In preparation for:
BioRxiv

Welding fume exposure is associated with medial temporal lobe microstructural features resembling early Alzheimer disease

Eun-Young Lee[1], Juhee Kim[1], Janina Manzieri Prado-Rico[2], Guangwei Du[2], Mechelle M. Lewis[2,3], Lan Kong[4], Jeff D. Yanosky[4], Paul J. Eslinger[2], Byoung-Gwon Kim[5], Young-Seoub Hong[5], Richard B. Mailman[2,3], Xuemei Huang[2,3,6,7,8]

Running Head: Asymptomatic welders have medial temporal microstructural features resembling early Alzheimer disease

Department of Health Care and Science[1], Dong-A University, Busan, South-Korea;
Departments of Neurology[2], Pharmacology[3], Public Health Sciences[4], Radiology[6], Neurosurgery[7], and Kinesiology[8], Pennsylvania State University-Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey PA 17033, USA
Department of Preventive Medicine, College of Medicine[5], Dong-A University, Busan, South Korea

Corresponding Authors:
Eun-Young Lee enyoungee@dau.ac.kr
Xuemei Huang xuemei@psu.edu

Key Words: welding; Alzheimer's disease; medial temporal lobe; cortical thickness; diffusion tensor imaging; episodic memory

Word Count (Journal limits):

	Current	Journal allowed
Running Head:	117	
Abstract (words):	250	
Key words	6	
Main Text	6,062	7,000
Tables & Figures (number):	4 & 7	
References:	146	
Supplementary Materials	1	

Abstract

Introduction: Welding fumes contain a mixture of metals and are an epitype for environmental metal-related neurotoxicity. Past studies of welders focused largely on basal ganglia regions and their association with parkinsonism. This study evaluated medial temporal lobe (MTL) structures that play a key role in Alzheimer's disease-like pathology.

Methods: Exposure history and whole blood metal levels were obtained from subjects with/without a history of welding (42 welders; 31 controls). MTL regions of interest (ROI) (hippocampus, entorhinal and parahippocampal cortices) were assessed by morphologic (volume and cortical thickness) and diffusion tensor imaging [mean (MD), axial (AD), radial diffusivity (RD) and fractional anisotropy (FA)] metrics. Cognition was evaluated using standard neuropsychological tests.

Results: Welders had higher blood levels of Cu, Fe, K, Mn, Pb, Se, and Zn (p's<0.026) than controls. Welders had higher MD, AD, and RD in all MTL ROIs (p's<0.040) and lower FA in the entorhinal and parahippocampal cortices (p's<0.033) without significant morphologic differences. Welders also exhibited lower performance on processing/psychomotor speed, executive, and visuospatial function domains (p's<0.046). Greater welding years predicted lower parahippocampal FA (p=0.011), where greater short-term welding intensity (E90) predicted worse Symbol coding digit scores (p=0.019). Blood Mn and Cu levels demonstrated robust relationships with entorhinal diffusivity (p's<0.009) and story recall performance (p=0.003), respectively, throughout correlation, semi-parametric regression, and Bayesian kernel machine regression analyses.

Discussion: Welding fumes and related metal exposures are associated with MTL features of early Alzheimer's disease. Given the ubiquitous nature of metal exposure, future studies are warranted and may have public health implications.

Introduction

There is significant concern about health consequences of environmental exposure to toxic metals found in ambient air pollution including vehicle emissions, contaminated land, and occupation-related activities.[1-4] Essential metals can be neurotoxic in high dosages,[5,6] whereas non-essential metals can be neurotoxic even with low exposures.[7] Welding fumes contain a variety of both essential (e.g., Cu, Fe, Mn) and non-essential (e.g., Pb) metals that can cross the blood-brain barrier, accumulate in specific brain regions, and affect cellular functions.[7-9] Thus, welders have been an epitype human population for translational research of environmental metal exposure-related neurotoxicity.

Triggered by the early report of neurobehavioral disorders in Mn miners,[10] welding-related neurotoxicity studies had focused largely on Mn accumulation in the basal ganglia and its association with parkinsonism.[11,12] Thus, there has been a great deal of research into Mn neurotoxicity using cellular and animal models.[13-23] The clinical syndrome called manganism consists initially of reduced response speed, irritability, intellectual deficits, mood changes, and compulsive behaviors that progresses into more prominent, irreversible neurological dysfunction upon protracted exposure.[24-28] Because of some similarities to Parkinson's disease, these syndromes have been termed Mn-induced parkinsonism, although they are distinct clinically from sporadic Parkinson's disease.[29-32]

Alzheimer's disease is the most common age-related neurodegenerative disorder, comprising about 50-70% of dementia cases.[33] It is characterized pathologically by accumulations of β-amyloid plaques and tau tangles in the brain, with the most prominent neuronal damage noted in the hippocampus.[34,35] Although the major and early disease-related behavioral deficits entail learning/memory problems,[36] the disease also affects executive, attentional, and language functions[37] and progresses relentlessly. The clinical diagnosis of dementia is based on a substantial reduction from previous performance levels in more than one cognitive domain that sufficiently interferes with independent daily living.[38] At the time of clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease, hippocampal atrophy (representing significant neuronal loss) is apparent.[39] The recent approval of drugs like lecanemab may somewhat slow progression,[40,41] but they do not mitigate the widely recognized need to identify addressable causes of dementia.

Preclinical animal studies have shown that metal exposure (e.g., Cu, Mn, and Fe) in brain can lead to Alzheimer's disease-like Aβ production and cognitive impairment.[42-47] Epidemiological studies also reported higher plasma metal levels (e.g., Al, Cd, Cu, Fe, Hg, Pb, and Se) in Alzheimer's disease and related disorder (ADRD) populations.[48-53] Despite the basic science and epidemiological data, linking chronic life-long metal exposure to age-related neurodegenerative diseases in humans, individually or in subgroups, is challenging. This is due to the difficulty of ascertaining exposure accurately (both distant or cumulative), the long-latency of the diseases, and the fact that many early disease-related changes may be subtle and resemble normal aging.

These challenges motivated research that used brain MRI to gauge Alzheimer's disease-related early changes. For example, T1-weighted MR imaging has been utilized to estimate cortical thinning or volume loss that may reflect neuronal death.[54,55] Recent studies suggested distributed brain structures (*e.g.*, fornix, cingulum, entorhinal and parahippocampal cortices, posterior cingulate, and precuneus) may exhibit changes early in the Alzheimer's disease process.[56-58] Among these brain regions, medial temporal lobe (MTL) memory areas that include the

hippocampus and entorhinal and parahippocampal cortices may play a key role in Alzheimer's disease-related cognitive processes, particularly learning/memory.[59,60] Previous MRI studies reported MTL morphometric (*e.g.*, atrophy, shape) and diffusivity (reflecting microstructural integrity) changes in early-stage Alzheimer's disease or at-risk populations (*e.g.*, MCI; mild cognitive impairment) who subsequently converted to Alzheimer's disease.[61-63] Some reported entorhinal, rather than hippocampal, areas may better predict future Alzheimer's disease conversion.[63,64]

Previously, we and others have reported hippocampal differences in metabolite ratios, water molecule diffusivity, and volume[65-68] and learning/memory performance-decline in welders or other subjects with metal exposures.[69-72] We sought to understand better how welding fume exposure affects MTL structural metrics and cognition. Our overall hypothesis was that welding fume exposure is associated with MTL structural and cognitive changes as seen in early Alzheimer's disease. We tested three specific hypotheses: **H1**) Welders will have higher medial (MD), axial (AD), radial (RD) diffusivity, and lower fractional anisotropy (FA) values in MTL structures than controls; **H2**) Welders will have lower performance on processing/psychomotor speed, executive, and learning/memory tasks than controls; and **H3**) In welders, MTL diffusion features will link welding fume exposure to neurobehavioral measures. We also explored the effects of mixed-metal exposures in welding fumes on MTL structural and cognitive metrics using whole blood metal levels as surrogate markers.

Methods

Study subjects

Eighty subjects were recruited from central Pennsylvania (USA). Welders were defined as subjects who had welded at any point in their lifetime, whereas controls had no such history. Enrollment occurred between 2011-2013 and overlapped such that participants were recruited equally over this timeframe. All subjects answered negatively for past Parkinson's disease diagnosis or other neurological disorders and had Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson's disease Rating Scale[73] motor exam sub scores (UPDRS-III) < 15. Global cognition was measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment battery (MoCA). All subjects were male with a Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE) scores >24 or MoCA >19. Age and years of education also were acquired. Forty-two welders and 31 controls completed the MRI acquisition with good quality images (five welders and two controls were excluded). Written informed consent was obtained in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Penn State Hershey Internal Review Board.

Ascertainment of welding fume exposure and metal blood levels

We utilized established exposure questions[65] to estimate the following metrics: 1) recent exposure in the 90 days prior to the study visit including hours welding [$HrsW_{90}$ = (weeks worked) * (h/week) * (fraction of time worked related directly to welding) and E_{90} (an estimate of the cumulative exposure to welding fumes)], and 2) lifetime exposure including welding years [(YrsW=years spent welding during the subjects' life) and ELT (an estimate of cumulative exposure to welding fumes over the individual's life)].[65]

We obtained whole blood the morning of the study (ca. 0800 h), the same day that cognitive tests and brain MRI were obtained. After digestion, the samples were analyzed for trace minerals

140 including Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, Pb, Se, Sr, and Zn using the Thermo (Bremen, Germany)
141 Element 2 SF-ICP-MS equipped with a concentric glass nebulizer and Peltier-cooled glass
142 cyclonic spray chamber. Bulk mineral concentrations were determined by ICP-OES (Optical
143 Emission Spectrometry) analysis on the Thermo iCAP equipped with a polypropylene cyclonic
144 spray chamber at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA.[65]

145 ***Neuropsychological tests (NPTs) and scores***

146 Six neurobehavioral domains were examined: (1) processing/psychomotor speed; (2)
147 executive function; (3) language; (4) learning/memory; (5) visuospatial processing; and (6)
148 attention/working memory. Subtests from the *Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of*
149 *Neuropsychological Status (RBANS)*[74] were used to assess language, visuospatial processing, and
150 learning and memory. Processing/psychomotor speed, executive function, and attention/working
151 memory domains were evaluated using subtests from the *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale- Third*
152 *Edition* (*WAIS-III)*,[75] *Trail Making Tests (A and B)*,[76] *Stroop Test,*[77] *Delis-Kaplan Executive*
153 *Function System (D-KEFS)*,[78] and *Wechsler Memory Scale- Third Edition* (*WMS-III*).[79] Tests were
154 administered by an experienced examiner. Specific domains and tests follow.

155 ***Processing/psychomotor speed:*** During the *Symbol Search* subtest, subjects were
156 presented with a set of symbols and asked to report whether the provided set contained a particular
157 symbol. In the *Stroop-Word* test,[80] color words (e.g., "RED," "GREEN," and "BLUE") were
158 presented randomly in black ink. Subjects were asked to read the words as quickly as possible. In
159 the *Stroop-Color* test,[80] each item was written as "XXXX" printed either in red, green, or blue ink.
160 Subjects were asked to name the colors as quickly as possible. During the *Trail Making-A* test,
161 subjects were required to connect numbers from 1 to 25 consecutively on a worksheet as quickly
162 as possible.

163 ***Executive function:*** In the *Symbol-Digit Coding* subtest, subjects performed a timed task
164 to match symbols to designated numbers. During the *Stroop-Color-Word* subtest, the words "RED,"
165 "GREEN," and "BLUE" were printed in non-corresponding colors (e.g., the word "RED" printed
166 in green ink). Subjects were asked to name the ink color of the printed words. In the *Trail Making-*
167 *B*, subjects were required to connect numbers (from 1 to 13) and letters (from A to L) consecutively
168 in an alternating sequence (e.g., 1-A-2-B etc.). During the *Phonemic Fluency* subtest from *D-KEFS*,
169 subjects generated words beginning with a particular letter (e.g., "F") within one minute.

170 ***Language:*** In the *Picture Naming* test, subjects were asked to name 10 familiar objects.
171 During the *Semantic Fluency* test*,* subjects generated as many words within a restricted category
172 (fruits/vegetables or zoo animals) as possible within one minute.

173 ***Learning/memory:*** In the *List Learning* test, a non-organized list of 10 words was
174 presented orally and subjects immediately recalled the word list. Four learning trials were
175 performed where subjects were encouraged to recall the words in any order. During the *Delayed*
176 *List Recall* test, subjects recalled the same word list after ca. 20-min delay. For the *Immediate*
177 *Story Recall* test, subjects were presented with a short prose passage and immediately reproduced
178 the story they heard. In the *Delayed Story Recall* test, subjects recalled the story after ca. 20-min
179 delay. For the *Delayed Figure Recall* test, subjects reproduced a figure after a ca. 20-min delay.

