TITLE: Predictors of mortality among low birth weight neonates after hospital discharge in a low-resource setting: A case study in Uganda.

Authors

Ronald Nsubuga[1,3*], Joseph Rujumba[1,3], Saleh Nyende[1], Stevens Kisaka[2], Richard Idro[1,3], Jolly Nankunda[1,3]

[1]Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, College of Health Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda.

[2]School Public Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

[3]Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Mulago National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda

[*]Ronald Nsubuga

Email: ronald.nsubuga@mak.ac.ug | rnsubuga@dr.com

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Background: Most neonatal deaths occur among low birth weight infants. However, in resource-limited settings, these infants are commonly discharged early which further exposes them to mortality. Previous studies on morbidity and mortality among low birth weight infants after early discharge mainly focused on very low birth weight infants, and none described post-discharge neonatal mortality. This study aimed to determine the proportion and predictors of mortality among low birth weight neonates discharged from the Special Care Baby Unit at Mulago National Referral Hospital in Uganda.

Methods: This was a prospective cohort study of 220 low birth weight neonates discharged from the Special Care Baby Unit at Mulago National Referral Hospital. These were followed up to 28 completed days of life, or death, whichever occurred first. Proportions were used to express mortality. To determine the predictors of mortality, Cox hazards regression was performed.

Results: Of the 220 enrolled participants, 216 (98.1%) completed the follow-up. The mean gestational age of study participants was 34 ±3 weeks. The median weight at discharge was 1,650g (IQR: 1,315g -1,922g) and 46.1% were small for gestational age. During follow-up, 14/216 (6.5%) of neonates died. Mortality was highest (7/34, 20.6%) among neonates with discharge weights less than 1,200g. The causes of death included presumed neonatal sepsis (10/14, 71.4%), suspected aspiration pneumonia (2/14, 14.3%), and suspected cot death (2/14, 14.3%). The median time to death after discharge was 11 days (range 3-16 days). The predictors of mortality were a discharge weight of less than 1,200g (adj HR: 23.47, p <0.001), a 5-minute Apgar score of less than 7 (adj HR: 4.25, p = 0.016), and a diagnosis of neonatal sepsis during admission (adj HR: 7.93, p = 0.009).

Conclusion: Post-discharge mortality among low birth weight neonates at Mulago National Referral Hospital is high. A discharge weight of less than 1,200g may be considered unsafe among neonates. Caregiver education about neonatal danger signs, and measures to prevent sepsis, aspiration, and cot death should be emphasized before discharge and during follow-up visits.

Keywords: *Mortality, low birth weight, neonate, post-discharge.*

Introduction

Low birth weight is defined as weight at birth of less than 2500g(1) Small for gestational age (SGA) is defined as weight for gestational age below the 10th percentile(2)

Worldwide, an estimated 20 million infants are born with low birth weight (LBW) annually. Most of these births (96.5%) occur in low and middle-income countries (LMICs)(3). Low birth weight contributes over 80% of all neonatal deaths worldwide(4). Low birth weight neonates are at increased odds of death compared to their heavier counterparts(5) and LBW is considered the single most important predictor of infant mortality (6).

The World Health Organization (WHO) developed discharge criteria for LBW infants(7). However, this has been difficult to adhere to in resource-limited settings like Uganda

with an over-stretched healthcare system and an estimated 10% of births have LBW(8). In Uganda, many neonatal units lack proper Kangaroo Mother Care (KMC) facilities(9,10), yet this is known to improve the survival of LBW infants (11).

Previous studies have assessed the morbidity and mortality of LBW infants after early discharge. Nonetheless, these mainly focused on Very Low Birth Weight (VLBW) infants and without the description of post-discharge neonatal mortality (12–14). Post-discharge outcomes of LBW neonates are largely unknown.

In our setting, LBW infants are discharged from the Special Care Baby Unit (SCBU) once stable (off antibiotics and oxygen, with stable body temperature in a cot). In addition, they should be able to tolerate 10ml per feed of expressed breastmilk by cup or tube, regardless of body weight or weight growth velocity. These babies are followed up weekly until they attain a body weight of 2500g. This practice may serve to reduce pressure on available limited resources, but its safety has not been assessed.

This study aimed at determining the proportion of LBW neonates that die after discharge from the SCBU, and the predictors of mortality. The study findings may be used to design targeted strategies to reduce mortality among low birth weight neonates after hospital discharge.

Methods and materials

Study setting.

