Title: The pivotal role of the X-chromosome in the genetic architecture of the 1 human brain 2

3

Authors: Zhiwen Jiang¹, Patrick F. Sullivan², Tengfei Li^{3,4}, Bingxin Zhao^{5,6}, Xifeng Wang¹, Tianyou Luo¹, Shuai Huang¹, Peter Y. Guan¹, Jie Chen¹, Yue Yang¹, Jason L. Stein^{2,7}, Yun Li^{1,2,8}, Dajiang Liu^{9,10}, 4

5

Lei Sun^{11,12}, and Hongtu Zhu^{1,2,4,8,13}* 6 7

8 **Affiliations:**

- 9 ¹Department of Biostatistics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.
- 10 ²Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.
- 11 ³Department of Radiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.
- 12 ⁴Biomedical Research Imaging Center, School of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 13 Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.
- 14 ⁵Department of Statistics and Data Science, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.
- 15 ⁶Department of Statistics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA.
- ⁷UNC Neuroscience Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA. 16
- 17 ⁸Department of Computer Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599,
- 18 USA.
- 19 ⁹Department of Public Health Sciences, Penn State University, Hershey, PA 17033, USA.
- 20 ¹⁰Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Penn State University, Hershey, PA 17033, USA.
- ¹¹Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5G 1Z5, Canada. 21
- 22 ¹²Division of Biostatistics, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5T 23 3M7, Canada.
- 24 ¹³Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel 25 Hill, NC 27599, USA.
- 26
- 27 *Corresponding author. Email: htzhu@email.unc.edu
- 28
- 29 Abstract: Genes on the X-chromosome are extensively expressed in the human brain. However, little is
- 30 known for the X-chromosome's impact on the brain anatomy, microstructure, and functional network. We
- 31 examined 1,045 complex brain imaging traits from 38,529 participants in the UK Biobank. We unveiled
- 32 potential autosome-X-chromosome interactions, while proposing an atlas outlining dosage compensation
- 33 (DC) for brain imaging traits. Through extensive association studies, we identified 72 genome-wide
- 34 significant trait-locus pairs (including 29 new associations) that share genetic architectures with brain-
- 35 related disorders, notably schizophrenia. Furthermore, we discovered unique sex-specific associations and 36 assessed variations in genetic effects between sexes. Our research offers critical insights into the X-
- 37 chromosome's role in the human brain, underscoring its contribution to the differences observed in brain 38 structure and functionality between sexes.
- 39
- 40 **One-sentence Summary:** We investigated the genetic impact of the X-chromosome and the sex
- 41 differences in the human brain.

1 The genetic foundations governing gene regulation on the X-chromosome are inherently complex due to

2 the XY sex-determination system (1). In genetic females, who have two X-chromosomes compared to the

3 single X-chromosome in males, one X-chromosome is inactivated. This inactivation occurs either

randomly or with a bias towards a specific parental copy, aiming to balance the transcriptional dosages of
 X-linked genes between the sexes (2, 3). This process, known as X-chromosome inactivation (XCI),

A-Inited genes between the sexes (2, 5). This process, known as A-chromosome inactivation (ACI),
 facilitates dosage compensation (DC), ensuring that females are cellular mosaics with cells expressing

one of the two possible active X-chromosomes (4). However, DC is not complete, with only

8 approximately 60-75% of X-linked genes fully silenced (5), and this process may vary across different

9 tissues (6, 7). As a result, several factors, including DC itself and the differential expression of parent-of-

10 origin genes, significantly influence X-linked gene expression in females (8). Notably, in both sexes, the

11 expression levels of genes on the active X-chromosome can be increased to align with those of autosomal

12 genes – a principle known as Ohno's hypothesis (9). This compensatory upregulation of X-linked genes

has been observed across various species, including human (10) and mouse (10-12), indicating a

14 widespread evolutionary strategy for gene expression balance.

15

16 The X-chromosome harbors a significant number of genes with predominant expression in brain tissues

17 (10, 13), as evidenced by the X-chromosome to autosome expression ratio exceeding one (10). This

18 highlights the X-chromosome's crucial impact on brain anatomy, connectivity, and functionality (14-17).

19 Compelling evidence has shown the X-chromosome profoundly influences a wide range of neurological

20 diseases and psychiatric disorders from both genetic and epigenetic viewpoints (5, 18-20). For instance,

21 X-linked intellectual disability is a prominently studied brain disorder with 162 related genes identified by

22 2022 (21). Remarkably, the density of genes associated with intellectual disability on the X-chromosome

is twice that found on autosomes (21). Chromosome mutations, such as sex chromosome aneuploidy, can significantly affect brain structures (14, 22), cognitive functions (23, 24), behaviors (24), and both

neurological (24, 25) and psychiatric disorders (26, 27). A combination of factors, including the high

concentration of relevant genes, varying gene expression between sexes, and the influence of epigenetic

processes driven by sex steroid hormones, establish the X-chromosome as a nexus for sex differences in

the human brain across various age groups (4, 7, 8, 28-33). Despite its critical importance, the X-

chromosome is frequently overlooked in genome-wide association studies (GWAS), a point underscored by research from Wise et al. (*34*) and Sun. et al. (*35*).

31

32 Previous studies have laid foundational work in exploring the genetic contributions of the X-chromosome

to brain structures and functions. Smith et al. (17) conducted a GWAS analyzing 3,144 complex brain

imaging traits in 22,138 subjects. They identified four genome-wide significant loci on the X-

35 chromosome, each with a top single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) achieving a p-value less than 7.04×10^{-12} The field of the less than 10^{-12} The field of the less than 1

 7.94×10^{-12} . They further characterized the genetic loci using expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs)

and examined the genetic co-architectures between brain traits and various health-related conditions. On a

different note, Mallard et al. (16) examined DC for regional brain measurements, including brain volumes

39 (BVs), cortical thickness (CT), and surface area (SA). Their research highlighted a significant enrichment

of X-linked heritability on specific regions of interest (ROIs) within the SA and delved into the genetic
 basis of these ROIs through an X-chromosome-wide association study (XWAS) (36). However, the study

basis of these ROIs through an X-chromosome-wide association study (XWAS) (36). However, the study
 by Smith et al. (17) was constrained by a smaller discovery sample size than what is now available, while

42 by similar et al. (17) was constrained by a smaller discovery sample size than what is now available, 43 Mallard et al.'s investigation was restricted to brain anatomy. More importantly, there is still a

44 considerable gap in our understanding of the DC profile for brain connectivity and functionality, and the

45 degree to which sex differences in the human brain are modulated by the X-chromosome.

46

To comprehensively evaluate the X-chromosome's role in the human brain, we amassed 1,045 complex

48 brain imaging traits derived from structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) for brain anatomical

49 measures, diffusion MRI (dMRI) for white matter (WM) microstructure, and resting-state and task-

50 evoked functional MRI (rfMRI and tfMRI, respectively) for intrinsic and extrinsic brain functions (Table

51 S1). Specifically, we collected 230 sMRI traits encompassing BV, CT, and SA, 635 dMRIs traits

1 covering five diffusion parameters, the fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), axial

2 diffusivity (AD), radial diffusivity (RD), and mode of anisotropy (MO) (hereafter DTI traits), and 180

3 rfMRI and tfMRI traits including the network-level functional amplitude and connectivity. For each

4 diffusion parameter, we derived both the mean value and the top five functional principal components 5 (PCs) within each tract, and the overall average across 21 WM tracts. The tract-mean traits provide

- 6 average diffusivity metrics of the tracts, while the PC traits capture the finer details and diffusivity
- 7 heterogeneity within the tract (37). Additionally, rfMRI and tfMRI traits were generated using the
- 8 Glasser360 (38) atlas among 12 networks. Further details are provided in the Methods section. The
- 9 reliability and reproducibility of all traits have been verified in our preceding studies (37, 39-41).
- 10
- An overview of the study design is illustrated in Fig. 1. We first assessed the DC status of each brain 11
- 12 imaging trait through model selection, focusing on the narrow-sense heritability associated with the non-
- 13 pseudo-autosomal region (NPR) SNPs on the X-chromosome via a sex-agnostic analysis. Subsequently,
- 14 we developed a comprehensive DC atlas for every trait category. In association analysis, we examined
- 15 both NPR and pseudo-autosomal region (PAR) SNPs on the X-chromosome. Remarkably, we discovered
- genetic overlaps between the brain imaging traits and various brain-related disorders/phenotypes. Our 16
- 17 further explorations delved into sex differences, scrutinizing aspects such as phenotype, heritability,
- 18 genetic associations, and genetic effects. These explorations have yielded critical insights into the genetic
- 19 determinants of sex-specific variations in brain structure and functionality. Through this research, we aim 20 to augment the comprehension of the X-chromosome's contribution to human brain function and
- 21 development. Our findings will pave the way for enriching future endeavors in biology, clinical sciences,
- 22 and psychiatry.
- 23