180 ***Visuospatial processing:*** This was evaluated with *Line Orientation* and *Figure Copy*
181 subtests from the *RBANS.* In the *Line Orientation test,* subjects were presented with a pair of angled

lines and asked to match them to two numbered lines on the display. During the *Figure Copy* subtest, subjects copied a complex figure.

Attention/working memory: In the *Digit Span* test, subjects orally were presented with a series of increasing digits, and asked to recite the digits in the presented or reversed order. In the *Spatial Span* test, a three-dimensional board with ten blocks was used and a series of increasing blocks were presented in a specific, predetermined pattern. Subjects were asked to reproduce the same pattern by pointing to the blocks in forward or reverse order. In the *Letter-Number Sequencing* test, subjects orally were presented with mixed sequences of digits and letters and asked to reproduce the digits in ascending order, followed by the letters in alphabetical order.

Individual raw neuropsychological test scores were converted to age-adjusted norm scores (e.g., T-scores or scaled scores). In order to unify these scores, the normative scores then were converted to z-scores [(individual norm-based scores – mean norm score) / (standard deviation of the norm score)]. Z-scores for the *Stroop (Stroop-Word, Stroop-Color, and Stroop-Color-Word), Trail Making- A* and *-B, Phonemic Fluency, Digit Span* tests were calculated based on age- and education-adjusted normed scores.[76,80-83] *Phonemic Fluency* subtest scores additionally were adjusted for race.[82]

MRI acquisition and processing

All MR images were acquired using a Siemens 3 T scanner (Magnetom Trio, Erlangen, Germany) with an 8-channel head coil. First, high-resolution T1-weighted (T1W) and T2-weighted (T2W) images were acquired for anatomical segmentation. For T1W images, MPRAGE sequences with Repetition Time (TR)/Echo Time (TE)=1540/2.3 ms, FoV/matrix=256x256/256x256 mm, slice thickness=1 mm, slice number=176 (with no gap), and voxel spacing 1x1x1 mm were used. T2W images were obtained using fast-spin-echo sequences with TR/TE=2500/316 ms and the same spatial resolution as the T1W images. For R1, TR/TE=15/1.45 ms, flip angles=4/25°, FoV/matrix=250x250/160x160 mm, slice thickness=1 mm, slice number=192, and voxel spacing=1.56x1.56x1 mm were used. R2* images were acquired using five TEs ranging from 8-40 ms with an interval of 8 ms, TR=51 ms, flip angle=15°, FoV/matrix=230×230/256×256 mm, slice thickness=1.6 mm, and slice number=88 were used. For DTI, TR/TE=8300/82 ms, b value=1000 s/mm^2, diffusion gradient directions=42 and 7 b=0 scans, FoV/matrix=256×256/128×128 mm, slice thickness=2 mm, and slice number=65 were used.

Regions of Interest: Medial temporal brain regions that previously had reported Alzheimer disease-related early changes (hippocampus, and entorhinal and parahippocampal cortices; Figure 1) were selected as regions-of-interest (ROIs). ROIs were defined for each subject using Freesurfer.[84] Segmentation quality then was confirmed visually by a reviewer blinded to group assignment.

Morphologic Metrics: Hippocampal volume segmentation and cortical parcellation for thickness calculation were performed with the Freesurfer image analysis suite (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). Processing included motion correction, removal of non-brain tissue using a hybrid watershed/surface deformation procedure [85], and automated Talairach transformation. Deep gray matter volumetric structures including the hippocampus then were segmented and cortical gay matter structures including entorhinal and parahippocampal cortices were parcellated.[86,87]

Diffusion Metrics: DTI quality control and tensor reconstruction were performed using DTIPrep[88] that first checks diffusion images for appropriate quality by calculating the inter-slice and inter-image intra-class correlation, and then corrects for the distortions induced by eddy currents and head motion. DTI maps then were estimated via weighted least squares. The DTI maps were co-registered onto T1/T2W images using ANTS, and the transformation matrix was applied inversely to bring the hippocampal region to the DTI maps. Four DTI indices [fractional anisotropy (FA), AD (axial diffusivity), RD (radial diffusivity), and MD (mean diffusivity)] were calculated out of three diffusivity eigenvalues ($\lambda 1$, $\lambda 2$, $\lambda 3$).[89] FA is a weighted average of pairwise differences of the three eigenvalues and may represent the degree of diffusion anisotropy. AD is the largest eigenvalue ($\lambda 1$) and RD is an average of the remaining two eigenvalues, both of which may indicate the orientation of the diffusion. MD is an average of the three eigenvalues, providing the overall diffusion magnitude [89].

Statistical analysis

Group comparisons of demographic data were conducted using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). For group comparisons of exposure metrics and whole blood metal levels, multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to control intercorrelations among dependent variables. Whole blood metal levels were log-transformed to attenuate the impact of potential extreme values. Group comparisons of neuropsychological and neuroimaging metrics were conducted using multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA). Adjusted neuropsychological z-scores were used for the group analyses, with adjustment for education level if it was not adjusted individually. For cortical thickness and DTI measures, analyses included adjustment for age and education level. When comparing hippocampal volume, total intracranial volume (TIV) also was used as a covariate.

Multiple statistical analyses were conducted to understand the relationship between exposure (welding fumes and related metals), MRI, and cognitive metrics. **First,** Spearman partial correlation analyses were used with adjustment for potential confounders. For the associations of neuropsychological/MRI metrics with exposure metrics, age and education level were used as covariates. For the associations of neurobehavioral/MRI metrics with individual blood metal levels, we adjusted for other blood metal levels in addition to age and education level. For the associations between neurobehavioral and MRI metrics, age and education level were used as covariates. **Second,** semi-parametric regression analyses were conducted using generalized additive models that utilized both linear and spline terms.[90] For these analyses, neuropsychological and MRI metrics were treated as outcome variables, whereas exposure metrics and blood metal levels were treated as predictors with adjustment for age and education level. The linear or spline relationship between dose (exposure)-response (MRI/cognition) variables was determined based on loess plots. For neuropsychological scores, semi-parametric regression analyses additionally were conducted while treating MRI metrics as predictors with adjustments of age and education level. **Third,** Bayesian kernel machine regression (BKMR) analyses[91] were conducted that flexibly model non-linear and non-additive associations between predictors (multiple metals) and outcome variables (MRI/cognition) using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm while treating covariates-MRI/cognition relationships as linear. These analyses allow testing of potential metal mixture effects and interactions among blood metal variables and identifying a certain metal exposure responsible for any significant exposure effect. **Lastly,** causal mediation analyses[92-95] were conducted to test whether neurobehavioral metrics were linked to metal exposure measurements 1) directly or 2) indirectly via medial temporal structural metrics, with age and education level

included as covariates (Figure 2). To test mediation effects of blood metal levels on neurobehavioral metrics, other blood metal levels additionally were used as covariates.

A statistical significance level of α=0.05 was used. Correlation analyses of neuropsychological and MRI structural metrics with metal exposure measures were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Stepdown Bonferroni method[96] to control the familywise error rate (*FWER*) at *p*=0.05. We report uncorrected raw *p*-values but indicate significant results with *FWER*-correction. SAS 9.4 or R was used for statistical analyses. We conducted all association analyses in controls and welders separately since the range of some metal exposure metrics may differ substantially between controls and welders. Thus, associations of pooled data may be influenced by the control/welder difference rather than the exposure effect. We report the results from welders in the main text and included results from controls in the supplementary material.

Results

Demographics and exposure features of study participants

Welders were older (p=0.048) and had lower education years (p<0.001) than controls. There was no significant group difference in UPDRS-III or MoCA scores (p=0.326 and p=0.797, respectively; Table 1a). Welders displayed significantly higher composite metrics of welding fume exposure (p's<0.001) and whole blood metal levels of Cu, Fe, K, Mn, Pb, Se, and Zn (p's<0.026; Table 1b).

Group comparison of medial temporal MRI and neurobehavioral metrics

There were no significant group differences in morphological metrics including hippocampal volume and cortical thickness in entorhinal and parahippocampal cortices with age and education level included as covariates (p's> 0.117; Figure 3a-b). Welders displayed higher diffusivity (MD, AD, and RD) values in all ROIs (p's<0.040; Figure 4a-c). FA values were significantly lower in entorhinal and parahippocampal cortices (p's <0.033), but not in the hippocampus (p=0.501; Figure 4d).

Welders showed overall lower cognitive performance than controls, but this did not reach statistical significance (p=0.063; Table 2). <u>In domain-wise analyses</u>, welders performed worse in processing/psychomotor speed, executive function, and visuospatial processing than controls (p's <0.046), but similarly on language (p=0.487) and attention/working memory (p=0.126) tests. Welders also performed poorer on learning and memory tasks, but the difference did not reach statistical significance (p=0.055). <u>For individual subtests</u>, welders performed worse on *Stroop-Color* and *Stroop-Word* processing/psychomotor speed and *Symbol-Digit Coding*, *Phonemic Fluency*, and *Trail Making-B* executive function tasks than controls. They also had lower scores on *Complex Figure-Copy* visuospatial processing and *Delayed Story Recall* learning/memory tasks (p's <0.049). There were no significant group differences in the remaining individual subtests (p's ≥0.131).

The relationship of MRI metrics and neuropsychological scores with exposures in welders

<u>Welding history as exposure of interest</u>

Diffusion MRI metrics: Spearman correlation analyses showed that lower parahippocampal FA correlated with greater YrsW (R=-0.347, p=0.026). DTI in other ROIs did

not correlate with any exposure metrics (p's >0.134; Supplementary Table S1b). Subsequent semi-parametric regression analysis confirmed that YrsW was a significant linear predictor of lower parahippocampal FA (ß=-0.0011, t=-2.69, p=0.011; Figure 5a). Higher ELT was a significant linear predictor of higher hippocampal MD and RD (ß's>0.0227, t's>2.08, p's <0.045).

Neuropsychological test scores: Spearman correlation analyses revealed that lower *Symbol-Digit Coding* scores correlated with higher E_{90} (R=-0.371, p=0.018; Supplementary Table 2b), whereas lower *Picture Naming* scores were associated with lower HrsW (R=0.327, p=0.039). In addition, lower *Judgement of Line Orientation* scores were associated with higher YrsW (R=-0.347, p=0.028). The remaining neuropsychological scores did not correlate with any other exposure metrics (p's >0.057). Semi-parametric regression analysis (Table 3) revealed that higher E_{90} was a significant linear predictor of lower *Symbol-Digit Coding* scores (ß=-0.1605, t=-2.46, p=0.019; Figure 5b).

<u>Welding-related blood metal levels as exposures of interest</u>

Diffusion MRI metrics: Spearman correlation analyses showed that higher values of all entorhinal diffusivity metrics correlated with higher Mn (R's >0.372, p's <0.030, Supplementary Table S1b). Higher entorhinal FA correlated with higher Pb and Zn (R=0.369, p=0.029 for Pb and R=0.434, p=0.009 for Zn). None of the DTI metrics in other ROIs correlated with any blood metal levels (p's>0.054). Subsequent semi-parametric regression analysis (Table 3b) revealed that higher Mn values were significant linear predictors of higher entorhinal diffusivity (ß's>0.2230, t's>3.01, p's <0.009). Higher Pb significantly predicted higher hippocampal diffusivity (MD, AD, RD, ß's>0.0374, t's>2.43, p's <0.035), whereas Fe level predicted lower hippocampal AD (ß=-0.3707, t=-2.51, p=0.031).

Neuropsychological test scores: Spearman analyses revealed that lower *Stroop-Word* scores were associated with lower Zn (R=0.400, p=0.021, Supplementary Table S2b). Moreover, lower *Symbol-Digit Coding* scores correlated with lower Pb but higher Cu (R=0.436, p=0.011 for Pb and R=-0.430, p=0.013 for Cu). The lower *Symbol-Digit Coding*-blood Pb association remained significant after *FWER* correction. Lower *List Learning* scores correlated with lower Fe but higher Mn (R=0.387, p=0.026 for Fe and R=-0.347, p=0.048 for Mn). In addition, lower *Immediate* and *Delayed Story Recall* scores correlated with higher Cu (R's <-0.366, p's<0.036). Lower *Delayed Figure Recall* scores correlated with lower Fe (R=0.444, p=0.010) but higher Mn and Zn (R's<-0.386, p's<0.026). The *Delayed Figure Recall*-blood Mn association remained significant after *FWER* correction. The remaining neuropsychological test scores did not correlate with any other blood metal levels (p's >0.055). Semi-parametric regression analyses (Table 3b) revealed that higher Cu levels were a significant linear predictor of lower *Symbol-Digit Coding scores* (ß=-3.1322, t=-2.66, p=0.017). Lower blood Fe and higher Mn were significant predictors of lower *List Learning* and *Delayed List Recall* scores (ß's>6.5299, t's>2.95, p's<0.008 for Fe and ß's<-1.0018, t's<-2.54, p's<0.025 for Mn). Higher Cu was a significant linear predictor of lower *Immediate* and *Delayed Story Recall* scores (ß's<-3.7790, t's<-2.29, p's<0.039; Figure 6d). Higher Mn levels were a significant predictor of lower *Delayed Figure Recall* scores (ß=-3.1825, t=-4.50, p<0.001).

