TITLE: Predictors of mortality among low birth weight neonates after hospital discharge in a low-resource setting: A case study in Uganda.

Authors

Ronald Nsubuga[1,3*], Joseph Rujumba[1,3], Saleh Nyende[1], Stevens Kisaka[2], Richard Idro[1,3], Jolly Nankunda[1,3]

[1]Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, College of Health Sciences, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda.

[2]School Public Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda

[3]Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Mulago National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda

[*]Ronald Nsubuga

Email: ronald.nsubuga@mak.ac.ug | rnsubuga@dr.com

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Background: Most neonatal deaths occur among low birth weight infants. However, in resource-limited settings, these infants are commonly discharged early which further exposes them to mortality. Previous studies on morbidity and mortality among low birth weight infants after early discharge mainly focused on very low birth weight infants, and none described post-discharge neonatal mortality. This study aimed to determine the proportion and predictors of mortality among low birth weight neonates discharged from the Special Care Baby Unit at Mulago National Referral Hospital in Uganda.

Methods: This was a prospective cohort study of 220 low birth weight neonates discharged from the Special Care Baby Unit at Mulago National Referral Hospital. These were followed up to 28 completed days of life, or death, whichever occurred first. Proportions were used to express mortality. To determine the predictors of mortality, Cox hazards regression was performed.

Results: Of the 220 enrolled participants, 216 (98.1%) completed the follow-up. The mean gestational age of study participants was 34 ±3 weeks. The median weight at discharge was 1,650g (IQR: 1,315g -1,922g) and 46.1% were small for gestational age. During follow-up, 14/216 (6.5%) of neonates died. Mortality was highest (7/34, 20.6%) among neonates with discharge weights less than 1,200g. The causes of death included presumed neonatal sepsis (10/14, 71.4%), suspected aspiration pneumonia (2/14, 14.3%), and suspected cot death (2/14, 14.3%). The median time to death after discharge was 11 days (range 3-16 days). The predictors of mortality were a discharge weight of less than 1,200g (adj HR: 23.47, p <0.001), a 5-minute Apgar score of less than 7 (adj HR: 4.25, p = 0.016), and a diagnosis of neonatal sepsis during admission (adj HR: 7.93, p = 0.009).

Conclusion: Post-discharge mortality among low birth weight neonates at Mulago National Referral Hospital is high. A discharge weight of less than 1,200g may be considered unsafe among neonates. Caregiver education about neonatal danger signs, and measures to prevent sepsis, aspiration, and cot death should be emphasized before discharge and during follow-up visits.

Keywords: *Mortality, low birth weight, neonate, post-discharge.*

Introduction

Low birth weight is defined as weight at birth of less than 2500g(1) Small for gestational age (SGA) is defined as weight for gestational age below the 10th percentile(2)

Worldwide, an estimated 20 million infants are born with low birth weight (LBW) annually. The majority of these births (96.5%) occur in low and middle-income countries (LMICs)(3). Low birth weight contributes over 80% of all neonatal deaths worldwide(4). Preterm birth, which is commonly associated with LBW is the most common direct cause of neonatal mortality(5). Low birth weight neonates are at increased odds of death compared to their heavier counterparts(6) and LBW is considered the single most important predictor of infant mortality (7).

The World Health Organization (WHO) developed discharge criteria for LBW infants(8). However, this has been difficult to adhere to in resource-limited settings like Uganda with an over-stretched healthcare system and an estimated 10% of births have LBW(9). In Uganda, many neonatal units lack proper Kangaroo Mother Care (KMC) facilities(10,11), yet this is known to improve the survival of LBW infants (12).

Previous studies have assessed the morbidity and mortality of LBW infants after early discharge. Nonetheless, these mainly focused on Very Low Birth Weight (VLBW) infants and without the description of post-discharge neonatal mortality (13–15). Post-discharge outcomes of LBW neonates are largely unknown.

