medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

Prevalence and factors associated with abdominal and total obesity among low-income urban population of Kiambu County, Kenya.

Grace Wambura Mbuthia[1], James Mwangi Ndithia[2,3], James Odhiambo Oguta[4,5], Catherine Akoth[5,], Karani Magutah[6], Rosemary Kawira[1], Caroline Nyariki[1], Nickson Kimutai[1], Agnes Kinyua[7], Stephen T. McGarvey[8]

[1] School of Nursing, College of Health Sciences, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, P.O. Box 62000- 0200 Nairobi, Kenya.

[2]School of Public Health and Community Development, Maseno University, Kisumu, Kenya.

[3]World Health Organisation Somali, ATMIS protected area, Mogandishu, Somali.

[4]School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom.

[5]African Network of Research Scientists, Nairobi, Kenya

[6]School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Moi University, P.O. Box 4606-30100, Eldoret, Kenya.

[7]School of Education, Kenyatta University, Kenya.

[8]International Health Institute, Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, and Department of Anthropology, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, United States.

Corresponding author: Grace Wambura Mbuthia Email: grace.mbuthia@jkuat.ac.ke P. O. Box 62000- 0200 Nairobi, Kenya.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

Abstract

Obesity is a major risk factor for most non-communicable diseases whose burden has been rising rapidly in low and middle-income countries. To develop public health interventions to address the increasing burden of overweight and obesity, estimates of the prevalence and associated factors are needed in specific populations. The study sought to determine the prevalence of total obesity and abdominal obesity among low-income adults in Kiambu County, Kenya. This community-based cross-sectional survey involved 1656 adults residing in Ruiru and Juja Sub-counties. Data were collected by trained community health volunteers (CHVs) in their respective sub-counties using an interviewer-administered questionnaire. The CHVs also took anthropometric measurements using relevant tools and standard procedures. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the participants' characteristics and proportions of adults with obesity. Multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the factors associated with obesity. The overall prevalence of obesity (body mass index [BMI] $\geq$ 30 kg/m^2) was 28.8% (95% CI, 26.6%-30.9%), with a higher prevalence observed among women [33.6% (95% CI, 31.1%-36.2%)] than men [12.5% (95% CI, 9.6%-16.3%)]. A third (33.3%) of the participants were overweight (25 $\leq$ BMI < 30 kg/m^2). The prevalence of abdominal obesity as measured by waist-height-ratio (WHtR) was 79.8%, by waist circumference (WC) was 74.0% and by waist-hip-ratio (WHR) was 32%. Obesity was associated with female gender, increasing age, education level and monthly income. In conclusion, the prevalence of total obesity and abdominal obesity was high in the population. Public health strategies focusing on healthy diet, physical activity, weight reduction, and maintenance strategies are urgently needed among low-income adults.

Keywords: Obesity, Body Mass Index, Waist-Hip-Ratio, Waist-Height Ratio, Waist Circumference.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

Introduction

The rising burden of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) as the major cause of morbidity and mortality globally is closely paralleled by and attributable to increasing levels of obesity [1]. In 2016, more than 1.9 billion adults aged 18 years and older were overweight of whom more than 650 million were obese. The worldwide prevalence of obesity nearly tripled between 1975 and 2016[2]. Low and middle income countries (LMICs) bear the larger burden with nearly 2 billion people which accounts for 70% of the globally overweight or obese people residing in these countries [3]. The LMICs are undergoing a demographic transition including migration from rural to urban areas. Urban ways of life involve transitions to more physical inactivity, increased consumption of processed, nutrient dense foods, leading to increased risk of obesity and overweight. High overweight and obesity rates are strongly linked to high prevalence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs), which are now among the leading causes of death in many regions of the world[1, 3].

Obesity is a primary and fundamental risk factor for most cardiometabolic NCDs and contributes directly to the development of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and CVD mortality independently of other cardiovascular risk factors [4-6]. Overweight and obesity increases the risk for type 2 diabetes, high blood pressure (BP), dyslipidemia, certain cancers, musculoskeletal disorders as well as sleep disorders[4] and, more recently, COVID 19 morbidity and mortality [7]. A combination of decline in physical activity, diets becoming sweeter, saltier, and with higher saturated fat content all which trigger the onset of obesity and non-communicable diseases

The prevalence rates of obesity are increasing more rapidly in LMICs than they are in high income countries (HICs) [8]. In LMICs, the rate of overweight and obesity is higher among women than among men and in urban areas compared to rural areas [8]. Socio-economic status, age, marital status, physical inactivity and increased energy foods consumption are powerful predictors of overweight and obesity in sub-Saharan Africa [9]. In Kenya, previous studies documented high prevalence of obesity and associated adverse health outcomes [10-13]. The studies with country representative sample show a higher prevalence of overweight/ obesity among the urban population compared to the rural population in Kenya [10, 13].

Although BMI has widely been used to define severity of overweight and obesity across populations, measures of central adiposity, namely waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), waist

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

circumference (WC) and waist-to hip-ratio (WHR) have been adopted as more accurate predictors of cardiovascular risk and have replaced BMI in several definitions for clinical diagnosis of metabolic syndrome [14-16]. These measurements are easy and affordable to collect and therefore appropriate for low-resource settings. A recent study has shown abdominal obesity as determined by WC is a CVD risk marker that is independent of BMI[4]. A WHtR cutoff of 0.5 is recommended for both sexes and in different ethnic groups and is generally accepted as a universal cutoff for abdominal obesity in adults [14]. Thus, it could be used independently being superior to both BMI and WHR, or together with WHR that has cut offs of ≥ 0.90 (male) and ≥0.85 (female) [17].