24 **Results**

25 **Dosage compensation in the X-chromosome**

- 26 We determined a global DC for each trait through model selection. This global DC is not specific to a
- 27 gene, but reflects an aggregate effect of all the X-linked genes, deriving from the best model to estimate
- 28 X-linked narrow-sense heritability. Specifically, we utilized three distinct model specifications – full DC,
- 29 no DC, and equal variance – to jointly estimate the heritability ascribed to autosomes (h_a^2) and the
- heritability credited to the NPR on the X-chromosome (h_X^2) using GCTA (42) (Methods). In these models, 30
- 31 a female's genetic profile is always coded as (0, 1, 2), but a male's genetic profile is coded as (0, 2) for
- 32 full DC (known as random X-inactivation (43)); in no DC, a male's profile is coded as (0, 1); and in the
- 33 equal variance model, it is coded as $(0, \sqrt{2})$. These models illustrate the differing genetic variance
- between sexes, as males exhibit twice the genetic variance of females in full DC, half in no DC, and 34
- 35 identical in the equal variance model. For each trait, the model exhibiting the lowest Akaike information
- 36 criterion (AIC) was selected as the best model. Given that the heritability linked to the X-chromosome
- 37 depends on the inactivation status of X-linked loci influencing a trait (42, 44), accurately determining the DC status for each trait allows us to more precisely estimate X-linked heritability, as wells as boosting the
- 38 39 statistical power of our association analysis.
- 40
- Our analysis revealed 1,039 out of 1,045 traits (99.4%) exhibited significant total heritability $(h_a^2 + h_X^2)$, 41
- after adjusting for multiple comparisons by controlling the false discovery rate (FDR) at the 0.05 level. 42
- 43 Specifically, 819 (78.4%) traits showed a preference for full DC, 187 traits (17.9%) for no DC, and 39
- 44 traits (3.7%) for equal variance. Fig. 2A illustrates the DC distribution across each trait category (detailed
- 45 in Table S2), while Fig. S1 and S2 depict the strength of the preferred DC model for sMRI and DTI tract-
- 46 mean traits, respectively. The MO, CT (16), and AD traits exhibited the highest inclination towards no 47
- DC. For traits favoring full DC, no DC, and equal variance, the average h_X^2 estimates were 1.0% (se = 0.34%), 1.5% (se = 0.63%) and 0.52% (se = 0.44%), respectively (Fig. 2B). The variation in h_X^2 estimates 48
- 49 across the three DC categories was statistically significant (Wilcoxon rank sum test, pairwise p-values <
- 1.8×10^{-6}). Higher h_X^2 estimates are reasonable for traits favoring no DC, as the lack of XCI leads to an 50

1 increased gene expression dosage, thereby enhancing the X-chromosome's influence on genetic

- 2 regulation.
- 3

4 Furthermore, our analysis discovered that traits favoring full DC on the X-chromosome exhibited a

5 greater h_a^2 estimate compared to those favoring no DC (Wilcoxon rank sum test, pairwise p-value = 0.006, Fig. 2C). However, no significant difference was observed between traits with full DC and those

6

- 7 with equal variance (p-values = 0.22), likely due to the small number of traits with equal variance.
- Specifically, the average h_a^2 estimate for full DC traits was 34.2%, for no DC traits was 29.7%, and for 8
- equal variance traits was 30.1%. This differentiation in h_a^2 was not attributed to estimation bias, as h_a^2 9
- estimates across different DC models were consistent for any given trait. (Fig. S3). The observed 10
- 11 differences indicate that h_a^2 is correlated with the DC status, potentially hinting at autosome-X-
- chromosome interactions. For example, DNA methylation on autosomes might be trans regulated by the 12 13 X-chromosome (45). Moreover, it implies that traits associated with no DC may be more susceptible to
- 14 non-genetic influences, including environmental factors.
- 15

16 Distinct patterns of DC were discerned across measurements of brain anatomy. Notably, all SA traits

- 17 favored full DC, while nearly all BV traits showed the same preference, except for the optic chiasm. In
- 18 contrast, CT traits presented a more varied DC pattern as approximately half of the traits tended towards

19 either no DC or equal variance. While the mean CT still favored full DC, those preferring no DC were

20 distributed across a wide range of cortical regions (Fig. 3A). Despite different preprocessing for raw

21 images, our discoveries resonated with the patterns previously identified by Mallard et al. (16).

22 Additionally, existing literature posits that the CT of the motor cortex may be influenced by genes that 23 escape inactivation (46). Our findings supported this, as the CT for both the precentral and postcentral

- 24 cortex (located near the motor cortex) showed patterns consistent with no DC.
- 25

26 When assessing WM tracts, MO traits predominantly showed a preference for no DC, whereas RD traits 27 largely favored full DC (Fig. 3B for the DC atlas of MO and Fig. S4 for other DTI metrics). Specifically,

- 28 MO traits exhibited 51 instances of no DC, while such instances were less than 25 in other metrics (Fig.
- 29 S5). Notably, all five PCs of MO associated with key WM tracts – the anterior limb of the internal
- 30 capsule, the corticospinal tract, and the splenium of corpus callosum – uniformly favored no DC (Fig.
- 31

S5D). This pattern suggests a significant influence of X-escapee genes on microstructures of these tracts. 32

33 In our study, rfMRI and tfMRI traits that favored no DC were predominantly associated with the primary

34 and secondary visual networks, as well as the default mode network (Fig. 3C). For instance, the majority

35 of mean connectivity traits, both between and within the visual networks, exhibited a preference for no

36 DC across both rfMRI and tfMRI modalities. We noted that the secondary visual network showed a

37 partial overlap with the superior temporal areas, while the default mode network overlapped with the

superior temporal, caudal middle frontal, and rostral middle frontal regions. Intriguingly, CT of these 38

39 ROIs also favored no DC. Such findings underscore a possible connection between the regional structures of the cerebral cortex and the functional networks.

40

41

42 X-linked heritability for complex brain imaging traits

We jointly estimated h_a^2 and h_X^2 via the GREML analysis of GCTA (42) based on the optimal DC model for each trait. Of the total 1,045 traits, 760 (72.7%) traits displayed significant h_X^2 estimates with an average h_X^2 of 1.3% (se = 0.4%) by controlling FDR at the 0.05 level (Fig. 2D-F and Table S2). BV and 43 44

45

SA traits predominantly showed high heritability, followed by DTI tract-mean traits and rfMRI traits, 46

whereas tfMRI showcased the fewest proportion (47%) with significant h_x^2 estimates. 47

- 48
- We evaluated the enrichment of h_X^2 by contrasting the observed h_X^2 against the expected h_X^2 for a genome 49
- segment of an equivalent size (Methods). This analysis revealed that 30 traits (2.9%) displayed h_X^2 50

- enrichment, while 411 traits (39.3%) exhibited h_X^2 depletion (Fig. S6A-C and Table S2), with the rest 1
- showing no significant deviation from expectation. The mean h_X^2 estimate varied significantly across 2
- enrichment groups (Wilcoxon rank sum test, pairwise p-values $< 4.1 \times 10^{-8}$, Fig. S6D). Notably, SA 3
- (hypergeometric test, p-value = 0.0019 < 0.05/22) and rfMRI (p-value = 0.00055) were disproportionately represented in h_X^2 enrichment, while FA (p-value = 7.0×10^{-7}) and tract-mean traits (p-value = 6.3×10^{-26}) displayed overrepresentation in depletion. The observed scarcity of traits with enriched h_X^2 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 can be attributed to male haploidy and the phenomenon of random XCI in females (44), as both factors
- 8 reduce the genetic variance from the X-chromosome.
- 9
- 10 The X-chromosome profoundly impacts variations in brain anatomy. Among the 230 traits examined, 179
- showed significant h_X^2 estimates, with an average h_X^2 estimate of 1.7% (se = 0.38%). The findings on h_X^2 11
- and h_a^2 estimates for total BV, mean CT, and total SA echoed results from previous studies (16, 39, 47, 12
- 13 48). Interestingly, while no BV traits showed significant enrichment in h_X^2 , one CT trait (left caudal 14 middle frontal) and seven SA traits were identified as significantly enriched (Fig. S7). The left caudal
- 15 middle frontal region showcased the highest h_X^2 of 3.1% in CT traits, with the X-chromosome accounting
- 16 for over 14% of total heritability.
- 17

Distinctive patterns of h_X^2 were observed between CT traits and those related to BV and SA. The average 18

- h_X^2 for BV and SA traits were double that of CT traits. Additionally, while the correlations of h_a^2 between left and right hemispheres traits were comparable across BV, CT, and SA (Fig. S8A-C), the correlations 19
- 20
- 21
- in h_X^2 varied significantly. BV traits demonstrated the highest correlation (r = 0.81, p-value = 2.1×10^{-11}), followed by SA traits (r = 0.59, p-value = 0.0003) and CT traits showed the lowest 22
- correlation (r = 0.21, p-value = 0.20) (Fig. S8D-F), despite similar standard errors in h_X^2 estimates across 23
- 24 these anatomical features ($se = 0.36\% \sim 0.40\%$). These findings underscore a more pronounced genetic
- 25 influence of the X-chromosome on BV and SA, with its impact on CT exhibiting greater variability
- 26 between hemispheres and a more complex DC pattern. This indicates that CT may be subject to unique 27 biological processes during brain development, distinct from those affecting BV and SA (30, 49).
- 28

29 The corticospinal tract exhibited distinct DC and heritability enrichment patterns than other WM tracts. It

- 30 was consistently favoring no DC across all DTI metrics and their PCs. Remarkably, out of the ten 31
- functional PC traits enriched in h_X^2 , six were associated with the corticospinal tract (Fig. S9). The h_X^2 of 32 the fourth PC of the corticospinal tract, evaluated by AD, MD and RD, represented over 80% of the total
- 33 heritability for these traits, yielding an enrichment ratio nearing 20 (Fig. S6B). This pronounced X-
- 34 chromosomal influence, coupled with its relatively lower overall heritability compared to other tracts,
- 35 may contribute to a deeper understanding of the sex differences observed in the development of the
- corticospinal tract (50, 51). Additional findings indicate that an increased dosage from the X-chromosome 36
- 37 may significantly reduce the white matter volume within the corticospinal tract(14). More extensive
- 38 analyses regarding the heritability of DTI traits can be found in the Supplementary Text.
- 39

While rfMRI and tfMRI exhibited analogous DC patterns, the distribution of h_X^2 was notably different. The 40 correlation between h_X^2 estimates for rfMRI and tfMRI was negaligible (r = 0.09, p-value = 0.41), in 41 contrast to a moderate correlation for h_a^2 (r = 0.34, p-value = 0.001). Specifically, in rfMRI, nine connectivity traits exhibited enrichment in h_X^2 , and three of them related to connectivity within the visual 42 43 networks showcased substantial heritability ($h_X^2 = 2.7\%$), while the corresponding heritability was only 44 0.5% in tfMRI. Moreover, only three tfMRI connectivity traits related to the language and posterior-45 multimodal networks were significantly enriched in h_x^2 with a mean estimate 1.9%, and these did not 46 47 overlap with the enriched traits identified in rfMRI (Fig. S10). Taken together, the X-chromosome 48 displayed distinct genetic regulations on the intrinsic and extrinsic brain functions.