In our setting, LBW infants are discharged from the Special Care Baby Unit (SCBU) once stable (off antibiotics and oxygen, with stable body temperature in a cot). In addition, they should be able to tolerate 10ml per feed of expressed breastmilk by cup or tube, regardless of body weight or weight growth velocity. These babies are followed up weekly until they attain a body weight of 2500g. This practice may serve to reduce pressure on available limited resources, but its safety has not been assessed.

This study aimed at determining the proportion of LBW neonates that die after discharge from the SCBU, and the predictors of mortality. The study findings may be used to design targeted strategies to reduce mortality among low birth weight neonates after hospital discharge.

Methods and materials

Study setting

This was a prospective cohort study conducted at the SCBU of Mulago National Referral Hospital from 8th November 2018 to 28th January 2019. This SCBU was a level II neonatal unit. On average 4,600 babies are admitted to the unit annually, and 50% of these are low birth weight neonates.

The SCBU had an official bed capacity of 50 with 13 baby cots, 22 incubators, 11 radiant warmers, and 4 beds, but it often houses over 100 babies. It had term and preterm baby sections but with no KMC wing. The unit was run by 4 Paediatricians, 4-6

83 Paediatrics residents, 2 intern doctors on a rotational basis, and 18 nurses (working in 3
84 shifts a day).

85 The care offered included the provision of warmth (incubators and radiant warmers),
86 phototherapy, and bubble Continuous Positive Airway Pressure (CPAP). Admitted
87 neonates may also receive intravenous fluids (two hourly boluses), intravenous
88 antibiotics, and nasogastric feeding. Vital signs were intermittently assessed and
89 caregivers feed their babies every two hours under the supervision of the nursing team.

90 Low birth weight infants are discharged from the SCBU once they are deemed
91 physiologically stable (normal axillary temperature, off oxygen, off intravenous fluids,
92 and apnea-free), tolerating at least 10ml per enteral feed, and gaining weight. The
93 caregiver must demonstrate knowledge and competence in the provision of care to the
94 infant before discharge. On average, 138 LBW neonates are discharged monthly.

95 After discharge, LBW infants were followed up in the clinic every week until a body
96 weight of 2500g is attained. During clinic visits, growth monitoring, drug refills (Iron and
97 multivitamins), nasogastric tube replacement, and adjustment of feeds were done.
98 Unwell infants were identified and readmitted.

99 **Study population**

100 All low birth weight neonates discharged from the SCBU whose parents provided written
101 consent were included in the study. Those who were neither reachable physically nor by
102 telephone were excluded from the study.

103 **Sample size**

104 The sample size was estimated using an OpenEpi formula for frequency in a population,
105 (16).

106 Sample size n = **[DEFF*Np(1-p)]/ [($d^2/Z^2_{1-\alpha/2}$*(N-1)+p*(1-p)]**

107 Where;

108 DEFF was the sampling design effect = 1 since we were not doing multi-stage sampling.

109

N is the population size (for finite population correction factor) = 135*3= 405

p is the expected proportion of LBW neonates that die after discharge from SCU= 50% since there were no published studies in Africa about post-discharge neonatal mortality among LBW infants.

d is the acceptable absolute error = 5%.

z is the standard normal distribution value corresponding to 95% Confidence Level.

Sample size (n) for 95% Confidence Level = 198 participants.

Considering a 10% loss to follow-up rate, the final sample size (n) was 220.

Sampling procedure

Study participants were recruited consecutively until the sample size was achieved.

Data collection procedure

All neonates with birth weight <2500g were identified by a research assistant at discharge. Their parents were then introduced to the study. For neonates who met the inclusion criteria, the research assistant obtained written informed consent from the caregiver/ guardian. Recruitment was conducted daily and consecutively until the desired sample size was achieved.

Recruited neonates received a study identification number and their details were captured in a logbook. Each parent provided 3 phone contacts for purposes of follow-up. Phone contacts of the principal investigator (PI) and research assistants were shared with parents for them to call in case of any concerns.

