Common- and rare-variant genetic architecture of heart failure across the allele frequency spectrum

David S.M. Lee, MD, PhD[1], Katie M. Cardone, BS[2], David Y. Zhang, BA[2], Sarah Abramowitz BA[3], John S. DePaolo, MD, PhD[3], Krishna G. Aragam, MD[4,5], Kiran Biddinger[5], Mitchell Conery, BA[6], Ozan Dilitikas, MD[7], Lily Hoffman-Andrews, MS, CGC[8], Renae L. Judy, MS[3], Atlas Khan, PhD[9], Iftikhar Kulo, MD[7], Megan J. Puckelwartz, PhD[10], Nosheen Reza, MD[7], Benjamin A. Satterfield, MD, PhD[7], Pankhuri Singhal, PhD[2], Regeneron Genetics Center[11], Zoltan P. Arany, MD, PhD[8,12], Thomas P. Cappola, MD, ScM[8], Eric Carruth, PhD[13], Sharlene M. Day, MD[8,12], Ron Do, PhD[14,15,16], Christopher M. Haggarty, PhD[13], Jacob Joseph, MD[17,18], Elizabeth McNally, MD, PhD[19], Girish Nadkarni, MD, MPH[20], Anjali T. Owens, MD[12], Daniel J. Rader, MD[2,21], Marylyn D. Ritchie, PhD[2,22], Yan Sun, PhD, MS[23], Benjamin F. Voight, PhD[2,24,25,26], Michael G. Levin, MD[7,26]*, Scott M. Damrauer, MD[2,3,12,26]*

[1]Department of Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL

[2]Department of Genetics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

[3]Department of Surgery, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

[4]Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

[5]Program in Medical and Population Genetics and Cardiovascular Disease Initiative, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA

[6]Genomics and Computational Biology Graduate Group, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

[7]Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

[8]Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

[9]Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY

[10]Department of Pharmacology, Center for Genetic Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL

[11]Regeneron Genetics Center, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Tarrytown, NY

[12]Cardiovascular Institute, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

[13]Department of Translational Data Science and Informatics, Geisinger, Danville, PA

[14]The Charles Bronfman Institute for Personalized Medicine, Mount Sinai Icahn School of Medicine, New York, NY

[15]Biome Phenomics Center, Mount Sinai Icahn School of Medicine, New York, NY

[16]Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Mount Sinai Icahn School of Medicine, New York, NY

[17]Massachusetts Veterans Epidemiology Research and Information Center, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA
[18]Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
[19]Center for Genetic Medicine, Bluhm Cardiovascular Institute, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL
[20]Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Mount Sinai Icahn School of Medicine, New York, NY
[21]Division of Translational Medicine and Human Genetics, Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA
[22]Institute for Biomedical Informatics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA
[23]Deparment of Biomedical Informatics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA
[24]Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA
[25]Department of Systems Pharmacology and Translational Therapeutics, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA
[26]Corporal Michael J. Crescenz VA Medical Center, Philadelphia, PA
*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Heart failure (HF) is a complex trait, influenced by environmental and genetic factors, that affects over 30 million individuals worldwide. Historically, the genetics of HF have been studied in Mendelian forms of disease, where rare genetic variants have been linked to familial cardiomyopathies. More recently, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have successfully identified common genetic variants associated with risk of HF. However, the relative importance of genetic variants across the allele-frequency spectrum remains incompletely characterized. Here, we report the results of common- and rare-variant association studies of all-cause heart failure, applying recently developed methods to quantify the heritability of HF attributable to different classes of genetic variation. We combine GWAS data across multiple populations including 207,346 individuals with HF and 2,151,210 without, identifying 176 risk loci at genome-wide significance ($p < 5x10^{-8}$). Signals at newly identified common-variant loci include coding variants in Mendelian cardiomyopathy genes (*MYBPC3*, *BAG3*), as well as regulators of lipoprotein (*LPL*) and glucose metabolism (*GIPR*, *GLP1R*), and are enriched in cardiac, muscle, nerve, and vascular tissues, as well as myocyte and adipocyte cell types. Gene burden studies across three biobanks (PMBB, UKB, AOU) including 27,208 individuals with HF and 349,126 without uncover exome-wide significant ($p < 3.15x10^{-6}$) associations for HF and rare predicted loss-of-function (pLoF) variants in *TTN*, *MYBPC3*, *FLNC, and BAG3.* Total burden heritability of

rare coding variants (2.2%, 95% CI 0.99-3.5%) is highly concentrated in a small set of Mendelian cardiomyopathy genes, and is lower than heritability attributable to common variants (4.3%, 95% CI 3.9-4.7%) which is more diffusely spread throughout the genome. Finally, we demonstrate that common-variant background, in the form of a polygenic risk score (PRS), significantly modifies the risk of HF among carriers of pathogenic truncating variants in the Mendelian cardiomyopathy gene TTN. These findings suggest a significant polygenic component to HF exists that is not captured by current clinical genetic testing.

Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a heterogeneous clinical syndrome affecting >30 million individuals worldwide and is the leading cause of unplanned hospital admissions in the United States for individuals >65 years old. HF arises from diverse etiologic causes, including chronic ischemic heart disease, myocardial infarction, diabetes, hypertension, obesity, arrhythmias, infiltrative and inflammatory disorders, and exposure to drugs or environmental toxins[1]. Although there has been progress in mapping genes involved in familial/Mendelian forms of cardiomyopathy, the genetic architecture of common HF remains less well understood. Unlike for other common cardiometabolic traits, the number of genetic loci associated with HF has remained comparatively limited despite increasing sample sizes[2–6]. In a subset of individuals, pathogenic variants affecting a core set of cardiomyopathy genes can be identified as the putative cause of HF using clinical panel-based genetic testing[7,8]. As with other complex disease traits[4,6,9], common less penetrant variants influencing these core genes might also contribute to HF risk; yet, the catalog of such variants and their cumulative contribution to HF has not been fully elaborated. More broadly, few studies have integrated effects across common and rare variants to quantify the extent they implicate similar genes and pathways in HF.

Here, we conduct a comprehensive analysis of genetic variants across the allele frequency spectrum to examine shared and distinct pathways implicated by common and rare genetic variants in HF. Specifically, we perform a multi-population GWAS meta-analysis to identify new loci where common genetic variants are associated with all-cause HF and, in parallel, examine the contribution of rare coding variants. We leverage this data to estimate the heritability of HF, and quantify enrichment of both common and rare variants in a core set of known cardiomyopathy genes. Finally, we construct a multi-population PRS to evaluate how common variants act collectively to modify the penetrance of loss-of-function truncating variants in *TTN*, the most common Mendelian risk factor for cardiomyopathy. Overall, our findings highlight the significant polygenic component of HF risk within the general population that is currently not captured by clinical genetic testing, and suggest that polygenic background may be important even among carriers of highly penetrant loss-of-function variants in known monogenic cardiomyopathy genes.

