

# Massage and Exercise Increase Body Awareness in Healthy Adults: A Randomized Placebo Controlled Trial

Ursula Danner, PhD <sup>1\*</sup>, Alexander Avian PhD <sup>2</sup>, Christian Mittermaier, MD <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Kepler University Hospital, Linz, Austria. \*Corresponding author

<sup>2</sup> Institute for Medical Informatics, Statistics and Documentation, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria.

<sup>3</sup> Johannes Kepler University, Institute of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Kepler University Hospital, Linz, Austria.

\*Corresponding author:

Ursula Danner

Institute of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Kepler University Hospital  
Wagner-Jauregg-Weg 15, 4020 Linz, Austria; ursula.danner@gmx.at

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Physiotherapists are supposed to have a great impact on the body awareness of their clients through passive and active measures. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of a single session of manual massage or exercise on body awareness.

**Methods:** A three-arm randomized controlled study including 96 healthy volunteers (18 - 65 years) was conducted at the Institute of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, at the Kepler University Hospital in Linz/Austria. Anonymous questionnaire assessments and analysis with intention-to-treat approach were performed. Participants were assigned to a single intervention of massage (full-body massage with slow strokes and gentle kneading), exercise (moderate body workout, video-

based exercise instructions) or lecture on medicinal herbs (video-based lecture, control group). Primary endpoints were the changes of body awareness recorded with the non-verbal Awareness Body Chart test. Secondary endpoints were the changes of body awareness scored with a German body awareness questionnaire (Kurzer Fragebogen zur Eigenwahrnehmung des Koerpers, KEKS) and changes in mood scored with a German questionnaire on mood (Befindlichkeitsfragebogen).

**Results:** The Awareness Body Chart total score increased in both intervention groups but not in the control group with significant differences in the change between control group and both other groups (massage vs. control: +0.47, 95% CI 0.30 to 0.64; exercise vs. control: +0.31, 95% CI 0.15 to 0.45; massage vs. exercise: +0.19, 95% CI -0.02 to 0.34). An increase of the KEKS total score was found in the exercise in comparison to the control group. Mood significantly improved in both intervention groups compared to the control group.

**Conclusions:** In this study single session interventions of massage or exercise presented immediate positive impact on body awareness and furthermore on mood. The trial may serve as a prototype for further research on body awareness.

**Trial Registration:** Registered in the clinicaltrials.gov database (NCT05004272)

**Key words:** body awareness, self perception, mood, exercise, massage, randomized controlled trial

**Abbreviations used in text:**

**ABC** = Awareness Body Chart

**BA** = body awareness

**Bf-SR** = “Befindlichkeits-Skala – Revidierte Fassung”

**BSI** = Brief Symptom Inventory

**Co** = control group

**Ex** = exercise group

**IQR** = interquartile range

**KEKS** = “Kurzer Fragebogen zur Eigenwahrnehmung des Koerpers”

**Ma** = massage group

**SD** = standard deviation

**SF-12** and **SF-36** = Short Form Health Survey

**SIMPAQ** = Simple Physical Activity Questionnaire

**VAS** = visual analogue scale

**Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding:** No conflicts of interest are declared.

This was a researcher-initiated study, co-financed by research subsidies granted by the government of Upper Austria, the City of Linz, the Kepler University Hospital GmbH and the Johannes Kepler University.

**Study protocol with analysis plan:** approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Austria, (EC-number: 1087/2021)

**Data of this trial:** available at <https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/pbnvrspgdj/1>

## Introduction

Body awareness (BA) is an important factor in generating health and well-being. Mental health scientists are increasingly focusing on the importance of the mental representation of the body, especially in pain research (1-6) and in psychiatry (2, 7-10). The brain is designed to create a balance of well-being by constantly comparing the body signals, the situational context and all internal memories with one another (11). BA depends on the sensory perception as well as the affective and cognitive processing in the nervous system and is modified by experience (12). BA is integrated in a continuous loop of motor control. Reciprocal influence is also observed in the autonomic nervous system, in mental processes according to the situation and in body image (13, 14).

Interventions of physiotherapists are supposed to have a strong impact on the perceptual parts of BA, but also on the limbic system. Therapists set stimuli via the sensory systems. With hands-on techniques like massages, not only surface sensitivity, but also proprioception and visceroreception are stimulated. In active exercise programs tactile and kinaesthetic sensory channels are stimulated, using instructions additionally visual and auditory channels are stimulated. In physiotherapy more research on the modulation of BA is warranted to be able to use therapeutic measures in a more targeted manner.

Especially in times of COVID-19 lockdowns, physiotherapists often had to forego physical contact techniques and to replace them by movement instructions and supervision, e.g. via telemedicine and social media. A significant part of their therapeutic domain – i.e. hands-on techniques – has thus been drastically curtailed. Generally, the effects of the lack of physical proximity and touch in times of physical

distancing are still difficult to estimate. But they are probably reasons for the current increase in mental illnesses in the affected countries (15, 16). On this background, research on the subject of touch as well as active measures is warranted (17).

To support further research in the area of physiotherapy and BA, the objective of the present study with healthy subjects was to investigate the immediate impact of a single session of manual massage or exercise on BA.