49

1 Genetic loci on the X-chromosome associated with complex brain imaging traits.

We carried out XWAS on 33,591 subjects from UKB phase 1-3, analyzing 1,045 complex brain imaging
 traits against 300,000 SNPs on the X-chromosome using PLINK2 (52). This included 289,000 NPR SNPs

- traits against 300,000 SNPs on the X-chromosome using PLINK2 (52). This included 289,000 NPR SNPs
 and 11,000 PAR SNPs post-imputation. The specific sample sizes and SNP counts for each trait are
- detailed in Table S3. For NPR SNPs, we determined the best DC model for each trait following the model
- 6 selection results. Due to the unavailability of the equal variance model in PLINK2 (where the two male
- hemizygous genotypes coded as 0 and $\sqrt{2}$), we defaulted to the full DC model (with males coded as 0 and $\sqrt{2}$).
- 2) for traits favoring equal variance. Association analysis for PAR SNPs proceeded as with autosomal
- 9 SNPs. To accurately calibrate the null distribution for correlated test statistics, we employed wild
- 10 bootstrap technique (53), allowing for accurate control of multiple comparisons across the extensive trait
- 11 analysis (Supplementary Text).
- 12
- 13 At the genome-wide threshold of 5×10^{-8} , we identified 29 top NPR SNPs (linkage disequilibrium (LD)
- 14 $r^2 < 0.1$), across eight genomic regions (Xp11.4, Xp21.3, Xp22.11, Xp22.12, Xp22.2, Xq13.1, Xq26.3,
- and Xq28). These SNPs were associated with 72 different brain imaging traits (6 BV, 7 SA, 5 AD, 7 FA,
- 16 13 RD, 4 MO, 10 MD, 35 tract-mean, and 1 rfMRI), resulting in a total of 72 trait-locus association pairs
- 17 (Table S4 and S5, Fig. 4A). The significant associations were disproportionately enriched in the Xq28
- 18 region (58 out of 72, proportion test against the proportion of Xq28 in the X-chromosome, p-value <
- 19 2.2×10^{-16}), which was linked to SA and a wide range of tract measures. We did not identify any
- 20 significant locus in PARs (PAR1 and PAR2 at the two ends of the X-chromosome). Lookups in NHGRI-
- EBI GWAS catalog (54) revealed 29 novel trait-locus association pairs (Table 1). We found evidence that
- some top SNPs were related to brain structures in previous studies. For instance, rs2272737 was
- associated with a variety of DTI measures (17); rs12843772 was associated with BV, cortical areas (17), and brain shape (55).
- 25

26 To ensure the robustness of our XWAS findings, we verified the XWAS results from two aspects

- 27 considering the unique features of the X-chromosome. First, we estimated genetic effects separately for
- 28 males and females in a sex-stratified analysis, followed by a meta-analysis via METAL (Methods). The
- 29 majority (63 out of 72) of significant trait-locus pairs were also discovered in the meta-analysis, with 30 three additional ones emerging exclusively through the meta-analysis (Table S6). Second, we conducted
- replication XWAS analysis using separate datasets in UKB: phase 4 subjects with European ancestry
- (UKBE, n = 4.181), phase 1-4 subjects with South Asian ancestry and Chinese ancestry (UKBSAC, n =
- 462), and phase 1-4 subjects with South Asian ancestry and Chinese ancestry (OKBSAC, if 462), and phase 1-4 subjects with African ancestry (UKBA, n = 295), to validate our discoveries (Table
- S7 402), and phase 1-4 subjects with Annean ancestry (OKDA, n = 255), to variable our discoveries (Table
 S7). All 72 index SNPs displayed consistent effect sizes between discovery and replication studies using
- 35 UKBE (proportion test against 0.5, p-value $< 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Out of 72 index SNPs, 10 (13.9%) were
- replicated at a conservative Bonferroni threshold (p-value < 0.05/72 = 0.0007). However, replication was
- not achieved for the UKBSAC and UKBA groups, likely due to their smaller sample sizes.
- 38

Further meta-analysis across these ethnic groups (total n = 4,938) allowed for the replication of 23 (31.9%)

- 40 index SNPs at the same Bonferroni threshold, with the effect direction for each replicated SNP remaining
- 41 consistent across ancestry groups. Finally, we meta-analyzed the XWAS results of phase 1-3 and phase 4
- for European subjects (n = 37,772) and identified 104 significant trait-locus pairs. Among them, 33 novel
- 43 associations were not identified in the discovery phase, highlighting new genomic regions Xp11.23 and
- 44 Xq21.1 (Table S8).
- 45

46 Shared genetic architectures with brain-related disorders and other phenotypes

- 47 The identified loci constructed genetic connections between complex brain imaging traits and a broad
- 48 spectrum of neurological diseases, psychiatric disorders, and cognitive functions, among others (Table
- 49 S9). Notably, the volume of the right ventral diencephalon (known as hypothalamus) was significantly
- 50 associated with rs2361468 at Xq13.1, where it tagged numerous variants in LD that have been linked to

- 1 schizophrenia (56-59). Additionally, another SNP rs62606709 at the same locus has been associated with
- 2 educational attainment (60) (Fig. 4B). The Xq13.1 region is enriched with variants implicated in
- neuroticism (18) and a variety of neuropsychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia (61, 62), autism
- 4 spectrum disorder (ASD) (62, 63), bipolar disorder (BD) (62), major depressive disorder (MDD) (62) and
- 5 Parkinson's disease (PD) (64). Through further literature review, we found more evidence supporting the 6 association between the volume of the ventral diencephalon and various neuropsychiatric and
- association between the volume of the ventral diencephalon and various neuropsychiatric and
 neurological disorders. For instance, ventral diencephalon is implicated in many pathways found
- 8 disrupted in schizophrenia (65) and enlargement of ventral diencephalon was observed in patients with
- 9 schizophrenia (66) and MDD (67). Conversely, atrophy in the ventral diencephalon has been linked to
- 10 AD (68, 69), MDD (70-72), late-life depression (73), PD (74), and spinocerebellar ataxias (SCA3) (75).
- 11

12 Apart from brain-related disorders, we also identified colocalizations between brain imaging traits and

- 13 various conditions and health-related traits (Table S9). In Xq26.3, the genetic loci associated with SA of
- supramarginal influenced the onset of myopia (76) and refractive error (77). Meanwhile, the genetic loci
- associated with DTI traits in Xq28 were connected to testosterone levels (78, 79), type 2 diabetes (80-84),
- 16 blood-related traits including hemoglobin (85), hematocrit (85), red blood cell count (85), serum uric acid
- 17 levels (86), serum creatinine levels (86), blood urea nitrogen levels (86), and factor VIII levels (87), as
- 18 well as cardiovascular disorders, such as venous thromboembolism (88). These discoveries highlighted
- 19 the X-chromosome's significant role in influencing both brain-related and systemic health outcomes.
 20

21 eQTL mapping and biological annotation

22 We conducted eQTL mapping to investigate the functionality of the significant independent SNPs (LD 23 $r^2 < 0.6$) and focused on the connections with neuropsychiatric disorders (Methods, Table S10). External 24 eQTL datasets from the Genotype-Tissue Expression (89) (GTEx v8) and CommonMind Consortium (20) 25 (CMC) were employed. This analysis underscored significant eQTLs in Xq28 associated with 26 schizophrenia. Notably, rs4370701, an eQTL for FAM3A affecting gene expression in the cerebellum, 27 cortex, and hypothalamus, was associated with the left total SA. Mutations in FAM3A have been linked to 28 schizophrenia (58). Additionally, our analysis reaffirmed the association between rs2361468 at Xq13.1 29 and the volume of the right ventral diencephalon. This variant was also found to regulate gene expression 30 of PJA1, whose mutations have been implicated in increasing the risk for schizophrenia (59) and other

- 31 neuropsychiatric disorders (62). To further explore the genetic associations from different aspects, we
- 32 conducted additional gene-based and gene-set analysis, and gene mapping based on functional
- 33 consequences using FUMA (Methods). The detailed results are presented in the Supplementary Text.
- 34
- Through eQTL mapping, we have linked the significant variants to gene expression levels, but the causal
- influence of gene expression on the brain traits remained ambiguous. We employed summary statistics-
- based Mendelian randomization (SMR) (90) to investigate whether XWAS traits could be modulated by
- gene expression. We further used the HEIDI test (90) to distinguish pleiotropy of causal variants from
- 39 linkage (Methods). The eQTL data containing NPR SNPs across 1,639 probes was incorporated from
- 40 Sidorenko et al.'s (44) CAGE whole-blood analysis. Upon adjusting for FDR at the 0.05 level, 14 genes
- 41 were identified as having potential causal effects on the structural and functional brain traits (Table S11).
- 42 Applying the threshold p-HEIDI > 0.05 (90) to filter out linkage from pleiotropy of causal variants, 12
- 43 associations indicative of SNPs that concurrently influence gene expression and brain traits.
- 44
- 45 Among these, five genes identified through eQTL mapping *FAM3A*, *PJA1*, *TMLHE*, *PLXNA3*, and
- 46 ZNF275 were also found to have causal impacts on the brain imaging traits. We have previously
- 47 illustarted the connections between *FAM3A*, *PJA1* and schizophrenia. Additionally, the nondysmorphic
- 48 autism-linked gene *TMLHE* (91, 92) exerted a causal effect on AD of the posterior thalamic radiation, the
- 49 BV of the right ventral diencephalon, and multiple SA traits. The ASD-linked gene *PLXNA3* was found to
- 50 influence the MO of the posterior corona radiata (93). These findings reinforce the genetic interplay
- 51 between schizophrenia, autism, and brain imaging traits.