BKMR analyses of mixed metal effects: Higher Mn levels were significant predictors of higher entorhinal AD, MD, and RD values (p's <0.05; Figure 6a-c). Higher Fe levels were a significant predictor of higher *List Learning* scores (p<0.05; Figure 6d). Higher Cu levels were a significant predictor of lower *Delayed Story Recall* scores (p<0.05; Figure 6e). None of the blood

metal levels were significant predictors of hippocampal DTI metrics. There were also neither significant metal mixture nor interaction effects among multiple blood metals on DTI and neurobehavioral metrics.

The relationship between MTL diffusivity and neuropsychological test scores in welders

Spearman correlation analyses: Higher entorhinal diffusivity correlated with lower *Delayed List Recall* scores (R's<-0.383, p's<0.018, Supplementary Table S3b). The entorhinal MD-*Delayed List Recall* association remained significant after *FWER* correction. Higher parahippocampal diffusivity correlated with lower *Immediate Story Recall* scores (R's<-0.354, p's<0.025), with the parahippocampal RD-*Immediate Story Recall* association remaining significant after *FWER* correction. Lower parahippocampal FA correlated with lower *Symbol Search* and *Trail Making-A* scores (R's>0.429, p's<0.006) that remained significant after *FWER* correction. Lower parahippocampal FA also correlated with lower *Spatial Span* (R=0.354, p=0.025). Higher hippocampal MD and RD correlated with lower *Trail Making-A* scores (R's<-0.305, p's<0.025). Other DTI metrics did not correlate with any neurobehavioral scores (p's>0.054).

Semi-parametric regression analyses: Higher entorhinal diffusivities were significant linear predictors of *Delayed List Recall* scores (ß's<-3.7692, t's<-2.87, p's <0.007; Figure 7a and Table 4b). Higher parahippocampal diffusivity metrics were significant linear predictors of *Immediate Story Recall* scores (ß's<-5.7229, t's<-2.64, p's <0.012; 7b). Lower parahippocampal FA was a significant linear predictor of lower *Symbol Search* and *Trail Making-A* scores (ß=14.2616, t=-2.67, p=0.012 and ß=15.7459, t=2.31, p=0.027, respectively; Figure 7c-d). Higher hippocampal AD was a significant linear predictor of lower *List Learning* scores (ß=-4.7280, t=-2.18, p=0.035; Figure 7e). Higher hippocampal MD and RD were significant linear predictors of lower *Trail Making-A* scores (ß=-4.4631, t=-2.10, p=0.043 and ß=-4.9733, t=-2.16, p=0.038, respectively).

Causal mediation analyses: from exposure to neurobehavioral scores via MTL MRI metrics in welders

<u>Welding history as exposure of interest</u>

There were significant indirect effects of higher YrsW on lower *Symbol Search* and *Trail Making-A* scores that were mediated by lower parahippocampal FA values (ß=-0.0252, z=-2.29, p=0.022 for *Symbol Search* and ß=-0.0282, z=-2.27, p=0.023 for *Trail Making-A*) but no significant direct or total effects (p's>0.055). There were significant total and direct effects of higher E_{90} values on lower *Symbol-Digit Coding* scores (ß's<-0.1531, z's<-2.44, p's<0.014) but no significant indirect effects (p's>0.647). There were no significant direct or indirect effects of other exposure metrics on neurobehavioral scores (p's>0.065).

<u>Welding related blood metal levels as exposures of interest</u>

There were significant indirect effects of higher blood Fe on higher *List Learning* and *Recall* scores via lower hippocampal AD (ß's>7.5372, z's>2.11, p's<0.035), and significant total effects (ß's>4.4794, z's>2.16, p's<0.031) without direct effects (p's>0.726). There, however, were no significant indirect effects of other metal levels on neurobehavioral scores via MTL DTI metrics (p's>0.109). Blood Cu, Mn, and Pb levels had significant total and direct effects without significant indirect effects: For Cu, these effects resulted in lower *Symbol-Digit Coding* and

395 *Delayed Story Recall* scores (ß's<-3.1633, z's<-1.97, p's<0.049). For Mn, the effects were on
396 lower *List Learning, Delayed List,* and *Figure Recall* scores (ß's<-1.4742, z's<-2.01, p's<0.045).
397 For Pb, the effects led to higher *Symbol-Digit Coding* scores (ß's>0.4989, z's>1.97, p's<0.048).

398 **Discussion**

399 This is the first in-depth examination that used multimodal structural MRI to study multiple
400 MTL regions in welders. Consistent with our hypothesis H1, we observed a reliable pattern across
401 MTL areas of DTI features (higher AD, RD, MD, lower FA) that is consistent with findings in
402 ADRD populations. The persistent MTL DTI features in the absence of morphometric differences
403 (markers of significant macroscopic neuronal loss) suggest that these DTI metrics may serve as
404 sensitive markers for gauging early disease processes probably representing microstructural
405 integrity. Consistent with our hypothesis H2, we replicated prior findings that welders have lower
406 performance in processing/psychomotor speed and executive function domains that are associated
407 with welding history. In addition, we conducted a comprehensive analysis to understand the
408 relationship of the MTL MRI metrics with welding-related metal exposure and neuropsychiatric
409 changes and whether MTL MRI metrics may mediate metal-exposure to cognitive outcomes. We
410 noted that greater welding years (YrsW) predicted lower parahippocampal FA, whereas greater
411 short-term welding intensity (E90) predicted worse Symbol coding digit scores. MTL DTI metrics
412 (microstructural changes) mediate, at least partially, the effects of welding fumes on cognitive
413 performance, consistent with our hypothesis H3. Together, the results of the current study are
414 consistent with our key scientific premise that welding fume exposures are associated with MTL
415 microstructural features resembling early ADRD.

416 The explorative analyses on associations of seven blood metal levels (higher in welders
417 than in controls) with MRI metrics or neuropsychologic scores yielded mixed and inconsistent
418 results. This may be, in part, due to low sample size with multiple metals of interest and that blood
419 metals only represent recent, ongoing, and short-term (~3 m) exposure. In addition, different
420 metals may have different exposure-effect curves (which potentially may be non-monotonic),
421 depending on the dose level and the brain regions and cognitive metrics of interest. Nonetheless,
422 higher blood Mn and Cu levels demonstrated the most robust relationships with higher entorhinal
423 diffusivity values and lower *Delayed Story Recall* performance, respectively, analyzed using
424 multiple statistical approaches including correlations, semi-parametric regression, and Bayesian
425 kernel machine regression. Given the ubiquitous nature of low-level metal exposure and their
426 broader public health impact, future studies are warranted.

427 ***Welders' medial temporal microstructural features are consistent with ADRD-at risk***
428 ***populations***

429 The hippocampus and entorhinal/parahippocampal cortices are key structures for medial
430 temporal memory tasks. The parahippocampal cortex receives inputs from widespread associative
431 cortical areas including frontal, temporal, parietal, occipital, and cingulate cortices.[97,98] It then
432 passes information to the entorhinal cortex that, in turn, has reciprocal connections with the
433 hippocampus. Thus, the entorhinal cortex serves as a major information relay station to and from
434 the hippocampus, although the hippocampus also is connected with white matter (e.g., fornix) and
435 diencephalic structures serving particularly long-term memory formation.[60] The entorhinal outputs
436 then are projected back to various cortical regions through the parahippocampal cortex.[99,100]

437 As noted in the Introduction, there have been substantial efforts towards finding reliable
438 and early markers to predict future AD conversion. Consistent with its cardinal role in memory,
439 MTL areas appear to be one of the first regions affected by AD-related pathological changes.[56,101-
440 103] Decreased hippocampal and entorhinal volume and/or thickness have been reported as
441 predictors for AD conversion.[104,105] Recent studies suggested other imaging metrics such as shape,
442 texture, functional networks, or water molecule diffusion in neuronal tissues may be even more
443 sensitive in capturing early AD-related alterations.[63,106-110] Our finding of welding fume exposure
444 relating to altered DTI metrics in all MTL areas included in the current study is consistent with the
445 concept that early ADRD-related changes are occurring without apparent volume reduction or
446 cortical thinning in these areas.

447 The exact neuropathological substrates underlying DTI metrics are unclear. It is speculated,
448 however, that they reflect microstructural disorganization (*e.g.*, cytoarchitectural degeneration or
449 demyelination processes).[111] Future studies with state-of-the-art MRI methods such as multi-shell
450 diffusion imaging that utilizes multiple b-values to minimize motion or other artifacts and
451 accurately model more detailed cellular features[112,113] may help dissect these neural mechanisms.

452 ***Neuropsychological features in welders may be consistent with ADRD-at-risk populations***

453 Our study is consistent with prior findings that welders performed worse on several
454 neuropsychological tests.[114-116] We note that our welders had lower performance on the *Delayed*
455 *Story Recall* subtest but not learning/memory tests or *List Learning/Recall* and *Figure Recall*
456 subtests that typically estimate episodic memory in laboratory settings.[117] Episodic memory
457 involves consciously retrieving information (e.g. events) that was acquired in a particular time and
458 space.[118] ADRD-related neurobehavioral deficits comprise various cognitive functions including
459 executive, attentional, and language functions. In particular, difficulties with learning/memory
460 tasks have a robust relationship to early ADRD.[117,119] Our finding of lower *Delayed Story Recall*
461 performance in our "asymptomatic" welders suggests ADRD-related early episodic memory
462 decline may occur in a task-specific way. Therefore, the earliest decline may be detected more
463 sensitively with a *Story Recall* task rather than other types of episodic memory tasks (e.g., word
464 list or visuospatial figure recall).

465 Our robust associations of higher entorhinal and parahippocampal diffusivity values with
466 lower (worse) learning/memory performance is consistent with previous findings reporting MTL
467 involvement in episodic memory.[120] Although episodic memory is considered a crucial function
468 of the hippocampus,[121] the current association is not surprising since the parahippocampal and
469 entorhinal cortices provide major inputs to the hippocampus.[60] Consistent with our finding,
470 previous studies reported that lower entorhinal cortex volume, but not hippocampal volume, was
471 associated with lower *Delayed List* and *Story Recall* scores in Alzheimer's patients.[60,120] In
472 addition, MTL DTI metrics have been associated with memory scores in both healthy elderly and
473 Alzheimer's patients.[122,123] In these previous studies, DTI metrics were examined either in the
474 hippocampus alone or in conjunction with MTL white matter. This study extended previous work
475 to entorhinal and parahippocampal areas and demonstrated, for the first time to our knowledge, a
476 robust association of entorhinal and parahippocampal diffusivity with episodic memory
477 performance among welders.

478 Interestingly, MTL regions seem to be involved not only in memory but also in
479 processing/psychomotor speed performance. The underlying mechanism is not clear, but the
480 results are consistent with previous reports of significant associations between parahippocampal

481 gyrus thickness and processing speed scores, particularly when performing visuospatial
482 tasks.[124,125] Speedy information processing may be crucial for various functional abilities including
483 attention, encoding and retrieval of to-be-remembered information, reasoning, decision making,
484 and visuospatial perceptions.[126] Recent structural and functional neuroimaging studies also
485 suggested that the hippocampus may be involved in various neuropsychological tasks such as
486 working memory, executive function, spatial processing, and sensorimotor integration.[111,127-130]
487 Given previous findings reporting associations between slowed psychomotor speed and risk for
488 dementia development,[131-133] the present results may provide potential neural correlates
489 responsible for ADRD-related slowed psychomotor speed. Collectively, our findings are
490 consistent with the concept that our welders display cognitive deficits/patterns reported in ADRD-
491 at-risk populations and support ADRD-related early changes in welders.[107,117]

492 ***Understanding the path from metal exposure to MTL MRI and cognitive performance***

493 We employed multiple analytical steps and statistical methods to understand the complex
494 relationship between welding fume-related metal exposure and MTL MRI and cognitive findings.
495 First, we found that long-term exposure metrics (e.g., ELT and YrsW) were significant predictors
496 of hippocampal and parahippocampal DTI metrics, validating that MTL microstructural features
497 are indeed related to long-term welding exposure. In addition, mediation analyses suggested that
498 YrsW indirectly impaired processing/psychomotor speed tasks (lower *Symbol Search* and *Trail*
499 *Making-A* scores) via lower parahippocampal FA values.