The purpose of this report is to describe the estimated total obesity using BMI, and abdominal obesity using WC, WHtR and WHR and compare the estimates from each measurement in the same study population. Previous studies in this setting have used body mass index (BMI) as a measure of obesity at a time there is a shift to measures that focus more on abdominal obesity. The current study utilizes measures of central adiposity in addition to BMI to quantify obesity among urban low-income adults in Kenya.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

Materials and Methods

Study Design: This was a community based cross-sectional survey.

Study location: The study was conducted at Juja and Ruiru sub-counties of Kiambu County, in Central Kenya.

Study Population: Adults aged 18 years or older, in the study location, willing to take part in the survey were involved.

Inclusion Criteria and exclusion criteria: All adults willing to provide informed consent for participation were included. However, persons with mental health disability and pregnant women were excluded. The pregnant women were excluded due to their physiological state that affects the WC measurement and BP variations during pregnancy.

Sampling size and sampling: Multistage sampling was used to select the participants in this study**.** Simple random sampling was used to select Juja and Ruiru sub-counties from the 12 sub-counties in Kiambu County. Out of the 13 administrative wards in Juja and Ruiru Sub-Counties four (30% of the wards) low income wards (as characterized by the housing characteristics) were purposively sampled. Each ward is divided into 5 Community Health Units (CHU) giving a total of 20 CHUs in the four wards. Systematic sampling was used to sample 100 households from each CHU giving a total of 2000 households. Data were collected from the household heads willing to participate in the study.

Data Collection: Trained CHVs collected data using interviewer-administered questionnaires adapted from the WHO stepwise questionnaire. Data collection took place from September-December 2022. Participants' anthropometric measurements including height, weight and WC were taken. Weight was measured to the nearest kilogram using a calibrated bathroom scale (CAMRY Mechanical scale, BR9012, Shanghai, China**),** with the subject in light clothing and without shoes. Height was measured using a plastic measuring tape scaled in centimeters by asking participant to stand barefoot beside a wall on an even floor and marking the highest point of the head using a pencil and taking the measurement of the wall up to the highest point of the head. Similarly, WC was taken by placing a flexible tape measure horizontally passing it along the umbilicus and recorded at the end of normal expiration. Hip circumference was taken by placing the tape measure parallel to the floor at the widest part of buttocks. Height, waist, and hip circumference were recorded to nearest centimeter.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

Data Analysis: Descriptive statistics were used to describe the participants' characteristics, which were reported using frequencies, percentages, means and standard deviation. Obesity was categorized based on the World Health Organization's BMI categories; underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m^2), normal weight (BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m^2), overweight (BMI 25-29.9 kg/m^2) and obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m^2) [18]. Significant WC was considered for measurements more than 90cm for males and more than 80cm in females [19] while a cut off of 0.5 for the WHtR in both sex was used to classify abdominal obesity [14]. For the WHR a cut offs of ≥ 0.90 (male) and ≥0.85 (female) [17] was considered obese. Bivariate and multivariable binary logistic regression analyses were performed to explore the relationship between obesity and various explanatory variables. We fitted separate models for obesity as defined by different metrics (BMI, WC, WHtR) . We reported unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios and evaluated statistical significance at P<0.05. All the statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 17.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX).

Ethical Considerations: Ethical approval for the study was obtained from Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology (JKUAT) Institutional ethics committee approval number; JKU/IERC/02316/0652. Similarly, a research permit was obtained from the National Commission of Science, Technology and Innovation before commencement of the study; license number NACOSTI/P/22/19977. Participation in the study was voluntary and written informed consent was obtained from the participants before recruitment into the study. Confidentiality and anonymity of patients were guaranteed by excluding unique identifiers from the data collected from participants.

Findings

Social demographic characteristics.

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the sample. A total of 1,656 participants took part in the survey, indicating 83% response rate. The mean age of participants was 40.8 (SD: 14.3) years. The majority of the participants were female (77%), had secondary education (40.7%), were married (65.4%), self-employed (45.5%) and earned below Ksh5000 a month (41.7%).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

