that we found in the reporting of the results in the RCT was due to the lack of analysis of the morbidity results in the original report. When we analyzed it in detail, we verified that with intraventricular antibiotics, a lower morbidity was achieved (36.3% vs. 57.8%) than with systemic antibiotics alone (Table 4).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .



Figure 2. Risk of bias summary of randomized clinical trials of intraventricular antibiotics in pediatrics: review authors' assessment of the risks of bias for each included study, using the ROBINS 2 tool. Graphic created with RevMan web.

Non-experimental studies

In the three observational studies included in the meta-analysis, [38-41] the overall risk of bias was high, as analyzed using the Cochrane ROBINS 1 tool (RoB 1).[44] The methodological aspects in which we found serious weaknesses were confounding factors, classification of interventions, and participant selection (Figure 2).

Due to the non-randomized nature of the three observational studies and the lack of adequate evaluation of confounding factors, the risk of imbalance was high between the intervention groups.

Although the most recent observational study was published in two articles, [39-40] the data were generally consistent between publications and complemented each other. However, there is one piece of information that presents a high risk of information bias in intervention classification: the information on

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

the mortality of the control group, which was not very clear and had to be deduced conservatively among the published data. We have written to the study authors to confirm this information and have not received any responses.

As the three studies were not randomized, and adjustment strategies were not designed to limit selection bias, we concluded that the three studies present a high risk of participant selection bias.



Figure 3. Risk summary of observational studies of intraventricular antibiotics in pediatrics: review authors' assessment of the risks of bias for each included study, using the ROBINS 1 tool. Graphic created with RevMan web.

DISCUSSION

In general, the quality of evidence for the use of intraventricular antibiotics in neonates in neurosurgery and pediatric studies is low, resulting in low certainty (Level C evidence).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Research in Neurosurgery

Neurosurgical studies that are entirely observational have shown that the use of intraventricular antibiotics in ventriculitis generally results in a mortality rate of 5%. This figure is well below the average mortality rate of neonatal ventriculitis without the use of intraventricular antibiotics (37.3%). [4,15,45] However, this descriptive analysis should be interpreted as exploratory and subject to a high risk of bias.



Figure 4. Meta-analysis of mortality of neonates treated with intraventricular antibiotics vs. systemic antibiotics only. ITV: intraventricular antibiotic (plus systemic antibiotic), IV: systemic intravenous antibiotics only.

No adverse effects have been reported in the neurosurgical literature regarding the use of intraventricular antibiotics in neonates. Significant morbidity occurred in 25% of the patients, which is a reduction compared to the 50% morbidity reported in cases of neonatal meningitis. [4,6] This could lead us to think that intraventricular antibiotics is a safe treatment; however, the important variables (adverse effects and morbidity) were rarely reported (only 25% reported morbidity and 32.2% reported the variable of adverse effects), which indicates a high risk of information and publication bias.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Most cases in which intraventricular antibiotics were used in neurosurgery studies were in patients with multidrug-resistant infections, those refractory to systemic antibiotic treatment, and almost exclusively in patients with ventriculitis associated with medical devices to treat hydrocephalus. In these cases, the risk of developing meningitis and ventriculitis is high, ranging between 6% and 30%. [46,47] The use of these devices in neurosurgery also increases the risk of multidrug-resistant infections, increasing the risk of death by up to 58.8%. [48] This scenario is common in neurosurgery, and intraventricular antibiotics have been a very useful therapeutic tool. In this review, we found that in neurosurgery patients, the average mortality when using intraventricular antibiotics with multidrug-resistant ventriculitis in our study was 10% and in refractory infections was 16%.

We found only one previous review that studied the use of intraventricular antibiotics in children in neurosurgery research; however, it only included those treated for multidrug-resistant infections. [49] This review included 10 neonates in addition to children over 1 month of age who used intraventricular antibiotics with a mortality rate of 20%, which is similar to the 10% mortality rate that we found in 30 neonates with multidrug-resistant ventriculitis.