This was a prospective cohort study conducted at the SCBU of Mulago National Referral Hospital from 8th November 2018 to 28th January 2019. This SCBU was a level II neonatal unit. On average 4,600 babies are admitted to the unit annually, and 50% of these are low birth weight neonates.

The SCBU had an official bed capacity of 50 with 13 baby cots, 22 incubators, 11 radiant warmers, and 4 beds, but it often houses over 100 babies. It had term and preterm baby sections but with no KMC wing. The unit was run by 4 Paediatricians, 4-6 Paediatrics residents, 2 intern doctors on a rotational basis, and 18 nurses (working in 3 shifts a day).

The care offered included the provision of warmth (incubators and radiant warmers), phototherapy, and bubble Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP). Admitted neonates may also receive intravenous fluids (two hourly boluses), intravenous antibiotics, and nasogastric feeding. Vital signs were intermittently assessed and caregivers feed their babies every two hours under the supervision of the nursing team.

Low birth weight infants are discharged from the SCBU once they are deemed physiologically stable (normal axillary temperature, off oxygen, off intravenous fluids, and apnea-free), tolerating at least 10ml per enteral feed, and gaining weight. The caregiver must demonstrate knowledge and competence in the provision of care to the infant before discharge. On average, 138 LBW neonates are discharged monthly.

After discharge, LBW infants were followed up in the clinic every week until a body weight of 2500g is attained. During clinic visits, growth monitoring, drug refills (Iron and multivitamins), nasogastric tube replacement, and adjustment of feeds were done. Unwell infants were identified and readmitted.

Study population.

All low birth weight neonates discharged from the SCBU whose parents provided written consent were included in the study. Those who were neither reachable physically nor by telephone were excluded from the study.

Sample size.

The sample size was estimated using an OpenEpi formula for frequency in a population, (15).

Sample size n = **[DEFF*Np(1-p)]/ [($d^2/Z^2_{1-\alpha/2}$*(N-1)+p*(1-p)]**

Where;

DEFF was the sampling design effect = 1 since we were not doing multi-stage sampling.

N is the population size (for finite population correction factor) = 135*3= 405

p is the expected proportion of LBW neonates that die after discharge from SCU= 50% since there were no published studies in Africa about post-discharge neonatal mortality among LBW infants.

d is the acceptable absolute error = 5%.

z is the standard normal distribution value corresponding to 95% Confidence Level.

Sample size (n) for 95% Confidence Level = 198 participants.

Considering a 10% loss to follow-up rate, the final sample size (n) was 220.

Sampling procedure

Study participants were recruited consecutively until the sample size was achieved.

Data collection procedure

All neonates with birth weight <2500g were identified by a research assistant at discharge. Their parents were then introduced to the study. For neonates who met the inclusion criteria, the research assistant obtained written informed consent from the caregiver/ guardian. Recruitment was conducted daily and consecutively until the desired sample size was achieved.

Recruited neonates received a study identification number and their details were captured in a logbook. Each parent provided 3 phone contacts for purposes of follow-up. Phone contacts of the principal investigator (PI) and research assistants were shared with parents for them to call in case of any concerns.

Data were captured at discharge using a pre-tested checklist and questionnaire. At every clinic visit, a follow-up chart was filled. Study participants were followed up until 28 completed days of life. Parents of study participants were contacted by telephone once a week and significant events (death, illness) were registered. The possible cause of death was established by the Principal investigator using the 2016 World Health Organization verbal autopsy instrument (16) Those who missed their scheduled follow-up visits were contacted by phone and requested to bring the neonate for review on the next clinic day. A neonate who missed two consecutive clinic visits and whose parents

were not reachable by phone throughout the study period was considered lost to follow-up.

Data collection tools

The data checklist had the following sections: Maternal factors, and neonatal factors.

The questionnaire had the following sections: Maternal, delivery, and post-delivery factors, neonatal factors, and socioeconomic factors including a multidimensional social support scale.

The follow-up chart had the following sections: post-discharge follow-up body weight record in grams, readmission details, and mortality details where applicable

Data management and analysis

Captured data were entered into Epidata version 3.1, checked for accuracy and completeness, and exported to STATA Version 14 for analysis. Continuous variables were summarized using the mean and standard deviation, and median and interquartile ranges for skewed data. Categorical variables were summarized using frequencies and proportions.

The proportion of LBW neonates that died after discharge from the SCU was expressed as a percentage. The numerator was the number of babies that died within the first 28 days of life and the denominator was the total number of LBW neonates recruited into the study. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare the proportion of LBW neonates that died after discharge from the SCU by their baseline characteristics.