Table 1: Socio demographic characteristics

Variables	Female n (%)	Male n (%)	Total n (%)
Age in years (N=1656)	40 (13.9)	43.3 (15.1)	40.8 (14.3)
18-30	362 (28.4)	85 (22.3)	447 (26.9)
31-40	395 (31)	105 (27.5)	500 (30.2)
41-50	253 (19.7)	75 (19.6)	328 (19.8)
51-60	145 (11.4)	65 (17.0)	210 (12.7)
>60	119 (9.3)	52 (13.6)	171 (10.3)
Education level (N=1653)			
Never been to school	52 (4.1)	9 (2.3)	61 (3.7)
Primary	455 (35.8)	104 (27.3)	559 (33.8)
Secondary	510 (40.1)	163 (42.9)	673 (40.7)
Tertiary	255 (20.1)	105 (27.5)	360 (21.7)
Marital status(N=1654)			
Single	310 (24.4)	91 (23.9)	401 (24.3)
Married	811 (63.7)	274 (71.9)	1085 (65.4)
Divorced/separated/widowed	152 (11.9)	16 (4.2)	168 (10.2)
Work status (N=1649)			
Employed	148 (11.7)	93 (24.5)	241 (14.6)
Self- employed	581 (45.8)	168 (44.2)	749 (45.5)
Homemaker	242 (19.1)	8 (2.1)	250 (15.2)
Retired	53 (4.2)	22 (5.8)	75 (4.6)
Student	37 (2.9)	14 (3.7)	51 (3.1)
Casual worker	208 (16.4)	75 (19.7)	283 (17.2)
Income per month Ksh. (N=1550)			
≤5000	537 (44.6)	109 (31,5)	646 (41.7)
5001-10000	412 (34.2	102 (29.5)	514 (33.2)
10001-15,000	112 (9.3)	47 (13.6)	159 (10.3)
>15,000	143 (11.88)	88 (25.4)	231 (14.9)
Alcohol Use (N=1648)			
Yes	145(11.4)	145(38.5)	290(17.6)
No	1,126(88.6)	232(61.5)	1,358(82.4)
Tobacco Use(N=1,653)			
Yes	18(1.4)	79(20.8)	97(5.9)
No	1,255(98.6)	301(79.2)	1,556(94.1)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

Prevalence of total obesity and abdominal obesity.

Overall, 62.1% of the participants were overweight/obese, while only a third (562, 34%) had normal BMI. The prevalence of obesity was 28.8% (95%CI, 26.7%-30.9%). There was a higher prevalence of obesity in women compared to men (33. 6% [95% CI, 31.1%-36.2%] vs 12.5%[95% CI, 9.6%-16.3%]. The prevalence of overweight was 33.3% and was higher in women (35% [95% CI, 32.4%-37.7%]) than men (27.5% [95% CI, 23.2%-32.1%]). The WHtR estimated higher prevalence of abdominal obesity compared to WC and WHR, at 79.8% (95%CI, 77.8%-81.6%).

Table 2: Prevalence of total obesity and abdominal obesity

Variables	Females Mean (SD) or n (%)	Males Mean (SD) or n (%)	Total
Weight (Kg)	71.0 (14.7)	68.5 (12.9)	70.5 (14.3)
Height (Meters)	1.59 (0.08)	1.68 (0.10)	1.61 (0.09)
BMI (kg/m^2)	27.9 (5.8)	24.4 (4.7)	27.2 (5.8)
Waist circumference(cm)	93.4 (13.2)	90.4 (11.6)	92.7 (12.9)
Hip circumference(cm)	108.7 (11.9)	100.9 (9.61)	106.9 (11.9)
BMI categories (N=1652)			
Normal (BMI 18.5- 24.9)	358 (28.2)	204 (53.4)	562 (34.0)
Underweight (BMI <18.5)	40 (3.2)	25 (6.5)	65 (3.9)
Overweight (BMI 25-29.9)	445 (35.0)	105 (27.5)	550 (**33.3**)
Obesity (BMI ≥30)	427 (33.6)	48 (12.6)	475 (**28.8**)
Waist circumference (N=1651)			
Not at risk (≤80cm for Female and ≤ 90 for male)	224 (17.7)	206 (53.9)	430 (26.0)
At risk (WC>80cm for female and >90cm for males)	1045 (82.3)	176 (46.1)	1221 (**74.0**)
WHR (N=1651)			
Not at risk WHR≤ 0.85 for Female and ≤ 0.9 for male	916 (72.2)	206 (53.9)	1122 (68)
At risk WHR>0.85 for female and >0.9	353 (27.8)	176 (46.1)	529 (**32**)
WHtR (N=1656)			
≤0.5	209 (16.4)	126 (33.0)	335 (20.2)
>0.5	1065 (83.6)	256 (67.0)	1321 (**79.8**)

Kg-Kilogram**; Kg/m^2 -** Kilogram per metre squared; **cm –** Centimetres; **BMI-** Body mass index; **WHR-**Waist-Hip ratio; **WHtR-**Waist-Height ratio; **WC**- Waist circumference

Factors associated with Overweight/Obesity as measured by BMI

Results of the unadjusted logistic regression model reveal that sex, age, marital status, work status, tobacco and alcohol use were all significantly associated with overweight/obesity. In the adjusted model, sex, increasing age, tertiary education and monthly income above Ksh.15,000 were associated with overweight/obesity (Table 3). Males had 73% lower odds of being overweight and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

obese (aOR=0.27; 95% CI 0.19-0.36) compared to the females. Participants in older age groups had 2.1-3.4 times higher odds of overweight/obesity compared to those aged 18-30 years. Tertiary education was associated with 2.2 times (aOR: 2.20, 95% CI 1.14-4.24) increased odds of overweight/obesity compared to being illiterate. Similarly, participants earning more than Ksh.15000 monthly had 1.6 times (aOR: 1.60, 95% CI 1.08-2.37) increased odds of being overweight/obese.