## Methods

### Trial design

The trial was designed as a three-arm randomized controlled study. It was included in the IOBA study project - approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Austria, (EC-number: 1087/2021), the IOBA research protocol was registered in the clinicaltrials.gov database (NCT05004272). In the present work the first research question of the IOBA project has been addressed: How do passive and active therapeutic measures impact on body awareness? The study was conducted according to Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials guidelines (see CONSORT checklist in Supplemental Digital Content 3) and to the guidelines laid down by the Declaration of Helsinki, with written informed consent obtained for each participant. The Template for Intervention Description and Replication checklist were used for reporting (see TIDieR checklist in Supplemental Digital Content 4).

Healthy volunteers were randomly assigned to a one-time intervention: Massage or exercise (intervention groups) or a lecture about medicinal herbs (control group) (allocation ratio 1:1:1). Participants were blinded in relation to the fact that one

group was a hidden placebo group.

A multi-professional team was conducting the study at the Institute of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Kepler University Hospital in Linz, Austria, during summer 2021. All therapists were employees of the Kepler University Hospital and were supported by students of physiotherapy or occupational therapy who were trained by the head of the study. Exercise instructions and the lecture were recorded on video by therapists in advance to standardize the interventions. Participants filled out self-report questionnaires immediately pre- and post-intervention. The questionnaires were anonymized and an external statistician performed the analysis.

## **Participants and randomization**

Healthy volunteers were invited to participate in the study via email to employees of the Kepler University Hospital in Linz, Austria. Inclusion criteria were: age 18 - 65 years; informed consent; understanding of German in order to be able to follow a lecture and complete questionnaires. Exclusion criteria were: current sick leave; current pregnancy; acute physical or psychological complaints on the day of study participation; chronic illnesses/disabilities which make it difficult to participate in a moderate exercise while standing or to lie prone for a massage; current diseases that are contraindications for hand massages or exercises. Participants were unaware of the study hypothesis and had only been informed that the study aimed at comparing the impact of three different therapeutic measures on BA.

At registration, age and gender were collected. After given informed consent, participants were stratified according to gender and age group (female 18 to 39 years old, female 40 to 65 years old, male 18 to 39 years old, male 40 to 65 years old). For allocation to the groups physiotherapists at the registration point used sealed,

opaque envelopes prepared by a person not involved in the study. She had created blocks of three envelopes, each block containing one of each group (massage, exercise, control group) in a random order. Each block was distributed during randomization and then the next one was opened.

## **Interventions**

Massage group (Ma): The test persons received a 20-minute manual full-body massage from head to toe with longitudinal slow strokes (max. 10 cm per second) and gentle kneading over all parts of the body – excluding the intimate area, the first ten minutes in supine position, the second in prone position. At the end of each position symmetrical whole-body strokes from the head down to the feet were performed. The masseurs (physiotherapy students) had been trained in advance according to a special manual of massage ascertaining that each participant received the same procedure. The intervention took place in a quiet massage room with window using a massage table. During intervention, the masseurs explained only which part they were going to treat next. After the massage, there was no rest phase.

Exercise group (Ex): In a small group setting (approx. four persons), participants received video-based exercise instructions of 20 minutes. This moderate body workout while standing included agility and coordination exercises that involved all joints, as well as simple strengthening exercises of the major muscle chains. The exercise instructions from two physiotherapists via video were presented soberly and without accompanying music. The intervention took place in a well-lit gymnastics room. A physiotherapist or student was on site for supervision.

Control group (Co): Participants received a 20-minute lecture (pre-recorded power point presentation with audio explanation) about medicinal herbs in a small

group setting with approx. four persons sitting in a quiet and well-lit room looking at the screen of a computer. A physiotherapist or student was on site for supervision.

## **Outcome Measures**

### **Primary Outcome**

Primary endpoints were the changes of BA collected with the total score of the Awareness Body Chart (ABC) (18). The ABC is a non-verbal tool to investigate BA (to encounter the limitation of verbal questionnaires, i.e. reading/writing and interpretation problems). The ABC consists of body charts (illustrations of the front and back of the female and male body with 51 anatomical subdivisions) to fill in with five different colored pencils according to the intensity of BA: orange = "I can perceive with much detail", yellow = "I can perceive distinctly", green = "I can perceive", blue = "I can perceive indistinctly", black = "I cannot perceive". To quantify the information, every region of the body has to be coded as an extra item and the data of the colors have to be transcribed: orange (= 5), yellow (= 4), green (= 3), blue (= 2), black (= 1). Higher values mean higher intensity of BA. For analysis, the 51 anatomical subdivisions are categorized into 14 body parts and a total score is calculated. The summarized 14 body parts as well as the total score show an acceptable to high internal consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha$  = 0.64 to 0.97) and an acceptable to high test-retest reliability ( $\rho$  = 0.71 to 0.96). In case of pain perception, the pain location can be marked with a red pencil in the body chart and the pain intensity signed in on a 100 mm visual analogue scale (VAS). If an individual signed in more pain regions the highest score is referred.

### **Secondary Outcomes**



As secondary outcomes the changes of the 14 body parts of the ABC, the German questionnaire “Kurzer Fragebogen zur Eigenwahrnehmung des Koerpers” (KEKS) (19), and a German questionnaire on mood, “Befindlichkeits-Skala – Revidierte Fassung” (Bf-SR) (20), were used.

The KEKS, a questionnaire on self-perception of the body, contains 20 items that must be ticked on a five-point scale from “1 = I cannot perceive” to “5 = I can perceive with many details”. Higher values mean higher intensity of BA. A total score can be calculated. Furthermore, the KEKS distinguishes between four dimensions (“inner stability”, “boundary between interior and exterior”, “inner space” and “control items”). The control items are two “falsehood-items” (left heart valve and cerebellum) which are supposed not to be perceived.