Student-t and Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to compare means and median respectively of the continuous variables of participants that died and those that were alive after 28 completed days of life. To determine the predictors of mortality, relative death rates (Hazard Ratios) were obtained using simple Cox proportional hazards regression.

Variables that achieved a significance level of ≤0.05 were then included in a multivariable Cox proportional hazards model. Backward elimination was used to build a

model of best fit. The significance level was set at ≤0.05 and a confidence interval of 95% was used. Kaplan Meier curves were used to compare survival across gender, gestation age at birth, and birth weight.

Ethical approval and consent to participate.

This study protocol was subjected to approval by the Makerere University School of Medicine Research Ethics Committee. The investigators ensured that caregivers (parents or guardians) of study participants were given full and adequate oral and written information about the nature, purpose, possible risks, and benefits of the study. They were given adequate opportunities to ask questions and allowed time to consider the information provided voluntarily. Written consent was obtained from caregivers before conducting this study. Participants in this database were identified by their unique enrollment numbers. Only the PI had access to the participant identification list, which included their unique codes, full names, phone numbers, and address.

Results

Of the 243 LBW neonates identified for potential recruitment into the study, 5 did not consent to the study, and 18 did not have phone contact. Therefore, a total of 220 (representing 90.5%) neonates were enrolled in the study. Of these, 216 completed follow-up **(Figure 1)**. The mean gestational age at birth was 34 ±3 weeks, and the median weight at discharge was 1650g (IQR 1315-1922 grams). All four neonates who were lost to follow-up had discharge weight >2000g.

The median length of hospital stay of study participants was 5 days (IQR 3-8 days). The majority of the study participants (134/216, 62%) were fully breastfeeding, and the rest were breastfeeding and receiving top-up feeds through a nasogastric tube. Five study participants had confirmed neonatal sepsis during admission, and they had completed treatment before discharge (**Table 1** shows the baseline characteristics of the study participants).

188 **Table 1. Neonatal and maternal baseline characteristics.**

Variable	Frequency N= 216	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	93	43.0
Female	123	57.0
Birth type		
Singleton	143	66.2
Multiple	73	33.8
Gestational age at birth (weeks)*		
<30	7	3.7
30- 32	40	20.9
33- 34	43	22.5
35- <37	82	42.9
≥37	19	10.0
Post-menstrual age at discharge (weeks)		
<30	1	0.5
30-32	33	17.3
33-34	43	22.5
35- <37	91	47.6
≥37	23	12.1
Birth weight (grams)		
<1200	18	8.3
1200-1499	36	16.7
1500-1799	56	25.9
1800-1999	36	16.7
2000- 1499	70	32.4
Discharge weight (grams)		
<1200	34	15.7
1200-1499	49	22.7
1500-1799	46	21.3
1800-1999	45	20.8

≥2000	42	19.5
SGA*		
No	103	53.9
Yes	88	46.1
5- minute Apgar score**		
<7	30	15.2
≥7	167	84.8
Neonatal sepsis during admission		
No	211	97.7
Yes	5	2.3
Mode of delivery		
Vaginal	151	69.9
Caesarian section	65	30.1
HIV-exposure		
No	197	91.2
Yes	19	8.8
Mode of feeding		
Suckling from the breast	134	62.0
Mixed methods	82	38.0
Birth order		
1st born	51	23.6
2nd born	66	30.5
3rd born	42	19.4
4th born and above	57	26.5
KMC¶		
No	69	45.4
Yes	83	54.6
Maternal age (years)		
<20	21	11.3
20-24	54	28.5
25-29	53	28.0

≥30	61	32.2
Residence		
Rural	14	7.4
Urban	175	92.6
Maternal level of education		
Never gone to school and Primary	55	29.2
Secondary	110	58.2
Tertiary	24	12.6
Maternal monthly income (UShs)		
0-50,000 (0-13.5$)	35	18.9
>50,000-200,000 (13.5-54$)	35	18.9
>200,000-500,000 (54-135$)	56	29.6
>500,000 (>135$)	63	32.6
Preceding birth interval∞ (months)		
0-24	32	24.0
25-48	54	40.6
>48	47	35.4

***N**= 191 because gestational age could not be determined for 25 neonates.

****N**= 197 because 19 neonates did not have Apgar scores recorded since they were not delivered in a health facility.

¶ **N**= 152 because 64 neonates were not eligible for KMC (Birth weight >2000g).

