Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

- 1 Title: Early Sport Specialization Impact on Rates of Injury in Collegiate and Professional Sport
- 2 Participation: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis
- 3 Authors:
- 4 Bahman Adlou • 5 • Auburn University, 301 Wire Rd, Auburn, (AL), United States; School of Kinesiology 6 7 o bza0058@auburn.edu 8 Wendi Weimar • 9 Auburn University, 301 Wire Rd, Auburn, (AL), United States; School of 0 Kinesiology 10 Christopher Wilburn 11 • Auburn University, 301 Wire Rd, Auburn, (AL), United States; School of 12 0 Kinesiology 13 14 Alan Wilson o Auburn University, 203 Swingle Hall, Auburn, (AL), United States; School of 15 16 Fisheries, Aquaculture & Aquatic Sciences 17 Lead and Corresponding Author: Bahman Adlou; email: <u>bahadlooman@gmail.com</u> (cc email: bza0058@auburn.edu, if applicable) 18 19 **ORCID**: Bahman Adlou: 0000-0001-6912-1626. Not available for contributing authors. Word count: 3187 20

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

21 Abstract

22 Early sport specialization (ESS) is common among adolescent athletes due to desire of reaching elite 23 status; however, the long-term impact of ESS on sports injury (SI) rates is not fully understood. We 24 performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature to evaluate the effect of ESS on SI rates 25 during elite sport participation. Search included PubMed, Web of Science, SPORTDiscus, EMBASE, 26 Cochrane, CINAHL, ERIC, and Medline databases. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews 27 and MetaAnalyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed to identify published peer-reviewed articles from 28 inception to 23 March 2023. Eligible studies reported numbers of elite athletes in collegiate, national, and 29 professional teams in ESS and their counterpart category, as well as the portion of each group with at least one SI. Studies on concussion or non-contact injuries, or without explicit injury reports during elite sprot 30 31 participation were excluded. Five articles were included in the review; 3 reported SIs on collegiate and 2 32 reported on professional athletes. A total of 3087 athletes were included (ESS = 45%). The overall effect 33 was not significant and demonstrated that ESS athletes had a lower odd (OR=0.7) of a SI during elite sport participation. Articles with clear reports are scarce, and thus limit the impact of the findings in this 34 35 study. High heterogeneity was evident in this analysis, largely due to lack of standardized terminology, 36 evaluation, and data representation. Prospective studies that consider diverse athlete population of the 37 same sport are warranted.

38 Keywords: multisport, adolescent, NCAA, athlete, sport-sampling, prevention, injury-rate

39

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

40 Introduction

- 41 Participating in organized sports (e.g., professional and school teams, traveling teams, city clubs, or off-
- 42 season camps sports) has become increasingly common among middle- and high school aged adolescents.
- 43 According to the National Federation of State High School Associations, the number of adolescent
- 44 athletes in the United States (U.S.) grew by nearly one million over the last decade, reaching to
- 45 approximately 7.8 million adolescent athletes[1]. For many of these young athletes, there is pressure from
- their peers, parents, and coaches to focus on one sport[2–5]. This sport participation pattern is commonly
- 47 referred to as *early sports specialization* (ESS) in the literature [6,7], which is defined as year-round
- 48 single-sport participation at the exclusion of other sports from a young age[8,9]. Although sport
- 49 participation comes with numerous benefits, such as improved physical fitness and social development
- 50 especially for adolescents, ESS reduces unstructured and free play [10], with evidence indicating that it
- 51 increases rates of overuse injuries, burnouts, and even withdrawal from the sport[11,12].
- 52 The increasing pressure on adolescent athletes for ESS in the U.S. is fueled in part by the popular belief
- that ESS leads to increased success with scholarship attainment at collegiate level, reaching elite athletic
- 54 status (e.g., national team), receiving endorsement and sponsorships, or signing professional sports
- contracts[2–5]. However, there is evidence that suggests this may not be the case[13,14], and the potential
- 56 negative consequences of ESS including increased risk of injury outweigh the benefits[15]. This concern
- 57 has prompted involvement of governing bodies and sports science and medicine professionals to educate
- the public on the risk-benefit ratio of ESS among adolescent athletes.
- 59 The American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine (AOSSM) published a consensus statement in
- 60 2016, which recommended that young athletes should delay specialization until at least late adolescence
- and should participate in multiple sports throughout childhood [10]. The American Medical Society for
- 62 Sports Medicine (AMSSM) Youth Early Sport Specialization Summit in 2018 expanded upon the
- AOSSM statement to define a research agenda for youth sport specialization in the U.S. [16], which
- 64 highlighted the need for further research to better understand the risks and benefits of ESS. A recent
- 65 comprehensive review found athletes with high sport specialization at an increased risk of sustaining an
- overuse injury compared with multisport and low sport specialized athletes, and concluded that ESS is
- associated with an increased risk of overuse and musculoskeletal injuries[17].
- Various studies have also investigated the long-term effects of ESS on injury rates, such as in collegiate
- 69 leagues. In a study on collegiate athletes, Post et al. (2017) found that those who specialized in their
- collegiate sport before the age of 12 had a significantly higher risk of lower extremity injuries compared
- to those who did not specialize early[18]. In another study, the authors analyzed sports specialization in