Results

GWAS of All-Cause Heart Failure

We conducted a multi-population GWAS meta-analysis of all-cause HF using an inverse-variance weighted fixed effects model, assembled from participants of large consortia and medical biobanks (Figure 1). Comprised of 207,346 individuals with and 2,151,210 individuals without HF, this analysis represents an approximate doubling in the effective sample size of the previously largest published HF GWAS (Figure 1)[3]. We combined individuals across four population groups with genetic similarity to 1000 Genomes Project reference superpopulations[12]: a European group (EUR, 81.1% of effective sample size), an East Asian group (EAS, 6.5%), an African group including admixed African Americans (AFR, 9.7%), and an Admixed American group (AMR, 2.6%). The analysis identified 9,990 variants at 176 loci where genetic associations reached genome-wide significance ($P<5x10^{-8}$ - Figure 2, Suppl. Fig. 1 and Suppl. Table 1), representing an approximately 3.7-fold increase in the number of genome-wide significant loci compared to prior analyses[3].

Of the 47 lead variants reported in the most recent HF GWAS[3], 46 variants (or perfect proxies) were associated with HF with concordant direction of effects (two-tailed binomial $P = 6.82 \times 10^{-13}$). 41/46 (89%) were significant at the genome-wide threshold, while 5/46 (11%) (rs1712355, rs12325072, rs138178446, rs150966198, rs6944634) remained significant at a conservative Bonferroni-corrected replication threshold ($p < 0.05/46$) with concordant directions of effect. Of the 176 loci identified in our study (Fig. 2A), 146 were located >500 kb from lead variants identified in the previous largest HF GWAS (where multiple signals were present at some loci)[3]. Approximately one-third of new lead variants in our study demonstrate pleiotropic associations with known HF risk factors in the NHGRI/EBI GWAS Catalog, including BMI, atrial fibrillation, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, coronary artery disease, lipid metabolism, diabetes, and kidney function (Suppl. Table 2). Several newly discovered HF lead variants have also been associated with lifestyle factors with known contributions to HF risk, including alcohol consumption (rs988748, rs28712821)[13,14], smoking (rs1435428, rs34517439)[15,16], and physical activity (rs34517439)[17], highlighting the importance of behavioral phenotypes in HF risk.

Fine-mapping of risk loci

We performed fine-mapping of the 176 known and novel loci reaching genome-wide significance in our multi-population meta-analysis to identify candidate causal variants. Compared to fine-

mapping of the EUR population alone, the multi-population analysis improved fine-mapping resolution, decreasing the median size of the 99% credible sets by 35.1%, from 55.5 to 36 fine-mapped variants per locus (Suppl. Fig. 4). At 45 loci (25%), the fine-mapped credible set contained 10 or fewer variants. We explored protein coding consequences for fine-mapped variants within 99% credible sets across all 176 lead loci (n=14,628) using Ensembl VEP. Approximately one-third of our lead loci (61/176) contained a fine-mapped variant affecting a protein-coding sequence, with 42 loci harboring variants predicted to be either missense or protein truncating variants (Suppl. Table 3). Among these variants, four were predicted to be high-confidence protein truncations and were located in newly-implicated HF risk loci: *SLC23A1* (rs11242462), *LPL* (rs328), *OR4P4* (rs76160133), and *MAPT* (rs754512). *SLC23A1* encodes a sodium-dependent L-ascorbic acid transporter associated with circulating plasma vitamin C levels in humans[18], *LPL* encodes the lipoprotein lipase enzyme, *OR4P4* encodes an olfactory receptor gene at the 11q11 locus which has previously been linked to obesity[19], and *MAPT* encodes the *Tau* proteins involved in maintaining microtubule stability. Other variants uncovered by our approach include a missense variant in *MYBPC3* (rs11570076, tagged by the novel HF locus at rs2904129) located within a key domain for regulating the cMyBP-C interaction with S2 domain of myosin, and the previously described *BAG3* missense variant rs2234962 (tagged by rs17617337) that is enriched among patients with dilated cardiomyopathy[20].

Gene-prioritization

To nominate genes implicated by GWAS loci, we used an ensemble approach combining physical mapping to the nearest-gene, the coding consequence of fine-mapped variants, and results from TWAS and MAGMA (see Methods) to prioritize 577 genes, of which 73 genes were prioritized by at least 3 methods (Suppl. Table 4). Among this subset of highly prioritized genes at novel loci were the glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide receptor (*GIPR*) and the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (*GLP1R*). Of note, neither of these genes have previously been associated with HF in humans, although cardioselective deletion of *GIPR* has previously been shown to improve survival and reduce adverse remodeling following myocardial ischemic injury in mice[21]. Given the role of these genes in glucose homeostasis and obesity, we performed multi-trait colocalization using GWAS summary statistics to evaluate the genetic evidence supporting shared or independent signals for HF, diabetes[5], hemoglobin A1c[22], and body mass index[23]. At the *GIPR* locus there was 99.4% posterior probability of a shared genetic variant influencing both HF and BMI. In contrast, at the *GLP1R* locus we did not find evidence of colocalization, indicating

the possibility that the HF, diabetes, and obesity signals at this locus may be due to separate mechanisms.

Tissue, cell, and pathway-enrichment

Using the full set of genes nominated at GWAS loci (n = 577), we queried publicly available data to identify relevant tissues and biological pathways implicated by loci from our GWAS meta-analysis. As expected, heart and blood vessels were among the tissues containing significantly up-regulated expression of GWAS-prioritized genes (Fig. 2B) while hallmark gene sets for myogenesis and hypoxia were also enriched (Suppl. Fig 5). Among phenotype-associated gene sets published in the NHGRI/EBI GWAS catalog, GWAS-prioritized genes overlapped most significantly with gene sets associated with coronary artery disease, systolic blood pressure, body mass index, and atrial fibrillation, reflecting the multifactorial nature of HF (Suppl. Fig. 6). Consistent with an emerging role for metabolic processes in HF, when matched against single cell expression data from 13 cell types across 8 different tissues published by GTEx[24], GWAS-prioritized genes were most significantly enriched in myocyte and adipocyte cells in the heart (Fig. 2C). Cardiac adipose tissue is thought to contribute to cardiac pathology through myriad mechanisms[25], and this enrichment in cardiac adipocytes suggests part of their contribution to HF is mediated by gene expression changes resulting from germline genetic variation. Notably, prior GWAS had only reported enrichment of GWAS genes in cardiac myocytes[3].