Recently, three studies were published in which intraventricular antibiotics were used for ventriculitis prophylaxis in hydrocephalus surgeries in neonates. They managed to significantly reduce the incidence of infections, and no adverse effects or deaths were reported. [50-52]

Research in Pediatrics

In pediatric research on intraventricular antibiotics, although we used the term meningitis to refer to neuroinfections requiring the use of intraventricular antibiotics, ventriculitis actually coexists in most cases.[15,39]

The pediatric studies in general showed no difference in mortality in neonates with the use of intraventricular antibiotics compared to systemic antibiotics alone, with an OR of 0.96 (0.42–2.24, P=0.93). However, this result presents great heterogeneity (I^2=91.6%), in that if we only considered the type of studies included in the meta-analysis, we could erroneously conclude that the higher mortality shown by the only experimental study is actually the true effect of the treatment.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

However, as mentioned previously, this single experimental study presents a high risk of bias since there was a deviation from the planned treatment, as evidenced by the fact that 36% of the participants in the intraventricular antibiotic group received a lower dose (less than three doses) than the minimum established before starting the study, and an adequate analysis was not carried out (for example, analysis by intention to treat). This nullified the benefit that the randomization of the participants and treatments should have provided. In fact, in this study [15] we found that the greatest protective factor against death was presented by a duration of intraventricular antibiotics ≥ 3 days, with Relative Risk = 0.27 (0.11–0.69, P = 0.003), (Table 6).

The loss of randomization in the experimental study [15] is evident in the significant imbalance in clinical characteristics between both study groups (Table 5), and is most evident because of a marked difference in the time of initiation of intraventricular antibiotic treatment (average difference of at least 15 h compared to the start of treatment) in the control group with respect to symptom onset.

The results of the 1980 experimental study [15] were unexpected, especially for pediatricians who had already successfully used intraventricular antibiotics in neonates to treat meningitis and ventriculitis. [10-13,38,53] Furthermore, some experts have suggested that the higher mortality from intraventricular antibiotics in this experimental study could have been due to insufficient doses. [13,53] Recently, the guidelines of The Infectious Diseases Society of America on nosocomial meningitis and ventriculitis also questioned the results of the experimental study on the mortality of intraventricular antibiotics [15] due to insufficient doses, and the guidelines consider that intraventricular antibiotics are an option in neuroinfections that responds poorly to systemic treatment. [18] (Figure 5)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .



Figure 5. Diagrammatic representation of intraventricular antibiotic administration through a ventricular reservoir (used in pediatric studies).

The worrisome results of this experimental study [15] practically stopped the clinical use and research of intraventricular antibiotics in neonates in pediatrics, but not in neurosurgery, where they have continued to be used and expanded.

To elucidate the higher mortality associated with intraventricular antibiotics in neonates compared to conventional systemic treatment, the lead author of the experimental study [15] and other researchers reviewed cerebrospinal fluid samples from 21 patients in that same study to examine the concentrations of endotoxins, such as interleukin 1b and tumor necrosis factor. The objective was to find differences between patients treated with intraventricular antibiotics and those treated with systemic antibiotics alone. [54] A significant increase in the cytokine concentrations was observed in a group of samples from patients treated with intraventricular antibiotics. Although these results confirmed for the majority of the medical and scientific community the danger of using intraventricular antibiotics in neonates, it should be noted that they only included 21 patients in the study (of the 52 patients in the original experimental study), representing only 40% of the original sample (loss to follow-up of 60%); therefore, these results are not reliable due to the high risk of information bias. To be considered reliable, it is advisable to have studied at least 80% (loss to follow-up of less than 20%) of the original sample. [55]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Furthermore, in the same study, cytokine concentrations were not correlated with the relevant clinical variables (mortality and morbidity); in particular, they were unable to find differences in mortality between patients treated with intraventricular antibiotics and systemic antibiotics alone (mortality: 20% vs. 18%). However, a lower morbidity was found among patients treated with intraventricular antibiotics (33% vs. 75%, *P*=0.19). [54]

When analyzing the total administered doses of intraventricular antibiotics, we found a decreased mortality in studies in which a minimum dose of ≥ 3 days (mortality: 4.3%) of intraventricular antibiotic was administered as compared to those who did not use intraventricular antibiotics (mortality: 17%, *P*=0.01) (Table 4). This is an important decrease in mortality in neonatal meningitis compared with mortality in other studies, where the average mortality of neonatal meningitis was 20%. [4,5,56-58]

Another explanation for the difference in mortality between the experimental and observational studies could be the varying indications for the use of intraventricular antibiotics in neonates. Observational studies administered intraventricular antibiotics based on the clinical condition of the patient, such as multidrug-resistant or treatment-refractory meningitis and ventriculitis, while in the experimental study, it was done randomly.