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

72 NCAA Division I athletes and found that single-sport-specialized athletes were at a greater risk of injury

than those who participated in multiple sports before the age of 12[17]. These findings suggest that ESS

could contribute to the increased risk of sports injuries in elite sport participation, including the collegiate,

75 national, or international leagues.

76 Overall, the literature suggests that ESS may lead to increased risk of sport injuries and does not

- necessarily increase the chances of achieving elite athletic status. We hypothesized that elite athletes who
- specialize in a single-sport early (ESS) present with a higher rate of injuries in their elite sport
- 79 participation (i.e., collegiate, professional, national/international level) than those who did not specialize
- 80 early. A comprehensive evaluation of the association between ESS and injury rates among athletes will
- 81 aid the informed group decision-making by the adolescent athlete and their parents and coaches before
- 82 committing to a single-sport participation at a young age. However, there is no quantitative analysis of the

83 peer-reviewed data to show if individuals who specialized in their sport early sustained injuries at a

greater rate than those who were not early specializers. Therefore, in this systematic review and meta-

analysis, we quantitively evaluate the impact of ESS on injury rates in a sample of collegiate, national,

and professional athletes.

87 Methods

88 Search Strategy and selection criteria

89 A systematic search was performed in accordance with updated Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic 90 Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines [19]. The electronic search was executed by two 91 independent reviewers (BA, WW) through the following databases: Web of Science, Cochrane, PubMed, 92 EMBASE, and ESBSCO-hosted registries, including SPORTDiscus, Cumulative Index to Nursing and 93 Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC), and Medline 94 from inception until 23 March 2023. The search query was prepared by the lead author (BA) using 95 Boolean operators in the following format: (("sport specialization" OR "sport specialisation" OR vear-96 round OR single?sport OR multi?sport OR sport?sampling) AND (young OR youth OR pediatric OR high?school OR child* OR adolescen*) AND (injur* OR sport?injury OR injury risk) AND (elite OR pro 97 98 OR professional OR collegiate OR college OR league OR national OR Olympic)).

- 99 Duplication and the eligibility criteria, including language and article type, were automatically filtered.
- 100 All remaining article titles and abstracts were independently screened for eligibility by two reviewers
- 101 (BA, WW). Full texts of all potentially eligible articles were retrieved, or requested where necessary, for
- 102 independent eligibility criteria screening by each reviewer. Articles that met the following eligibility
- 103 criteria were included in this review and meta-analysis:

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

104 Inclusion Criteria

- 105 Articles published in English or Farsi languages. 106 Included athlete non-contact musculoskeletal injury quantities during elite sport participation. • 107 Reports of any injury types that resulted in permanent or temporary (>3-day) cease of sport • 108 participation. 109 Clear statement of *elite sport status*, defined by the athlete participating in any of the following • 110 leagues worldwide: collegiate, professional leagues, national leagues, and Olympics or other 111 world-stage competitions. Under-14 years old worldwide competition was not considered as elite 112 sport participation status. 113 Studies must include early sport specialization (ESS) definition matching one of the following • 114 throughout adolescence sport participating before elite status: participating in one sport 8+ month 115 vear-round; participating in one sport at the exclusion of others (i.e., single-sport participation), or used 3-point sport specializing criteria [20]. Non-early sport specialization (non-ESS) were those 116 groups in opposition of the above statements or defined as "multisport athletes" during 117 118 adolescence before elite status by the authors. 119 Early sport specialization age cut-off from 12 years old and older for both male and female • 120 athletes. 121 Exclusion Criteria 122 • Concussion and direct-contact injuries 123 Case studies, abstracts, and conference proceedings • 124 Combination of injury histories (rates or numbers) from non-elite sport participation for elite • 125 athletes and injury rates from elite sport participation (e.g., included injury quantities during 126 youth non-elite sports for the currently elite athletes). Sport specialization 3-point criteria [20] without comparable non-specialized group. 127 • 128 After full-text review independently by authors (BA, WW), studies in agreement by both reviewers were 129 included for analysis, and a third reviewer (CW) screened the studies that were marked eligible by only 130 one of the reviewers. Additionally, the third reviewer served as the tiebreaker for inclusion of studies
- 131 where necessary. All three reviewers (BA, WW, CW) collectively screened all other articles from
- 132 citations. To be included, studies had to present a clear total number of athletes in each group, ESS versus
- 133 late specializers (non-ESS), and the number of athletes from each group who reported at least one injury
- in their elite sport participation. A final list of included articles was aggregated (Microsoft Excel, v. 2303)
- through consensus for data extraction.