Table 3: Bivariate and Multivariable Logistic Regression model for factors associated with overweight/obesity

Variables	cOR(95%CI)	p-value	aOR(95 % CI)	P-value
Sex				
Female	Ref 1		Ref	
Male	0.30(0.24-0.39)	<0.001	0.27(0.19-0.36)	<0.001
Age				
18-30	Ref		Ref	
31-40	2.25(1.73-2.92)	<0.001	2.09(1.55-2.83)	<0.001
41-50	2.58(1.91-3.47)	<0.001	2.71(1.90-3.86)	<0.001
51-60	2.47(1.75-3.48)	<0.001	3.36(2.19-5.14)	<0.001
>60	2.13(1.48-3.07)	<0.001	2.85(1.74-4.67)	<0.001
Education level				
Never been to school	Ref			
Primary	1.33(0.78-2.27)	0.298	1.51(0.82-2.77)	0.186
Secondary	1.12(0.66-1.91)	0.672	1.68(0.90-3.13)	0.100
Tertiary	1.28(0.74-2.21)	0.381	2.20(1.14-4.24)	0.018
Marital status				
Single	Ref			
Married	1.53(1.21-1.92)	<0.001	1.19(0.89-1.59)	0.222
Divorced/separated/windowed	1.97(1.35-2.90)	<0.001	0.99(0.63-1.57)	0.998
Work status				
Employed	Ref			
Self- employed	1.48(1.11-2.00)	0.008	1.28(0.91-1.82)	0.157
Homemaker	1.45(1.00-2.08)	0.045	1.27(0.80-2.02)	0.307
Retired	1.39(0.81-2.37)	0.233	1.15(0.58-2.29)	0.688
Student	0.29(0.15-0.57)	<0.001	0.52(0.24-1.11)	0.091
Casual worker	1.22(0.86-1.74)	0.253	1.31(0.87-1.98)	0.196
Income per month Ksh.				
≤5,000	Ref			
5,001-10,000	1.24(0.97-1.56)	0.083	1.19(0.89-1.58)	0.235
10,001-15,000	1.26(0.87-1.80)	0.212	1.45(0.95-2.20)	0.085
>15,000	1.35(0.98-1.85)	0.058	1.60(1.08-2.37)	0.020
Alcohol Use				
No	Ref			
Yes	0.76(0.59-0.98)	0.035	1.02(0.74-1.40)	0.891

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

Tobacco Use				
No	Ref			
Yes	0.40(0.27-0.61)	<0.001	0.64(0.36-1.01)	0.057

cOR – crude odds ratio; **aOR** –adjusted odds ratio

Factors associated with abdominal obesity as measured by WHtR

The adjusted WHtR logistic regression model shows that sex, increasing age, education level and tobacco use were associated with abdominal obesity (Table 4). Males had 64% reduced odds of being overweight and obese (aOR=0.36; 95% CI 0.25-0.50) compared to the females. Higher age groups are associated with 2.6-7.4 times increased odds of abdominal obesity. Smokers had 54% reduced odds of abdominal obesity compared to non-smokers (aOR=0.46; 95% CI, 0.26-0.81).

Table 4: Bivariate and Multivariable Logistic Regression model for factors associated abdominal obesity by WHtR

Variables	cOR(95%CI)	p-value	aOR(95 % CI)	P-value
Sex				
Female	Ref			
Male	0.39(0.31-0.52	<0.001	0.36(0.25-0.50)	<0.001
Age				
18-30	Ref			
31-40	2.79(2.07-3.76)	<0.001	2.58(1.83-3.64)	<0.001
41-50	4.98(3.34-7.42)	<0.001	5.03(3.17-7.99)	<0.001
51-60	4.23(2.68-6.65)	<0.001	5.80(3.27-10.27)	<0.001
>60	4.75(2.84-7.95)	<0.001	7.37(3.64-14.91)	<0.001
Education level				
Never been to school	Ref			
Primary	1.37(0.72-2.64)	0.339	2.25(1.05-4.78)	0.035
Secondary	0.98(0.52-1.85)	0.945	2.36(1.10-5.05)	0.027
Tertiary	0.89(0.46-1.73)	0.745	2.49(1.23-5.59)	0.024
Marital status				
Single	Ref			
Married	1.96(1.50-2.54)	<0.001	1.26(0.91-1.77)	0.162
Divorced/separated/widowed	1.98(1.79-4.93)	<0.001	1.11(0.61-2.04)	0.733
Work status				
Employed	Ref			
Self- employed	1.93(1.36-2.72)	<0.001	1.42(0.94-2.12)	0.93
Homemaker	1.82(1.17-2.81)	0.007	1.34(0.77-2.35)	0.304
Retired	1.75(0.90-3.39)	0.097	0.72(0.30-1.71)	0.453
Student	0.30(0.16-0.56)	<0.001	0.60(0.28-1.27)	0.182
Casual worker	1.21(0.81-1.79)	0.351	1.08(0.67-1.72)	0.752
Income per month (Ksh.)				
≤5,000	Ref			
5,001-10,000	1.07(0.80-1.42)	0.645	1.00(0.70-1.42)	0.989

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

10,001-15,000	1.13(0.72-1.75)	0.599	1.25(0.75-2.09)	0.398
>15,000	1.12(0.77-1.63)	0.564	1.13(0.70-1.83)	0.611
Alcohol Use				
No	Ref			
Yes	0.84(0.62-1.14)	0.284	1.22(0.83-1.80)	0.310
Tobacco Use				
No	Ref			
Yes	0.47(0.30-0.72)	0.001	0.46(0.26-0.81)	0.008

cOR – crude odds ratio **aOR** –adjusted odds ratio

Factors associated with abdominal obesity as measured by waist circumference

Table 5 presents the results of the logistic regression analyses for the factors associated with abdominal obesity as measured by WC. The results from the adjusted model reveal that sex, age, education level, occupation, and tobacco are associated with abdominal obesity. Students had reduced odds (aOR=0.37; 95% CI 0.17-0.81) of abdominal obesity compared to being employed. Similarly, males and smokers had reduced odds of abdominal obesity compared to females and non-smokers, respectively. The odds of abdominal obesity increased with age.