Data were captured at discharge using a pre-tested checklist and questionnaire. At every clinic visit, a follow-up chart was filled. Study participants were followed up until 28 completed days of life. Parents of study participants were contacted by telephone once a week and significant events (death, illness) were registered. The possible cause of death was established by the Principal investigator using the 2016 World Health Organization verbal autopsy instrument (17) Those who missed their scheduled follow-

up visits were contacted by phone and requested to bring the neonate for review on the next clinic day. A neonate who missed two consecutive clinic visits and whose parents were not reachable by phone throughout the study period was considered lost to follow-up.

Data collection tools

The data checklist had the following sections; Maternal factors, and neonatal factors.

The questionnaire had the following sections; Maternal, delivery, and post-delivery factors, neonatal factors, and socio-economic factors including a multidimensional social support scale.

The follow-up chart had the following sections; post-discharge follow-up body weight record in grams, readmission details, and mortality details where applicable

Data management and analysis

Captured data were entered into Epidata version 3.1, checked for accuracy and completeness, and exported to STATA Version 14 for analysis. Continuous variables were summarized using the mean and standard deviation, and median and interquartile ranges for skewed data. Categorical variables were summarized using frequencies and proportions.

The proportion of LBW neonates that died after discharge from the SCU was expressed as a percentage. The numerator was the number of babies that died within the first 28 days of life and the denominator was the total number of LBW neonates recruited into the study. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare the proportion of LBW neonates that died after discharge from the SCU by their baseline characteristics.

Student-t and Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to compare means and median respectively of the continuous variables of participants that died and those that were alive after 28 completed days of life. To determine the predictors of mortality, relative death rates (Hazard Ratios) were obtained using simple Cox proportional hazards regression.

Variables that achieved a level of significance of ≤0.05 were then included in a multivariable Cox proportional hazards model. Backward elimination was used to build a model of best fit. The level of significance was set at ≤0.05 and a confidence interval of 95% was used. Kaplan Meier curves were used to compare survival across gender, gestation age at birth, and birth weight.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

This study protocol was subjected to approval by the Makerere University School of Medicine Research Ethics Committee. The investigators ensured that caregivers (parents or guardians) of study participants were given full and adequate oral and written information about the nature, purpose, possible risks, and benefits of the study. They were given adequate opportunities to ask questions and allowed time to consider the information provided voluntarily. Written consent was obtained from caregivers before conducting this study. Participants in this database were identified by their unique enrollment numbers. Only the PI had access to the participant identification list, which included their unique codes, full names, phone numbers, and address.

Results

Of the 243 LBW neonates identified for potential recruitment into the study, 5 did not consent to the study, and 18 did not have phone contact. Therefore, a total of 220 (representing 90.5%) neonates were enrolled in the study. Of these, 216 completed follow-up **(Figure 1)**. The mean gestational age at birth was 34 ±3 weeks, and the median weight at discharge was 1650g (IQR 1315-1922 grams). All four neonates who were lost to follow-up had discharge weight >2000g.

The median length of hospital stay of study participants was 5 days (IQR 3-8 days). The majority of the study participants (134/216, 62%) were fully breastfeeding, and the rest were breastfeeding and receiving top-up feeds through a nasogastric tube. Five study participants had confirmed neonatal sepsis during admission, and they had completed

191 treatment before discharge (**Table 1** shows the details of the characteristics of the study
192 participants and bivariate analysis)

193 **Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants, and bivariate analysis for**
194 **predictors of post-discharge mortality.**

Variable	Frequency N= 216	Percentage (%)	p-value
Gender			
Male	93	43.0	0.988[c]
Female	123	57.0	
Birth type			
Singleton	143	66.2	0.777[c]
Twin	73	33.8	
Gestational age at birth (weeks)*			
<30	7	3.7	0.069[f]
30- 32	40	20.9	
33- 34	43	22.5	
35- <37	82	42.9	
≥37	19	10.0	
Post-menstrual age at discharge (weeks)			
<30	1	0.5	**0.027**[f]
30-32	33	17.3	
33-34	43	22.5	
35- <37	91	47.6	
≥37	23	12.1	
Birth weight (grams)			
<1200	18	8.3	**0.001**[f]
1200-1499	36	16.7	
1500-1799	56	25.9	
1800-1999	36	16.7	
2000- 1499	70	32.4	

