medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Safety and Immunogenicity of XBB.1.5-Containing mRNA Vaccines

Spyros Chalkias, M.D.[1*], Nichole McGhee, B.S.[1], Jordan L. Whatley, M.D.[2], Brandon Essink, M.D.[3], Adam Brosz, M.D.[4], Joanne E. Tomassini, Ph.D.[1], Bethany Girard, Ph.D.[1], Darin K. Edwards, Ph.D.[1], Arshan Nasir, Ph.D.[1], Diana Lee, B.S.[1], Laura E. Avena, Ph.D.[1], Jing Feng, M.S.[1], Weiping Deng, Ph.D.[1], David C. Montefiori, Ph.D.[5], Lindsey R. Baden, M.D.[6], Jacqueline M. Miller, M.D.[1], Rituparna Das, M.D., Ph.D.[1]

[1]Moderna, Inc., Cambridge, MA; [2]Meridian Clinical Research, Baton Rouge, LA; [3]Meridian Clinical Research, Omaha, NE; [4]Meridian Clinical Research, Grand Island, NE; [5]Department of Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC; [6]Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

Corresponding author:

Spyros Chalkias, MD

Moderna, Inc.

Cambridge, MA, USA 02141-2025

Email: spyros.chalkias@modernatx.com

Cell phone: 617-335-0744

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: Subvariants of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) omicron XBB-lineage have the potential to escape immunity provided by prior infection or vaccination. For Covid-19 immunizations beginning in the Fall 2023, the U.S. FDA has recommended updating to a monovalent omicron XBB.1.5-containing vaccine.

Methods: In this ongoing, phase 2/3 study participants were randomized 1:1 to receive 50-µg doses of mRNA-1273.815 monovalent (50-µg omicron XBB.1.5 spike mRNA) or mRNA-1273.231 bivalent (25-µg omicron XBB.1.5 and 25-µg omicron BA.4/BA.5 spike mRNAs) vaccines, administered as 5th doses, to adults who previously received a primary series and 3rd dose of an original mRNA coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) vaccine, and a 4th dose of a bivalent (omicron BA.4/BA.5 and original SARS-CoV-2) vaccine. Interim safety and immunogenicity data 15 days post-vaccination are presented.

Results: In April 2023, participants received mRNA-1273.815 (n=50) and mRNA-1273.231 (n=51). The median intervals from the prior dose of BA.4/BA.5-containing bivalent vaccine were 8.2 and 8.3 months for the mRNA-1273.815 and mRNA-1273.231 groups, respectively. Both vaccines increased neutralizing antibody (nAb) geometric mean titers against all variants tested at day 15 post-booster nAb compared to pre-booster levels. Geometric mean fold-rises from pre-booster titers after the monovalent booster were higher against XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16 and SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) than those of the bivalent booster and were comparable against BA.4/BA.5 and BQ1.1 variants for both vaccines. The monovalent vaccine also elicited nAb responses against omicron XBB.2.3.2, EG.5.1, and FL.1.5.1 that were similar to those seen for XBB.1.5 in a subset (n=20) of participants. The occurrence of solicited adverse reactions and unsolicited adverse events were overall similar to those previously reported for the original mRNA-1273 50-µg and omicron BA.4/BA.5-containing bivalent mRNA-1273 vaccines.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Conclusion: In this interim analysis, XBB.1.5-containing monovalent and bivalent vaccines elicited potent neutralizing responses against variants of the omicron XBB-lineage (XBB.1.5, XBB.1.6, XBB.2.3.2) as well as more recent (EG.5.1, FL.1.5.1) variants. The safety profile of the XBB.1.5-containing vaccine was consistent with those of prior vaccines. These results support the Covid-19 vaccine update in 2023-2024 to a monovalent XBB.1.5-containing vaccine.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Introduction

As severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) XBB-subvariants evolved to escape immunity provided by prior infection or vaccination, the U.S. FDA has recommended updating to a monovalent omicron XBB.1.5-containing vaccine for immunizations beginning in the Fall 2023.[1] Our ongoing, phase 2/3 open-label study (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT04927065) randomized participants 1:1 to receive 50-µg doses of mRNA-1273.815 monovalent (50-µg omicron XBB.1.5 spike mRNA) or mRNA-1273.231 bivalent (25-µg omicron XBB.1.5 and 25-µg omicron BA.4/BA.5) spike mRNAs vaccines, administered as 5th doses, to adults who previously received a two-dose primary series, a 3rd dose of an original mRNA coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) vaccine, and a 4th dose of a bivalent (omicron BA.4/BA.5 plus original strain) vaccine. Interim safety and immunogenicity data, 15 days post-vaccination with the updated investigational vaccines, are presented.

Methods

Study design and participants

This open-label, ongoing phase 2/3 study (NCT04927065) evaluates the safety and immunogenicity of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) variant-containing vaccine candidates against Coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19). Part J of the study evaluates the safety, reactogenicity and immunogenicity of 50-µg doses of the monovalent mRNA-1273.815 vaccine (50-µg omicron XBB.1.5 spike protein mRNA) and bivalent mRNA-1273.231 vaccine (25-µg omicron XBB.1.5 and 25-µg omicron BA.4/BA.5 spike protein mRNAs). The vaccines were administered as fifth doses to adults who previously received a two-dose primary series and a booster dose of an original Covid-19 vaccine and a booster dose of a bivalent (Original + omicron BA.4/BA.5) vaccine.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Interim 15-day analysis results are reported (data cutoff date May 16, 2023). Additional details of the study design and analysis are provided in the Supplementary protocol and statistical analysis plan.

Adults with a known history of SARS-CoV-2 infection ≤3 months from screening were excluded from the study. Additional details of inclusion/exclusion criteria are provided in the Supplement.

Trial Oversight

The trial is being conducted across 9 U.S. sites, in accordance with the International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use, Good Clinical Practice guidelines. The central Institutional Review Board (Advarra, Inc., 6100 Merriweather Drive, Columbia, MD 21044) approved the protocol and consent forms. All participants provided written informed consent.

Trial Vaccine

The monovalent mRNA-1273.815 50-µg vaccine contains 50-µg of mRNA encoding the prefusion-stabilized spike glycoprotein of the SARS-CoV-2 subvariants XBB.1.5/XBB.1.9.1. The bivalent mRNA-1273.231 50-µg contains 25-µg each of mRNAs encoding the prefusion-stabilized spike glycoproteins of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant (BA.4/BA.5) and the SARS-CoV-2 subvariants XBB.1.5/XBB.1.9.1, formulated in a 1:1 ratio. In both vaccines, mRNAs were encapsulated in lipid nanoparticles as described previously.[2] The booster doses of mRNA-1273.815 and mRNA-1273.231 were each administered by intramuscular injection at 50-µg of mRNA.

Randomization

Approximately 100 participants were planned to be randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive a single booster dose of mRNA-1273.815 (50-µg) or mRNA-1273.231 (50-µg). The two groups were randomized 1:1 in an open-label manner. Formal statistical testing was not performed for the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

immunogenicity comparison between the two randomized groups and all results are descriptive. As prespecified, the exploratory endpoint of surveillance for Covid-19 events begins 14 days after the booster dose and is therefore not applicable to the day 15 interim analysis.

Study Objectives

The primary objectives of the study are evaluation of the safety and reactogenicity of mRNA-1273.815 and mRNA-1273.231 when administered as a fifth (third booster) dose. The evaluation of immunogenicity based on neutralizing antibody (nAb) responses against the variants contained in the vaccines was also a primary objective at day 15.

Statistical Analysis

Safety assessments in the safety set included solicited local and systemic adverse reactions ≤7 days and unsolicited adverse events ≤28 days post-booster administrations, and serious adverse events, adverse events leading to discontinuation from study vaccine and/or participation, medically-attended adverse events, and adverse events of special interest from day 1 through the interim study period.