Table 5: Bivariate and Multivariate Logistic Regression model for factors associated abdominal obesity by Waist Circumference

Variables	cOR(95%CI)	p-value	aOR(95 % CI)	P-value
Sex				
Female	Ref			
Male	0.18(0.14-0.23)	<0.001	0.13(0.09-0.17)	<0.001
Age				
18-30	Ref			
31-40	2.52(1.89-3.33)	<0.001	2.62(1.86-3.71)	<0.001
41-50	3.67(2.59-5.18)	<0.001	4.92(3.17-7.66)	<0.001
51-60	3.73(2.47-5.60)	<0.001	6.99(4.04-12.10)	<0.001
>60	2.79(1.85-4.22)	<0.001	4.59(2.54- 8.31)	<0.001
Education level				
Never been to school	Ref			
Primary	1.32(0.73-2.39)	0.353	1.91(0.94-3.87)	0.072
Secondary	1.02(0.57-1.83)	0.946	2.16(1.05-4.42)	0.034
Tertiary	0.96(0.52-1.76)	0.895	2.49(1.18-5.28)	0.017
Marital status				
Single	Ref			
Married	1.95(1.52-2.50)	<0.001	1.32(0.95-1.84)	0.101
Divorced/separated/windowed	2.39(1.55-3.68)	<0.001	0.87(0.49-1.51)	0.624
Work status				
Employed	Ref			
Self- employed	2.03(1.47-2.79)	<0.001	1.39(0.93-2.07)	0.102
Homemaker	2.55(1.67-3.89)	<0.001	1.34(0.77-2.34)	0.290

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

Retired	1.820.99-3.33)	0.050	1.08(0.48-2.45)	0.845
Student	0.27(0.14-0.51)	<0.001	0.37(0.17-0.81)	0.013
Casual worker	1.04(0.73-1.49)	0.823	0.82(0.52-1.30)	0.397
Income per month (Ksh)				
≤5000	Ref			
5001-10000	1.32(0.73-2.39)	0.353	0.91(0.65-1.27)	0.586
10001-15,000	1.02(0.57-1.83)	0.946	0.97(0.60-1.59	0.917
>15,000	0.96(0.52-1.72)	0.895	1.08(0.68-1.72)	0.730
Alcohol Use				
No	Ref		Ref	
Yes	0.67(0.51-0.88)	0.005	1.34(0.93-1.94)	0.117
Tobacco Use				
No	Ref		Ref	
Yes	0.28(0.18-0.42)	<0.001	0.51(0.29-0.87)	0.014

cOR – crude odds ratio **aOR** –adjusted odds ratio

Discussion

Our study found a prevalence of 62.1% for overweight/obesity, and specifically 33.3% of the participants were overweight while 28.8% were obese by BMI. The prevalence was double that of a study that utilized the 2015 World Health Organization Kenya STEPwise Survey data and showed a national prevalence of overweight/obese of 31.1% [10]. The high prevalence compares to a cross-sectional study among health workers in Kenya that showed a prevalence of 58.8% for overweight/obesity[11]. Similarly, the findings compares with those of an urban population in a study in Southwest Iran that reported the total prevalence of obesity and overweight as 26.5% and 38.7%, respectively[20].

The prevalence of abdominal obesity as measured by WHtR was 79.8%, WC was 74% and WHR was 32%. Our findings are similar to those of a study done in Tunisia (Traissac et al., 2015) which showed a much higher prevalence of abdominal than total obesity at 60% and 37% respectively in the same study population. On the contrary, studies measuring abdominal obesity using WC only in Southwest Iran and Southeast Ethiopia recorded a prevalence of 28.6% and 39.01% respectively, much lower than the prevalence from our study (Ghaderian et al., 2019), (Tekalegn et al., 2022). In a meta-analysis study in Ethiopia, the pooled prevalence of abdominal obesity was 37.7% (Tegegne et al., 2022). Our study measured abdominal (central) obesity using three parameters; most studies reviewed had used WC.