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

136 Data extraction

- 137 Data extraction was independently done by two reviewers (BA, WW). A systematic extraction form
- 138 (Microsoft Excel, v. 2303) was used for each article in full review to collect the following data along with
- the article info: (1) sample descriptions for each participant category (e.g., sample size and mean age
- across categories); (2) stage and type of elite sport participation (e.g., collegiate basketball or U.S.
- 141 National Football League); and (3) study method (e.g., retrospective survey or descriptive epidemiology
- study). Data categories included the number of athletes in each of the following four categories: early
- sport specialized, number of early sport specializers with injuries, late sport specialized, and the number
- 144 of late sport specializers with injuries. Reviewed article data in table format were transcribed manually,
- and data in figure plot format were transcribed using the updated version of a dedicated R Programming
- package [21]. Extracted data were compared and a final dataset was established for data analysis through
- 147 consensus by all three reviewers (BA, WW, CW).

148 *Primary outcome*

- 149 The primary outcome was to evaluate the impact of early sport specialization on long term rates of non-150 contact, sport injuries.
- 151 Data analysis

152 Natural logarithm of odds ratio (logOR) was used to calculate the effect size for each study, along with153 the variance as following:

- 154
- logOR: ln(A*D / B*C)
- 155

logOR variance: 1/A + 1/B + 1/C + 1/D

where A and C are the numbers of uninjured and injured ESS athletes, respectively, and B and D are the

numbers of uninjured and injured non-ESS athletes, respectively. A positive logOR (or an Odds ratio of

less than 1) indicates that more of those who specialized in sports early (ESS) suffered from injuries in

their elite sports participation compared to non-ESS. This model accounts for both within-study

- 160 variability and between-study heterogeneity.
- 161 The meta-analysis was performed using a weighted random-effects model with the restricted maximum
- 162 likelihood (REML) estimator [22]. The estimated tau-squared (τ^2) value was used as an indicator of the
- 163 extent of heterogeneity across the included studies. Additionally, the I^2 statistic was computed to assess
- the proportion of total variability attributable to heterogeneity. Q statistic was evaluated to assess the
- presence of heterogeneity, and its associated p-value was used to determine if the observed heterogeneity

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

- 166 is statistically significant (i.e., not likely due to chance alone). The model results were reported as the
- 167 estimated effect size (logOR), its standard error (SE), z-value, and p-value. The 95% confidence interval
- 168 (CI) for the effect size was calculated to provide a range of plausible values. All statistical analyses and
- 169 visualization were executed using RStudio (Posit Software. PBC, Build 386) via the *metafor* package (v.
- 170 4.2-0)[23].
- 171 Sensitivity analysis and Risk of Bias
- 172 To assess publication bias, Egger's test was performed[23]. Nonparametric (rank-based) trim-and-fill
- 173 method by Duval and Tweedie [24] was used to estimate the number of studies missing from the analysis
- due to suppression of the most extreme results on one side of the funnel plot (funnel plot asymmetry). To
- assess the robustness of the results, a leave-one-out sensitivity was performed. By repeating the meta-
- analysis multiple times and leaving out one study each time, the influence of single study on the overall
- 177 results was evaluated. Various influence measures can be used to assess the influence of individual studies
- 178 on the overall results of the meta-analysis and to check the robustness of the meta-analysis, the influence
- test was performed.
- 180 Result
- 181 Search Results
- 182 The search strategy resulted in 526 total studies. Seven additional studies were identified through other
- sources (Figure 1).