In the descriptive (non-comparative observational) pediatric studies (Table 2), we found a low mortality rate (7%), despite the fact that more than half (57.7%) of the patients were treated for meningitis refractory to conventional systemic treatment, which is usually caused by multi-drug-resistant bacteria. A previous study found that the mortality rate in multidrug-resistant neonatal meningitis was 58.8%, compared to 9.5% in infections caused by non-multidrug-resistant bacteria. [48] This comparison is not conclusive because these studies did not include a control group, but they can serve as exploratory studies, and more research could be conducted on this therapy.

A previous systematic review on intraventricular antibiotics in neonates was published in 2012; however, it only included a randomized experimental study. [59]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Conclusions

Considering the low quality of studies in pediatrics and neurosurgery, we conclude with a low level of certainty that intraventricular antibiotics may not have an effect on mortality in neonatal meningitis and ventriculitis. However, reduced mortality has been observed when a minimum duration of 3 days of intraventricular antibiotic treatment was used, particularly for multidrug-resistant or refractory infections. Better quality studies are needed to improve the quality of evidence and certainty regarding the use of intraventricular antibiotics for neonatal meningitis and ventriculitis.

References

1. Furyk J, Swann O, Molyneux E. Systematic review: neonatal meningitis in the developing world. Trop Med Int Health 2011;16(6):672-9.

2. Ku L, Boggess K, Cohen-Wolkowiez M. Bacterial meningitis in infants. Clin Perinatol 2015;42(1):29-45.

3. Baud O, Aujard Y. Neonatal bacterial meningitis. Handb Clin Neurol 2013;112:1109-1113.

4. Peros T, van SJ, Bohte A, Hodiamont C, Aronica E, de Haan T. Neonatal bacterial meningitis versus ventriculitis: a cohort-based overview of clinical characteristics, microbiology and imaging. Eur J Pediatr 2020;179(12):1969-1977.

5. Gaschignard J, Levy C, Romain O, Cohen R, Bingen E, Aujard Y, et al. Neonatal Bacterial Meningitis: 444 Cases in 7 Years. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2011;30(3):212-7.

6. Heath P, Okike I, Oeser C. Neonatal meningitis: can we do better? Adv Exp Med Biol 2011;11-24.

7. Bedford H, de Louvois J, Halket S, Peckham C, Hurley R, Harvey D. Meningitis in infancy in England and Wales: follow up at age 5 years. BMJ 2001;323(7312):533-6.

8. de Louvois J, Halket S, Harvey D. Neonatal meningitis in England and Wales: sequelae at 5 years of age. Eur J Pediatr 2005;164(12):730-4.

9. Defeating meningitis by 2030: baseline situation analysis. World Health Organization. February 2019. (URL: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/defeating-meningitis-2030-baseline-situation-analysis)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

10. Kaul S, D'Cruz J, Rapkin R, Glista B, Behrle FC. Ventriculitis, aqueductal stenosis and hydrocephalus in neonatal meningitis: diagnose and treatment. Infection 1978;6(1):8-11.

11. Rios I, Klimek J, Maderazo E, Quintiliani R. *Flavobacterium meningosepticum* meningitis: report of selected aspects. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1978;14(3):444-7.

12. Lee E, Robinson M, Thong M, Puthucheary S, Ong T, Ng K. Intraventricular chemotherapy in neonatal meningitis. J Pediatr. 1977;91(6):991-5.

13. Kaiser A, Wright P, McGee Z, Dupont W. Intraventricular gentamicin in meningitis. Lancet 1980;2(2).

14. Yeung C. Intrathecal antibiotic therapy for neonatal meningitis. Arch Dis Child 1976;51(9):686-90.

15. McCracken GJ, Mize S, Threlkeld N. Intraventricular gentamicin therapy in gram-negative bacillary meningitis of infancy. Report of the Second Neonatal Meningitis Cooperative Study Group. Lancet 1980;12(1):787-91.