The Bf-SR, a self-rating scale consists of 24 pairs of oppositional adjectives concerning mood. Higher sum scores indicate worse subjective mood, lower values better mood. The test can be used in healthy people, but also in physical or psychological disorders.

## **Additional Tests and Questionnaires**

The Simple Physical Activity Questionnaire (SIMPAQ) (21) is designed to survey the quantity of physical activity of an average day in the past week.

To assess the state of health and related quality of life, the Short Form Health Survey (SF-12) was used. The SF-12 is a short form of the SF-36 (22). Higher values reflect a better state of health.

The Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI) (23) is a questionnaire on the burden of symptoms. It was implemented as an indication of conspicuous psychological stress. The BSI is a short form of the SCL-90 (24). The BSI results in nine scales and a

global severity index. The scores are converted to gender-specific T-scores. Higher T-scores indicate more psychological distress with T-scores  $\geq 63$  indicative of clinically significant psychological distress.

Furthermore, height and weight, demographic data (age, gender, mother tongue, highest level of education, living situation), professional activity and experience with massage were assessed. Participants were asked about chronic complaints and current illnesses.

## **Sample size**

In a non-published pilot study we observed in Ma a change in the ABC of +0.38, in Ex of +0.42 and in Co of -0.11 (common SD of 0.52). Therefore, in this study a change of +0.35, +0.40 and -0.10 respectively (SD of 0.50) was assumed for sample size calculation. An analysis including 28 participants in each group would have a power of 80% to detect the difference between Ma and Co, 90% to detect the difference between Ex and Co and 20% to detect the difference between Ma and Ex (Bonferroni corrected); an ANOVA to analyze the main effect group (Ma, Ex, Co) would have a power of 96%. Since the comparison of Ma and Ex with Co was of primary interest and the difference between these two groups was only evaluated exploratively, the low power for this comparison was accepted. Taking into account for 10% drop-outs, 32 participants were included in each group.

## **Data Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and R 4.1.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Analysis was based on an intention-to-treat approach. Therefore, all participants who answered at least

one questionnaire were analyzed within the group they were randomized, regardless which intervention they got. Data are given as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables. Categorical data are shown as absolute and relative frequencies. Differences between the three groups were investigated using the Kruskal-Wallis test or the ANOVA with subsequent post-hoc test (Bonferroni correction) for continuous data. Fischer's exact test or  $\chi^2$ -Test was used for categorical data. Effect sizes for group comparisons were calculated ( $\eta^2, r$ ) using bootstrapping with 1.000 replications. Furthermore, confidence intervals for differences in median of changes were calculated using Hodges-Lehman method. No imputation for missing values were performed. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## Results

The figure (Figure 1) shows the flow of participants through the trial. The sociodemographic characteristics of the 32 participants in each group are given in Table 1. No group differences were observed. The most frequently mentioned acute or chronic medical problems were back complaints (nine participants). Baseline characteristics collected with the SIMPAQ, SF-12 and BSI questionnaires are given in Table 2. No group differences were observed. Only a small number of missing values (0.4%) were observed in the primary outcome. No harms occurred. No patient dropped out due to intolerance to the intervention. No adverse events occurred.

### Figure 1. Flow of participants through the trial

**Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participants**

Characteristic	Massage (n = 32)	Exercise (n = 32)	Control (n = 32)
Age (y), median (IQR)	39 (30 to 53)	36 (27 to 53)	34 (26 to 45)
Height (cm), median (IQR)	169.5 (164.3 to 176.5)	174.0 (167.5 to 179.0)	171.5 (166.5 to 178.0)
Weight (kg), median (IQR)	70.0 (60.0 to 91.0)	72.5 (63.0 to 79.5)	68.0 (58.0 to 77.0)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), median (IQR)	24.22 (21.95 to 29.07)	23.36 (21.66 to 25.22)	22.38 (20.49 to 25.51)
Gender, n (%)			
Male	12 (37.5)	12 (37.5)	12 (37.5)
Female	20 (62.5)	20 (62.5)	20 (62.5)
Mother language, n (%)			
German	30 (93.8)	31 (96.9)	31 (96.9)
Other	2 (6.3)	1 (3.1)	1 (3.1)
Education level, n (%)			
Compulsory schooling	0 (0.0)	1 (3.1)	0 (0.0)
College or apprenticeship	8 (25.0)	6 (18.8)	5 (15.6)
Highschool	7 (21.9)	3 (9.4)	10 (31.3)
University	17 (53.1)	22 (68.8)	17 (53.1)
Current work status, n (%)			
Permanent employment	28 (87.5)	29 (90.6)	25 (78.1)
Seeking work	0 (0.0)	1 (3.1)	0 (0.0)
In training	4 (12.5)	2 (6.3)	7 (21.9)
Type of activity, n (%)			
Sedentary work	11 (34.4)	14 (43.8)	11 (34.4)
Light physical work	15 (46.9)	15 (46.9)	17 (53.1)
Rather hard physical work	5 (15.6)	2 (6.3)	4 (12.5)
Very hard physical work	1 (3.1)	1 (3.1)	0 (0.0)
Medical staff, n (%)			
No	8 (25.0)	13 (40.6)	7 (21.9)
Yes	24 (75.0)	19 (59.4)	25 (78.1)
Living situation, n (%)			
Alone	4 (12.5)	4 (12.5)	8 (25.0)
With partner	18 (56.3)	12 (37.5)	11 (34.4)
With partner and children	8 (25.0)	9 (28.1)	8 (25.0)
With more persons	1 (3.1)	6 (18.8)	5 (15.6)
Other	1 (3.1)	1 (3.1)	0 (0.0)
Chronic illness, n (%)			
No	24 (75.0)	27 (84.4)	27 (84.4)
Yes	8 (25.0)	5 (15.6)	5 (15.6)
Medical or therapeutic treatment in the last 4 weeks, n (%)			
No	26 (81.3)	25 (78.1)	24 (75.0)
Yes	6 (18.8)	7 (21.9)	8 (25.0)
Reason of this treatment, n (%)			