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes



184

185 Figure 1. Flowchart of study selection. Flowchart adapted from the PRISMA 2020 statement.

186 Following removal of articles for duplication, article type, and language criteria, a total of 247 potentially

- eligible studies remained for title and abstract screening, from which two hundred studies were removed
- before full-text screening per eligibility criteria. Of the remaining forty-seven, 13 studies were agreed
- upon by all three reviewers for data extraction. Where possible, corresponding authors to each study were
- 190 contacted and followed-up with to seek clarification or gain access to the dataset used in their studies. Of
- the 13 studies[5,25–36], five studies were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis due
- eligibility criteria or accessibility to data for analysis[5,25,29,30,34].

193 *Study characteristics*

- 194 A total of 3087 athletes from five studies were included in this study for analysis. Forty-five percent
- 195 (1396) of the athletes were identified as early sport specializers. Four studies reported on the sex of the
- athlete, which aggregated to 1989 males and 915 female athletes (Table 1).
- 197 Table 1. Summary Table of the athletes from the included studies; N = number of participants; ESS =
- 198 early sport specialized; non-ESS = non-early sport specialized.

Study Elite Total Male Female ESS N ESS	non- non-ESS
---	--------------

	status	Athletes N	Athletes N	Athletes N		Injured N	ESS N	Injured N
Ahlquist et	NCAA DI	183	61	141	99	45	84	32
al. (2020)								
Rugg et al.	NCAA DI	1550	1006	544	280	84	1270	452
(2021)								
Confino et	MLB	746	746	0	506	216	240	110
al. (2019)								
Rugg et al.	NBA	237	237	0	201	86	36	9
(2018)								
Sweeney et	NCAA DI	371	0	371	310	269	61	41
al. (2021)								
Total		3087	2050	1056	1396	700	1691	644

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

199 The average age for early sport specialization was 14.3 years old with a standard deviation of 0.5 for the

four studies that reported clear ESS age cut-off[5,25,30,34]. None of the studies were clinical trials or

201 prospective studies. Three of the included studies reported on National Collegiate American Association

202 (NCAA) Division I sport participation[5,25,30]; one reported on professional baseball sport participation

203 (Major League Baseball in the U.S., or MLB) [29]; and the remaining study reported on professional

204 men's basketball (National Basketball Association in the U.S., or NBA) sport participation[34]. No

205 further descriptive statistics or sub-analysis was possible due to inconsistencies in reporting the athlete

206 characteristics in the included studies.

207 Meta-analysis

208 A random-effects model was fitted to the five studies to estimate the overall effect of sports specialization

209 on the odds of suffering from injury using the REML method. The estimated overall log odds ratio

210 (logOR) is -0.314 with a standard error of 0.272 and the 95% confidence interval (CI) of -0.848, 0.22

211 (Figure 2).

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

Forest Plot of Random-Effects Meta-Analysis



212

213 Figure 2. Forest plot of a random-effects meta-analysis of 5 studies examining the effect of early sports 214 specialization on the odds ratio of non-contact injuries among elite athletes. The plot displays the 215 individual study estimates and their corresponding confidence intervals, as well as the overall effect 216 estimate. An overall effect of <1 indicates that those who specialize in their elite sport earlier had a lower 217 odd (0.73) of being injured (as reported) compared to those who did not specialize in their sport early. 218 However, the results are not statistically significant. ESS = total number of athletes in the early sport 219 specialized group; ESS injury = number of ESS athletes who reported to have at least 1 injury during 220 elite sport participation; nonESS = total number of athletes in the non-early sport specialized group;

Adlou et al. (2023)_Meta-analysis 10

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

nonESS_injury = number of nonESS athletes who reported to have at least 1 injury during elite sportparticipation.

- 223 To facilitate the interpretation of the results, logOR and its confidence interval was back transformed to
- 224 odds ratios by taking the exponents such as following:
- 225 $Odds \ ratio = exp^{(logOR)}$
- 226 $Odds \ ratio \ 95\% \ CI = exp^{(Cl \ lower \ bound)} \ and \ exp^{(Cl \ upper \ bound)}$
- 227 Odds ratio (OR) and its 95% CI associated with the findings are 0.731 and 0.428 to 1.246, respectively.
- However, this result was not statistically significant (p = 0.249). The estimated amount of total
- heterogeneity (τ^2) is 0.297. The I² statistic is 85.43%, suggesting that a large proportion of the total
- variability is due to heterogeneity between studies rather than sampling variability. The test for
- heterogeneity is significant (Q = 21.868, p < 0.001), indicating that the heterogeneity is statistically
- significant among the studies.
- Funnel plot (Figure 3) graphical representations of the relationship between the effect size and the
- standard error of the effect size was executed.