Immunogenicity was assessed in participants in the Per-Protocol Immunogenicity Set at day 15 post-booster dose by lentivirus-based pseudovirus neutralizing assays as described in the Supplementary Methods. The BA.4/BA.5 and ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) were assessed using validated pseudovirus assays, and those for XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16, and BQ.1.1, were qualified assays (Duke University).[3] In a separate analysis, ancestral SARS-CoV2 (Wuhan-hu1, D614G) and BA.4/BA.5, XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16, XBB.2.3.2, EG.5.1 and FL.1.5.1 variants were assessed using a research grade Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (VSV)-based SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus Neutralization Assay in a randomly-selected subgroup (n=20) of participants who received mRNA-1273.815. Hypothesis testing was not pre-specified with respect to the immune responses

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

and results are descriptive. Geometric mean levels, and the geometric mean fold-rises (GMFRs) from pre-booster baseline levels and the corresponding 95% CI after the mRNA-1273.815 and mRNA-1273.231 doses are provided.

Interim day 15 analysis results are presented (data cutoff date May 16, 2023). All analyses were conducted using SAS Version 9.4 or higher.

Results

In April (25th to 27th) 2023, 101 participants were enrolled and received mRNA-1273.815 (n=50) and mRNA-1273.231 (n=51). Baseline characteristics were generally balanced for the groups (Table 1) including intervals between prior doses and percentages of participants with evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection pre-booster. The median intervals (interquartile ranges) from the prior dose of the BA.4/BA.5-containing bivalent vaccine were 8.2 (8.1-8.3) and 8.3 (8.1-8.4) months for the mRNA-1273.815 and mRNA-1273.231 vaccines, respectively.[4]

The pre-booster and day 15 titers for both vaccines, mRNA-1273.815 and mRNA-1273.231, are shown in Figures 1 and S2 and Table S1 for XBB.1.5, XBB.1.6, BQ.1.1, BA.4/BA.5 and D614G. Both vaccines increased the neutralizing antibody responses as measured by a lentivirus reporter assay at day 15. The nAb geometric mean levels and geometric mean fold-rises from pre-booster levels after the monovalent booster were numerically higher against XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16 and ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) than those of the bivalent booster and were comparable against BA.4/BA.5 and BQ1.1 variants for both vaccines. The mRNA-1273.815 vaccine also elicited similar nAb responses using a research grade VSV assay against XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16, XBB.2.3.2, EG.5.1 and FL.1.5.1 variants in a subset of randomly-selected participants regardless of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection (Figure 2).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

During a median follow-up (interquartile range) of 20 (20-22) days for both vaccine groups, the occurrence of solicited local and systemic adverse reactions and unsolicited adverse events (AEs) were generally similar to those previously reported for the original mRNA-1273 50-µg and omicron BA.4/BA.5-containing bivalent mRNA-1273 vaccine[5,6] (Figure 2 and Tables S2-S3). There were no serious or fatal AEs.

Discussion

In this interim analysis, the XBB.1.5-containing monovalent and bivalent vaccines had tolerability similar to prior vaccinations[4-6] and elicited potent neutralizing responses against Omicron variants of the XBB-lineage including XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16 and XBB.2.3.2, consistent with the limited antigenic differences measured between these variants.[7,8] Antibody responses were numerically higher with the monovalent vaccine against the XBB-lineage variants, compared to the bivalent vaccine, and generally the responses were higher against the XBB-lineage variants, compared to previous variants.