Infection	1 (3.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Incident	0 (0.0)	1 (3.1)	1 (3.1)
Back complaints	2 (6.3)	2 (6.3)	2 (6.3)
Psychiatric illness	1 (3.1)	1 (3.1)	0 (0.0)
Other	2 (6.3)	3 (9.4)	5 (15.6)
Drugs, n (%)			
No	19 (59.4)	25 (78.1)	24 (75.0)
Yes	13 (40.6)	7 (21.9)	8 (25.0)
Experiences with massage, n (%)			
No experience	2 (6.3)	4 (12.5)	3 (9.4)
For therapeutic reasons	10 (31.3)	13 (40.6)	14 (43.8)
Prevention or wellness	20 (62.5)	15 (46.9)	15 (46.9)

**Table 2. Baseline Characteristics of Participants**

Characteristic	Massage (n = 32)	Exercise (n = 32)	Control (n = 32)
SIMPAQ (h/day), median (IQR)			
Time spent in bed	7.0 (7.0 to 8.0)	7.8 (7.0 to 8.0)	7.5 (7.0 to 8.0)
Sedentary behavior	7.8 (6.0 to 10.0)	8.0 (6.0 to 9.3)	8.0 (6.4 to 10.4)
Time spent walking	1.8 (1.2 to 3.5)	3.0 (2.0 to 4.8)	2.5 (1.3 to 3.5)
Time spent exercising	0.8 (0.5 to 1.0)	0.8 (0.5 to 1.1)	0.5 (0.3 to 1.0)
Incidental activity	3.5 (1.5 to 5.8)	2.0 (1.8 to 4.8)	5.0 (2.0 to 6.5)
SF-12, median (IQR)			
Physical sumscore	45.9 (42.8 to 48.5)	45.4 (44.0 to 48.7)	44.5 (43.4 to 46.3)
Psychological sumscore	39.9 (35.0 to 43.9)	38.6 (34.9 to 42.0)	39.9 (33.5 to 40.4)
BSI (T-scores), median (IQR)			
Somatization	54.0 (43.5 to 56.0)	50.0 (43.5 to 56.0)	54.0 (50.0 to 61.5)
Obsession-compulsion	51.5 (45.0 to 58.0)	46.0 (42.0 to 56.0)	55.0 (45.0 to 62.0)
Interpersonal sensitivity	51.0 (41.0 to 60.0)	46.0 (41.0 to 56.0)	52.0 (46.0 to 58.0)
Depression	49.0 (43.0 to 54.0)	43.0 (40.0 to 56.0)	49.0 (43.0 to 52.5)
Anxiety	50.0 (42.5 to 58.5)	49.0 (45.0 to 56.5)	52.0 (46.5 to 60.0)
Hostility	53.0 (46.0 to 59.5)	51.5 (46.0 to 58.0)	50.0 (46.0 to 58.0)
Phobic anxiety	44.0 (44.0 to 55.0)	45.0 (44.0 to 54.0)	45.0 (44.0 to 56.0)
Paranoid ideation	49.0 (41.0 to 54.5)	49.0 (40.0 to 56.5)	41.0 (40.0 to 58.0)
Psychoticism	49.0 (43.0 to 56.0)	44.0 (43.0 to 54.0)	44.0 (44.0 to 56.5)
Global Severity Index	51.0 (40.5 to 58.5)	47.0 (41.5 to 57.0)	50.0 (46.0 to 57.5)

SIMPAQ = Simple Physical Activity Questionnaire

SF-12 = Short Form Health Survey 12; higher values reflect a better state of health

BSI = Brief Symptom Inventory: Higher scores indicate more psychological distress

In the pre-intervention test no group differences for the ABC, KEKS and the Bf-

SR could be detected except in ABC in chest/abdomen (Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content 1). Additionally, it was noticed that one third of the participants scored the falsehood-items of the KEKS questionnaire (22 persons scored the left heart valve and 32 the cerebellum).

## **Effects of the Intervention**

### **Primary Outcome**

The primary endpoints of this study are the changes of BA in the total score of the ABC. The changes of the three groups are listed in Table 3. A higher increase of the ABC total score was observed in Ma (Ma vs. Co: +0.47; 95% CI 0.30 to 0.64) and in Ex (Ex vs. Co: +0.31; 95% CI 0.15 to 0.45) compared to Co with no significant difference between both intervention groups (Ma vs. Ex: +0.19, 95% CI -0.02 to 0.34).