Comparing overall overweight/obesity prevalence using BMI and abdominal/central obesity using WHtR, WC and WHR, WHtR yielded the highest prevalence of obesity, followed by WC, then BMI, with WHR recording the lowest. Our findings are consistent with those of a study in similar settings involving adults from informal settlements in Kenya which documented a much higher prevalence of abdominal obesity by WC of 52% compared to total obesity of 20% by BMI [21]. BMI is a commonly used measure of obesity in low income settings despite its limitation in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

distinguishing between different body shapes/composition [22]. Previous studies among adults in urban Kenya[21] and urban Bissau in West Africa [23] have shown that individuals with normal weight may have an increased abdominal fat resulting in normal-weight central adiposity [21, 23] defined normal BMI (18.5 to 24.9 kg/m^2) with abdominal obesity. Normal-weight central obesity is associated with higher risk of cardiovascular mortality compared to total obesity [24, 25]. Further studies to indicate the magnitude and role of normal-weight abdominal obesity in predicting cardiovascular risks in the current setting are recommended. Our findings also point to need to incorporate the other parameters in addition to BMI for detection and subsequent prevention and management of obesity.

Male participants had reduced odds of total obesity and abdominal obesity by both WC and WHtR compared to females in this study. These findings compare to those from a study with a nationally representative sample from Kenya that showed higher prevalence's of overall and abdominal obesity in females than males[10]. Similarly, the finding is consistent with that of other studies in Ethiopia[26, 27], Malawi [28] and South Africa[29] that reported increased risk of obesity among women. Previous studies have attempted to attribute this finding to the increased pregnancies[30] and multiparous [31] nature of most women in low income settings. In addition, most men tend to engage in physically demanding and manual occupations that prevent weight gain and reduces their risk of obesity [32, 33]. Body image and societal beauty standards on women have pushed some women to desire to be heavier to feel more attractive[34]. A study in Ghana reported that 6% of women desired to be heavier, and tried to gain weight by consuming more calorie dense food[31]. Hormonal changes in women due to use of hormonal contraceptives also predispose them to obesity [35]. Moreover, postprandial lipemia, which reflects the body's metabolism of lipids, is reportedly more among women who use oral contraceptives[36], increasing their risk for obesity. These factors may have contributed the high rates of obesity among women in this study; however, future studies to assess the extent to which the factors contribute to obesity among low-income females in Kenya are needed.

Participants who attended school had increased odds of obesity compared to those who had never been to school. The odds of obesity increased with education level. Studies in low-income settings exposed to economic modernization have reported similar findings [35, 37]. However, in high-income countries, the educated are less likely to be obese[33]. Educational attainment raises the socioeconomic status of a person and with it come changes in working conditions and income levels. The educated are likely to adopt sedentary lifestyles and changes in dietary practices. The educated and wealthy tend to consume obesogenic foods that are usually processed, fat-saturated and sugary. These calorie-dense foods are mainly affordable to high income earners compared to the low-income households in Africa[37].This may help explain the association between high-income level and obesity in this study.

This study found that increasing age was significantly associated with higher odds of total obesity, consistent with other studies in similar settings which have reported increased risk of obesity among older adults [11, 37, 38]. Ageing leads to body composition changes, increasing fat mass and decreasing muscle mass [39] and overall metabolism, that limit movement and activity, increasing risk of obesity.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

This study found that higher monthly income was associated with increased odds of being obese. Similarly, studies conducted in Ethiopia revealed that respondents who were of a better economic status were more likely to be obese compared to those of low economic status [26, 40]. The increased risk could be attributed to access to sugary and fatty diet together with a sedentary lifestyle among people with better social-economic status. However, previous studies have documented contradictory observations on the relationship between obesity and income in high and low income countries. Studies show that obesity increases with income in low income countries[41, 42] while in high-income countries social economic status is inversely associated with obesity [42, 43]. The mechanisms behind these differences is not well understood with studies suggesting that a combination of several factors to include social, cultural, psychological and biological factors play a role [41]. Further studies to investigate the causal relationship between income and obesity are needed.

Our study found smokers had reduced odds of total obesity (at bivariate) and abdominal obesity compared to non-smokers. The finding is consistent with studies done in Kenya [13], Malawi[28], Burkina Faso [44] and South-Africa [45], that showed negative associations between BMI and smoking. Similarly, previous studies showed current smokers had lower waist circumference compared to nonsmokers [46, 47]. Two possible mechanism through which cigarette smoking can lead to reduced weight /adiposity include; the effect of nicotine, which increases energy expenditure in short-term and inhibit the expected compensatory increase in caloric intake, and smoking being a behavioral alternative to eating, resulting in decreased food intake [48, 49].

The strengths of the study are in the large community based sample and the use of more than one metric to estimate obesity in the same population. However, the study has some limitations because the data on behavioral factors were self-reported, collected retrospectively and thus liable to reporting, and recall bias. Similarly, the study utilized cross-sectional design and therefore a causal relationship between the outcome and predictor variables cannot be inferred. Nevertheless, we believe our estimates represent the epidemiology of abdominal and total obesity among the low-income adults in Kiambu County and provides basis for targeted interventions to address the high obesity among urban poor and future studies.

Conclusion

The prevalence of total obesity and abdominal obesity was high in the population. Public health strategies focusing on healthy diet, physical activity, weight reduction, and maintenance strategies are urgently needed among low-income adults.

Acknowledgement

Our special thanks go to the participants in this study for their invaluable contributions to this study. We thank the community health workers in Juja and Ruiru who conducted the data collection exercise. We also thank the Sub-county management teams and specifically the community strategy coordinators in the two sub-counties; Ms. Ann Wamaitha Mwangi and Ms. Njoki Mwaura, as well as the Community health assistants in the participating wards for their immense support during the data collection exercise.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

References.