Rare Variant Genetic Architecture of All-cause Heart Failure

To assess the rare variant architecture of HF, we conducted an exome-collapsing gene-based association test using linked electronic health record data and whole exome sequencing for 376,334 participants from the Penn Medicine Biobank (PMBB, n = 39,544), UK Biobank (UKB, n = 150,442), and All of Us (AOU, n = 186,348) research program. We meta-analyzed results from gene-based group tests for rare predicted loss-of-function (pLoF) variants using SAIGE-GENE[26] (Figure 3) for 20,675 participants with and 287,123 participants without HF from the EUR population and 6,533 participants with and 62,003 participants without HF from the AFR population. Because missense variants may produce distinct consequences compared to pLoF variants[27], we repeated the gene-based analysis, testing associations with carrier status for a predicted damaging missense variant and all-cause HF.

Under this framework, we replicated the previously-reported[7] association between rare pLoF variants in *TTN* and HF (P-value 6.12×10^{-38}, Suppl. Table 5) at a Bonferroni-adjusted exome-wide significance (P-value < 1.57×10^{-6} [0.05/31856]). We also uncovered Bonferroni adjusted exome-wide significant associations for rare variants in *MYBPC3* (P-value 5.93×10^{-15}), *MYH7* (P-value 4.26×10^{-12}) and *LMNA* (P-value 2.62×10^{-10}). Additionally, rare pLoF burden in two genes, *FLNC* (P-value 2.56×10^{-6}), and BAG3 (P-value 4.02×10^{-6}) reached exome-wide significance at FDR <0.05. Finally, pLoF variants in *TET2* had been associated with HF in an exome-collapsing study of HF containing participants from two large clinical trials[7], however we found no association between *TET2* and all-cause HF in our meta-analysis (P-value 0.49).

Rare-variant associations in Mendelian cardiomyopathy genes

Taking a targeted approach, we next assessed the prevalence of pLoF variants in a set of 65 expert-curated (NIH ClinGen) genes associated with Mendelian forms of dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (representing the two most prevalent forms of inherited cardiomyopathy[28]). We grouped these genes by levels of evidence supporting their role as a monogenic cause of cardiomyopathy as determined by expert panels[29,30]. This resulted in 19 definitive evidence genes, 6 strong or moderate evidence genes, 32 limited or disputed evidence genes, and 8 genes without evidence for involvement in human cardiomyopathy despite experimental evidence in the literature (Suppl. Table 7). Using these groupings, we estimate that 2.55% (95% CI 2.35-2.78%) of EUR individuals with HF and 2.37% (95% CI 2.02-2.78%) of AFR individuals with HF are carriers of rare pLoF variants in a definitive cardiomyopathy gene. For both population groups this estimate is approximately doubled when all potential cardiomyopathy genes were considered (Suppl. Table 10).

We next asked whether pLoF burden in each cardiomyopathy gene was associated with increased odds of all-cause HF at an exploratory significance threshold of P-value < 0.05. Among the 19 genes classified as definitive cardiomyopathy genes, pLoF carrier status in 7 conferred increased odds of all-cause HF (BAG3, MYBPC3, LMNA, FLNC, RBM20, TTN, TNNT2 in our combined cohort - Suppl. Fig. 8). For genes with moderate to strong evidence supporting their association with cardiomyopathy, 1 of 6 demonstrated associations with increased odds of all-cause HF (*DSP*) among pLoF variant carriers, while for genes with limited or disputed evidence of association with any cardiomyopathy, 3 of 30 were associated with increased odds of all-cause HF (*PSEN1, CALR3, PKP2*). Finally, for genes deemed to have no evidence of an association with cardiomyopathies, none appeared associated with an increased odds for a diagnosis of all-

cause HF. Compared to carrier status for pLoF variants, predicted damaging missense variants did not increase risk of HF in most genes. *MYH7* was the clear exception to this pattern, where increased risk of HF was limited to damaging missense carriers; pLoF carrier status conferred no additional risk of HF. This supports previous observations that missense variants in *MYH7* tend to cause HCM through gain-of-function mechanisms, and highlights the comparatively limited evidence supporting a direct relationship between most loss-of-function truncating variants in *MYH7* and cardiomyopathy[31–33].

Heritability of Heart Failure based on Common and Rare Variants

To investigate the contribution of common genetic variants to the heritability of all-cause HF, we used LD-score regression with corresponding population-specific LD scores from Pan-UKB[34]. Common variant heritability (AF 5-50%) for all-cause HF ranged from 3-10% across the 4 populations included in our analysis (AFR 8.6%, 95% CI 6.1-11%, AMR 10%, 95% CI 6.0-14%, EAS 3.4%, 95% CI 1.9-4.9%, EUR 4.3%, 95% CI 3.9-4.7%), using population specific prevalence data for heart failure from NHANES based on self-reported race/ethnicity[35] (Suppl. Fig. 7). Overall the range of these estimates was consistent with previous GWAS meta analyses of all-cause HF, which have estimated common variant heritability around 8.8%[2].

We assessed the contribution of rare coding genetic variation to the heritability of all-cause HF using whole exome sequencing from the PMBB, UKB, and AOU with Burden Heritability Regression (BHR)[11]. We estimate the total burden heritability mediated by rare (AF < 0.001) predicted damaging missense and pLoF variants is 2.24% (95% CI 0.99-3.48%), which is significantly less than that of common variant heritability in EUR (P-value < $1e^{-16}$). As expected, burden heritability was concentrated in pLoF variants, where biological impacts are the most severe, and in the rarest variants (Figure 4A), consistent with the impact of negative selection. In contrast, heritability for missense and synonymous variants was near zero. As seen in other common complex disease traits[11], burden heritability in all-cause HF was enriched in more constrained genes (as defined by the gnomAD LOEUF quintile – Figure 4B), and was overall lower than common variant heritability (Figure 4A). These findings support a polygenic model of HF whereby common variants affecting many genes explain a greater proportion of heritability than rare, highly-penetrant coding variants across the genome.

Next, we asked what proportion of heritability could be attributed to the set of 65 genes implicated in monogenic cardiomyopathies. While rare pLoF burden heritability was 27-fold enriched across all cardiomyopathy genes, this gene set was enriched only 3.4-fold for common variants (Figure 4B). This difference as most striking for definitive monogenic cardiomyopathy genes, where rare burden heritability was 60-fold enriched compared to 8.1-fold enriched for common variants. The smaller enrichment of heritability across known cardiomyopathy genes for common variants, coupled with the comparable common- and burden heritability estimates for HF, is consistent with common variant HF heritability being significantly more polygenic than rare coding variant HF heritability. Indeed, the fraction of common variant heritability mediated through the 509 protein-coding genes linked to GWAS-significant loci in our study accounted for 37.5% of the total common variant heritability estimate (Fig. 5A). In contrast, the 18 definitive cardiomyopathy genes explained an approximately similar 34.7% of pLoF burden heritability in the EUR population, with TTN and other definitive cardiomyopathy genes accounting for a majority of this fraction (Figure 5B, Suppl. Table 10). We found similar patterns of enrichment in rare variant heritability within the AFR population, although this analysis was comparatively limited due to reduced sample size and power (Suppl. Fig. 11, Suppl. Fig. 12).