Table 3. Changes in Outcome Measures

Outcome Measures	Differences Within Groups				Differences Between Groups Effect Size <sup>2</sup>			
	Massage (n = 32)	Exercise (n = 32)	Control (n = 32)	P-Value (Overall)	Effect Size (Overall) <sup>1</sup>	Massage vs. Exercise	Massage vs. Control	Exercise vs. Control
ABC difference, median (IQR)								
ABC total score	0.49 (0.15 to 0.65)	0.28 (0.08 to 0.45)	-0.40 (-0.18 to 0.17)	< 0.001	<b>0.25</b> <b>(0.12 to 0.42)</b>	0.21 (0.02 to 0.45)	<b>0.61</b> <b>(0.43 to 0.74)</b>	<b>0.42</b> <b>(0.18 to 0.63)</b>
Cranium	0.00 (-0.33 to 1.17)	0.00 (-0.33 to 0.33)	0.00 (0.00 to 0.67)	0.27	0.01 (-0.02 to 0.11)	0.05 (0.00 to 0.30)	0.12 (0.01 to 0.37)	0.21 (0.01 to 0.44)
Face	0.00 (0.00 to 0.50)	0.00 (-0.62 to 0.00)	0.00 (-0.50 to 0.50)	0.14	0.02 (-0.02 to 0.14)	0.25 (0.04 to 0.46)	0.09 (0.00 to 0.34)	0.15 (0.01 to 0.39)
Cervical/lumbar region	0.00 (-0.33 to 0.68)	0.17 (0.00 to 0.50)	0.00 (-0.50 to 0.33)	0.22	0.02 (-0.02 to 0.15)	0.08 (0.01 to 0.35)	0.17 (0.01 to 0.40)	0.22 (0.02 to 0.45)
Shoulder	0.33 (0.00 to 0.83)	0.00 (0.00 to 0.83)	0.00 (-0.50 to 0.17)	0.011	<b>0.07</b> <b>(0.00 to 0.21)</b>	0.08 (0.01 to 0.32)	<b>0.36</b> <b>(0.13 to 0.57)</b>	<b>0.26</b> <b>(0.05 to 0.49)</b>
Chest/abdomen	0.00 (0.00 to 1.00)	0.00 (-1.00 to 1.00)	0.00 (0.00 to 0.50)	0.74	-0.02 (-0.02 to 0.08)	0.02 (0.01 to 0.31)	0.11 (0.01 to 0.36)	0.06 (0.00 to 0.30)
Upper arm	0.50 (0.00 to 1.00)	0.75 (0.00 to 1.00)	0.00 (-0.50 to 0.00)	< 0.001	<b>0.13</b> <b>(0.03 to 0.31)</b>	0.03 (0.00 to 0.30)	<b>0.44</b> <b>(0.21 to 0.62)</b>	<b>0.37</b> <b>(0.14 to 0.57)</b>
Back	0.88 (0.00 to 1.00)	0.50 (0.00 to 1.00)	0.00 (-0.12 to 0.00)	< 0.001	<b>0.19</b> <b>(0.08 to 0.36)</b>	0.02 (0.00 to 0.28)	<b>0.44</b> <b>(0.23 to 0.64)</b>	<b>0.53</b> <b>(0.35 to 0.70)</b>
Lower arm/elbow	0.50 (0.00 to 1.00)	0.00 (0.00 to 0.67)	0.00 (-0.25 to 0.00)	0.009	<b>0.08</b> <b>(0.00 to 0.24)</b>	0.18 (0.01 to 0.43)	<b>0.39</b> <b>(0.17 to 0.60)</b>	0.20 (0.02 to 0.42)
Genital area	0.00 (0.00 to 0.50)	0.00 (0.00 to 0.50)	0.00 (-0.25 to 0.00)	0.047	<b>0.04</b> <b>(-0.01 to 0.17)</b>	0.06 (0.00 to 0.30)	<b>0.32</b> <b>(0.10 to 0.52)</b>	0.20 (0.02 to 0.43)
Hand	0.00 (0.00 to 0.00)	0.00 (0.00 to 0.50)	0.00 (-0.50 to 0.00)	0.22	0.01 (-0.02 to 0.13)	0.08 (0.01 to 0.33)	0.13 (0.01 to 0.37)	0.22 (0.03 to 0.43)
Thigh/hip	0.33 (0.00 to 0.67)	0.33 (0.00 to 0.75)	0.00 (-0.33 to 0.00)	0.002	<b>0.12</b> <b>(0.02 to 0.29)</b>	0.03 (0.00 to 0.27)	<b>0.38</b> <b>(0.15 to 0.58)</b>	<b>0.39</b> <b>(0.17 to 0.59)</b>
Knee	1.00 (0.00 to 1.00)	0.00 (0.00 to 1.00)	0.00 (-0.50 to 0.00)	0.002	<b>0.12</b> <b>(0.01 to 0.28)</b>	<b>0.28</b> <b>(0.05 to 0.50)</b>	<b>0.42</b> <b>(0.21 to 0.61)</b>	0.21 (0.02 to 0.44)
Lower leg	0.88 (0.00 to 1.88)	0.38 (-0.25 to 1.00)	0.00 (-0.12 to 0.50)	0.07	0.04 (-0.02 to 0.18)	0.13 (0.01 to 0.36)	0.29 (0.08 to 0.51)	0.16 (0.01 to 0.41)