∞**N**= <189 because 56 mothers were primiparous (the number of mothers was < 216 because some participants were twins and triplets)

During follow-up, 14/216 (6.4%) neonates died. Of these, 8 occurred from home, 5 occurred at a health facility, and 1 occurred on the way to the hospital. The circumstances surrounding each death were established by contacting the primary caregiver by phone. The possible causes of death on verbal autopsy included neonatal sepsis (71.4%), aspiration pneumonia (14.3%), and cot death (14.3%). The median time to death after discharge was 11 days (range 3-16 days).

The proportion of neonates that died was highest among those with discharge weight <1200g (20.6%) and gestational age at birth <30 weeks (28.6%).

Figure 2 shows that the overall survival probability was 93.5% and the greatest drop in survival probability occurred in the first week after discharge. The median survival time had not been reached by the time the study closed meaning that survival was sustained above 50% throughout the study period. Survival was compared according to birth weight. **Figure 3** shows that survival probability was highest among study participants with birth weight >2,000g. Notably, survival dropped with reducing birth weight.

The neonates who died had a lower median body weight at birth, discharge, and first follow-up visit, compared to those who survived. In both groups, the median discharge weight was lower than the birth weight. Among those who died, the median body weight at the first follow-up visit was lower than the median weight at discharge as shown in **Figure 4**.

Predictors of mortality were assessed at bivariate and multivariate levels of analysis.

At bivariate analysis of neonatal and maternal characteristics, birth weight, discharge weight, 5-minute Apgar score, post-menstrual age at discharge, and neonatal sepsis at admission were statistically significant **(Table 2).**

Table 2.Bivariate analysis of neonatal and maternal characteristics, and post-discharge mortality.

Variable	Total N= 216	Died after discharge		*p*-value
		No n= 202 (%)	Yes n= 14 (%)	
Gender				
Male	93	87 (93.5)	6 (6.5)	0.988[c]
Female	123	115 (93.5)	8 (6.5)	
Birth type				
Singleton	143	133 (93)	10 (7)	0.777[c]
Twin	73	69 (94.5)	4 (5.5)	
Gestational age at birth (weeks)*				

<30	7	5 (71.4)	2 (28.6)	0.069[f]
30- 32	40	35 (87.5)	5 (12.5)	
33- 34	43	41 (95.3)	2 (4.7)	
35- <37	82	78 (95.1)	4 (4.9)	
≥37	19	19 (100)	0(0)	
Post-menstrual age at discharge (weeks)				
<30	1	0 (0)	1 (100)	**0.027**[f]
30-32	33	30 (90.0)	3 (9.1)	
33-34	43	38 (88.4)	5 (11.6)	
35- <37	91	87 (95.6)	4 (4.4)	
≥37	23	23 (100)	0 (0)	
Birth weight (grams)				
<1200	18	13 (72.2)	5 (27.8)	**<0.001**[f]
1200-1499	36	31 (86.1)	5 (13.9)	
1500-1799	56	54 (96.4)	2 (3.6)	
1800-1999	36	34 (94.4)	2 (5.6)	
2000-2499	70	70 (100)	0 (0)	
Discharge weight (grams)				
<1200	34	27 (79.4)	7 (20.6)	**0.001**[f]
1200-1499	49	45 (91.8)	4 (8.2)	
1500-1799	46	43 (93.5)	3 (6.5)	
1800-1999	45	45 (100)	0 (0)	
≥2000	42	42 (100)	0 (0)	
SGA				
No	103	98 (95.1)	5 (4.9)	0.16[f]
Yes	88	79 (89.8)	9 (10.2)	
5- minute Apgar score				
<7	30	25 (83.3)	5 (16.7)	**0.009**[f]
≥7	167	160 (95.8)	7 (4.2)	
Neonatal sepsis during admission				
No	211	199 (94.3)	12 (5.7)	**0.035**[f]
Yes	5	3 (60)	2 (40)	

Maternal age (years)				
<20	21	18 (85.7)	3 (14.3)	0.407[f]
20-24	54	52 (96.3)	2 (3.7)	
25-29	53	49 (92.5)	4 (7.5)	
≥30	61	56 (91.8)	5 (8.2)	
Residence				
Rural	14	12 (85.7)	2 (14.3)	0.278[f]
Urban	175	163 (93.1)	12 (6.9)	
Maternal level of education				
Never gone to school & Primary	55	50 (90.9)	5 (9.1)	0.853[f]
Secondary	110	102 (92.7)	8 (7.3)	
Tertiary	24	23 (95.8)	1 (4.2)	
Maternal monthly income (UShs)				
0-50,000 (0-13.5$)	35	32 (91.4)	3 (8.6)	0.925[f]
>50,000-200,000 (13.5-54$)	35	33 (94.3)	2 (5.7)	
>200,000-500,000 (54-135$)	56	51 (91.1)	5 (8.9)	
>500,000 (>135$)	63	59 (93.7)	4 (6.3)	
Preceding birth interval (months)				
0-24	32	28 (87.5)	4 (12.5)	0.34[f]
25-48	54	49 (90.7)	5 (9.3)	
>48	47	45 (95.7)	2 (4.3)	

Note: *p*- values are based on Pearson's Chi-square test (c) and Fisher's exact test (f).