500 Second, we also noted a short-term exposure metric that takes exposure intensity into
501 account (E_{90}) predicted lower *Symbol-Digit Coding* scores, a task where subjects matched symbols
502 to designated numbers under time pressure. This task can be demanding for adults, particularly
503 elderly adults, because it requires executive function skills to some degree in addition to
504 appropriate psychomotor speed. Our result suggests that even short-term metal exposure with
505 higher intensity may interfere with proper performance on executive function tasks. The finding
506 motivated the exploration of metal levels in blood, usually, depending on the metal, a surrogate
507 marker for short-term metal exposure. We considered seven metals in our exploration because they
508 are higher in welders, acknowledging that our statistical power was limited if we rely on
509 significance after *FWER*-correction of multiple comparisons. Thus, we employed multiple
510 approaches, and use the level of consistency in results to inform our conclusions.

511 Blood Mn and Cu levels demonstrated robust relationships with entorhinal diffusivity and
512 *Delayed Story Recall* performance, respectively. The association persisted throughout semi-
513 parametric regression, and BKMR analyses. The finding of blood Mn-entorhinal diffusivity
514 association is not surprising, given that Mn has been the metal of focus on welding-related toxicity,
515 as we reviewed in Introduction. Lower FA values in white matter regions (e.g., corpus callosum
516 and frontal white matter areas) have been reported in both welders and controls.[134] It is however,
517 unclear whether the blood Mn represents the long-term occupational exposure or short-term
518 intensity. However, the finding of the association between blood Cu and cognitive performance
519 among welders is novel. Chronic Cu exposure has been associated with increased brain Cu
520 accumulation in the basal ganglia and hippocampus[46] and increased risk for Alzheimer's
521 disease.[135] Cu in brain has a high affinity for β-amyloid plaques that may enhance inflammatory
522 responses, reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, and fibril formation leading to increased β-
523 amyloid aggregates that is a characteristic feature of ADRD.[136] Future studies of welding fume
524 exposure need to include Cu as an important factor worthy of consideration.

525 Our analyses also yield two serendipitous observations which were unexpected but
526 intriguing. *First,* higher blood Fe levels in welders predicted a better *List Learning/Recall* score.
527 Subsequent mediation analyses suggested that higher blood Fe is linked to higher *List*
528 *Learning/Recall* scores via lower hippocampal AD values. These results imply that higher
529 peripheral Fe may be a protective factor against microstructural changes and subsequent
530 performance deficits. This finding appears contradictory to previously reported neurotoxic effects
531 of Fe exposure,[9,137] yet consistent with reports of complexed relationship between peripheral Fe
532 levels and cognitive functions.[138-140] It is also worth noting that higher brain Fe accumulation,
533 which has a very different pathophysiological context than blood Fe, was reported in subjects with
534 AD pathology or cognitive impairments [141,142] but this is not well established in asymptomatic
535 populations.[143,144] *Second,* we observed that blood Pb levels predicted higher hippocampal
536 diffusivity (AD, RD, MD) and Entorhinal AD ($p < 0.036$) in semi-parametric regression analyses,
537 yet directly linked to higher symbol-digit coding scores in causal medication analyses. The results
538 of the two analyses may appear contradictory at first, but reasonable given the unique distribution
539 and kinetics of Pb in the human body, as distinct from short-term levels of metals such as Fe in the
540 blood. Greater than 90% of Pb deposits in bone with a half-life on the order of decades.[145,146] In
541 addition, Pb deposited in bone is itself a source of endogenous exposure as it gradually is mobilized
542 into circulation, exposing critical organs to Pb even with no recent exposure.[147] Thus, blood Pb
543 levels may represent both long-term and short term exposure. Based on this, we speculated that as
544 a long-term exposure metric, Pb may negative impact MLT structures, yet as a short-term exposure
545 metric, Pb may competing with transport of other toxic metals (such as Cu) into the brain and
546 preventing its negative impact on cognitive performance of our welders. Together, these findings
547 suggest the importance that future studies need to consider the dynamics and reversibility of
548 mixtures of welding-related metals, as well as separately analyzing each brain region and metric
549 of cognitive outcomes, in both welders and controls.

550 ***Limitations and conclusions***

551 This study has several limitations. First, the sample sizes were relatively small, and future
552 validation research should, where possible, utilize larger cohorts. Second, we measured several
553 blood metal levels, but it might be possible that the effects observed were due to an unmeasured
554 metal or a co-occurring environmental factor. Additional studies assessing alternative metals may
555 provide further insight into the actions of metal co-exposures, something of both scientific and
556 public health relevance. Lastly, it is notable that our welder cohort, though many participants had
557 long histories of welding work, had relatively lower welding fume exposure levels compared to
558 many other prior studies (*i.e.*, 11.0 *vs.* >14.2 μg/L for mean blood Mn; 22.7 *vs.* >30-50 μg/L for
559 mean blood Pb).[67,71,148-150] This suggests that other groups with relatively low but chronic exposure
560 to the same metals in the welding-fume profile may suffer similar sequalae as a result of
561 environmental exposure.

562 **Funding**

563 This work was supported by NIH grants R01 ES019672, R01 NS060722, U01s NS082151
564 and NS112008, the Hershey Medical Center General Clinical Research Center (National Center
565 for Research Resources, UL1 TR002014), the Penn State College of Medicine Translational Brain
566 Research Center, the PA Department of Health Tobacco CURE Funds, the National Research
567 Foundation of Korea (2019R1G1A109957511; RS-2023-00247689), and Dong-A University
568 Research Fund.

569 **Acknowledgements**

570 We would like to thank all of the volunteers who participated in this study. In addition, we
571 are indebted to many individuals who helped make this study possible, including: Melissa Santos,
572 Tyler Corson, Lauren Deegan, and Susan Kocher for subject coordination, recruitment, blood
573 sample handling, and data entry; Pam Susi and Pete Stafford of CPWR; Mark Garrett, John Clark,
574 and Joe Jacoby of the International Brotherhood of Boilermakers; Fred Cosenza and all members
575 of the Safety Committee for the Philadelphia Building and Construction Trades Council; Ed
576 McGehean of the Steamfitters Local Union 420; Jim Stewart of the Operating Engineers; Sean
577 Gerie of the Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employees Division Teamsters Rail Conference;
578 and Terry Peck of Local 520 Plumbers, Pipefitters and HVAC.

579 **Conflicts of interest**

580 The authors report no financial interests that relate to this research.

581

582 **References**

583 1. Crous-Bou M, Gascon M, Gispert JD, Cirach M, Sanchez-Benavides G, Falcon C,
584 Arenaza-Urquijo EM, Gotsens X, Fauria K, Sunyer J, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Luis
585 Molinuevo J & Study A (2020) Impact of urban environmental exposures on cognitive
586 performance and brain structure of healthy individuals at risk for Alzheimer's dementia.
587 *Environ Int* **138**, 105546. PMID: 32151419;
588 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105546.
589 2. Delgado-Saborit JM, Guercio V, Gowers AM, Shaddick G, Fox NC & Love S (2021) A
590 critical review of the epidemiological evidence of effects of air pollution on dementia,
591 cognitive function and cognitive decline in adult population. *Sci Total Environ* **757**,
592 143734. PMID: 33340865; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143734.
593 3. Swartjes FA (2015) Human health risk assessment related to contaminated land: state of
594 the art. *Environ Geochem Health* **37**, 651-673. PMID: 25809961;
595 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-015-9693-0.
596 4. Lee EY, Flynn MR, Lewis MM, Mailman RB & Huang X (2018) Welding-related brain
597 and functional changes in welders with chronic and low-level exposure. *Neurotoxicology*
598 **64**, 50-59. PMID: 28648949; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2017.06.011.
599 5. Teleanu DM, Chircov C, Grumezescu AM, Volceanov A & Teleanu RI (2018) Impact of
600 Nanoparticles on Brain Health: An Up to Date Overview. *J Clin Med* **7**, 490. PMID:
601 30486404; https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm7120490.
602 6. Zhou Y, Peng Z, Seven ES & Leblanc RM (2018) Crossing the blood-brain barrier with
603 nanoparticles. *J Control Release* **270**, 290-303. PMID: 29269142;
604 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2017.12.015.
605 7. Sanders T, Liu Y, Buchner V & Tchounwou PB (2009) Neurotoxic effects and
606 biomarkers of lead exposure: a review. *Rev Environ Health* **24**, 15-45. PMID: 19476290;
607 https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh.2009.24.1.15.
608 8. Pajarillo E, Nyarko-Danquah I, Adinew G, Rizor A, Aschner M & Lee E (2021)
609 Neurotoxicity mechanisms of manganese in the central nervous system. *Adv Neurotoxicol*
610 **5**, 215-238. PMID: 34263091; https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ant.2020.11.003.
611 9. Yarjanli Z, Ghaedi K, Esmaeili A, Rahgozar S & Zarrabi A (2017) Iron oxide
612 nanoparticles may damage to the neural tissue through iron accumulation, oxidative
613 stress, and protein aggregation. *BMC neuroscience* **18**, 51. PMID: 28651647;
614 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12868-017-0369-9.
615 10. Couper J (1837) On the effects of black oxide of manganese when inhaled into the lungs.
616 *Br Ann Med Pharmacol* **1**, 41-42. PMID:
617 11. Guilarte TR & Gonzales KK (2015) Manganese-Induced Parkinsonism Is Not Idiopathic
618 Parkinson's Disease: Environmental and Genetic Evidence. *Toxicol Sci* **146**, 204-212.
619 PMID: 26220508; https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfv099.
620 12. Colosimo C & Guidi M (2009) Parkinsonism due to ephedrone neurotoxicity: a case
621 report. *European journal of neurology* **16** PMID: 19453409;
622 13. Benedetto A, Au C & Aschner M (2009) Manganese-induced dopaminergic
623 neurodegeneration: insights into mechanisms and genetics shared with Parkinson's
624 disease. *Chem Rev* **109**, 4862-4884. PMID: 19534496;
625 https://doi.org/10.1021/cr800536y.

626 14. Aschner M & Dorman DC (2006) Manganese: pharmacokinetics and molecular
627 mechanisms of brain uptake. *Toxicol Rev* **25**, 147-154. PMID: 17192121;
628 https://doi.org/10.2165/00139709-200625030-00002.
629 15. Iavicoli I, Fontana L & Bergamaschi A (2009) The effects of metals as endocrine
630 disruptors. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev* **12**, 206-223. PMID: 19466673;
631 https://doi.org/10.1080/10937400902902062.
632 16. Wright RO & Baccarelli A (2007) Metals and neurotoxicology. *J Nutr* **137**, 2809-2813.
633 PMID: 18029504; https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/137.12.2809.
634 17. Norenberg MD & Rao KV (2007) The mitochondrial permeability transition in
635 neurologic disease. *Neurochem Int* **50**, 983-997. PMID: 17397969;
636 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2007.02.008.
637 18. Levy BS & Nassetta WJ (2003) Neurologic effects of manganese in humans: a review.
638 *Int J Occup Environ Health* **9**, 153-163. PMID: 12848244;
639 https://doi.org/10.1179/oeh.2003.9.2.153.
640 19. Takeda A (2003) Manganese action in brain function. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* **41**, 79-
641 87. PMID: 12505649; https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0173(02)00234-5.
642 20. Dobson AW, Erikson KM & Aschner M (2004) Manganese neurotoxicity. *Ann N Y Acad*
643 *Sci* **1012**, 115-128. PMID: 15105259; https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1306.009.
644 21. Erikson KM, Dorman DC, Fitsanakis V, Lash LH & Aschner M (2006) Alterations of
645 oxidative stress biomarkers due to in utero and neonatal exposures of airborne
646 manganese. *Biol Trace Elem Res* **111**, 199-215. PMID: 16943606;
647 https://doi.org/10.1385/BTER:111:1:199.
648 22. Yokel RA (2006) Blood-brain barrier flux of aluminum, manganese, iron and other
649 metals suspected to contribute to metal-induced neurodegeneration. *J Alzheimers Dis* **10**,
650 223-253. PMID: 17119290; https://doi.org/10.3233/jad-2006-102-309.
651 23. Jones DC & Miller GW (2008) The effects of environmental neurotoxicants on the
652 dopaminergic system: A possible role in drug addiction. *Biochem Pharmacol* **76**, 569-
653 581. PMID: 18555207; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2008.05.010.
654 24. Huang CC, Lu CS, Chu NS, Hochberg F, Lilienfeld D, Olanow W & Calne DB (1993)
655 Progression after chronic manganese exposure. *Neurology* **43**, 1479-1483. PMID:
656 8351000; https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.43.8.1479.
657 25. Hauser RA, Zesiewicz TA, Martinez C, Rosemurgy AS & Olanow CW (1996) Blood
658 manganese correlates with brain magnetic resonance imaging changes in patients with
659 liver disease. *Can J Neurol Sci* **23**, 95-98. PMID: 8738919;
660 https://doi.org/10.1017/s0317167100038786.
661 26. Mergler D & Baldwin M (1997) Early manifestations of manganese neurotoxicity in
662 humans: an update. *Environ Res* **73**, 92-100. PMID: 9311535;
663 https://doi.org/10.1006/enrs.1997.3710.
664 27. Pal PK, Samii A & Calne DB (1999) Manganese neurotoxicity: a review of clinical
665 features, imaging and pathology. *Neurotoxicology* **20**, 227-238. PMID: 10385886;
666 28. Guilarte TR (2013) Manganese neurotoxicity: new perspectives from behavioral,
667 neuroimaging, and neuropathological studies in humans and non-human primates. *Front*
668 *Aging Neurosci* **5**, 23. PMID: 23805100; https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2013.00023.
669 29. Kwakye GF, Paoliello MM, Mukhopadhyay S, Bowman AB & Aschner M (2015)
670 Manganese-Induced Parkinsonism and Parkinson's Disease: Shared and Distinguishable