- 1
- 2 Finally, we conducted biological annotation using the DAVID Bioinformatics Database (94) and SynGO
- 3 on all the prioritized genes identified by FUMA (Methods). Within DAVID, 182 genes underwent
- 4 cataloging and subsequent analysis (Table S12). At the FDR threshold of 0.05, the genes were enriched in
- 5 transcription elongation (IPR021156 and PIRSF008633) and were associated with diseases such as autism
- 6 (KW-1269) and intellectual disability (KW-0991). At the nominal significance level (p-value < 0.05),
- 7 these genes were enriched in biological pathways, including "axon development" (GO:0061564),
- 8 "neurogenesis" (UP_KW-0964), and "nervous system development" (GO:0007399). Through SynGO
- 9 analysis (Fig. S11 and Table S13), 14 genes were uniquely mapped to SynGO-annotated genes, and 11
- 10 genes received cellular component annotations: four were postsynaptic, two as both pre- and postsynaptic,
- 11 three as presynaptic, and two could not be mapped to any specific compartment.
- 12

13 Disparity of genetic associations between sexes

- 14 We uncovered substantial differences between sexes across a wide array of brain imaging traits (Table
- 15 S14). A striking 88.0% of analyzed traits showed significant phenotypic sex differences after adjusting for
- 16 the FDR level of 0.05. More detailed results for distribution of phenotypic differences across imaging
- 17 modalities and the relationship between DC, h_X^2 and phenotypic differences are presented in the
- 18 Supplementary Text. Further, in the sex-stratified analysis of heritability (Methods), 155 traits
- 19 demonstrated significant h_X^2 estimates for males compared to only two traits for females (Fig. S12-13 and
- 20 Table S15). These findings suggest that the observed disparities in brain traits between males and females
- could be partially attributable to genetic regions on the X-chromosome that are uniquely associated with one sex.
- 22 23

24 To verify this hypothesis, we conducted sex-stratified XWAS for 16,094 males and 17,558 females on the

- 25 1,045 brain imaging traits. Due to reduced sample sizes post-split, we used a significance threshold of
- 1.0×10^{-8} for all analyses in this section, aligning with Bernabeu's (95) approach in a related study. In the NPR for males, we identified 25 trait-locus pairs across seven genomic regions, impacting 25 distinct
- traits (Fig. 4C and Table S16). Interestingly, 20 out of these traits displayed significant sex differences.
- However, the female-specific NPR analysis yielded only five trait-locus pairs across three genomic
- regions, affecting five traits (Fig. 4C), with each manifesting pronounced sex disparities. In the PAR for
- males, we found a trait-locus pair in Xp22.33 related to FA of the fornix and stria terminalis. However, no
- 32 findings emerged from the female-specific analysis.
- 33
- 34 Substantial discrepancies were evident in association patterns between males and females. Xq28 emerged
- as the only genomic region where both male and female-specific associations were identified (Fig. 4C).
- The only shared traits between sexes in Xq28 were related to the anterior corona radiata. A notable 80%
- (20 of the 25) of the male NPR trait-locus pairs were also identified in the sex-agnostic XWAS, compared
- to 60% (three out of five) for females (Fig. 4C). This suggests that the significant loci detected in the sex-
- agnostic XWAS are primarily driven by male-associated genetic variations. Most traits with significant
- 40 loci (regardless of sex) favored full DC. The paucity of significant loci in females aligns with the
- observation that, for full DC-favoring traits, more genetic variance manifests in males than in females,
- 42 which highlights the necessity for XWAS statistical methodologies to adequately account for
- 43 heteroskedasticity between sexes.
- 44
- 45 To maximize the insights from our data, we performed a meta-analysis of the sex-stratified XWAS,
- 46 incorporating UKB phase 1-3 and phase 4 subjects of European ancestry, resulting in final sample sizes of
- 47 18,025 males and 20,054 females (Table S17). This analysis saw the number of male-specific trait-locus
- 48 pairs in NPR increase from 31 to 61 at our chosen significance threshold, while the female dataset gained
- 49 an additional six pairs in NPR. In PAR, the male-specific association became insignificant, and no more
- 50 pairs were observed for females.

- 1
- 2 Genetic variants can have starkly different effects between sexes, and the extent of these differences can
- 3 vary across traits and tissues (95, 96). The variations might illuminate the observed disparities in human
- 4 brain structures across sexes (4, 8, 32, 97). We pinpointed three trait-locus pairs across three genomic
- 5 regions that exemplify these sex differences (Fig. S14 and Table S18). All the traits displayed significant
- 6 sex differences, including CT of left rostral middle frontal, as well as FA and RD of the anterior corona
- 7 radiata. All the variants had moderate effect sizes but distinct directions between sexes, and therefore
- 8 none of the trait-locus pairs overlapped with sex-specific associations. For example, rs12387759 in
- 9 Xq27.3 revealed differences between sexes on CT of the left rostral middle frontal. Similarly, rs62589244 10 in Xp11.4 had divergent effects on RD of the anterior corona radiata.
- 10 11

Differences in genetic effects imply an interaction between genetic variants and sex. Traditional XWAS
 which primarily focus on the additive effect, may overlook these variants with varying effect directions in

- 14 a linear model, leading to the "masking of genetic effect" problem (95). Through meta-analysis using
- 15 Stouffer's method (98), we identified variants overlooked in the sex-agnostic XWAS (Fig. S14). All the
- above trait-locus pairs with varying genetic effects were significant. This highlights the need for XWAS
- 17 to account for interactions between variants and sex, reflecting XCI uncertainty (43).
- 18

19 Finally, we hypothesized that there might be distinct genetic profiles in the X-chromosome for subjects

20 that were consistently located at two tails of phenotypic distributions across imaging modalities.

21 Segregating these subjects by sex, we compared their genetic profiles of the X-chromosome using

- 22 Fisher's exact test (Methods). We identified 29 significant genetic loci for males (p-value $< 1.0 \times 10^{-8}$),
- 23 spanning the entire X-chromosome without clustering in specific regions. Some of these loci were
- 24 consistent with findings from our sex-stratified analysis, such as a locus related to CT at Xq28 (Fig. S15
- and Table S19). In contrast, no significant results were observed for females. This surprising outcome
- 26 suggests that brain phenotypic variations in males can be reflected by diverse X-chromosome genetic
- 27 profiles, whereas other factors might drive brain variations in females.
- 28

29 Discussion

30 Our study delved into the significant role of the X-chromosome in influencing brain anatomy,

- 31 microstructure, and functionality by examining 1,045 complex brain imaging traits in UKB with sample
- 32 size 38,529. We assessed three DC models: full DC, no DC, and equal variance in GCTA-GREML (42),
- to determine the most appropriate DC status for each trait. This analysis aimed to reflect the DC behaviors
- 34 of effective genes during development. Our findings contribute to a detailed atlas of DC and underscore
- 35 the enrichment of X-linked heritability across brain imaging traits. We identified 29 novel trait-locus pairs
- 36 in the NPR using the wild bootstrap approach for more accurately correcting multiple hypothesis testing.
- This research highlights the genetic co-architectures between brain measurements and various brain-
- 38 related disorders, particularly schizophrenia. Furthermore, we discovered sex-specific genetic association

39 patterns and differing genetic effects between sexes, and the NPR SNPs on the X-chromosome accounted

- 40 for more phenotypic variations in males.
- 41
- 42 We discovered intriguing interactions between the X-chromosome and autosomes in the human brain.
- 43 Specifically, we observed that traits favoring no DC displayed significantly higher X-linked heritability
- 44 but reduced autosomal heritability compared to traits with full DC. For no DC traits, the X-chromosome
- 45 contributed to 7.1% of the total heritability, contrasting with the 3.8% contribution for full DC traits. We
- speculate that no DC traits may be significantly influenced by complex transcriptional, regulatory, and
- 47 epigenetic processes during brain development (31, 33). For example, sex steroid receptors often signal
- through epigenetic actions (99). Several epigenetic mechanisms, such as the levels of DNA methylation
- 49 and acetylation, are sex-specific in the brain (100). Recent studies have demonstrated that sex
- 50 chromosomes can also induce sex differences in somatic gene expression in the absence of hormonal
- 51 differences (29). Further evidence indicates that some genes escaping XCI produce proteins that regulate

1 chromatin structures, potentially influencing autosomal gene expression levels (29). This includes the 2 histone demethylases UTX and KDM5C (101, 102), the histone deacetylase 8 (103-105), and the histone

- 3 acetyltransferase complex subunits, such as male-specific lethal 3 (106) and mortality factor 4-like 2
- 4 (107). Such trans-modifications, which do not alter the nucleotide sequence, might not be reflected in the
- 5 narrow-sense heritability, accounting for the reduced heritability ascribed to autosomes. In essence, our 6 analysis offers insights into potential XCI escape at the trait level in the human brain.
- 7

8 The Xq28 genomic region was overly represented in association signals as it contains more than 40% of

9 the identified protein-coding genes. A third of WM tracts were linked to a compact 40 Kb segment within

10 Xq28 (152,876,000~152,916,000, GRCh37). The importance of Xq28 is further underscored by its connection to various intellectual and developmental disabilities. For example, mutations in the CLIC2 11

12 and VBP1 genes, located in the int22h-1/int22h-2-mediated duplication area of Xq28, have been

- 13 implicated as potential contributors to these disorders (108-110). Additionally, loss-of-function mutations
- in the MECP2 gene are associated with Rett syndrome (111), which mainly affects brain development in 14
- 15 girls. In males, these mutations lead to a range of clinical outcomes, from mild intellectual challenges to
- 16 severe neonatal encephalopathy, and in some cases, premature death (112). Considering the substantial

17 role of Xq28 in influencing brain structure and function, coupled with its connection to a wide spectrum

18 of brain disorders, future research should focus on characterizing the transcriptomic, proteomic, and

- 19 epigenetic profiles for this region to fully understand the underlying biological processes.
- 20

21 In addition to sex-agnostic analyses, we systematically explored sex disparities in human brain measurements, including phenotype, phenotypic variance, X-linked heritability, and genetic associations. 22 23 We observed males exhibited greater phenotypic variance and X-linked heritability across most traits, as 24 well as a higher number of sex-specific genetic associations and better alignment between genetic profile 25 and phenotypic variation. These findings reflect the biological process where one of the X-chromosomes in females is randomly silenced in most tissues and cells, potentially contributing to the observed 26 27 differences. Furthermore, we discovered that genetic effects of certain variants significantly diverged 28 between sexes, indicating interactions between sex and variants. Combined with many colocalizations 29 between brain measurements and sex-hormone related traits, such as testosterone levels and sex hormone 30 binding globulin (SHBG), we speculate that sex hormones mediated the genetic effect on human brain 31 development and sexual dimorphism. However, verification of the hypothesis requires more data of transcriptome, proteome, and metabolome (termed as "multi-omics" (113)), which is currently barren for 32 33 the X-chromosome. We earmark this line of inquiry for future research, emphasizing the necessity for both 34 innovative methodologies and robust multi-omics data dedicated to the X-chromosome.