The XBB.1.5 monovalent mRNA-1273.815 vaccine also cross-neutralized the more recent EG.5.1 (Eris) and FL.1.5.1 (Fornax) variants which are descendants of the Omicron lineage and similar in genetic makeup to XBB.1.5. These variants contain an additional spike mutation (F456) and may be capable of evading immunity from prior infection or vaccination.[7,8] EG.5.1 is considered a global variant of interest that is now dominant in the US and the FL1.5.1 variant is beginning to surge in parts of the US.[8,9] These data reassuringly suggest that the XBB.1.5-containing mRNA-1273.815 vaccine has the potential to provide protection against these emerging variants as well as others anticipated to circulate during the upcoming 2023-2024 vaccination season.

This study was not powered for a statistical comparison of the immune responses between the vaccine groups or vaccine efficacy. The study design enabled a rapid assessment of the antibody

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

responses and the results support the strategy of updating Covid-19 vaccines to more closely match circulating variants. These clinical data also informed the selection of XBB.1.5-containing monovalent Covid-19 vaccines as recommended by the FDA for use in 2023-2024 immunizations.[1]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Funding:
This study was funded by Moderna, Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

Competing Interests:
JW, BE, and AB have nothing to disclose; DCM reports funding from Moderna, Inc. for pseudovirus neutralization assays performed in the study; LRB is a co-primary principal investigator of the COVE trial funded by NIAID and conducted in conjunction with Moderna, Inc. SC, NMcG, BG, DKE, AN, DL, LEA, JF, WD, JMM and RD are employees of Moderna, Inc. and may hold stock/stock options in the company. JET is a Moderna consultant.

Data Sharing Statement:
As the trial is ongoing, access to patient-level data and supporting clinical documents with qualified external researchers may be available upon request and subject to review once the trial is complete.

Acknowledgements:
We thank the participants in the trial and the members of the mRNA-1273 trial team (listed in the Supplement) for their dedication and contributions to the trial, the Immune Assay Team at Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC for PsVNA analyses, Kai Wu, Daniela Montes Berrueta, and Matthew Koch from Moderna for the VSV SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus analyses and data, Michael Whitt and Rita Kansal from (University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN) for generating the VSV-based SARS-CoV-2 pseudoviruses, and Frank J. Dutko (Moderna consultant) for figure development and editorial support.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

References

1. US FDA. Updated COVID-19 Vaccines for Use in the United States Beginning in Fall 2023. 2023. (Accessed June 17, 2023 at https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/updated-covid-19-vaccines-use-united-states-beginning-fall-2023.)
2. Jackson LA, Anderson EJ, Rouphael NG, et al. An mRNA Vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 - Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1920-31.
3. Gilbert PB, Montefiori DC, McDermott AB, et al. Immune correlates analysis of the mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine efficacy clinical trial. Science 2022;375:43-50.
4. Chalkias S, Whatley J, Eder F, et al. Analysis of wildtype monovalent and Omicron BA.4/BA.5 bivalent COVID-19 mRNA vaccines: phase 2/3 trial interim results Nature Medicine 2023;in press.
5. Chalkias S, Harper C, Vrbicky K, et al. A Bivalent Omicron-Containing Booster Vaccine against Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2022;387:1279-91.
6. Chalkias S, Harper C, Vrbicky K, et al. Three-month Antibody Persistence of a Bivalent Omicron-containing Booster Vaccine Against COVID-19 Nature Communications 2023;in press.
7. Yamasoba D, Uriu K, Plianchaisuk A, et al. Virological characteristics of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron XBB.1.16 variant. Lancet Infect Dis 2023;23:655-6.
8. CDC. COVID Data Tracker: Variant Proportions. 2023. (Accessed June 15, 2023, at https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#variant-proportions.)
9. WHO. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 Variants. 2023 (Assessed August 15, 2023 at https://www.who.int/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants.)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Demographics and Characteristics of Study Participants (Safety Set)