Discharge weight (grams)			
<1200	34	15.7	**0.001**[f]
1200-1499	49	22.7	
1500-1799	46	21.3	
1800-1999	45	20.8	
≥2000	42	19.5	
Small for Gestational Age *			
No	103	53.9	0.16[f]
Yes	88	46.1	
5- minute Apgar score**			
<7	30	15.2	**0.009**[f]
≥7	167	84.8	
Neonatal sepsis during admission			
No	211	97.7	**0.035**[f]
Yes	5	2.3	
Maternal age (years)			
<20	21	11.3	0.407[f]
20-24	54	28.5	
25-29	53	28.0	
≥30	61	32.2	
Residence			
Rural	14	7.4	0.278[f]
Urban	175	92.6	
Maternal level of education			
Never gone to school and Primary	55	29.2	0.853[f]
Secondary	110	58.2	
Tertiary	24	12.6	
Maternal monthly income (UShs)			
0-50,000 (0-13.5$)	35	18.9	0.925[f]

>50,000-200,000 (13.5-54$)	35	18.9	
>200,000-500,000 (54-135$)	56	29.6	
>500,000 (>135$)	63	32.6	
Preceding birth interval (months)**			
0-24	32	24.0	0.34[f]
25-48	54	40.6	
>48	47	35.4	

Note: *p*- values are based on Pearson's Chi-square test (c) and Fisher's exact test (f).

During follow-up, 14/216 (6.4%) neonates died. Of these, 8 occurred from home, 5 occurred at a health facility, and 1 occurred on the way to the hospital. The circumstances surrounding each death were established by contacting the primary caregiver by phone. The possible causes of death on verbal autopsy included neonatal sepsis (71.4%), aspiration pneumonia (14.3%), and cot death (14.3%). The median time to death after discharge was 11 days (range 3-16 days).

The proportion of neonates that died was highest among those with discharge weight <1200g (20.6%) and gestational age at birth <30 weeks (28.6%).

Figure 2 shows that the overall survival probability was 93.5% and the greatest drop in survival probability occurred in the first week after discharge. The median survival time had not been reached by the time the study closed meaning that survival was sustained above 50% throughout the study period. Survival was compared according to birth weight. **Figure 3** shows that survival probability was highest among study participants with birth weight >2,000g. Notably, survival dropped with reducing birth weight.

The neonates who died had a lower median body weight at birth, discharge, and first follow-up visit, compared to those who survived. In both groups, the median discharge weight was lower than the birth weight. Among those who died, the median body weight at the first follow-up visit was lower than the median weight at discharge as shown in **Figure 4**.

Predictors of mortality were assessed at bivariate and multivariate levels of analysis. Discharge weight <1200g was associated with a 23.5-fold increase in the risk of death compared to babies of discharge weight greater than 1,500g (p<0.001). The neonates who posted a 5-minute Apgar of <7 were 4.42 times more likely to die compared to those with a 5-minute Apgar of 7 and above (p=0.016). Similarly, having a diagnosis of neonatal sepsis at admission was significantly associated with mortality compared with those who did not have such a diagnosis (adj HR = 7.93, p = 0.009). Notably, none of the maternal sociodemographic characteristics was significantly associated with mortality. The details of the unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios are shown in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Multiple Cox regression for predictors of mortality among 216 LBW neonates after discharge from the SCBU.

Variable	Total N= 216	Died after discharge		Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	*p*-value	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	*p*-value
		No n= 202 (%)	Yes n= 14 (%)				
Discharge weight (grams)							
≥1500	133	130 (97.8)	3 (2.2)	Ref		Ref	
1200-1499	49	45 (91.8)	4 (8.2)	4.55 (1,0.05 20.75)		5.2 (0.82, 32.9)	0.08
<1200	34	27 (79.4)	7 (20.6)	13.5 (3.32, 54.84)	**<0.001**	23.47(4.34, 126.8)	**<0.001**