Comparison of common- and rare-variant effects across populations

The prevalence of HF varies across population subgroups, including self-reported race/ethnicity[35]. Whether some of this difference is attributable to genetic variation with population-specific effects remains controversial, and has been challenging to address due to the limited representation of non-European populations in registries and genomic studies of HF/cardiomyopathy[36,37]. Recently, population genetics studies have suggested that the effects of genetic variants are largely conserved across populations[38]; whether genetic effects are conserved across populations for HF remains unknown. To address this question, we compared the effects of common and rare HF-associated genetic variants in AFR and EUR populations. For common variants, we found that GWAS effect sizes are highly conserved across the two groups (Deming regression slope = 0.96, 95% CI 0.87 to 1.1, P-value = 0.5; Figure 6A). For rare variants, we similarly found that gene-collapsing effect sizes were conserved across the two groups (Deming regression slope = 0.51, 95% CI -0.12 to 1.1, P-value = 0.1; Figure 6B), however the wide confidence intervals do not exclude potentially large effects. Collectively, these results suggest that common variants and rare pLoF/missense variants are likely to have similar effects on risk of HF across populations.

Modification of HF risk for loss-of-function *TTN* carriers by polygenic risk factors

In some cases, the etiology of HF is assessed clinically using panel-based testing for rare pathogenic variants in known cardiomyopathy genes. However, the role of testing for common variants is unclear. While individually common variants tend to have smaller effects than rare deleterious variants, in aggregate they explain a comparable portion of HF heritability, suggesting they may play an important role in predicting HF risk. To evaluate how common variants may clinically contribute to HF risk, we generated a multi-population polygenic risk score (PRS) for HF using summary statistics from the GWAS meta-analysis (excluding PMBB participants), using PRS-CSx[39]. The PRS was normally distributed in the held-out PMBB population, and the mean PRS was significantly greater among individuals with HF than those without (Suppl. Fig. 13A). The PRS was predictive of prevalent HF in PMBB (AUC 0.72, 95% CI 0.72-0.73), and significantly improved upon a naïve baseline model (Brier skill score 0.065, 95% CI 0.063-0.068). Prevalence of HF ranged from 7.7% (95% CI 6.9-8.6) among the lowest PRS decile to 16% (95% CI 14.9-17.1) among the highest PRS decile (Suppl. Fig. 13B).

Finally, we asked whether polygenic risk might modify the penetrance of high PSI (>90% proportion spliced into cardiac-expressed transcripts) truncating variants in *TTN* (TTNtv), a “definitive”-evidence cardiomyopathy gene which accounts for the majority of the rare variant burden heritability of HF[28]. The HF PRS was associated with prevalent HF among both non-carriers (OR 1.29, 95% CI 1.26-1.33) and carriers (OR 1.41, 95% CI 1.14-1.75) in the held-out PMBB population. The prevalence of HF among TTNtv carriers ranged from 8.3% (95% CI 2.2-23.6%) in the lowest PRS decile to 28.1% (95% CI 17.4% to 41.7%) among the highest decile. Collectively, these results suggest that while TTNtv carriers have a higher baseline prevalence of HF, overall risk of HF depends on both carrier status and polygenic risk.

Discussion

We present a comprehensive analysis of genetic contributions to heart failure across the allele frequency spectrum. Our study highlights the shared and unique genetic architectures of common and rare variants in HF, finding significant common and rare variant heritability, which is concentrated in different functional annotations. We characterize the contribution of known cardiomyopathy genes to HF risk across both common and rare variants, and explore the

interaction between common and rare genetic variation. Overall, these findings have implications for future genetic association studies of HF, and for HF risk-stratification.

In our multi-population genome-wide meta-analysis, we identified 176 loci associated with HF, substantially increasing the number of HF risk-loci compared to prior studies[2,3,40]. Among the newly discovered loci are several associated with lifestyle factors, including smoking and alcohol consumption. Metabolism and nutrition are also broad processes implicated by our novel HF loci, with fine-mapped variants implicating the obesity-related gene *OR4P4*, new HF associations with loci near the insulin signaling and glucose homeostasis genes *GIPR* and *GLP1R*, and the enrichment of expression of GWAS-prioritized genes in cardiac adipocytes. Mendelian randomization has previously implicated *GLP1R* signaling in HF[41], and clinical trials for the dual GIP/GLP-1 receptor agonist tirzepatide as a potential therapy for select patients with heart failure are ongoing[42]. Although medications targeting these mechanisms have potent effects on diabetes, glycemic traits, and obesity, our colocalization findings indicate that the HF effects at these loci may be separate from glycemic traits, raising the possibility of direct HF-specific benefits. Although we report a significant increase in the number of HF-associated loci in our study, genes prioritized from our ensemble approach explain only 16-37% of common-variant heritability, indicating that more common-variant HF loci remain undiscovered.

In parallel, we investigated rare coding variant contributions to HF. Our exome-wide association study identified significant associations for the known cardiomyopathy genes *TTN*, *MYBPC3*, *FLNC*, *BAG3*, *LMNA* and *MYH7*. Rare variants in known cardiomyopathy genes explain a significant portion of burden heritability in HF, yet these genes account for less than half of overall burden heritability. We expect that larger cohorts will reveal more associated genes, but we anticipate they will contribute less to the rare variant burden heritability of HF compared to known cardiomyopathy genes.

Across both our common- and rare-variant analyses, we find that heritability of HF is enriched within a core set of cardiomyopathy genes. This finding provides empirical support for the current expert pathogenicity categorization of these genes, and supports their inclusion in cardiomyopathy testing panels[29,30]. Importantly, although current clinical cardiovascular testing panels focus on damaging coding variants in these core genes, we find that common variants at these same loci also contribute to risk of HF. In contrast to rare variants, for which most of the heritability is accounted for by these core genes, we find that common variant heritability is both

substantially more polygenic and explains a significantly greater fraction of HF heritability as rare coding variants. In combination, these results are consistent with an polygenic model of inheritance,[43] where a set of core genes and pathways are influenced by a much broader set of interconnected regulatory networks. Supporting this model for HF, we find significant common-variant heritability in non-coding functional annotations, while rare-variant heritability is concentrated in low-frequency, loss-of-function variation in constrained genes. These results suggest that at a population level, common genetic variation plays a significant role in risk of HF exceeding to the known contribution of rare pathogenic variants in familial cardiomyopathy genes.