1. Dai H, Alsalhe TA, Chalghaf N, Riccò M, Bragazzi NL, Wu J. The global burden of disease attributable to high body mass index in 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: An analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study. PLoS medicine. 2020;17(7):e1003198. Epub 20200728. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003198. PubMed PMID: 32722671; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7386577.
2. World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight Geneva2021 [cited 2023 28 May]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight.
3. Shekar M, Popkin B. Obesity: health and economic consequences of an impending global challenge: World Bank Publications; 2020.
4. Powell-Wiley TM, Poirier P, Burke LE, Després J-P, Gordon-Larsen P, Lavie CJ, et al. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2021;143(21):e984-e1010.
5. Banjare J, Bhalerao S. Obesity associated noncommunicable disease burden. International Journal of Health & Allied Sciences. 2016;5(2):81-.
6. Koliaki C, Liatis S, Kokkinos A. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: revisiting an old relationship. Metabolism. 2019;92:98-107.
7. Yadav R, Aggarwal S, Singh A. SARS-CoV-2-host dynamics: Increased risk of adverse outcomes of COVID-19 in obesity. Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews. 2020;14(5):1355-60.
8. Ford ND, Patel SA, Narayan KV. Obesity in low-and middle-income countries: burden, drivers, and emerging challenges. Annual review of public health. 2017;38:145-64.
9. Agyemang C, Boatemaa S, Frempong GA, de-Graft Aikins A. Obesity in sub-saharan Africa. Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015; 2015.
10. Mkuu R, Barry A, Yonga G, Nafukho F, Wernz C, Gilreath T, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with overweight and obesity in Kenya. Preventive Medicine Reports. 2021;22:101340.
11. Ondicho Z, Omondi D, Onyango A. Prevalence and socio-demographic factors associated with overweight and obesity among healthcare workers in Kisumu East Sub-County, Kenya. 2016.
12. Mkuu RS, Epnere K, Chowdhury MAB. Peer reviewed: prevalence and predictors of overweight and obesity among Kenyan women. Preventing chronic disease. 2018;15.
13. Pengpid S, Peltzer K. The prevalence and associated factors of underweight and overweight/obesity among adults in Kenya: Evidence from a national cross-sectional community survey. Pan African Medical Journal. 2020;36(1).
14. Ashwell M, Gunn P, Gibson S. Waist-to-height ratio is a better screening tool than waist circumference and BMI for adult cardiometabolic risk factors: systematic review and meta-analysis. Obesity reviews. 2012;13(3):275-86.
15. Yoo EG. Waist-to-height ratio as a screening tool for obesity and cardiometabolic risk. Korean J Pediatr. 2016;59(11):425-31. Epub 20161118. doi: 10.3345/kjp.2016.59.11.425. PubMed PMID: 27895689; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5118501.
16. Lee CMY, Huxley RR, Wildman RP, Woodward M. Indices of abdominal obesity are better discriminators of cardiovascular risk factors than BMI: a meta-analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2008;61(7):646-53. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.08.012.
17. World Health Organization. Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio: report of a WHO expert consultation, Geneva, 8-11 December 2008. 2011.
18. World Health Organization. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. 2000.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

19. Alberti G, Zimmet P, Shaw J, Grundy SM. The IDF consensus worldwide definition of the metabolic syndrome. Brussels: International Diabetes Federation. 2006;23(5):469-80.

20. Ghaderian SB, Yazdanpanah L, Shahbazian H, Sattari AR, Latifi SM, Sarvandian S. Prevalence and correlated factors for obesity, overweight and central obesity in southwest of Iran. Iranian journal of public health. 2019;48(7):1354.

21. Mohamed SF, Haregu TN, Khayeka-Wandabwa C, Muthuri SK, Kyobutungi C. Magnitude and predictors of normal-weight central obesity- the AWI-Gen study findings. Global health action. 2019;12(1):1685809. doi: 10.1080/16549716.2019.1685809. PubMed PMID: 31694493; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6844381.

22. Frankenfield DC, Rowe WA, Cooney RN, Smith JS, Becker D. Limits of body mass index to detect obesity and predict body composition. Nutrition. 2001;17(1):26-30.

23. Turé R, Damasceno A, Djicó M, Lunet N. Prevalence of Underweight, Overweight and Obesity among Adults in Urban Bissau, Western Africa. Nutrients. 2021;13(12). Epub 20211123. doi: 10.3390/nu13124199. PubMed PMID: 34959751; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8707413.

24. Sahakyan KR, Somers VK, Rodriguez-Escudero JP, Hodge DO, Carter RE, Sochor O, et al. Normal-Weight Central Obesity: Implications for Total and Cardiovascular Mortality. Annals of internal medicine. 2015;163(11):827-35. Epub 20151110. doi: 10.7326/m14-2525. PubMed PMID: 26551006; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4995595.

25. Coutinho T, Goel K, Corrêa de Sá D, Carter RE, Hodge DO, Kragelund C, et al. Combining body mass index with measures of central obesity in the assessment of mortality in subjects with coronary disease: role of "normal weight central obesity". Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2013;61(5):553-60.

26. Tegegne KD, Wagaw GB, Gebeyehu NA, Yirdaw LT, Shewangashaw NE, Mekonen NA, et al. Prevalence of central obesity and associated factors in Ethiopia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2022;13. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.983180.