671 Features. *International journal of environmental research and public health* **12**, 7519-
672 7540. PMID: 26154659; https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120707519.
673 30. Aschner M, Erikson KM, Herrero Hernandez E & Tjalkens R (2009) Manganese and its
674 role in Parkinson's disease: from transport to neuropathology. *Neuromolecular medicine*
675 **11**, 252-266. PMID: 19657747; https://doi.org/10.1007/s12017-009-8083-0.
676 31. Cersosimo MG & Koller WC (2006) The diagnosis of manganese-induced parkinsonism.
677 *Neurotoxicology* **27**, 340-346. PMID: https://doi.org/S0161-813X(05)00185-3
678 [pii];10.1016/j.neuro.2005.10.006 [doi].
679 32. Perl DP & Olanow CW (2007) The neuropathology of manganese-induced Parkinsonism.
680 *Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology* **66**, 675-682. PMID: 17882011;
681 https://doi.org/10.1097/nen.0b013e31812503cf.
682 33. Brookmeyer R, Evans DA, Hebert L, Langa KM, Heeringa SG, Plassman BL & Kukull
683 WA (2011) National estimates of the prevalence of Alzheimer's disease in the United
684 States. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* **7**, 61-73. PMID:
685 34. Sorensen L, Igel C, Liv Hansen N, Osler M, Lauritzen M, Rostrup E & Nielsen M (2016)
686 Early detection of Alzheimer's disease using MRI hippocampal texture. *Hum Brain Mapp*
687 **37**, 1148-1161. PMID: 26686837; https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23091.
688 35. Li H, Habes M, Wolk DA & Fan Y (2019) A deep learning model for early prediction of
689 Alzheimer's disease dementia based on hippocampal magnetic resonance imaging data.
690 *Alzheimers Dement* **15**, 1059-1070. PMID: 31201098;
691 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2019.02.007.
692 36. Backman L, Jones S, Berger AK, Laukka EJ & Small BJ (2005) Cognitive impairment in
693 preclinical Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis. *Neuropsychology* **19**, 520-531. PMID:
694 16060827; https://doi.org/10.1037/0894-4105.19.4.520.
695 37. Galton CJ, Patterson K, Xuereb JH & Hodges JR (2000) Atypical and typical
696 presentations of Alzheimer's disease: a clinical, neuropsychological, neuroimaging and
697 pathological study of 13 cases. *Brain* **123 Pt 3**, 484-498. PMID: 10686172;
698 https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/123.3.484.
699 38. Arvanitakis Z, Shah RC & Bennett DA (2019) Diagnosis and Management of Dementia:
700 Review. *JAMA* **322**, 1589-1599. PMID: 31638686;
701 https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.4782.
702 39. Halliday G (2017) Pathology and hippocampal atrophy in Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet*
703 *Neurol* **16**, 862-864. PMID: 29029840; https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30343-5.
704 40. van Dyck CH, Swanson CJ, Aisen P, Bateman RJ, Chen C, Gee M, Kanekiyo M, Li D,
705 Reyderman L, Cohen S, Froelich L, Katayama S, Sabbagh M, Vellas B, Watson D,
706 Dhadda S, Irizarry M, Kramer LD & Iwatsubo T (2023) Lecanemab in Early Alzheimer's
707 Disease. *N Engl J Med* **388**, 9-21. PMID: 36449413;
708 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2212948.
709 41. Bateman RJ, Cummings J, Schobel S, Salloway S, Vellas B, Boada M, Black SE,
710 Blennow K, Fontoura P, Klein G, Assuncao SS, Smith J & Doody RS (2022)
711 Gantenerumab: an anti-amyloid monoclonal antibody with potential disease-modifying
712 effects in early Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* **14**, 178. PMID: 36447240;
713 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-022-01110-8.
714 42. Guilarte TR (2010) APLP1, Alzheimer's-like pathology and neurodegeneration in the
715 frontal cortex of manganese-exposed non-human primates. *Neurotoxicology* **31**, 572-574.
716 PMID: 20188756; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2010.02.004.

717 43. Schroder N, Figueiredo LS & de Lima MN (2013) Role of brain iron accumulation in
718 cognitive dysfunction: evidence from animal models and human studies. *J Alzheimers*
719 *Dis* **34**, 797-812. PMID: 23271321; https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-121996.
720 44. Schneider JS, Williams C, Ault M & Guilarte TR (2013) Chronic manganese exposure
721 impairs visuospatial associative learning in non-human primates. *Toxicology letters* **221**,
722 146-151. PMID: 23778301; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2013.06.211.
723 45. Sobolewski M, Conrad K, Marvin E, Eckard M, Goeke CM, Merrill AK, Welle K,
724 Jackson BP, Gelein R, Chalupa D, Oberdorster G & Cory-Slechta DA (2022) The
725 potential involvement of inhaled iron (Fe) in the neurotoxic effects of ultrafine particulate
726 matter air pollution exposure on brain development in mice. *Part Fibre Toxicol* **19**, 56.
727 PMID: 35945578; https://doi.org/10.1186/s12989-022-00496-5.
728 46. Pal A, Badyal RK, Vasishta RK, Attri SV, Thapa BR & Prasad R (2013) Biochemical,
729 histological, and memory impairment effects of chronic copper toxicity: a model for non-
730 Wilsonian brain copper toxicosis in Wistar rat. *Biol Trace Elem Res* **153**, 257-268.
731 PMID: 23613148; https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-013-9665-0.
732 47. Kitazawa M, Hsu HW & Medeiros R (2016) Copper Exposure Perturbs Brain
733 Inflammatory Responses and Impairs Clearance of Amyloid-Beta. *Toxicol Sci* **152**, 194-
734 204. PMID: 27122238; https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfw081.
735 48. Gerhardsson L, Lundh T, Minthon L & Londos E (2008) Metal concentrations in plasma
736 and cerebrospinal fluid in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn*
737 *Disord* **25**, 508-515. PMID: 18463412; https://doi.org/10.1159/000129365.
738 49. Vural H, Demirin H, Kara Y, Eren I & Delibas N (2010) Alterations of plasma
739 magnesium, copper, zinc, iron and selenium concentrations and some related erythrocyte
740 antioxidant enzyme activities in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J Trace Elem Med*
741 *Biol* **24**, 169-173. PMID: 20569929; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2010.02.002.
742 50. Hare DJ, Faux NG, Roberts BR, Volitakis I, Martins RN & Bush AI (2016) Lead and
743 manganese levels in serum and erythrocytes in Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive
744 impairment: results from the Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle Flagship
745 Study of Ageing. *Metallomics* **8**, 628-632. PMID: 26962965;
746 https://doi.org/10.1039/c6mt00019c.
747 51. Park JH, Lee DW & Park KS (2014) Elevated serum copper and ceruloplasmin levels in
748 Alzheimer's disease. *Asia Pac Psychiatry* **6**, 38-45. PMID: 23857910;
749 https://doi.org/10.1111/appy.12077.
750 52. Basun H, Forssell LG, Wetterberg L & Winblad B (1991) Metals and trace elements in
751 plasma and cerebrospinal fluid in normal aging and Alzheimer's disease. *J Neural*
752 *Transm Park Dis Dement Sect* **3**, 231-258. PMID: 1772577;
753 53. Xu J, Church SJ, Patassini S, Begley P, Kellett KAB, Vardy E, Unwin RD, Hooper NM
754 & Cooper GJS (2018) Plasma metals as potential biomarkers in dementia: a case-control
755 study in patients with sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Biometals* **31**, 267-276. PMID:
756 29516299; https://doi.org/10.1007/s10534-018-0089-3.
757 54. McRae-McKee K, Evans S, Hadjichrysanthou C, Wong MM, de Wolf F & Anderson RM
758 (2019) Combining hippocampal volume metrics to better understand Alzheimer's disease
759 progression in at-risk individuals. *Sci Rep* **9**, 7499. PMID: 31097733;
760 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42632-w.
761 55. Koval I, Bone A, Louis M, Lartigue T, Bottani S, Marcoux A, Samper-Gonzalez J,
762 Burgos N, Charlier B, Bertrand A, Epelbaum S, Colliot O, Allassonniere S & Durrleman

763 S (2021) AD Course Map charts Alzheimer's disease progression. *Sci Rep* **11**, 8020.
764 PMID: 33850174; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87434-1.
765 56. Echavarri C, Aalten P, Uylings HB, Jacobs HI, Visser PJ, Gronenschild EH, Verhey FR
766 & Burgmans S (2011) Atrophy in the parahippocampal gyrus as an early biomarker of
767 Alzheimer's disease. *Brain structure & function* **215**, 265-271. PMID: 20957494;
768 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-010-0283-8.
769 57. Berron D, van Westen D, Ossenkoppele R, Strandberg O & Hansson O (2020) Medial
770 temporal lobe connectivity and its associations with cognition in early Alzheimer's
771 disease. *Brain* **143**, 1233-1248. PMID: 32252068; https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa068.
772 58. Lacalle-Aurioles M & Iturria-Medina Y (2023) Fornix degeneration in risk factors of
773 Alzheimer's disease, possible trigger of cognitive decline. *Cereb Circ Cogn Behav* **4**,
774 100158. PMID: 36703699; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cccb.2023.100158.
775 59. Sugar J & Moser MB (2019) Episodic memory: Neuronal codes for what, where, and
776 when. *Hippocampus* **29**, 1190-1205. PMID: 31334573;
777 https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.23132.
778 60. Eichenbaum H & Lipton PA (2008) Towards a functional organization of the medial
779 temporal lobe memory system: role of the parahippocampal and medial entorhinal
780 cortical areas. *Hippocampus* **18**, 1314-1324. PMID: 19021265;
781 https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.20500.
782 61. Lancaster MA, Seidenberg M, Smith JC, Nielson KA, Woodard JL, Durgerian S & Rao
783 SM (2016) Diffusion Tensor Imaging Predictors of Episodic Memory Decline in Healthy
784 Elders at Genetic Risk for Alzheimer's Disease. *Journal of the International*
785 *Neuropsychological Society : JINS* **22**, 1005-1015. PMID: 27903333;
786 https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617716000904.
787 62. Gerardin E, Chetelat G, Chupin M, Cuingnet R, Desgranges B, Kim HS, Niethammer M,
788 Dubois B, Lehericy S, Garnero L, Eustache F, Colliot O & Alzheimer's Disease
789 Neuroimaging I (2009) Multidimensional classification of hippocampal shape features
790 discriminates Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment from normal aging.
791 *Neuroimage* **47**, 1476-1486. PMID: 19463957;
792 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.05.036.
793 63. Leandrou S, Petroudi S, Kyriacou PA, Reyes-Aldasoro CC & Pattichis CS (2018)
794 Quantitative MRI Brain Studies in Mild Cognitive Impairment and Alzheimer's Disease:
795 A Methodological Review. *IEEE Rev Biomed Eng* **11**, 97-111. PMID: 29994606;
796 https://doi.org/10.1109/RBME.2018.2796598.
797 64. Killiany RJ, Hyman BT, Gomez-Isla T, Moss MB, Kikinis R, Jolesz F, Tanzi R, Jones K
798 & Albert MS (2002) MRI measures of entorhinal cortex vs hippocampus in preclinical
799 AD. *Neurology* **58**, 1188-1196. PMID: 11971085; https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.58.8.1188.
800 65. Lee EY, Flynn MR, Du G, Lewis MM, Fry R, Herring AH, Van Buren E, Van Buren S,
801 Smeester L, Kong L, Yang Q, Mailman RB & Huang X (2015) T1 Relaxation Rate (R1)
802 Indicates Nonlinear Mn Accumulation in Brain Tissue of Welders With Low-Level
803 Exposure. *Toxicol Sci* **146**, 281-289. PMID: 25953701;
804 https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfv088.
805 66. Liang G, Qin H, Zhang L, Ma S, Huang X, Lv Y, Qing L, Li Q, Xiong Y, Huang Y, Chen
806 K, Huang Y, Shen Y, Nong J, Yang X & Zou Y (2015) Effects of chronic manganese
807 exposure on the learning and memory of rats by observing the changes in the