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes



235

Figure 3. Funnel plot of the 5 studies included in the meta-analysis. The inverted funnel shape represents the expected distribution of studies in the absence of bias. Each point represents a study, with the horizontal axis showing the odds ratio and the vertical axis showing the standard error. The white area of the plot represents the values within the 95% confidence interval. Points falling outside of the confidence interval (represented by diagonal lines) may indicate potential publication bias or other small-study effects.

242 The trim-and-fill method did not impute any missing studies, and thus did not adjust the data. Egger's test of funnel plot asymmetry output was significant (z-value = -4.244, p-value < 0.001), which indicates 243 244 potential presence of publication bias. The leave-one-out sensitivity analysis showed that none of the 245 individual studies had a large influence on the overall results of our meta-analysis. Removing any one 246 study did not result in a statistically significant overall effect size estimate (i.e., all p-values > 0.05). 247 Influence analysis included studentized residuals, DFFITS values, Cook's distance values, and covariance 248 ratios. The results of this influence analysis showed that none of the individual studies had a large 249 influence on the overall results of our meta-analysis according to any of these measures. In summary, our 250 leave-one-out sensitivity and influence analysis suggest that our meta-analysis results are robust to the 251 influence of individual studies.

252 Discussion

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

253 The purpose of this systematic review was to assess the long-term impact of early sport specialization on 254 injury rates during sport participation in a sample of collegiate, national, and professional athletes. Early 255 sport specializers perform their sport-specific motor pattern excessively during their developmental stage 256 and commonly suffer from overuse injuries[10]. Such pattern could lead to overactive muscle groups, 257 while multisport and late sport specializers benefit from a more developed motor control, psychological, 258 and biomechanical performance [15,37]. Thus, we hypothesized that an elite athlete who specialized in 259 their sport from early adolescence would have a higher likelihood of suffering from a non-contact injury 260 compared to those who delayed sport specialization. While the results indicated fewer injuries in the ESS 261 cohort than non-ESS (OR=0.731, p-value > 0.05), the results were not statistically significant. There was 262 a high degree of heterogeneity among studies with evidence of publication bias, which suggest that the 263 true association between ESS and injury rates may be stronger than what was observed in the included 264 studies. All the included studies were nonclinical trial and retrospective, which could explain the high 265 degree of heterogeneity and publication bias in the results.

266 The risks and benefits surrounding ESS has been a discussion topic over the past few decades especially 267 in the U.S., and the rates and risks of injuries during adolescence is amply reported. Although injury rates 268 increase following an initial injury, the rates of non-contact sport injuries in collegiate or professional 269 sports participation cannot be directly assumed from the rates of injuries in adolescents. In very recent 270 years, however, limited peer-reviewed evidence has surfaced that evaluated early sport specialization 271 patterns of athletes and their sport participation at collegiate, national, or professional competitions (i.e., 272 elite sport participation), and to the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review with 273 quantitative analysis to assess their findings.

274 The notion among sport professionals supports delaying most sport specialization in adolescents to reduce

risks associated with ESS in most sports, especially in the absence of evidence that ESS is required to

achieve success at elite levels or improve task-related performance outcomes during adolescence

[8,10,14,15,17,38–40]. However, it is also necessary for an athlete to gain the sport-specific skills to excel

in their sports, and some athletes may require higher coach-led exposure hours than others to reach a

competitive status. Perhaps a compromise may be optimal, where for every main-sport coach-led

280 exposure hour, there is a predetermined unstructured and free-play agenda for those adolescent athletes.

281 This method may serve the sport-specific skill attainment required to excel at a sport, while allowing the

adolescent athlete to benefit from a nurturing and joyful environment.