16. Page M, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, et al. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 202;372(160).

17. Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.3 (updated February 2022). Cochrane, 2022.

18. Tunkel A, Hasbun R, Bhimraj A, et al. 2017 Infectious Diseases Society of America's Clinical Practice Guidelines for Healthcare-Associated Ventriculitis and Meningitis. Clin Infect Dis 2017;64(6):e34-e65.

19. Helgason EA, Oskarsdottir T, Brynjarsson H, Olafsson IH, Thors V. Intraventricular Vancomycin Treatment for Shunt-related Ventriculitis Caused by Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus Aureus in a Preterm Infant: A Case Report. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2022;41(4):340-342.

20. Pratheep R, Ray S, Mukhopadhyay K, et al. First Case Report of Intraventricular Tigecycline in a Neonate With Extensively Drug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* Ventriculitis. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2019;38(8):e172-e174.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

21. Joshi P, Shah B, Joshi V, Kumar A, Singhal T. Treatment of *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* Neonatal Meningitis with Combination Systemic and Intraventricular Therapy. Indian J Pediatr 2019;86(4):379-381.

22. Piparsania S, Rajput N, Bhatambare G. Intraventricular polymyxin B for the treatment of neonatal meningo-ventriculitis caused by multi-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii--case report and review of literature. Turk J Pediatr 2012;54(5):548-54.

23. Nava-Ocampo A, Mojica-Madera J, Villanueva-García D, Caltenco-Serrano R. Antimicrobial therapy and local toxicity of intraventricular administration of vancomycin in a neonate with ventriculitis. Ther Drug Monit 2006;28(3):474-6.

24. Laborada G, Cruz F, Nesin M. Serial cytokine profiles in shunt-related ventriculitis treated with intraventricular vancomycin. Chemotherapy 2005;51(6).

25. Greene G, Heitlinger L, Madden J. *Citrobacter* ventriculitis in a neonate responsive to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Clin Pediatr 1983;22(7):515-7.

26. Wirt T, McGee Z, Oldfield E, Meacham W. Intraventricular administration of amikacin for complicated Gram-negative meningitis and ventriculitis. J Neurosurg 1979;50(1):95-9.

27. Helms P. Relapsing *E. coli* K1 antigen meningitis in a newborn. Arch Dis Child 1977;52(2):152-4.

28. Al Yazidi L, McMullan B, Kohan S, Palasanthiran P. Persistent Gram-negative Neurosurgical Meningitis in a Neonate, Successfully Treated With Intraventricular Colistin: Case Report and Review of the Literature. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2018;37(3):e79-e81.

29. Alaoui S, Nejmi S, Chakir A, Hmamouchi B, Chlilek A. Méningite néonatale à *Acinetobacter baumanii* traitée par la colistine intraventriculaire [Intraventricular colistin use in neonatal meningitis caused by *Acinetobacter baumanii*]. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim 2011;30(11):854-5.

30. Serafettin Tekgunduz K, Kara M, Caner I, Demirelli Y, Tekgündüz KŞ. Safety and Efficacy of Intravenous Colistin in Neonates With Culture Proven Sepsis. Iran J Pediatr 2015;25(4):e453.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

31. Hiremath P, Rangappa P, Jacob I, Rao K. Cerebrospinal Fluid Lactate as a Prognostic Indicator in Postneurosurgical Bacterial Meningitis and Use of Intrathecal Colistin. Indian J Crit Care Med 2018;22(4):297-299.

32. Bhat RR, Batra P, Sachan R, Singh G. Neonatal ventriculitis: a case series and review of literature. Trop Doct 2020;50(3):266-270.

33. Matsunaga N, Hisata K, Shimizu T. An investigation into the vancomycin concentration in the cerebrospinal fluid due to vancomycin intraventricular administration in newborns: a study of 13 cases. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94(22):e922.

34. James H, Bejar R, Gluck L, et al. Ventriculoperitoneal shunts in high risk newborns weighing under 2000 grams: a clinical report. Neurosurgery 1984;15(2):198-202.

35. Mangi R, Holstein L, Andriole V. Treatment of Gram-negative bacillary meningitis with intrathecal gentamicin. Yale J Biol Med 1977;50(1): 31-41.