35

36 **References and Notes**

- 37 T. Uller, I. Pen, E. Wapstra, L. W. Beukeboom, J. Komdeur, The evolution of sex ratios and sex-1. 38 determining systems. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 22, 292-297 (2007).
- 39 2. M. F. Lyon, Gene action in the X-chromosome of the mouse (Mus musculus L.). nature 190, 372-40 373 (1961).
- B. J. Posynick, C. J. Brown, Escape From X-Chromosome Inactivation: An Evolutionary 41 3. 42 Perspective. Front Cell Dev Biol 7, 241 (2019).
- B. Gegenhuber, J. Tollkuhn, Signatures of sex: Sex differences in gene expression in the 43 4. vertebrate brain. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Developmental Biology 9, e348 (2020). 44
- 45 J. J. Lee et al., Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association study of 5. 46 educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. Nat Genet 50, 1112-1121 (2018).
- 47 6. T. Tukiainen et al., Landscape of X chromosome inactivation across human tissues. Nature 550, 48 244-248 (2017).
- 49 M. Oliva et al., The impact of sex on gene expression across human tissues. Science 369, 7. 50 eaba3066 (2020).

1	8.	D. R. Rubinow, P. J. Schmidt, Sex differences and the neurobiology of affective disorders.						
2		Neuropsychopharmacology 44, 111-128 (2019).						
3	9.	S. Ohno, Sex chromosomes and sex-linked genes. (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013),						
4		vol. 1.						
5	10.	X. Deng et al., Evidence for compensatory upregulation of expressed X-linked genes in						
6		mammals, Caenorhabditis elegans and Drosophila melanogaster. Nature genetics 43, 1179-1185						
7		(2011).						
8	11.	J. J. Crowley et al., Analyses of allele-specific gene expression in highly divergent mouse crosses						
9		identifies pervasive allelic imbalance. Nature genetics 47, 353-360 (2015).						
10	12.	A. Lentini et al., Elastic dosage compensation by X-chromosome upregulation. Nature						
11		<i>Communications</i> 13 , 1854 (2022).						
12	13.	C. M. Disteche, High expression of the mammalian X chromosome in brain. Brain research						
13		1126, 46-49 (2006).						
14	14.	A. Warling et al., Sex Chromosome Dosage Effects on White Matter Structure in the Human						
15		Brain. Cerebral Cortex 31 , 5339-5353 (2021).						
16	15.	L. M. F. Sng, P. C. Thomson, D. Trabzuni, Genome-wide human brain eQTLs: In-depth analysis						
17		and insights using the UKBEC dataset. Sci Rep 9, 19201 (2019).						
18	16.	T. T. Mallard <i>et al.</i> , X-chromosome influences on neuroanatomical variation in humans. <i>Nat</i>						
19		Neurosci 24 , 1216-1224 (2021).						
20	17.	S. M. Smith <i>et al.</i> , An expanded set of genome-wide association studies of brain imaging						
21	10	phenotypes in UK Biobank. Nature neuroscience 24, 737-745 (2021).						
22	18.	M. Luciano <i>et al.</i> , The influence of X chromosome variants on trait neuroticism. <i>Molecular</i>						
23	10	psychiatry 26, 483-491 (2021).						
24	19.	A. E. West, V. Orlando, Epigenetics in brain function. <i>Neuroscience</i> 264 , 1-3 (2014).						
25	20.	M. Fromer <i>et al.</i> , Gene expression elucidates functional impact of polygenic risk for						
26	01	schizophrenia. Nature neuroscience 19, 1442-1453 (2016).						
27	21.	C. E. Schwartz <i>et al.</i> , X-Linked intellectual disability update 2022. <i>American Journal of Medical</i>						
28	22	Genetics Fart A 191, 144-159 (2023).						
29	22.	A. Lin <i>et al.</i> , Mapping the stability of human brain asymmetry across five sex-chromosome						
30	22	aneupioidies. Journal of Neuroscience 35, 140-145 (2015).						
31	23.	D. S. Hong, A. L. Reiss, Cognitive and neurological aspects of sex chromosome aneuploidies.						
32	24	The Lancet Neurology 13, 306-318 (2014).						
33 24	24.	F. Printziau, J. Wolstencroft, D. H. Skuse, Cognitive, benavioral, and neural consequences of sex						
34 25	25	Chromosome aneuploidy. Journal of neuroscience research 95, 511-519 (2017).						
33 26	23.	Y. B. Yurov, S. G. Vorsanova, I. Lienr, A. D. Koloul, I. Y. Iourov, A chromosome aneuploidy in						
20 27	26	V. C. Sómeher et al. Associations of neurohistric disorders with sex chromosome ensurlaidies in						
21 20	20.	A. C. Sanchez <i>et al.</i> , Associations of psychiatric disorders with sex chromosome aneuploidies in the Darich iPSVCU2015 detected a cose achiever study. <i>Langest Psychiatry</i> 10 , 120, 128 (2022)						
20 20	27	T Green S Elesh A I Deise Sex differences in psychiatric disorders: what we can learn from						
<i>39</i> <i>4</i> 0	27.	1. Orech, S. Flash, A. L. Keiss, Sex unreferences in psychiatric disorders. what we can rearring in the sex chromosome aneuploidies. Neuronsychopharmacology 44, 0, 21 (2010)						
40 //1	28	S Shanmugan <i>et al.</i> Sex differences in the functional tonography of association networks in						
41	20.	s. Shallingan <i>et ul.</i> , Sex differences in the functional topography of association networks in youth <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.</i> 4 110 e2110416110 (2022)						
42	29	P I Wijchers R I Festenstein Enigenetic regulation of autosomal gene expression by sex						
4J 44	27.	chromosomes Trends in Genetics 27 , 132-140 (2011)						
45	30	A N Kaczkurkin A Baznahan T D Satterthwaite Sex differences in the developing brain:						
46	50.	insights from multimodal neuroimaging <i>Neuronsychonharmacology</i> 44 71-85 (2019)						
47	31	I Marrocco N R Finhorn B S McEwen Environmental enigenetics of sex differences in the						
48	J 1 .	brain Handbook of Clinical Neurology 175 209-220 (2020)						
49	32.	A. Raznahan, C. M. Disteche, X-chromosome regulation and sex differences in brain anatomy						
50		Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 120 , 28-47 (2021).						

1 2	33.	M. Li <i>et al.</i> , Integrative functional genomic analysis of human brain development and					
2	34	A L Wise L Gui T A Manolio eXclusion: toward integrating the X chromosome in genome					
1	54.	wide association analyses. <i>The American Journal of Human Genetics</i> 92 , 643-647 (2013).					
4 5	25	I Sun 7 Wang T Lu T A Manolio A D Paterson eXclusionarV: 10 years later where					
5	55.	L. Sull, Z. Wally, I. Lu, I. A. Maliolio, A. D. Faterson, exclusional I. 10 years fater, where are the sex chromosomes in CWASs? The American Journal of Human Constics 110, 002, 012					
07		(2022)					
/	20	(2025).					
8	36.	F. Gao <i>et al.</i> , XWAS: a software toolset for genetic data analysis and association studies of the X $\frac{1}{2}$					
9	27	cnromosome. Journal of Heredity 100, 666-6/1 (2015).					
10	37.	B. Zhao <i>et al.</i> , Common genetic variation influencing human white matter microstructure.					
11	20	Science 372 , (2021).					
12	38.	M. F. Glasser <i>et al.</i> , A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. <i>Nature</i> 536 , 171-178					
13	20	(2016).					
14	39.	B. Zhao <i>et al.</i> , Genome-wide association analysis of 19,629 individuals identifies variants					
15		influencing regional brain volumes and refines their genetic co-architecture with cognitive and					
16	40	mental health traits. Nat Genet 51 , 1637-1644 (2019).					
1/	40.	B. Zhao <i>et al.</i> , Common variants contribute to intrinsic human brain functional networks. <i>Nat</i>					
18	4.1	Genet 54, 508-517 (2022).					
19	41.	B. Zhao <i>et al.</i> , Genetic influences on the intrinsic and extrinsic functional organizations of the					
20	40	cerebral cortex. <i>medRxiv</i> , 2021.2007.2027.21261187 (2021).					
21	42.	J. Yang, S. H. Lee, M. E. Goddard, P. M. Visscher, GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait					
22	10	analysis. Am J Hum Genet 88, 76-82 (2011).					
23	43.	B. Chen, R. V. Craiu, L. J. Strug, L. Sun, The X factor: A robust and powerful approach to X-					
24		chromosome-inclusive whole-genome association studies. Genet Epidemiol 45, 694-709 (2021).					
25	44.	J. Sidorenko <i>et al.</i> , The effect of X-linked dosage compensation on complex trait variation. <i>Nat</i>					
26	4.5	Commun 10, 3009 (2019).					
27	45.	K. Silkaitis, B. Lemos, Sex-biased chromatin and regulatory cross-talk between sex					
28	10	chromosomes, autosomes, and mitochondria. <i>Biology of Sex Differences</i> 5, 2 (2014).					
29	46.	I. Savic, S. Arver, Sex Differences in Cortical Thickness and Their Possible Genetic and Sex					
30	47	Hormonal Underpinnings. Cerebral Cortex 24, 3240-3257 (2013).					
21	4/.	B. Zhao <i>et al.</i> , Heritability of Regional Brain Volumes in Large-Scale Neuroimaging and Genetic					
3Z	40	Studies. Cereb Cortex 29, 2904-2914 (2019).					
33 24	48.	E. Hofer <i>et al.</i> , Genetic correlations and genome-wide associations of cortical structure in general					
34 25	40	population samples of 22,824 adults. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4796 (2020).					
33	49. 50	K. L. Grasby <i>et al.</i> , The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. <i>Science</i> 36 7, (2020).					
30	50.	M. M. Pangelinan <i>et al.</i> , Puberty and testosterone snape the corticospinal tract during male					
3/	5 1	adolescence. Brain Structure and Function 221, 1083-1094 (2016).					
38	51.	1. C. Ho <i>et al.</i> , Sex differences in the effects of gonadal hormones on white matter microstructure					
39	50	development in adolescence. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience 42, 100775 (2020).					
40	52.	C. C. Chang <i>et al.</i> , Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer					
41	50	datasets. Gigascience 4, $s_{13}/42-13015-1004/-13/48$ (2015).					
42	53.	H. Zhu <i>et al.</i> , FRAIS: Functional Regression Analysis of D11 fract Statistics. <i>IEEE</i>					
43	5.4	Transactions on Medical Imaging 29, 1039-1049 (2010).					
44	54.	E. Sollis <i>et al.</i> , The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog: knowledgebase and deposition resource.					
45	<i></i>	Nucleic Acias Res 51, D977-0985 (2023).					
40	55.	5. Naqvi et al., Shared heritability of human face and brain shape. <i>Nature genetics</i> 55, 830-839					
4/	5((2021). C. Dentalie et al. Dialogical insists form 100 selies thereis in the table of the selies of					
48 49	30.	C. rantens <i>et al.</i> , Biological insignts from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. <i>Nature</i> 511 , 421-427 (2014).					
50	57.	A. F. Pardiñas <i>et al.</i> , Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and					
51		in regions under strong background selection. <i>Nature genetics</i> 50 , 381-389 (2018).					