Finally, we observe that common and rare genetic variation act together to influence HF risk, which may have implications for HF risk stratification. Previously it had been shown that an individual's polygenic background can modify the penetrance of pathogenic variants across several monogenic conditions[44,45]. Here, we observe that polygenic background modifies TTNtv carrier status, suggesting that risk stratification for rare pLoF variant carriers in monogenic cardiomyopathies may be improved if additional information is available regarding polygenic background. A similar relationship has previously been reported for TTNtv and a PRS for atrial fibrillation[46], highlighting the diverse manifestations of cardiovascular disease among TTNtv carriers. Future studies are warranted to investigate the use of polygenic background to adjudicate cardiomyopathy genes with less certain levels of evidence and variants of unknown significance, as well as the role of PRS testing more broadly when assessing HF etiology and risk.

Limitations

The results of our study should be interpreted within the context of their limitations. First, the current analysis focused on an all-cause heart failure phenotype, which may limit discovery of genetic variants that discordantly influence different forms of heart failure (eg. heart failure with reduced vs. preserved ejection fraction, dilated vs. hypertrophic vs. arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy, ischemic vs. nonischemic cardiomyopathy). Indeed, we and others have previously observed HF risk loci with opposite directions of effect on HF endophenotypes and subtypes[3,45]. By studying an all-cause HF phenotype, the effects of these variants may be biased toward the null. Future large multi-population studies of HF subtypes and endophenotypes are necessary to identify these associations. Second, known cardiomyopathy genes increase HF risk by diverse mechanisms beyond pLoF. While we considered both pLoF and predicted damaging missense variants, domain and gene-specific expertise is likely necessary for accurate

pathogenicity evaluation. Third, although the prevalence of HF is similar across sexes, whether specific loci affect HF through sex-specific mechanisms requires future study[47]. Finally, despite our attempts to include participants of diverse genetic backgrounds, the study was still predominantly comprised of individuals genetically similar to European reference populations (Fig. 1), although the inclusion of participants from the VA Million Veterans Program (MVP) significantly expanded the genetic diversity of our study compared to previous publications. We hope that future studies continue to expand on the diversity of participants included from historically underrepresented populations.

In summary, these analyses highlight similarities and differences in the genetic architecture of HF across the allele-frequency spectrum, which is characterized by a highly concentrated component of heritability in rare deleterious variants in known cardiomyopathy genes, and a large polygenic component of heritability that is more diffusely spread across GWAS loci and enriched for non–coding variation.

Methods

Genome-wide meta analysis of heart failure

GWAS summary statistics for HF were obtained from non-overlapping analyses of 8 separate cohorts/consortia (HERMES, VA MVP, FinnGen, Mount Sinai BioMe, Penn Medicine Biobank, eMERGE, Geisinger DiscovEHR, and the Global Biobank Meta-analysis Initiative). All-cause HF was defined using cohort-specific definitions (pheCodes or ICD9/10 codes documented within the electronic health record for all studies except HERMES, which additionally included expert adjudication among some cohorts).

Analyses were performed separately by cohort and population, adjusted for age, sex, and population structure. Fixed-effects meta-analysis was performed using METAL[48] using the inverse-variance weighted (standard error) method within and across populations to generate population-specific and multi-population meta-analysis summary statistics. Fine-grained population estimation from summary statistics was performed using *bigsnpr*[49]. Independent significant genomic risk loci were defined by grouping genome-wide significant variants ($p < 5x10^{-8}$) into 1MB clusters using the *genome_cluster* function of the *tidygenomics* package in R[50]. To minimize spurious associations, loci were required to have at least 2 genome-wide significant

associations. Lead variants at each locus were defined by the variant with the lowest P-value, present in at least 2 studies.

Gene Prioritization and Identification of variants within the 99% credible set

Credible sets were generated surrounding the index variant at each 1MB locus identified in the multi-population meta-analysis, centered on the index variant (+/-500kb). Credible sets were constructed by first estimating approximate Bayes factors as described in Graham et. al, which assumes a single causal variant per locus[51]. Posterior probabilities were then generated by dividing the Bayes factor for each variant at a locus by the sum of Bayes factors at each locus[52]. Finally, variants at each locus were ranked in descending order of posterior probability and included until the cumulative posterior probability at each locus attained 99%. Possible protein-coding consequences were annotated for each variant in this 99% credible set using Ensembl VEP[53]. TWAS was performed using S-MultiXcan[54] using GTEx tissue expression data while additional gene candidates were identified from GWAS loci using MAGMA[55] following the standard protocol, with statistical significance determined using a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (0.05/# of genes in each TWAS or MAGMA analysis). Gene set enrichment analysis was performed using FUMA[56] for tissue-specific enrichments. To identify cell-type specific enrichment, processed single-cell expression data was downloaded from GTEx v8[24]. Cell-type specific expression profiles were constructed by taking median expression values across samples for each annotated cell-type, and then enrichment for cell-type specific expression was determined by assessing the number of prioritized GWAS genes that were expressed by each cell-type using the hypergeometric test.

Multi-trait colocalization

Multi-trait colocalization was performed using HyPrColoc to evaluate the presence of a shared causal variant influencing HF and diabetes/obesity related traits[57]. This Bayesian algorithm is designed to evaluate for the presence of a causal variant shared among several traits, under the single causal variant assumption. GWAS summary statistics for diabetes[5], hemoglobin A1c[22], and body mass index[23] were queried at the *GLP1R* and *GIPR* loci to identify variants +/-500kb of the lead variant for HF at each locus. Because the diabetes, A1c, and BMI GWAS were performed among European populations, the European population GWAS of heart failure was used to minimize differences in colocalization due to linkage disequilibrium. Evidence for colocalization was determined based on the default variant specific regional and alignment priors, with colocalization determined with posterior probability >= 0.25.

Curation of Cardiomyopathy Genes

Cardiomyopathy genes for dilated and hypertrophic cardiomyopathies were selected from two previous publications from the NIH ClinGen working group and classified according to the highest level of evidence supporting each gene across DCM or HCM collectively. We focused this analysis on genes from Ingles et al.[29] for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and Jordan et al. for dilated cardiomyopathy[30]. Except for *FHL1*, *ALPK3*, and *PLN*, genes involved in syndromic HCM were not included in our set of 65 cardiomyopathy genes.

Rare variant association analysis

Sample preparation, exome sequencing, and variant QC of participants in the Penn Medicine Biobank have been described previously[58]. We further processed variants to remove variants flagged during joint variant calling based on GATK's hard-filtering thresholds[59]. When >10% of variant alternate calls across PMBB participants at a particular site were flagged for QC these variant sites were removed from the analysis. Otherwise, flagged variants composing less than 10% of all alternate calls at a variant site were changed to missing. Variants from the UKB and AOU cohorts were processed as previously published[60,61].