283 Limitation

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

284 All the included studies are retrospective, which means they were not designed to test the causal 285 relationship between ESS and injury rates. Another limitation was that male and female athletes from the 286 same sport were not reported in the included studies. Additionally, the search strategy allowed for reports 287 on sports from all countries, yet, only U.S. sports teams were represented in this study due to lack of 288 published evidence, even though some sports are widely considered more represented and competitive in 289 other countries (e.g., football/soccer). Moreover, the heterogeneity of the study designs (e.g., survey-290 based or online databases were assessed, types and severity of injury were reported, recurrent versus first-291 time injury reports, etc.) and terminology used in this field is a limitation of this study, and there have 292 been attempts to unify the scientific community to use a single method of reporting the findings for early 293 sport specialization[6]. The level of expertise by athletes at the time of the injury rate report (e.g., 294 collegiate, adult professional, adult national and Olympians, etc.) could have also an impact on the 295 findings, but due to the limited number of studies and the sample size, a moderator analysis in this meta-

analysis was not meaningful.

297 Conclusion

- 298 Findings of this study suggest that there is no clear evidence that ESS increases the risk of injury in elite
- sport participation. It is important to note that the findings of this study do not mean that ESS is risk-free.
- 300 It is still possible for athletes who specialize in a single sport to experience injuries. Our review highlights
- the need for further prospective research to better understand the potential impact of early sport
- 302 specialization on the risks of non-contact injuries in elite sport participation. Future research should
- 303 clearly define their study design and report the data for future analysis and transparency. In addition, a
- 304 more equal representation of sports across different countries and genders for the same sports (e.g., men's
- 305 versus women's basketball), where applicable, is highly encouraged.

306 Author contributions

307 Bahman Adlou originated the study idea, created study design, search strategy, pooled studies, reviewed 308 them, extracted applicable data for analysis, carried out the statistical analysis procedure(s), visualized the 309 findings, and drafted the manuscript and confirmed the final version for submission. Dr. Wendi Weimar 310 helped with shaping the research question and confirmed the design, carried out the search strategy and 311 evaluated the pooled studies to aggregate potential studies to include in the systematic review and meta-312 analysis, was involved in the final consensus on the included data for the review, extracted the data for 313 further analysis, and provided valuable recommendations for the final manuscript draft. Dr. Christopher 314 Wilburn served as the tiebreaker for the included studies where applicable, was involved in the consensus 315 on included studies, helped with data extraction where necessary, and provided valuable

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

- recommendations for the final manuscript draft. Dr. Alan Wilson helped with the research design,
- reviewed the statistical analysis and helped in confirming the optimal analysis and the visualization of the
- data, and provided valuable recommendations for the final manuscript draft. All authors read and
- approved this final version of the manuscript and agree with the order of presentation of the authors.

320 Acknowledgment

- 321 Special thanks to Auburn University Librarians for providing guidance over the comprehensive search.
- 322 The authors declare that they have no competing interests. All data generated or analyzed during this
- study are included in this published article. R language script used for analysis is included in the
- 324 submitted supplementary materials. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding
- 325 agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

326 **References**

- High School Participation Survey Archive [Internet]. [cited 2023 Apr 10]. Available from: https://www.nfhs.org/sports-resource-content/high-school-participation-survey-archive
- 2. Parker LT, LaBotz M. Sport specialization in young athletes. JAAPA. 2020;33(1):47–50.
- Post E, Rosenthal M, Rauh M. Attitudes and Beliefs towards Sport Specialization, College
 Scholarships, and Financial Investment among High School Baseball Parents. SPORTS. 2019
 Dec;7(12).
- Post E, Rosenthal M, Root H, Rauh M. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Beliefs of Parents of Youth
 Basketball Players Regarding Sport Specialization and College Scholarship Availability.
 ORTHOPAEDIC JOURNAL OF SPORTS MEDICINE. 2021 Aug;9(8).
- Rugg CM, Coughlan MJ, Li JN, Hame SL, Feeley BT. Early Sport Specialization Among Former
 National Collegiate Athletic Association Athletes: Trends, Scholarship Attainment, Injury, and
 Attrition. Am J Sports Med. 2021 Mar 1;49(4):1049–58.
- Bell D, Snedden T, Biese K, Nelson E, Watson A, Brooks A, et al. Consensus Definition of Sport
 Specialization in Youth Athletes Using a Delphi Approach. JOURNAL OF ATHLETIC TRAINING.
 2021 Nov;56(11):1239–51.
- Mosher A, Fraser-Thomas J, Baker J. What Defines Early Specialization: A Systematic Review of Literature. Frontiers in Sports and Active Living [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2023 Mar 10];2. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fspor.2020.596229
- Jayanthi N, Pinkham C, Dugas L, Patrick B, Labella C. Sports specialization in young athletes:
 evidence-based recommendations. Sports Health. 2013 May;5(3):251–7.
- Myer GD, Jayanthi N, Difiori JP, Faigenbaum AD, Kiefer AW, Logerstedt D, et al. Sport
 Specialization, Part I: Does Early Sports Specialization Increase Negative Outcomes and Reduce the
 Opportunity for Success in Young Athletes? Sports Health. 2015 Sep 1;7(5):437–42.