1 2	58.	V. Trubetskoy <i>et al.</i> , Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. <i>Nature</i> 604 , 502-508 (2022).					
3	59.	M. Lam <i>et al.</i> , Comparative genetic architectures of schizophrenia in East Asian and European					
4		populations. <i>Nature genetics</i> 51 , 1670-1678 (2019).					
5	60.	A. Okbay <i>et al.</i> , Polygenic prediction of educational attainment within and between families from					
6		genome-wide association analyses in 3 million individuals. Nature genetics 54, 437-449 (2022).					
7	61.	S. Periyasamy et al., Association of schizophrenia risk with disordered niacin metabolism in an					
8		Indian genome-wide association study. JAMA psychiatry 76, 1026-1034 (2019).					
9	62.	X. Yao et al., Integrative analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies novel loci					
10		associated with neuropsychiatric disorders. Translational psychiatry 11, 69 (2021).					
11	63.	Y. Al-Sarraj et al., Family-based genome-wide association study of autism spectrum disor					
12		middle eastern families. Genes 12, 761 (2021).					
13	64.	Y. Le Guen et al., Common X-chromosome variants are associated with Parkinson disease risk.					
14		Annals of neurology 90 , 22-34 (2021).					
15	65.	H. G. Bernstein, G. Keilhoff, J. Steiner, The implications of hypothalamic abnormalities for					
16		schizophrenia. Handb Clin Neurol 182, 107-120 (2021).					
17	66.	S. Hodgins, M. J. Piatosa, B. Schiffer, Violence among people with schizophrenia: phenotypes					
18		and neurobiology. Neuroscience of aggression, 329-368 (2014).					
19	67.	M. D. Sacchet, E. E. Livermore, J. E. Iglesias, G. H. Glover, I. H. Gotlib, Subcortical volumes					
20		differentiate major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, and remitted major depressive disorder.					
21		Journal of psychiatric research 68, 91-98 (2015).					
22	68.	N. Loskutova, R. A. Honea, W. M. Brooks, J. M. Burns, Reduced limbic and hypothalamic					
23		volumes correlate with bone density in early Alzheimer's disease. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease					
24	60	20 , 313-322 (2010).					
25	69.	K. S. King <i>et al.</i> , Effect of Leukocyte Telomere Length on Total and Regional Brain Volumes in					
26	70	a Large Population-Based Cohort. JAMA Neurology 71, 1247-1254 (2014).					
27	/0.	ML. Ancelin <i>et al.</i> , Lifetime major depression and grey-matter volume. <i>Journal of psychiatry</i>					
28	71	and neuroscience 44, 45-53 (2019).					
29	/1.	P. Videbech, B. Ravnkilde, Hippocampal volume and depression: a meta-analysis of MRI studies.					
30 21	70	American Journal of Psychiatry 101, 1957-1966 (2004).					
21	12.	with straumann <i>et al.</i> , insular and inppocampal gray matter volume reductions in patients with					
32 22	72	Major depressive disorder. <i>Plos one</i> 9, e102092 (2014).					
22 21	75.	nituitary adrenal axis regulation: a systematic review and mate analysis. <i>Biological psychiatry</i> 92					
35		$330_{-}350$ (2017)					
36	74	D P Breen <i>et al.</i> Hypothalamic volume loss is associated with reduced melatonin output in					
37	/ 4.	Parkinson's disease Mov Disord 31 1062-1066 (2016)					
38	75	W O Arruda <i>et al.</i> Volumetric MRI Changes in Spinocerebellar Ataxia (SCA3 and SCA10)					
39	70.	Patients. The Cerebellum 19, 536-543 (2020).					
40	76	M. S. Tedia <i>et al.</i> Genome-wide association meta-analysis highlights light-induced signaling as a					
41	/ 01	driver for refractive error. <i>Nature Genetics</i> 50 , 834-848 (2018).					
42	77.	P. G. Hysi <i>et al.</i> , Meta-analysis of 542.934 subjects of European ancestry identifies new genes					
43		and mechanisms predisposing to refractive error and myopia. <i>Nature Genetics</i> 52 , 401-407					
44		(2020).					
45	78.	K. S. Ruth <i>et al.</i> , Using human genetics to understand the disease impacts of testosterone in men					
46		and women. Nature medicine 26, 252-258 (2020).					
47	79.	S. Harrison, N. M. Davies, L. D. Howe, A. Hughes, Testosterone and socioeconomic position:					
48		Mendelian randomization in 306,248 men and women in UK Biobank. Science Advances 7,					
49		eabf8257 (2021).					
50	80.	B. F. Voight et al., Twelve type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci identified through large-scale					
51		association analysis. Nature Genetics 42, 579-589 (2010).					

1	81.	H. Li <i>et al.</i> , A Genome-Wide Association Study Identifies GRK5 and RASGRP1 as Type 2 Diabetes Logi in Chinese Hens. <i>Diabetes</i> 62 , 201, 208 (2012)			
2	87	Diabetes Loci in Chinese Hans. <i>Diabetes</i> 62 , 291-298 (2012).			
3 4	82.	<i>Human Molecular Genetics</i> 23 , 239-246 (2013).			
5 6	83.	K. Ishigaki <i>et al.</i> , Large-scale genome-wide association study in a Japanese population identifies novel susceptibility loci across different diseases. <i>Nature Genetics</i> 52 , 669-679 (2020).			
7	84.	K. Suzuki <i>et al.</i> , Identification of 28 new susceptibility loci for type 2 diabetes in the Japanese			
0	05	D. Vyakavia et al. The Delycenic and Manageria Decis of Diag d Tarita and Discours. Coll 192			
9 10	83.	D. Vuckovic <i>et al.</i> , The Polygenic and Monogenic Basis of Blood Traits and Diseases. <i>Cell</i> 182 , 1214-1231 e1211 (2020)			
10	86	S Sakaue <i>et al</i> A cross-nonulation atlas of genetic associations for 220 human phenotypes. Nat			
12	00.	Genet 53 1415-1424 (2021)			
12	87	M Sabater-I leal <i>et al.</i> Genome-Wide Association Transethnic Meta-Analyses Identifies Novel			
13	07.	Associations Regulating Coagulation Factor VIII and yon Willebrand Factor Plasma Levels			
15		<i>Circulation</i> 139 620-635 (2019)			
16	88.	S. Lindström <i>et al.</i> , Genomic and transcriptomic association studies identify 16 novel			
17	00.	susceptibility loci for venous thromboembolism. <i>Blood</i> 134 , 1645-1657 (2019).			
18	89.	G. Consortium <i>et al.</i> , The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: multitissue gene			
19		regulation in humans. <i>Science</i> 348 , 648-660 (2015).			
20	90.	Z. Zhu <i>et al.</i> , Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait			
21		gene targets. <i>Nature genetics</i> 48 , 481-487 (2016).			
22	91.	P. B. Celestino-Soper <i>et al.</i> , A common X-linked inborn error of carnitine biosynthesis may be a			
23		risk factor for nondysmorphic autism. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109,			
24		7974-7981 (2012).			
25	92.	A. L. Beaudet, Brain carnitine deficiency causes nonsyndromic autism with an extreme male bias:			
26		A hypothesis. <i>Bioessays</i> 39 , 1700012 (2017).			
27	93.	J. L. Steele et al., Semaphorin-plexin signaling: From axonal guidance to a new X-linked			
28		intellectual disability syndrome. Pediatric Neurology 126, 65-73 (2022).			
29	94.	B. T. Sherman et al., DAVID: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and functional			
30		annotation of gene lists (2021 update). Nucleic Acids Res 50, W216-w221 (2022).			
31	95.	E. Bernabeu et al., Sex differences in genetic architecture in the UK Biobank. Nature genetics 53,			
32		1283-1289 (2021).			
33	96.	E. A. Khramtsova, L. K. Davis, B. E. Stranger, The role of sex in the genomics of human			
34		complex traits. Nature Reviews Genetics 20, 173-190 (2019).			
35	97.	S. J. Ritchie et al., Sex Differences in the Adult Human Brain: Evidence from 5216 UK Biobank			
36		Participants. Cereb Cortex 28, 2959-2975 (2018).			
37	98.	S. A. Stouffer, E. A. Suchman, L. C. DeVinney, S. A. Star, R. M. Williams Jr, The american			
38		soldier: Adjustment during army life.(studies in social psychology in world war ii), vol. 1.			
39		(1949).			
40	99.	M. J. Tetel, Nuclear receptor coactivators: essential players for steroid hormone action in the			
41		brain and in behaviour. <i>Journal of neuroendocrinology</i> 21 , 229-237 (2009).			
42	100.	B. Labonté et al., Sex-specific transcriptional signatures in human depression. Nature medicine			
43		23 , 1102-1111 (2017).			
44	101.	J. Christensen <i>et al.</i> , RBP2 belongs to a family of demethylases, specific for tri-and dimethylated			
45		lysine 4 on histone 3. <i>Cell</i> 128 , 1063-1076 (2007).			
46	102.	S. Iwase <i>et al.</i> , The X-linked mental retardation gene SMCX/JARID1C defines a family of			
47	105	histone H3 lysine 4 demethylases. <i>Cell</i> 128 , 1077-1088 (2007).			
48 49	103.	J. J. Buggy <i>et al.</i> , Cloning and characterization of a novel human histone deacetylase, HDAC8. <i>Biochemical Journal</i> 350 , 199-205 (2000).			
50	104.	E. Hu et al., Cloning and characterization of a novel human class I histone deacetylase that			
51		functions as a transcription repressor. Journal of Biological Chemistry 275, 15254-15264 (2000).			