Variant annotation from PMBB, UKB, and AOU was performed using Ensembl VEP[53]. Damaging missense variants for each gene were identified using publicly available REVEL scores[62]. For predicted loss-of-function (pLoF) variants (essential splice site disrupting, stop gain, or frameshift variants) annotated by Ensembl VEP, we further restricted analysis to the subset of variants passing all LOFTEE filters to produce a filtered high quality set of pLoF variants[63]. Rare variant association studies were performed using SAIGE-GENE[26] for individuals of European or African populations separately, adjusting for age, sex, and the first 10 genetic principal components. Variants were considered to be rare if MAF < 0.1% in gnomAD exomes and in each respective cohort. P-values were derived from a fixed-effects meta-analysis of EUR and AFR population effect size estimates for PMBB, UKB, and AOU for each gene after adjustment for case-control imbalance in each respective cohort using Firth's logistic regression. pLoF and damaging missense variants were analyzed separately, amounting to 31,857 tests, corresponding to Bonferroni-adjusted significance thresholds of 1.57×10^{-6}. Unless otherwise noted, all other rare variant studies in the present study relied on pLoF variant annotations produced from this analysis. Odds ratios for HF among pLoF or damaging missense carriers in the subset of 65 curated cardiomyopathy genes were derived from meta-analysis effect size estimates.

Estimation of common variant heritability and enrichment

Common variant heritability was estimated using LD score regression (LDSC)[64,65] for each population separately in the HF GWAS meta-analysis using reference panels published by the Pan-UK Biobank.

To determine heritability enrichment and fraction of common variant heritability distributed across different functional categories we used stratified LD-score regression[66] and precomputed baseline 2.2 model LD scores available online here. Since pre-computed LD scores were not available for African populations, we generated custom LD scores using bed files corresponding to the baseline 2.2 model (online here) to estimate heritability enrichment across functional categories using summary statistics derived from the African GWAS fraction.

To estimate the enrichment and fraction of common variant heritability mediated through specific gene sets, we used the Abstract Mediation Model with default parameters[10]. Briefly, for analysis of cardiomyopathy genes, we separated cardiomyopathy genes into sets based on their level of supporting evidence as determined by expert review, and assessed each set separating for common variant heritability enrichment and the fraction of overall common variant heritability explained. To determine the enrichment of common variant heritability explained by all genome-wide significant loci, we used the set of nearest mapped protein coding genes for each loci. Of 176 independent genome-wide significant loci, we were able to identify a nearest protein-coding gene (as annotated by Ensembl VEP) for 509 genes prioritized through our ensemble approach from 157 loci that could be used in our estimate of common variant heritability mediated through various GWAS-significant gene sets. To calculate the fraction of common variant heritability mediated through these genes we multiplied their estimated enrichment in heritability as determined by AMM by the fraction of genes in each gene set respectively divided by the total number of genes included in the AMM model.

Estimation of rare variant heritability and enrichment

To estimate rare variant burden heritability, we used Burden Heritability Regression (BHR)[11]. BHR was used to estimate heritability statistics for EUR and AFR population participants from AOU, PMBB<, and UKB separately. These estimates were combined using a fixed-effects meta-analysis to produce a combined estimate for total burden heritability, and other associated heritability statistics presented in the study. Only variants annotated within protein coding exons on autosomes were used for this analysis. Rare (AF <0.001%) pLoF and damaging missense

variants were combined to estimate total burden heritability. To estimate rare variant heritability enrichment across cardiomyopathy genes, we supplied BHR with separate annotation lists of cardiomyopathy gene IDs in addition to the baseline model.

Carriers of predicted loss-of-function variants in TTN affecting high-percent spliced in (PSI) exons were identified in the PMBB, and an interaction effect between hi-PSI TTNtv carrier status and HF polygenic risk was tested for among EUR and AFR participants of PMBB. The multi-population PRS was constructed using the "auto" setting of PRS-CSx[39], using population-specific LD reference panels from the UK Biobank. Classification performance for prevalent HF was assessed in PMBB using repeated cross validation (3 repeats of 10 folds), using the area under the receiver operator characteristic curve as a measure of discrimination, and using the Brier skill score as an integrated measure of discrimination and calibration in comparison to a naïve/no-skill baseline model[67]. TTNtv carrier status was included in a logistic model with the multi-population PRS derived from the multi-population GWAS using HF (defined as 2 instances of phecode 428) as an outcome, including an interaction term between the PRS and TTNtv carrier status. All PRS models were adjusted for age, sex, and 5 genetic principal components, with PRS scaled/centered within each target population (EUR or AFR) prior to modeling.

Data Availability

Summary statistics from the genome-wide association study meta-analysis and rare-variant gene-burden association study of all-cause heart failure will be made available upon publication. Polygenic risk scores for heart failure will be deposited in the Polygenic Score Catalog.

Acknowledgements

We thank the participants of the Penn Medicine Biobank and other cohorts/consortia contributing to this analysis. The PMBB is supported by Perelman School of Medicine at University of Pennsylvania, a gift from the Smilow family, and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under CTSA award number UL1TR001878. D.S.M.L. was supported by NIH Medical Scientist Training Program T32GM007170. M.G.L. is supported by the Institute for Translational Medicine and Therapeutics of the Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, the NIH/NHLBI National Research Service Award postdoctoral fellowship (T32HL007843), and the Measey Foundation. S.M.D. was supported by the US Department of Veterans Affairs Clinical Research and Development Award IK2-CX001780. This

publication does not represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Government.

The All of Us *Research Program is supported by the National Institutes of Health, Office of the Director: Regional Medical Centers: 1 OT2 OD026549; 1 OT2 OD026554; 1 OT2 OD026557; 1 OT2 OD026556; 1 OT2 OD026550; 1 OT2 OD 026552; 1 OT2 OD026553; 1 OT2 OD026548; 1 OT2 OD026551; 1 OT2 OD026555; IAA #: AOD 16037; Federally Qualified Health Centers: HHSN 263201600085U; Data and Research Center: 5 U2C OD023196; Biobank: 1 U24 OD023121; The Participant Center: U24 OD023176; Participant Technology Systems Center: 1 U24 OD023163; Communications and Engagement: 3 OT2 OD023205; 3 OT2 OD023206; and Community Partners: 1 OT2 OD025277; 3 OT2 OD025315; 1 OT2 OD025337; 1 OT2 OD025276. In addition, the All of Us Research Program would not be possible without the partnership of its participants.*

Main Figures



Figure 1: (A) Study population used in GWAS meta-analysis. We conducted a meta-analysis of heart failure across 10 cohorts comprising a combined count of N=207,346 participants with HF and 2,151,210 participants without HF. Summary statistics were collected across 10 cohorts and meta-analyzed using METAL. (B) Overview of study design, which encompasses GWAS meta-analysis and estimate of common variant h^2 in parallel with an analysis of rare coding variant associations with heart failure and rare variant h^2 across 3 cohorts.