5- minute Apgar score							
≥7	167	160 (95.8)	7 (4.2)	Ref		Ref	
<7	30	25 (83.3)	5 (16.7)	4.42 (1.4, 13.96)	**0.011**	4.25 (1.31, 13.8)	**0.016**
Neonatal sepsis during admission							
No	211	199 (94.3)	12 (5.7)	Ref		Ref	
Yes	5	3 (60)	2 (40)	8.92(1.98, 40.22)	**0.004**	7.93 (1.67, 37.6)	**0.009**

Discussion

In this study, we observed a post-discharge neonatal mortality of 6.5%. Mortality was highest among neonates delivered before 30 weeks of gestation (28.6%), those with birth weight <1500g (18.5%), and discharge weight <1200g (20.6%). Most of the deaths occurred at home and were likely due to possible neonatal sepsis.

The post-discharge mortality we observed at the referral hospital was lower than what was previously reported in two other similar settings. In Bangladesh, a study by Yasmin et al, 2001 found a mortality of 13.3% (6), while in Malawi, Blencove et al, 2009 found a mortality of 12.4% (18). The discrepancies may be explained by advances in neonatal care over time. The level of mortality was however comparable to what Vazirinejad et al, 2012 found (5.4%) in Iran(19), and what Kibona et al found (5%) in Tanzania (20).

In all these studies, however, mortality was similarly higher among neonates with birth weights <1500g and those with gestational age at birth <30 weeks (21–24). The lower mortality observed in our study and Tanzania may be due to advances in neonatal care over the last 10 years since the other two studies were carried out. The higher mortality among those with birth weight <1500g, gestational age at birth <30 weeks, or discharge weight <1200g may be explained by immature oromotor coordination, poor homeostatic

248 control among neonates with lower birth weight and lower gestational age at birth(25),
249 and increased risk of sepsis in the same age group.

250 In our study, 3 in 5 LBW neonates who died had possible neonatal sepsis. This is
251 comparable to the findings by Abdallah et al (13). It is not surprising because neonatal
252 sepsis accounts for about 1 in 5 neonatal deaths in Uganda (26) and it is a significant
253 contributor to neonatal deaths in most low and middle-income countries(27). Studies
254 have shown that low birth weight is a risk factor for neonatal sepsis(28–30). Disease
255 progression is fast and deaths occur rapidly. Indeed, our study found that the majority of
256 the deaths occurred at home before parents had taken action to save their infants.
257 These findings are similar to what was found in Malawi (18). In part, this could reflect
258 inadequacies by parents to recognize danger signs in neonates and seek care promptly
259 as has been documented in Southwestern Uganda(31). On the other hand, this may be
260 explained by the fact that caregivers who think an infant is likely to die may be less likely
261 to seek urgent care, especially if the infant is fragile and small(32).

262 Predictors of mortality in this study were; discharge weight <1200g, 5- minute Apgar
263 score <7, and a diagnosis of neonatal sepsis during admission. Low birth weight
264 neonates with discharge weight <1200g were 23 times more likely to die compared to
265 heavier neonates. These results are comparable to what Abdallah et al, and Blencowe
266 et al found (13,18); that the risk of post-discharge mortality increases with decreasing
267 weight at discharge. This could be because smaller neonates, especially those with
268 weight <1500g are more likely to be preterm(3), and have not yet attained oromotor
269 maturity and appropriate homeostatic control. These neonates are therefore at greater
270 risk of complications like hypothermia, hypoglycemia, and aspiration. They are also
271 more likely to have immature immune systems (30). To compound this, they are likely to
272 have been born before the maximal transplacental transfer of antibodies from the
273 mother, which occurs in the last 8 weeks of gestation (33). They are therefore at
274 increased risk of infections, hence mortality.

275 Low birth weight neonates with an Apgar score <7 at 5 minutes were 4 times more likely
276 to die compared to those with an Apgar score ≥7. These results are in keeping with

what Abdullah et al found in Bangladesh, what a systematic review by Ehrestein found, and what Cnattingius et al found in Sweden; that an Apgar score of <7 at 5 minutes was associated with an increased risk of mortality among LBW neonates (34–36). This may be due to complications of perinatal asphyxia like intraventricular hemorrhage, acute kidney injury, and hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy. The association between prematurity and low Apgar score (37) may also explain the increased risk of mortality among these neonates.