At multivariate analysis, discharge weight <1200g was associated with a 23.5-fold increase in the risk of death compared to babies of discharge weight greater than 1,500g ($p<0.001$). The neonates who posted a 5-minute Apgar of <7 were 4.42 times more likely to die compared to those with a 5-minute Apgar of 7 and above ($p=0.016$). Similarly, having a diagnosis of neonatal sepsis at admission was significantly associated with mortality compared with those who did not have such a diagnosis (adj HR = 7.93, $p = 0.009$). Notably, none of the maternal sociodemographic characteristics was significantly associated with mortality. The details of the unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios are shown in **Table 3**.

230

231 **Table 3. Multiple Cox regression for predictors of mortality among the 216 low birth**
232 **weight neonates after discharge from the SCU.**

Variable	Total N= 216	Died after discharge		Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	*p*-value	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	*p*-value
		No n= 202 (%)	Yes n= 14 (%)				
Discharge weight (grams)							
≥1500	133	130 (97.8)	3 (2.2)	Ref		Ref	
1200-1499	49	45 (91.8)	4 (8.2)	4.55 (1, 20.75)	0.05	5.2 (0.82, 32.9)	0.08
<1200	34	27 (79.4)	7 (20.6)	13.5 (3.32, 54.84)	**<0.001**	23.47(4.34, 126.8)	**<0.001**
5- minute Apgar score							
≥7	167	160 (95.8)	7 (4.2)	Ref		Ref	
<7	30	25 (83.3)	5 (16.7)	4.42 (1.4, 13.96)	**0.011**	4.25 (1.31, 13.8)	**0.016**
Neonatal sepsis during admission							
No	211	199 (94.3)	12 (5.7)	Ref		Ref	
Yes	5	3 (60)	2 (40)	8.92(1.98, 40.22)	**0.004**	7.93 (1.67, 37.6)	**0.009**

233 ## Discussion

234 In this study, we observed a post-discharge neonatal mortality of 6.5%. Mortality was
235 highest among neonates delivered before 30 weeks of gestation (28.6%), those with
236 birth weight <1500g (18.5%), and discharge weight <1200g (20.6%). Most of the deaths
237 occurred at home and were likely due to possible neonatal sepsis.

The post-discharge mortality we observed at the referral hospital was lower than what was previously reported in two other similar settings. In Bangladesh, a study by Yasmin et al, 2001 found a mortality of 13.3% (5), while in Malawi, Blencove et al, 2009 found a mortality of 12.4% (17). The discrepancies may be explained by advances in neonatal care over time. The level of mortality was however comparable to what Vazirinejad et al, 2012 found (5.4%) in Iran(18), and what Kibona et al found (5%) in Tanzania (19).

In all these studies, however, mortality was similarly higher among neonates with birth weights <1500g and those with gestational age at birth <30 weeks (20–23). The lower mortality observed in our study and Tanzania may be due to advances in neonatal care over the last 10 years since the other two studies were carried out. The higher mortality among those with birth weight <1500g, gestational age at birth <30 weeks, or discharge weight <1200g may be explained by immature oromotor coordination, poor homeostatic control among neonates with lower birth weight and lower gestational age at birth(24), and increased risk of sepsis in the same age group.

In our study, 3 in 5 LBW neonates who died had possible neonatal sepsis. This is comparable to the findings by Abdallah et al (12). It is not surprising because neonatal sepsis accounts for about 1 in 5 neonatal deaths in Uganda (25) and it is a significant contributor to neonatal deaths in most low and middle-income countries(26). Studies have shown that low birth weight is a risk factor for neonatal sepsis(27–29). Disease progression is fast, and deaths occur rapidly. Indeed, our study found that most of the deaths occurred at home before parents had taken action to save their infants. These findings are similar to what was found in Malawi (17). In part, this could reflect inadequacies by parents to recognize danger signs in neonates and seek care promptly as has been documented in Southwestern Uganda(30). On the other hand, this may be explained by the fact that caregivers who think an infant is likely to die may be less likely to seek urgent care, especially if the infant is fragile and small(31).