	Monovalent mRNA-1273.815 (XBB.1.5) N = 50	Bivalent mRNA-1273.231 (XBB.1.5 + BA.4/5) N= 51
Age, years		
Mean (SD)	51.6 (15.2)	48.4 (15.2)
Median (range)	54.5 (21-84)	48.0 (24-82)
≥ 65	11 (22.0)	7 (13.7)
Sex, n (%)*		
Male	20 (40.0)	20 (39.2)
Female	30 (60.0)	31 (60.8)
Race, n (%)		
White	45 (90.0)	41 (80.4)
Black or African-American	4 (8.0)	4 (7.8)
Asian	1 (2.0)	3 (5.9)
Mutiracial or Other	0	3 (5.9)
Ethnicity, n (%)		
Hispanic or Latino	9 (18.0)	6 (11.8)
Not Hispanic or Latino	40 (80.0)	44 (86.3)
Not reported	1 (2.0)	1 (2.0)
Body Mass Index (kg/m2)		
Mean (SD)	30.57 (8.20)	31.42 (8.02)
Months between 2nd and 3rd Dose, median (IQR)	8.2 (7.8-9.8)	9.2 (7.8-12.2)
Months between 3rd and 4th Dose, median (IQR)	9.8 (8.3-10.3)	9.2 (8.2-10.3)
Months between 4th and 5th Dose, median (IQR)	8.2 (8.1-8.3)	8.3 (8.1-8.4)
Prior SARS-CoV-2 Infection, n (%)†	34 (68.0)	40 (78.4)

IQR=Interquartile range; SD=standard deviation. *Percentages are based on the number of participants in the safety set; Percentages may not total 100% due to rounding. †Prior SARS-CoV-2 status was positive if there was evidence of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, defined as positive binding antibody against the SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid or positive RT-PCR assay.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 1. Neutralizing Antibodies After a Booster Dose of XBB.1.5-Containing Monovalent and Bivalent Vaccines Against XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16, BQ.1.1 and BA.4/5 Variants and D614G



Figure 1. GM=geometric mean; GMFR=geometric mean fold rise at day 15 relative to pre-booster. N=49 observations at pre-booster and N=50 at the day 15 timepoint for the monovalent (mRNA-1273.815) and bivalent (mRNA-1273.231) vaccines. GM-levels of neutralizing antibody titers were assessed in participants in the per-protocol set for immunogenicity (with and without prior SARS-CoV-2 infection), using a lentivirus-based pseudovirus assay as described previously[3] and in the Supplementary Methods. Antibody values reported as below the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ: 18.5 [1.3 $\log_{10}$] for ancestral SARS-CoV-2 [D614G] and 36.7 [1.6 $\log_{10}$] for Omicron BA.4/BA.5) are replaced by 0.5 x LLOQ. Values greater than the upper limit of quantification (ULOQ: 45,118 [4.7 $\log_{10}$] for ancestral SARS-CoV-2 [D614G] and 13,705 [4.1 $\log_{10}$) for Omicron BA.4/BA.5) are replaced by the ULOQ if actual values are not available. Antibody values reported as below the lower limit of detection (LOD: 10 for XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16 and BQ.1.1) are replaced by 0.5 x LOD.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 2. Analysis of Neutralizing Antibody Titers Against Ancestral SARS-CoV2 (D614G) and BA.4/BA.5, XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16, XBB.2.3.2, EG.5.1 and FL.1.5.1 Variants in a Randomly-selected Subset of Participants Who Received Monovalent mRNA-1273.815



Figure 2. Analysis of neutralizing antibody titers against variants in n=20 randomly-selected all participants and in those with and without SARS-CoV-2 infection from mRNA-1273.815 arm using a research grade VSV-based pseudovirus assay (supplementary methods). **p≤0.01 and ****p<0.0001 by the Wilcoxon t-test. Dotted line denotes the limit of detection (20) of the assay.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.22.23293434; this version posted August 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 3. Solicited Local and Systemic Adverse Reactions



Figure 3. Shown are the percentages of participants in whom solicited local or systemic adverse reactions occurred within 7 days after the booster dose in the solicited safety set (n=50 in the monovalent mRNA-1273.815 group and n=51 in the bivalent mRNA-1273.231 group).