808 hippocampal cAMP signaling pathway. *Food Chem Toxicol* **83**, 261-267. PMID:
809 26164403; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2015.07.005.
810 67. Wu J, Zhang Q, Sun P, Zhang H, Gao M, Ma M, Dong Y, Liu P & Wu X (2022) Gray
811 matter microstructural alterations in manganese-exposed welders: a preliminary
812 neuroimaging study. *Eur Radiol* **32**, 8649-8658. PMID: 35739284;
813 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-022-08908-y.
814 68. Weisskopf MG, Hu H, Sparrow D, Lenkinski RE & Wright RO (2007) Proton magnetic
815 resonance spectroscopic evidence of glial effects of cumulative lead exposure in the adult
816 human hippocampus. *Environ Health Perspect* **115**, 519-523. PMID: 17450218;
817 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.9645.
818 69. Lee EY, Flynn MR, Du G, Lewis MM, Kong L, Yanosky JD, Mailman RB & Huang X
819 (2019) Higher Hippocampal Mean Diffusivity Values in Asymptomatic Welders. *Toxicol*
820 *Sci* **168**, 486-496. PMID: 30629252; https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfz011.
821 70. Chang Y, Woo ST, Kim Y, Lee JJ, Song HJ, Lee HJ, Kim SH, Lee H, Kwon YJ, Ahn JH,
822 Park SJ, Chung IS & Jeong KS (2010) Pallidal index measured with three-dimensional
823 T1-weighted gradient echo sequence is a good predictor of manganese exposure in
824 welders. *J Magn Reson Imaging* **31**, 1020-1026. PMID: 20373449;
825 https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.22104.
826 71. Jiang YM, Long LL, Zhu XY, Zheng H, Fu X, Ou SY, Wei DL, Zhou HL & Zheng W
827 (2008) Evidence for altered hippocampal volume and brain metabolites in workers
828 occupationally exposed to lead: a study by magnetic resonance imaging and (1)H
829 magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Toxicology letters* **181**, 118-125. PMID: 18692119;
830 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2008.07.009.
831 72. Shi Y, Yang Y, Li W, Zhao Z, Yan L, Wang W, Aschner M, Zhang J, Zheng G & Shen X
832 (2023) High blood lead level correlates with selective hippocampal subfield atrophy and
833 neuropsychological impairments. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* **257**, 114945. PMID: 37105093;
834 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2023.114945.
835 73. Goetz CG, Tilley BC, Shaftman SR, Stebbins GT, Fahn S, Martinez-Martin P, Poewe W,
836 Sampaio C, Stern MB, Dodel R, Dubois B, Holloway R, Jankovic J, Kulisevsky J, Lang
837 AE, Lees A, Leurgans S, LeWitt PA, Nyenhuis D, Olanow CW, Rascol O, Schrag A,
838 Teresi JA, van Hilten JJ, LaPelle N & Movement Disorder Society URTF (2008)
839 Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease
840 Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): scale presentation and clinimetric testing results. *Mov*
841 *Disord* **23**, 2129-2170. PMID: 19025984; https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22340.
842 74. Randolph C, Tierney MC, Mohr E & Chase TN (1998) The Repeatable Battery for the
843 Assessment of Neuropsychological Status (RBANS): preliminary clinical validity. *J Clin*
844 *Exp Neuropsychol* **20**, 310-319. PMID: 9845158;
845 https://doi.org/10.1076/jcen.20.3.310.823.
846 75. Wechsler D (1997) *WAIS-III: Administration and scoring manual: Wechsler adult*
847 *intelligence scale*. third edn, (Psychological Corporation).
848 76. Tombaugh TN (2004) Trail Making Test A and B: normative data stratified by age and
849 education. *Archives of clinical neuropsychology : the official journal of the National*
850 *Academy of Neuropsychologists* **19**, 203-214. PMID: 15010086;
851 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6177(03)00039-8.
852 77. Golden CJ (1978) A manual for the clinical and experimental use of the Stroop color and
853 word test. PMID:

78. Delis DC, Kaplan E & Kramer JH (2001) *Delis-Kaplan executive function system (D-KEFS)*. (Psychological Corporation).
79. Wechsler D (1998) *Wechsler Memory Scale - 3rd edition (WMS-III)*. (The Psychological Corporation).
80. Golden CJ (1978) *A manual for clinical and experimental use of the Stroop Color and Word Test:* . (Stoelting).
81. Ruff RM & Parker SB (1993) Gender- and age-specific changes in motor speed and eye-hand coordination in adults: normative values for the Finger Tapping and Grooved Pegboard Tests. *Percept Mot Skills* **76**, 1219-1230. PMID: 8337069; https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.1993.76.3c.1219.
82. Gladsjo JA, Schuman CC, Evans JD, Peavy GM, Miller SW & Heaton RK (1999) Norms for letter and category fluency: demographic corrections for age, education, and ethnicity. *Assessment* **6**, 147-178. PMID: 10335019; https://doi.org/10.1177/107319119900600204.
83. Monaco M, Costa A, Caltagirone C & Carlesimo GA (2013) Forward and backward span for verbal and visuo-spatial data: standardization and normative data from an Italian adult population. *Neurol Sci* **34**, 749-754. PMID: 22689311; https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-012-1130-x.
84. Anonymous (2013) *FreeSurfer*.
85. Segonne F, Dale AM, Busa E, Glessner M, Salat D, Hahn HK & Fischl B (2004) A hybrid approach to the skull stripping problem in MRI. *Neuroimage* **22**, 1060-1075. PMID: 15219578; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.03.032.
86. Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E, Albert M, Dieterich M, Haselgrove C, van der Kouwe A, Killiany R, Kennedy D, Klaveness S, Montillo A, Makris N, Rosen B & Dale AM (2002) Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron* **33**, 341-355. PMID: 11832223; https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00569-x.
87. Fischl B, Salat DH, van der Kouwe AJ, Makris N, Segonne F, Quinn BT & Dale AM (2004) Sequence-independent segmentation of magnetic resonance images. *Neuroimage* **23 Suppl 1**, S69-84. PMID: 15501102; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.016.
88. Oguz I, Farzinfar M, Matsui J, Budin F, Liu Z, Gerig G, Johnson HJ & Styner M (2014) DTIPrep: quality control of diffusion-weighted images. *Front Neuroinform* **8**, 4. PMID: 24523693; https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2014.00004.
89. Le Bihan D, Mangin JF, Poupon C, Clark CA, Pappata S, Molko N & Chabriat H (2001) Diffusion tensor imaging: concepts and applications. *J Magn Reson Imaging* **13**, 534-546. PMID: 11276097; https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.1076.
90. Hastie T & Tibshirani R (1990) Exploring the nature of covariate effects in the proportional hazards model. *Biometrics* **46**, 1005-1016. PMID: 1964808;
91. Bobb JF, Valeri L, Claus Henn B, Christiani DC, Wright RO, Mazumdar M, Godleski JJ & Coull BA (2015) Bayesian kernel machine regression for estimating the health effects of multi-pollutant mixtures. *Biostatistics* **16**, 493-508. PMID: 25532525; https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxu058.
92. Valeri L & Vanderweele TJ (2013) Mediation analysis allowing for exposure-mediator interactions and causal interpretation: theoretical assumptions and implementation with SAS and SPSS macros. *Psychol Methods* **18**, 137-150. PMID: 23379553; https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031034.

93. VanderWeele T (2015) *Explanation in causal inference: methods for mediation and interaction*. (Oxford University Press).
94. Ding P & VanderWeele TJ (2016) Sensitivity Analysis Without Assumptions. *Epidemiology* **27**, 368-377. PMID: 26841057; https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000457.
95. Zhang Z, Zheng C, Kim C, Van Poucke S, Lin S & Lan P (2016) Causal mediation analysis in the context of clinical research. *Ann Transl Med* **4**, 425. PMID: 27942516; https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2016.11.11.
96. Holm S (1979) A Simple Sequentially Rejective Multiple Test Procedure. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* **6**, 65-70. PMID: WOS:A1979JY78700003;
97. Suzuki WA (2009) Comparative analysis of the cortical afferents, intrinsic projections, and interconnections of the parahippocampal region in monkeys and rats: in *The cognitive neurosciences* (eds M.S. Gazzaniga *et al.*) 659–674 (American Psychological Association).
98. Aminoff EM, Kveraga K & Bar M (2013) The role of the parahippocampal cortex in cognition. *Trends Cogn Sci* **17**, 379-390. PMID: 23850264; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.06.009.
99. Raslau FD, Mark IT, Klein AP, Ulmer JL, Mathews V & Mark LP (2015) Memory part 2: the role of the medial temporal lobe. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* **36**, 846-849. PMID: 25414002; https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4169.
100. Wixted JT & Squire LR (2011) The medial temporal lobe and the attributes of memory. *Trends Cogn Sci* **15**, 210-217. PMID: 21481629; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.03.005.
101. Devanand DP, Pradhaban G, Liu X, Khandji A, De Santi S, Segal S, Rusinek H, Pelton GH, Honig LS, Mayeux R, Stern Y, Tabert MH & de Leon MJ (2007) Hippocampal and entorhinal atrophy in mild cognitive impairment: prediction of Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* **68**, 828-836. PMID: 17353470; https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000256697.20968.d7.
102. Braak H & Braak E (1991) Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. *Acta Neuropathol* **82**, 239-259. PMID: 1759558; https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00308809.
103. Scahill RI, Schott JM, Stevens JM, Rossor MN & Fox NC (2002) Mapping the evolution of regional atrophy in Alzheimer's disease: unbiased analysis of fluid-registered serial MRI. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **99**, 4703-4707. PMID: 11930016; https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.052587399.
104. De Santi S, de Leon MJ, Rusinek H, Convit A, Tarshish CY, Roche A, Tsui WH, Kandil E, Boppana M, Daisley K, Wang GJ, Schlyer D & Fowler J (2001) Hippocampal formation glucose metabolism and volume losses in MCI and AD. *Neurobiol Aging* **22**, 529-539. PMID: 11445252; https://doi.org/10.1016/s0197-4580(01)00230-5.
105. Apostolova LG, Dutton RA, Dinov ID, Hayashi KM, Toga AW, Cummings JL & Thompson PM (2006) Conversion of mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer disease predicted by hippocampal atrophy maps. *Arch Neurol* **63**, 693-699. PMID: 16682538; https://doi.org/10.1001/archneur.63.5.693.
106. Kantarci K, Petersen RC, Boeve BF, Knopman DS, Weigand SD, O'Brien PC, Shiung MM, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, Tangalos EG & Jack CR, Jr. (2005) DWI predicts future progression to Alzheimer disease in amnestic mild cognitive impairment. *Neurology* **64**, 902-904. PMID: 15753434; https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000153076.46126.e9.