Figure 2: (A) Manhattan plot for common variant association in multi population meta-analysis (207,346 HF, 2,151,210 non-HF). Each point represents a variant, organized by chromosomal position along the x-axis. Lead variants are annotated with the closest mapped gene and the surrounding +/- 500kb is highlighted in red. (B) Enrichment for tissue-specific differentially increased gene expression of GWAS prioritized genes. P-values determined by hypergeometric test. Enrichment P-values above the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold (0.05/30) are shaded red. (C) Enrichment for expression of GWAS prioritized genes in GTEx multi-tissue single cell sequencing data. P-values determined by hypergeometric test (see Methods). Dashed black line represents the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold (0.05/59).



Figure 3: Gene burden results for multi-population meta-analysis across PMBB, AOU, and UKB. Predicted loss-of-function (pLoF) variants and damaging missense variants were aggregated separately and the burden of pLoF and damaging missense variants was tested for an association with heart failure. Dashed grey line represents the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold while purple line represents the FDR < 0.05 threshold. *P*-values derived from fixed-effects meta-analysis of burden testing statistics implemented in SAIGE-GENE(+).



Figure 4: Estimates of rare coding variant burden heritability and comparison of heritability enrichment across several categories for common and rare variants. (A) BHR heritability estimate for rare coding variants split by (i) coding consequence and (ii) AF bins in the EUR population. For coding consequence, burden heritability estimates were determined using singletons. The common variant heritability estimate from the EUR GWAS population is represented by the blue dashed line with 95% confidence intervals represented by the blue shaded area. The total burden heritability of pLoF and damaging missense variants is represented by the grey dashed line, with 95% confidence intervals represented by the grey shaded area. Burden heritability is significantly concentrated in predicted loss-of-function (LOF) variants and increases with decreasing allele frequency bin. (B) Enrichment of rare variant heritability across (i) constraint quintiles or (ii) expert-reviewed genes involved in cardiomyopathies. (C) Enrichment in common variant heritability across genomic annotations and in genes implicated in cardiomyopathies as determined by stratified LDSC for Non-coding and RNA/Coding annotations and AMM for cardiomyopathy genes.



Figure 5: (A) Fraction of common (red) or rare (blue) burden heritability explained by known cardiomyopathy genes in HF . Fraction of common variant heritability was estimated using AMM for all cardiomyopathy gene sets, all protein coding genes nearest to GWAS significant loci (n=159), and all protein coding genes implicated by GWAS significant loci (n=509). (B) Fraction of pLoF burden heritability explained by pLoF variants for selected cardiomyopathy gene estimated to contribute >0.1% to pLoF burden heritability.. See Suppl. Table 11 for full enrichment statistics.



Figure 6: Deming regression was performed to compare the effects of common (A) and rare (B) genetic variation across European and African populations. (A) GWAS effect estimates for lead variants at the 176 genome-wide significant GWAS loci are plotted in the EUR and AFR populations (points), with 95% confidence intervals represented by black bars. The Deming regression slope (red line) and 95% confidence interval (red shaded area) demonstrate strong concordance of effects across the two populations. (B) Gene-collapsing burden effect estimates from the rare-variant exome-wide association study are plotted for the exome-wide significant pLOF and missense associations. The Deming regression slope (red line) and 95% confidence interval (red shaded area) demonstrate concordance of effects across the two populations, but with large confidence intervals.

References

1. Ziaeian, B. & Fonarow, G. C. Epidemiology and aetiology of heart failure. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **13**, 368–378 (2016).
2. Shah, S. *et al.* Genome-wide association and Mendelian randomisation analysis provide insights into the pathogenesis of heart failure. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 163 (2020).
3. Levin, M. G. *et al.* Genome-wide association and multi-trait analyses characterize the common genetic architecture of heart failure. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 6914 (2022).
4. Aragam, K. G. *et al.* Discovery and systematic characterization of risk variants and genes for coronary artery disease in over a million participants. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 1803–1815 (2022).
5. Mahajan, A. *et al.* Multi-ancestry genetic study of type 2 diabetes highlights the power of diverse populations for discovery and translation. *Nat. Genet.* 1–13 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41588-022-01058-3.
6. Graham, S. E. *et al.* The power of genetic diversity in genome-wide association studies of lipids. *Nature* **600**, 675–679 (2021).
7. Povysil, G. *et al.* Assessing the Role of Rare Genetic Variation in Patients With Heart Failure. *JAMA Cardiol.* **6**, 379–386 (2021).
8. Dellefave-Castillo, L. M. *et al.* Assessment of the Diagnostic Yield of Combined Cardiomyopathy and Arrhythmia Genetic Testing. *JAMA Cardiol.* **7**, 966–974 (2022).
9. Yengo, L. *et al.* A saturated map of common genetic variants associated with human height. *Nature* **610**, 704–712 (2022).
10. Weiner, D. J., Gazal, S., Robinson, E. B. & O'Connor, L. J. Partitioning gene-mediated disease heritability without eQTLs. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **109**, 405–416 (2022).
11. Weiner, D. J. *et al.* Polygenic architecture of rare coding variation across 400,000 exomes. 2022.07.06.22277335 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.06.22277335 (2022).

12. Auton, A. *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68–74 (2015).
13. Clarke, T.-K. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of alcohol consumption and genetic overlap with other health-related traits in UK Biobank (N=112 117). *Mol. Psychiatry* **22**, 1376–1384 (2017).
14. Evangelou, E. *et al.* New alcohol-related genes suggest shared genetic mechanisms with neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **3**, 950–961 (2019).
15. Liu, M. *et al.* Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 237–244 (2019).
16. Saunders, G. R. B. *et al.* Genetic diversity fuels gene discovery for tobacco and alcohol use. *Nature* **612**, 720–724 (2022).
17. Hanscombe, K. B. *et al.* The genetic case for cardiorespiratory fitness as a clinical vital sign and the routine prescription of physical activity in healthcare. *Genome Med.* **13**, 180 (2021).
18. Timpson, N. J. *et al.* Genetic variation at the SLC23A1 locus is associated with circulating concentrations of l-ascorbic acid (vitamin C): evidence from 5 independent studies with >15,000 participants. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **92**, 375–382 (2010).
19. Jarick, I. *et al.* Novel common copy number variation for early onset extreme obesity on chromosome 11q11 identified by a genome-wide analysis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **20**, 840–852 (2011).
20. Villard, E. *et al.* A genome-wide association study identifies two loci associated with heart failure due to dilated cardiomyopathy. *Eur. Heart J.* **32**, 1065–1076 (2011).
21. Ussher, J. R. *et al.* Inactivation of the Glucose-Dependent Insulinotropic Polypeptide Receptor Improves Outcomes following Experimental Myocardial Infarction. *Cell Metab.* **27**, 450-460.e6 (2018).
22. Chen, J. *et al.* The trans-ancestral genomic architecture of glycemic traits. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 840–860 (2021).