36. Del Rincón NN, de Alba Romero C, Nadal PE, et al. Ventriculitis: experiencia en un servicio de neonatología [Experience with ventriculitis at a neonatology department]. An Esp Pediatr 2000;52(3): 245-50.

37. Haddaway N, Page MJ, Pritchard C, & McGuinness L. PRISMA2020: An R package and Shiny app for producing PRISMA 2020-compliant flow diagrams, with interactivity for optimised digital transparency and Open Synthesis. Campbell Systematic Reviews. 2022;18.

38. Wright P, Kaiser A, Bowman C, McKee KJ, Trujillo H, McGee Z. The pharmacokinetics and efficacy of an aminoglycoside administered into the cerebral ventricles in neonates: implications for further evaluation of this route of therapy in meningitis. J Infect Dis 1981;143(2): 141-7.

39. Hussain K, Sohail Salat M, Ambreen G, Iqbal J. Neurodevelopment Outcome of Neonates Treated With Intraventricular Colistin for Ventriculitis Caused by Multiple Drug-Resistant Pathogens-A Case Series. Front Pediatr 2021;20(8).

40. Ambreen G, Salat M, Hussain K, et al. Efficacy of colistin in multidrug-resistant neonatal sepsis: experience from a tertiary care center in Karachi, Pakistan. Arch Dis Child 2020;105(9):830-836.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

41. Dellagrammaticas HD, Christodoulou CH, Megaloyanni E, Papadimitriou M, Kapetanakis J, Kourakis G. Treatment of gram-negative bacterial meningitis in term neonates with third generation cephalosporins plus amikacin. Biol Neonate 2000;77(3):139-46.

42. Kaplan S, Patrick C. Cefotaxime and aminoglycoside treatment of meningitis caused by gram-negative enteric organisms. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1990;9(11):810-4.

43. Sterne J, Savović J, Page M, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2019.

44. Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ 2016;355.

45. Feferbaum R, Vaz F, Krebs V, Diniz E, Ramos S, Manissadjian A. Meningite bacteriana no período neonatal. Evolução clínica e complicações em 109 casos [Bacterial meningitis in the neonatal period. Clinical evaluation and complications in 109 cases]. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 1993;51(1).

46. Topjian A, Stuart A, Pabalan A, et al. Risk factors associated with infections and need for permanent cerebrospinal fluid diversion in pediatric intensive care patients with externalized ventricular drains. Neurocrit Care 2014;21(2):294-299.

47. Boethun A, Vissing N, Mathiasen R, Skjøth-Rasmussen J, Foss-Skiftesvik J. CNS infection in children with brain tumors: adding ventriculostomy to brain tumor resection increases risk more than 20-fold. Childs Nerv Syst 2023;39(2):387-394.

48. Thatrimontrichai A, Janjindamai W, Dissaneevate S, Maneenil G. Neonatal multidrug-resistant bacterial meningitis: a 29-year study from a tertiary hospital in Thailand. J Infect Dev Ctries 2021;15(7):1021-1026.

49. Alnaami I, Alahmari Z. Intrathecal/Intraventricular Colistin for Antibiotic-Resistant Bacterial CNS Infections in Pediatric Population: A Systematic Review. Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease. 2022;7(3).

50. Spader H, Hertzler D, Kestle J, Riva-Cambrin J. Risk factors for infection and the effect of an institutional shunt protocol on the incidence of ventricular access device infections in preterm infants. J Neurosurg Pediatr 2015;15(2):156-160.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

51. Lakomkin N, Hadjipanayis C. The Role of Prophylactic Intraventricular Antibiotics in Reducing the Incidence of Infection and Revision Surgery in Pediatric Patients Undergoing Shunt Placement [published correction appears in Neurosurgery 2021;88(5):1042]. Neurosurgery 2021;82(2):301-305.

52. Moussa W, Mohamed M. Efficacy of postoperative antibiotic injection in and around ventriculoperitoneal shunt in reduction of shunt infection: A randomized controlled trial. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 2016;143:144-149.