107. Douaud G, Menke RA, Gass A, Monsch AU, Rao A, Whitcher B, Zamboni G, Matthews PM, Sollberger M & Smith S (2013) Brain microstructure reveals early abnormalities more than two years prior to clinical progression from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci* **33**, 2147-2155. PMID: 23365250; https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4437-12.2013.
108. Nir TM, Jahanshad N, Villalon-Reina JE, Toga AW, Jack CR, Weiner MW, Thompson PM & Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging I (2013) Effectiveness of regional DTI measures in distinguishing Alzheimer's disease, MCI, and normal aging. *NeuroImage. Clinical* **3**, 180-195. PMID: 24179862; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2013.07.006.
109. Weston PSJ, Poole T, Nicholas JM, Toussaint N, Simpson IJA, Modat M, Ryan NS, Liang Y, Rossor MN, Schott JM, Ourselin S, Zhang H & Fox NC (2020) Measuring cortical mean diffusivity to assess early microstructural cortical change in presymptomatic familial Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* **12**, 112. PMID: 32943095; https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-020-00679-2.
110. Leandrou S, Lamnisos D, Mamais I, Kyriacou PA, Pattichis CS, Alzheimer's D & Neuroimaging I (2020) Assessment of Alzheimer's Disease Based on Texture Analysis of the Entorhinal Cortex. *Front Aging Neurosci* **12**, 176. PMID: 32714177; https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2020.00176.
111. Basser PJ & Pierpaoli C (2011) Microstructural and physiological features of tissues elucidated by quantitative-diffusion-tensor MRI. *J Magn Reson* **213**, 560-570. PMID: 22152371; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmr.2011.09.022.
112. Pines AR, Cieslak M, Larsen B, Baum GL, Cook PA, Adebimpe A, Davila DG, Elliott MA, Jirsaraie R, Murtha K, Oathes DJ, Piiwaa K, Rosen AFG, Rush S, Shinohara RT, Bassett DS, Roalf DR & Satterthwaite TD (2020) Leveraging multi-shell diffusion for studies of brain development in youth and young adulthood. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* **43**, 100788. PMID: 32510347; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2020.100788.
113. Jha RR, Jaswal G, Bhavsar A & Nigam A (2022) Single-shell to multi-shell dMRI transformation using spatial and volumetric multilevel hierarchical reconstruction framework. *Magn Reson Imaging* **87**, 133-156. PMID: 35017034; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2021.12.011.
114. Meyer-Baron M, Schaper M, Knapp G, Lucchini R, Zoni S, Bast-Pettersen R, Ellingsen DG, Thomassen Y, He S, Yuan H, Niu Q, Wang XL, Yang YJ, Iregren A, Sjogren B, Blond M, Laursen P, Netterstrom B, Mergler D, Bowler R & van Thriel C (2013) The neurobehavioral impact of manganese: results and challenges obtained by a meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Neurotoxicology* **36**, 1-9. PMID: 23419685; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2013.02.003.
115. Chang Y, Kim Y, Woo ST, Song HJ, Kim SH, Lee H, Kwon YJ, Ahn JH, Park SJ, Chung IS & Jeong KS (2009) High signal intensity on magnetic resonance imaging is a better predictor of neurobehavioral performances than blood manganese in asymptomatic welders. *Neurotoxicology* **30**, 555-563. PMID: 19376157; https://doi.org/S0161-813X(09)00084-9 [pii];10.1016/j.neuro.2009.04.002 [doi].
116. Akila R, Stollery BT & Riihimaki V (1999) Decrements in cognitive performance in metal inert gas welders exposed to aluminium. *Occup Environ Med* **56**, 632-639. PMID: 10615297; https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.56.9.632.

990 117. Backman L, Small BJ & Fratiglioni L (2001) Stability of the preclinical episodic memory
991 deficit in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* **124**, 96-102. PMID: 11133790;
992 https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/124.1.96.
993 118. Tulving E (1984) Precis of Tulving Elements of Episodic Memory (Oxford-University-
994 Press, 1983). *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* **7**, 223-238. PMID:
995 WOS:A1984SX97600028; https://doi.org/Doi 10.1017/S0140525x0004440x.
996 119. Tromp D, Dufour A, Lithfous S, Pebayle T & Despres O (2015) Episodic memory in
997 normal aging and Alzheimer disease: Insights from imaging and behavioral studies.
998 *Ageing Res Rev* **24**, 232-262. PMID: 26318058; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2015.08.006.
999 120. Di Paola M, Macaluso E, Carlesimo GA, Tomaiuolo F, Worsley KJ, Fadda L &
1000 Caltagirone C (2007) Episodic memory impairment in patients with Alzheimer's disease
1001 is correlated with entorhinal cortex atrophy. A voxel-based morphometry study. *J Neurol*
1002 **254**, 774-781. PMID: 17404777; https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-006-0435-1.
1003 121. Tulving E & Markowitsch HJ (1998) Episodic and declarative memory: role of the
1004 hippocampus. *Hippocampus* **8**, 198-204. PMID: 9662134;
1005 https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-1063(1998)8:3<198::AID-HIPO2>3.0.CO;2-G.
1006 122. Carlesimo GA, Cherubini A, Caltagirone C & Spalletta G (2010) Hippocampal mean
1007 diffusivity and memory in healthy elderly individuals: a cross-sectional study. *Neurology*
1008 **74**, 194-200. PMID: 20054010; https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181cb3e39.
1009 123. Mayo CD, Garcia-Barrera MA, Mazerolle EL, Ritchie LJ, Fisk JD, Gawryluk JR &
1010 Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging I (2018) Relationship Between DTI Metrics and
1011 Cognitive Function in Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Aging Neurosci* **10**, 436. PMID:
1012 30687081; https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2018.00436.
1013 124. Takahashi E, Ohki K & Miyashita Y (2002) The role of the parahippocampal gyrus in
1014 source memory for external and internal events. *Neuroreport* **13**, 1951-1956. PMID:
1015 12395098; https://doi.org/10.1097/00001756-200210280-00024.
1016 125. Kraft JN, O'Shea A, Albizu A, Evangelista ND, Hausman HK, Boutzoukas E, Nissim
1017 NR, Van Etten EJ, Bharadwaj PK, Song H, Smith SG, Porges E, DeKosky S, Hishaw
1018 GA, Wu S, Marsiske M, Cohen R, Alexander GE & Woods AJ (2020) Structural Neural
1019 Correlates of Double Decision Performance in Older Adults. *Front Aging Neurosci* **12**,
1020 278. PMID: 33117145; https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2020.00278.
1021 126. Salthouse TA (1996) The processing-speed theory of adult age differences in cognition.
1022 *Psychological review* **103**, 403. PMID: 8759042;
1023 127. O'Shea A, Cohen RA, Porges EC, Nissim NR & Woods AJ (2016) Cognitive Aging and
1024 the Hippocampus in Older Adults. *Front Aging Neurosci* **8**, 298. PMID: 28008314;
1025 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2016.00298.
1026 128. Reuben A, Brickman AM, Muraskin J, Steffener J & Stern Y (2011) Hippocampal
1027 atrophy relates to fluid intelligence decline in the elderly. *Journal of the International*
1028 *Neuropsychological Society : JINS* **17**, 56-61. PMID: 21092387;
1029 https://doi.org/10.1017/S135561771000127X.
1030 129. Papp KV, Kaplan RF, Springate B, Moscufo N, Wakefield DB, Guttmann CR & Wolfson
1031 L (2014) Processing speed in normal aging: effects of white matter hyperintensities and
1032 hippocampal volume loss. *Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn* **21**, 197-
1033 213. PMID: 23895570; https://doi.org/10.1080/13825585.2013.795513.

1034 130. Yamamoto N, Philbeck JW, Woods AJ, Gajewski DA, Arthur JC, Potolicchio SJ, Jr.,
1035 Levy L & Caputy AJ (2014) Medial temporal lobe roles in human path integration. *PLoS*
1036 *One* **9**, e96583. PMID: 24802000; https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096583.
1037 131. Kuate-Tegueu C, Avila-Funes JA, Simo N, Le Goff M, Amieva H, Dartigues JF &
1038 Tabue-Teguo M (2017) Association of Gait Speed, Psychomotor Speed, and Dementia. *J*
1039 *Alzheimers Dis* **60**, 585-592. PMID: 28869468; https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-170267.
1040 132. Andriuta D, Diouf M, Roussel M & Godefroy O (2019) Is Reaction Time Slowing an
1041 Early Sign of Alzheimer's Disease? A Meta-Analysis. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* **47**,
1042 281-288. PMID: 31408867; https://doi.org/10.1159/000500348.
1043 133. Bailon O, Roussel M, Boucart M, Krystkowiak P & Godefroy O (2010) Psychomotor
1044 slowing in mild cognitive impairment, Alzheimer's disease and lewy body dementia:
1045 mechanisms and diagnostic value. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* **29**, 388-396. PMID:
1046 20484908; https://doi.org/10.1159/000305095.
1047 134. Kim Y, Jeong KS, Song HJ, Lee JJ, Seo JH, Kim GC, Lee HJ, Kim HJ, Ahn JH, Park SJ,
1048 Kim SH, Kwon YJ & Chang Y (2011) Altered white matter microstructural integrity
1049 revealed by voxel-wise analysis of diffusion tensor imaging in welders with manganese
1050 exposure. *Neurotoxicology* **32**, 100-109. PMID: 21111757;
1051 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2010.11.004.
1052 135. Patel R & Aschner M (2021) Commonalities between Copper Neurotoxicity and
1053 Alzheimer's Disease. *Toxics* **9**, 4. PMID: 33430181;
1054 https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics9010004.
1055 136. Bagheri S, Squitti R, Haertle T, Siotto M & Saboury AA (2017) Role of Copper in the
1056 Onset of Alzheimer's Disease Compared to Other Metals. *Front Aging Neurosci* **9**, 446.
1057 PMID: 29472855; https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2017.00446.
1058 137. Salvador GA, Uranga RM & Giusto NM (2010) Iron and mechanisms of neurotoxicity.
1059 *Int J Alzheimers Dis* **2011**, 720658. PMID: 21234369;
1060 https://doi.org/10.4061/2011/720658.
1061 138. Hosking DE, Ayton S, Beckett N, Booth A & Peters R (2018) More evidence is needed.
1062 Iron, incident cognitive decline and dementia: a systematic review. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis*
1063 **9**, 241-256. PMID: 30719269; https://doi.org/10.1177/2040622318788485.
1064 139. Li S, Sun W & Zhang D (2019) Association of Zinc, Iron, Copper, and Selenium Intakes
1065 with Low Cognitive Performance in Older Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study from
1066 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). *J Alzheimers Dis* **72**,
1067 1145-1157. PMID: 31683474; https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-190263.
1068 140. Gong Z, Song W, Gu M, Zhou X & Tian C (2021) Association between serum iron
1069 concentrations and cognitive impairment in older adults aged 60 years and older: A dose-
1070 response analysis of National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *PloS one* **16**,
1071 e0255595. PMID: 34339453;
1072 141. Spence H, McNeil CJ & Waiter GD (2020) The impact of brain iron accumulation on
1073 cognition: A systematic review. *PLoS One* **15**, e0240697. PMID: 33057378;
1074 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240697.
1075 142. Ayton S, Wang Y, Diouf I, Schneider JA, Brockman J, Morris MC & Bush AI (2020)
1076 Brain iron is associated with accelerated cognitive decline in people with Alzheimer
1077 pathology. *Molecular psychiatry* **25**, 2932-2941. PMID: 30778133;
1078 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0375-7.

1079 143. Hect JL, Daugherty AM, Hermez KM & Thomason ME (2018) Developmental variation
1080 in regional brain iron and its relation to cognitive functions in childhood. *Dev Cogn*
1081 *Neurosci* **34**, 18-26. PMID: 29894887; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2018.05.004.
1082 144. Ghadery C, Pirpamer L, Hofer E, Langkammer C, Petrovic K, Loitfelder M,
1083 Schwingenschuh P, Seiler S, Duering M, Jouvent E, Schmidt H, Fazekas F, Mangin JF,
1084 Chabriat H, Dichgans M, Ropele S & Schmidt R (2015) R2* mapping for brain iron:
1085 associations with cognition in normal aging. *Neurobiol Aging* **36**, 925-932. PMID:
1086 25443291; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.09.013.
1087 145. Brito JA, McNeill FE, Chettle DR, Webber CE & Vaillancourt C (2000) Study of the
1088 relationships between bone lead levels and its variation with time and the cumulative
1089 blood lead index, in a repeated bone lead survey. *J Environ Monit* **2**, 271-276. PMID:
1090 11256712; https://doi.org/10.1039/b002855j.
1091 146. Leggett RW (1993) An age-specific kinetic model of lead metabolism in humans.
1092 *Environ Health Perspect* **101**, 598-616. PMID: 8143593;
1093 https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.93101598.
1094 147. Nie H, Sanchez BN, Wilker E, Weisskopf MG, Schwartz J, Sparrow D & Hu H (2009)
1095 Bone lead and endogenous exposure in an environmentally exposed elderly population:
1096 the normative aging study. *J Occup Environ Med* **51**, 848-857. PMID: 19528829;
1097 https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e3181aa0106.
1098 148. Criswell SR, Perlmutter JS, Huang JL, Golchin N, Flores HP, Hobson A, Aschner M,
1099 Erikson KM, Checkoway H & Racette BA (2012) Basal ganglia intensity indices and
1100 diffusion weighted imaging in manganese-exposed welders. *Occup Environ Med* **69**, 437-
1101 443. PMID: 22447645; https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2011-100119.
1102 149. Choi DS, Kim EA, Cheong HK, Khang HS, Ryoo JW, Cho JM, Sakong J & Park I
1103 (2007) Evaluation of MR signal index for the assessment of occupational manganese
1104 exposure of welders by measurement of local proton T1 relaxation time. *Neurotoxicology*
1105 **28**, 284-289. PMID: 16828869; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2006.05.020.
1106 150. Ko JL, Cheng YJ, Liu GC, Hsin IL & Chen HL (2017) The association of occupational
1107 metals exposure and oxidative damage, telomere shortening in fitness equipments
1108 manufacturing workers. *Ind Health* **55**, 345-353. PMID: 28420806;
1109 https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.2016-0148.