Predictors of mortality in this study were discharge weight <1200g, 5- minute Apgar score <7, and a diagnosis of neonatal sepsis during admission. Low birth weight neonates with discharge weight <1200g were 23 times more likely to die compared to

heavier neonates. These results are comparable to what Abdallah et al, and Blencowe et al found (12,17); that the risk of post-discharge mortality increases with decreasing weight at discharge. This could be because smaller neonates, especially those with weight <1500g are more likely to be preterm(3), and have not yet attained oromotor maturity and appropriate homeostatic control. These neonates are therefore at greater risk of complications like hypothermia, hypoglycemia, and aspiration. They are also more likely to have immature immune systems (29). To compound this, they are likely to have been born before the maximal transplacental transfer of antibodies from the mother, which occurs in the last 8 weeks of gestation (32). They are therefore at increased risk of infections, hence mortality.

Low birth weight neonates with an Apgar score <7 at 5 minutes were 4 times more likely to die compared to those with an Apgar score ≥7. These results are in keeping with what Abdullah et al found in Bangladesh, what a systematic review by Ehrestein found, and what Cnattingius et al found in Sweden; that an Apgar score of <7 at 5 minutes was associated with an increased risk of mortality among LBW neonates (33–35). This may be due to complications of perinatal asphyxia like intraventricular hemorrhage, acute kidney injury, and hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy. The association between prematurity and low Apgar score (36) may also explain the increased risk of mortality among these neonates.

Neonates who had sepsis during admission were 8 times more likely to die after discharge compared to those without this diagnosis. Neonatal sepsis is one of the major causes of neonatal mortality worldwide (37). The increased likelihood of post-discharge mortality among LBW neonates treated for neonatal sepsis during admission could reflect the persistence of some modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors of neonatal sepsis, like poor caregiver hygiene practices and prematurity. These neonates may therefore be at risk of re-infection and death even after discharge.

Improving survival of LBW neonates especially those with Apgar score <7 at 5 minutes, discharge weight <1200g, and /or have had sepsis during hospitalization requires intensive follow-up and care. There is a need to amend the guidelines for the discharge

296 of LBW neonates so that they are discharged with weights >1200. Caregiver education
297 on feeding, prevention of neonatal sepsis, early recognition of danger signs in neonates,
298 and prompt care-seeking should be intensified. Studies aimed at prevention of post-
299 discharge neonatal sepsis should be considered.

300 **Strengths and limitations of the study**

301 This was the first study in Uganda to assess mortality and its predictors among LBW
302 neonates following discharge from the SCBU. The prospective cohort study design used
303 for this study allowed us to establish a temporal association between mortality and its
304 predictors. The study was carried out at a tertiary care facility that receives many
305 referrals. This may have caused selection bias. We relied on clinical symptoms
306 identified and reported by caregivers to ascertain the possible causes of death.

307 **Conclusion**

308 Neonatal mortality among low birth weight infants discharged from the Special Care
309 Baby Unit at Mulago National Referral Hospital is high. Discharge weight of <1200g may
310 be considered unsafe. Caregiver education about neonatal danger signs, and measures
311 to prevent sepsis, aspiration, and cot death should be emphasized before discharge
312 and during follow-up visits.

313 **Abbreviations**

ANC: Antenatal care
BPD: Bronchopulmonary dysplasia
CPAP: Continuous Positive Airway Pressure
ELBW: Extremely low birth weight
HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus
IMR: Infant Mortality Rate
IVH: Intraventricular hemorrhage
KMC: Kangaroo Mother Care
LBW: Low birth weight
LMIC: Low and middle-income countries
LNMP: Last Normal Menstruation Period

NEC: Necrotising enterocolitis

NICU: Neonatal Intensive Care Unit

NMR: Neonatal Mortality Rate

MNRH: Mulago National Referral Hospital

SCBU: Special Care Baby Unit

SDGs: Sustainable Development Goals

SGA: Small for Gestational Age

SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa

VLBW: Very low birth weight

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all the research assistants) and the study participants. We are also grateful to the staff at the Special Care Baby Unit, at Mulago National Referral Hospital.

Author contributions

All authors made a substantial contribution to the work, whether that was in the conception, study design, implementation, data collection, analysis, and interpretation, or all these areas: manuscript drafting, review, and revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Availability of data and materials

Available on request

Declarations

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. World Health Organization. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems. World Health Organization; 2011.