53. Meade R. Bacterial meningitis in the neonatal infant. Med Clin North Am 1985;69(2):257-267.

54. Mustafa M, Mertsola J, Ramilo O, Sáez-Llorens X, Risser R, McCracken GJ. Increased endotoxin and interleukin-1 beta concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid of infants with coliform meningitis and ventriculitis associated with intraventricular gentamicin therapy. J Infect Dis 1989;160(5):891-895.

55. Nunan D, Aronson J, Bankhead C. Catalogue of bias: attrition bias. BMJ Evid Based Med 2018;23(1):21-22.

56. Kavuncuoğlu S, Gürsoy S, Türel Ö, Aldemir E, Hoşaf E. Neonatal bacterial meningitis in Turkey: epidemiology, risk factors, and prognosis. J Infect Dev Ctries 2013;7(2):73-81.

57. Ríos-Reátegui E, Ruiz-González L, Murguía-de-Sierra T. Meningitis bacteriana neonatal en una institución de tercer nivel de atención [Neonatal bacterial meningitis in a tertiary treatment center] [published correction appears in Rev Invest Clin 1998;50(3):262]. Rev Invest Clin 1998;50(1):31-36.

58. Basmaci R, Bonacorsi S, Bidet P, et al. Escherichia Coli Meningitis Features in 325 Children From 2001 to 2013 in France. Clin Infect Dis 2015;61(5):779-786.

59. Shah S, Ohlsson A, Shah V. Intraventricular antibiotics for bacterial meningitis in neonates. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012;2012(7).

Acknowledgment:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

We thank the technical support of the National Autonomous University of Nicaragua and particularly the professors from the Department of Statistics: Juan Ricardo Orozco (MSc) and José David García (MSc) for the statistical review. We also thank the independent review done by Drs. Dennis McDonnell (MD) and Abul Ariza Manzano (MD) for their invaluable contributions. Additionally, we appreciate the artistic work of drawing and diagrams made by Gloria Sarmiento Rodriguez (graphic designer). Lastly, we thank our families for their economic support, especially Marlene Valdivia, Alejandrino Perera, Elias Torres, and Tania Perera.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.01.23295208 This version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint
(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .



McCracken

-	Random sequence generation (selection bias)
?	Allocation concealment (selection bias)
+	Blinding (performance bias and detection bias): Self-reported outcomes
+	Blinding (performance bias and detection bias): Objective outcomes
+	Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias):
+	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias): Self-reported outcomes
+	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias): Objective measures
+	Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias): All outcomes
-	Selective reporting (reporting bias)
-	Deviation from intended intervenion

Bias in selection of participants
Selective reporting (reporting bias)
Bias due to confounding
Bias in classification of interventions
Deviation from intended intervenion
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias): All outcomes
Bias in measurement of outcomes
Dellagrammaticas 2000
Hussain 2021 and Ambreen 2020
Wright 1981
−
+
?

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.07.23295218; this version posted December 1, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Study or Subgroup	ITV Events	ITV Total	IV only Events	IV only Total	Weight	Peto Odds Ratio Peto, Fixed, 95% CI
1.1.1 Mortality when minimum dose of ITV < 3 days						
McCracken 1980 (RCT)	12	28	3	24	49.9%	4.27 [1.30, 14.05]
Subtotal (95% CI)		**28**		**24**	**49.9%**	**4.27 [1.30, 14.05]**
Total events	12		3			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.39 (P = 0.02)						
1.1.2 Mortality when minimum dose of ITV ≥ 3 days						
Dellagrammaticas 2000 (Cohort)	0	6	2	66	2.8%	0.33 [0.00, 51.60]
Hussain 2021 and Ambreen 2020 (Cohort)	1	7	8	27	20.6%	0.47 [0.07, 2.98]
Wright 1981 (Cohort)	0	10	9	19	26.8%	0.12 [0.02, 0.60]
Subtotal (95% CI)		**23**		**112**	**50.1%**	**0.22 [0.07, 0.72]**
Total events	1		19			
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 1.21, df = 2 (P = 0.55); I² = 0%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.50 (P = 0.01)						
Total (95% CI)		**51**		**136**	**100.0%**	**0.96 [0.42, 2.24]**
Total events	13		22			
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 13.15, df = 3 (P = 0.004); I² = 77%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.09 (P = 0.93)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 11.94, df = 1 (P = 0.0005), I² = 91.6%