1110

1111

1112 **Tables**

1113 ***Table 1. Summary statistics for demographics (a) and overall exposure measures (b) for controls***
1114 ***and welders***

	Controls (N=31)	Welders (N=42)	Raw p-values
a. Demographics			
Age (y)	43.6 ± 11.4	48.9 ± 10.7	0.048
Education (y)	16.2 ± 2.2	12.9 ± 1.6	< 0.001
MoCA	26.2 ± 2.5	26.3 ± 2.1	0.797
UPDRS-III	1.5 ± 2.1	2.0 ± 2.5	0.326
b. Metal exposure measures			
Overall exposure metrics			***< 0.001***
$HrsW_{90}$ (hours)	0 ± 0	241 ± 200	< 0.001
E_{90} (mg-days/m^3)	0.003 ± 0	2.3 ± 2.0	< 0.001
YrsW (y)	0 ± 0	26.2 ± 10.9	< 0.001
ELT (mg-y/m^3)	0.001 ± 0.0003	1.1 ± 0.7	< 0.001
Overall blood metal levels			***< 0.001***
Ca (mg/L)	57.8 ± 8.75	58.4 ± 9.16	0.766
Cr (μg/L)	7.91 ± 20.5	29.1 ± 148	0.102
Cu (μg/L)	749 ± 133	894 ± 124	< 0.001
Fe (mg/L)	498 ± 76	556 ± 53	0.002
K (mg/L)	1765 ± 342	2085 ± 394	0.001
Mg (mg/L)	35.7 ± 5.17	38.4 ± 6.48	0.070
Mn (μg/L)	8.9 ± 2.5	11.0 ± 3.2	0.002
Na (mg/L)	2037 ± 370	2191 ± 389	0.134
Pb (μg/L)	8.5 ± 4.8	22.7 ± 18.5	< 0.001
Se (μg/L)	149 ± 69.8	220 ± 105	0.001
Sr (μg/L)	13.9 ± 5.17	14.0 ± 6.26	0.928
Zn (μg/L)	5.62 ± 1.57	6.44 ± 1.47	0.026

1115 *Data represent the mean ± SD for each measure. Groups were compared using one-way analysis*
1116 *of variance (ANOVA). Metal exposure measures were compared using multivariate analysis of*
1117 *variance (MANOVA). Abbreviations: y = years; MoCA =Montreal Cognitive Assessment; UPDRS*
1118 *= Unified PD Rating Scale; $HrsW_{90}$ =Hours welding, 90 days; E_{90} = Cumulative 90 day exposure*
1119 *to Mn; YrsW =Years welding, lifetime; ELT = Cumulative exposure to Mn, lifetime;*
1120
1121

1122 ***Table 2. Neuropsychological test results for controls and welders***

Test	*Controls (n=31)*	*Welders (n=42)*	*P-value*
Overall Cognition			0.063
Processing/Psychomotor speed			**0.046**
Stroop-Color[b]	-0.35 ± 1.16	-0.80 ± 1.03	**0.034**
Stroop-Word[b]	-0.03 ± 1.05	-0.84 ± 1.19	**0.004**
Symbol Search[a]	0.88 ± 0.99	0.43 ± 0.67	**0.011**
Trail Making-A[b]	0.69 ± 0.79	0.50 ± 0.75	0.203
Executive function			**<0.001**
Color-word[b]	0.12 ± 0.58	0.06 ± 0.70	0.512
Symbol-Digit Coding[a]	0.46 ± 1.06	-0.10 ± 0.78	**0.010**
Phonemic Fluency[b]	0.36 ± 0.87	-0.31 ± 0.73	**<0.001**
Trail Making-B[b]	0.51 ± 0.83	-0.35± 1.41	**0.004**
Language			0.487
Picture Naming[a]	0.24 ± 1.13	0.59 ± 0.24	0.468
Semantic Fluency[a]	0.04 ± 1.06	-0.23 ± 0.99	0.365
Visuospatial Processing			**0.045**
Judgement of Line Orientation[a]	0.69 ± 0.94	0.55 ± 0.82	0.858
Complex Figure Copy[a]	-0.22 ± 0.86	-1.09 ± 1.35	**0.013**
Learning/Memory			0.055
List Learning[a]	-0.64 ± 0.93	-0.76 ± 1.00	0.493
Immediate Story Recall[a]	0.06 ± 0.93	-0.42 ± 1.04	0.151
Delayed List Recall[a]	-0.73 ± 0.98	-0.52 ± 1.01	0.192
Delayed Story Recall[a]	0.13 ± 0.90	-0.46 ± 1.04	**0.049**
Delayed Figure Recall[a]	-0.13 ± 1.10	0.01 ± 1.16	0.261
Attention/working memory			0.126
Letter Number Sequencing[a]	0.30 ± 1.00	0.03 ± 0.94	0.906
Digit Span[a]	0.81 ± 1.11	0.09 ± 0.83	0.131
Spatial Span[a]	0.34 ± 0.65	-0.10 ± 0.93	0.176

1123 *Data represent the mean ± SD. Test scores were converted to z-scores based on age- (denoted as [a])*
1124 *or age- and education- (denoted as [b]) adjusted norm (t- or scaled) scores. Higher scores indicate*
1125 *better performance. Multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) was conducted with Group*
1126 *as the independent variable and individual subtests of each cognitive domain as the dependent*
1127 *variables. Bold numbers indicate significant result at p<0.05. Education level was additionally*
1128 *adjusted for the cognitive domains language, visuospatial processing, learning/memory, and*
1129 *attention/working memory.*

Table 3. Semi-parametric regression analyses evaluating the influence of exposure measures on medial temporal MRI and neurobehavioral metrics for controls (a) and welders (b)

Outcome variables	*Selected Predictors*	*Linear β*	*T-value*	*p-value*
		a. Controls (N=31)		
Delayed List Recall				
	K	-11.7869	-3.03	0.029
	Se	3.1201	2.90	0.034
		b. Welders (N=42)		
Composite metrics of exposure metrics				
Parahippocampal FA	YrsW	-0.0011	-2.69	0.011
Hippocampal MD	ELT	0.0227	2.08	0.045
Hippocampal RD	ELT	0.0218	2.20	0.035
Symbol-Digit Coding	E_{90}	-0.161	-2.46	0.019
Blood Metal Levels				
Entorhinal MD	Mn	0.238	3.04	0.008
Entorhinal AD	Mn	0.266	3.04	0.008
Entorhinal RD	Mn	0.223	3.01	0.009
Hippocampal MD	Pb	0.041	2.48	0.033
Hippocampal AD	Fe	-0.371	-2.51	0.031
	Pb	0.048	2.43	0.035
Hippocampal RD	Pb	0.037	2.44	0.035
Symbol-Digit Coding	Cu	-3.13	-2.66	0.017
List Learning	Fe	7.58	5.15	<0.001
	Mn	-1.00	-2.54	0.025
Delayed List Recall	Fe	6.53	2.95	0.008
	Mn	-1.65	-2.79	0.012
Immediate Story Recall	Cu	-3.78	-2.29	0.039
Delayed Story Recall	Cu	-4.21	-3.50	0.003
Delayed Figure Recall	Mn	-3.18	-4.50	<0.001

Data represent parameter estimates and corresponding T- and p-values of exposure measurements to predict MTL MRI and neurobehavioral metrics.

Table 4. Semi-parametric regression analyses to determine medial temporal structural MRI predicting neurobehavioral metrics for controls (a) and welders (b)

Outcome variables	*Selected Predictors*	*Linear ß*	*T-value*	*p-value*
	a. Controls (N=31)			
Stroop-Word	Parahippocampal AD	-4.80	-2.18	0.041
Symbol Search	Parahippocampal MD	-4.75	-2.10	0.047
	Parahippocampal AD	-4.76	-2.37	0.027
Symbol-Digit Coding	Parahippocampal AD	-4.64	-2.43	0.024
Trail Making-B	Parahippocampal AD	-3.92	-2.25	0.034
Delayed List Recall	Entorhinal MD	-5.04	-2.11	0.046
Delayed Story Recall	Entorhinal MD	-5.40	-2.77	0.011
	Entorhinal AD	-5.53	-2.76	0.012
	Entorhinal RD	-6.23	-3.10	0.005
	Entorhinal FA	19.92	2.34	0.028
	Parahippocampal MD	-4.78	-2.39	0.026
	Parahippocampal AD	-4.11	-2.13	0.045
	Parahippocampal RD	-4.85	-2.39	0.028
Spatial Span	Hippocampal AD	-5.32	-2.58	0.017
	b. Welders (N=42)			
Symbol Search	Parahippocampal FA	14.26	2.67	0.012
Trail Making-A	Parahippocampal FA	15.75	2.31	0.027
	Hippocampal MD	-4.46	-2.10	0.043
	Hippocampal RD	-4.97	-2.16	0.038
Immediate Story Recall	Parahippocampal MD	-5.72	-2.64	0.012
	Parahippocampal AD	-5.54	-2.72	0.010
	Parahippocampal RD	-6.61	-3.14	0.004
List Learning	Hippocampal AD	-4.73	-2.18	0.035
Delayed List Recall	Entorhinal MD	-4.18	-3.09	0.004
	Entorhinal AD	-3.54	-2.96	0.006
	Entorhinal RD	-3.77	-2.87	0.007

Data represent parameter estimates and corresponding T- and p-values of MTL MRI metrics to predict neurobehavioral metrics.

Figures and legends



Figure 1. Automatically segmented regions of interest [hippocampus, entorhinal and parahippocampal cortices] on T1-weighted MPRAGE images.



Figure 2. Mediation models to test effects of exposure (composite metrics of welding exposure; blood metal levels) on neurobehavioral metrics while medial temporal DTI metrics serve as mediators after controlling for potential confounders (age; education level; blood levels of other metals): 1) A indicates direct effects of exposure on neurobehavioral metrics that are not mediated by medial temporal DTI metrics; 2) B*C indicates mediation effects of exposure on neurobehavioral metrics that are mediated by medial temporal DTI metrics.



Figure 3. Morphologic MRI measures in welders and controls: a) hippocampal volume; b) cortical thickness in entorhinal and parahippocampal cortices.



Figure 4. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) a) mean (MD); b) axial (AD), c) radial (RD) diffusivity, and d) fractional anisotropy (FA) of medial temporal structures for welders and controls.



Figure 5. Scatter plots show a) adjusted parahippocampal FA values (y-axis) vs. YrsW (x-axis) in welders; b) adjusted *Symbol-Digit Coding* scores (y-axis) vs. E_{90} (x-axis). Adjusted values indicate values after controlling for age and education level.



Figure 6. BKMR (Bayesian kernel machine regression) univariate dose-response associations of metal mixture components with medial temporal DTI and neurobehavioral scores with 95% confidence interval band; a) estimate of entorhinal MD values (y-axis) vs. log-transformed blood Mn (x-axis) in welders; b) estimate of entorhinal AD values (y-axis) vs. log-transformed blood Mn (x-axis) in welders; c) estimate of entorhinal RD values (y-axis) vs. log-transformed blood Mn (x-axis) in welders; d) estimate of *List Learning* scores (y-axis) vs. log-transformed blood Fe (x-axis) in welders; e) estimate of *Delayed Story Recall* scores (y-axis) vs. log-transformed blood Cu (x-axis) in welders. Age and education level were used as covariates. Other blood metal levels than the metal of interest in the analysis were fixed at the median on the y-axis.



Figure 7. Scatter plots show a) adjusted *Delayed List Recall* scores (y-axis) vs. adjusted entorhinal MD values (x-axis); b) adjusted *Immediate Story Recall* scores (y-axis) vs. adjusted parahippocampal RD values (x-axis); c) *Symbol Search* scores (y-axis) vs. adjusted parahippocampal FA values (x-axis); d) adjusted *Trail Making-A* scores (y-axis) vs. adjusted parahippocampal FA values (x-axis); e) adjusted *List Learning* scores (y-axis) vs. adjusted hippocampal AD values (x-axis) in both welders and controls.