2. Schlaudecker EP, Munoz FM, Bardají A, Boghossian NS, Khalil A, Mousa H, et al. Small for gestational age: Case definition & guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of maternal immunisation safety data. Vol. 35, Vaccine. Elsevier Ltd; 2017. p. 6518–28.

3. Eds CP Howson, MV Kinney JLawnWHOrganizationG. Born too soon. Born Too Soon, The Global Action Report on Preterm Birth World Health Organization Geneva. 2012;13(5):1–126.

4. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee AC, Waiswa P, et al. Every Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. The Lancet. 2014 Jul 12;384(9938):189–205.

5. Yasmin S, Osrin D, Paul E, Costello A. Neonatal mortality of low-birth-weight infants in Bangladesh. Bull World Health Organ. 2001;79(7):608–14.

6. Ryan CA, Ryan F, Keane E, Hegarty H. Trend analysis and socio-economic differentials in infant mortality in the Southern Health Board, Ireland (1988-1997). Ir Med J. 2000;93(7):204–6.

7. WHO. Kangaroo mother care: A practical guide. WHO Reproductive Health and Research. 2003;1–48.

355 8. Uganda Bureau of Statistics, ICF, DHS Program. Uganda Demographic and
356 Health Survey. 2016.

357 9. Aliganyira P, Kerber K, Davy K, Gamache N, Sengendo NH, Bergh AM. Helping
358 small babies survive: An evaluation of facility-based Kangaroo Mother Care
359 implementation progress in Uganda. Pan African Medical Journal. 2014 Sep
360 16;19.

361 10. Bergh AM, Davy K, Otai CD, Nalongo AK, Sengendo NH, Aliganyira P. Evaluation
362 of kangaroo mother care services in Uganda. 2012.

363 11. Conde-Agudelo A, Díaz-Rossello JL. Kangaroo mother care to reduce morbidity
364 and mortality in low birthweight infants. Vol. 2014, Cochrane Database of
365 Systematic Reviews. John Wiley and Sons Ltd; 2014.

366 12. Abdallah Y, Namiiro F, Nankunda J, Mugalu J, Vaucher Y. Mortality among very
367 low birth weight infants after hospital discharge in a low resource setting. BMC
368 Pediatr. 2018;18(239).

369 13. De Jesus LC, Pappas A, Shankaran S, Kendrick D, Das A, Higgins RD, et al. Risk
370 Factors for Post-Neonatal Intensive Care Unit Discharge Mortality among
371 Extremely Low Birth Weight Infants. J Pediatr. 2012;161(1):70–4.

372 14. Kugelman A, Reichman B, Chistyakov I, Boyko V, Levitski O, Lerner-Geva L, et
373 al. Postdischarge Infant Mortality Among Very Low Birth Weight Infants: A
374 Population-Based Study. Pediatrics. 2007;120(4):e788–94.

375 15. Kevin M S. OpenEpi - Open Source Statistics for Public Health.

376 16. WHO. WHO. 2016. Verbal Autopsy Standards: The 2016 WHO verbal autopsy
377 instrument.

378 17. Blencowe H, Kerac M, Molyneux E. Safety, effectiveness and barriers to follow-up
379 using an “early discharge” kangaroo care policy in a resource poor setting. J Trop
380 Pediatr. 2009;55(4):244–8.

381 18. Vazirinejad R, Masoodpour N, Puyanfar A. Survival rate of low and very low birth
382 weight neonates in an Iranian community. Iran J Public Health. 2012;41(2):87–93.

383 19. Kibona SE, Sungwa EE, Dika HI, Laisser RM, Mhada T. Immediate Seven Day
384 Outcomes and Risk Factors of Low Birth Weight Neonates at Referral Hospitals in
385 Mwanza City , Tanzania in October 2020. Afr J Health Sci. 2021;34(4):451–63.

386 20. Yasmin S, Osrin D, Paul E, Costello A. Neonatal mortality of low-birth-weight
387 infants in Bangladesh. Bull World Health Organ. 2001;79(7):608–14.

388 21. Blencowe H, Kerac M, Molyneux E. Safety, effectiveness and barriers to follow-up
389 using an “early discharge” kangaroo care policy in a resource poor setting. J Trop
390 Pediatr. 2009;55(4):244–8.

391 22. Vazirinejad R, Masoodpour N, Puyanfar A. Survival rate of low and very low birth
392 weight neonates in an Iranian community. Iran J Public Health. 2012;41(2):87–93.