1 2	105.	I. Van den Wyngaert <i>et al.</i> , Cloning and characterization of human histone deacetylase 8. <i>FEBS</i> <i>letters</i> 478 , 77-83 (2000)				
3	106	F R Smith <i>et al.</i> A human protein complex homologous to the Drosonhila MSL complex is				
4	100.	responsible for the majority of histone H4 acetylation at lysine 16. <i>Molecular and cellular</i>				
5		<i>biology</i> 25 , 9175-9188 (2005).				
6	107.	Y. Cai <i>et al.</i> , Identification of new subunits of the multiprotein mammalian TRRAP/TIP60-				
/		containing instone acetyltransferase complex. <i>Journal of Biological Chemistry</i> 278, 42755-42756				
8	100	(2003).				
9	108.	E. F. Andersen, E. E. Baldwin, S. Ellingwood, R. Smith, A. N. Lamb, Xq28 duplication				
10		overlapping the int22h-1/int22h-2 region and including RAB39B and CLIC2 in a family with intellectual and developmental disability. <i>Amarican Journal of Madigal Canatics Part</i> 4 164				
11		1705 1901 (2014)				
12	100	1/95-1601 (2014). A. W. El Hattah et al. Clinical characterization of int22h1/int22h2 and listed Va29				
13	109.	A. W. EI-Hallab <i>et al.</i> , Clinical characterization of $m(22n1/m(22n2-mediated Aq28))$				
14	110	duplication/deletion: new cases and literature review. BMC medical genetics 16, 1-12 (2015).				
15	110.	R. A. Ballout <i>et al.</i> , Int22h1/Int22h2-mediated Xq28 duplication syndrome: de novo duplications,				
16		prenatal diagnoses, and additional phenotypic features. <i>Human mutation</i> 41 , 1238-1249 (2020).				
17	111.	H. Van Esch, in <i>GeneReviews(®)</i> , M. P. Adam <i>et al.</i> , Eds. (University of Washington, Seattle				
18	Copyrig	ght © 1993-2023, University of Washington, Seattle. GeneReviews is a registered trademark of the				
19		University of Washington, Seattle. All rights reserved., Seattle (WA), 1993).				
20	112.	A. Pascual-Alonso, A. F. Martínez-Monseny, C. Xiol, J. Armstrong, MECP2-Related Disorders				
21		in Males. Int J Mol Sci 22, (2021).				
22	113.	Y. Xu et al., An atlas of genetic scores to predict multi-omic traits. Nature 616, 123-131 (2023).				
23	114.	Z. Jiang, Sullivan, Patrick, Li, Tengfei, Zhao, Bingxin, Wang, Xifeng, Huang, Shuai, Guan, Peter,				
24		Chen, Jie, Yang, Yue, Stein, Jason, Li, Yun, Liu, Dajiang, Sun, Lei, & Zhu, Hongtu., Summary				
25		statistics of the sex chromosomes for 2,822 brain imaging traits. (2023).				
26	115.	A. Klein, J. Tourville, 101 labeled brain images and a consistent human cortical labeling protocol.				
27		Frontiers in neuroscience 6, 171 (2012).				
28	116.	R. S. Desikan <i>et al.</i> , An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on				
29	-	MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. <i>Neuroimage</i> 31 , 968-980 (2006).				
30	117.	N. Jahanshad <i>et al.</i> , Multi-site genetic analysis of diffusion images and voxelwise heritability				
31		analysis: A pilot project of the ENIGMA–DTI working group. <i>Neuroimage</i> 81 , 455-469 (2013)				
32	118	P. Kochunov <i>et al.</i> Multi-site study of additive genetic effects on fractional anisotropy of				
33	110.	cerebral white matter: comparing meta and megaanalytical approaches for data pooling				
34		Neuroimage 95, 136-150 (2014)				
35	119	I J Ji <i>et al</i> Manning the human brain's cortical-subcortical functional network organization				
36	117.	<i>Neuroimage</i> 185 , 35-57 (2010)				
30	120	E Alfara Almagra et al. Confound modelling in UK Biobank brain imaging NeuroImaga 224				
28	120.	117002 (2021)				
20	121	V Wang M Li H Haltonarson ANNOVAD: functional annotation of constitutionate from				
39 40	121.	K. Walls, M. Li, H. Hakoliaison, ANNOVAK. Infictional annotation of genetic variants from				
40	100	A D Schwitt et al. A common diverse of characteria control 36 , e104-e104 (2010).				
41	122.	A. D. Schmitt <i>et al.</i> , A compendium of chromatin contact maps reveals spatially active regions in				
42	100	the human genome. Cell reports 17, 2042-2059 (2016).				
43	123.	N. Y. Sey <i>et al.</i> , A computational tool (H-MAGMA) for improved prediction of brain-disorder				
44		risk genes by incorporating brain chromatin interaction profiles. <i>Nature Neuroscience</i> 23, 583-				
45		593 (2020).				
46	124.	N. Y. Sey, B. M. Pratt, H. Won, Annotating genetic variants to target genes using H-MAGMA.				
47		<i>Nature Protocols</i> 18 , 22-35 (2023).				
48	125.	F. Alfaro-Almagro et al., Image processing and Quality Control for the first 10,000 brain imaging				
49		datasets from UK Biobank. Neuroimage 166, 400-424 (2018).				
50	126.	C. A. de Leeuw, J. M. Mooij, T. Heskes, D. Posthuma, MAGMA: generalized gene-set analysis				
51		of GWAS data. PLoS Comput Biol 11, e1004219 (2015).				

1	127.	Z. Sha, D. Schijven, S. E. Fisher, C. Francks, Genetic architecture of the white matter
2		connectome of the human brain. Science Advances 9, eadd2870 (2023).
3	128.	E. H. Wong et al., Common variants on Xq28 conferring risk of schizophrenia in Han Chinese.
4		Schizophrenia bulletin 40, 777-786 (2014).
5	129.	W. Yu, M. Wang, Y. Zhang, Construction of lncRNA-ceRNA Networks to Reveal the Potential
6		Role of Lfng/Notch1 Signaling Pathway in Alzheimer's Disease. Current Alzheimer Research,
7		(2022).
8	130.	A. Haghighatfard et al., The first genome-wide association study of internet addiction; Revealed
9		substantial shared risk factors with neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorders. Research in
10		Developmental Disabilities 133, 104393 (2023).
11	131.	J. Bralten et al., Autism spectrum disorders and autistic traits share genetics and biology.
12		<i>Molecular Psychiatry</i> 23 , 1205-1212 (2018).
13	132.	M. Luciano et al., Association analysis in over 329,000 individuals identifies 116 independent
14		variants influencing neuroticism. Nat Genet 50, 6-11 (2018).
15	133.	J. Homann et al., Genome-Wide Association Study of Alzheimer's Disease Brain Imaging
16		Biomarkers and Neuropsychological Phenotypes in the European Medical Information
17		Framework for Alzheimer's Disease Multimodal Biomarker Discovery Dataset. Frontiers in
18		Aging Neuroscience 14, (2022).
19	134.	T. D. Wade et al., Genetic variants associated with disordered eating. International Journal of
20		<i>Eating Disorders</i> 46 , 594-608 (2013).
21	135.	J. M. Engreitz et al., The Xist lncRNA Exploits Three-Dimensional Genome Architecture to
22		Spread Across the X Chromosome. Science 341, 1237973 (2013).
23	136.	S. Gayen, E. Maclary, E. Buttigieg, M. Hinten, S. Kalantry, A Primary Role for the Tsix lncRNA
24		in Maintaining Random X-Chromosome Inactivation. Cell Reports 11, 1251-1265 (2015).
25	137.	R. C. Gur, R. E. Gur, Complementarity of sex differences in brain and behavior: From laterality
26		to multimodal neuroimaging. Journal of neuroscience research 95, 189-199 (2017).
27	138.	R. C. Gur et al., Sex differences in brain gray and white matter in healthy young adults:
28		correlations with cognitive performance. Journal of Neuroscience 19, 4065-4072 (1999).
29	139.	G. Gong et al., Age-and gender-related differences in the cortical anatomical network. Journal of
30		<i>Neuroscience</i> 29 , 15684-15693 (2009).
31	140.	T. D. Satterthwaite et al., Linked sex differences in cognition and functional connectivity in
32		youth. Cerebral cortex 25, 2383-2394 (2015).
33	141.	E. A. Allen et al., A baseline for the multivariate comparison of resting-state networks. Frontiers
34		in systems neuroscience 5, 2 (2011).
35	142.	J. Marrocco, B. S. McEwen, Sex in the brain: hormones and sex differences. <i>Dialogues in clinical</i>
36		neuroscience, (2022).
37		

1 Acknowledgements

- 2 We thank the participants in the UKB study for their contribution and the research teams for their work in
- 3 collecting, processing, and disseminating these datasets for analysis. We thank University of North
- 4 Carolina at Chapel Hill, and the Research Computing groups for providing computational resources and
- 5 support that have contributed to the research results.
- 6

7 Funding:

- 8 National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health grant RF1AG082938 (HZ and BZ),
- 9 National Institutes of Health grant MH116527 (TFL and HZ), and National Institute of Child Health and
- 10 Human Development grant P50HD103573 (YL).