Foot	0.00 (0.00-1.00)	0.00 (-0.50 to 0.00)	0.00 (-0.25 to 0.00)	0.001	<b>0.13</b> <b>(0.03 to 0.28)</b>	<b>0.36</b> <b>(0.14 to 0.55)</b>	<b>0.43</b> <b>(0.23 to 0.60)</b>	0.08 (0.00 to 0.31)
KEKS difference, median (IQR)								
KEKS total score	0.14 (-0.17 to 0.31)	0.17 (-0.05 to 0.50)	-0.11 (-0.31 to 0.11)	0.007	<b>0.09</b> <b>(0.01 to 0.25)</b>	0.12 (0.01 to 0.35)	0.28 (0.06 to 0.50)	<b>0.38</b> <b>(0.14 to 0.57)</b>
Inner stability	0.01 (-0.17 to 0.50)	0.33 (-0.06 to 0.61)	0.00 (-0.17 to 0.28)	0.060	0.04 (-0.01 to 0.19)	0.19 (0.01 to 0.43)	0.10 (0.00 to 0.33)	0.30 (0.06 to 0.52)
Inner space	-0.12 (-0.50 to 0.00)	0.00 (-0.37 to 0.25)	-0.25 (-0.50 to 0.00)	0.270	0.01 (-0.02 to 0.13)	0.08 (0.00 to 0.33)	0.11 (0.01 to 0.35)	0.21 (0.02 to 0.44)
Boundary	0.40 (-0.20 to 0.50)	0.20 (-0.20 to 0.60)	-0.10 (-0.40 to 0.20)	0.006	<b>0.09</b> <b>(0.01 to 0.23)</b>	0.04 (0.01 to 0.30)	<b>0.38</b> <b>(0.14 to 0.59)</b>	<b>0.32</b> <b>(0.08 to 0.53)</b>
Control items	0.00 (0.00 to 0.00)	0.00 (0.00 to 0.00)	0.00 (0.00 to 0.00)	0.140	0.02 (-0.02 to 0.14)	0.05 (0.00 to 0.29)	0.19 (0.01 to 0.40)	0.23 (0.04 to 0.44)
Bf-SR difference, median (IQR)								
Bf-SR score	-5.0 (-11.5 to -1.5)	-5.0 (-6.0 to -2.0)	2.5 (0.0 – 6.0)	< 0.001	<b>0.42</b> <b>(0.27 to 0.59)</b>	0.10 (0.01 to 0.34)	<b>0.70</b> <b>(0.56 to 0.81)</b>	<b>0.68</b> <b>(0.54 to 0.80)</b>

ABC = Awareness Body Chart, Likert type scale 1 to 5. Higher scores indicate higher intensity of body awareness.

KEKS = a German body awareness questionnaire, Likert type scale 1 to 5. Higher scores indicate higher intensity of body awareness.

Bf-SR = a German mood questionnaire with 0 to 48 possible points. Higher values indicate worse subjective mood, lower values better mood.

<sup>1</sup>Kruskal Wallis Test, effect size:  $\eta^2$  with 95% CI.

<sup>2</sup>Mann Whitney, effect size:  $r$  with 95% CI.

Effect sizes of significant differences are bold.



## Secondary Outcome

As secondary endpoints pre-post changes in the 14 ABC body parts, in the KEKS and in the Bf-SR questionnaire were analyzed (effect sizes of group comparisons are given in Table 3; differences in median changes are given in Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content 2).

In Ma participants had a higher increase in eight body parts (shoulder, upper arm, back, lower arm/elbow, genital area, thigh/hip, knee, foot) in comparison to Co. In Ex participants had a higher increase in four body parts (shoulder, upper arm, back, thigh/hip) in comparison to Co. Furthermore, higher increases in BA in knee and foot are found in participants of Ma in comparison to Ex.

The item 'boundary' of the KEKS showed a higher increase in Ma and in Ex than in Co. Furthermore, significantly higher increases of the KEKS total score were found in Ex in comparison to Co.

Improvements of mood measured with the Bf-SR were significantly higher in Ma and in Ex compared to Co.

Additionally, in Ma a significant stronger reduction of pain could be observed compared to Co. No difference regarding alteration of pain could be observed between Ex and the other groups.

## Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the immediate effect of a single physiotherapeutic intervention on BA recorded with the ABC. We hypothesized that massage and exercise would have an impact on BA, but it was unclear to what extent. The results of our study showed a distinct increase in BA in

Ma (ABC total score and eight body parts) and in Ex (ABC total score and four body parts) compared to Co. Furthermore, higher differences in two body parts were observed in Ma in comparison to Ex. In addition, there was a clear improvement in mood in both Ma and Ex, while mood status got worse in Co. Pain significantly decreased in Ma.

These results indicate that massage in particular brings an immediate increase in BA. Furthermore, an improvement in mood can be assumed. These observations are in accordance with Eggart's study of „affective massage therapy“ (25) which includes techniques such as soft effleurages with an optimal velocity range between 1 and 10 cm/s, similar to the massages in our study. In Eggart's opinion the “affective massage therapy” has a high impact on interoceptive experience as well as on mood. He hypothesizes a strong connection between the restoration of impaired interoceptive functioning and the antidepressive effect of massage therapy. In another study with patients with chronic unspecific back pain massages with slow strokes were highly effective in reducing depression and pain (26). It is assumed that massage techniques could impact on mood through the increase of serotonin and endorphins (27). Likewise, the C-tactile afferents, which are stimulated when touched, as well as the release of oxytocin by touch are likely to play a role, especially in massage with slow strokes (7). In addition, Dunigan suspects a positive effect of a massage on the body image (28). A paper by Geri et al (29) also sheds light on the importance of hands-on techniques in the context of physiotherapy, especially their analgesic, affective and somatoperceptual impact.

The exercise intervention also has led to a significant increase in BA and mood. Correspondingly, in literature, sport and physical activity are considered as factors increasing individual's body perception and BA (30, 31). Moreover a huge body of

literature reports the positive influence of physical activity and exercise therapy on mood (32-36) confirming our findings. In recent literature, we find a plea for physical exercise training to prevent or reduce mental health problems in time of social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic (37, 38). In the present study even a single exercise unit shows an immediate impact on mood.