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

350 1 351	10.	LaPrade RF, Agel J, Baker J, Brenner JS, Cordasco FA, Côté J, et al. AOSSM Early Sport Specialization Consensus Statement. Orthop J Sports Med. 2016 Apr;4(4):2325967116644241.
352 1 353 354	11.	DiFiori JP, Benjamin HJ, Brenner JS, Gregory A, Jayanthi N, Landry GL, et al. Overuse injuries and burnout in youth sports: a position statement from the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine. Br J Sports Med. 2014 Feb;48(4):287–8.
355 1 356 357	12.	Stockbower K, Howell D, Seehusen C, Dahab K, Walker G. Association Between Sport Specialization, Athlete Burnout, And Past Injury In High School Athletes. MEDICINE AND SCIENCE IN SPORTS AND EXERCISE. 2020 Jul;52(17):459–459.
358 1 359	13.	Boccia G, Cardinale M, Brustio PR. World-Class Sprinters' Careers: Early Success Does Not Guarantee Success at Adult Age. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2021 Mar 1;16(3):367–74.
360 1 361 362	14.	Barth M, Güllich A, Macnamara BN, Hambrick DZ. Predictors of Junior Versus Senior Elite Performance are Opposite: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Participation Patterns. Sports Med. 2022 Jun 1;52(6):1399–416.
363 1 364	15.	Mostafavifar AM, Best TM, Myer GD. Early sport specialisation, does it lead to long-term problems? Br J Sports Med. 2013 Nov 1;47(17):1060–1.
365 1 366 367	16.	DiFiori JP, Quitiquit C, Gray A, Kimlin EJ, Baker R. Early Single Sport Specialization in a High- Achieving US Athlete Population: Comparing National Collegiate Athletic Association Student- Athletes and Undergraduate Students. J Athl Train. 2019 Oct;54(10):1050–4.
368 1 369 370 371 372	17.	Bell DR, Post EG, Biese K, Bay C, Valovich McLeod T. Sport Specialization and Risk of Overuse Injuries: A Systematic Review With Meta-analysis. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2018 Sep 1 [cited 2023 Jan 22];142(3). Available from: https://publications.aap.org/pediatrics/article/142/3/e20180657/38602/Sport-Specialization-and- Risk-of-Overuse-Injuries
373 1 374 375	18.	Post E, Thein-Nissenbaum J, Stiffler M, Brooks M, Bell D. High School Sport Specialization Patterns of Current Division I Athletes. SPORTS HEALTH-A MULTIDISCIPLINARY APPROACH. 2017 Mar;9(2):148–53.
376 1 377	19.	Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021 Mar 29;372:n71.
378 2 379 380	20.	Jayanthi NA, LaBella CR, Fischer D, Pasulka J, Dugas LR. Sports-Specialized Intensive Training and the Risk of Injury in Young Athletes: A Clinical Case-Control Study. Am J Sports Med. 2015 Apr 1;43(4):794–801.
381 2 382 383	21.	Pick JL, Nakagawa S, Noble DWA. Reproducible, flexible and high-throughput data extraction from primary literature: The metaDigitise R package [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2018 [cited 2023 Apr 16]. p. 247775. Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/247775v3
384 2 385	22.	Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses The BMJ [Internet]. [cited 2023 Apr 12]. Available from: https://www.bmj.com/content/327/7414/557
386 2 387	23.	Viechtbauer W. Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the metafor Package. Journal of Statistical Software. 2010 Aug 5;36:1–48.