27. Molla MD, Wolde HF, Atnafu A. Magnitude of Central Obesity and its Associated Factors Among Adults in Urban Areas of Northwest Ethiopia. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2020;13:4169-78. Epub 20201106. doi: 10.2147/dmso.S279837. PubMed PMID: 33192079; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7654528.

28. Msyamboza KP, Kathyola D, Dzowela T. Anthropometric measurements and prevalence of underweight, overweight and obesity in adult Malawians: nationwide population based NCD STEPS survey. Pan African Medical Journal. 2013;15(1).

29. Case A, Menendez A. Sex differences in obesity rates in poor countries: Evidence from South Africa. Economics & Human Biology. 2009;7(3):271-82. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ehb.2009.07.002.

30. Chigbu C, Aja L. Obesity in pregnancy in southeast Nigeria. Annals of medical and health sciences research. 2011;1(2):135-40.

31. Benkeser R, Biritwum R, Hill A. Prevalence of overweight and obesity and perception of healthy and desirable body size in urban, Ghanaian women. Ghana medical journal. 2012;46(2):66-75.

32. Pujilestari CU, Nyström L, Norberg M, Weinehall L, Hakimi M, Ng N. Socioeconomic inequality in abdominal obesity among older people in Purworejo District, Central Java, Indonesia – a decomposition analysis approach. International Journal for Equity in Health. 2017;16(1):214. doi: 10.1186/s12939-017-0708-6.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

33. Wardle J, Waller J, Jarvis MJ. Sex differences in the association of socioeconomic status with obesity. American journal of public health. 2002;92(8):1299-304.

34. Pradeilles R, Holdsworth M, Olaitan O, Irache A, Osei-Kwasi HA, Ngandu CB, et al. Body size preferences for women and adolescent girls living in Africa: a mixed-methods systematic review. Public health nutrition. 2022;25(3):738-59.

35. Mangemba NT, San Sebastian M. Societal risk factors for overweight and obesity in women in Zimbabwe: a cross-sectional study. BMC public health. 2020;20(1):1-8.

36. Petto J, Vasques LMR, Pinheiro RL, Giesta BdA, Santos ACNd, Gomes Neto M, et al. Comparison of Postprandial Lipemia between Women who are on Oral Contraceptive Methods and Those who are not. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia. 2014;103.

37. Ajayi IO, Adebamowo C, Adami H-O, Dalal S, Diamond MB, Bajunirwe F, et al. Urban–rural and geographic differences in overweight and obesity in four sub-Saharan African adult populations: a multi-country cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2016;16(1):1126. doi: 10.1186/s12889-016-3789-z.

38. Chibuike Ogwuegbu C, Klaus GP, Uzochukwu UA, Ursula B. Prevalence and sociodemographic determinants of adult obesity: a large representative household survey in a resource-constrained African setting with double burden of undernutrition and overnutrition. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health. 2018;72(8):702. doi: 10.1136/jech-2018-210573.

39. Sakuma K, Yamaguchi A. Sarcopenic obesity and endocrinal adaptation with age. International journal of endocrinology. 2013;2013.

40. Tekalegn Y, Solomon D, Sahiledengle B, Assefa T, Negash W, Tahir A, et al. Prevalence of central obesity and its associated risk factors among adults in Southeast Ethiopia: A community-based cross-sectional study. PloS one. 2022;17(8):e0265107.

41. Ameye H, Swinnen J. Obesity, income and gender: the changing global relationship. Global Food Security. 2019;23:267-81.

42. Dinsa GD, Goryakin Y, Fumagalli E, Suhrcke M. Obesity and socioeconomic status in developing countries: a systematic review. Obesity reviews. 2012;13(11):1067-79.

43. Kim TJ, von dem Knesebeck O. Income and obesity: what is the direction of the relationship? A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ open. 2018;8(1):e019862.

44. Kaboré S, Millogo T, Soubeiga JK, Lanou H, Bicaba B, Kouanda S. Prevalence and risk factors for overweight and obesity: a cross-sectional countrywide study in Burkina Faso. BMJ Open. 2020;10(11):e032953. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032953.

45. Nglazi MD, Ataguba JE-O. Overweight and obesity in non-pregnant women of childbearing age in South Africa: subgroup regression analyses of survey data from 1998 to 2017. BMC public health. 2022;22(1):395. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-12601-6.

46. Clair C, Chiolero A, Faeh D, Cornuz J, Marques-Vidal P, Paccaud F, et al. Dose-dependent positive association between cigarette smoking, abdominal obesity and body fat: cross-sectional data from a population-based survey. BMC public health. 2011;11:1-10.

47. Matsushita Y, Nakagawa T, Yamamoto S, Takahashi Y, Noda M, Mizoue T. Associations of smoking cessation with visceral fat area and prevalence of metabolic syndrome in men: the Hitachi health study. Obesity. 2011;19(3):647-51.

48. Audrain-McGovern J, Benowitz N. Cigarette smoking, nicotine, and body weight. Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2011;90(1):164-8.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.08.23293819; this version posted August 13, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

49. Chiolero A, Faeh D, Paccaud F, Cornuz J. Consequences of smoking for body weight, body fat distribution, and insulin resistance1. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2008;87(4):801-9. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/87.4.801.