Pain was not in the focus of our assessment and analysis, and we had not invited persons with explicit pain syndromes, but a side-effect of great interest in our study is the reduction of pain through a single session of massage. This is in accordance with a systematic review of 2015 which indicates the positive effect of massage on pain and that this effect can best be observed immediately after treatment (27). If pain reduction also had an influence on the positive results of mood after the intervention or vice versa should be investigated in further studies. In addition, the correlation of exercise and pain modulation should be explored in further research.

The KEKS questionnaire included falsehood-items (cerebellum and right heart valve) that are believed to be not perceptible. Unexpectedly, a high proportion of people in this cohort (one third) stated that they perceived these body regions. This phenomenon was already observed in a previous study with physiotherapy students (18), where it was interpreted as a possible exaggerated perception in the context of a “medical student disease” (an occurrence during the early phase of medical training where personal physical symptoms are overestimated and incorrectly interpreted) (39). This interpretation cannot be applied here. The phenomenon should therefore be investigated separately. However, in this study the appearance of positive scored falsehood-items was found in each group equally and therefore not relevant for the outcome of the study.

The major strength of this study is that it provides an important basis for further research in BA. This study focused on the immediate impact of massage and exercise on BA in a healthy sample. Interventions were reduced to the essentials. Conclusions of the therapeutic effects can be derived with high probability. The non-verbal ABC proved to be a meaningful tool to assess BA. A very clear and strict trial protocol was set up as a model for following studies. Another strength of the study was the good compliance with trial method. No patient dropped out due to intolerance to the intervention. No adverse events occurred.

## **Limitations**

As this study was conceptualized as basic research - only healthy subjects were included and only the immediate effect of interventions was investigated - therefore the informative value for patients is limited. Nevertheless, it could be shown that the examined interventions are effective in healthy people and may be considered in health promotion programs. Further research is necessary to investigate whether these interventions will also be effective for patients.

## **Conclusion**

The results of the study shed light on the immediate impact of therapeutic measures on BA and furthermore on mood. In this study with healthy participants, BA and mood improved during a single 20-minute full-body massage or a 20-minute exercise session but not in controls. The results indicate that - especially in times of social distancing - therapists should not forego techniques using physical contact, but profoundly consider the possible positive impact of massage techniques on BA, mood and maybe on pain. Moreover, even a single session of massage or activating

measures like exercise can bring positive effects on BA and mood. This study may serve as a prototype for additional research on middle- to long-term outcomes especially in individuals with psychiatric diseases.

## **Acknowledgements**

We thank our colleagues and participants who were involved in the trial.

## References

1. Cramer H, Mehling WE, Saha FJ, Dobos G, Lauche R. Postural awareness and its relation to pain: validation of an innovative instrument measuring awareness of body posture in patients with chronic pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2018;19:109.
2. Tsay A, Allen TJ, Proske U, Giummarra MJ. Sensing the body in chronic pain: a review of psychophysical studies implicating altered body representation. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2015;52:221-32.
3. Catley MJ, O'Connell NE, Berryman C, Ayhan FF, Moseley GL. Is tactile acuity altered in people with chronic pain? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pain.* 2014;15:985-1000.
4. Mehling WE, Daubenmier J, Price CJ, Acree M, Bartmess E, Stewart AL. Self-reported interoceptive awareness in primary care patients with past or current low back pain. *J Pain Res.* 2013;6:403-18.
5. Ginzburg K, Tsur N, Karmin C, Speizman T, Tourgeman R, Defrin R. Body awareness and pain habituation: the role of orientation towards somatic signals. *J Behav Med.* 2015;388:876-85.
6. Bultitude JH, Rafal RD. Derangement of body representation in complex regional pain syndrome: report of a case treated with mirror and prisms. *Exp Brain Res.* 2010;204:409-18.
7. Arnold MM, Müller-Oerlinghausen B, Hemrich N, Bönsch D. Effects of Psychoactive Massage in Outpatients with Depressive Disorders: A Randomized Controlled Mixed-Methods Study. *Brain Sci.* 2020;10:676.

8. Probst M, Skjaerven L. *Physiotherapy in Mental Health and Psychiatry - a scientific and clinical based approach*: Elsevier; 2018.
9. Gyllensten AL, Skär L, Miller M, Gard G. Embodied identity-a deeper understanding of body awareness. *Physiother Theory Pract*. 2010;26:439-46.
10. Joraschky P, Loew T, Röhrich F. *Körpererleben und Körperbild, ein Handbuch zur Diagnostik*. Stuttgart: Schattauer; 2009.
11. Craig AD. The sentient self. *Brain Struct Funct*. 2010;214:563-77.
12. Schmidt R, Lang F, Heckmann M. *Physiologie des Menschen*. 31 ed. Heidelberg: Springer; 2010.
13. Röhrich F. Das Körperbild im Spannungsfeld von Sprache und Erleben—terminologische Überlegungen. In: Joraschky P, Loew T, Röhrich F, editors. *Körpererleben und Körperbild, ein Handbuch zur Diagnostik*. Stuttgart: Schattauer; 2009.
14. Lemche E. Entwicklungsphasen des Körperbildes und Methoden zur Erfassung der kognitiven Körperorientierung in der frühen Kindheit. In: Joraschky P, Loew T, Röhrich F, editors. *Körpererleben und Körperbild, ein Handbuch zur Diagnostik*. Stuttgart: Schattauer; 2009.
15. Galea S, Merchant RM, Lurie N. The Mental Health Consequences of COVID-19 and Physical Distancing: The Need for Prevention and Early Intervention. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020;180:817-8.
16. Han RH, Schmidt MN, Waits WM, Bell AKC, Miller TL. Planning for Mental Health Needs During COVID-19. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2020;22:66.
17. Müller-Oerlinghausen B, Eggart M. Touch Research-Quo Vadis? A Plea for High-Quality Clinical Trials. *Brain Sci*. 2020;11:25.