23. Yengo, L. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for height and body mass index in ~700000 individuals of European ancestry. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **27**, 3641–3649 (2018).
24. Eraslan, G. *et al.* Single-nucleus cross-tissue molecular reference maps toward understanding disease gene function. *Science* **376**, eabl4290 (2022).
25. Oikonomou, E. K. & Antoniades, C. The role of adipose tissue in cardiovascular health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **16**, 83–99 (2019).
26. Zhou, W. *et al.* SAIGE-GENE+ improves the efficiency and accuracy of set-based rare variant association tests. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 1466–1469 (2022).
27. Park, J. *et al.* A genome-first approach to rare variants in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy genes MYBPC3 and MYH7 in a medical biobank. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **31**, 827–837 (2022).
28. McKenna, W. J. & Judge, D. P. Epidemiology of the inherited cardiomyopathies. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **18**, 22–36 (2021).
29. Ingles, J. *et al.* Evaluating the Clinical Validity of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Genes. *Circ. Genomic Precis. Med.* **12**, e002460 (2019).
30. Jordan, E. *et al.* Evidence-Based Assessment of Genes in Dilated Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* **144**, 7–19 (2021).
31. Kelly, M. A. *et al.* Adaptation and validation of the ACMG/AMP variant classification framework for MYH7-associated inherited cardiomyopathies: recommendations by ClinGen's Inherited Cardiomyopathy Expert Panel. *Genet. Med.* **20**, 351–359 (2018).
32. Toepfer, C. N. *et al.* Myosin Sequestration Regulates Sarcomere Function, Cardiomyocyte Energetics, and Metabolism, Informing the Pathogenesis of Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* **141**, 828–842 (2020).
33. Aguib, Y. *et al.* New Variant With a Previously Unrecognized Mechanism of Pathogenicity in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* **144**, 754–757 (2021).
34. Pan UKBB | Pan UKBB. https://pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org/downloads/index.html.

35. Rethy, L. *et al.* Trends in the Prevalence of Self-reported Heart Failure by Race/Ethnicity and Age From 2001 to 2016. *JAMA Cardiol.* **5**, 1425–1429 (2020).
36. Jordan, E. *et al.* Genetic Architecture of Dilated Cardiomyopathy in Individuals of African and European Ancestry. *JAMA* **330**, 432–441 (2023).
37. Tcheandjieu, C. & Cappola, T. P. Diversifying the Genetic Landscape of Heart Disease. *JAMA* **330**, 415–416 (2023).
38. Hou, K. *et al.* Causal effects on complex traits are similar for common variants across segments of different continental ancestries within admixed individuals. *Nat. Genet.* 1–10 (2023) doi:10.1038/s41588-023-01338-6.
39. Ruan, Y. *et al.* Improving polygenic prediction in ancestrally diverse populations. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 573–580 (2022).
40. Joseph, J. *et al.* Genetic architecture of heart failure with preserved versus reduced ejection fraction. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 7753 (2022).
41. Daghlas, I. *et al.* Genetic Evidence for Repurposing of GLP1R (Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Receptor) Agonists to Prevent Heart Failure. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **10**, e020331 (2021).
42. Eli Lilly and Company. *A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Phase 3 Study Comparing the Efficacy and Safety of Tirzepatide Versus Placebo in Patients With Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction and Obesity (SUMMIT)*. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04847557 (2023).
43. Boyle, J. J. *et al.* Activating Transcription Factor 1 Directs Mhem Atheroprotective Macrophages Through Coordinated Iron Handling and Foam Cell Protection. *Circ. Res.* **110**, 20–33 (2012).
44. Fahed, A. C. *et al.* Polygenic background modifies penetrance of monogenic variants for tier 1 genomic conditions. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3635 (2020).
45. Tadros, R. *et al.* Shared genetic pathways contribute to risk of hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathies with opposite directions of effect. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 128–134 (2021).

46. Choi, S. H. *et al.* Monogenic and Polygenic Contributions to Atrial Fibrillation Risk. *Circ. Res.* **126**, 200–209 (2020).

47. Lam, C. S. P. *et al.* Sex differences in heart failure. *Eur. Heart J.* **40**, 3859–3868c (2019).

48. Willer, C. J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G. R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2190–2191 (2010).

49. Privé, F., Aschard, H., Ziyatdinov, A. & Blum, M. G. B. Efficient analysis of large-scale genome-wide data with two R packages: bigstatsr and bigsnpr. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 2781–2787 (2018).

50. Tidy Verbs for Dealing with Genomic Data Frames. https://const-ae.github.io/tidygenomics/.

51. Graham, S. E. *et al.* The power of genetic diversity in genome-wide association studies of lipids. *Nature* **600**, 675–679 (2021).

52. Mägi, R. *et al.* Trans-ethnic meta-regression of genome-wide association studies accounting for ancestry increases power for discovery and improves fine-mapping resolution. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **26**, 3639–3650 (2017).

53. McLaren, W. *et al.* The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biol.* **17**, 122 (2016).

54. Barbeira, A. N. *et al.* Integrating predicted transcriptome from multiple tissues improves association detection. *PLOS Genet.* **15**, e1007889 (2019).

55. Leeuw, C. A. de, Mooij, J. M., Heskes, T. & Posthuma, D. MAGMA: Generalized Gene-Set Analysis of GWAS Data. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **11**, e1004219 (2015).

56. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1826 (2017).

57. Foley, C. N. *et al.* A fast and efficient colocalization algorithm for identifying shared genetic risk factors across multiple traits. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 764 (2021).

58. Verma, A. *et al.* The Penn Medicine BioBank: Towards a Genomics-Enabled Learning Healthcare System to Accelerate Precision Medicine in a Diverse Population. *J. Pers. Med.* **12**, 1974 (2022).

59. Hard-filtering germline short variants. *GATK* https://gatk.broadinstitute.org/hc/en-us/articles/360035890471-Hard-filtering-germline-short-variants.
60. Backman, J. D. *et al.* Exome sequencing and analysis of 454,787 UK Biobank participants. *Nature* **599**, 628–634 (2021).
61. All of Us Genomic Quality Report. *User Support* https://support.researchallofus.org/hc/en-us/articles/4617899955092-All-of-Us-Genomic-Quality-Report- (2023).
62. Ioannidis, N. M. *et al.* REVEL: An Ensemble Method for Predicting the Pathogenicity of Rare Missense Variants. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **99**, 877–885 (2016).
63. Karczewski, K. J. *et al.* The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature* **581**, 434–443 (2020).
64. Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 291–295 (2015).
65. Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 1236–1241 (2015).
66. Finucane, H. K. *et al.* Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome-wide association summary statistics. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 1228–1235 (2015).
67. Huang, C. *et al.* Performance Metrics for the Comparative Analysis of Clinical Risk Prediction Models Employing Machine Learning. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Qual. Outcomes* **14**, e007526 (2021).