Neonates who had sepsis during admission were 8 times more likely to die after discharge compared to those without this diagnosis. Neonatal sepsis is one of the major causes of neonatal mortality worldwide (38). The increased likelihood of post-discharge mortality among LBW neonates treated for neonatal sepsis during admission could be a reflection of the persistence of some modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors of neonatal sepsis, like poor caregiver hygiene practices and prematurity. These neonates may therefore be at risk of re-infection and death even after discharge.

Improving survival of LBW neonates especially those with Apgar score <7 at 5 minutes, discharge weight <1200g, and /or have had sepsis during hospitalization requires intensive follow-up and care. There is a need to amend the guidelines for the discharge of LBW neonates so that they are discharged with weights >1200. Caregiver education on feeding, prevention of neonatal sepsis, early recognition of danger signs in neonates, and prompt care-seeking should be intensified. Studies aimed at prevention of post-discharge neonatal sepsis should be considered.

Strengths and limitations of the study

This was the first study in Uganda to assess mortality and its predictors among LBW neonates following discharge from the SCBU. The prospective cohort study design used for this study allowed us to establish a temporal association between mortality and its predictors. The study was carried out at a tertiary care facility that receives many referrals. This may have caused selection bias. We relied on clinical symptoms identified and reported by caregivers to ascertain the possible causes of death.

306 **Conclusion**

307 Neonatal mortality among low birth weight infants discharged from the Special Care
308 Baby Unit at Mulago National Referral Hospital is high. Discharge weight of <1200g may
309 be considered unsafe.

310 **Abbreviations**

ANC: Antenatal care
BPD: Bronchopulmonary dysplasia
CPAP: Continuous Positive Airway Pressure
ELBW: Extremely low birth weight
HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus
IMR: Infant Mortality Rate
IVH: Intraventricular hemorrhage
KMC: Kangaroo Mother Care
LBW: Low birth weight
LMIC: Low and middle-income countries
LNMP: Last Normal Menstruation Period
NEC: Necrotising enterocolitis
NICU: Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
NMR: Neonatal Mortality Rate
MNRH: Mulago National Referral Hospital
SCBU: Special Care Baby Unit
SDGs: Sustainable Development Goals
SGA: Small for Gestational Age
SSA: Sub-Saharan Africa
VLBW: Very low birth weight

311

312 **Acknowledgments**

The authors are grateful to all the research assistants) and the study participants. We are also grateful to the staff at the Special Care Baby Unit, at Mulago National Referral Hospital.

Author contributions

All authors made a substantial contribution to the work, whether that was in the conception, study design, implementation, data collection, analysis, and interpretation, or all these areas: manuscript drafting, review, and revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Availability of data and materials

Available on request

Declarations

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. World Health Organization. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems. World Health Organization; 2011.

2. Schlaudecker EP, Munoz FM, Bardají A, Boghossian NS, Khalil A, Mousa H, et al. Small for gestational age: Case definition & guidelines for data

337 collection, analysis, and presentation of maternal immunisation safety data.
338 Vol. 35, Vaccine. Elsevier Ltd; 2017. p. 6518–28.

339 3. Eds CP Howson, MV Kinney JLawnWHOrganizationG. Born too soon. Born
340 Too Soon, The Global Action Report on Preterm Birth World Health
341 Organization Geneva. 2012;13(5):1–126.

342 4. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee AC, Waiswa P, et al. Every
343 Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. The Lancet.
344 2014 Jul 12;384(9938):189–205.

345 5. WHO. WHO | Causes of child mortality. WHO. 2016;

346 6. Yasmin S, Osrin D, Paul E, Costello A. Neonatal mortality of low-birth-
347 weight infants in Bangladesh. Bull World Health Organ. 2001;79(7):608–14.