11

12 Author contributions:

- 13 Conceptualization: ZJ, BZ, HZ, LS
- 14 Methodology: ZJ
- 15 Preprocessing: TFL, XW, TYL, YY, HS, PYG, JC
- 16 Investigation: ZJ
- 17 Visualization: ZJ
- 18 Funding acquisition: HZ, BZ, TFL, YL
- 19 Project administration: HZ
- 20 Supervision: BZ, LS, HZ
- 21 Writing original draft: ZJ
- 22 Writing review & editing: ZJ, PFS, YL, JLS, DL, LS, HZ, TFL
- 23

24 **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

25

26 Data and materials availability: This research was conducted using the UKB resource (application

- 27 number 22783), subject to a data transfer agreement. The UKB has obtained ethics approval from the
- 28 Northwest Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee (MREC, approval number: 11/NW/0382), and
- 29 obtained written informed consent from all participants prior to the study. The eQTL summary statistics
- 30 from the CAGE whole-blood study can be downloaded at <u>https://cnsgenomics.com/content/data</u>. All files
- to generate annotation used in H-MAGMA can be accessed at <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5503876</u>.
- 32 The summary statistics (114) generated by association analyses in the current study can be accessed at
- 33 <u>https://bigkp.org/</u> and Zenodo (<u>https://zenodo.org/record/8317844</u>). All software and packages used in
- 34 this study are publicly available: PLINK2 (<u>www.cog-genomics.org/plink/2.0/</u>), METAL
- 35 (https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/METAL), GCTA
- 36 (https://yanglab.westlake.edu.cn/software/gcta/#Overview), DAVID Bioinformatics DataBase
- 37 (<u>https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp</u>), SynGO (<u>https://syngoportal.org/</u>), FUMA (https://fuma.ctglab.nl).
- 38

39 Supplementary Materials

- 40 Materials and Methods
- 41 Supplementary Text
- 42 Figs. S1 to S21
- 43 Tables S1 to S23
- 44 References (115-142)



Figure 1: Overview of the study design. This study encompasses four main components. A) We first determined the DC for each trait by evaluating three possible DC models, represented as three different coding schemes. We then established a comprehensive atlas of DC for each trait category. In the meanwhile, we estimated the X-linked heritability and assessed the enrichment. B) Using the optimal DC models, we conducted X-chromosome association analysis across 1,045 complex brain imaging traits for brain anatomy, microstructures, and functionality. C) Through gene-level analysis and biological annotation, we identified associated genes and discovered gene ontology terms with enrichment. We further bridged the association signals with brain-related disorders and other health conditions through gene functional mapping. D) In sex-stratified analysis, we delved into the sex disparities in many aspects, including phenotype, phenotypic variance, X-linked heritability, sex-specific genetic associations, as well

12 as genetic profiles in the X-chromosome between subjects classified by phenotypic quantiles.



Figure 2: DC and heritability patterns across trait categories. A) Distribution of the three DC groups across trait categories. B)-C) Comparisons of X-linked heritability (h_X^2) and autosomal heritability (h_a^2) estimates among DC groups, respectively. Unadjusted p-values of the Wilcoxon rank sum test for pairwise comparisons are annotated. D)-E) Scatter plots of h_X^2 estimates for individual traits in sMRI, DTI, and fMRI, respectively. Different trait categories are color-coded. Traits with significant h_X^2 estimates are represented as filled circles, while traits with insignificant h_X^2 estimates are represented as





1



9 two sections—the upper triangle portrays DC for rfMRI intra-network connectivity and the lower for

10 tfMRI. Cells on the left margin represent amplitude traits for rfMRI, while those at the bottom indicate 11 amplitude traits for tfMRI.



1 2 Figure 4: Main results in X-chromosome association analysis. A) Genomic loci associated with 3 complex brain imaging traits identified in sex-agnostic association analysis. Ideogram illustrating the 4 genomic regions affecting brain imaging traits. Each trait is represented by a unique color, with the 5 corresponding genomic region annotated directly on the ideogram. The signal point for white matter tracts 6 indicates that at least one of the 25 related traits (20 PC traits and five mean traits) is associated with the 7 genomic region. Novel identifications are represented in circles, while replicating for previous discoveries 8 are represented in diamonds. B) A selected genetic locus with important colocalizations with brain-related 9 phenotypes. The top SNP, defined as the SNP with the lowest p-value in the locus, surpasses the 10 5×10^{-8} threshold after adjusting for multiple comparisons using the wild bootstrap approach. However, the p-values shown in the figure remain unadjusted. The top SNP along with all the SNPs in LD ($r^2 >$ 11 12 0.6) in the same locus are the targets for XWAS results lookup in the NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog (up to June 2023). The volume of the right ventral diencephalon exhibits shared genetic links with educational 13 14 attainment and schizophrenia, pinpointed at an Xq13.1 locus by rs2361468. C) Genomic loci associated 15 with complex brain imaging traits identified in sex-stratified association analysis. The label "Language" 16 denotes the mean amplitude trait of the language network in rfMRI. "CO-DA" stands for the mean connectivity trait between the cingulo-opercular and dorsal-attention networks in rfMRI. Trait-locus pairs 17 18 that are overlapped with those identified in the sex-agnostic analysis are represented as circles, while 19 those specific to sex-stratified analysis are represented as diamonds.

1 Table 1: Novel trait-locus pairs on the X-chromosome for complex brain imaging traits in sex-agnostic analysis. The identified trait-locus

2 pairs are compared to results on the NHGRI-EBI GWAS catalog data (up to June 2023). A pair is considered novel if either the trait is novel at the 3 locus, or the locus is novel for the trait. The full names of white matter tracts are present in Table S1. The raw p-values are adjusted by using the

locus, or the locus is novel for the trait. The full names of white matter tracts are present in Table S1. The raw p-values are adjusted by using the
 wild bootstrap approach. The physical locations of loci are determined based on GRCh37.

Trait	UniqID	RsID	p-Value	p-Value (adj)	Start	End	Region
Total SA (left)	23:154740180:A:G	rs76536573	3.09E-13	1.86E-12	153732991	154949953	q28
Total SA (right)	23:154678628:C:G	rs28880039	1.13E-11	2.73E-10	153732991	154949953	q28
SA of lateral occipital (left)	23:154440161:C:T	rs11156600	2.14E-12	2.74E-11	154018581	154949953	q28
SA of superior frontal (left)	23:136567864:C:T	rs5931154	1.50E-10	9.12E-09	136500146	137073809	q26.3
SA of supramarginal (left)	23:136505579:C:T	rs2743914	8.02E-15	1.10E-14	136471150	137073809	q26.3
SA of supramarginal (right)	23:136500146:A:G	rs2840674	4.65E-12	8.01E-11	136450688	136841801	q26.3
BV of insula (left)	23:11381616:A:G	rs5935037	2.83E-10	1.94E-08	11381616	11594043	p22.2
BV of insula (right)	23:11381616:A:G	rs5935037	1.28E-10	6.65E-09	11381616	11594043	p22.2
BV of cerebrospinal fluid	23:38009121:A:G	rs35318931	6.71E-12	9.84E-11	37933437	38017972	p11.4
BV of thalamus proper (left)	23:28140326:A:G	rs2131673	4.69E-14	1.32E-13	28033828	28284470	p21.3
BV of thalamus proper (right)	23:28140326:A:G	rs2131673	1.99E-13	1.01E-12	28033828	28284470	p21.3
BV of ventral DC (right)	23:68377485:A:G	rs2361468	4.22E-11	8.43E-09	68354618	68384580	q13.1
PCR (AD PC1)	23:152589891:A:G	rs5970440	4.17E-10	3.60E-08	152576561	152656246	q28
PLIC (AD PC1)	23:152590165:C:G	rs5970442	3.20E-10	2.53E-08	152576561	152656246	q28
PTR (AD PC3)	23:154740180:A:G	rs76536573	5.57E-13	6.00E-12	154379088	154972517	q28
SCR (AD PC1)	23:152590165:C:G	rs5970442	1.41E-12	1.53E-11	152576561	152656246	q28
BCC (FA PC3)	23:39418196:C:T	rs57718759	1.23E-10	7.02E-09	39383773	39494923	p11.4
UNC (FA PC1)	23:152908152:A:G	rs2301142	1.77E-13	8.88E-12	152877997	152908887	q28
BCC (RD PC2)	23:39464147:C:T	rs7888246	4.66E-12	8.02E-11	39383773	39494923	p11.4
PCR (MO PC1)	23:152589891:A:G	rs5970440	9.85E-10	9.08E-09	152576561	152656246	q28
PLIC (MO PC4)	23:150542930:G:T	rs201850695	1.10E-13	4.22E-12	150497978	150614462	q28
PLIC (MO PC5)	23:150514840:C:T	rs5924909	2.75E-10	1.25E-09	150514840	150614316	q28
SCR (MO PC1)	23:152638744:G:T	rs67596711	1.25E-11	3.12E-10	152576561	152656246	q28
BCC (FA mean)	23:152906292:A:T	rs3761536	1.16E-10	2.91E-08	152886255	152908887	q28
PTR (FA mean)	23:152898261:A:G	rs4328011	3.27E-10	2.60E-08	152894551	152907479	q28
UNC (FA mean)	23:152908152:A:G	rs2301142	1.22E-12	1.01E-10	152877997	152908887	q28
SCR (AD mean)	23:152590019:C:T	rs5970441	1.10E-10	6.02E-09	152576561	152648336	q28
SCR (MO mean)	23:152638744:G:T	rs67596711	2.12E-11	6.41E-10	152576561	152656246	q28
Amplitude of the language							
network (rfMRI)	23:19937727:A:C	rs7880364	1.54E-10	4.12E-08	19572473	20322238	p22.12