18. Danner U, Avian A, Macheiner T, Salchinger B, Dalkner N, Fellendorf FT, et al. "ABC"-The Awareness-Body-Chart: A new tool assessing body awareness. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0186597.
19. Pöhlmann K, Berger S, von Arnim A, Joraschky P. Der Kurze Fragebogen zur Eigenwahrnehmung des Körpers (KEKS): Entwicklung und Validierung In: Joraschky P, Loew T, Röhrich F, editors. *Körpererleben und Körperbild, ein Handbuch zur Diagnostik*. Stuttgart: Schattauer; 2009.
20. Von Zerssen D, Petermann F. Die Befindlichkeits-Skala. Revidierte Fassung. Manual. BF-SR.2011.
21. Rosenbaum S, Ward PB. The Simple Physical Activity Questionnaire. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016;3:e1.
22. Morfeld M, Kirchberger I, Bullinger M. Fragebogen zum Gesundheitszustand. SF-36. 2. ergänzte und überarbeitete Auflage. Manual. Göttingen: Hogrefe; 2011.
23. Franke GH. BSI Brief Symptom Inventory von L.R. Derogatis (Kurzform der SCL-90-R) - Deutsche Version: Manual. Göttingen: Beltz Test GmbH; 2000.
24. Derogatis LR. The brief symptom inventory (BSI): administration, scoring & procedures manual-II: *Clinical Psychometric Research*; 1993.
25. Eggart M, Queri S, Müller-Oerlinghausen B. Are the antidepressive effects of massage therapy mediated by restoration of impaired interoceptive functioning? A novel hypothetical mechanism. *Med Hypotheses*. 2019;128:28-32.
26. Baumgart SB, Baumbach-Kraft A, Lorenz J. Effect of Psycho-Regulatory Massage Therapy on Pain and Depression in Women with Chronic and/or Somatoform Back Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Brain Sci*. 2020;10:721.



27. Bervoets DC, Luijsterburg PA, Alessie JJ, Buijs MJ, Verhagen AP. Massage therapy has short-term benefits for people with common musculoskeletal disorders compared to no treatment: a systematic review. *J Physiother.* 2015;61:106-16.
28. Dunigan BJ, King TK, Morse BJ. A preliminary examination of the effect of massage on state body image. *Body Image.* 2011;8:411-4.
29. Geri T, Viceconti A, Minacci M, Testa M, Rossetini G. Manual therapy: Exploiting the role of human touch. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract.* 2019;44:102044.
30. Appleton KM. 6 x 40 mins exercise improves body image, even though body weight and shape do not change. *J Health Psychol.* 2013;18:110-20.
31. Bastug G. The study of athletes' body perception and gender role. *Coll Antropol.* 2011;35:1037-43.
32. Henriksson M, Wall A, Nyberg J, Adiels M, Lundin K, Bergh Y, et al. Effects of exercise on symptoms of anxiety in primary care patients: A randomized controlled trial. *J Affect Disord.* 2022;297:26-34.
33. Falkai P, Schmitt A, Rosenbeiger CP, Maurus I, Hattenkofer L, Hasan A, et al. Aerobic exercise in severe mental illness: requirements from the perspective of sports medicine. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2022;272:643-677
34. Kvam S, Kleppe CL, Nordhus IH, Hovland A. Exercise as a treatment for depression: A meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord.* 2016;202:67-86.
35. Cooney GM, Dwan K, Greig CA, Lawlor DA, Rimer J, Waugh FR, et al. Exercise for depression. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013:Cd004366.
36. Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, Hallgren M, Firth J, Veronese N, Solmi M, et al. EPA guidance on physical activity as a treatment for severe mental illness: a meta-review of the evidence and Position Statement from the European Psychiatric

- Association (EPA), supported by the International Organization of Physical Therapists in Mental Health (IOPTMH). *Eur Psychiatry*. 2018;54:124-44.
37. Clemente-Suárez VJ, Beltrán-Velasco AI, Ramos-Campo DJ, Mielgo-Ayuso J, Nikolaidis PA, Belando N, et al. Physical activity and COVID-19. The basis for an efficient intervention in times of COVID-19 pandemic. *Physiol Behav*. 2021;244:113667.
38. Liu C, McCabe M, Kellett-Renzella S, Shankar S, Gerges N, Cornish K. Addressing Depression Symptoms among University Students under COVID-19 Restrictions-The Mediating Role of Stress and the Moderating Role of Resilience. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18:12752.
39. Azuri J, Ackshota N, Vinker S. Reassuring the medical students' disease-health related anxiety among medical students. *Med Teach*. 2010;32:e270-5.

### **Supplemental Digital Content**

- Table S1. Pre-Intervention Values\_Supplemental Digital Content 1
- Table S2. Estimates of the Difference in Median Changes\_Supplemental Digital Content 2
- CONSORT Checklist\_Supplemental Digital Content 3
- TIDieR Checklist\_Supplemental Digital Content 4