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

388 24. Duval S. The Trim and Fill Method. In: Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2005 [cited 2023 Apr 26]. p. 127–44. Available from: 389 390 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/0470870168.ch8 391 Ahlquist S, Cash BM, Hame SL. Associations of Early Sport Specialization and High Training 25. Volume With Injury Rates in National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I Athletes. Orthop J 392 393 Sports Med. 2020 Mar 12;8(3):2325967120906825. Buckley PS, Bishop M, Kane P, Ciccotti MC, Selverian S, Exume D, et al. Single sport 394 26. 395 specialization in youth sports: A survey of 3,090 high school, collegiate, and professional athletes. 396 Orthop J Sports Med [Internet]. 2017;5(7). Available from: 397 https://www.embase.com/search/results?subaction=viewrecord&id=L623188505&from=export 398 27. Sheppard M, Nicknair J, Goetschius J. Early Sport Specialization and Subjective Hip and Groin 399 Dysfunction in Collegiate Ice Hockey Athletes. Journal of Athletic Training. 2020 Mar 1;55(3):232-400 7. 401 28. Wilhelm A, Choi C, Deitch J. Early Sport Specialization: Effectiveness and Risk of Injury in 402 Professional Baseball Players. Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine. 2017 Sep 403 1;5(9):2325967117728922. 404 29. Confino J, Irvine JN, O'Connor M, Ahmad CS, Lynch TS. Early Sports Specialization Is Associated 405 With Upper Extremity Injuries in Throwers and Fewer Games Played in Major League Baseball. 406 Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine. 2019 Jul 1;7(7):2325967119861101. 407 30. Sweeney E, Howell DR, Seehusen CN, Tilley D, Casey E. Health outcomes among former female 408 collegiate gymnasts: the influence of sport specialization, concussion, and disordered eating. The 409 Physician and Sportsmedicine. 2021 Oct 2;49(4):438-44. 410 Biese KM, Winans M, Fenton AN, Hernandez M, Schaefer DA, Bell DR. High School Sport 31. 411 Specialization and Injury in Collegiate Club-Sport Athletes. J Athl Train. 2021 Dec;56(12):1271–7. 412 Xiao M, Lemos JL, Hwang CE, Sherman SL, Safran MR, Abrams GD. High Specialization among 32. 413 Female Youth Soccer Players Is Associated with an Increased Likelihood of Serious Injury. 414 Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2021 Oct;53(10):2086. 415 Ross KA, Fried JW, Bloom DA, Gonzalez-Lomas G. The effect of specialization and level of 33. 416 competition on injury in elite male ice hockey players. The Physician and Sportsmedicine. 2022 Jul 417 4;50(4):295-300. 418 34. Rugg C, Kadoor A, Feeley BT, Pandya NK. The Effects of Playing Multiple High School Sports on 419 National Basketball Association Players' Propensity for Injury and Athletic Performance. Am J Sports Med. 2018 Feb 1;46(2):402-8. 420 421 35. Steinl GK, Padaki AS, Irvine JN, Popkin CA, Ahmad CS, Lynch TS. The prevalence of high school 422 multi-sport participation in elite national football league athletes. The Physician and Sportsmedicine. 2021 Oct 2;49(4):476-9. 423 424 Buckley P, Ciccotti M, Bishop M, Kane P, Selverian S, Exume D, et al. Youth Single-Sport 36. 425 Specialization in Professional Baseball Players. ORTHOPAEDIC JOURNAL OF SPORTS 426 MEDICINE. 2020 Mar;8(3).

Running title: Early sport specialization impact on injury rates among elite athletes

- Kliethermes S, Marshall S, LaBella C, Watson A, Brenner J, Nagle K, et al. Defining a research agenda for youth sport specialisation in the USA: the AMSSM Youth Early Sport Specialization Summit. BRITISH JOURNAL OF SPORTS MEDICINE. 2021 Feb;55(3):135–43.
- 38. DiFiori J, Brenner J, Comstock D, Cote J, Gullich A, Hainline B, et al. Debunking early single sport
 specialisation and reshaping the youth sport experience: an NBA perspective. BRITISH JOURNAL
 OF SPORTS MEDICINE. 2017 Feb;51(3):142-U88.
- 433 39. Kliethermes SA, Nagle K, Côté J, Malina RM, Faigenbaum A, Watson A, et al. Impact of youth
 434 sports specialisation on career and task-specific athletic performance: a systematic review following
 435 the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine (AMSSM) Collaborative Research Network's
 436 2019 Youth Early Sport Specialisation Summit. Br J Sports Med. 2020 Feb 1;54(4):221–30.
- 40. Burwell M, DiSanti J, McLeod T. Early Sport Specialization in College Athletes and the Impact on
 Health-Related Quality of Life: A Critically Appraised Topic. JOURNAL OF SPORT
 REHABILITATION. 2022 Jul;31(5):645–50.

440