348 7. Ryan CA, Ryan F, Keane E, Hegarty H. Trend analysis and socio-economic
349 differentials in infant mortality in the Southern Health Board, Ireland (1988-
350 1997). Ir Med J. 2000;93(7):204–6.

351 8. WHO. Kangaroo mother care: A practical guide. WHO Reproductive Health
352 and Research. 2003;1–48.

353 9. Uganda Bureau of Statistics, ICF, DHS Program. Uganda Demographic and
354 Health Survey. 2016.

355 10. Aliganyira P, Kerber K, Davy K, Gamache N, Sengendo NH, Bergh AM.
356 Helping small babies survive: An evaluation of facility-based Kangaroo
357 Mother Care implementation progress in Uganda. Pan African Medical
358 Journal. 2014 Sep 16;19.

359 11. Bergh AM, Davy K, Otai CD, Nalongo AK, Sengendo NH, Aliganyira P.
360 Evaluation of kangaroo mother care services in Uganda. 2012.

361 12. Conde-Agudelo A, Díaz-Rossello JL. Kangaroo mother care to reduce
362 morbidity and mortality in low birthweight infants. Vol. 2014, Cochrane
363 Database of Systematic Reviews. John Wiley and Sons Ltd; 2014.

364 13. Abdallah Y, Namiiro F, Nankunda J, Mugalu J, Vaucher Y. Mortality among
365 very low birth weight infants after hospital discharge in a low resource
366 setting. BMC Pediatr. 2018;18(239).

367 14. De Jesus LC, Pappas A, Shankaran S, Kendrick D, Das A, Higgins RD, et
368 al. Risk Factors for Post-Neonatal Intensive Care Unit Discharge Mortality
369 among Extremely Low Birth Weight Infants. J Pediatr. 2012;161(1):70–4.

370 15. Kugelman A, Reichman B, Chistyakov I, Boyko V, Levitski O, Lerner-Geva
371 L, et al. Postdischarge Infant Mortality Among Very Low Birth Weight
372 Infants: A Population-Based Study. Pediatrics. 2007;120(4):e788–94.

373 16. Kevin M S. OpenEpi - Open Source Statistics for Public Health.

374 17. WHO. WHO. 2016. Verbal Autopsy Standards: The 2016 WHO verbal
375 autopsy instrument.

376 18. Blencowe H, Kerac M, Molyneux E. Safety, effectiveness and barriers to
377 follow-up using an “early discharge” kangaroo care policy in a resource-
378 poor setting. J Trop Pediatr. 2009;55(4):244–8.

379 19. Vazirinejad R, Masoodpour N, Puyanfar A. Survival rate of low and very low
380 birth weight neonates in an Iranian community. Iran J Public Health.
381 2012;41(2):87–93.

382 20. Kibona SE, Sungwa EE, Dika HI, Laisser RM, Mhada T. Immediate Seven
383 Day Outcomes and Risk Factors of Low Birth Weight Neonates at Referral
384 Hospitals in Mwanza City, Tanzania in October 2020. Afr J Health Sci.
385 2021;34(4):451–63.

386 21. Yasmin S, Osrin D, Paul E, Costello A. Neonatal mortality of low-birth-
387 weight infants in Bangladesh. Bull World Health Organ. 2001;79(7):608–14.

388 22. Blencowe H, Kerac M, Molyneux E. Safety, effectiveness and barriers to
389 follow-up using an “early discharge” kangaroo care policy in a resource-
390 poor setting. J Trop Pediatr. 2009;55(4):244–8.

391 23. Vazirinejad R, Masoodpour N, Puyanfar A. Survival rate of low and very low
392 birth weight neonates in an Iranian community. Iran J Public Health.
393 2012;41(2):87–93.

394 24. Kibona SE, Sungwa EE, Dika HI, Laisser RM, Mhada T. Immediate Seven
395 Day Outcomes and Risk Factors of Low Birth Weight Neonates at Referral
396 Hospitals in Mwanza City, Tanzania in October 2020. Afr J Health Sci.
397 2021;34(4):451–63.