393 23. Kibona SE, Sungwa EE, Dika HI, Laisser RM, Mhada T. Immediate Seven Day
394 Outcomes and Risk Factors of Low Birth Weight Neonates at Referral Hospitals in
395 Mwanza City, Tanzania in October 2020. Afr J Health Sci. 2021;34(4):451–63.

396 24. Miller MJ, Kiatchoosakun P. Relationship between respiratory control and feeding
397 in the developing infant. Seminars in Neonatology. 2004 Jun;9(3):221–7.

398 25. UNICEF. Uganda Maternal and Newborn Health Disparities. 2015.

399 26. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee AC, Waiswa P, et al. Every Newborn:
400 progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. The Lancet. 2014 Jul
401 12;384(9938):189–205.

402 27. Leal YA, Álvarez-Nemegyei J, Velázquez JR, Rosado-Quiab U, Diego-Rodríguez
403 N, Paz-Baeza E, et al. Risk factors and prognosis for neonatal sepsis in
404 southeastern Mexico: analysis of a four-year historic cohort follow-up. BMC
405 Pregnancy Childbirth. 2012;12:48.

406 28. Adegoke S, Bankole K, Olugbemiga A, Tinuade O. Intraventricular hemorrhage in
407 newborns weighing <1500 g: Epidemiology and short-term clinical outcome in a
408 resource-poor setting. Ann Trop Med Public Health. 2014;7(1):48.

409 29. Adatara P, Afaya A, Salia SM, Afaya RA, Kuug AK, Agbinku E, et al. Risk Factors
410 for Neonatal Sepsis: A Retrospective Case-Control Study among Neonates Who
411 Were Delivered by Caesarean Section at the Trauma and Specialist Hospital,
412 Winneba, Ghana. Biomed Res Int. 2018;2018.

413 30. Sandberg J, Pettersson KO, Asp G, Kabakyenga J, Agardh A. Inadequate
414 knowledge of neonatal danger signs among recently delivered women in
415 southwestern rural Uganda: A community survey. PLoS One. 2014;9(5).

416 31. O'Leary M, Edmond K, Floyd S, Newton S, Thomas G, Thomas SL. A cohort
417 study of low birth weight and health outcomes in the first year of life, Ghana. Bull
418 World Health Organ. 2017;95(8):574–83.

419 32. Fouda GG, Martinez DR, Swamy GK, Permar SR. The Impact of IgG
420 transplacental transfer on early life immunity. Immunohorizons. 2018 Jan
421 1;2(1):14–25.

422 33. Abdullah A, Hort K, Butu Y, Simpson L. Risk factors associated with neonatal
423 deaths: A matched case-control study in Indonesia. Glob Health Action.
424 2016;9(1).

425 34. Ehrenstein V. Clinical Epidemiology Association of Apgar scores with death and
426 neurologic disability. Clin Epidemiol. 2009;1:1–45.

427 35. Cnattingius S, Johansson S, Razaz N. Apgar Score and Risk of Neonatal Death
428 among Preterm Infants. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020;383(1):49–57.

429 36. Svenvik M, Brudin L, Blomberg M. Preterm Birth: A Prominent Risk Factor for Low
430 Apgar Scores. Biomed Res Int. 2015 Aug 27;2015:1–8.

431 37. Perin J, Mulick A, Yeung D, Villavicencio F, Lopez G, Strong KL, et al. Global,
432 regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000–19: an updated
433 systematic analysis with implications for the Sustainable Development Goals.
434 Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2022;6(2):106–15.


253 LBW infants discharged
10 not eligible (>28 days of life)
243 LBW neonates
5- no consent
18- no phone contact
220 enrolled
4- loss to follow-up
14 (6.5%) died
202 (93.5%) alive by 28 completed days of life


Kaplan-Meier survival estimate of all the study participants
Survival probability
1.00
0.99
0.98
0.97
0.96
0.95
0.94
0.93
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
Follow-up time in days


Kaplan-Meier survival estimates by birth weight categories
Survival probability
1.00
0.90
0.80
0.70
0.60
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
Follow-up time in days
<1200 grams
1200-1499 grams
1500-1799 grams
1800-1999 grams
2000+ grams

A box plot showing trends in body weight at birth, discharge and first follow-up visit
Weight (grams)
0
1,000
2,000
3,000
4,000
Alive
Died
Birth weight (grams)
Discharge weight (grams)
Body weight on 1st visit (grams)