398 25. Miller MJ, Kiatchoosakun P. Relationship between respiratory control and
399 feeding in the developing infant. Seminars in Neonatology. 2004
400 Jun;9(3):221–7.

401 26. UNICEF. Uganda Maternal and Newborn Health Disparities. 2015.

402 27. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee AC, Waiswa P, et al. Every
403 Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. The Lancet.
404 2014 Jul 12;384(9938):189–205.

405 28. Leal YA, Álvarez-Nemegyei J, Velázquez JR, Rosado-Quiab U, Diego-
406 Rodríguez N, Paz-Baeza E, et al. Risk factors and prognosis for neonatal
407 sepsis in southeastern Mexico: analysis of a four-year historic cohort follow-
408 up. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2012;12:48.

409 29. Adegoke S, Bankole K, Olugbemiga A, Tinuade O. Intraventricular
410 hemorrhage in newborns weighing <1500 g: Epidemiology and short-term

411 clinical outcome in a resource-poor setting. Ann Trop Med Public Health.
412 2014;7(1):48.

413 30. Adatara P, Afaya A, Salia SM, Afaya RA, Kuug AK, Agbinku E, et al. Risk
414 Factors for Neonatal Sepsis: A Retrospective Case-Control Study among
415 Neonates Who Were Delivered by Caesarean Section at the Trauma and
416 Specialist Hospital, Winneba, Ghana. Biomed Res Int. 2018;2018.

417 31. Sandberg J, Pettersson KO, Asp G, Kabakyenga J, Agardh A. Inadequate
418 knowledge of neonatal danger signs among recently delivered women in
419 southwestern rural Uganda: A community survey. PLoS One. 2014;9(5).

420 32. O'Leary M, Edmond K, Floyd S, Newton S, Thomas G, Thomas SL. A
421 cohort study of low birth weight and health outcomes in the first year of life,
422 Ghana. Bull World Health Organ. 2017;95(8):574–83.

423 33. Fouda GG, Martinez DR, Swamy GK, Permar SR. The Impact of IgG
424 transplacental transfer on early life immunity. Immunohorizons. 2018 Jan
425 1;2(1):14–25.

426 34. Abdullah A, Hort K, Butu Y, Simpson L. Risk factors associated with
427 neonatal deaths: A matched case-control study in Indonesia. Glob Health
428 Action. 2016;9(1).

429 35. Ehrenstein V. Clinical Epidemiology Association of Apgar scores with death
430 and neurologic disability. Clin Epidemiol. 2009;1:1–45.

431 36. Cnattingius S, Johansson S, Razaz N. Apgar Score and Risk of Neonatal
432 Death among Preterm Infants. New England Journal of Medicine.
433 2020;383(1):49–57.

434 37. Svenvik M, Brudin L, Blomberg M. Preterm Birth: A Prominent Risk Factor
435 for Low Apgar Scores. Biomed Res Int. 2015 Aug 27;2015:1–8.

436 38. Perin J, Mulick A, Yeung D, Villavicencio F, Lopez G, Strong KL, et al.
437 Global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000–19: an
438 updated systematic analysis with implications for the Sustainable
439 Development Goals. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2022;6(2):106–15.

440


253 LBW infants discharged
10 not eligible (>28 days of life)
243 LBW neonates
5- no consent
18- no phone contact
220 enrolled
4- loss to follow-up
14 (6.5%) died
202 (93.5%) alive by 28 completed days of life


Kaplan-Meier survival estimate of all the study participants
Survival probability
1.00
0.99
0.98
0.97
0.96
0.95
0.94
0.93
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
Follow-up time in days


Kaplan-Meier survival estimates by birth weight categories
Survival probability
1.00
0.90
0.80
0.70
0.60
0
2
4
6
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
22
24
26
28
Follow-up time in days
<1200 grams
1200-1499 grams
1500-1799 grams
1800-1999 grams
2000+ grams

A box plot showing trends in body weight at birth, discharge and first follow-up visit
Weight (grams)
0
1,000
2,000
3,000
4,000
Alive
Died
Birth weight (grams)
Discharge weight (grams)
Body weight on 1st visit (grams)